

This is a repository copy of Influence of ocean–atmospheric oscillations on lake ice phenology in eastern North America.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/82566/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Timothy, PR and Swindles, GT (2014) Influence of ocean–atmospheric oscillations on lake ice phenology in eastern North America. Climate Dynamics, 45 (9). pp. 2293-2308. ISSN 0930-7575

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2415-y

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	
2	
3	
4	Influence of ocean-atmospheric oscillations on lake ice phenology
5	in eastern North America
6	
7	R. Timothy Patterson ^{1,*} and Graeme T. Swindles ²
8	
9	
10	¹ Ottawa-Carleton Geoscience Centre and Department of Earth Sciences, Carleton
11	University, Ottawa, Ontario, K1S 5B6, Canada
12	² School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom
13	*e-mail:tim.patterson@carleton.ca
14	
15	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. Timothy Patterson ^{1,*} and Graeme T. Swindles ² ¹ Ottawa-Carleton Geoscience Centre and Department of Earth Sciences, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, K1S 5B6, Canada ² School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom *e-mail:tim.patterson@carleton.ca

1 Abstract Our results reveal long-term trends in ice out dates (1836-2013) for twelve 2 lakes in Maine, New Brunswick and New Hampshire, in eastern North America. The trends are remarkably coherent between lakes ($r_s = 0.462-0.933$, p<0.01) and 3 4 correlate closely with the March-April (MA) instrumental temperature records from the 5 region ($r_s = 0.488-0.816$, p<0.01). This correlation permits use of ice out dates as a 6 proxy to extend the shorter MA instrumental record (1876-2013). Mean ice out dates 7 trended progressively earlier during the recovery from the Little Ice Age through to the 8 1940s, and gradually became later again through to the late 1970s, when ice out dates had returned to values more typical of the late 19th century. Post-1970's ice out 9 dates resumed trending toward earlier dates, with the 21st century being 10 characterized by the earliest ice out dates on record. Spectral and wavelet time series 11 12 analysis indicate that ice out is influenced by several teleconnections including the Quasi-biennial Oscillation, El Niño-Southern Oscillation, North Atlantic Oscillation, as 13 well as a significant correlation between inland lake records and the Atlantic 14 Multidecadal Oscillation. The relative influence of these teleconnections is variable 15 with notable shifts occurring after ~1870, ~1925, and ~1980-2000. The intermittent 16 expression of these cycles in the ice out and MA instrumental record is not only 17 influenced by absolute changes in the intensity of the various teleconnections and 18 19 other climate drivers, but through phase interference between teleconnections, which 20 periodically damps the various signals.

21

2 Key Words

- 3 Lake Ice Out Phenology; AMO NAO ENSO QBO Teleconnections;
- 4 Climate Change; March April Temperature; Time series analysis; Eastern North
- 5 America
- 6
- 7
- 8

1 **1 Introduction**

2 Distinguishing the relative contributions of natural climate variability from anthropogenic causes is an important focus of climate change research (Stocker et al. 3 4 2013). However the short available instrumental record presents a major difficulty to 5 investigators (Anderson et al. 2013; Lewis and Curry 2014). Phenological information, 6 the study of changes in the date of recurring natural phenomena, can be used as a 7 proxy to significantly extend available instrumental data (Futter 2003). Lake ice 8 phenology series, from mid-high latitude regions, are comprised of the calendar dates 9 when ice forms in the fall and melts in the spring. The annual dates in the spring 10 when winter ice cover leaves a lake has come to be known as "ice out" (Hodgkins 11 2013). Ice out is sometimes referred to as "ice off" (e.g. Ghanbari et al. 2009) and "ice 12 break up" (e.g. Sharma and Magnuson 2014). Ice out records are more valuable than ice formation records as the breakup and disappearance of ice from a lake in the 13 14 spring can occur very quickly (<24 hrs), whereas fall freeze-up may take many days, and may be punctuated by several freeze-thaw cycles before ice finally settles in for 15 the winter (Robertson et al. 1992). Ice out dates for lakes correlate most strongly with 16 17 local, late winter air temperatures and thus provide an important quantitative and 18 annually-resolved source of hydrologic data for late-winter/early-spring climate 19 change (Futter 2003; Hodgkins 2010; Hodgkins et al. 2002; Livingstone and Adrian 20 2009; Shuter et al. 2013). These data have been compiled primarily by amateur citizen scientists for a variety of purposes, including determining fishing seasons, 21 22 estimating the spring opening of ferry boat routes, community contests, and general 23 curiosity (Futter 2003; Hodgkins et al. 2002;). Many ice out records extend well back

into the 19th century, and are spread throughout the northern hemisphere (e.g. 1 2 Magnuson et al. 2005). As such these records provide an important proxy for late-3 winter/early-spring climate in eastern North America, which extends beyond data 4 available from instrumental climate stations. Temporal change in ice out dates is thus 5 a useful proxy in temperature reconstruction as earlier (later) ice up breakup is 6 indicative of warmer (cooler) spring temperatures (Assel and Robertson 1995). In 7 some areas records of sufficient length are common enough that both temporal trends and spatial patterns have been analyzed (e.g. Benson et al. 2012; Duguay et 8 9 al. 2006; Magnuson et al. 2000), particularly in Finland (Korhonen 2006; Kuusisto 10 1987; Kuusisto and Elo 2000), Sweden (Weyhenmeyer et al. 2004), New England 11 (Hodgkins et al. 2002), Southern Ontario (Futter 2003) and the Great Lakes region of 12 North America (Magnuson et al. 2005). Coherency analysis and Moran Eigenvector Maps (MEM) are two examples of analytical approaches used previously to quantify 13 the influence of climate cycles and trends on ice out throughout the northern 14 15 Hemisphere, including New England (e.g. Magnuson et al., 2004; Magnuson et al., 2005; Ghanbari et al. 2009; Sharma et al. 2013; Sharma and Magnuson, 2014). In 16 17 ice out compilations from New England in eastern North America, the oldest known record in the region extends back to AD1807 at Sebago Lake, Maine (Hodgkins 18 2010) with many other documented records spanning at least the past 150 years. Of 19 20 three previously unpublished ice out records from adjacent New Brunswick the oldest available is a 138-year record from Oromocto Lake that extends to 1876. Previous 21 22 research on ice out records from New England has recognized that there has been a significant shortening of the ice cover season from the late 19th century to the early 23

21st century at a rate of, to 0.6 days/decade through the last 125 years (Hodgkins
 2013; Hodgkins et al. 2002; Huntington et al. 2003). A similar trend has been
 observed elsewhere in the northern hemisphere (e.g. Benson et al. 2012, Futter
 2003; Ghanbari et al. 2009; Magnuson et al. 2000; Prowse et al. 2011).

5 The aquatic ecosystem services contributed by the 1000s of lakes in eastern 6 North America play an integral role in the lives of the people who live there. These 7 lakes support the economic wellbeing of entire communities and provide an important 8 recreational resource, clean drinking water, water storage to offset the impact of 9 droughts and a means to preserve wildlife biodiversity and habitat, in addition to their 10 natural aesthetic beauty (Environment and Local Government, New Brunswick 2014; 11 Maine Dept of Environmental Protection 2013). Changes in ice out dates have a 12 significant influence on the hydroecology of lakes. For example, earlier ice out dates result in warmer spring water temperatures as well as increased light availability and 13 14 changes to circulation patterns (Hodgkins 2013). Resultant changes in spring and summer patterns and mechanisms of phytoplankton and zooplankton dynamics 15 significantly alter the trophic structure of lake ecosystems (Arhonditis et al. 2004; 16 17 Blenckner et al. 2002). Understanding the dynamics of ongoing changes in ice out 18 dates thus has important implications for determining the impact of climate change on 19 hydrology, terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, and the economy of this region. 20 The Maine-New Brunswick-New Hampshire ice out data set analyzed in this study

20 The Maine-New Brunswick-New Hampshire ice out data set analyzed in this study
 21 provides a unique opportunity to assess the relationship between the various late
 22 winter climatic influences in the region from AD 1836-2013, comparing between lakes
 23 and against instrumental data. In many cases the available ice out data reaches

many decades further into the past than the available instrumental record. In
particular we will: 1) demonstrate the temporal coherence of spatial patterns in ice out
data in 12 lakes from New Hampshire, Maine and New Brunswick (Fig. 1); 2)
document changes in ice out for the region during the late 19th century recovery from
the Little Ice Age, and impact of possible anthropogenic influence on ice out during
the late 20th – early 21st century; and 3) use spectral and wavelet time series analysis
techniques to recognize trends and cycles in the climate drivers that impact the region.

