

This is a repository copy of *Reconstruction of 3D eolian-dune architecture from 1D core data through adoption of analogue data from outcrop*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/82576/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Romain, HG and Mountney, NP (2014) Reconstruction of 3D eolian-dune architecture from 1D core data through adoption of analogue data from outcrop. American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG) Bulletin, 98 (1). 1 - 22. ISSN 0149-1423

https://doi.org/10.1306/05201312109

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Reconstruction of 3D eolian-dune architecture from 1D core data through adoption of analogue data from outcrop

3

4 Hollie Romain¹ & Nigel P. Mountney¹

5 1 – Fluvial & Eolian Research Group, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds,
6 LS2 9JT, UK

7 Introduction

8 Exploration and asset appraisal teams working in hydrocarbon companies typically 9 have access to a varied set of data derived from core and well-log investigations 10 relating to the sedimentology of deposits that make up potential subsurface reservoir 11 intervals. However, at the sub-seismic scale, such datasets are almost exclusively 12 one-dimensional in form, meaning that determination of sedimentary system type 13 and elucidation of the three-dimensional geometry of the various architectural 14 elements present in a reservoir volume, and their reciprocal relationships to one 15 another, are usually highly subjective, resulting in potentially ambiguous 16 interpretations and the postulation of equivocal depositional models (Kocurek 1988; 17 Schenk, 1990; North & Prosser, 1993; North & Boering, 1999). This is especially true 18 for eolian reservoir intervals where the ability to reliably correlate between 19 neighboring wells - even those spaced only a few hundred meters apart, such as 20 deviated sidetracks - is severely hindered by the absence of beds or bounding 21 surfaces that can demonstrably be shown to serve as reliable markers for correlation 22 purposes (Mountney, 2006a). In many cases, the inability to even establish the 23 presence of features regarded to be reliable indicators of paleo-horizontal in

preserved eolian reservoir successions is highly problematic (Kocurek, 1988, 1991).
This presents difficulties when estimating volumetric sand content and regional
porosity-permeability distributions for eolian reservoirs, where the geometries of the
various dune, interdune and extradune elements present within the overall threedimensional rock volume are poorly constrained in the subsurface (e.g. Nagtegaal,
1979; Heward, 1991).

30 The aim of this study is to demonstrate how a suite of predictable sedimentological 31 features present in eolian successions can be used to relate detailed sedimentary 32 architectural relationships observable in core and well-log data to the larger-scale 33 sedimentological elements of eolian dune and interdune successions to enable the 34 gross-scale reconstruction of eolian architecture, including estimates of bedform and 35 interdune type, and bedform height, wavelength and spacing. Specific objectives of 36 this study are as follows: (i) to describe the small-scale stratigraphic relationships 37 expected for various different types of eolian bedform morphologies and their 38 resultant preserved deposits arising as a product of eolian bedform migration and 39 accumulation; (ii) to show how the sedimentological attributes of modern eolian 40 systems and ancient outcrop successions can be used to quantify predictable trends 41 in small-scale eolian architecture, and to demonstrate the style of occurrence of 42 these features within larger scale elements (Figure 1); and (iii) to develop and 43 demonstrate a workflow to enable first-order reconstruction of original dune and 44 interdune morphology and preserved three-dimensional architecture from 45 measurements made directly from the limited data provided by one-dimensional 46 cores and well-logs through employment of a series of empirical relationships.

47 Eolian dunes of different morphological type exhibit varying yet predictable
48 configurations of primary depositional facies (principally packages of grainflow, wind-Page | 2 49 ripple and grainfall strata) and associations of such facies (Hunter, 1977a, b, 1981; 50 Kocurek & Dott, 1981). The distribution of associations of these facies tends to vary 51 predictably over the surface of individual modern eolian bedforms as a function of 52 the various eolian processes that operate on the flank, lee-slope, stoss-slope and 53 brink areas of bedforms (Hunter, 1977a), meaning that primary lithological 54 characteristics such as grain-size distribution, grain packing, and styles of small-55 scale lamination are also predictable (Livingstone, 1987).

56 In most systems, the mechanics by which eolian bedforms and their constituent 57 stratal packages of associated facies undergo accumulation is dictated by the style 58 by which bedforms undertake migration synchronously with a rise in the 59 accumulation surface (Kocurek, 1988; Kocurek & Havholm, 1993), leading to bedform climbing (Rubin & Hunter, 1982) and the accumulation of sets of cross 60 61 strata. Although several alternative mechanisms for the accumulation and 62 preservation of sets of eolian strata have been proposed, including the infilling of 63 localized accommodation space (e.g. Langford et al., 2008; Luzón et al., 2012), 64 accumulation around relic eolian topography (Fryberger, 1986), and exceptional 65 bedform preservation following rapid inundation by water or lava flows (e.g. Glennie & Buller, 1983; Mountney et al., 1999; Benan & Kocurek, 2000), the "bedform 66 67 climbing" mechanism remains a convincing explanation for the origin of the majority of ancient preserved eolian dune successions (Mountney, 2012). 68

Importantly, accumulation of sets of eolian strata via the climbing of bedforms over one another means that typically only the lowermost flanks of migrating bedforms undergo accumulation and preservation into the long-term rock record, whereas the upper parts of bedforms (in most cases the upper 90% or more of a bedform) are truncated by the advance of the following bedform in the train (Rubin & Carter, Page | 3 74 2006), with the majority of the original dune sediment being reworked (Figure 2). 75 Thus, the proportion and distribution of primary lithofacies preserved in successions 76 in the ancient record does not necessarily reflect the proportion and distribution of 77 primary lithofacies present in modern bedforms. Care must therefore be exercised 78 when using modern bedforms as analogues with which to make predictions about 79 likely facies distributions in reservoir successions. Methods for the accurate prediction and characterization of zones of good reservoir quality in subsurface 80 81 eolian successions require a clear understanding of the geometry of the various 82 preserved architectural elements and the distribution of packages of facies 83 associated within these elements.

