

This is a repository copy of *Nitrate postdeposition processes in Svalbard surface snow*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/81692/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bjorkman, M.P., Vega, C.P., Kuhnel, R. et al. (8 more authors) (2014) Nitrate postdeposition processes in Svalbard surface snow. Journal of Geophysical Research, 119 (22). 12,953-12,976. ISSN 0148-0227

https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD021234

An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright 2014 American Geophysical Union. Björkman, M. P., et al. (2014), Nitrate postdeposition processes in Svalbard surface snow, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 12,953–12,976, 10.1002/2013JD021234. To view the published open abstract, go to http://dx.doi.org and enter the DOI.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



- 1 Manuscript to: Journal of Geophysical Research
- 2 Text pages: 44 Tables: 3 Figures: 7

3

4 Title: Nitrate post-depositional processes in Svalbard surface snow

5

- 6 Authors: Mats P. Björkman^{1,2,3*}, Carmen P. Vega⁴, Rafael Kühnel^{1,2}, Francesca Spataro⁵,
- 7 Antonietta Ianniello⁵, Giulio Esposito⁵, Jan Kaiser⁶, Alina Marca⁶, Andy Hodson⁷, Elisabeth
- 8 Isaksson¹ and Tjarda J. Roberts⁸
- ¹Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, N-9296 Tromsø, Norway.
- ²Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1047 Blindern, 0316 Oslo,
- Norway.
- ³Now at: Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 460, SE-40530
- Göteborg, Sweden.
- ⁴Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Villavägen 16, SE-76236, Uppsala,
- Sweden.
- ⁵C.N.R.-Istituto sull'Inquinamento Atmosferico (IIA), Via Salaria Km 29.300, I-00015
- 17 Monterotondo Scalo (Roma), Italy.
- ⁶School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, U.K.
- ⁷Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K.
- 20 ⁸LPC2E, UMR 7328, CNRS-Université d'Orléans, 3A avenue de la Recherche
- 21 Scientifique, 45071 Orléans, Cedex 2, France.

*Corresponding author

22

- 23 Mats P. Björkman, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 460, SE-40530
- 24 Göteborg, Sweden.
- 25 E-mail: <u>mats.p.bjorkman@gmail.com</u>
- 26 Telephone number: +46 (0) 730581145
- 27 Key points
- NO₃ deposition and snowpack processes quantified by surface snow sampling
- NO₃ dry deposition dominates over post-dep. loss in Ny-Ålesund surface snow.
- 30 Br decreases whilst NO_3 $d(^{18}O)$ increases, suggesting tied BrO-NO_x chemistry
- 31 Abstract

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

The snowpack acts as a sink for atmospheric reactive nitrogen, but several post-depositional pathways have been reported to alter the concentration and isotopic composition of snow nitrate with implications for atmospheric boundary layer chemistry, ice core records and terrestrial ecology following snow-melt. Careful daily sampling of surface snow during winter (11 – 15 February, 2010) and spring-time (April 9 – May 5, 2010) near Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard reveals a complex pattern of processes within the snowpack. Dry deposition was found to dominate over post-depositional losses, with a net nitrate deposition rate of $(0.6\pm0.2) \,\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \,d^{-1}$ to homogeneous surface snow. At Ny-Ålesund, such surface dry deposition can either solely result from long-range atmospheric transport of $NO_{x,y}$ or include the re-deposition of photolytic/bacterial emission originating from deeper snow layers. Our data further confirm that polar basin air masses bring ^{15}N -depleted nitrate to Svalbard, while high nitrate $\delta(^{18}O)$ values only occur in connection with ozone-depleted air, and show that these signatures are reflected in the deposited nitrate. Such ozone-depleted air is attributed to active halogen chemistry in the air-masses advected to the site. However, here the Ny-Ålesund surface snow was shown to have an

active role in the halogen dynamics for this region, as indicated by declining bromide concentrations and increasing nitrate $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$, during high BrO (low ozone) events. The data also indicates that the snow-pack BrO-NO_x cycling continued in post-event periods, when ambient ozone and BrO levels recovered.

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Snowpack nitrate (NO₃⁻) can influence the chemical composition of the lower atmospheric boundary layer through photochemical release of nitrogen oxides (e.g. Honrath et al., 1999, Domine and Shepson, 2002; Grannas et al., 2007; Morin et al., 2009; Thomas et al., 2012). Even though snow is a highly reflective material, the shape and small size of snow grains favours a forward scattering of the light into the snowpack (Domine et al., 2008), creating a photo-active zone in the surface region of the snow cover (e.g. Qiu et al., 2002; Simpson et al., 2002; Galbavy et al., 2007). Following polar sunrise, photolysis of surface snow NO₃⁻ and the concomitant emission of nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) can alter the isotopic composition and concentration of NO₃ in snow and ice (Jarvis et al., 2008; Frey et al., 2009; Erbland et al., 2013). Furthermore, the removal of NO₃⁻ through HNO₃ evaporation from snow can also contribute to NO₃ isotopic and concentration changes (Frey et al., 2009; Erbland et al., 2013). In addition to these post-depositional processes, dry deposition of pollutants and further accumulation of snow will influence the isotopic composition and budget of NO₃, where the snow accumulation buries older layers and prevents further photolytic reactions (Jarvis et al., 2009; Erbland et al., 2013). Since several pathways have been described for the NO_x ($NO_x = NO + NO_2$) oxidation to nitric acid (HNO₃) in polar regions, e.g. involving ozone (O₃), hydroxyl (OH) and bromine monoxide (BrO) species (Russell et al., 1985; Evans et al., 2003; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Morin et al.,

2007b), atmospheric boundary layer chemistry is of key importance for the isotopic NO₃⁻ signature found in snow.

Here we present a detailed investigation of the processes governing the concentration and isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ (¹⁵N/¹⁴N and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O) in the most photo-active zone (upper 5 cm) of the snowpack at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard (Fig. 1). In order to highlight the effects of photolysis, the sampling period covered both the polar night and springtime during 2010. The data was analyzed in conjunction with atmospheric flux measurements of oxidized nitrogen; NO, NO₂, HNO₃ and particulate NO₃⁻ (p-NO₃⁻), that were conducted directly above the snowpack, and O₃ concentrations monitored both at Gruvebadet and at the nearby Zeppelin Station (Fig. 1). This study is used to infer the influence of BrO chemistry upon the snowpack nitrogen cycle for the first time in Svalbard.

1.2 Background

1.2.1 Long-range transport and deposition of oxidized nitrogen

Through *long-range atmospheric transport* (Fig. 2) oxidized nitrogen that is emitted at midlatitude regions can reach pristine Arctic environments (Rahn et al., 1980; Rahn, 1981; Dickerson, 1985; Stohl, 2006; Hirdman et al., 2010; Kühnel et al., 2011; Kühnel, 2013), often in the form of molecules with longer atmospheric residence time such as peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs) or p-NO₃⁻ (Beine et al., 1997; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). However, occasional rapid long-range transport events also occur, as recently identifed for Ny-Ålesund in the European high Arctic (e.g. Hodson et al., 2010, Kühnel 2013), which can transport pollutants with shorter life-times, such as NO_x, (or its oxidation product HNO₃) into the Arctic (Zien et al., 2014). The original isotopic composition of each NO_x source (e.g. forest fires or fossil fuel combustion, among other) depends on the oxidation process and origin of the nitrogen (N) and oxygen (O) (e.g. Kendall et al., 2007). In the Arctic spring, the long-range transported oxidized nitrogen pollutants, such as PANs, decompose and undergo local boundary layer NO_x-cycling, involving O₃, BrO or hydroperoxyl radicals (HO₂) and solar radiation (hv, Fig. 2). This cycling is followed by a NO_x-removal, where both a day time (through OH or HO₂) and a night time (through NO₃ and dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅)) conversion of NO₂ to HNO₃ occurs (Fig. 2, Russell et al., 1985; Dentener and Crutzen, 1993; Hanson and Ravishankara, 1995; Hanson et al., 1996; Evans et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2007b; Morin et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2012), where the N₂O₅ also can be directly deposited to the snow (e.g. Huff et al., 2011). For Arctic sites, HNO₃ production via the *BrO-pathway*, involving BrONO₂ (Fig. 2), is particularly important during episodes of BrO chemistry, which also causes low O₃ levels (e.g. Evans et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2007b). Nitric acid and p-NO₃ will then be removed from the atmosphere by snow, rain or dry deposition (Cadle, 1991; Bergin et al., 1995; Kuhn, 2001), and be deposited to the snow as NO₃ (Diehl et al., 1995; Abbatt, 1997). The snowpack therefore acts as an important sink and reservoir for atmospheric reactive nitrogen, with a unique isotopic NO₃ composition and concentration for each snow layer. For the N-isotopic composition, the *initial* snow signature typically resemble the original source of NO_x, this since the fractionation during NO_x-cycling and removal is considered to be low (Freyer, 1991). For the O-isotopes, the interaction and Oexchange with O₃, BrO, and OH during the NO_x-cycling and NO_x-removal will strongly influence the initial snow O-signature, typically masking any other processes or original source signatures (e.g. Michalski et al., 2003; Jarvis et al., 2009; Morin et al., 2009). Thus the initial Osignature provides insights into the oxidation processes that have occurred prior to deposition. These initial concentrations and isotopic imprints may, however, be altered by post-depositional snow processes as will be further outlined below (1.2.2.).

