



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/86306/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Zhang, H, Liu, Y and Mao, N (2015) Fabrication of magnetic and photocatalytic polyamide fabric coated with Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles. *Fibers and Polymers*, 16 (2). 10.1007/s12221-015-0378-1. pp. 378-387. ISSN: 1229-9197

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12221-015-0378-1>

---

(c) 2015, The Korean Fiber Society and Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Fibers and Polymers*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy. The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12221-015-0378-1>

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

# Fabrication of Magnetic and Photocatalytic Polyamide Fabric Coated with Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Particles

Hui Zhang<sup>\*1</sup>, Yulin Liu<sup>1</sup> and Ningtao Mao<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Textile & Materials, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Xi'an 710048, China*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Design, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom*

\* Corresponding author: Hui Zhang, School of Textile & Materials, Xi'an Polytechnic University,

Xi'an City, Shaanxi Province, China

E-mail: [hzhangw532@xpu.edu.cn](mailto:hzhangw532@xpu.edu.cn); [hzhangw532@163.com](mailto:hzhangw532@163.com)

Phone: 0086 029 13002929736

Fax: 0086 029 82330365

## Abstract

1 Hematite ( $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ) particles are prepared and synchronously deposited on the surface of polyamide (PA)  
2 fabric using ferric sulfate as the precursor, sodium hydroxide as the precipitant, and sodium dodecyl benzene  
3 sulfonate as the dispersant in a low temperature hydrothermal process. The  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  coated PA fabric is then  
4 modified with silane coupling agent Z-6040. The  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  coated PA fabric and remaining particles are  
5 systematically characterized by different techniques, such as small-spot Micro X-ray Fluorescence ( $\mu\text{-XRF}$ ),  
6 field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX),  
7 transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy  
8 (FTIR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning  
9 calorimetry (DSC), diffuse reflectance spectrum (DRS), and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The  
10 properties of tensile, durable washing and photocatalytic activity are investigated. The experimental results  
11 show that  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles composed of nanoparticles having the average crystallite size of 37.8 nm are grafted  
12 onto PA fabric and enhanced by coupling agent via the C-Fe, O-Fe and Si-O-Fe bonds. It is found that, after  
13 treatments, the thermal stability of PA fabric hardly changes; the visible light absorption capability and  
14 magnetism are gained; and the tensile property decreases slightly. It is also confirmed that the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  coated  
15 PA fabric can withstand the repeated washings up to 20 times and photodegrade the adsorbed methyl orange  
16 (MO) exposed to ultraviolet (UV) irradiation. Therefore, the present method provides a new strategy for the  
17 production of durable magnetic fabric.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 **Keywords:** Polyamide (PA) fabric, hematite  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ , hydrothermal  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Introduction

1 Hematite ( $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ) as an n-type semiconductor has an antiferromagnetic nature with a band gap of 2.3 eV  
2  
3  
4 under ambient conditions and it can effectively photocatalyze a number of chemical reactions [1]. However,  
5  
6  
7 the applications of the iron oxides depend on the particle geometry and morphology, particle size and particle  
8  
9  
10 size distribution and surface chemistry. It has been shown that the presence of the porous surface and smaller  
11  
12  
13 crystallite size in the  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanostructures can significantly enhance the photocatalytic degradation of  
14  
15  
16 organic dyes [2]. Also, the visible photocatalytic activities of  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanocomposites have been greatly  
17  
18  
19 promoted by coupling with N-doped graphene [3]. Likely, the magnetic properties might be controlled by  
20  
21  
22 modulating the particle size and the alignment of the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanoparticles in the matrix by applying an external  
23  
24  
25 magnetic field [4].

26  
27 The particle shape, size and surface chemistry of the  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanoparticle is related to the variables of  
28  
29  
30 its synthesis routes, and the composition, crystallinity, grain growth, and morphologies of  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$   
31  
32  
33 nanostructures can be selectively synthesized by hydrothermal and solvothermal procedures [5]. With different  
34  
35  
36 processing parameters including precursor concentration, pH value, reaction temperature, reaction time and  
37  
38  
39 type of surfactant in hydrothermal process, the size and morphology of  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  are controllable. The  
40  
41  
42 examples of different morphologies of  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanostructures obtained include 1D nanorods [6] and  
43  
44  
45 nanoribbons [7], nanodisk [8], 3D structures including microspheres [9, 10], truncated nanooctahedra [11],  
46  
47  
48 almond-shaped [12], polyhedron-shaped nanoparticles [13, 14], rhombohedral [15], and quasi-cubes [16], as  
49  
50  
51 well as dendrites and hierarchical nanostructures such as snowflakes [17], peanuts-like, capsule-like,  
52  
53  
54 cantaloupe-like, urchin-like [18], pod-like [19], platelet-like [20], flower-like [21] nanoparticles. It has been  
55  
56  
57 proved that the resultant  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles are of different optical, magnetic, electrochemical, and  
58  
59  
60 photocatalytic properties [22, 23].