84 Architectural elements (i.e. three-dimensional sediment bodies with specific internal 85 facies characteristics) form the building blocks of eolian reservoir successions and, 86 in most examples, both the elements themselves and the lithofacies of which they 87 are composed internally exhibit a strong preferred directional heterogeneity due to 88 the inherent preferred orientation of layering of laminations and beds of facies, often 89 in a complex nested manner (Weber, 1987; Chandler et al., 1989; Krystinik, 1990). 90 Understanding the detailed arrangement of the style of heterogeneity present in 91 these elements is crucial for reservoir prediction as this exerts a primary control on 92 porosity and permeability structure within eolian reservoirs and therefore dictates 93 production flow rates and patterns within complex eolian reservoir bodies 94 (Nagtegaal, 1979; Heward, 1991; Ellis, 1993; Stanistreet & Stollhofen, 2002; Garden 95 et al., 2005; Bloomfield et al., 2006). In most eolian hydrocarbon plays, it is 96 particularly important to target those intervals within a reservoir that contain a high 97 proportion of grainflow laminae – the deposits of avalanching down dune lee slopes 98 - as these tend to form packages of well-sorted, loosely-packed sandstone with

permeabilities that are typically one or more orders of magnitude greater than those
in packages of grainfall and wind-ripple strata that dominate in other eolian elements
(Chandler et al., 1989; Prosser & Maskall, 1993; Howell & Mountney, 2001).

102 Background

103 Since the late 1970s, considerable eolian sedimentological research has focused on 104 large scale stratigraphic relationships and the development of sequence stratigraphic 105 models with which to account for the origin of the eolian record in terms of external 106 controls on sedimentation (e.g. Brookfield, 1977; Kocurek, 1988; Kocurek & 107 Havholm, 1993; Mountney, 2012). As a result of this emphasis, a wide variety of data 108 have been published relating to large-scale stratigraphic architectures preserved in a 109 number of ancient eolian successions (e.g. Glennie & Provan, 1990; Herries, 1993; 110 Mountney & Thompson, 2002; Mountney & Jagger, 2004; Taggart et al., 2010). 111 However, there remain relatively few studies that have investigated the sedimentary 112 style of small-scale dune elements and the arrangement of facies present within 113 preserved eolian sets originating from the migration of different types of eolian 114 bedforms (Ellwood et al., 1975; Hunter, 1977a, b; Kocurek & Dott, 1981; Fryberger & 115 Schenk, 1988). Although some explanation has been offered to account for how 116 such types of small-scale stratification impact on reservoir quality (Lindquist, 1988; 117 Chandler et al., 1989; Prosser & Maskall, 1993; Cox, 1994; Howell & Mountney, 118 2001; Stanistreet & Stollhofen, 2002; Garden et al., 2005; Bloomfield et al., 2006), an 119 effective method to relate deposits seen in one-dimensional core to larger-scale 120 architectural elements has yet to be fully developed.

121 Prediction of facies variability in three dimensions is a key requirement for 122 quantitative reservoir characterization (e.g. Sweet et al., 1996; Fischer et al., 2007)

123 because it enables reliable predictions to be made of the characteristics of a 124 subsurface eolian reservoir bodies such as the extent, type and pattern of 125 distribution of heterogeneities away from the points of data control provided by 126 subsurface wells (Pryor, 1973). For the majority of eolian reservoirs, production 127 behavior and characteristics are primarily influenced and controlled by original 128 sediment fabric (grain size distribution), though secondary alteration of sediment 129 fabric by diagenesis is also important (e.g. Mou & Brenner, 1982). A method to 130 enable the prediction of the spatial occurrence of the original depositional processes 131 that occurred on dunes and in interdunes, and the resultant distribution of lithofacies 132 in preserved eolian architectural elements is therefore essential (Lewis & Couples, 133 1993).

134 Given that most eolian reservoirs are penetrated by a relatively small number of 135 wells and that the typical spacing of these wells is many hundreds of meters to 136 several kilometers, traditional subsurface lithostratigraphic correlation techniques 137 involving the tracing of key stratal surfaces and depositional units are not typically 138 possible. Instead, a commonly adopted method with which to adequately account for 139 facies architecture and with which to predict the scale over which variations in 140 architecture occur is to employ one or more outcrop analogues to provide proxy data 141 (Weber, 1987; Lewis & Rosvoll, 1991, Howell & Mountney, 2001). Such outcrop-142 analogue studies are important because they provide a method by which regional 143 three-dimensional facies distributions known from outcrop can be used to populate a 144 reservoir volume and thereby inform detailed characterizations and minimize risk. 145 Key to the successful application of this technique is the ability to fit the sedimentary 146 architecture of the chosen outcrop analogue to available core and well-log data from 147 the subsurface reservoir.