- 9 2 Methods
- 10 2.1 Lake Ice out and climate station data

11 Lake ice out records from NH (three lakes), ME (seven lakes), and NB (three 12 lakes), with near continuous, long-term ice out records ranging from as far back as 1836 were selected for analysis (Sup. Table 1). Although Sebago Lake, ME 13 has ice out records dating back to 1807 (Hodgkins 2010) it was not used in this 14 study, as significant gaps in that record unfortunately precluded time series 15 analysis, a prerequisite of lakes chosen for this study. Lake Utopia (1937-2013) 16 17 and Skiff Lake (1933-2013) in NB had shorter records than the other lakes but were included to extend coverage further eastward. The 2008 and earlier NH 18 and ME ice out dates were obtained from the compilation of Hodgkins (2010) 19 20 with later ME ice out dates obtained from an online data repository maintained by the Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry (Appendix 1). 21 22 Post-2008 records for NH and all NB records were obtained from websites 23 hosted by local lake associations (Appendix 1). With the exception of Lake

1 Auburn all lakes are located in remote or rural settings.

2 Changes in lake morphology (e.g. depth and surface area) generally do not impact the temporal coherence of ice out dates (Wynne et al. 1996) and in any 3 4 case most lakes in the study area have undergone little morphological change. 5 Ice out criteria differ between the lakes (Appendix 1) but have for the most part 6 been consistently applied as observers changed over time, resulting in negligible 7 impact on reported ice out dates (Hodgkins et al. 2002; Sup Fig. 1). Following 8 past practice, Julian calendar ice out dates (including inclusion of leap years) 9 were used in all analyses (Sup. Table 1). Using a calendar date rather than using 10 timing relative to vernal equinox introduced a small bias (e.g. a maximum of 0.8 11 days for ice out dates spanning AD 1900-2000) (Sagarin 2001). 12 Late winter March-April (MA) air temperature data was obtained from five climate stations that spanned the region (Appendix 2); four NOAA National 13 14 Climatic Data Center (NCDC) United States Historical Climatology Network (USHCN) sites in the US [Houlton, ME (1902-2013), Orono, ME, (1894-2013), 15 Lewiston, ME (1893-2013), Hanover NH (1894-2013), and one particularly long 16 17 Environment Canada Surface Air Temperature Data site from Fredericton, NB 18 (1874-2013). Stations were selected based on record continuity and to provide a 19 broad coverage of MA temperatures across the region. All temperature data was 20 subject to quality control, homogeneity testing and adjustments applied for changes in observation time, instrumentation, station location and urban heat 21 22 island effects (Hodgkins et al. 2002; Vincent et al. 2012). March-April 23 temperature data was used in this study as it has previously been demonstrated

1 that there is a strong correlation between late-winter/early-spring air

2 temperatures and ice out dates (Futter 2003; Hodgkins 2010; Hodgkins, et al.

3 2002; Livingstone and Adrian 2009; Shuter et al. 2013).

4 Correlations between ice out dates and instrumental data were carried out 5 using Spearman's rank correlation, following the results from Shapiro-Wilk 6 normality tests. To generate regional compilations, ice out and instrumental data 7 were compiled and average annual values were calculated. Lowess smoothing functions (Cleveland, 1979, 1981) were applied to generate 1-dimensional data 8 9 summaries. 95% confidence bands were estimated using bootstrapping (999 10 random replicates). In order to retain the structure of the interpolation, the 11 procedure used resampling of residuals rather than resampling of original data 12 points.

13

14 2.2 Spectral analysis

15 We used spectral analysis to examine the time series in the frequency domain. We used the Fortran 90 program REDFIT, which is based on Lomb-Scargle 16 17 Fourier Transform. A runs test showed that a red noise model was appropriate 18 for the ice out and instrumental data (i.e. within 5% acceptance interval). A 19 rectangular window was used and the statistical significance of spectral peaks was tested using a parametric approach (90%, 95%, and 99% χ^2 false-alarm 20 21 levels) against a realistic null hypothesis of red (auto-correlated) noise (Schulz 22 and Stattegger 1997; Schulz and Mudelsee 2002; Schwarzacher 1993). We also used the multitaper method (MTM; Thomson, 1982), which is commonly used for 23

1 annually-resolved data (e.g. Lees and Park, 1995); however, missing years, or 2 blocks of years, meant that interpolation to annual interval was needed in places, 3 which potentially introduced bias by enhancing the low-frequency components at 4 the expense of high-frequency components (Schulz and Mudelsee 2002). 5 Therefore, we decided to rely on the results of the REDFIT spectral analysis. 6 Nevertheless, there was very good correspondence between the results of the 7 two methods. 8 9 2.3 Wavelet analysis 10 Continuous wavelet transforms (CWT) were used to determine the temporal 11 nature of continuous and discontinuous periodicities (Torrence and Compo 1998). 12 Interpolation was carried out over any missing years and the Morlet mother wavelet was used. Cross-wavelet (XWT) and Wavelet Coherence (WTC) 13 14 analyses were used to examine common features in wavelet power of two time series (Maraun and Kurths 2004). XWT illustrates regions when there is a high 15 common power between two time series and also shows the phase relationship. 16 17 WTC illustrates the local correlation between two continuous wavelet transforms 18 (CWT) and can reveal locally phase-locked behavior (Grinsted et al. 2004). 19 Before analysis the data were transformed into a series of percentiles, which is a 20 simple, effective transformation for time series data, with the advantage that it does not have any outliers (Grinsted et al. 2004). A significance level of 95% 21 22 against a red noise (lag-1 autoregressive) background was determined using 23 Monte-Carlo methods (Grinsted et al., 2004). CWT, XWT and WTC analyses

were carried out using PAST (Hammer et al. 2001) and the 'Cross Wavelet'
 package in Matlab v.7.12.0.635 (Grinsted et al. 2004; Maraun and Kurths 2004;
 Torrence and Compo 1998).

4

5 3 Results

6 3.1 Ice out date correlation to instrumental record

The data from this study is characterized by a strong relationship ($r^2 = 0.763$) 7 between lake ice out dates and distance from the coast (Fig. 1). Lakes at cooler, 8 9 higher elevations also tend to have later ice out dates. There is a slightly weaker, but still strong relationship between elevation and ice out dates in the data ($r^2 = 0.535$). 10 11 Correlation analysis of this same data yielded similar results with there being a 12 significant positive correlation between distance from coast and ice out dates $(r_s=0.865, p<0.01)$, and a slightly weaker but still highly-significant positive correlation 13 between ice out dates and elevation of lakes ($r_s=0.715$, p<0.01). Ice out dates across 14 the region has changed considerably through the available 177-year ice out record 15 (Fig. 2; Sup. Table 1). All lakes in this dataset have trended toward earlier ice out 16 17 dates, although there have been decadal-scale reversals in the tendency to later ice out dates. There has also been a similar variation in MA air temperatures through the 18 available instrumental record (Sup. Fig, 2; Sup. Table 2) with a trend toward warmer 19 20 temperatures through the entire record, tempered by periodic reversals in the record that are coherent across the region. After accounting for the considerable variation in 21 22 absolute ice out dates between lakes, primarily related to climate variation associated

with distance from the coast and elevation, there is a strong coherence in the pattern of ice out across all lakes (Figs. 1-3; $r_s = 0.462-0.933$, *p*<0.01).

