

This is a repository copy of *Transport-controlled hydrothermal replacement of calcite by Mg-carbonates*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/87655/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Jonas, L, Mueller, T, Dohmen, R et al. (2 more authors) (2015) Transport-controlled hydrothermal replacement of calcite by Mg-carbonates. Geology, 43 (9). pp. 779-782. ISSN 0091-7613

https://doi.org/10.1130/G36934.1

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 Transport-controlled hydrothermal replacement of calcite

- 2 by Mg carbonates
- 3 Laura Jonas^{1*}, Thomas Müller^{1, *2}, Ralf Dohmen^{1*}, Lukas Baumgartner^{3*}, and

4 Benita Putlitz^{3*}

- 5 ¹Institut für Geologie, Mineralogie und Geophysik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum,
- 6 ²School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, UK
- 7 Universitätsstrasse 150, D-44801 Bochum, Germany
- 8 ³Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Lausanne, Geopolis Building, CH-1015
- 9 Lausanne, Switzerland
- 10 *E-mails: laura.jonas@rub.de; ralf.dohmen@rub.de; t.mueller@leeds.ac.uk;
- 11 lukas.baumgartner@unil.ch; benita.putlitz@unil.ch.

12 ABSTRACT

13 Dolomitization is one of the most important diagenetic processes but the reaction rate and timescale of dolomitization remain a topic of controversy. We conducted 14 15 experiments in which the reaction of single calcite crystals with a Mg-rich fluid at 200 °C 16 leads to the formation of a zoned reaction rim consisting of magnesite and for 17 intermediate times Ca-rich dolomite. From detailed documentation of the evolution of the 18 microtexture and chemical composition of the reaction rim we infer a kinetic model for 19 calcite replacement by Mg-carbonates. The chemical gradient for the structure forming 20 elements Mg and Ca in the reaction rim and the evolution of the rim thickness strongly 21 indicate that the overall reaction rate is controlled by diffusive transport through the 22 porous reaction rim. The composition of the product phases is kinetically controlled and

23	records the local composition of the interfacial fluid without requiring oversaturation of
24	the reservoir fluid. Reactive transport models on dolomitization processes assume that the
25	rate of dolomitization depends on the rate of dolomite precipitation, which is
26	contradictory to our experimental evidence. Modeling carbonate replacement in natural
27	systems requires detailed knowledge on the evolution of the microstructure controlling
28	the physicochemical transport properties of elements in the pore fluid.
29	INTRODUCTION
30	Dolomites comprise a large fraction of oil and gas reservoir rocks and are of
31	substantial economic importance. The formation of dolomite is commonly considered to
32	take place by the replacement of a precursor limestone. Reactive transport models are
33	used to predict the rates and spatial patterns of dolomitization (e.g., Wilson et al., 2001;
34	Jones and Xiao, 2005; Whitaker and Xiao, 2010) and apply data from dolomite
35	precipitation experiments (e.g., Arvidson and Mackenzie, 1999) to calculate the overall
36	reaction rate. The replacement reaction consists of a series of processes including
37	dissolution, transport and precipitation (e.g., Mueller et al., 2010, and references therein).
38	The use of precipitation rates is only justified if precipitation is the slowest and thus rate
39	limiting process, which is not yet experimentally proven.
40	Hydrothermal carbonate-carbonate replacement experiments were carried out to
41	investigate the stability fields in different carbonate-fluid systems with saline solutions of
42	different composition. Most of these studies used saline solutions to react with powdered
43	carbonate materials with high reactive surface to solid volume ratios (e.g., Graf and
44	Goldsmith, 1955; Katz and Matthews, 1977; Kaczmarek and Sibley, 2011).

45	Hydrothermal experiments on replacement of fragments of recent and fossil
46	biogenic carbonates by dolomite (e.g., Bullen and Sibley, 1984; Grover and Kubanek,
47	1983) and single aragonite crystals by calcite (e.g., Perdikouri et al., 2011) were
48	conducted. Since many studies on carbonate replacement are based on experiments with
49	powdered material, no detailed studies of the developing microstructures accompanying
50	the replacement of single calcite crystals by Mg carbonates have been published to date.
51	We present a series of hydrothermal experiments using single calcite crystals and Mg-
52	rich fluid to gain understanding of the mechanisms and rates controlling the replacement.
53	The use of single crystals with planar surfaces and homogeneous composition allows to
54	study the evolving microstructures and to analyze the reaction product's chemical
55	composition with high spatial resolution. Coupling information on the microstructures
56	with chemical data and reaction rates enables a quantitative description of the element
57	fluxes controlling the reaction progress. Our results demonstrate that element transport on
58	the grain scale, rather than the precipitation rate, is controlling the local fluid chemistry,
59	the precipitating phase and the transformation rate of an individual crystal. Identification
60	of the rate-limiting step is crucial to develop a parameterization for the rate of
61	dolomitization and its prediction in natural systems, e.g., for burial dolomitization in
62	diagenetic environments.
\mathcal{C}	