61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

example, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microtubules have been synthesized via sol-gel [25] and supercritical carbon dioxide [26] routes using cellulose fiber as the template. It is indicated that the coercivity of the resultant microtubules decreases with the addition of surfactant and increases after the samples are calcinated [27]. Monodisperse alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanostructures are also prepared in an efficient Bombyx mori silk fibroin assisted hydrothermal process, and the morphologies of alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanostructures having shape-dependent magnetic properties are greatly influenced by the concentration of the silk fibroin [28]. Multi-functional polyester (PET) fabrics having magnetic, antibacterial and sono-Fenton catalytic properties are fabricated in situ synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles using ferric chloride, ferrous sulfate and sodium hydroxide at various temperatures [29].

In previous paper, we reported an alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PET fabric obtained via a facile hydrothermal route [30]. While PET fabrics, which is acidic resistant but prone to alkali solution, are excellent fibrous support substrates for the alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles, it thus might not be suitable for the applications in alkali environments. Polyamide (PA) fabric is one of the most commonly used textile materials having best abrasion resistance and is widely utilized for clothes fabrics, package paper, carpets and ropes, and thus should also be an excellent fibrous support substrates for alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticle and the alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabrics are expected to have potential applications in medical, electronic, and catalytic fields. However, PA fabrics are alkali resistant and prone to acidic solution and thus might not stand the acidic hydrothermal process for making the alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles; thus little research is found related to magnetic PA fabric deposited with the alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles.

In this study, in order to impart the PA fabric with the photocatalytic and magnetic properties, alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles are synthesized and simultaneously immobilized on the surface of PA fabric by using ferric sulfate and sodium hydroxide in a hydrothermal process. The resultant Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric is further modified with the silane coupling agent Z-6040. X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy

(TEM), and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) analysis are carried out to characterize the alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles synthesized. Comparisons are made between the structure and properties of the uncoated and alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabrics by using several techniques including field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and diffuse reflectance spectrum (DRS). Finally, the properties of tensile, attachment and photocatalytic activity are assessed.

## Experiments

### Materials

An undyed polyamide (PA) fabric with plain weave structure, which was obtained from Haiyan Jiaxia Chemical Fiber Co. Ltd, was used as the support substrates for the deposition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles. The linear mass densities of yarns are 8 tex for both warp and weft yarns. The numbers of threads per 10 centimeter of the fabric in both warp and weft directions are 420 and 300 respectively. Reagent grade chemicals including ferric sulfate (Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Tianjin Bodi Chemical Co., Ltd), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, Tianjin Kemel Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd), sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>S, Shanghai Aibi Chemicals Preparation Co., Ltd), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, HCON(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Shanghai Aibi Chemicals Preparation Co., Ltd), acetone (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O, Nanchang Lanxiang Chemical Co., Ltd), anhydrous ethanol (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O, Nanchang Lanxiang Chemical Co., Ltd) and methyl orange (MO, C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>S, Shenzhen Xinhua Chemical Tech Co., Ltd), were used without further purification. Deionized water was applied for the fabrication processes. The silane coupling agent Z-6040 ( $\gamma$ -glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane, (CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>) was provided by Dow Corning (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.

## Deposition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Particles on the PA Fabrics

About 0.8 g of PA fabric was firstly soaked in 80 ml of 6% hydrochloric acid solution at 60°C for 30 minutes. After being washed with deionized water, it was immersed in 30 ml of N,N-dimethylformamide solution at 80°C for 3 hours, and then was successively washed twice with acetone, anhydrous ethanol and deionized water. The hydrothermal method was used for the deposition of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles on the PA fabric. A certain amounts of ferric sulfate (0.03 mol/l) and sodium hydroxide (0.18 mol/l) were dissolved into 40 ml of deionized water at 40°C and magnetically stirred for 10 minutes. About 0.1 g of SDBS was subsequently added into the above suspension under vigorous stirring. The pretreated PA fabric was accordingly submerged into the suspension for 3 minutes. The suspension containing the fabric was transferred to a 50 ml PTFE-lined stainless steel autoclave. Six identical autoclaves were simultaneously prepared according to the above method, which was placed in a furnace for the hydrothermal treatment. The autoclave was heated to 110°C at a speed of 1°C/min. After 4 hours, the autoclave was cooled down to the room temperature naturally. The Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric was taken out and dipped in the anhydrous ethanol solution for 5 min without stirring. The remaining particles were separated from the solution by centrifugation, and then repeatedly washed with acetone, anhydrous ethanol and deionized water, respectively, and dried in a vacuum oven at 80°C for 12 h.

## Modification of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA Fabric

About 2 ml of silane coupling agent Z-6040 was dripped into 40 ml of anhydrous ethanol under vigorous stirring and 60 ml of deionized water was then added to dilute the solution at the room temperature. The Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric was immediately immersed into the above mixture at 60°C under magnetic stirring. After 4 hours, the fabric sample was put under the Philips ultraviolet (UV) lamp at a distance of 10 cm. The main wavelength of the UV irradiation is 365 nm and the power is 40 W. After exposure to irradiation for 30 min on each side, the fabric sample was dried at 80°C for 30 min, and then mildly cured at 120°C for 3 min. The

1 modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric was dipped in acetone, anhydrous ethanol and deionized water for 10min,  
2  
3  
4 respectively, and finally dried at 80°C in vacuum air.  
5  
6

## 7 **Characterization and Measurement**

8  
9 The information on composition and 2D element distribution of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric was  
10  
11 measured using the M4 TORNADO small-spot Micro X-ray Fluorescence ( $\mu$ -XRF) analysis system.  
12  
13