Lake ice out data and mean MA air temperature data from selected climate 3 4 stations across the region (Sup. Table 2) were analyzed using Spearman's rank correlation (Sup. Table 3). The correlation between all lakes was significant ($r_s =$ 5 6 0.462-0.933, p < 0.01), and despite there being a significant climate gradient between 7 the five climate stations used in the study there was significant correlation ($r_s = 0.704$ -0.926, p < 0.01) between all climate stations. The correlation analysis indicated that 8 9 there was also a strong correlation between MA air temperatures at all climate stations and spring ice out dates that was significant ($r_s = 0.488-0.816$, p<0.01; Sup. 10 Table 3). The strong correlation between the lake ice out dates and climate stations 11 indicates that ice out data can be used as a proxy for MA temperature for 19th century 12 ice out records predating the thermometer record. 13

Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) of both ice out data and thermometer data provide further confirmation of the close correlation between the two records (Fig. 2). The LOWESS plot in Fig. 2a, showing the trends for the entire data set post-1876, after which time complete records for all of the lakes become available. Coastal lakes dominated the pre-1876 record and inclusion of earlier ice out dates of these lakes [Auburn (from 1836); Damariscotta (from 1837); Cobbosseecontee (from 1840)] would have skewed the results in favor of the typically

milder conditions at the coast. To determine the relative change in ice out dates for
pre-1876, a separate LOWESS plot for the record from Auburn, Damarsiscotta and
Cobbosseecontee lakes is included (Fig. 2b). The close correlation between the

trends in Figs. 2a and 2b highlight the coherence of the trend data, despite absolute
value differences ice out dates between lakes in different climate zones. The
LOWESS plot of MA temperatures (Fig. 2c) is restricted to the post-1893 record when
complete temperature records for the 5 thermometer stations became available.
Inclusion of the 1874-1893 record from Fredericton NB where MA temperatures are
generally cooler than stations to the south, would have skewed the trend data to
lower temperatures.

The LOWESS ice out plots provide an overall indication of the trend of ice out 8 9 dates and late winter climate from 1836 to 2013. The interval of the record spanning 10 1836-1876 derived from the ice out records from Auburn, Damariscotta and 11 Cobbosseecontee lakes was characterized by progressively later ice out dates, 12 indicating cooling MA conditions (Fig. 2b). Across the region the interval from 1876 to 1953 was characterized by progressively earlier mean ice out dates, punctuated by 13 decadal scale reversals of the trend from 1902-1917 and 1936-1944 (Fig. 2a,b,c). 14 The 25-year interval spanning 1953-1978 was characterized by progressively later ice 15 out dates, temporarily returning to general MA conditions that last prevailed in the 16 1890s, although not as cold as earlier in the 19th century (Fig. 2a,b,c). The latter part 17 of the 20th century was characterized by a return to progressively earlier ice out dates 18 with the early 21st century being characterized by the earliest ice out dates of the 19 20 entire record (Fig. 2a,b,c).

As discussed above ice out results correlate closely with the mean MA temperature records from the five climate stations used in the study. This similarity is further clearly demonstrated by a CWT comparison between the available MA

temperature record at Lewiston and truncated ice out records from adjacent Lake Auburn, and nearby lakes Cobboseecontee and Damarisotta (Fig. 4). Correlation analysis between the Lewiston temperature record and the ice out data from the three lakes indicates that there is generally a strong correlation between the instrumental and ice out records in the three lakes ($r_s = 0.783-0.926$, *p*<0.01; Sup. Table 3; Fig. 4c).

7 3.2 Spectral and wavelet time series analysis

Spectral and CWT analysis identified statistically significant cycles in the ice out data 8 9 from each lake (>95% false alarm level). Spectral analysis detected strong periodicity 10 at 2.1 and/or 2.3-2.4 years in all lakes, with the exception of Lake Winnipesaukee, NH 11 where the same 2.1 and 2.4 year cycles were still evident but less significant (>90%) 12 false alarm level) (Fig. 5; Sup. Table 4). These cycles match well with a 2.1-2.3 year signal recognized in the MA air temperature records from all climate stations from the 13 14 region (Sup. Table 4; Fig. 6). This cycle is discontinuous, being strong in available ice out records prior to the mid-1850s, nearly disappearing until the early 1870s, 15 becoming quite strong again until the early 1890s, when it disappeared again until 16 17 \sim 1910 (Fig. 3). The signal then oscillated at a pentennial-scale through the balance 18 of the record with the exception of a few lakes (e.g. Moosehead, Rangeley, Sebec, Sunapee), which show evidence of some weakening from the late 1940s through 19 20 1970s (Fig. 2). A similar pattern is observed in wavelet analysis of the available MA temperature record (Fig. 7). A weakening of the 2.1-2.3 year cycle in the 1890-1910 21 22 interval is particularly evident in wavelet analysis of the longer instrumental record 23 available from Fredericton, where the strengthening of the signal again in 1910 is

visible. This strengthening is also evident in analysis of the records from Hanover,
Lewiston and Orono, which had become established by this time. The available
instrumental record from Houlton was too short for recognition of cycles from the
earliest years of the 20th century.

5 Discontinuous cycles (>95% false alarm level) ranging from 2.7-3.0, 3.2-4.2 and 6 5.5-6.4 years were variably developed in spectral analyses of ice out records from 7 most lakes (Fig. 5; Sup Table 4), although they were entirely absent or statistically 8 weak in the easternmost lakes including Skiff Lake, Lake Utopia and West Grand 9 Lake. Similar cycles in the 2.8-3.0, 3.4-4.2, and 5.3-5.6 year range were variably 10 developed in the spectral analysis of the MA thermometer record, although some 11 signals in these ranges were either absent, or only >90% false alarm level, at some 12 stations (Fig. 6). CWT analysis revealed that cycles in these frequencies oscillated on a decadal scale through much of the record with notable weakening from the 13 14 1840s-1860s observed in the long ice out record from Lake Damariscotta, and from 15 ~1890-1910 in many lakes, particularly Oromocto Lake (Fig. 3). Cycles at these frequencies were also particularly strong in many lakes from the mid-1930s through 16 17 the 1940s, particularly in Rangely, Moosehead, Sebec, Cobbosseecontee, 18 Damariscotta, Auburn, Sunapee, Winnipesaukee and Oromocto lakes (Fig. 3). The 19 latter part of the wavelet analysis record, particularly from the early 1990s onward is 20 also characterized by a relatively strong signal in the 2.8-3.0, 3.4-4.2, and 5.3-5.6 bandwidths. CWT analysis of the MA thermometer records revealed similar decadal-21 22 scale intensification and weakening of cycles at these frequencies (Fig. 7). In 23 particularly, the long thermometer record from Fredericton is characterized by a

significantly weaker signal at these frequencies from the 1880s through to ~1910,
similar to the pattern observed in nearby Oromocto Lake. The strong signal at these
return times detected in the ice out record, which began the 1930s, is also strong in
the thermometer records from all stations (Fig. 7).

5 An interesting 16.2-16.7 year cycle (>90% false alarm level) was observed in 6 spectral analyses of several inland lakes (Oromocto, West Grand, Sebec, and 7 Moosehead; Fig. 5). This frequency shows up even more strongly in the wavelet analysis results for these same four lakes (Fig. 3 Sup. Table 4). These cycles may be 8 9 related to a 34-year signal (>99% false alarm level) observed in the Lake Utopia 10 record, which may represent a signal harmonic. With the exception of a 32-year cycle 11 (>99% false alarm level) observed in the Orono instrumental record, this pattern was 12 otherwise absent from spectral analysis of the MA thermometer records (Fig. 6; Sup. Table 4). 13

Spectral analysis also identified a 25.3-26.8 year signal in five lakes; >95% false alarm level in Sebec, Skiff, and West Grand lakes, and >90% false alarm level in Auburn and Rangely lakes (Fig. 5; Sup Table 4). No corresponding patterns were observed in spectral analysis of the MA instrumental data.