148 An inherent problem with reservoir modeling from core and well-log data alone is that 149 such data-types are essentially one-dimensional in form and establishing the most 150 likely three-dimensional sedimentary architecture from such data is typically 151 equivocal (Lindquist, 1988; Luthi & Banavar, 1988; North & Boering, 1999). 152 However, several parameters that effectively define the morphology and geometry of 153 eolian bedforms and their preserved bedsets can be measured directly from 154 subsurface core and these provide a method to directly relate the subsurface 155 architecture present in reservoir successions to outcrop successions for which 156 larger-scale three-dimensional architectural configurations can be determined.

157 Parameters that can be measured directly from core include: (i) preserved set 158 thickness, which for bedsets that originated via bedform climbing is a function of both 159 original bedform wavelength and the angle at which the bedforms climbed over one 160 another as accumulation proceeded (Mountney & Howell, 2000); (ii) the thickness of 161 grainflow units arising from individual sandflow avalanches, which is primarily a 162 function of the length of the lee slope of the original bedform down which 163 avalanching grains of sand cascaded to generate the deposit (Kocurek & Dott, 1981; 164 Howell & Mountney, 2001); (iii) the shape of dune toesets and their style of 165 interaction with deposits of underlying interdune elements, which is an indicator of 166 the style of advance of the original bedform over a neighboring interdune area (e.g. 167 Pulvertaft, 1985; Mountney & Thompson, 2002); (iv) the rate of upward steepening of 168 foresets within a set, which is an indicator of the profile of the lower flanks of the 169 original bedform (Rubin, 1987); (v) the distribution of primary lithofacies (grainflow, 170 wind-ripple and grainfall) within sets, which is a function of processes that operated 171 on the lee slope of the original bedform (Hunter 1977a, b; Kocurek & Dott, 1981); 172 and (vi) the distribution of the occurrence of reactivation surfaces within cosets,

which is an indicator of the periodicity with which the original bedforms undertook
changes in lee-slope steepness, asymmetry, or migration direction (Rubin, 1987;
Fryberger, 1993).

176 Within the remit of this study, detailed examination of the relationships arising 177 between preserved set thicknesses and the thickness of preserved grainflow units 178 has been undertaken. By relating quantitative measurements of these attributes from 179 subsurface core intervals to equivalent sedimentary features observed in exposed 180 outcrop successions, a workflow has been established for the quantification of 181 larger-scale three-dimensional subsurface eolian architecture from limited one-182 dimensional core data through a suite of empirical relationships. Although the 183 empirical relationships derived from this study serve as useful tools for generalized 184 prediction of sedimentary architecture, application of such relationships should be 185 undertaken with caution: relationships between many measured parameters record 186 significant variability meaning that R² values determined for best-fit trend lines are 187 low and not statistically significant in many instances, chiefly as a result of the 188 variability inherent in natural depositional systems such as those studied in this work.

189 Despite these shortcomings, the data show a series of relationships that are 190 nevertheless useful as a basis for a generalized technique to reconstruct the three-191 dimensional architecture from primary depositional facies in eolian successions. 192 Specifically, the empirical relationships presented herein are useful for the 193 determination of trends between features observable in core and several aspects of 194 wider three-dimensional sedimentary architecture that cannot be determined by 195 direct observation from subsurface datasets. Thus, such trends are useful for making 196 first-order predictions of the likely internal three-dimensional sedimentary 197 architectures of subsurface reservoir successions and can be used to assist in the Page | 8

198 construction of reservoir models for the prediction of porosity-permeability199 distributions and likely flow properties.

200 For example, in successions interpreted to have arisen in response to the migration 201 and aggradation of large linear dune bedforms, a vertical stacking of thick packages 202 of relatively low-angle-inclined, wind-ripple-dominated packages of strata is common, 203 with only the uppermost parts of sets having foresets that steepen upward 204 sufficiently to preserve grainflow strata (Krystinik, 1990). Determining the proportions 205 of wind-ripple and grainflow strata and the distribution of their occurrence within 206 preserved sets is key to understanding the three-dimensional configuration of 207 packages of facies, and this is most readily achieved through comparison to 208 analogous outcrop examples.

209 Data and Methods

210 To establish a suite of empirical relationships between eolian sedimentary 211 parameters that can be measured directly from both one-dimensional core and from 212 the larger-scale eolian architectural elements observable from outcrop successions, 213 data have been collected from the Permian Cedar Mesa Sandstone and Jurassic 214 Navajo Sandstone, two eolian successions that are well exposed in the South East 215 Utah area, U.S.A. Four localities were studied in the so-called erg center region of 216 the Permian Cedar Mesa Sandstone succession (Mountney, 2006b) in the White 217 Canyon and Hite areas and an additional three localities were studied in the so-218 called erg margin region at Squaw Butte, Salt Creek Butte and Mosquito Butte 219 (Figure 3a). Four localities were also studied in the Jurassic Navajo Sandstone in the 220 area around the town of Moab, Utah (Figure 3b), which represents an erg center 221 setting within the paleo-erg system (Blakey & Ranney, 2008).