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

In Svalbard, the NO₃⁻ deposition and thereby the snow concentration is governed by wet deposition, where a few sporadic "strong" events dominate the total annual deposition (Kühnel et al., 2011). These "strong" deposition events are due to rapid transport of polluted European air masses (e.g. Hodson et al., 2010), which are occasionally channelled northward between a blocking anticyclone, situated over Scandinavia and Central Europe, and an incoming cyclone over the Atlantic (Kühnel, 2013). The typical transport time for such events are about 2 to 5 days and where the air-masses picks up in speed and humidity just prior to the arrival to Svalbard (Kühnel 2013). The estimated winter dry deposition of NO₃⁻ in this region is modest (approx. 14 %, Björkman et al., 2013), but nevertheless, of importance for the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ in snow when studying short term daily variations (Hastings et al., 2004).

1.2.2 Snowpack NO₃ photolysis and evaporation

Due to NO_x release from the photo-active zone of the snowpack, the deposited NO₃⁻ can play an important role in atmospheric boundary layer chemistry after the initial deposition (e.g. Thomas et al., 2012). The snowpack is therefore an active player in atmospheric chemical processes and not just a NO₃⁻ sink (Honrath et al., 1999). Snowpack NO₃⁻ photolysis, of relevance for the NO₃⁻ budget, is believed to occur at the very surface of snow crystals (Boxe and Saiz-Lopez, 2008), with reaction rates and quantum yields for photolytic processes similar to those in aqueous solutions (Bartels-Rausch et al., 2014). This region of the ice crystal has previously been referred to as a quasi-liquid layer (QLL, Kvlividz et al., 1970), but has lately been re-described as a disordered interface (DI, Bartels-Rausch et al., 2014). This new term emphasizes the disordered molecular structure that occurs at the surface of any crystal, created by the absence of molecular bonds towards its surface (Bartels-Rausch et al., 2014), instead of involving a liquid state analogy. The photolysis of NO₃⁻ within the DI and subsequent reactions

138 (Fig. 2) lead to the production of NO₂ and to a lesser extent NO (see Jacobi and Hilker, 2007; Boxe and Saiz-Lopez, 2008 for an overview of reaction pathways). The produced NO₂ and NO 139 can then diffuse out of the DI into firn air and thereafter into the atmospheric boundary layer 140 (e.g. Honrath et al., 1999; Jones et al., 2000; Beine et al., 2003). This photolytic initiated loss also alters the isotopic composition of snowpack NO₃, with an enrichment of ¹⁵N in the residual 142 NO₃ due to photolytic fractionation (Frey et al., 2009; Morin et al., 2009; Erbland et al., 2013). 143 On the other hand the O-composition of the remaining NO₃ will be strongly influenced by the 144 isotopic exchange between the photolytic products and OH-radical or H₂O within the DI 145 (McCabe et al., 2005; Frey et al., 2009). There is also evidence for nitrous acid (HONO) 146 production from irradiated snow (Fig. 2, Zhou et al., 2001; Beine et al., 2002a), due to the 147 interaction between nitrite (NO₂⁻) and a hydrogen ion (H⁺, e.g. Dominé and Shepson, 2002). 148 149 However, several other pathways have also been described; i.e. involving humic acids (e.g. Beine et al., 2008, Villena et al., 2001) or heterogeneous reactions in the firn (e.g. Jacobi and 150 Hilker, 2007), although the reaction steps in these pathways are not fully understood (Grannas et 152 al., 2007; Jacobi and Hilker, 2007; Beine et al., 2008; Boxe and Saiz-Lopez, 2008, Jacobi et al., 2014) and therefore not included in Fig. 2. Nitrate loss from the snow has also been found to be 153 due to evaporation (Fig. 2) of HNO₃, a process also favouring the loss of isotopically light NO₃ 154 155 (e.g. Frey et al., 2009). A recent study by Erbland et al. (2013) confirms that evaporation is an active player in post-depositional processes in areas with low snow accumulation rates and high 156 157 firn air ventilation, such as central Antarctic sites. However, the process has been shown to be 158 quantitatively modest compared to the loss through photolysis for these Antarctic sites (Erbland et al., 2013). Interestingly, laboratory studies of snow and ice have found no evidence for this 159 160 evaporative pathway (Chu and Anastasio, 2003; Sato et al., 2008).

141

151

Snowpack NO_x emissions, according to the above post-depositional processes, have been estimated for Arctic, Antarctic and mid-latitude snow (Dibb et al., 1998; Honrath et al., 1999; Honrath et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2001; Beine et al., 2002a). However, these studies are not necessarily directly applicable to the snowpack in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard (European high Arctic), where substantially lower snowpack NO_x, HNO₃, p-NO₃⁻ and HONO emissions have been reported in comparison to the other investigated regions (Beine et al., 2003; Amoroso et al., 2006; Amoroso et al., 2010).

1.2.3 Local biogeochemical contribution to snowpack NO₃⁻

The DI reactions described above mostly involve NO₃⁻ of non-local origin, deposited following long-range atmospheric transport. However, a more locally produced source of oxidized nitrogen has been suggested through microbial activity (Brooks et al., 1997; Ma et al., 2007; Miteva, 2008; Siciliano et al., 2009, Roberts et al., 2010). Svalbard snow is known to contain a diverse community of microorganisms (Amato et al., 2007; Larose et al., 2010), and the microbial assimilation of ammonium (NH₄⁺) might result in the production of gas phase NO, HNO₃ and HONO, even during winter (Fig. 2, Amoroso et al., 2010). Isotopic fractionation is expected through microbial assimilation of nitrogen compounds (e.g. Kendall, 1998), although during the nutrient limited conditions in a snowpack such nitrogen isotopic fractionation might not necessarily be expressed in the produced reactive nitrogen emissions (Amoroso et al., 2010). The resulting snowpack emission, under these conditions, would have an N-isotopic composition related to the N source (in this case, organic or mineral bound NH₄⁺) and an O-isotopic composition influenced by the surrounding water (Amoroso et al., 2010).

1.2.4 Re-cycling of NO_x snowpack emissions

The gas phase products of post-depositional and biogeochemical processes are emitted into the firn air and onwards to the lower atmospheric boundary layer, where further reactions may lead to a re-deposition of HNO₃ to the snow (Fig. 2, Hastings et al., 2004; Morin et al., 2007b; Jarvis et al., 2009). During spring and summer conditions, these reactions can have a diurnal pattern governed by day-time emissions and night-time deposition (Hastings et al., 2004). The isotopic composition of this re-deposited HNO₃ would then be influenced by the oxidation pathways undertaken, see Fig. 2 (e.g. Jarvis et al., 2009). Additionally, snow is a highly porous medium that undergoes a steady exchange of air with the surrounding atmosphere (Sturm and Johnson, 1991; Albert and Hardy, 1995; Colbeck, 1997; Albert et al., 2002; Frey et al., 2005). This exchange allows the boundary layer processes in Fig. 2 to occur also within the snowpack interstitial air pockets.

2. Methods

195 2.1 Field sampling

The top 5 cm of the snowpack was sampled close to Gruvebadet, 1 km outside the *Ny-Alesund International Arctic Research and Monitoring Facility* in Svalbard (78°55' N, 11°55' E, Fig. 1). Samples were collected between February 11 and 15 (*dark* campaign) and between April 9 and May 5 (*spring* campaign) during 2010. The sampling was undertaken at mid-day (11:00-13:00) during the *dark* campaign, and every morning (09:00 – 10:00) during the *spring* campaign. All snow samples were collected by inserting a pre-cleaned acrylic collars (height: 5cm, inner diameter: 10.4 cm, volume: 425 cm⁻³) vertically into the snowpack, and using pre-cleaned plastic shovels, clean overalls, face masks and powder-free gloves. Triplicate samples were collected approximately 10 m apart, resulting in a total of 96 samples. To account for variations in surface snow density throughout the campaign, several collars were filled next to

each other at each of the three triplicate sample locations, yielding a total sampled snow volume between 1.3 L and 3.8 L. The snow was transferred directly into clean black plastic zipper storage bags to prevent further photolysis, brought into the laboratory in Ny-Ålesund and melted at room temperature. Each melted snow sample was vacuum filtered (pore size 0.45 μ m, according to Hodson et al., 2005), bottled in 50 ml-tubes, refrozen and shipped for analysis of major ions and NO₃⁻ stable isotopes. To minimize contamination between samples, vacuum units and sample tubes were rinsed three times with sample water or, in the case of low sample volume, ultra-pure water (>18 M Ω). Field-blanks were collected along with the samples to check for contamination. Blank bags were opened and closed during sampling (without any snow addition), filled with 100 mL ultra-pure water, and then treated and analysed like the rest of the samples. Furthermore, during the spring campaign, an extra 50 ml sterile sampling tube was filled with the top 5 cm surface snow next to each sampling point for an opportunistic complementary major ion analysis performed on-site.

2.2 Laboratory analysis

2.2.1 Major ions

The samples were analyzed for NO_3^- , chloride (Cl⁻) and sodium (Na⁺) by ion chromatography at the Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, UK using two separate systems (Dionex DX 90 ion chromatographs, 4400 integrators, AS40 autosamplers) with Dionex columns AS14A and CS12A for anions and cations respectively. Standards (range 100 to 2000 μ g L⁻¹) were prepared every day from 1000 mg L⁻¹ Merck CertiPUR stock standards. The analytical precision (1 standard deviation, 1 σ) estimated from repeat analyses of multi element reference standards (Merck CertiPUR) were 1.4 and 1.6 %, respectively, for the anions Cl⁻ and NO_3^- , and 0.06 % for the cation Na^+ . Based on repeat analyses of separate filter aliquots, the analytical

precision including sample treatment was better than 5 % for each ion. Detection limits (D.L.) defined as 3σ for the analytic blanks were 0.17, 0.21 and 0.34 μ mol L⁻¹ for Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and Na⁺, respectively.