18

19 4 Discussion and Conclusions

20 4.1 Regional ice out trends

There are some notable variations in absolute ice out dates in the lakes used in this study that relate to the geography of eastern North America. For example, the winter

23 climate of New England and the Maritimes is characterized by typically milder

1 conditions at the coast, where the Atlantic Ocean influence moderates temperatures, 2 and colder more continental conditions further inland (Trewartha and Horn 1980). Analysis of ice out dates in Sweden spanning 55.7°-68.4°N demonstrated that the 3 4 relationship between the timing of ice out and air temperature was non-linear and 5 could be described by an arc cosine function (Weyhenmeyer et al. 2004). We found 6 no such relationship across the lower latitude, and narrower latitudinal range (43.4°-7 45.8°N) spanned by the lakes examined in this study. As has been observed elsewhere in the Northern Hemisphere there has also been a general shortening of 8 9 the ice cover season in eastern North America over the last century as the region 10 recovered from the Little Ice Age (Fagan 2001) with further shortening occurring in the late 20th-early 21st century (Benson, et al. 2012; Hodgkins 2013). 11

12

13 4.2 Teleconnections derived from time series analysis

14 The network of ice out data presented in this research provides a long duration, regionally coherent and statistically verified proxy of annual to decadal-scale eastern 15 North America late-winter/early-spring climate variability. The climate of this region is 16 17 influenced by a complex interaction of continental and atmospheric-ocean coupled circulation patterns that through teleconnections (Zielinski and Keim, 2003) have a 18 significant impact on lake ice distribution and seasonal ice duration, all of which have 19 20 an influence on late winter MA temperatures and ultimately ice out dates. Among the most significant influences are several teleconnections including the Quasi-biennial 21 22 Oscillation (QBO), El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), North Atlantic 23 Oscillations/Atlantic Oscillation (NAO/AO), and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation

(AMO). NAO, and to a lesser extent, AMO, have previously been demonstrated to 1 2 have the most significant overall impact on winter climate in eastern North America 3 (Burakowski et al. 2010; Hubeny et al. 2006). AMO had a particularly significant influence on climate, at least in inland regions, during the early 20th century, as 4 5 described below. In addition, other superimposed influences on climate variability 6 include periodic heightened volcanic activity (e.g. Gennaretti, et al. 2014), changes in 7 solar insolation (e.g. Gray et al. 2010), and in recent decades anthropogenicallyinfluenced climate change (e.g. IPCC 2013). 8

9

10 4.2.1 Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO)

Spectral analysis of the Julian calendar ice out dates for the 12 lakes used in this 11 12 study revealed prominent cycles that were coherent across the region and can be traced back as far as 1836. These cycles correlated well with the spectral analysis 13 results derived from the shorter MA thermometer records. The 2.1 and 2.3-2.4 cycles 14 identified in the ice out record and the 2.1-2.3 year cycles identified in the MA 15 temperature records are most likely attributable to the QBO. The QBO annual record 16 17 is characterized by 2.1-2.2 and 2.3-2.4 cycles, while the QBO Winter (DJFM) signal is characterized by similar 2.1 and 2.3-2.4 year cycles (Fig. 8). The QBO describes a 18 guasi-periodic 2.3-2.4 year oscillation between westerlies and easterlies in the 19 20 tropical stratosphere. The QBO impacts stratospheric circulation during northern hemisphere winters. In particular, westward phases of the QBO often coincide with 21 22 abrupt stratospheric warming and cold winters in Northern Europe and eastern North 23 America (Baldwin et al. 2001).

1 CWT analysis results for the 12 lakes indicate that QBO has had a late winter 2 influence on ice out through the entire 1836-2013 record, and pulses on an approximately pentennial scale, particularly in southern, more coastal lakes (e.g. 3 4 Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, Damariscotta; Fig. 3). Sharma and Magnuson (2014) 5 analyzed ice out data from 13 lakes spread throughout the northern hemisphere, 6 including Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, Damariscotta, and Moosehead lakes, and also 7 observed that ice out throughout the northern hemisphere seems to be influenced by QBO, explaining up to 17.9% of the variance in their data. Our analysis indicates that 8 9 although the role of QBO on ice out is important, there seem to be multidecadal 10 intervals in several inland lakes when the QBO signal disappears entirely (e.g. ~1850-11 1870 in Moosehead Lake; late 1870s-~1905 in Moosehead, Oromocto, Rangeley, 12 Sebec, and West Grand Lake; late 1940s-~1970 in Moosehead, Rangeley, Sebec, West Grand Lake, and in Sunapee and Winnipesaukee, NH). This intermittent 13 14 oscillatory behavior has been observed in time series datasets elsewhere [e.g. Northeast Pacific (Patterson et al. 2013); southern New England (Hubeny et al. 15 2006); Greenland (Appenzeller et al. 1998)], where similar patterns been interpreted 16 17 as the phase interference of competing teleconnections. During intervals when the QBO signal is not expressed in the wavelets, particularly with the observed regular 18 19 pentennial pattern here, other teleconnections (e.g. NAO, AMO, ENSO) and other 20 climate drivers (e.g. volcanism, solar influences) swamp the QBO signal and it becomes indistinguishable from background noise. This does not preclude the 21 22 additional influence of stochastic processes on the observed time series.

23

1 4.2.2 North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) 2 The 2.7-3.0, 3.2-4.2, 5.5-6.4, and 7.6-8.0 year cycles identified in the ice out records (Fig. 4), and the 2.8-3.0, 3.4-4.2, 5.3-5.6, and 7.8-9 year cycles recognized in 3 4 the MA temperature records (Fig. 6) are difficult to correlate against a specific 5 teleconnection as they contain cycles that can be attributed to both ENSO and NAO. 6 The influence of NAO is significantly more prevalent in this region though (Burakowski 7 et al. 2010; Zielinski and Keim 2003; Fig. 8). Comparison of CWT analysis results for the MA thermometer record from Lewiston and ice out data from three nearby lakes 8 9 (Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, Damariscotta) indicate that the influence of cycles in the 10 3-5.5-year ENSO-NAO frequency range were particularly significant during the 1920's 11 and again in the 1940s (Fig. 4a,b), which correspond with intervals characterized by 12 significant NAO excursions (Hurrell, 2014). These same cycles are similarly prominent in the XWT analysis results (Fig. 4d). 13 14 El Niño is characterized by a pool of anomalously warm water that develops off the west coast of South America. Through teleconnections it influences global climate. 15 La Niña, the counterpart of El Niño is characterized by cold-water conditions in the 16 17 Eastern Pacific. Variation in water temperatures of the tropical eastern Pacific (EI 18 Niño and La Niña) that become coupled with air surface temperatures in the tropical 19 western Pacific are known as ENSO. This anomaly typically persists for nine months 20 to two years with an irregular return time of two to seven years (average approximately five years; Philander 1990). Not all ENSO events impact eastern North 21 22 America, but strong El Niño events are typically characterized by warmer than normal

winter conditions. In contrast, La Niña generally has little impact on winter weather in
the region (Chiodi & Harrison 2013).

3 The NAO is a measure of the difference in the atmospheric pressure at sea level 4 between the Icelandic Low and Azores High. The oscillation between these semi-5 permanent atmospheric features controls the direction of westerly winds and storm 6 tracks across the North Atlantic (Olsen et al. 2012). During a +NAO the Icelandic low 7 pressure system deepens, leading to colder winters in northern Canada and warmer temperatures in Europe. During a -NAO the anomaly is reversed with winters in New 8 9 England and Maritimes being characterized by periodic significant cold air outbreaks, 10 which are often slow to move away due to a blocking high in the mid-Atlantic (Zielinski 11 and Keim 2003). In addition, the highly meridional 500-mb pattern associated with 12 the –NAO phase favors the development of frequent low-pressure systems along the eastern seaboard, which often brings large storms to the region (Grenci and Nese 13 14 2010). The NAO is part of the AO and can potentially switch from one phase to another several times through a single season. The NAO is also associated with 15 longer quasi-periodicities that have been measured by the instrumental record at 3-6 16 17 and 7-8-years, with ~20, 50-70, ~170 and ~300 year periodicities observed in the 18 geologic record (Appenzeller et al. 1998; Hubeny et al. 2006; Olsen et al. 2012). The 19 CWT analysis results presented here suggests that NAO, and periodically ENSO, has 20 been a significant contributor to controlling ice out across the region throughout the entire record. However, as discussed in the context of QBO above, the influence has 21 22 been discontinuous (Fig. 3). Sharma and Magnuson (2014) similarly recognized the 23 possible influence of NAO and ENSO on ice out in Auburn, Cobbosseecontee,

Damariscotta and Moosehead lakes, which explained from 1.9-10% of the total 1 2 variance in their data. A similar influence at NOA and ENSO frequencies has been 3 observed in other lakes throughout the northern hemisphere (Livingstone 2000: 4 Sharma and Magnuson 2014). In an analysis of the varying influence of local climate 5 and teleconnections on lake ice cover on Lake Mendota, Wisconsin, Ghanbari et al. (2009) concluded that the Pacific Decadal Oscillation and NAO were the most 6 7 significant influences on ice cover. They observed that the influence of NAO was primarily transmitted through snow cover, and as observed in this study, temperature. 8 9

10 4.2.3 Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO)