222 Primary measurements of eolian bedset architectures were made at each study 223 locality to determine three-dimensional relationships present in the successions of 224 eolian dune sets. Aspects of eolian architecture measured included: (i) maximum 225 preserved set thicknesses for 42 individual trough cross-bedded sets exposed in 226 orientations both parallel and perpendicular to eolian transport direction (itself 227 determined through analysis of dip-azimuth data relating to grainflow deposits 228 representative of accumulation on the slipface of the original bedforms) – care was 229 taken to account for set-thickness variations arising from the curved nature of trough 230 cross-bedded sets; (ii) geometries of packages of grainflow strata representative of 231 individual lee-slope sand avalanches, including thickness (932 readings in total), 232 width (30 readings in total) and length (517 readings in total); (iii) measurements of 233 bedform wavelength (42 readings in total) determined in directions parallel to eolian 234 paleo-transport mostly by the measurement of the spacing between the points at 235 which successive interdune migration surfaces climb off basal supersurfaces that are 236 themselves inferred to represent paleohorizontal surfaces (see Mountney & Howell, 237 2000 and Mountney, 2006b for details of the methodology); (iv) measurements of 238 angles of set climb (42 readings in total), determined trigonometrically in directions 239 parallel to eolian paleo-transport (again determined through analysis of dip-azimuth 240 data relating to grainflow deposits representative of accumulation on the slipface of 241 the original bedforms) by evaluating the rate of rise of interdune migration surfaces 242 relative to underlying supersurfaces (see Mountney, 2006b for methodology); (v) 243 measurements of the rate of upward steepening of eolian dune toeset deposits with 244 increasing height above the base of sets (36 readings in total).

245 **Results**

246 Grainflow Geometry

247 The mean lengths and widths of single units of grainflow strata in the Navajo 248 Sandstone are 4.22 m (standard deviation = 2.43; n = 517) (Figure 4a), and 4.63 m 249 (standard deviation = 1.58; n = 30) (Figure 4b), respectively. Grainflow width data 250 were not measured from the Cedar Mesa Sandstone. The mean thicknesses of 251 single units of grainflow strata (i.e. deposits representative of a single sandflow 252 avalanche event) in the Navajo Sandstone and Cedar Mesa Sandstone are 23.77 253 mm (standard deviation = 7.32; n = 517), and 54.68 mm (standard deviation = 23.11; 254 n = 415), respectively (Figure 4c). Individual grainflow units have been identified by 255 their subtle inverse grading, which gives rise to a sharp grain-size contrast across 256 unit boundaries that typically takes the form of a change from lower to upper fine-257 grained sand. Additionally, these units are in many instances identified by their style 258 of interfingering and intercalation with thin accumulations of wind-ripple strata, 259 especially in the lower parts of preserved sets, and with thin accumulations of 260 grainfall strata, most notably in the upper parts of preserved sets.

261 Preserved Set Thickness

262 Preserved set thicknesses have been measured from the central axes of troughs 263 (i.e. at the location of the thickest development of the set). The mean thicknesses of 264 simple preserved sets (sensu McKee, 1979) of strata bounded by interdune 265 migration bounding surfaces in the Navajo Sandstone and Cedar Mesa Sandstone 266 are 3.10 m (standard deviation = 1.60; n = 25), and 4.71 m (standard deviation = 267 2.72; n = 17), respectively (Figure 4d). For the Cedar Mesa Sandstone, measured 268 set thicknesses are representative of the succession overall, though considerable 269 variability exists in some locations. For the Navajo Formation, which is exposed over

270 large areas of Utah, Arizona and Colorado, preserved set thicknesses vary 271 considerably and the sets measured as part of this study from parts of the 272 succession exposed around the town of Moab, Utah, are not necessarily 273 representative of the formation overall. Indeed, significantly thicker compound sets 274 are known from other parts of this formation (see, for example, Herries, 1993 and 275 Rubin, 1987), though these have not been examined for this study.

276 Bedform Wavelength Reconstruction

277 Original dune wavelengths were mostly determined via direct measurement. In 278 directions parallel to eolian paleo-transport, the spacing between the points at which 279 successive interdune migration surfaces climb off basal supersurfaces that are 280 themselves inferred to represent paleohorizontal surfaces is a measure of bedform 281 spacing, where bedform spacing is defined as the bedform wavelength plus the 282 additional component of width of any adjoining interdune flat. Additional calculations 283 of original dune wavelengths were derived trigonometrically from estimates of set 284 thicknesses and angles of climb: see Mountney & Howell (2000) for details of the 285 method. The mean reconstructed dune bedform wavelengths in directions parallel to 286 inferred eolian bedform migration direction for studied parts of the Navajo Sandstone 287 and Cedar Mesa Sandstone are 138.26 m (standard deviation = 70.75; n = 25), and 288 202.42 m (standard deviation = 159.19; n = 15), respectively (Figure 4e).

Based on relationships observed from Navajo Sandstone, where sets are seen to rise (climb) off supersurfaces, reconstructed dune bedforms are estimated to have had original wavelengths ranging from 80 to 340 m. The erg center region of the Cedar Mesa Sandstone exhibits a wider range of reconstructed dune wavelength values (65 m to 668 m). Overall, these data fall within the ranges determined

previously for eolian dunes of the Cedar Mesa Sandstone in the White Canyon region of SE Utah (Mountney, 2006b). However, one exception is Set 1 from Mile 101 of Highway 95 (a 12.8 m-thick set climbing at an angle of 1.1°), which is estimated to represent the preserved deposit of a bedform that had an anomalously large wavelength of 668 m, considerably greater than values determined for other bedforms in the succession.