14  
15 The surface morphologies of PA fabric before and after treatments were further observed using a JEOL  
16  
17 JSM-6700 field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). The elemental analysis was also conducted  
18  
19 on an Oxford INCA Energy 400 energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer in the FESEM.  
20  
21

22  
23 The microstructure of the remaining particles was determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM,  
24  
25 JEOL3010, 200 keV).  
26  
27

28  
29 The X-ray diffraction (XRD) diffractogram of the remaining particles was obtained by using a 7000S  
30  
31 diffractometer. It used a monochromatized Cu K $\alpha$ 1 radiation ( $\lambda=0.154056$  nm) at 40 kV and 40 mA and a  
32  
33 graphite monochromator in the  $2\theta$  range of 10–80° at a scan speed of 8 deg/min. The crystallite size of the  
34  
35 particles was calculated using Scherrer equation  $D=K\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$  (where  $D$  is the diameter of the particle,  $\lambda$  is  
36  
37 the X-ray wavelength,  $\beta$  is the FWHM of the diffraction line,  $\theta$  is the diffraction angle, and  $K$  is a constant  
38  
39 0.89).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 The Fourier transformed infrared (FTIR) spectra of the fabric and particle samples were obtained using a  
47  
48 FT-IR 7600 spectrophotometer (Lambda Scientific Systems, Inc). The samples were mixed with potassium  
49  
50 bromide to obtain a uniform pellet. The spectra were in the range 400–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> at a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>.  
51  
52

53  
54 The surface chemical compositions and bonding states of PA fabric before and after treatments were  
55  
56 characterized by an X-ray photoelectron spectroscope (XPS) using a Thermo Scientific K $\alpha$  X-ray  
57  
58 photoelectron spectrometer. The samples were analyzed using a monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  radiation at a binding  
59  
60 energy of 1486.68 eV as the X-ray source. The vacuum of the analysis chamber was less than 8 $\times$ 10<sup>-6</sup> Pa. All  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 binding energies were calibrated to the  $C_{1s}$  peak at 284.6 eV. The XPS peak areas and peak decomposition  
2  
3  
4 were studied by using the Thermo Scientific Avantage Data System. The spectrum was first smoothed by  
5  
6 using the Savitsky-Golay algorithm (auto-apply changes). The peak background was then processed by using  
7  
8 the smart algorithm. The peak was fitted by using the Gaussian-Lorentzian mixed algorithm.  
9

10  
11 The thermogravimetric (TG) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed in  
12  
13 a NETZSCH STA 449F3 instrument at a constant heating rate of 10 K/min with a nitrogen flush rate of 20  
14  
15 ml/min over the range of 40–550°C. The onset, endset and peak decomposition temperatures were evaluated  
16  
17 using the NETZSCH Proteus Thermal Analysis Software Version 5.1.  
18  
19

20  
21 The diffuse reflectance spectrum (DRS) of the fabric sample in the 200–800 nm wavebands was recorded  
22  
23 using a U-3010 UV-VIS-NIR spectrometer with an integrated sphere attachment using  $BaSO_4$  as the reference  
24  
25 at a scanning speed of 120 nm/min. The change of the reflectance was calculated by subtracting the reflectance  
26  
27 value of the modified  $Fe_2O_3$  coated fabric sample from the reflectance value of the untreated one at  
28  
29 corresponding wavebands in DRS spectra.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36 The static magnetic properties of the as-prepared fabric and particles were assessed using a vibrating sample  
37  
38 magnetometer (VSM, Quantum Design Corp.) at a temperature of 300 K. The sample placed at the holder was  
39  
40 caused to vibrate vertically at a frequency of 40 Hz and amplitude of 2 mm. The coercivity and remnant  
41  
42 magnetization were obtained by the intercepts in the horizontal and longitudinal coordinates in the magnetic  
43  
44 hysteresis loops, respectively.  
45  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 The tensile properties of the fabric sample were measured using a YG(B)026D-500 electromechanical test  
51  
52 instrument according to GB/T3923.1-1997. The initial gauge length was 200 mm and the width was 50 mm.  
53  
54 The testing rate was 100 mm/min and the pretension was 2 N.  
55  
56  
57

58  
59 To evaluate the bonding strength between  $Fe_2O_3$  particles and PA fabric, about 0.5 g of the fabric sample  
60  
61 was mixed with 200 ml deionized water at 40°C and 0.2 g commercial detergent (AATCC 1993 standard  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 detergent without optical brightener) was added into the suspension. The fabric sample was washed under  
2 magnetically stirring for 20 min, and subsequently soaked in anhydrous ethanol at 40°C for 10 min and then  
3  
4 rinsed with deionized water at ambient temperature for 15 min, and dried in an oven at 80°C for 30 min. After  
5  
6  
7 20 washing cycles, the fabric sample was observed by the above mentioned FESEM.