11 The AMO has been characterized by a guasi-periodic ~64 year cycle through the last 12 450 years (Fortin and Lamoureux 2009; Fig. 8), which has a duration of about 30-35 years per phase (Knudsen et al. 2011). Modeling results suggest that the AMO may 13 14 be derived from an oscillatory component in the strength of North Atlantic thermohaline circulation (Dima and Lohmann, 2007) and is characterized by a 15 coherent pattern of sea surface temperature variability between "warm" and "cold" 16 17 phases in the North Atlantic with any linear trends removed (Schlesinger and 18 Ramankutty 1994; Fig. 3). The highly significant 34-year spectral analysis signal 19 recognized in the Lake Utopia record (Fig. 5) and 32-year cycle recognized in the MA 20 thermometer record from Orono (Fig. 6; Sup. Table 4) may be recording the influence of individual AMO phases. Spectral analysis also revealed a 16.2.-16.7-year cycle in 21 22 several inland lake ice out records (Oromocto, Moosehead, Sebec, West Grand), 23 which may represent AMO harmonic signals (Fig. 5). Harmonic signals are common

1 features of climate cycles and are generally characterized by component frequencies 2 of the fundamental frequency that is an integer multiple or fraction of the fundamental 3 frequency (Burroughs 2007). In this case the observed 16.2-16.7 year cycles would 4 be a second subharmonic of the primary AMO multidecadal signal. This hypothesis is 5 supported by Ruiz-Barradas et al. (2013) who reported a similar 16-24 year harmonic 6 within the AMO. The expression of the \sim 16-year cycle observed in these four lakes 7 lakes is slightly different though. While in Sebec Lake a 16.2 year CWT cycle is found through the entire record, in Oromocto, West Grand and Moosehead lakes the 8 9 pattern only develops after ~1925 (Fig. 3, Sup. Fig. 3). The expression of AMO on 10 ice out in inland lakes and not coastal sites is supported by previous research that 11 found the imprint of AMO on continental hydroclimate was significantly greater inland 12 in this region than along the coast (Fortin and Lamoureux 2009).

The 25.3-29-year cycles found in the records from six of the lakes in the study are difficult to interpret as they are slightly too short to correlate with AMO phases and slightly too long to represent the 16-24-year AMO harmonic.

16

17 4.3 Climate drivers of ice out data

In the ice out record presented here there are two periods of rapidly changing ice out trends, one occurring in the late 19th century to early 20th century and the second beginning in the late 20th century and continuing to the present. The late 19th centuryearly 20th century ice out dates in these lakes were ~six days earlier than the earliest part of the ice out record. This shift occurred during the thermal recovery from Little Ice Age (Bradley and Jones 1993; Fagan 2001), and has been demonstrated in other

1 climate records, (and thus probably applicable to ice out dates) to be strongly 2 influenced by an increase in solar insolation (Gray et al. 2010). Since the late 1970s 3 ice out trends in the lakes studied here have trended earlier than at any time since record keeping began in AD1836 (Fig. 2; Sup. Table 1). In the late 20th century-early 4 21st century it has been suggested that solar insolation has had significantly less 5 6 influence on climate, particularly since the early 1980s (Rind et al. 2014). The 7 significant increase in anthropogenically-produced atmospheric CO₂ concentrations through the 20th century is often invoked to explain late 20th century temperature 8 9 increase (IPCC 2013). There is a strong statistical correlation between the ice out 10 records presented in this research and atmospheric CO₂ levels (Sup. Table 3). Ice out dates also correlated strongly with the AMO Index, particularly for the more 11 12 continental climate regime inland lakes of the study (Sup. Table 3). A shift to a +AMO phase developed in the mid 1990s (Fortin and Lamoureux 2009; Kavvada et al. 13 2013), which corresponds well with the continued trend to earlier ice out dates. The 14 15 subsequent development of negative Pacific Decadal Oscillation by 2000, amplified the impact of the +AMO, significantly impacting the climate of this region (McCabe et 16 17 al. 2004; Rowe and Derry 2012; Wyatt et al. 2012). This shift accelerated the trend toward even warmer MA temperatures (Knight et al. 2006; Ning and Bradley 2014; 18 Sutton and Hodson 2005) and resultant earlier ice out dates. Additional research is 19 20 required to elucidate the long-term trends in ice out, and the relative impacts of anthropogenic climate change and other long-term cycles such as AMO. 21

22

Acknowledgments This research was supported by a Natural Sciences and 1 2 Engineering Research Council (NSERC) Discovery grant to RTP. We thank three 3 anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions. We thank Clayton 4 Piercy and the Oromocto Lake Association for providing the Oromocto Lake, New 5 Brunswick ice out record as well as Larry Parks of the Lake Utopia Preservation 6 Association for providing the Lake Utopia, New Brunswick ice out data. We also thank the generations of citizen scientists who collected the ice out data for all the 7 lakes used in this study. 8 9

1 References

2	Anderson DM, Mauk EM, Wahl ER, Morrill C, Wagner AJ, Easterling D,
3	Rutishauser T (2013) Global warming in an independent record of the past
4	130 years. Geophys Res Lett 40:189-193
5	Appenzeller C, Stocker TF, Anklin M (1998) North Atlantic Oscillation dynamics
6	recorded in Greenland ice cores. Science 282:446-449.
7	Arhonditsis, GB, Winder M, Brett MT, Schindler DE (2004) Patterns and
8	mechanisms of phytoplankton variability in Lake Washington (USA). Water
9	Res 38:4013-4027
10	Assel RA, Robertson DM (1995) Changes in winter air temperatures near Lake
11	Michigan 1851-1993 as determined from regional lake ice out record.
12	Limnol Oceanogr 40:815-821
13	Baldwin MP, Gray LJ, Dunkerton TJ, Hamilton K, Haynes PH, Randel WJ, Holton
14	JR, Alexander MJ, Hirota I, Horinouchi T, Jones, DBA, Kinnersley, JS,
15	Marquardt, C, Sato K, Takahash M (2001) The quasi-biennial oscillation.
16	Rev Geophys 39:179–229
17	Benson BJ, Magnuson JJ, Jensen OP, Card VM, Hodgkins G, Korhonen J,
18	Livingstone DM, Stewart KM, Weyhenmeyer GA, Granin NG (2012) Extreme
19	events, trends, and variability in Northern Hemisphere lake ice phenology (1855-
20	2005). Climatic Change 112:299-323
21	Blenckner T, Omstedt A, Rummukainen M (2002) A Swedish case study of
22	contemporary and possible consequences of climate change on lake function.
23	Aquat Sci 64:171-184

1	Bradley RS, Jones PD (1993) 'Little Ice Age' summer temperature variations: their
2	nature and relevance to recent global warming trends. The Holocen 3,4:367-376.
3	Burakowski EA, Braswell RH, Wake CP, Bradbury J, Brown DP (2010) Influence of
4	NAO, ENSO, and AMO on northeast US winter temperatures and snow cover:
5	1949-2005. Carbon Solutions New England Report, 12 p.
6	Burroughs WJ (2007) Climate Change: A Multidisciplinary Approach. Cambridge
7	University Press 2 nd Ed. 390 p.
8	Chiodi AM, Harrison DE (2013) El Niño Impacts on Seasonal U.S. Atmospheric
9	Circulation, Temperature, and Precipitation Anomalies: The OLR-Event
10	Perspective. J Climate 26:822-837
11	Cleveland WS 1979. Robust locally weighted fitting and smoothing scatterplots. J
12	Am Stat Assoc 74:829-836.
13	Cleveland WS 1981. A program for smoothing scatterplots by robust locally
14	weighted fitting. Am Stat 35:54.
15	Dima M, Lohmann G (2007) A Hemispheric Mechanism for the Atlantic
16	Multidecadal Oscillation. J Climate 20:2706–2719
17	Duguay CR, Prowse TD, Bonsal BR, Brown RD, Lacroix MP, Ménard P (2006)
18	Recent trends in Canadian lake ice cover. Hydrol Process 20:781-801
19	Fagan B (2001) The Little Ice Age: How Climate Made History 1300-1850. Basic
20	Books, New York, 246 p
21	Fortin D, Lamoureux SF (2009) Multidecadal hydroclimatic variability in
22	northeastern North America since 1550 AD. Clim Dyn 33:427-432.