300 Angle of Climb

301 The Navajo Sandstone exhibits a narrow range of observed angles of climb, with the 302 majority of sets climbing up through the stratigraphy in a downwind direction at 303 angles between 1 to 1.5°. The mean angle of climb of studied sets in the Navajo 304 Sandstone is 1.29° (standard deviation = 0.30; n = 25). Sets in the erg center region 305 of the Cedar Mesa Sandstone reveal a wider range of climb angles, which were 306 derived by Mountney (2006b, his Figure 12) trigonometrically from measurements of 307 preserved set thicknesses and reconstructed original dune bedform wavelengths 308 (the latter determined from the spacing between points where sets rise off 309 supersurfaces which themselves define a paleohorizontal surface). The mean angle 310 of climb of studied sets in the Cedar Mesa Sandstone is 1.54° (standard deviation = 311 0.75; n = 17 (Figure 4f).

312 **Discussion**

313 Several important empirical relationships describing relationships between the 314 spatial arrangement of observed lithofacies and the geometry and style of 315 distribution of larger-scale eolian architectural elements are identified from analysis 316 of the field-derived data. 317 Relationship between preserved grainflow thickness, length and width

318 Where the pattern of outcrop has allowed, for every grainflow unit measured in the 319 Navajo Sandstone (n = 517), the preserved thickness has been related to a 320 corresponding grainflow length and width (Figures 5 & 6). In the Navajo Sandstone, 321 measured grainflow widths exhibit a strong positive correlation with corresponding 322 grainflow thickness (Figure 5; y = 0.0041x + 0.0035; $R^2 = 0.86$). The overall 323 relationship between measured grainflow length and preserved grainflow thickness 324 for sets in the Navajo Sandstone shows a positive correlation but with substantial 325 scatter (Figure 6; y = 0.0019x + 0.0156; $R^2 = 0.41$). However, preserved grainflow 326 thickness and length relationships from 25 *individual* sets are also depicted in Figure 327 6 and strong positive correlations between preserved thickness and length exist in 328 almost every case. Significantly, however, data from different sets plot in distinct 329 and, in many cases, non-overlapping fields on the graph. Together, these 330 observations suggest that, although a simple overall general relationship between 331 grainflow thickness and grainflow length exists, data from individual sets each 332 preserve grainflows with their own geometry and this likely reflects the shape of the 333 slipface that developed on the lee of the dune at the time of sedimentation.

Empirical relationships identified from outcrop data between grainflow thickness, length and width are important because they potentially allow the three-dimensional reconstruction of the expected geometry of grainflow sediment packages solely from a measurement of their thicknesses preserved in core. This is important for modeling lamina- and bed-scale heterogeneity and directional permeability in eolian reservoirs (Weber, 1982, 1987; Chandler et al., 1989; Krystinik, 1990).

340 <u>Relationship between preserved set thickness, dune wavelength and angle-</u> 341 <u>of-climb</u>

342 In both the Cedar Mesa Sandstone and the Navajo Sandstone, dune-sets generated 343 by the migration and climb of larger bedforms (as determined by reconstructed 344 estimates of longer wavelengths) preserve thicker grainflow units, though 345 considerable spread exists between the data (Figure 7a; Cedar Mesa Sandstone; y = 1E-05x + 0.0532; $R^2 = 0.02$; Navajo Sandstone; y = 6E-05x + 0.0148; $R^2 = 0.38$). 346 347 Although the studied dune-sets from the Navajo Sandstone are indicative of original 348 bedforms characterized by generally smaller wavelengths than those of the Cedar 349 Mesa Sandstone, considerable overlap in original bedform wavelength exists. Of the 350 preserved dune-sets for which estimates of reconstructed original bedform 351 wavelengths are similar, examples from the Navajo Sandstone are characterized by 352 distinctly thinner grainflow units than those from the Cedar Mesa Sandstone (Figure 7a). This could have arisen due to a number of reasons: different dune types, 353 354 different slipface configurations, variations in dune-plinth shape, variations in dune 355 height (a likely influence on slipface length) despite bedforms having similar 356 wavelengths, and different grain-size distributions or grain-shape properties giving 357 rise to different types of avalanches down the dune lee slopes. The overall 358 correlation between preserved grainflow thickness and original bedform wavelength 359 represents a possible method for making a first-order estimate of original bedform 360 size from subsurface data since the former can be measured directly from core.

361 However, the spread of the data and the different trends in the data for the Navajo362 and Cedar Mesa sandstones demonstrate that it is essential to pick an appropriate

analogue when making extrapolations regarding larger-scale architecture from coredata.

365 For climbing eolian systems that accumulate a succession through progressive climb 366 of bedforms over one another, preserved set thickness is a function of both bedform 367 size (wavelength) and angle-of-climb (Figure 8; Rubin, 1987; Rubin & Carter, 2006). 368 Despite preserved set thickness being only partly dependent on original dune 369 wavelength, for the studied successions there exists a clear positive relationship 370 between preserved set thickness and dune wavelength (Figure 7b – Cedar Mesa 371 Sandstone - $R^2 = 0.61$; Navajo Sandstone - $R^2 = 0.78$). Note, however, that ignoring 372 the outlier that represents the anomalously large bedform studied in the Cedar Mesa 373 Sandstone reduces the R² value for the best-fit line for these data from 0.61 to 0.20.