The complementary spring-time 50 ml-snow samples and the diffusion line preparations (described below in section 2.3.2) were analyzed for NO₃-, Cl⁻, Na⁺, NO₂- and bromide (Br⁻) in Ny-Ålesund by the Institute of Atmospheric Pollution Research – National Research Council of Italy (IIA-CNR). Melted snow was analyzed without pre-treatment by ion chromatography analytical technique (Dionex ICS 90 coupled with an AS50 auto-sampler and using Dionex AS14 and CS12 columns). A multipoint calibration was performed using six standards in the range 5 to 1000 μg L⁻¹, obtaining linear responses. Dilutions were carried out for more concentrated samples. Calibration solutions were prepared every second week from 1000 mg L⁻¹ standard solutions (Merck). Control samples (1000 μg L⁻¹ calibration solution) were analyzed every seven samples in order to re-calibrate the ion chromatograph. The variation in the concentration of these control samples ranged within 0.5 – 1 %. The analytical precision errors from repeated analyses of a calibration solution (500 μg L⁻¹), were 1.13, 0.73, 0.35, 0.43 and 1.31 %, respectively, for Cl⁻, NO₂-, Br⁻, NO₃- and Na⁺. Detection limits of 46. 5, 14.3, 4.9, 6.9 and 35.8 nmol L⁻¹ were determined for Cl⁻, NO₂-, Br⁻, NO₃- and Na⁺, respectively.

2.2.2 NO₃ isotopic composition

The ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O isotopic composition of NO₃ were analyzed at the School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK, using the bacterial denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001; Coplen et al., 2004; Kaiser et al., 2007) where the *Pseudomonas* aureofaciens strain was utilized. Values presented here are denoted as isotope deltas, δ (¹⁵N) and

 $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ (IUPAC nomenclature: $\delta(^{15}\text{N}, ^{14}\text{N}, \text{NO}_3^-)$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{O}, \text{NO}_3^-)$, respectively), and 252 expressed with respect to an international standard in % (10⁻³, per mil) (Eq. 1).

$$\delta_{\text{sample}} = \frac{R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{ref}}}{R_{\text{ref}}}$$
 Eq. 1

Here R represents the 15 N/ 14 N or 18 O/ 16 O ratio in the sample (sample) and reference (ref) respecively, where atmospheric nitrogen (Air-N₂) was used as nitrogen reference and Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) was used as oxygen reference. Positive delta values indicate an enrichment of the heavier isotope (or a depletion of the lighter isotope) compared to the standard. To calibrate the isotope delta values, the international nitrate reference material IAEA-NO-3 was used, assuming it has δ (15 N) = 4.7 % vs. Air-N₂ and δ (18 O) = 25.61 % vs. VSMOW (Böhlke et al., 2003). The δ (15 N) values reported here have not been corrected for any non-mass dependent 17 O contribution to the m/z 45 ion current during mass-spectrometric analysis. However, δ (17 O) and δ (18 O) are usually well correlated and the true δ (15 N) was estimated to be 1-2 % lower than the reported values. The bacterial denitrifier method requires a minimum of 20 nmol NO₃ (optimum being 50 nmol) in at most 10 mL of solution. Consequently, only samples above this limit were analyzed (n = 87).

2.3 Air-snow fluxes

2.3.1 Surface snow net change

By following the concentration change in surface snow over time, it is possible to evaluate the overall net change due to surface snow processes occurring after wet deposition. This method has previously been used to evaluate NO_3^- dry deposition to snow (Cadle et al., 1985; Johansson and Granat, 1986; Cadle, 1991; Cress et al., 1995). The net change (F_{net}) can be calculated as (Björkman et al., 2013):

273
$$F_{\text{net}} = -\frac{c_0 V_t - c_t V_t}{At}$$
 Eq. 2

where c is the molar NO_3^- concentration in snow, V the melted volume of the sample, A the 274 surface area of the snow sample (in this study equal to the cross section area of the sampling 275 276 collar), and where t is the exposure time between the initial sample (index: 0) and the final sample (index: t). In Björkman et al., (2013) the dry deposition was calculated as an atmospheric 277 loss, giving negative numbers, whereas here the surface gain is of interest, hence a negative sign 278 279 is used in Eq. 2 to account for this. Furthermore, Eq. 2 will be valid as a pure dry deposition estimate only if no other NO₃ post-depositional processes take place and snow-water 280 sublimation is negligible. In all other cases, Eq. 2 will describe the net effect of the various 281 282 processes. In terms of the isotopic composition, Eq. 2 can be written as:

283
$$F_{\text{net}} (1 + \delta_{\text{net}}) = -k \left[c_0 (1 + \delta_0) - c_t (1 + \delta_t) \right]$$
 Eq. 3

- where k is the deposition velocity given as: $k = V_t / (At)$, δ_{net} is the isotopic composition of the net
- change, whereas δ_0 and δ_t are the initial and final isotopic composition of NO₃. Equation 3 can
- be expressed as:

287
$$0 = c_t(\delta_t - \delta_{\text{net}}) - c_0(\delta_0 - \delta_{\text{net}})$$
 Eq. 4

288 which then can be rearranged to give:

$$\delta_{\text{net}} = \frac{c_t \delta_t - c_0 \delta_0}{c_t - c_0}$$
 Eq. 5

- Eq. 5 then gives the change in the isotopic signature (δ_{net}) and is equal to the isotopic composition of the dry deposited NO₃⁻ if other post-depositional processes are negligible.
- 292 $2.3.2 \text{ NO}_x, \text{HNO}_3$ and p-NO₃ flux measurements
- In addition to the snow sampling, atmospheric flux measurements of NO, NO₂, HNO₃ and pNO₃ to and from the snowpack were conducted by IIA-CNR outside Gruvebadet (200 m from

the snow sampling site) during the period April 9 to 27 in 2010. The NO and NO₂ concentrations were measured on a 6 minute basis, using a modified commercial two-channel high-sensitivity chemiluminescence detector (Sonoma Technologies, USA, Beine et al., 2002b; Amoroso et al., 2010). The two channels sampled air from dual inlets at 0.3 m and 1.5 m above the surface snow, respectively. Nitric oxide detection was based on the chemiluminescence signal produced by the reaction between NO and O₃, which was photolytically generated in a 150 ml min⁻¹ flow rate of O₂ by a corona discharge O₃ generator. Nitrogen dioxide was detected as NO following photolysis between 350 and 420 nm by light-emitting diodes. The instrument was calibrated daily with 5.0 μmol mol⁻¹ of gaseous NO (NIST traceable NO standard, Scott-Marrin, in N₂) at a flow rate of 2.0 ml min⁻¹ into the sampling flow (about 1200 ml min⁻¹), corresponding to a NO addition of 8.3 nmol mol⁻¹. The NO detection limit was determined as 3σ of the observed scatter in the instrument signal and corresponded to 2.5 pmol mol⁻¹ for 1 h averages.

Measurements of HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ concentrations were made by two independent diffusion lines (Beine et al., 2001; Perrino et al., 2001; Ianniello et al., 2002; Ianniello et al., 2007) with inlets also at 0.3 and 1.5 m above the snow surface. The HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ concentration was measured on a 12 h basis from April 10 to 16, while a time resolution of 24 h was used from April 17 to 27. The diffusion lines used in this study included a denuder train consisting of two sodium fluoride (1% NaF in 9:1 ethanol/water solution) coated denuders for HNO₃ sampling. The atmospheric HNO₃ concentrations were calculated by subtracting the analyte mass (expressed as NO₃⁻) in the second NaF coated denuder from the analyte mass in the first NaF coated denuder (Febo et al., 1989). The denuder train was followed by a cyclone (2.5 μm aerodynamic diameter cut-off point) and a filter pack set in series, for the collection of particulate matter in the coarse and fine fractions, respectively. The filter pack included a Teflon

filter (Whatman Teflon, 47 mm, 1 μm pore size), a nylon filter (Nylosorb Gelman, 47 mm, 1 μm pore size), a Na₂CO₃-glycerol impregnated paper filter (Whatman 41) and a H₃PO₃ coated paper filter. The last three filters were used to collect chemical species evaporated from the Teflon filter (Ianniello et al., 2002; Ianniello et al., 2011; Spataro et al., 2013). The sampling flow rate was 15 L min⁻¹, and typical sampling volumes of 11.9 m³ and 23.5 m³ were obtained for 12 h and 24 h sampling periods, respectively. After sampling, the denuders, cyclone and filters were extracted and these samples were analyzed within 24 h by using the IIA-CNR Ion Chromatography system described in section 2.2.1. Under these conditions, the collection efficiency for both HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ was >99%. The D.L. of HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ (calculated as 3σ of field blanks) were 2.95 ng m⁻³ and 1.42 ng m⁻³, respectively on a 24 h measurement period, while the precision errors of these measurements were 2.54 % at 20 ng m⁻³ and 0.73 % at 79 ng m⁻³, respectively. Here we focus only on HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ data, although the diffusion line sampling system did allow us to also collect other gaseous and particulate compounds, which will be discussed elsewhere.

The fluxes of NO, NO₂, HNO₃ and p-NO₃ (hereafter F_{NO} , F_{NO2} , F_{HNO3} and F_{p-NO3} , respectively) were determined combining the two height gradient sampling with atmospheric turbulence measurements. The difference between the measured concentrations at the two sampling heights is in this work referred to a concentration difference (Δ = lower height – upper). Hence, a positive difference implies emission of the measured species from the snow surface.