1	Futter MN (2003) Patterns and trends in southern Ontario lake ice phenology.
2	Environ Monit Assess 88:31-444.
3	Ghanbari RN, Bravo HR, Magnuson JJ, Hyzer WG, Benson BJ (2009) Conherence
4	between lake ice cover, local climate and teleconnections (Lake Mendota,
5	Wisconsin). J Hydrol 374:282-293.
6	Gennaretti F, Arseneault D, Nicault A, Perreault L, Bégin Y (2014) Volcano-induced
7	regime shifts in millennial tree-ring chronologies from northeastern North
8	America. P Nat Acad Sci USA doi: 10.1073/pnas.1324220111
9	Gray LJ, Beer J, Geller M, Haigh JD, Lockwood M, Matthes K, Cubasch U,
10	Fleitmann D, Harrison G, Hood L, Luterbacher J, Meehl GA, Shindell D, van
11	Geel B, White W (2010) Solar influences on climate. Rev Geophys
12	48:RG4001 doi:10.1029/2009RG000282
13	Grenci LM, Nese JM (2010) A world of weather: fundamentals of Meteorology.
14	Kendall Hunt Publishing, 5 th Ed.
15	Grinsted A., Moore JC, Jevrejeva S (2004) Application of the cross wavelet
16	transform and wavelet coherency to geophysical time series. Nonlinear
17	Proc Geoph 11:561-566
18	Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST, paleontological statistics
19	software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4:9
20	p.
21	Hodgkins GA (2010) Historical ice-out dates for 29 lakes in New England, 1807-2008.
22	USGS Open File Report 2010-1214, 32 p.

1	Hodgkins GA (2013) The importance of record length in estimating the magnitude of
2	climate changes: an example using 175 years of lake ice-out dates in New
3	England. Climatic Change 119:705-718
4	Hodgkins GA, James IC II, Huntington TG (2002) Historical changes in lake ice
5	out dates as indicators of climate change in New England, 1850–2000. Int J
6	Climatol 22:1819–1827
7	Hubeny JB, King JW, Santos A (2006) Subdecadal to multidecadal cycles of
8	Late Holocene North Atlantic climate variability preserved by estuarine fossil
9	pigments. Geology 34: 569-572
10	Huntington TG, Hidgkings GA, Dudley RW (2003) Historical trend in river ice
11	thickness and coherence in hydroclimatological trends in Maine: Climatic
12	Change 61:217-236
13	Hurrell J, National Center for Atmospheric Research Staff (Eds) (2014) The Climate
14	Data Guide: Hurrell North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) Index (station-based).
15	Retrieved from https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-
16	atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-station-based. Last modified 20 Jun 2014.
17	Accessed Jul 9, 2014
18	IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
19	Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
20	Panel on Climate Change [Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner, G-K, Tignor, M,
21	Allen, SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia, Y, Bex V, Midgley PM (eds.)].
22	Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York,
23	NY, USA, 1535 pp

1	Kavvada A, Ruiz-Barradas A, Nigam S (2013) AMO's structure and climate
2	footprint in observations and IPCC AR5 simulations. Clim Dyn 41:1345-
3	1364
4	Knight JR, Folland CK, Scaife AA (2006) Climate impacts of the Atlantic
5	Multidecadal Oscillation. Geophys Res Lett 33, L17706,
6	doi:10.1029/2006GL026242
7	Knudsen MF, Seidenkrantz M-S, Jacobson BH, Kuijpers A (2011) Tracking the
8	Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation through the last 8,000 years. Nature
9	Communications 2:178 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1186
10	Korhonen J (2006) Long-term trends in lake ice cover in Finland. Proceedings of
11	the 18 th IAHR International Symposium on Ice. 28 August – 1 September,
12	Sapporo, Japan, p. 71-78
13	Kuusisto E (1987) An analysis of the longest ice observation series make on
14	Finnish lakes. Aqua Fennica 17:123-132.
15	Kuusisto E, Elo A-R (2000) Lake and river ice variables as climate indicators in
16	Northern Europe. Verh Internat Verein Limnol 27:2761-2764
17	Lees JM, Parks J. (1995) Multi-taper Spectral Analysis: A Stand-alone C
18	Subroutine. Comput Geosci 21:199-236
19	Lewis N, Curry JA (2014) The implications for climate sensitivity of AR5 forcing
20	and heat uptake estimates. Clim Dyn DOI 10.1007/s00382-014-2342-y
21	Livingstone DM (2000) Large-scale climatic forcing detected in historical
22	observations of lake ice break-up. Verh Internat Verein Limnol 27:2775-
23	2783

1	Livingstone DM, Adrian R (2009) Modeling the duration of intermittent ice cover
2	on a lake for climate-change studies. Limnol Oceanogr 54:1709-1722
3	Magnuson JJ, Robertson DM, Benson BJ, Wynne RH, Livingstone DM, Arai T,
4	Assel RW, Barry RG, Card V, Kuusisto E, Granin NG, Prowse TD, Stewart
5	KM, Vuglinski VS (2000) Historical trends in lake and river ice cover in the
6	northern hemisphere. Science 289:1743-1746
7	Magnuson JJ, Benson BJ, Kratz TK (2004) Patterns of coherent dynamics within
8	and between lake districts at local to intercontinental scales. Boreal Environ
9	Res 9:359-369
10	Magnuson JJ, Benson BJ, Jensen OP, Clark TB, Card V, Futter MN, Soranno
11	PA, Stewart KM (2005) Persistence of coherence of ice-off dates for inland
12	lakes across the Laurentian Great Lakes region. Verh Internat Verein
13	Limnol 29: 521-527
14	Maine Department of Environmental Protection (2013) The economics of lakes –
15	Dollars and \$ense. http://www.maine.gov/dep/water/lakes/research.html.
16	Accessed May 21 2014
17	Maraun D, Kurths J (2004) Cross wavelet analysis: significance testing and
18	pitfalls. Nonlinear Proc Geoph 11:505-514
19	Maraun D, Kurths J (2004) Cross wavelet analysis: significance testing and
20	pitfalls. Nonlinear Proc Geoph 11:505-514
21	McCabe, GJ, Palecki, MA, Betancourt, JL (2004) Pacific and Atlantic Ocean
22	influences on multidecadal drought frequency in the United States P Nat
23	Acad Sci USA 101:4136-4141

New Brunswick Environment and Local Government (2014) The Importance of
 Lakes.

3	http://www2.gnb.ca/content/gnb/en/departments/elg/environment/content/w
4	ater/content/lakes/the importance oflakes.html. Accessed May 21 2014
5	Ning L, Bradley RS (2014) Winter precipitation variability and corresponding
6	teleconnections over the northeaster United States. J Geophys Res 119:
7	7931-7945
8	Olsen J, Anderson NJ, Knudsen MF (2012) Variability of the North Atlantic
9	Oscillation over the past 5,200 years. Nature Geoscience 5:808-812.
10	Patterson RT, Chang AS, Prokoph A, Roe HM, Swindles GT (2013) Influence of
11	the Pacific Decadal Oscillation and solar forcing on climate and primary
12	productivity changes in the northeast Pacific. Quatern Int 310:124-139
13	Robert Oglesby, R., Feng, S., Hu, Q., Clinton Rowe 2012 The role of the Atlantic
14	Multidecadal Oscillation on medieval drought in North America:
15	Synthesizing results from proxy data and climate models Global and
16	Planetary Change 84-85 (2012) 56–65
17	Philander SGH (1990) El Niño, La Niña, and the Southern Oscillation. Academic,
18	London.
19	Prowse T, Alfredsen K, Beltaos S, Bonsal B, Duguay C, Korhola A, McNamara J,
20	Pienitz R, Vincent WF, Vuglinsky V, Weyhenmeyer GA (2011) Past and
21	future changes in Arctic lake and river ice. Ambio 40:53-62
22	Rind DH, Lean JL, Jonas J (2014) The impact of different absolute solar
23	irradiance values on current climate model simulations. J. Climate 27:1100-

1120.