374 The nature of the relationship between preserved set thickness and dune 375 wavelength is similar for both the Cedar Mesa and Navajo sandstones, principally 376 because sets from both systems in the areas studied are climbing at similar angles 377 (the majority in the range 1 to 1.5°), which means that the effects of angle-of-climb 378 are largely normalized. However, although sets in some other systems are known to 379 climb at similar angles (e.g. Triassic Helsby Sandstone – 1 to 1.5°, Mountney & 380 Thompson, 2002), others successions climb at lower angles (e.g. the transition zone 381 between the Undifferentiated Cutler Group and the Cedar Mesa Sandstone at Indian 382 Creek, SE Utah – 0.35°, Mountney & Jagger, 2004) or steeper angles (e.g. parts of 383 the Etjo Sandstone, Namibia – up to 4°, Mountney & Howell, 2000, as well as 384 examples from some very dry dune systems characterized by small dunes, which 385 have not been addressed in this study). Thus, it is important to consider angle-of-386 climb when using preserved set thickness to reconstruct likely original bedform size.

387 Although a positive relationship has long been recognized whereby increased climb 388 angles tend to preserve thicker sets (e.g. Mountney & Howell, 2000), such increased 389 angles of climb do not necessarily arise from the accumulation of larger bedforms 390 with longer wavelengths. Indeed, larger bedforms with longer wavelengths tend to 391 undertake accumulation through climb at shallower angles, primarily because larger 392 bedforms are likely to respond only slowly to changes in sand availability and will 393 therefore tend to climb at only shallow angles, though they can preserve relatively 394 thick sets by virtue of their long wavelength. Thus, preserved set thickness alone is 395 not necessarily a reliable indicator of original bedform size.

396 <u>Relationship between preserved set thickness and grainflow thickness</u>

397 For each set for which a thickness has been measured, 15 to 25 grainflow 398 thicknesses have also been measured; the relationship between preserved set 399 thickness and grainflow thickness shows significant scatter (Figure 9; Cedar Mesa Sandstone, y = 102.09x - 0.9557, $R^2 = 0.2137$; Navajo Sandstone, y = 182.79x -400 401 1.2566, $R^2 = 0.5797$). However, overall results demonstrate a weak positive 402 correlation for data from both studied outcrop successions. Comparable ranges of 403 preserved grainflow thicknesses measured from sets of known thickness were also 404 demonstrated by Howell & Mountney (2001), whose results concluded that there was 405 no apparent significant relationship between preserved set thickness and grainflow 406 thickness for the Cretaceous Etjo Formation of Namibia. Plotting preserved set 407 thicknesses against grainflow thicknesses does not necessarily reveal an obvious 408 correlation for several reasons (Figure 10): (i) set thickness is a function of not only 409 bedform size (wavelength), but also angle-of-climb and set-thickness data collected 410 from multiple eolian successions or from different geographic locations or 411 stratigraphic levels within the same succession will be partly dictated by bedforms 412 that locally climbed at different angles (Figure 10a); (ii) values of set thicknesses 413 determined from two-dimensional outcrops or from one-dimensional core do not 414 necessarily represent the maximum thickness of a set since they might be clipping 415 the edges of troughs that are significantly thicker in their central parts (Figure 10b); 416 (iii) because preserved grainflow units thin and pinch-out laterally, two-dimensional 417 outcrops and one-dimensional core might be clipping the 'thin' edges of grainflow 418 units, thereby not recording their true maximum thickness (Figure 10c); (iv) sets 419 might only preserve the basal-most toes of grainflow units, which typically thin and 420 pinch-out in the lower parts of dune lee slopes as the angle of inclination of the slope 421 decreases (Figure 10d) where packages of wind-ripple strata become dominant. 422 Such situations most commonly arise when seasonally-reversing wind regimes 423 encourage the development of a gently inclined dune plinth at the base of the lee 424 slope (e.g. Rubin, 1987). For these reasons, when analyzing grainflow units in core 425 data for the purpose of reconstructing likely bedform architecture, it is preferable to 426 record data from the thickest sets that are likely most representative of a penetration 427 through the centers of troughs. Within these, the thickest-preserved grainflow units 428 will most closely reflect the maximum developed grainflow thickness, which might 429 provide an indicator of lee slope length and therefore bedform height and overall 430 size; thinner grainflow units will likely record examples where the well bore has 431 intersected grainflows at points close to either their lateral or downslope margins.

The Cedar Mesa Sandstone offers the opportunity to examine this problem in more detail because the overall succession in both the erg center setting (e.g. Mile 75 of White Canyon) and in the erg margin setting (e.g. Squaw Butte) is divided into a number of separate eolian erg sequences each bounded both above and below by

436 regionally extensive deflationary supersurfaces (Loope, 1985; Mountney & Jagger, 437 2004; Mountney 2006b). This partitioning into a series of stacked supersurface-438 bounded eolian sequences means that reliable estimates can be made of both the 439 angle of climb of sets and of original dune wavelength. This provides the basis for a 440 method with which to demonstrate how preserved set thickness is related to 441 grainflow thickness.