8  
9 The photocatalytic activity of the fabric sample was carried out by the photo-decolorization of MO at room  
10 temperature under UV lights. About 0.25 g of the fabric sample was immersed in 50 ml of 2 mg/l MO aqueous  
11  
12 solution. After 6 h in the dark to reach the adsorption and desorption equilibrium, the reaction solution was  
13  
14 irradiated by a Philips 20 W UV lamp with a main wavelength of 254 nm at a distance of 10 cm. The  
15  
16 absorbance at 464 nm was monitored using a UV-1600 spectrophotometer (Beijing Rayleigh Analytical  
17  
18 Instrument Corp.) every other hour. The degradation rate  $D$  was expressed as follows:  $D=(1-A_t/A_0)\times 100\%$ .  
19  
20 Where  $A_0$  was the initial absorbance of MO solution which reached absorption equilibrium, and  $A_t$  was the  
21  
22 absorbance of MO solution at time  $t$ . The standard curve between the concentration and the absorbance for  
23  
24 MO solution was calibrated based on the Beer-Lambert's law. The apparent photo-decolorization rate  
25  
26 constant  $k$  of MO solution was calculated according to a first order kinetic equation:  $\ln(C_0/C) = f(t) = kt$ , where  
27  
28  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of MO aqueous solution,  $C$  is the concentration of MO solution at time  $t$  [31].  
29  
30 The MO solution was replaced with fresh solution and the reusability of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric  
31  
32 sample was measured based on the above method.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

## 48 **Results and Discussion**

### 49 **μ-XRF Analysis**

50 The chemical composition identification and homogeneity analysis of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric are  
51  
52 shown in Figure 1. It is seen from the optical photograph that the finally obtained PA fabric is uniformly  
53  
54 stained by the reddish-brown color. Also, the elements of Fe, Si, Al, S and K are homogeneously distributed in  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 the examined area. In comparison with Si, Al, S and K elements, the element of Fe becomes more intense.

2  
3 The results of elemental analysis are listed in the Supporting Information S1 (see Table S1). It is obvious that  
4 the major elements are Fe (72.78%) and Si (23.90%), along with minor components of Al (2.61%), S (0.29%)  
5  
6 and K (0.42%). Therefore, the PA fabric is evenly deposited with a layer of iron oxide particles.  
7  
8  
9  
10

### 11 **FESEM and EDX Analyses**

12 The microstructure and elemental analysis of PA fabric before and after treatments are measured by means of  
13  
14 FESEM and EDX, as illustrated in Figure 2. It is observed that the surface of the untreated PA fiber is  
15  
16 smooth and clean without any substances [Fig. 2(a)]. The EDX results confirm that the chemical  
17  
18 compositions of the untreated PA fabric are constituted of C, O, and N elements [Fig. 2(b)]. In contrast, the  
19  
20 surface of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>coated PA fiber is homogeneously coated with a layer of aggregation  
21  
22 substances [Fig. 2(c)]. The resultant EDX analysis is in agreement with the components of iron oxide and  
23  
24 silane coupling agent. Besides C, N and O, the elements of Fe and Si are detected [Fig. 2(d)]. The  
25  
26 agglomerated material consists of smaller spherical particles with a narrow distribution of shapes and  
27  
28 dimensions. These micrometer-sized aggregates of the nanoparticles are closely embedded into the substrate  
29  
30 of PA fiber [Fig. 2(e)]. From the high-magnification FESEM image of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric  
31  
32 [Fig. 2(f)], the sizes of the submicron-sized particles are in the range of 300~500 nm in diameter.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

### 45 **TEM Analysis**

46 The TEM and HRTEM micrographs and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the remaining  
47  
48 particles are depicted in the Supporting Information S2 (see Figure S2). The representative TEM micrograph  
49  
50 of the remaining particles indicates that the particles have the tetrahedral or quasi-spherical shape [Fig. S2(a)].  
51  
52 Obviously, the size of the particle remaining in the solution is larger than that adhered onto fiber surface.  
53  
54 Thus, the growth or agglomeration of as-synthesized particles loaded on fabric surface is effectively inhibited  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59 during the same hydrothermal condition. The high-resolution TEM micrograph clearly shows the resolved  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 lattice fringes with interplanar spacing of 0.37 nm, which is in accord with the (012) crystal plane (0.368 nm)  
2  
3 of alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [32]. The optical transparent edge of the particle is attributed to the organic matter [Fig. S2(b)].  
4  
5 The bright diffraction spots of the SAED pattern reveal that the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles are single-crystalline [Fig.  
6  
7 S2(c)].  
8  
9

### 10 11 **XRD Analysis**

12 The XRD diffractogram of the remaining particles is represented in Figure 3. As shown, a series of  
13  
14 diffraction peaks are noticed at  $2\theta=24.2^\circ$ ,  $33.2^\circ$ ,  $35.6^\circ$ ,  $40.8^\circ$ ,  $49.4^\circ$ ,  $54.0^\circ$ ,  $57.5^\circ$ ,  $62.4^\circ$ ,  $64.0^\circ$ ,  $71.9^\circ$  and  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

observed from the TEM micrograph.