2	Robertson DM, Ragotzkie RA, Magnuson JJ (1992) Lake ice records use to
3	detect historical and future climatic changes. Climatic Change 21:407-427
4	Rowe CM, Derry LE (2012) Trends in record-breaking temperatures for the
5	conterminous United States. Geophys Res Lett 39: L16703
6	doi:10.1029/2012GL052775
7	Ruiz-Barradas A, Nigam S, Kavvada A (2013) The Atlantic Multidecadal
8	Oscillation in twentieth century climate simulations: uneven progress from
9	CMIP3 to CMIP5 Clim Dyn 41:3301-3315
10	Sagarin R (2001) False estimates of the advance of spring. Nature 414:600
11	Schulz M, Mudelsee M (2002) REDFIT, estimating red-noise spectra directly
12	from unevenly spaced paleoclimatic time series. Comput Geosci 28:421-
13	426
14	Schulz M, Stattegger K (1993) SPECTRUM, spectral analysis of unevenly
15	spaced paleoclimatic time series. Comput Geosci 23:929-945
16	Schwarzacher, W (1993) Cyclostratigraphy and the Milankovitch Theory.
17	Elsevier, Amsterdam, London
18	Schlesinger, M.E. and Navin Ramankutty (1994) An oscillation in the global
19	climate system of period 65-70 years. Nature 367:723-726
20	Sharma S, Magnuson JJ, Mendoza G, Carpenter SR (2013 Influence of local
21	weather, large-scale climatic drivers, and the ca. 11 year solar cycle on lake
22	ice breakup dates; 1905-2004. Climatic Change 118:857-870.

1	Sharma S, Magnuson JJ (2014) Oscillatory dynamics do not mask linear trends
2	in the timing of ice breakup for Northern Hemispher lakes from 1855 to
3	2004. Climatic Change 124:835-847
4	Shuter BJ, Minns CK, Fund SR (2013) Empirical models for forecasting changes
5	in the phenology of ice cover for Canadian lakes. Can J Fish Aquat Sci
6	70:982-991
7	Stocker TF, et al. (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
8	Summary For Policymakers. Cambridge University Press, 27 p.
9	Sutton RT, Hodson DLR (2005) Atlantic Ocean forcing of North American and
10	European summer climate. Science 309:115-118
11	Thomson DJ (1982) Spectrum estimation and harmonic analysis. P IEEE
12	70:1055-1096
13	Torrence C, Compo GP (1998) A practical guide to wavelet analysis. B AM
14	Meteorol Soc 79:61-78
15	Trewartha GT, Horn LH (1980) An introduction to climate. 5 th Ed. McGraw-Hill,
16	New York, NY. 416 p.
17	Vincent LA, Wang XL, Milewska EJ, Wan H, Yang F, Swail V (2012) A second
18	generation of homogenized Canadian monthly surface air temperature for
19	climate trend analysis, J Geophys Res 117:D18110,
20	doi:10.1029/2012JD017859
21	Weyhenmeyer GA, Meili M, Livingstone DM (2004) Nonlinear temperature
22	response of lake ice breakup. Geophys Res Lett 31:L07203,
23	doi:10.1029/2004GL019530

1	Wyatt MG, Kravtsov S, Tsonis AA (2012) Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and
2	Northern Hemisphere's climate variability. Clim Dyn 38:929-949
3	Zielinski GA, Keim BD (2003) New England Weather, New England Climate,
4	University Press of New England, Lebanon, NH, 296 p.
5	

1 Figures in Text

Fig. 1 Location map showing position of lakes and climate stations used. Vertical bars
adjacent to lakes provide relative indication of average Julian Day ice out dates for
two 30-year climate periods (1952-1982, left; 1983-2013, right). Scatter plots are for
mean "ice out" dates for inland (red dots) and southern/coastal lakes (blue dots)
versus distance from the coast, and elevation above mean sea level.

7

Fig. 2. A,B. Julian Day ice out dates plotted against calendar year for the lakes uses 8 9 in study (Auburn (AUB), Cobbosseecontee (COB), Damariscotta (DAM), Moosehead 10 (MOO), Oromocto (ORO), Rangeley (RAN), Sebec (SEB), Skiff (SKI), Sunapee 11 (SUN), Utopia (UTO), West Grand (WGL), Winnipesaukee (WIN)). A. Smoothed 12 (LOWESS, span - 0.1 +/- 95%) plots for compilation of available annual data for all lakes from 1876-2013. LOWESS smoothing was not provided for earlier ice out data 13 in the series, as it is dominated by records from typically warmer MA southern coastal 14 lakes with longer ice out records, which would give the illusion that ice out dates were 15 later in the mid-19th century. B. Smoothed (LOWESS, span – 0.1 +/- 95%) plots for 16 17 compilation of available data for southern coastal lakes AUB, COB and DAM from 1836-2013. Post 1876 results in B closely match the results for all lakes (A). C. 18 Average March-April air temperature data from climatological stations in Fredericton 19 20 (FRE), Hanover (HAN), Houlton (HOU), Lewiston (LEW) and Orono (ORO). Smoothed (LOWESS, span – 0.1 +/- 95%) plots for compilation of available data for 21 22 year included for 1893-2013. LOWESS smoothing was not provided for earlier March-23 April temperature data in the series, as it is comprised exclusively by the generally

cooler MA records from FRE in the northern part of the region. If this dataset were
included it would have given the inaccurate result that the climate recovery from the
Little Ice Age across the region was more dramatic than in reality.

4

5 Fig. 3 Absolute and smoothed (LOWESS, span = 0.1) plots of Julian Calendar ice out 6 dates for each lake used in study, as well as ice out wavelet scalograms in the time 7 domain for each lake. Orange and yellow areas in plots indicate high wavelet 8 coefficients (high spectral power), and blue color indicates low wavelet coefficients 9 (low spectral power) at specific wavelengths at specific locations in time. Muted color 10 regions bounded by thin white line delineate the cone of influence, which is the region 11 of the wavelet spectrum where edge effects become important. White text 12 corresponds to peaks above 95% false alarm level identified in the spectral analysis (see Fig. 5). 13

14

Fig. 4 Wavelet scalograms in the time domain for (a) March-April (MA) data from the 15 climate station at Lewiston, ME, and (b) ice out data from lakes Auburn, 16 17 Cobbosseecontee, and Damariscotta. Relative phase relationships are shown as in-18 phase arrows pointing right, anti-phase pointing left, variable 1 leading variable 2 19 pointing up and variable 2 leading variable 1 pointing down. The out of phase 20 relationship indicated corresponds to later ice out dates (higher values) corresponding to lower mean instrumental temperature (lower values) and vice versa. (c) Wavelet 21 22 coherence analysis and (d) cross-wavelet transformation results in the time domain 23 between the MA instrumental record from Lewiston (variable 1) and the lakes

1	(variable 2; Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, and Damariscotta). Relative phase
2	relationships as indicated by arrows are explained and defined in Figure 8 caption.
3	White text corresponds to peaks above 95% false alarm level identified in the spectral
4	analysis (see Figs. 5,6).
5	
6	
7	Fig. 5 Spectral analysis for Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, Damariscotta, Moosehead,
8	Oromocto, Rangeley, Sebec, Skiff, Sunapee, Utopia, West Grand, and
9	Winnipesaukee lakes. The AR1 and false alarm levels are shown on the diagrams.
10	Peaks above 90% false alarm level are considered statistically robust.
11	
12	Fig. 6 Spectral analysis for climate stations in Fredericton, NB; Hanover, NH;
13	Houlton, ME; Lewiston, ME; and Orono. ME. The AR1 and false alarm levels are
14	shown on the diagrams. Peaks above 90% false alarm level are considered
15	statistically robust.
16	
17	Fig. 7 Wavelet scalograms in the time domain for each climate station used in the
18	study. See Figure 3 for detailed description of components of wavelet plots. White
19	text corresponds to peaks above 90% false alarm level identified in the spectral
20	analysis (see Fig. 6).
21	
22	Fig. 8 Spectral analysis for the Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO, annual and winter
23	(DJFM), Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, annual and winter), North Atlantic

- Oscillation Climatic Research Unit (NAO CRU, annual and winter (DJFM), and
 Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation Climatic Research Unit (AMO CRU, annual and
 winter (DJFM). The AR1 and false alarm levels are shown on the diagrams. Peaks
 above the 90% false alarm level are considered statistically robust.

1 Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 1 View of Oromocto Lake, New Brunswick, from one of the 2 3 farms along the western margin of the Lake. Citizen scientist Clayton Piercy, pictured 4 in the lower right photo, lives in a house that overlooks the lake, and has documented 5 ice out dates since 1944. Ice out dates to as far back as 1876 were variously 6 gleaned from barn doors, dairy cow stalls, woodshed walls and cellarways from farms around the lake. Since record keeping began ice out dates for Oromocto Lake have 7 been consistently determined to be the date when the various coves of the lake are 8 9 open to navigation, which may be several days later than when the main body of the 10 lake is open to navigation. See Appendix 1 for list of observers and criteria used to 11 determine ice out for each lake.