442 Preserved set thicknesses plotted against grainflow thicknesses for a number of dune sequences in the erg center and lateral erg margin areas of the Cedar Mesa 443 Sandstone are shown in Figure 11 ($y = 0.2614e^{99.347x}$, $R^2 = 0.6238$). The scatter in 444 445 the data is less than that shown for the plot in Figure 9 for several reasons: (i) set 446 thicknesses were determined from the centers of troughs (i.e. at their point of 447 maximum thickness), which could be reliantly and consistently picked because of the 448 exceptionally high-quality nature of the outcrop; (ii) for each set examined, 10 449 grainflows units were measured at their point of maximum thickness and the mean of 450 these 10 values was recorded so as to negate the effects of thinning and pinching of 451 grainflow units at their lateral and downslope margins.

452 Results from the eight individual eolian sequences examined and plotted on Figure 453 11 demonstrate that each exhibits a strong positive correlation between preserved 454 set thickness and grainflow thickness but considerable scatter exists between each 455 separate eolian sequence if the dataset is considered in its entirety. The origin of the 456 scatter in these data arises partly because preserved set thickness is a function of 457 both angle-of-climb and original bedform wavelength, which varied between each 458 studied eolian sequence. Additionally, grainflow thickness is also known to vary as a 459 function of slipface length, with thicker grainflows developing on longer slipfaces 460 associated with larger bedforms (Kocurek & Dott, 1981). Thus, the strong positive

461 correlation between preserved set thickness and grainflow thickness *within* each
462 sequence indicates a direct relationship between grainflow thickness and bedform
463 size (height), a relationship that is discussed in more detail in the next section.

464 Little overlap exists between the population of data describing reconstructed dune 465 wavelength versus grainflow thickness from the Cedar Mesa and Navajo sandstones 466 (Figure 7a). This demonstrates the importance of identifying and applying the most 467 appropriate outcrop analogue when applying these types of data as a predictive tool 468 with which to reconstruct likely bedform size from subsurface grainflow and set-469 thickness data recorded in core. Selection of an appropriate analogue should be 470 based on the following: comparable preserved set thicknesses, comparable 471 grainflow thickness distribution, proportion of facies which are comparable (grainflow, 472 wind-ripple and grainfall), the arrangement of such facies, and the variability of 473 foreset azimuth data. Overall, for sets thought to have been generated by dunes with 474 similar wavelengths, the Navajo system has preserved significantly thinner 475 grainflows than the Cedar Mesa system (Figure 7a), probably because the dunes of 476 the two systems had markedly different morphologies with different slipface 477 configurations.

478 <u>Relationship between preserved grainflow thickness and original bedform</u>

479 <u>size (dune height and wavelength)</u>

A positive correlation has been demonstrated previously between dune slipface height and the thickness of grainflow units that are generated as a consequence of lee-slope avalanching down such slipfaces in modern, small-to-medium-sized dunes (Kocurek & Dott, 1981) and a similar relationship is noted for data collected as part of this study (Figure 12; Navajo Sandstone, y = $1532.7x^{1.6006}$, R² = 0.5965; Kocurek

& Dott (1981) dataset, $y = 988.78x^{1.4796}$, $R^2 = 0.5555$). In their initial stages of 485 486 development, sandflow avalanches thicken as an increasing volume of sand 487 becomes entrained in the flow. For small and medium-sized dunes, grainflow 488 deposits therefore become thicker with increasing slope length and, by implication, 489 bedform height (Kocurek & Dott, 1981). Once fully developed, sandflow avalanches 490 tend to attain an equilibrium thickness and individual preserved grainflow deposits 491 rarely exceed 60 to 80 mm in thickness. Departures from the trend can arise for a 492 number of reasons: (i) successive avalanches may be erosional at their base, such 493 that previously emplaced avalanche deposits are partly reworked by later deposits, 494 thereby reducing preserved grainflow thickness; (ii) deposits of individual grainflows 495 tend to thin to a point of pinch-out at their downslope limit where they interfinger with 496 packages of wind-ripple strata (e.g. Figure 1b), and it is these thinner grainflow 497 deposits that have greater preservation potential in cases where bedform climbing at 498 low angles allows for preservation of only the basal most parts of the original dune 499 lee slope, or where grainflows do not extend to the base of the set (Figure 2b); (iii) 500 the generally well sorted texture of eolian lee-slope deposits means that separate 501 grainflow units might appear as a single apparently homogenous package of sand 502 lacking any internal stratification and such deposits could be misinterpreted as a 503 single anomalously thick avalanche deposit (e.g. "outliers" in Figure 7a and 9). 504 Additionally, the effects of sediment compaction will influence comparisons between 505 modern grainflow deposits and ancient preserved grainflow strata.

For many modern bedform types, dune height exhibits a positive correlation with bedform wavelength and spacing (e.g. Wilson, 1973; Lancaster, 1988; Figure 13; simple dunes, y = 18.944x + 333.56, $R^2 = 0.0885$; compound dunes, y = 14.959x +538.74, $R^2 = 0.2854$; complex dunes, y = 8.8474x + 268.74, $R^2 = 0.3502$). It is

510 therefore possible to demonstrate an indirect relationship between grainflow 511 thicknesses preserved in ancient successions and original bedform height via this 512 relationship between bedform wavelength and height (Figures 7a and 12). 513 Importantly, this means that if grainflow thickness is known solely from subsurface 514 core data, then a first-order estimate of both original bedform height and wavelength 515 can tentatively be suggested. Furthermore, if both bedform wavelength and 516 preserved set thickness are known, then a generalized estimate of the angle of climb 517 of the succession can be made through a simple trigonometric relationship based on 518 the approach outlined by Mountney & Thompson (2002). For this approach to be 519 applied reasonably, care must be taken to determine which type of eolian bedform 520 has been encountered in core, since mis-interpretation can result in errors of up to 521 two orders of magnitude in reconstructed estimates of likely bedform spacing (Figure 522 13). Bedform type (simple, compound or complex) can potentially be deduced from a 523 thick succession of core by ascribing different genetic significance to bounding 524 surfaces of various types (e.g. interdune migration surfaces, superimposition 525 surfaces, reactivation surfaces; see Brookfield, 1977, Rubin, 1987, and Rubin & 526 Carter, 2006, for a summary of the technique).