63 EXPERIMENTAL AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

64 Single calcite crystals (~2 × 2 × 2 mm) were split using a razorblade and reacted
65 with a 1 M MgCl₂ solution prepared from anhydrous MgCl₂ (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie
66 GmbH, ≥98%) and distilled water. In each experiment, one crystal and 1 mL of liquid
67 were placed into a Teflon[©]-lined steel autoclave and reacted at 200 °C at vapor-saturated

68	conditions (~16 bars). Five experiments were performed with times of 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28
69	days. After reaction, the autoclaves were removed from the furnace and cooled to room
70	temperature in ~60 min. The fluid was removed from the reactors and analyzed using
71	atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). The crystals were washed with distilled water
72	and dried at ~120 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Internal features were imaged using non-destructive
73	computed X-Ray micro-tomography (μ CT). The microstructures on the surface and
74	within cross sections of reacted crystals were analyzed using scanning electron
75	microscopy (SEM). The chemical composition was measured using electron microprobe
76	analysis (EMPA). Details on all analytical methods used can be found in the GSA Data
77	Repository ¹ .

78 **RESULTS**

79 Mineral-fluid interaction causes the replacement of calcite by a Mg-carbonate 80 phase, either magnesite and/or a Ca-Mg-carbonate with dolomitic composition (Fig. 1). 81 The overall reaction is characterized by the formation of a porous, sometimes layered 82 reaction rim that progresses continuously toward the center of the crystal. A remarkable 83 microstructural characteristic is the formation of a gap separating the rim from the 84 unreacted core, which is also visible in 3-D µCT images (Fig. 1B). The width of both the 85 rim and the gap increases with time. The rim evolves through three stages (see Fig. DR2 86 in the Data Repository). In the first stage, i.e., after 1–3 days, a thin magnesite layer 87 replaces the outermost parts of the crystal. In experiments with intermediate run durations 88 (~14 days), Ca-rich dolomite appears as a second product forming an intermediate layer 89 between the calcite core and the magnesite rim. In the final stage (>28 days), only a thin 90 layer of dolomite remains, and the rim consists almost solely of magnesite. Both the

91	overall size and shape of the parent crystal are preserved during the replacement. The
92	polycrystalline reaction rim is built of small Mg-carbonate rhombs (see Fig. DR3). The
93	whole reaction rim exhibits a non-homogeneously distributed porosity with a coarse
94	porosity in the magnesite layer and a fine porosity in the dolomite layer. When magnesite
95	replaces dolomite in later stages of the reaction, the newly formed magnesite "inherits"
96	the fine porosity of the dolomite precursor.
97	The reaction rim has a complex geometry. μCT analysis show that the thickness
98	of individual layers depends on the orientation and position of the respective cross
99	section. Cross sections of the crystals prepared for SEM and EMPA reveal that the total
100	fraction ζ , i.e., the fraction of calcite transformed to Mg-carbonate, increases linearly
101	with the square root of time.
102	The composition of the individual layers is not homogeneous. With increasing
103	distance from the unreacted core the Mg concentrations increase while the amount of Ca
104	decreases. These gradients are particularly pronounced in the magnesite layer (see Fig.
105	DR1).
106	Continuous Mg-Ca exchange between mineral and fluid is also recorded by the
107	time-dependent change in fluid composition. The bulk fluid is progressively enriched in
108	Ca and depleted in Mg. The Ca/Mg ratio of the solution increases linearly with the square
109	root of time (Fig. 2C).
110	DISCUSSION
111	Microstructures and Reaction Mechanisms
112 113 114	