12

Supplementary Fig. 2 Absolute and smoothed (LOWESS, span = 0.05) plots of
 mean March-April temperatures for the five climate stations used in the study.
 Station details are provided in Appendix 2.

16

Supplementary Fig. 3 a) Wavelet scalograms in the time domain for (a) annual Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation - Climatic Research Unit (AMO CRU) Index and (c) Sebec Lake ice out data. See Figure 3 for detailed description of components of wavelet plots. (b) Plot of annual AMO-CRU Index. Red shaded areas represent positive anomalies while blue shaded areas represent negative anomalies. (d) Coherence analysis and (e) cross-wavelet transformation results in the time domain between the Annual AMO-CRU Index (variable 1) and Sebec Lake ice out data

(variable 2). Relative phase relationships are shown as in-phase arrows pointing right,
 anti-phase pointing left, variable 1 leading variable 2 pointing up and variable 2
 leading variable 1 pointing down. The out of phase relationship indicated
 corresponds to later ice out dates (higher values) corresponding to lower mean

5 instrumental temperature (lower values) and vice versa.

- 6
- 7

2 Supplementary Tables

3

Supplementary Table 1. Julian calendar ice out dates for the 12 lakes from 4 5 New Hampshire, Maine and New Brunswick used in the study. 6 Supplementary Table 2. Mean March-April temperatures for five climate stations used in study. Specific details for each station is provided in Appendix 2. 7 8 **Supplementary Table 3.** Spearman rank correlations of ice-out data, 9 instrumental records for March-April and possible forcing mechanisms. All 10 instrumental MA and ice-out records are significantly correlated to each other at the p < 0.01 level. There is a significant correlation between atmospheric CO₂ 11 12 concentrations and the ice-out records as well as a correlation between annual Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation records and ice out records from inland lakes. 13 14 **Supplementary Table 4.** Summary of the cycles recognized in spectral analysis 15 of the Ice data, mean March-April temperatures for five climate stations used in 16 study, and climate forcing indexes for the Quasi-biennial Oscillation (mean and 17 December, January, February, March (DJFM), El Niño-Southern Oscillation 18 (ENSO; mean and DJFM); North Atlantic Oscillation – Climate Research Unit 19 (NAO-CRU; mean and DJFM), and Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (mean, 20 DJFM). Specific details for each lake and climate station are provided in 21 Appendix 2.

2 Appendices

3	Appendix 1. List of lakes used in study, including lake location, elevation of lake
4	above mean sea-level, duration of ice-out record, and observers. For some
5	lakes the criteria for determining ice dates are included.
6	
7	Appendix 2. List of climate stations used in study, including geographic location,
8	elevation of station above mean sea-level, and duration of March-April
9	temperature record.
10	
11	
12	
13	
-	



Fig. 1 Location map showing position of lakes and climate stations used. Vertical bars adjacent to lakes provide relative indication of average Julian Day ice out dates for two 30-year climate periods (1952-1982, left; 1983-2013, right). Scatter plots are for mean "ice out" dates for inland (red dots) and southern/coastal lakes (blue dots) versus distance from the coast, and elevation above mean sea level.



Fig. 2. A,B. Julian Day ice out dates plotted against calendar year for the lakes uses in study (Auburn (AUB), Cobbossecontee (COB), Damariscotta (DAM), Moosehead (MOO), Oromocto (ORO), Rangeley (RAN), Sebec (SEB), Skiff (SKI), Sunapee (SUN), Utopia (UTO), West Grand (WGL), Winnipesaukee (WIN)). A. Smoothed (LOWESS, span – 0.1 ±/- 95%) plots for compilation of available annual data for all lakes from 1876 onward. LOWESS smoothing was not provided for earlier ice out data in the series, as it is dominated by records from coastal lakes to the south, which would give the illusion that ice out dates were later in the mid-19th century, B. Smoothed (LOWESS, span – 0.1 ±/- 95%) plots for compilation of available data for southern coastal lakes AUB, COB and DAM from 1836 onward. Post 1876 results in B closely match the results for all lakes (A). C. Average March-April air temperature data from climatological stations in Fredericton (FRE), Hanover (HAN), Houlton (HOU), Lewiston (LEW) and Orono (ORO). Smoothed (LOWESS, span – 0.1 ±/- 95%) plots for compilation of available data for year included for 1893 onward. LOWESS smoothing was not provided for earlier March-April temperature data in the series, as it is comprised exclusively by the generally cooler records from FRE in the northern part of the region. If this dataset were included it would have given the inaccurate result that the climate recovery from the Little Ice Age across the region was more dramatic than in reality.



Fig. 3 Absolute and smoothed (LOWESS, span = 0.1) plots of Julian Calendar ice out dates for each lake used in study, as well as ice out wavelet scalograms in the time domain for each lake. Scalogram axes represent the logarithmic scaled period (wavelength). Orange and yellow areas in plots indicate high wavelet coefficients (high spectral power or amplitude), and blue color indicates low wavelet coefficients (low spectral power or absent amplitude) at specific wavelengths at specific locations. Muted color regions bounded by thin white line delineate the cone of influence, which is the region of the wavelet spectrum where edge effects become important. White text in the margin on the right edge of the wavelet plots correspond to peaks above 95% confidence identified identified using REDFIT spectral analysis (see Fig. 5).



Fig. 4 Wavelet scalograms in the time domain for (a) March-April (MA) data from the climate station at Lewiston, ME, and (b) ice out data from lakes Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, and Damariscotta. Relative phase relationships are shown as in-phase arrows pointing right, anti-phase pointing left, variable 1 leading variable 2 pointing up and variable 2 leading variable 1 pointing down. The out of phase relationship indicated corresponds to later ice out dates (higher values) corresponding to lower mean instrumental temperature (lower values) and visa versa. Scalogram axes represent the logarithmic scaled period (wavelength). (c) Coherence analysis and (d) cross-wavelet transformation results in the time domain between the MA instrumental record from Lewiston (variable 1) and the lakes (variable 2; Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, and Damariscotta). Relative phase relationships as indicated by arrows are explained and defined in Figure 8 caption. Scalogram axes represent the logarithmic scaled period (wavelength). White text in the margin on the right edge of the wavelet plots correspond to peaks above 95% confidence identified using REDFIT spectral analysis (see Figs. 5,6).



Fig. 5 REDFIT spectral analysis for Auburn, Cobbosseecontee, Damariscotta, Moosehead, Oromocto, Rangeley, Sebec, Skiff, Sunapee, Utopia, West Grand, and Winnipesaukee lakes. The AR1 and confidence intervals are shown on the diagrams. Peaks above 90% confidence interval are considered statistically robust.

Figure 6



Fig. 6 REDFIT spectral analysis for climate stations in Fredericton, NB; Hanover, NH; Houlton, ME; Lewiston, ME; and Orono. ME. The AR1 and confidence intervals are shown on the diagrams. Peaks above 90% confidence interval are considered statistically robust.



Fig. 7 Wavelet scalograms in the time domain for each climate station used in the study. See Figure 3 for detailed description of components of wavelet plots. Scalogram axes represent the logarithmic scaled period (wavelength). White text in the margin on the right edge of the wavelet plots correspond to peaks above 90% confidence identified identified using REDFIT spectral analysis (see Fig. 6).



Fig. 8 REDFIT spectral analysis for the Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO, annual and winter (DJFM), Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, annual and winter), North Atlantic Oscillation - Climatic Research Unit (NAO CRU, annual and winter (DJFM), and Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation - Climatic Research Unit (AMO CRU, annual and winter (DJFM). The AR1 and confidence intervals are shown on the diagrams. Peaks above 90% confidence interval are considered statistically robust.

Sup Table 1 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Sup. Table 1rev2_.Julian.Ice Out Values.pdf Sup Table 2 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Sup.Table.2.MA.Temps.pdf Sup Table 3 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Sup.Table.3.Spearman.pdf Sup Table 4 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Sup.Table.4.Cycle.Summary.pdf Sup Fig 1 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: 1.C.Piercy.small.caption.tif Sup Fig 2 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Sup.Fig.2.MA Temps.caption.tif Sup Fig 3 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Sup.Fig.3.Sebec.caption.tif Appendix 1 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Appendix 1rev.pdf Appendix 2 Click here to download Electronic Supplementary Material: Appendix 2rev.pdf