Using the measured concentration differences and the atmospheric eddy diffusivities (K) for the same period, the atmospheric fluxes for NO, NO₂, HNO₃ and coarse and fine particulate NO₃⁻¹ were derived (Flux = $\Delta \times K$) as detailed elsewhere (Sozzi et al., 1998; Ianniello et al., 2002; Beine et al., 2003 and references therein). Diffusivities were obtained from atmospheric

turbulence measurements made at a frequency of 10 Hz by using an UVW tripropeller anemometer (Metek, USA-T1), which was placed at 1.5 m above the snowpack, assuming neutral boundary layer conditions.

For the purpose of this study these fluxes have been averaged to daily emissions, depositions and net fluxes (from 09:00 onwards) for comparison with the surface snow data.

2.3.3 Dry deposition estimates

If the atmospheric concentration (c_{atm}) of HNO₃ and p-NO₃ are measured, the expected dry deposition flux ($F_{dry\text{-dep}}$) can be modeled as long as the deposition velocities (v_d) are known (e.g. Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006):

$$F_{\text{dry-dep}} = v_{\text{d}}c_{\text{atm}}$$
 Eq. 6

In a recent study covering the same *spring* campaign, the dry deposition of HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ was both modelled and measured for Ny-Ålesund (Björkman et al., 2013). Median deposition velocities were estimated to be 0.63 cm s⁻¹ for HNO₃ and 0.0025 or 0.16 cm s⁻¹ for p-NO₃⁻, particle size 0.7 and 7 μ m in diameter, respectively (Björkman et al., 2013). The combined gaseous and particulate dry deposition, $F_{\text{model}}(\text{LND})$ (referring to the modelled HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ dry deposition rates obtained using a lognormal distribution (LND) for NO₃⁻ aerosols sizes in Björkman et al., (2013)), will be used here for comparison with the surface snow and flux measurements, and for further modelling purposes. Björkman et al., (2013) also measured the actual NO₃⁻ dry deposition (F_{tray}) to snow using a "bucket" approach (e.g. Cress et al., 1995) and found an average deposition of (0.04±0.02) mg m⁻² d⁻¹ (equal to (0.7±0.3) μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹) which will also be used for further modelling purposes. An overview of NO₃⁻ dry deposition in the Arctic, and a full description of these dry deposition estimates are given by Björkman et al. (2013).

2.3.4 Additional observations

Meteorological data from Ny-Ålesund, in particular precipitation data, were provided by the Norwegian Meteorological Institute (DNMI) and are available at http://www.eklima.no. The atmospheric concentration of O₃ (c_{O3}) is continuously measured by the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU) at the nearby Zeppelin atmospheric research station (474 m a.s.l, Fig. 1), available at http://ebas.nilu.no. During the spring campaign 2010, O₃ concentrations were also recorded by IIA-CNR at Gruvebadet until April 27. NILU also provides an online base atmospheric transport model, FLEXTRA (http://www.nilu.no/projects/ccc/trajectories/). Using FLEXTRA air mass back-trajectories arriving to Ny-Ålesund were established for all sampling days at 00:00 and 12:00, with trajectories spanning 7 days back in time.

Additionally, sonic anemometer (Gill R3) and fast hygrometer (Campbell Scientific KH2O krypton) data from the Amundsen-Nobile Climate Change Tower (Fig. 1) were provided by The Institute for Atmospheric Science and Climate - National Research Council of Italy (ISAC-CNR, M. Mazzola and A. Viola, per. com.). The 10 minute average data sampled at 7.5 m above ground were used to evaluate water vapour flux as an indication of sublimation rates.

2.4 Models

2.4.1 Photolytic model

 NO_x production due to photolysis of NO_3^- has previously been modelled for Ny-Ålesund snow, using the solar zenith angle (θ_{SZA}) and the surface snow NO_3^- concentrations, with results comparable to NO_x emission measurements (France et al., 2010; France et al., 2011b). This model assumes that the photolysis of NO_3^- only follows the reaction $NO_3^- + hv \rightarrow NO_2 + O_3^-$ and

is, according to the reactions in Fig. 2, a simplification of the DI dynamics, but has the potential to provide useful insights into the NO₃⁻ loss through photolysis. For the purpose of this study, a photolytic rate function considering only the surface snow layer during clear sky conditions (France et al., 2010) will be used for comparison to the sampled top 5 cm of the snowpack. However, a depth integrated model would be more appropriate if bulk snowpack samples were under consideration (see France et al., 2010; France et al., 2011a; France et al., 2011b for further details). Here only surface snow was sampled, in order to avoid a potential disturbance of the post-depositional processes under investigation.

A polynomial function (Eq. 7) was fitted to the photolysis rates (J_{NO3-} , in s⁻¹) given in France et al. (2010) as a function of θ_{SZA} (in °), with R²= 0.9994 (Fig S1):

$$J_{\text{NO}_{3}^{-}} = 1.18e^{-14}\theta_{\text{SZA}}^{4} - 1.09e^{-12}\theta_{\text{SZA}}^{3} - 3.51e^{-11}\theta_{\text{SZA}}^{2} - 8.80e^{-10}\theta_{\text{SZA}} + 3.86e^{-7} \text{ Eq. } 7$$

where θ_{SZA} was extracted for Ny-Ålesund (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/grad/neubrew/) with 1 h resolution. Furthermore, to not induce any error from Eq. 7 when the sun is close to or below the horizon, J_{NO3-} was set to zero for $\theta_{SZA} > 89.77^{\circ}$.

The upper limit for the surface snow NO₂ emission ($F_{J \text{NO}3-}$) can then be calculated as:

$$F_{J_{\text{NO}_3}} = J_{\text{NO}_3} c_0 z_{\text{SWE}}$$
 Eq. 8

where c_0 is the concentration of NO₃⁻ (in mol m⁻³) and $z_{\rm SWE}$ is the snow water equivalence of the surface snow (in m). For lower NO₃⁻ concentrations, or unusually high NO₂ emissions, the surface snow NO₃⁻ concentration might change over the course of the day. To minimize this potential source of error, the time resolution of the photolysis calculations was set to 1 h in accordance with the $\theta_{\rm SZA}$ data. The $F_{J{\rm NO3}}$ emission given by Eq. 8 provides the flux in μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ units.

2.4.2 Box models

A box model, describing the main sources and sinks of NO_3^- in the snow, was applied to predict changes in concentration (c_{NO3}), $\delta(^{15}N)$ and $\delta(^{18}O)$ of NO_3^- . In this box model all outgoing fluxes were assumed to be due to photolysis of NO_3^- , and all incoming fluxes were assumed to be due to NO_3^- dry deposition. Hence, this is a simplification of the actual processes, which might for example also include evaporation of HNO₃ (see Fig. 2). However, as an initial assumption (and further justified below) photochemistry was assumed to be the major loss process for this surface snow study.

In the model, the change in c_{NO3} over time when the sun is below the horizon was expressed as Eq. 9, while Eq. 10 gives the change when both dry deposition and photolysis are present:

$$418 \qquad \frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{v_{\rm d}c_{\rm atm}A}{V_t}$$
 Eq. 9

$$419 \qquad \frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{v_{\rm d}c_{\rm atm}A}{V_t} - Jc$$
 Eq. 10

Here $v_d c_{atm}$ equals the dry deposition rates according to Eq. 8, and was attributed to the $F_{model}(LND)$ or F_{tray} in Björkman et al. (2013), while the product of photolytic rate (J) and snowpack concentration (c) constitutes the loss process. Note, in Eq. 10 and the following equations the photolytic rate of NO_3^- (J_{NO3-} in Eq. 7) has been substituted with J to keep the equations simple. Equations 9 and 10 can be integrated to give the new concentration (c_t):

$$c_t = \frac{v_d c_{\text{atm}} A t}{v_t} + c_0$$
 Eq. 11

426
$$c_t = \left(\frac{v_d c_{atm} A}{v_t J}\right) * (1 - e^{-Jt}) + c_0 e^{-Jt}$$
 Eq. 12

- where Eq. 11 and 12 represent periods when the sun is below or above the horizon, respectively.
- The isotopic composition of NO_3^- remaining in the snow (δ_t) can then be expressed as:

$$\delta_t = \left(\frac{c'_t}{c_t} - 1\right) / R_{\text{ref}}$$
 Eq. 13

430 Similarly to Eq. 11 and 12, c'_t are given by:

431
$$c'_t = \frac{v_d c'_{atm} At}{v_t} + c'_0$$
 Eq. 14

432
$$c'_t = \left(\frac{v_d c'_{atm} A}{v_t I'}\right) * \left(1 - e^{-J't}\right) + c'_0 e^{-J't}$$
 Eq. 15

- where $c'_0 = c_0 R_{\text{ref}}(1+\delta_0)$, $c'_{\text{atm}} = c_{\text{atm}} R_{\text{ref}}(1+\delta_{\text{atm}})$, $J' = J(1+\varepsilon)$, and where δ_0 and δ_{atm} are the initial
- and atmospheric N or O isotopic composition, respectively, while ε is the photolytic fractionation
- for $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ($^{15}\varepsilon$) and $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ($^{18}\varepsilon$). Primed quantities refer to the less abundant isotopic species
- 436 (¹⁵N or ¹⁸O). The error due to the assumption that the concentration of the major isotope is equal
- 437 to the total nitrate concentration, is negligible (<0.01 %).
- In order to evaluate the daily $\delta(^{15}N)$, $\delta(^{18}O)$ and c_{NO3} changes from the NO_x, HNO₃ and p-NO₃
- flux measurements (section 2.3.2), the daily averaged emissions (F_{emi}) and deposition (F_{dep}) were
- evaluated in a similar way:

441
$$c_t = \frac{F_{(\text{dep})}At}{V_t} - \frac{F_{(\text{emi})}At}{V_t} + c_0$$
 Eq. 16

442
$$c'_{t} = \frac{F'_{(\text{dep})}At}{V_{t}} - \frac{F'_{(\text{emi})}At}{V_{t}} + c'_{0}$$

- 443 Eq. 17
- where $F'_{\text{(dep)}} = F_{\text{(dep)}} R_{\text{ref}} (1 + \delta_{\text{atm}})$, $F'_{\text{(emi)}} = F_{\text{(emi)}} R_{\text{ref}} (1 + \delta_{\text{photo}})$, and δ_{photo} is the isotopic composition
- of the instantaneous photolytic product: $\delta_{\text{photo}} = \delta_0(1+\varepsilon)+\varepsilon$.
- Previous studies have suggested a range of $^{15}\varepsilon$, from -48 % (Frey et al., 2009) to -12 %
- (Blunier et al., 2005), and $^{18}\varepsilon$ from -34 % (Frey et al., 2009) to between 2 and 7 % (McCabe et
- al., 2005) for the photolytic fractionation in question. However, secondary reactions (e.g. NO₂ +
- $OH^{-} \rightarrow NO_{3}^{-}$) following photolysis will generate an exchange of O (see DI reactions in Fig. 2,

Jacobi and Hilker, 2007) suggesting that any measured estimation of $^{18}\varepsilon$ will represent the combined effect of both the photolytic fractionation and secondary reactions (McCabe et al., 2005; Erbland et al., 2013). For the purpose of this study, $^{15}\varepsilon$ and $^{18}\varepsilon$ were set to –48 and 2 ‰, respectively (McCabe et al., 2005; Frey et al., 2009). Furthermore, a sensitivity test covering a range from 0 to –70 ‰ for $^{15}\varepsilon$ and 10 to –50 ‰ for $^{18}\varepsilon$ was also performed to evaluate the actual influence of different photolytic fractionations on the results.

Furthermore, three δ_{atm} deposition scenarios for each isotope composition, $\delta(^{15}N)$ and $\delta(^{18}O)$, were evaluated with the aim of establishing the most likely input source, so called "end-member", signatures for any dry deposition. For $\delta_{atm}(^{15}N)$ these scenarios were set to resemble: I) the local biogeochemical signal (+5 ‰), II) the ambient atmospheric signal (-13 ‰) described for Ny-Ålesund by Amoroso et al. (2010), and III) the influence of Polar basin air (-20 ‰) suggested by Morin et al. (2009). For $\delta_{atm}(^{18}O)$ the scenarios were set to resemble: I) the low $\delta(^{18}O)$ signal found in HNO₃ at Summit, Greenland (+40 ‰) due to the interaction with OH during NO_x-cycling and removal (see Fig. 2, Jarvis et al., 2009), II) the mid-latitude HNO₃ signal (+75 ‰) found in air arriving at Svalbard (Morin et al., 2009), and III) the uniquely high $\delta(^{18}O)$ found for HNO₃ in the polar basin atmosphere during spring due to the influence of the BrO-pathway (up to +100 ‰, Morin et al., 2009).

3. Results

3.1 NO₃ concentrations

The surface snow NO₃⁻ concentrations (c_{NO3}) during the dark (n = 14) and the spring (n = 79) campaign averaged (2.9±0.2) μ mol L⁻¹ and (1.7±0.1) μ mol L⁻¹, respectively (where the uncertainty denotes the standard error, $\sigma_{\overline{x}}$), with a total concentration range from 0.6 to 6.3 μ mol

L⁻¹ (Fig. 3e). The variations among the three replicates, sampled approx. 10 m apart, were moderate (average $\sigma_{\bar{x}} = 0.3 \, \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}$) with some exceptions (maximum $\sigma_{\bar{x}} = 1.4 \, \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}$ on April 9), as viewed by the occasionally increased $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ in Fig. 3e. The c_{NO3} variation found is larger than the errors expected from IC analysis itself and therefore shows that local variation and the layering of the surface snow have a large influence. During both the *dark* and the *spring* campaign, several precipitation events occurred (Fig. 3e) which introduced new snow layers with event-specific NO₃⁻¹ concentration and isotopic signature. These events interrupted any trends that post-depositional processes would have introduced to the surface snow chemistry, and the spring data were therefore separated into three distinct, precipitation-free periods: April 12-21, 23-26 and April 27 - May 5 (Fig. 3). Periods 1 and 2 were characterized by multiple surface snow layers, whereas period 3 followed a large (> 5 cm) precipitation event resulting in a relatively uniform snow surface (Fig. 3a). Period 3 was therefore considered the most reliable period for identification of c_{NO3} and isotope composition trends.

For the *spring* campaign, linear regression models fitted to all three periods showed significant increases in the surface snow c_{NO3} (Fig. 3e and Table 1), indicative of net deposition or snow-water sublimation (see section 3.4). No such changes were found during the short *dark* sampling campaign due to interrupting precipitation events. In general, all three spring periods indicated a day to day c_{NO3} variation. To avoid any bias caused by such variation the fitted linear regression models were used to calculate initial (index: 0) and final (index: t) values of c_{NO3} (and other relevant parameters) for each period and will be used for modelling purposes (Table 1 and 2).

3.2 NO₃ isotopic composition

The $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ of NO₃⁻ ranged between -15.9 and -13.7 ‰ during the *dark* sampling (n=12), and between -19.9 and 0.7 ‰ during the *spring* campaign (n=71), averaging (-14.7±0.2) ‰ and (-8.7±0.5) ‰ respectively (Fig. 3d). The $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ ranged between 76.6 and 83.7 ‰ during the *dark* sampling, and 76.5 to 90.6 ‰ during the *spring* campaign, averaging (79.2±0.6) ‰ and (85.1±0.4) ‰ respectively (Fig. 3d). In summary, the *spring* snow has significantly elevated values of $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ compared to the *dark* sampling (p-value < 0.01), although both periods showed considerable variability.

Linear regression models revealed significant increases of $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ during period 3 (Table 1), and to a lesser extent (p-value = 0.08) for $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ during period 2 (Fig. 3d and Table 1). The remaining linear regression models fitted for $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ during period 1 and 2 were not significant (Fig. 3d and Table 1). In a similar manner to c_{NO3} , the initial and final $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ values were calculated for each period using the linear regression models (Table 1 and 2) to minimize the effects of daily variability upon further calculations.

3.3 Snow Br and atmospheric O₃ concentrations

In contrast to c_{NO3} , surface snow concentration of Br⁻ (c_{Br}) showed an overall linear decline, particularly during period 2 and 3 (Fig. 3b and Table 1). This decline was in clear contrast to the observed sea-salt deposition, as shown by the linear regression models fitted to the surface snow Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations (c_{Na} and c_{Cl} , respectively in Table 1). The most likely explanation for this c_{Br} depletion involves BrO chemistry (discussed in section 4.4), which is typically connected to changes in atmospheric O₃ concentration (c_{O3}). The ambient c_{O3} showed evidence for several ozone depletion events (ODEs) during the *spring* campaign. These ODEs mainly occurred during period 2 and 3, and were commonly associated with air mass backtrajectories arriving from the polar basin (Fig. 3b and c).

3.4 Sublimation rates

The measurement of water vapour fluxes during the campaign was challenging due to riming or fog on the optical windows of the fast hygrometer, meaning that calibration was not always possible, which reduced the number of valid measurements. The removal of outliers from the sonic anemometer data further reduced the data to a final count of 282 measurements throughout the precipitation free sub-periods. Nevertheless, the data confirm a low sublimation rate: the water vapor fluxes indicated an average sublimation rate of (-0.042 ± 0.002) mm d⁻¹ (n = 282), where the total water vapor flux spanned between -0.007 and 0.008 mm h⁻¹ (negative flux indicates surface loss). This sublimation rate has a very small impact on surface snow NO_3 -concentration as discussed below.

3.5 Air-snow fluxes

3.5.1 Surface snow net change

The increasing trends in surface snow c_{NO3} indicate a net deposition of NO_3^- since snowwater sublimation was found to be low. Hence, this indicated that dry deposition rates overcome photolytic and evaporative losses. Using the calculated initial and final values of c_{NO3} and V for each period (Table 2), all three period were confirmed to have a significant increase due to net deposition according to Eq. 2 (Table 3). Similarly the isotopic composition for the net change was calculated according to Eq. 5 (Table 3).