The likely presence of an anomalously large bedform in the Cedar Mesa Sandstone at Mile 101 on Highway 95 (White Canyon) is supported by the relationships of Kocurek & Dott (1981), who suggest that original bedform size can be estimated based on proportions of grainfall strata to grainflow strata in preserved dune sets. Dune sets at Mile 101 preserve no grainfall strata and are composed almost entirely of grainflow strata (95%), with only minor intercalations of wind ripple strata (5%). The average grainflow thickness for this set at Mile 101 is 73 mm, 9mm greater than the average for other sets at this locality, again supporting the interpretation of alarge bedform with an unusually high and long slipface.

536 Applied workflow for reconstruction of eolian architecture from core data

537 The series of empirical relationships identified as part of this study enable aspects of 538 small-scale eolian stratigraphy observable in core to be related to larger-scale 539 architectural elements; this potentially allows for the first-order reconstruction of the 540 probable geometry and scale of aeolian bedforms responsible for giving rise 541 preserved eolian accumulations directly from core data. Sedimentological attributes 542 that can be measured directly from core (and in some cases wireline log) data 543 include preserved set thickness, grainflow thickness, shape of dune toesets, rate of 544 upward steepening of foresets within a set, and the distribution of primary lithofacies 545 (grainflow, wind-ripple, and grainfall) within sets. Of these, this study has focused on 546 the establishment of a series of empirical relationships based on measurements of 547 preserved set thickness and grainflow thicknesses within the core sections.

548 For climbing eolian systems that have accumulated and preserved a succession 549 through progressive climb of bedforms over one another, preserved set thickness is 550 a function of both bedform size (wavelength) and the angle of system climb. 551 Although preserved set thickness is only partly dependent on original bedform 552 wavelength, there exists a positive linear relationship between preserved set thickness and reconstructed original bedform wavelength. Fundamental relationships 553 554 exist between slipface height and thickness of grainflow packages preserved for 555 small to medium dunes and these relationships established from this study of two 556 ancient eolian successions compare closely to a similar relationship established 557 previously for modern dunes (Kocurek & Dott, 1981). Preserved grainflow

thicknesses observed in core can be used as a proxy (albeit with some reservations) 558 559 to predict original bedform height, and therefore size (Figure 12), given that bedform 560 height can be related to bedform wavelength for various types of dunes (Figure 13). 561 If grainflow thickness is known, then an estimate of bedform wavelength can be 562 made. If both original bedform wavelength and preserved set thickness are known, 563 then the angle-of-climb of the succession can be determined using a simple 564 trigonometric method in the absence of high-resolution seismic data. Although 565 steeper angles of system climb preserve thicker sets for the accumulation of 566 bedforms of a given wavelength, steeper angles of climb do not necessarily result 567 from the migration and accumulation of larger dunes with longer wavelengths.

568 **Conclusions**

569 A suite of empirical relationships have been developed based on analysis of eolian 570 outcrop data from parts of the Permian Cedar Mesa Sandstone and the Jurassic 571 Navajo Sandstone in SE Utah. These relationships enable parameters measured 572 directly from one-dimensional core to be related to larger scale eolian architectural 573 elements observable in outcrop successions and underpin a simple method for 574 reconstructing eolian geometry from one-dimensional subsurface datasets alone. 575 However, care must exercised in the application of this technique: as with most 576 statistical data derived from natural datasets, the spread of the data is, in many 577 cases, considerable and significant; resulting in data distributions that yield best-fit trends with low R² values that are statistically weak. However, despite these 578 579 shortcomings, relationships between measurements small- and larger-scale aspects 580 of sedimentary architecture form the basis for the development of a predictive tool 581 that can potentially be applied with care to subsurface datasets for elucidation of

582 larger-scale sedimentary architecture and therefore for prediction of regional583 reservoir stratigraphic heterogeneity.

584 Acknowledgments

585 This research was funded by Areva, BHP Billiton, ConocoPhillips, Nexen, Saudi 586 Aramco, Shell and Woodside through their sponsorship of the Fluvial & Eolian 587 Research Group at the University of Leeds. Nathaniel Ball, Steven Banham and 588 John Wagner are thanked for their assistance with field data collection. We thank 589 Ryan Grimm, Lee Krystinik, and Colin North for their constructive and encouraging 590 reviews.





























(d)



Eolian erg sequence

- White Canyon Seq B
- White Canyon Seq C
- White Canyon Seq E
- imes White Canyon Seq G
- X Squaw Butte
- Salt Creek (U)
- + Salt Creek (L)
- Mosquito Butte