115	The exchange reaction between crystal and fluid involves the release of elements
116	from the dissolving parent phase into the fluid and incorporation of elements from the
117	fluid into the product phase:
118	$CaCO_3(s) + x Mg^{2+}(aq) \rightarrow Ca_{1-x}Mg_xCO_3(s) + x Ca^{2+}(aq). $ (1)
119	The equation describes the dissolution of the solid (s) calcite that immediately
120	reacts at the interface with an aqueous (aq) Mg ²⁺ ion to precipitate Mg-carbonate.
121	Simultaneously, a Ca ²⁺ ion is released into the fluid. With increasing reaction progress,
122	the fluid reservoir becomes enriched in Ca and depleted in Mg. Continuous reaction
123	progress requires effective flux of Mg from the fluid reservoir through the reaction rim
124	toward the reaction interface, countered by a flux of Ca in the opposite direction. The
125	transport distance continuously increases with the growth of the rim (Fig. 3A). Both the
126	observed sharp boundaries between the product layers and the core as well as the
127	formation of small rhombs building the rim are characteristic of a dissolution-
128	precipitation mechanism (see review of Putnis, 2009). The pseudomorphic replacement is
129	accompanied by a maximum negative molar volume change (ΔV) of ~13% in the case of
130	calcite being replaced by stoichiometric dolomite and ~23% for replacement by pure
131	magnesite. The formation of interconnected porosity enables the reaction to progress
132	further into the crystal (Putnis et al., 2005; Raufaste et al., 2011) and maintains the
133	continuous element exchange between the fluid at the reaction interface and the fluid
134	reservoir surrounding the crystal. However, the presence and size of the gap separating
135	the rim from the unreacted calcite suggest that the gap comprises most of the volume
136	loss. Some authors argue that the relative solubility of the phases contributes to the
137	formation of porosity and the gap at the interface (e.g., Putnis, 2009). However, our

Publisher: GSA Journal: GEOL: Geology DOI:10.1130/G36934.1 calculations show that only a minor amount of calcite needs to be dissolved to saturate

138

139 the bulk fluid with magnesite and hence the gap is the result of the serial nature of the 140reaction in combination with the large negative molar volume change. 141 **Kinetic Model** 142 The overall replacement comprises a serial process of dissolution, transport and 143 precipitation, all of which proceed at different rates (e.g., Mueller et al. 2010). The net 144 conversion rate is controlled by the slowest, rate-limiting step in this series of sub-145 processes. The rate of replacement and its controlling parameters can be inferred by the 146 time-dependent data such as the thickness of the rim and the evolution of the pore fluid 147 chemistry, which both approximately follow a parabolic rate law characteristic for a 148 diffusion-controlled process (Fig. 2). Rim thickness varies significantly within each 149 sample, which can be explained by local variation of the different fluxes due to limited 150 accessibility to the fluid or the surfaces at the bottom of the crystal sitting on the capsule 151 wall or 3-D effects for the diffusive flux at the crystal edges. The chemical gradient 152 within each layer mirrors the flux of Mg toward the reaction interface, countered by the 153 flux of Ca (Fig. 3A). The gradient in the solid reaction product is a proxy for the 154 evolution of the fluid composition at the reaction interface as the reaction rim grows 155 under local oversaturation with regard to the respective product phase. 156 In the first stages of the reaction, the Ca/Mg ratio in the fluid is still low and the removal of Ca^{2+} from the reaction interface is efficient enough to produce almost pure 157 158 magnesite (Fig. 3B, stage 1). The width of the rim, i.e., the transport distance between the 159 fluid reservoir and the fluid at the reaction interface, continuously increases and the removal of Ca^{2+} from the interface and the supply of Mg^{2+} from the reservoir toward the 160

161	interface become less efficient. Consequently, the Ca/Mg ratio in solution increases with
162	increasing distance from the fluid reservoir. This leads to an increased incorporation of
163	Ca^{2+} into the magnesite that replaces calcite, i.e., the presence of a concentration gradient
164	within the fluid causes the distinct compositional gradient within the product layer. At
165	some point, the increasing Ca/Mg ratio in the interfacial fluid leads to supersaturation
166	with respect to dolomite and facilitates dolomite nucleation. Thus a dolomite layer
167	develops between the magnesite layer and the calcite (Fig. 3B, stage 2). In later stages of
168	the replacement, the system approaches chemical equilibrium. Dissolution of calcite
169	decelerates, whereas the concentration of Mg in the reservoir fluid is still high enough to
170	supply the pore fluid with Mg. The fluid at the magnesite-dolomite interface becomes
171	supersaturated with respect to Ca-bearing magnesite. This leads to the secondary
172	replacement of dolomite by magnesite (Fig. 3B, stage 3):
173	$Ca_{x}Mg_{1-x}CO_{3}(s) + y Mg^{2+}(aq) \rightarrow Ca_{x-y}Mg_{(1-x)+y}CO_{3}(s) + y Ca^{2+}(aq).$ (2)
174	At the final stage, the growth of magnesite is faster compared to dolomite, which
175	must be related to a more efficient element transport within the magnesite layer.
176	Enhanced porosity in the newly formed magnesite related to the volume change of
177	Reaction 2 could be the reason since no gap formed at the magnesite-dolomite interface.
178	Therefore, we can explain our observations consistently assuming that the
179	reaction is controlled by diffusion through the pore fluid. If one of the other serial
180	processes (dissolution or precipitation) would be rate-limiting, the reaction could be
181	classified as interface-controlled, but this would imply a homogeneous fluid composition
182	throughout the reaction system (Lasaga, 1986). It is impossible to explain the appearance
183	and disappearance of dolomite assuming a homogeneous but evolving fluid composition