3.5.2 NO_x , HNO_3 and p- NO_3 flux measurements

The mean NO₂ concentrations (C_{NO2}) during the campaign were 28.6 (σ = 19.3) pmol mol⁻¹ and 28.7 (σ = 20.3) pmol mol⁻¹ at the upper and lower inlet, respectively (Fig. 4a). Similarly, the mean NO concentrations (C_{NO}) were 15.2 (σ = 12.5) and 16.6 (σ = 17.5) at the upper and lower inlet respectively (Fig. 4b). The C_{NO} and C_{NO2} concentrations showed

statistically significant diurnal cycles on some days (9-11 April, 15-16 April, 22-27 April) with amplitudes of 1-36 pmol mol⁻¹ and 3-49 pmol mol⁻¹ for C_{NO} and C_{NO2} , respectively (Fig. 4a, b). The diurnal cycles appeared more or less symmetric with UV radiation, with maximum $C_{\rm NO}$ and $C_{\rm NO2}$ observed between 11:00 and 13:00, reaching minima values during night-time. During periods without diurnal cycles the maximum NO and NO₂ concentrations were reached between 18:00 and 21:00, with minimum values measured between 06:00 and 12:00 and, hence, delayed the diurnal irradiance pattern. The turbulence measurements resulted in median eddy diffusivity (K) of 0.2 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (with the first and third quartile at 0.14 and 0.22 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively), and the NO_x, HNO₃ and p-NO₃ fluxes were calculated as K multiplied by the difference between the two inlets (as detailed in Beine et al., 2003). The 6 min NO_x fluxes data (Fig. 4c) showed a median NO emission of -0.73 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (with the first and third quartile at -0.31 and -1.53pmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively) with a median NO₂ emission of – 0.79 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (with the first and third quartile at -0.35 and -1.62 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively). The corresponding median NO deposition rates (Fig. 4c) were 0.61 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (with the first and third quartile at 0.27 and 1.18 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively) while the median NO₂ deposition was 0.83 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (with the first and third quartile at 0.38 and 1.54 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively). The combined daily fluxes of NO_x (F_{NOx}) , used for comparison to the surface snow concentrations (Fig. 5b), showed an daily averaged F_{NOx} emissions range from -0.2 to -1.8 μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹, and the daily average F_{NOx} depositions ranged from 0.2 to 1.7 μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 5b). The resulting daily net fluxes (ΣF_{NOx}) ranged from -0.1 to $0.2 \mu mol m^{-2} d^{-1}$ (Fig. 5b).

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

The mean concentrations of HNO₃, fine and coarse particulate NO₃⁻ were 6.06 ± 5.32 , 14.33 ± 13.68 and 15.14 ± 9.53 ppt, respectively. The HNO₃ and the combined fine and coarse particulate NO₃⁻ fluxes (F_{HNO3} and $F_{\text{p-NO3}}$, Fig. 5c) mostly indicated a deposition, with the mean

values of 1.59 ± 1.32 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 4.84 ± 3.52 pmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. The resulting daily net flux of the total HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ (ΣF_{NO3}) showed variable rates, from – 0.6 to 3.2 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 5c), where the flux of p-NO₃⁻ (F_{p-NO3}) exceeded that of HNO₃ (F_{HNO3}) and thus dominated ΣF_{NO3} , with particularly high rates during the precipitation event between period 2 and 3 (Fig. 5c). The data also shows that fine particles (<2.5 µm diameter) were responsible for much of the p-NO₃⁻ flux during high flux events. The sampling interval (12 or 24 h) for F_{HNO3} and F_{p-NO3} did not allow a separation of daily emission and deposition estimates, as was achieved using the 6 minute F_{NOx} data.

3.6 Box models

The photolytic rate function (J_{NO3-}) showed clear diurnal variation superimposed upon a uniformly increasing trend as a consequence of the steadily rising sun (midnight sun commenced on April 18). The integrated daily surface snow flux (F_{JNO3-}), estimated from J_{NO3-} and c_{NO3} , showed emission rates of the same order of magnitude as the measured ΣF_{NOx} . However, F_{JNO3-} was considerably lower than the measured NO_x emission (F_{NOx}). This mismatch is likely due to the estimation of NO_3^- photolysis from surface snow only being compared to measured emissions above the full snowpack.

The measured range of the combined HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ fluxes (ΣF_{NO3}) was of the same order of magnitude as the modelled HNO₃ + p-NO₃⁻ dry deposition ($F_{model}(LND)$) during this *spring* campaign (Björkman et al., 2013), although the measured average NO₃⁻ dry deposition (F_{tray}) showed higher spring averages than both $F_{model}(LND)$ and the ΣF_{NO3} (Fig. 5c). However, the substantial atmospheric fluxes of HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ (F_{HNO3} and F_{p-NO3}) observed in connection with the precipitation event between period 2 and 3 was not captured by the dry deposition estimates in Björkman et al. (2013) (Fig. 5c).

4. Discussion

Here we present a discussion of the trends and influences on NO₃⁻ concentrations and isotopic composition found for Ny-Ålesund surface snow. We argue that the main process during precipitation free periods is the addition of NO₃⁻ through dry deposition, which dominates over NO₃⁻ post-depositional losses via photolysis and evaporation. However, this dry deposition can be influenced both by atmospheric sources, as well as NO_x, HNO₃⁻ and HONO emitted from deeper within the snowpack, processes which therefore require consideration. An active involvement of halogen chemistry was also inferred from the surface snow measurements, and so we discuss the role of surface snow as a contributor in the production of boundary layer BrO.

4.1 Dry deposition vs. post-depositional loss

A striking feature during the *spring* sampling is the significant increase of c_{NO3} for all three sub-periods, in-between precipitation events (Table 1 and Fig. 3e), where a positive net change (F_{net}) was confirmed for all periods using Eq. 2 (Table 3). Hence, Eq. 2 gives the overall net increase or decrease of c_{NO3} , where the most likely surplus would be due to an NO_3^- addition by dry deposition as long as snow sublimation is low. The measured sublimation rates during the three periods were low and could only have changed the daily c_{NO3} by a modest quantity (average 0.31 ± 0.03 %, range 0.2 to 0.8 %), which is well below the day to day c_{NO3} variations (average 11.1 ± 1.6 %, for the same periods). Similarly, the model photolysis rate (J_{NO3-}) could only have changed the daily c_{NO3} by a 0.2 to 0.4 % reduction, indicating a very minor influence of photolysis on these daily variations. Of course, HNO₃ evaporation and HONO emissions could further influence the daily variations. However, both these processes require a surplus of H^+ , whereas Ny-Ålesund snow is typically alkaline (Beine et al., 2003; Amorosso et al., 2006) with a high sea-salt content given the close proximity to the fjord. Furthermore, the NO_2^- levels

where below the detection limit of the IC measurements (data not shown) further indicating low HONO production. The limited occurrence of sublimation, modelled $J_{\rm NO3}$ and positive $F_{\rm net}$ collectively therefore indicate that the addition of ${\rm NO_3}^-$ through dry deposition outweighed any changes induced by photolytic and/or evaporative loss processes. The estimated $F_{\rm net}$ for the three periods, with a daily-weighted average of $0.9\pm0.4~\mu{\rm mol~m^{-2}~d^{-1}}$ (Table 3), is also consistent with the measured dry deposition rate ($F_{\rm tray}$, $0.7\pm0.3~\mu{\rm mol~m^{-2}~d^{-1}}$) estimated for the same time period by Björkman et al. (2013). However, these are slightly higher than the average modelled dry deposition ($F_{\rm model}({\rm LND})$, $0.3\pm0.1~\mu{\rm mol~m^{-2}~d^{-1}}$) for this period (Björkman et al., 2013), and also slightly higher than the value Beine et al. (2003) found for Ny-Ålesund (~0.2 $\mu{\rm mol~m^{-2}~d^{-1}}$) during an previous spring, using a diffusion line sampling technique.

The $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ composition of the net change (δ_{net}), as calculated by Eq. 5, differed between the three sub-periods (Table 3). Regarding the F_{net} , no major influence of loss processes was found for periods 1 and 2, since any fractionation during post-depositional loss would have led to an $\delta_{\text{net}}(^{15}\text{N})$ increase in NO₃⁻. In contrast, period 1 and 2 showed negative $\delta_{\text{net}}(^{15}\text{N})$ values of -8.2 ± 13.6 and -12.2 ± 18.8 %, respectively, even though the validity of these estimates are reduced by the non-significant regressions used for calculation. One might argue that the significant positive $\delta_{\text{net}}(^{15}\text{N})$ value of (7.0 ± 0.7) % calculated for the NO₃⁻ in period 3 could be indicative of post-depositional loss. This would, however, contradict the significant c_{NO3} increase and positive F_{net} observed for this period (Table 3), therefore alternative explanations need to be considered, as attempted through box modelling below. The NO₃⁻ $\delta_{\text{net}}(^{18}\text{O})$ found for period 1, even though the linear regression was non-significant, was lower than the isotope delta of the snow (Table 3), whereas for periods 2 and 3 the $\delta_{\text{net}}(^{18}\text{O})$ approached the upper limit found for atmospheric HNO₃ in this region (100 %, Morin et al., 2009). The isotopic signature of snow

NO₃ is, however, influenced by several co-occurring processes as discussed in the introduction and viewed in Fig. 2 and will be further investigated in section 4.3.

 4.2 NO_x , HNO_3 and p-NO₃ flux measurements

The flux measurements revealed both emissions and deposition fluxes of all the investigated compounds (NO, NO₂, HNO₃⁻ and p-NO₃⁻, Fig. 4 and 5) and where the mean NO and NO₂ concentrations were in agreement with other measurements in the coastal Arctic boundary layer during the same time of year (Allegrini et al., 1999; Beine et al., 2001; 2002b; Amoroso et al., 2010; Sander and Bottenheim, 2012). Although the calculated daily NO and NO₂ fluxes (Fig. 5) are in the lower region of what has earlier been reported for Ny-Ålesund by Amoroso et al. (2010), the occasional diurnal cycling of NO and NO₂ observed confirms previous studies carried out at Ny-Ålesund (Beine et al., 1996 and 1997). Also the daily average HNO₃ flux measured in 2010 are within the variability of earlier estimates for Ny-Ålesund (Beine et al., 2003; Amoroso et al., 2010), but substantially lower than the episode with exceptionally high deposition fluxes (up to 1.5 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹) reported by Amoroso et al. (2010).