### 39 **FTIR Analysis**

40 The FTIR spectra of PA fabric before and after treatments and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles are exhibited in Figure 4. It is  
41  
42 clear that the spectrum of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric is almost similar to the spectrum of the untreated  
43  
44 one. After treatment, the strong peak centered at 3424 cm<sup>-1</sup> is reduced to 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to the  
45  
46 absorption bands of O-H stretching mode of OH groups and N-H stretching mode of NH groups. This is due to  
47  
48 the surface absorbed-water induced by Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles. The peaks at 1639 (C=O stretching) and 689 (N-H out-  
49  
50 of-plane bending) cm<sup>-1</sup> are shifted to 1645 and 692 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. That is ascribed to the hydrolysis of PA  
51  
52 fiber. In comparison with the FTIR spectrum of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles, the peak at 569 cm<sup>-1</sup> associated to the stretching  
53  
54 vibration of the Fe-O functional groups is not found in the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric [34]. One reason is  
55  
56 that the Fe-O band is overlapped with the out-of-plane deformation vibration of C=O of PA fiber. The other  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

1 reason is that the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles deposited on PA fabric is of little amount, which is beyond the IR detection  
2  
3  
4 limitation. Moreover, the absorption bands of the coupling agent are not identified because of the very small  
5  
6 amount of Z-6040. It is proposed that the methoxy groups of Z-6040 are first hydrolyzed to produce active  
7  
8 silanol groups to form polysiloxanes. The polysiloxanes then hydrogenate with OH groups of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles.  
9  
10 The covalent linkages eventually form with  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  coated PA fabric after UV curing [35]. As a result, it is  
11  
12 difficult to judge whether the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles are grafted onto PA fabric.  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 **XPS Analysis**

18  
19 The survey scan and high-resolution core level XPS spectra of the bonding partners ( $\text{C}_{1s}$ ,  $\text{O}_{1s}$ ,  $\text{N}_{1s}$ ,  $\text{Si}_{2p}$  and  
20  
21  $\text{Fe}_{2p}$ ) for PA fabric before and after treatments are presented in the Supporting Information S3 (see Figure  
22  
23 S3). The quantitative elemental analysis results are summarized in the Supporting Information S4 (see  
24  
25 S3). The quantitative elemental analysis results are summarized in the Supporting Information S4 (see  
26  
27 Table S1). It is evident that the elements of silicon (101.97 eV) and iron (710.28 eV) are detected in the  
28  
29  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  coated PA fabric [Fig. S3(a)]. The  $\text{C}_{1s}$  core level spectra for the untreated PA fabric are fitted into  
30  
31 four sub-peaks with binding energies of 284.59, 285.97, 287.56 and 288.60 eV, which are assigned to C-C,  
32  
33 C-N, C=O and O-C=O, respectively [36]. After treatment, two new sub-peaks at 283.41 (C-Fe) and 286.64  
34  
35 (C-O) eV in the  $\text{C}_{1s}$  core level spectra of the modified  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  coated PA fabric are observed [Fig. S3(b) and  
36  
37 S3(c)] [37].  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 In comparison with the  $\text{O}_{1s}$  XPS spectra of untreated PA fabric, the sub-peak of aliphatic -OH at 533.05 eV  
46  
47 disappears, which is associated to the absorbed oxygen induced by pretreatment. The sub-peaks at 530.84  
48  
49 (O=C-O) and 531.85 (O=C) eV increase to 532.22 and 533.59 eV, respectively [38]. Meanwhile, four new  
50  
51 sub-peaks at binding energies of 528.80, 529.80, 531.10 and 535.27 eV are observed [Fig. S3(d) and S3(e)].  
52  
53 The sub-peak at 528.80 eV is ascribed to O atoms of PA fabric bound to Fe of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  [39]. The sub-peak at  
54  
55 529.80 eV is attributed to O atoms bound to Fe of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles [40]. The sub-peaks at 531.10 and 535.27 eV  
56  
57 are correlated to the O-Si and O-C functional groups, respectively [41].  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Besides the sub-peak at binding energy of 398.50 eV (N-C), a new sub-peak at 400.17 eV (N-H) is noted for  
2  
3 the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric [Fig. S3(f) and S3(g)]. The <sub>si2p</sub> XPS spectrum can be deconvoluted into  
4  
5 four distinct sub-peaks [Fig. S3(h)]. The sub-peaks at 100.35, 101.54, 102.38 and 103.80 eV represent the Si-  
6  
7 O-Si, Si-O-H, Si-O-C and Si-O-Fe groups, respectively [42].  
8  
9

10  
11 The <sub>Fe2p</sub> core level peaks are split into eight sub-peaks [Fig. S3(i)]. The sub-peak appeared at binding energy  
12  
13 of 709.65 eV is attributed to the Fe<sub>3+ 2p3/2</sub> and another one localized at 722.73 eV corresponds to the Fe<sub>3+ 2p1/2</sub> of  
14  
15 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The splitting between Fe<sub>3+ 2p1/2</sub> and Fe<sub>3+ 2p3/2</sub> core levels is 13.08 eV, which is consistent with the normal  
16  
17 state of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in the hematite [33]. The sub-peaks at 712.43 and 725.61 eV are related to Fe atoms of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
18  
19 bound to O of PA fabric, respectively. The sub-peaks at 715.57 and 729.14 eV correspond to Fe atoms of  
20  
21 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> bound to C of PA fabric. The sub-peaks at 718.84 and 732.81 eV are ascribed to Fe atoms of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
22  
23 bound to Si-O of coupling agent, respectively. So it is demonstrated in the above results that the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
24  
25 particles are grafted on the surface of PA fabric via the C-Fe, O-Fe and Si-O-Fe bonds.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 **TG and DSC Analyses**