184	since the observed Ca/Mg ratio in the fluid reservoir continuously increases and cannot
185	explain sudden under-saturation with respect to dolomite in the later stage. When
186	dolomite becomes undersaturated, the fluid at the magnesite-dolomite interface must
187	have had a different composition compared to the fluid reservoir indicating gradients in
188	the pore fluid.
189	We note, however, that most natural fluids contain higher Ca/Mg ratios than the
190	reservoir fluid of our experiments. Thus, for natural dolomitization processes the
191	formation of magnesite is likely omitted.
192	IMPLICATIONS FOR OVERALL REACTION RATES OF DOLOMITIZATION
193	Pseudomorphic replacement has been reported for other carbonate-carbonate
194	replacements taking place by dissolution-precipitation (e.g., Grover and Kubanek, 1983;
195	Bullen and Sibley, 1984; Perdikouri et al., 2011) and appears to be the most likely
196	mechanism for dolomitization. Our experimental study illustrates the influence of fluid
197	composition, i.e., the cation ratio in the reacting fluid, on the precipitating phase(either
198	magnesite or dolomite) and its composition in agreement with previous studies (e.g.,
199	Rosenberg et al., 1967; Sibley, 1990; Tribble et al., 1995; Kaczmarek and Sibley, 2011).
200	However, to predict rates of dolomitization (or other carbonate replacements) an
201	appropriate kinetic law needs to be formulated. Previous studies concluded that the rate
202	of hydrothermal dolomitization increases with temperature, surface area, fluid-rock ratio,
203	the concentration and Mg/Ca ratio of the solution (e.g., Katz and Matthews, 1977; Sibley
204	et al., 1987; 1994; Sibley, 1990), all of which are consistent with a reaction that is
205	controlled by diffusion through the fluid network. Here, an increase in surface area,
206	temperature and Mg concentration in solution results in an increase of the net diffusion

207	flux of Mg towards the unreacted calcite crystal and thus the overall reaction rate. Some
208	experimental studies using powdered material applied an empirical Avrami-type
209	transformation equation to interpret their rate data, since the reaction progress revealed
210	the typical S-shaped pattern of Avrami-type transformation curves (Sibley, et al., 1987;
211	Sibley, 1990). The exponential growth law approximated in Avrami's equation applies
212	for linear growth and is approximately valid for early stages of diffusion-controlled
213	growth (Christian, 1975). Diffusion controlled growth as defined by Christian (1975)
214	refers to the growth of an isolated precipitate particle in a homogeneous medium of
215	another phase. This is not the case in our experiments and earlier powder experiments.
216	Thus, the quantitative extrapolation of reaction rates based on powder experiments to
217	natural systems using an empirical Avrami equation may yield misleading interpretations
218	with regard to the reaction rate of the overall replacement process.
219	Despite the existence of Avrami-type rate data for carbonate replacement, reactive
220	transport models on hydrothermal dolomitization in natural systems are often based on
221	the assumption that the rate of Mg-carbonate precipitation is the rate-limiting step
222	controlling the replacement of individual crystals. In this case, the formation rate of
223	dolomite is controlled by three parameters: temperature, surface area and saturation index
224	(Arvidson and Mackenzie, 1999). The surface area is coupled to the grain size whereas
225	the saturation index is related to diffusive, dispersive and advective transport of aqueous
226	species through the fluid network. From our experiments we infer a different quantitative
227	effect of the texture on the reaction progress and overall rate. The dolomitization rate at a
228	specific site is strongly grain-size dependent due to different transport distances through
229	the newly formed polycrystalline rim but also related to 3-D effects of the diffusive flux