Due to coastal location of Ny-Ålesund, the chemical composition of snow was dominated by a marine influence. As a result of sea salt inputs, and to a lesser extension of dust, the ionic balance of snow revealed an alkaline character for 44% of the daily snow samples (with pH values between 7.80 and 8.70) which, according to Beine et al. (2003 and 2008), could lead to a reduced NO_x emission and even to an increased deposition. In addition, it is worth noting that 33% and 41% of all available 6-min NO and NO_2 fluxes (about 5000 values), respectively, were positive values, indicating that a NO_x deposition to the snow surface occurred, as observed in earlier polar studies (e.g. Beine et al., 2002, Amoroso et al., 2010). It has previously been shown that the intricate system of NO_3 photolysis and NO_x emission is followed by a subsequent HNO₃

deposition, producing a diurnal pattern at Summit, Greenland, with day-time emission and night time deposition (Hastings et al., 2004). A similar feature can be observed in our 2010 flux data, were the NO and NO₂ emission during periods of high irradiance can be on the same order of magnitude as the total HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ deposition. Indicating that, for this almost alkaline snow environment, the NO_x emissions were reduced or close to zero. Thus, the measured fluxes of NO_x, HNO₃ and p-NO₃⁻ are neither sufficient to explain the observed c_{NO3} increase. In the following section we will further focus on separating and explaining the processes influencing the surface snow, using the box model to find the most likely sources of the observed NO₃⁻ deposition and its isotopic signature.

4.3 Reproducing observed trends

In the box model used here, describing the main source and sink of NO_3^- in the snow (section 2.4.2), all outgoing fluxes are assumed to be due to photolysis and all incoming fluxes due to HNO_3 dry deposition. As a consequence of the day-to-day variability of isotope deltas and c_{NO3} , our comparison between model and measured data focuses on the regression analysis for the three precipitation-free spring periods, bearing in mind the lower p-values for the trends in $\delta(^{15}N)$ and $\delta(^{18}O)$ of snow NO_3^- during period 1 and 2 (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

4.3.1 Box model using F_{NOx} and F_{NO3}

The box model (Eq. 16) shows that the measured net fluxes of NO_x (ΣF_{NOx} , Fig 5b) and HNO₃ + p-NO₃⁻ (ΣF_{NO3} , Fig 5c) were insufficient to alter the surface snow c_{NO3} significantly during periods 1 and 2 (Fig. 6c, noting the atmospheric flux measurements were terminated on April 27, just prior to period 3). The small change in c_{NO3} such daily net fluxes would induce was below the detection limit of the snow sampling procedure used here. A similar conclusion was

reached by Beine et al., (2003) when they compared atmospheric dry deposition estimates with the surface snow NO₃⁻ concentrations.

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

In spite of the limited ability of the atmospheric flux driven model to reproduce the surface snow c_{NO3} trends, the modelled influence on $\delta(^{18}O)$ and $\delta(^{15}N)$ was more pronounced (using Eq. 17, Fig. 6). This is especially the case during the first day of period 1, when p-NO₃⁻ emission (Fig. 5c) resulted in an increased modelled $\delta(^{18}O)$, and where the $\delta_{atm}(^{18}O)$ scenarios +40 and +100 % followed the very lower and upper limit of the data variability for the rest of period 1 (Fig. 6a). Although particle emission from snow might be limited (Cadle, 1991), some re-suspension of particles by wind is possible (Barrie et al., 1998). During period 2 neither of the $\delta_{atm}(^{18}{\rm O})$ scenarios followed the observed $\delta(^{18}{\rm O})$ pattern. For periods 1 and 2, the modelled $d^{15}N$ was not as sensitive to the choice of $\delta_{atm}(^{15}N)$ scenarios (+5, -13 and -20 ‰), as for $\delta(^{18}O)$. In fact, model results for all three $\delta_{atm}(^{15}N)$ scenarios were well within the data variability and did not deviate much from each other (Fig. 6b). Overall, none of the δ_{atm} scenarios and emission fractionations, including the sensitivity test for different fractionations (15 and 18 expection 2.4.2), reproduced the observed trends in a satisfactory way. This is probably due to the many layers found in the sampled surface snow during period 1 and 2, that can yield large daily variations in $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$. It is especially troublesome that the observed c_{NO3} trends (Table 1) and calculated dry deposition rates (Table 3) cannot be explained by the atmospheric flux in the box model. A possible explanation could be a re-deposition of NO_x, HNO₃ and HONO emitted from deeper within the snowpack, or the soil below, to the surface snow layer. Such a sub-surface source would then not necessarily need to involve an emission to the atmospheric boundary layer, thus could impact the surface snow measurements of NO₃ deposition but not the atmospheric flux measurements as a result.

4.3.2 Box model using J_{NO3} and $F_{model}(LND)$ or F_{tray}

701

702

703 also address the observations of period 3, which can be considered most reliable as the surface 704 snow was one homogeneous layer, the modelled photolysis (J_{NO3-}) was used in conjunction with $F_{\text{model}}(\text{LND})$, Fig. S2. This box modelling attempt, and a third approach, using J_{NO3} in 705 706 conjunction with F_{tray} , Fig. 7, captured the c_{NO3} trends far better than the atmospheric flux 707 measurements. This was particularly the case with the third attempt, which reproduced the observed c_{NO3} increase during period 3 in a sufficient manner (Fig. 7), attributing the addition 708 709 through dry deposition to have a prevailing role over photolytic and, probably, evaporative loss. 710 For the isotopic composition the models (Eq. 14 and 15) seems to have had a clear negative influence upon the surface $\delta(^{15}N)$ signature during periods 1 and 2 (Fig. S2 and 7), with a 711 δ_{atm} (15N) close to the ambient atmospheric (-13 \%, Amoroso et al., 2010) and the Polar Basin 712 signals (-20 \%, Morin et al., 2009). This also corresponds well to the main back-trajectories 713 714 observed during these periods (Fig. 3c). In contrast, period 3 indicated the influence of a positive 715 end-member ($+7.0 \pm 0.7$ %, Table 3) that cannot be explained by a photolytic and/or evaporative NO_3^{-1} loss, hence indicates dry deposition seems to have occurred. This positive $\delta(^{15}N)$ signature 716 717 is more in line with the +5 \,\text{\omega} previously described for snowpack biogeochemical processes in 718 this region (Amoroso et al., 2010), indicating a re-deposition of NO_x, HNO₃ and HONO emitted deeper within (or below) the snowpack. However, anthropogenic NO_x emissions are also in 719 720 general slightly positive (e.g. Hastings, 2010), with the exception of agricultural soils (Felix and 721 Elliott, 2013). For example, Morin et al., (2009) found an atmospheric nitrate signal of +5.9 % from European air in the English Channel, a value also consistent with mid-latitude spring values 722 723 for the U.S. (Elliott et al., 2009). For period 3, the air mass back-trajectories are more stagnant

As a second attempt to reproduce the observed surface snow trends (Eq. 11 and 12) and to

around Svalbard, with a south-easterly influence at the end of this period. This indicates that the high end-member found for period 3 could also be influenced by local or European emission sources, and is not necessarily solely a biogeochemical signal.

For period 1, the $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ end-member is close to the mid-latitude scenario (+75 ‰, Fig. S2 and 7), which is also the post-1950 background value for this region as indicated by Svalbard ice-core averages (+75.1 ± 4.1 ‰, Vega, 2014), in spite of a northerly airflow which was assumed to bring a $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ signal around +100 ‰. The $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ end-member for period 2 and 3 is on the other hand more in line with air masses from the Polar Basin (Morin et al., 2009), for which the uniquely high $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ has been found to result from an active atmospheric BrO interaction (Fig. 2, Morin et al., 2007a; Morin et al., 2007b). This is especially surprising for period 3, where the back-trajectories are stagnant or south-easterly oriented, with only a minor influence of Polar air (Fig. 3c). Under these circumstances a mid-latitude $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ scenario would be expected for period 3, rather than the +100 ‰ evidenced here. The reason for this deviation is probably due to a local snow-determined BrO-NO_x interaction, the first such observation of its kind in this region, and will be further discussed in section 4.

The sensitivity test performed (shaded ranges in fig 6, 7 and S2) indicates that the actual photolytic fractionation used in the box modelling has little influence on the outcome, probably due to the prevailing dry deposition regime in our surface snow.

In summary; Period 1 shows evidence of a polar basin/ ambient atmospheric influence on $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and a $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ signature that resembles mid-latitudinal air. Period 2 shows a polar basin influence upon both the $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and the $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ signatures; whilst period 3 has a $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ similar to that which is expected from either biogeochemical cycling of NH₄⁺ in the snowpack, or local/European emissions, along with the BrO influenced $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ signature.