35  
36 The TG and DSC curves of PA fabric before and after treatments are compared in Figure 5. It is apparent from  
37  
38 TG curves [Fig. 5(a)] that the onset decomposition temperature slightly increases from 410.6°C to 412.7°C  
39  
40 after PA fabric is treated with ferric sulfate and sodium hydroxide and then modified with Z-6040. The  
41  
42 corresponding endset decomposition temperature hardly changed (455.3°C vs. 454.5°C). In addition, the  
43  
44 weight loss decreases from 94.31% to 88.76% over the full temperature range. Accordingly, the amount of  
45  
46 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles deposited on PA fabric is estimated about 5.55%, which is very close to the measured value of  
47  
48 5.4%. It is obvious from DSC curves [Fig. 5(b)] that in comparison with the untreated PA fabric, the  
49  
50 endothermic peak of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated PA fabric slightly decreases from 223.4°C to 220.0°C. The  
51  
52 corresponding major endothermic peak decreases from 444.3°C to 440.2°C. This is due to the coating of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
53  
54 particles. Hence, the thermal stability of PA fabric changes hardly changes even if it is subjected to the hot  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 pressure water and chemicals under hydrothermal conditions.  
2  
3

#### 4 **DRS Analysis**

5  
6  
7 The diffuse reflectance spectra of PA fabric before and after treatments are displayed in Figure 6. It is worth  
8  
9 noting that the untreated PA fabric has the capability of absorbing UV irradiation. The dominant absorption  
10  
11 region from 200 to 350 nm is attributed to the characteristic structure of PA. After treatment, the reflectance  
12  
13 decreases distinctly in UV region and the absorption edge extends to visible region (550 nm), implying the  
14  
15 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric has a good photocatalytic activity. The average reflectances for the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated PA  
16  
17 fabric are reduced from 18.8% to 5.0% in UVB waveband and from 43.9% to 5.3% in UVA waveband in  
18  
19 comparison with the untreated PA fabrics, respectively. At the same time, the average reflectance decreases by  
20  
21 72% in visible region. Based on the linear fit and the abscissa, the band-gap of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric is  
22  
23 estimated around 2.3 eV [43], irrespective of the substrate. This is very well correlated with the value (1.9–2.3  
24  
25 eV) reported for the band gaps of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [44].  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

#### 34 **VSM analysis**

35  
36  
37 The magnetic hysteresis loops of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles at 300 K are  
38  
39 collected in Figure 7. It is observed that, as the applied magnetic field increases, the magnetization of the  
40  
41 Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles increases progressively. The saturation of magnetization as a function of the field is not  
42  
43 observed up to the maximum applied magnetic field of 30000 Oe, which is in accordance with the result of  
44  
45 previous work [21]. The linear increase in the magnetization is ascribed to the contribution of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
46  
47 antiferromagnetic core. The magnetization of the as-prepared Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles is smaller than that in previous  
48  
49 studies [29], as this is influenced by the microstructural characteristics of the crystal structure [45]. The  
50  
51 coercivity and remnant magnetization of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles are calculated to be 292 Oe and 0.077 emu/g  
52  
53 respectively (see inset of Fig. 7), indicative of soft magnets induced by surface spin disorder and shape  
54  
55 anisotropy [46]. For the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric, the magnetization decreases significantly in  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 comparison with the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles. The coercivity is estimated to be 205 Oe and the remnant magnetization  
2  
3  
4 is reduced to 0.0072 emu/g.  
5

### 6 **Tensile Property**

7  
8  
9 The volumetric densities and tensile properties of PA fabric before and after treatments are given in the  
10 Supporting Information S5 (see Table S2) according to GB/T3923.1-1997. Because the coated fabric shrunk  
11  
12 during its hydrothermal treatment at high temperature for a great time duration, the fabric densities in both  
13  
14 warp and weft directions increase. The shrinkages of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric are calculated about  
15  
16 9.2% in warp direction and 9.0% in weft direction, respectively. After treatment, the breakage strength and  
17  
18 breakage elongation in both directions increase to some extent, which are mainly ascribed to the increase of  
19  
20 the fabric densities. Thus the hydrothermal treatment has some influence on the mechanical properties of PA  
21  
22 fabric.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 **Durable Washing**

31  
32  
33 The surface morphology and EDX spectrum of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric after being washed for  
34  
35 20 cycles are shown in the Supporting Information S6 (see Figure S4). It is seen from the FESEM image  
36  
37 that many small aggregates are still anchored on the surface of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric after it  
38  
39 is subjected to 20 washing cycles. While it is demonstrated in the EDX results that the chemical elements of  
40  
41 C, N, O, Si and Fe still remain in the fabric, the amount of element Fe decreases from 44.87% to 37.15% by  
42  
43 mass percentage and from 17.39% to 12.86% by atomic percentage, respectively. Therefore, it is concluded  
44  
45 that the bonding strength between Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles and PA fabric is strong enough to withstand repeated  
46  
47 washings.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