230	(note the pronounced reaction rate at the crystal edges visible in the μ CT images, see
231	appendix). The overall rate of dolomitization is then controlled by the supply through the
232	larger fluid network and the transport through the rim. The spread of the reaction front
233	and the related reaction rates would differ significantly from the case of a precipitation
234	rate controlled reaction. Our observations strongly indicate that, for calcite replacement,
235	the diffusive transport within the pore fluid of the evolving reaction rim is the rate-
236	limiting step. Our observations and kinetic model provide a better basis to develop a new
237	parameterization of dolomitization rates that could be used for macroscopic models and
238	to constrain the temporal and spatial extent of burial dolomitization through highly saline
239	waters and oilfield brines.
240	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
241	This study was financially supported by German Research Foundation grant

242 MU2988/2-1 to Müller and Dohmen. Stimulating discussions with John Ferry, Adrian

243 Immenhauser, Sumit Chakraborty, Andrew Putnis, and Vanessa Helpa are greatly

244 acknowledged. We thank Shaun Barker and four anonymous reviewers for their

constructive comments, and editor J. Brendan Murphy for the handling of this

246 manuscript and additional helpful comments.

247 **REFERENCES CITED**

- 248 Arvidson, R.S., and Mackenzie, F.T., 1999, The dolomite problem: Control of
- 249 precipitation kinetics by temperature and saturation state: American Journal of
- 250 Science, v. 299, p. 257–288, doi:10.2475/ajs.299.4.257.

- 251 Bullen, S.B., and Sibley, D.F., 1984, Dolomite selectivity and mimic replacement:
- 252 Geology, v. 12, p. 655–658, doi:10.1130/0091-
- 253 7613(1984)12<655:DSAMR>2.0.CO;2.
- 254 Christian, J.W., 1975, The theory of transformations in metals and alloys: New York,
- 255 Pergamon Press, 1200 p.
- 256 Graf, D.L., and Goldsmith, J.R., 1955, Dolomite-magnesian calcite relations at elevated
- 257 temperatures and CO₂ pressures: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 7, p. 109–
- 258 128, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(55)90023-5.
- 259 Grover, J., and Kubanek, F., 1983, The formation of ordered dolomite from high-
- 260 magnesium calcite at 250 °C to 350 °C and 1500 bars: Epitactic growth with optimal
- 261 phase orientation, and implications for carbonate diagenesis: American Journal of
- 262 Science, v. 283-A, p. 514–539.
- 263 Jones, G.D., and Xiao, Y., 2005, Dolomitization, anhydrite cementation, and porosity
- 264 evolution in a reflux system: Insights from reactive transport models: AAPG
- 265 Bulletin, v. 89, p. 577–601, doi:10.1306/12010404078.
- 266 Kaczmarek, S.E., and Sibley, D.F., 2011, On the evolution of dolomite stoichiometry and
- 267 cation order during high temperature synthesis experiments: An alternative model for
- 268 the geochemical evolution of natural dolomites: Sedimentary Geology, v. 240, p. 30–
- 269 40, doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2011.07.003.
- 270 Katz, A., and Matthews, A., 1977, The dolomitization of CaCO₃: An experimental study
- 271 at 252 295 °C: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 41, p. 297–308,
- doi:10.1016/0016-7037(77)90238-1.

Publisher: GSA Journal: GEOL: Geology DOI:10.1130/G36934.1 phic reaction rate laws and development of isograds

273	Lasaga, A.C., 1986, Metamorphic reaction rate laws and development of isograds:
274	Mineralogical Magazine, v. 50, p. 359–373, doi:10.1180/minmag.1986.050.357.02.
275	Mueller, T., Watson, E.B., and Harrison, T.M., 2010, Applications of Diffusion Data to
276	High-Temperature Earth Systems, in Zhang, Y., and Cherniak, D., eds., Diffusion in
277	Minerals and Melts: Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 72, p. 997–1038.
278	Perdikouri, C., Kasioptas, A., Geisler, T., Schmidt, B.C., and Putnis, A., 2011,
279	Experimental study of the aragonite to calcite transition in aqueous solution:
280	Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 75, p. 6211-6224,
281	doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.07.045.
282	Putnis, A., 2009, Mineral Replacement Reactions: Reviews in Mineralogy and
283	Geochemistry, v. 70, p. 87–124, doi:10.2138/rmg.2009.70.3.
284	Putnis, C.V., Tsukamoto, K., and Nashimura, Y., 2005, Direct observation for
285	pseudomorphism: Compositional and textural evolution at a solid-fluid interface:
286	The American Mineralogist, v. 90, p. 1909–1912, doi:10.2138/am.2005.1990.
287	Raufaste, C., Jamtveit, B., John, T., Meakin, P., and Dysthe, D.K., 2011, The mechanism
288	of porosity formation during solvent-mediated phase transformations: Proceedings -
289	Royal Society. Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, v. 467, p. 87–124.
290	Rosenberg, P.E., Burt, D.M., and Holland, H.D., 1967, Calcite-dolomite-magnesite
291	stability relations in solutions: The effect of ionic strength: Geochimica et
292	Cosmochimica Acta, v. 31, p. 391–396, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(67)90049-X.
293	Sibley, D.F., 1990, Unstable to stable transformations during dolomitization: The Journal
294	of Geology, v. 98, p. 739–748, doi:10.1086/629437.

- 295 Sibley, D.F., Dedoes, R.E., and Bartlett, T.R., 1987, Kinetics of dolomitization: Geology, 296 v. 15, p. 1112–1114, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1987)15<1112:KOD>2.0.CO;2. Sibley, D.F., Nordeng, S.H., and Borkowski, M.L., 1994, Dolomitization kinetics in 297 298 hydrothermal bombs and natural settings: Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 64, 299 p. 630-637, doi:10.1306/D4267E29-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D. 300 Tribble, J.S., Arvidson, R.S., Lane, M., III, and Mackenzie, F.T., 1995, Crystal 301 chemistry, and thermodynamic and kinetic properties of calcite, dolomite, apatite, 302 and biogenic silica: Applications to petrologic problems: Sedimentary Geology, 303 v. 95, p. 11-37, doi:10.1016/0037-0738(94)00094-B. 304 Whitaker, F.F., and Xiao, Y., 2010, Reactive transport modeling of early burial 305 dolomitization of carbonate platforms by geothermal convection: AAPG Bulletin, 306 v. 94, p. 889-917, doi:10.1306/12090909075. 307 Wilson, A.M., Sanford, W., Whitaker, F., and Smart, P., 2001, Spatial patterns of 308 diagenesis during geothermal circulation in carbonate platforms: American Journal 309 of Science, v. 301, p. 727-752, doi:10.2475/ajs.301.8.727. 310 **FIGURE CAPTIONS** 311 Figure 1. Backscattered electron image of a polished cross section of a crystal that 312 reacted for 2 weeks (A) shows that the rim is divided into two layers consisting of 313 magnesite and dolomite. µCT analysis of a crystal with the same reaction time (B) allows 314 a three-dimensional reconstruction of the sample. The different layers of the reaction rim 315 and the unreacted core can be distinguished due to the different X-ray attenuation and
- 316 densities of the respective mineral phases. μ CT cross sections show that a gap located at
- 317 the reaction interface separates the reaction rim from the calcite core.

318

Figure 2. A: Fraction of unreacted calcite and fraction transformed to magnesite anddolomite as a function of time. Both the fraction of unreacted calcite and the total fraction

321 transformed as a function of time follow an exponential trend ($R^2 = 0.98$). The total

322 fraction transformed ζ (B) and the Ca/Mg ratio of the reacted fluid (C) can be fitted with

- 323 a square root of time relation.
- 324

Figure 3. A: The growth of the reaction front depends on the flux of the relevant aqueous 325 326 species $(J_{Mg^{2+}}, J_{Ca^{2+}}, J_{CO_3^{2-}})$ in the fluid and is accompanied by a considerable molar 327 volume change (ΔV). B: The fluid composition in the rim changes with time. In early 328 stages of the reaction, the ion activity product (IAP) of magnesite in the interfacial fluid 329 exceeds the equilibrium solubility product (K) of magnesite, i.e., the saturation index $[SI = -\log(\frac{IAP}{\kappa})]$ with respect to magnesite at the interface is >0 and magnesite 330 331 precipitates (stage 1). After 7 days, the Ca-concentration at the interface increases and the 332 SI of dolomite is reached (stage 2). In the final stages, the Mg-concentration at the 333 interface increases, and the fluid becomes supersaturated with respect to magnesite (stage 334 3). 335

- 1 GSA Data Repository item 2015xxx, xxxxxxx, is available online at
- 337 www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft2015.htm, or on request from editing@geosociety.org or
- 338 Documents Secretary, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301, USA.