### 55 **Photocatalysis**

56  
57  
58 The effect of irradiation time on the degradation rate of MO solution exposed to UV irradiation is depicted in  
59  
60 Figure 8. It is clear that the degradation rate increases with the increases of irradiation time for all coated  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66

fabrics. The MO dye was able to be photodegraded to a lesser extent under UV light [47]. The untreated PA fabric can absorb MO dye to some degree. After 8h of UV irradiation, the degradation rates are around 19% for the MO solution without any fabric, 27% for the untreated PA fabric and 95% for the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated fabric, respectively. The enhancement of the photodegradation of MO dyes for the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated PA fabric is attributed to the photocatalytic activity of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles [48]. The photodegradation of MO follows approximately a first order kinetic model [49]. The apparent rate constants are 0.196 min<sup>-1</sup> for Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric and 0.038 min<sup>-1</sup> for untreated PA fabric, larger than 0.017 min<sup>-1</sup> for the MO solution without PA fabric. The corresponding squared correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ) are larger than 0.98 for all samples. The results show that after 5 cycles of UV irradiation, the degradation rate for the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated fabric is less than 85%. This is because the active sites on fabric surface are reduced to some degree because of the increased retardation effect, which result in the decrease of competitive adsorption of OH<sup>-</sup> species and a lower formation rate of OH· radical [31]. Therefore, the degradation rate of MO dye decreases as the degradation cycle increases.

## Conclusions

In this study, a layer of hematite Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles are deposited on the surface of PA fabric homogeneously to make the fabric have magnetic and photocatalytic properties by means of low temperature hydrothermal method. The results from FESEM,  $\mu$ -XRF, and XRD examinations indicate that submicron-sized hematite Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles are aggregates of nano-scaled Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles with average crystal size of 37.8 nm. It is also illustrated in the results from both FTIR and XPS that Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles interact with PA fabric via the C-Fe, O-Fe and Si-O-Fe chemical bonds. In comparison with the characteristics in both TG and DSC for untreated PA fabric, the thermal stability of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric hardly changes. It is confirmed in DRS, VSM, durable washing and photocatalysis experiments that the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric modified with coupling

1  
2 agents has the ability to absorb UV irradiation, respond to applied magnetic field, resist to washing and  
3  
4  
5 photodegrade organic dye. The tensile properties of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated fabric largely are retained. The magnetic  
6  
7  
8 and photocatalytic properties of this Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric could be particularly attractive for the various  
9  
10 applications in textiles, electronics, medicine, military products.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

## 16 **Acknowledgement**

17  
18 This work has been supported by the Innovation Fund of Postgraduate (No. chx2013007) of Xi'an Polytechnic  
19  
20 University. The corresponding author also acknowledges the Youth Leading Scholar Supporting Plan of Xi'an  
21  
22 Polytechnic University.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 **References**

- 30 1. Z. Zhang, M. F. Hossain, and T. Takahashi, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, **95**, 423 (2010).
- 31 2. J. Sundaramurthy, P. S. Kumar, M. Kalaivani, V. Thavasi, S. G. Mhaisalkar, and S. Ramakrishna, *RSC*  
32 *Adv.*, **2**, 8201 (2012).
- 33 3. L. M. He, L. Q. Jing, Y. B. Luan, L. Wang, and H. G. Fu, *ACS. Catal.*, **4**, 990 (2014).
- 34 4. S. L. Liu, R. Li, J. P. Zhou, and L. N. Zhang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, **87**, 830 (2012).
- 35 5. N. Thanh-Dinh, *Nanoscale.*, **5**, 9455 (2013).
- 36 6. H. G. Cha, S. J. Kim, K. J. Lee, M. H. Jung, and Y. S. Kang, *J. Phys. Chem. C.*, **115**, 19129 (2011).
- 37 7. D. Sarkar, M. Mandal, and K. Mandal, *ACS. Appl. Mater. Inter.*, **5**, 11995 (2013).
- 38 8. W. Han, J. G. Deng, S. H. Xie, H. G. Yang, H. X. Dai, and C. T. Au, *Ind. Een. Chem. Res.*, **53**, 3486  
39 (2014).
- 40 9. J. S. Xu and Y. J. Zhu, *Crystengcomm.*, **14**, 2702 (2012).
- 41 10. L. Xu, J. X. Xia, K. Wang, L. G. Wang, H. M. Li, H. Xu, L. Y. Huang, and M. Q. He, *Dalton. T.*, **42**,
- 42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

6468 (2013).

11. S. Yang, Y. Y. Xu, Y. Q. Sun, G. Y. Zhang, and D. Z. Gao, *Crystengcomm.*, **14**, 7915 (2012).
12. X. H. Zhang, Y. Z. Chen, H. Liu, Y. Wei, and W. Wei, *J. Alloy Compd.*, **555**, 74 (2013).
13. M. Khalil, J. J. Yu, N. Liu, and R. L. Lee, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, **16**, 2362 (2014).
14. Z. F. Dou, G. P. Wan, L. F. Bao, and C. Y. Cao, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechno.*, **14**, 5587 (2014).
15. Z. Liu, B. L. Lv, D. Wu, Y. H. Sun, and Y. Xu, *Particuology.*, **11**, 327 (2013).
16. X. Zhou, C. Wang, W. Feng, P. Sun, X. W. Li, and G. Y. Lu, *Mater. Lett.*, **120**, 5 (2014).
17. S. Bharathi, D. Nataraj, M. Seetha, D. Mangalaraj, N. Ponpandian, Y. Masuda, K. Senthil, and K. Yong, *Crystengcomm.*, **12**, 373 (2010).
18. S. Y. Zeng, K. B. Tang, T. W. Li, and Z. H. Liang, *J. Phys. Chem. C.*, **114**, 274 (2010).
19. W. C. Zhu, X. L. Cui, L. Wang, T. Liu, and Q. Zhang, *Mater. Lett.*, **65**, 1003 (2011).
20. J. Liang, L. Li, W. M. Song, J. Z. Fang, M. Luo, and Y. P. Li, *Cryst. Res. Technol.*, **45**, 405 (2010).
21. Q. Zhang, X. W. Lu, L. Y. Chen, Y. X. Shi, T. Xu, and M. L. Liu, *Mater. Lett.*, **106**, 447 (2013).
22. H. Li, Z. Lu, Q. Li, M. H. So, C. M. Che, and R. Chen, *Chem-Asian. J.*, **6**, 2320 (2011).
23. M. Y. Zhu, Y. Wang, D. H. Meng, X. Z. Qin, and G. W. Diao, *J. Phys. Chem. C.*, **116**, 16276 (2012).
24. S. L. Liu, D. D. Tao, and L. N. Zhang, *Powder. Technol.*, **217**, 502 (2012).
25. Q. L. Li and C. R. Zhang, *J. Ceram. Process. Res.*, **11**, 331 (2010).
26. S. J. Xu, D. P. Shen, and P. Y. Wu, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, **15**, 1557 (2013).
27. C. B. Chang, C. R. Zhang, W. Y. Wang, and Q. L. Li, *Rare. Metals.*, **29**, 501 (2010).
28. X. Fei, Z. Z. Shao, and X. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. B.*, **1**, 213 (2013).
29. T. Harifi and M. Montazer, *J. Mater. Chem. B.*, **2**, 272 (2014).
30. H. Zhang, J. Song, and C. K. Liu, *Ind. Eeg. Chem. Res.*, **52**, 7403 (2013).
31. P. Sharma, R. Kumar, S. Chauhan, D. Singh, and M. S. Chauhan, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechno.*, **14**, 6153

(2014).

32. M. Tadic, N. Citakovic, M. Panjan, Z. Stojanovic, D. Markovic, and V. Spasojevic, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, **509**, 7639 (2011).
33. O. Akhavan and R. Azimiradb, *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.*, **369**, 77 (2009).
34. J. Y. Kim, J. S. Kim, and M. Y. Lee, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, **205**, 372 (2010).
35. S. Aoyama, K. Sumiya, and M. Amemiya, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **23**, 1729 (1998).
36. R. Bessada, G. Silva, M. C. Paiva, and A. V. Machado, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, **257**, 7944 (2011).
37. S. Y. Liu, J. Xie, Q. Pan, C. Y. Wu, G. S. Cao, T. J. Zhu, and X. B. Zhao, *Int J. Electrochem. Sci.*, **7**, 354 (2012).
38. M. J. Ariza, J. Benavente, E. Rodriguez-Castellon, and L. Palacio, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **247**, 149 (2002).
39. I. Flis-Kabulska, *Electrochim. Acta.*, **55**, 4895 (2010).
40. G. K. Pradhan and K. M. Parida, *ACS. Appl Mater. Inter.*, **3**, 317 (2011).
41. R. Bailey and J. Castle, *J. Mater. Sci.*, **12**, 2049 (1997).
42. O. H. Lin, H. M. Akil, and Z. A. M. Ishak, *Polym. Composite.*, **32**, 1568 (2011).
43. E. J. Johnson, *Semiconduct. Semimet.*, **3**, 153 (1967).
44. Y. Xu and M. A. A. Schoonen, *Am. Mineral.*, **85**, 543 (2008).
45. J. M. Ma, J. B. Lian, X. C. Duan, X. D. Liu, and W. J. Zheng, *J. Phys Chem. C.*, **114**, 10671 (2010).
46. R. N. Bhowmik and A. Saravanan, *J. Appl Phys.*, **107**, 053916 (2010).
47. S. Haji, B. Benstaali, and N. Al-Bastaki, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **168**, 134 (2011).
48. A. A. Jahagirdar, M. N. Z. Ahmed, N. Donappa, H. Nagabhushana, and B. M. Nagabhushana, *T. Indian. Ceram. Soc.*, **70**, 159 (2011).
49. L. Obeid, A. Bee, D. Talbot, S. Ben Jaafar, V. Dupuis, S. Abramson, V. Cabuil, and M. Welschbillig, *J.*

8 **Figure captions:**  
9

10 **Figure 1.** The composition and homogeneity analysis of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric  
11

12 **Figure 2.** FESEM images of (a) 1000× untreated, (c) 1000× and (e) 10000× modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fiber,  
13 and (f) 30000× high-magnification FESEM image of modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fiber; EDX spectra of  
14 (b) untreated and (d) modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fiber  
15  
16  
17

18 **Figure 3.** XRD diffractogram of the remaining particles  
19

20 **Figure 4.** FTIR spectra of (a) untreated and (b) modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabrics and (c) Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles  
21

22 **Figure 5.** TG (a) and DSC (b) curves of PA fabric before and after treatments  
23  
24

25 **Figure 6.** Diffuse reflectance spectra of PA fabric before and after treatments  
26  
27

28 **Figure 7.** Hysteresis loops of the modified Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coated PA fabric and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles at 300 K  
29  
30

31 **Figure 8.** Effect of irradiation time on the degradation rate of MO solution exposed to UV irradiation  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Figure 1





Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5(a)




Figure 5(b)




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