4.4 O₃ and BrO interaction

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

During the spring campaign several O₃ depletion events (ODE) occurred; on April 13, 21 - 22, 26 - 27 and April 30 - May 1 (Fig. 3b). Such events are known in the Arctic as a result of active halogen chemistry where Br₂, BrCl or Cl₂ (ultimately of oceanic origin, whose release is believed to involve snow and first-year sea-ice) are released in to the boundary layer. Their rapid photolysis by solar radiation forms Br or Cl radicals, which then initiates a chain reaction depleting O₃ (Fig. 2, e.g. Foster et al., 2001; Simpson et al., 2007; Abbatt et al., 2012). For Ny-Ålesund, studies typically observe such events as a consequence of the advection of air masses already depleted in O₃, originating from the polar basin (e.g. Barrie and Platt, 1997), where low levels of boundary layer O₃ are typical during spring (Hopper et al., 1994; Hopper et al., 1998; Jacobi et al., 2010). This is consistent with the ODEs observed during the spring 2010, where more northerly air flows occur prior to the ozone depletion episodes (Fig. 3) and where satellite data (Begoin et al., 2010) reveals increased BrO column abundances concurrent with the ODE's (Fig. S3). Alongside its impact on boundary layer O₃, BrO can also influence NO_x-cycling and its transformation to HNO₃, via the formation and hydrolysis of BrONO₂. This generates a uniquely high $\delta(^{18}O)$ (Fig. 2, e.g. Evans et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2007b). For period 2 a northerly airflow was observed which can explain both the low $\delta(^{15}N)$

For period 2 a northerly airflow was observed which can explain both the low $\delta(^{18}\text{N})$ (photolytic produced NO_x from polar basin snowpacks) and the high $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ (BrO-pathway) endmember (Morin et al., 2009). However, for period 3, when the airflow is stagnant or southwesterly, with only a minor influence of polar basin air, the high $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ end-member would need to have another explanation. Interestingly the Br⁻ concentrations (c_{Br}) in the surface snow are high during period 1, decrease during period 2, then remain low and slightly decrease further during period 3 (Fig. 3b and Table 1). There is also a significant (p < 0.05) negative correlation

between the daily averages of $c_{\rm Br}$ and $c_{\rm NO3}$ (R = -0.84) during period 3, as well as between $c_{\rm Br}$ and $\delta(^{15}\text{N})$ and $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ (R = -0.91 and -0.83, respectively). According to the BrO-pathway in Fig. 2, formation of BrONO₂ from BrO + NO₂ can be followed by a photolytic dissociation, or – particularly under conditions of high surface area – be followed by hydrolysis whereby BrONO₂ disintegrates into HNO₃ and HOBr on ice crystals (Evans et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2007b). This latter case thereby converts NO_x into HNO₃. Assuming that this reaction occurs within the snow or just above, the newly formed HOBr would further react with Br ions in the DI with a release of gaseous Br₂ as a result (e.g. Simpson et al., 2007; Abbatt et al., 2012). In the presence of a NO_x, HNO₃ or HONO supply from deeper within the snowpack (i.e. photolysis, evaporation or biologic emissions from the snow or soil), this BrO-NO_x chemistry would rapidly re-deposit the NO_x as HNO₃ in the surface snow. This would then minimize any snowpack emissions and, in the case of negligible local soil emissions, keep the total snow NO₃ budget un-altered. This coupled BrO-NO_x chemistry can explain both the decrease in Br⁻ concentration in the surface snow as well as the increasing $\delta(^{18}O)$ and c_{NO3} values observed in the surface snow during period 2 and 3. This is the first time such coupling has been observed in Syalbard, although increasing BrO levels have earlier been reported above Ny-Ålesund snow following an ODE (Avallone et al., 2003). To start the BrO production and following chain reactions, an initial pulse (or "seed") of reactive halogens is needed (Simpson et al., 2007). It is however, unlikely that the BrO cycling seen in our $c_{\rm Br}$ and $\delta(^{18}{\rm O})$ data, and in the BrO record from Avallone et al., (2003) are initiated locally. They are likely rather results of polar basin air advection providing "seed" halogens during the observed ODEs, which then initiate the local BrO production. This hypothesis is further strengthened by the column content of BrO (Fig. S3) where the atmosphere above western Svalbard, and eastern parts of the Fram Strait, shows prevailing low BrO levels,

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

which only increases in conjunction with ODE's when surges of BrO moves into the Ny-Ålesund area. Nevertheless, during period 3, our surface snow bromide, nitrate, and $\delta(^{18}O)$ observations provide evidence for continued local (snowpack) BrO-NO_x cycling, even whilst BrO column abundances decline and ambient air ozone recovers.

5. Conclusion

Detailed sampling of the photo-active surface zone of the Ny-Ålesund snowpack during winter and spring-time demonstrates that NO₃⁻ dry deposition is the predominant process determining NO₃⁻ concentrations during precipitation free periods and prevails over any NO₃⁻ post-depositional loss via photolysis and HNO₃ evaporation within this layer. The measured dry deposition in uniform surface snow (0.6±0.2 μmol m⁻² d⁻¹) is in line with previously reported values for Ny-Ålesund (Björkman et al., 2013). However, it indicates greater net deposition than that derived from cumulative NO_x, HNO₃⁻ and p-NO₃⁻ fluxes, measured 200 m from the sampling site. Given its permeable nature, we emphasize that the snowpack should be considered as an integral part of the atmospheric boundary layer, allowing relevant reactions (Fig. 2) to occur within interstitial air pockets within the snow. Thus, our observed dry deposition of NO₃⁻ could originate from both the overlying atmosphere and from re-deposition of NO₃⁻ released from deeper within the snowpack.

Magnitudes and trends in surface snow $\delta(^{15}N)$ and $\delta(^{18}O)$ were compared to back-trajectory analysis and local ODEs indicative of BrO chemistry. Trajectories originating from the polar basin were found to bring ^{15}N -depleted air masses to Svalbard, confirming findings from an earlier atmospheric study of NO_3^- in Ny-Ålesund aerosol (Morin et al., 2009), and demonstrating that such NO_3^- is deposited to the snowpack. Stagnant air and air masses originating from midlatitude regions were related to ^{15}N -enriched dry NO_3^- deposition with an end-member of

 $(+7.0\pm0.7)$ %. Such positive $\delta(^{15}N)$ values have previously been found in European and U.S. air (Elliott et al., 2009; Morin et al., 2009), probably as a result of anthropogenic emissions (e.g. Kendall et al., 2007), but can also result from in-situ biogeochemical cycling of clay-bound NH_4^+ (Amoroso et al., 2010).

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

The average $\delta(^{18}O)$ values were lower during the winter-time compared to spring-time, when NO_3 deposition from polar basin air masses only exhibited positive $\delta(^{18}O)$ trends in conjunction with low O₃ levels. These conditions indicate active halogen cycling and demonstrate the importance of NO_x to NO₃ formation via coupled BrO-NO_x chemistry (as identified in Ny-Ålesund aerosol: Morin et al., 2009) for snow NO₃ deposition. Furthermore, we identify evidence for such BrO chemistry occurring within the snowpack (interstitial air pockets) itself, and demonstrate its active involvement in the NO₃ post-depositional cycling, as evidenced by steadily decreasing snow Br content and increasing $\delta(^{18}O)$ and NO_3 concentration in surface snow samples. These are the first observations of such snowpack BrO-NO_x coupling in this part of the Arctic. However, as indicated both by this and a previous study (Avallone et al., 2003), local BrO production is concomitant with the arrival of BrO active O₃-depleted air, which contributes the necessary "seed" halogens to get the local production initiated (Simpson et al., 2007). This production might explain the uniquely high $\delta(^{18}\text{O})$ end-member (+105.9±72.3 %) found even during stagnant and south-easterly air influences, regions usually associated with higher O₃ levels.

In summary, our study demonstrates how careful sampling of surface snow can provide useful insights regarding atmospheric and snow processes controlling the fate of reactive nitrogen in the Arctic including coupling of BrO-NO_x chemistry. In particular, our results

elucidate the relative importance of these processes for a snowpack located in a coastal region at low altitude (Ny-Ålesund), in contrast to studies elsewhere (e.g. Greenland).

6. Acknowledgements

This work was part of the international project *Sources, sinks and impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition in the Arctic* (NSINK), and received financial support from the EU Marie Curie Initial Stage Training Network Award (NSINK, FP7 215503). Fieldwork was supported by Arctic Field Grant, Svalbard Science Forum. This work is also a contribution to *Cryosphere-Atmosphere interaction in a changing arctic climate (CRAICC)* a Top-Level Research Initiative (TRI). TJR acknowledges LABEX VOLTAIRE (VOLatils- Terre Atmosphère Interactions - Ressources et Environnement) ANR-10-LABX-100-01 (2011-20). Logistic support was provided by the staff at the Sverdrup Station, Norwegian Polar Institute. NILU is acknowledged for providing the FLEXTRA trajectories and Zeppelin O₃ data, wears DNMI for providing meteorological data. The authors are grateful for the support from Mauro Mazzola and Angelo Viola (ISCA-CNR) for providing water vapour flux data and from Andreas Richter (University of Bremen) contributing with the supplementary BrO-maps. This manuscript has also been improved by comments from three anonymous reviewers.

7. References

- Abbatt, J. P. D., 1997: Interaction of HNO₃ with water-ice surfaces at temperatures of the free troposphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 24: 1479-1482.
- Abbatt, J. P. D., Thomas, J. L., Abrahamsson, K., Boxe, C., Granfors, A., Jones, A. E., King, M. D., Saiz-Lopez, A., Shepson, P. B., Sodeau, J., Toohey, D. W., Toubin, C., von Glasow, R., Wren, S. N., and Yang, X., 2012: Halogen activation via interactions with environmental ice and snow in the polar lower troposphere and other regions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12: 6237-6271.
- Albert, M. R. and Hardy, J. P., 1995: Ventilation experiments in a seasonal snow cover. *Biogeochemistry of Seasonally Snow-Covered Catchments, IAHS pub. no.*, 228: 44-49.

- Albert, M. R., Grannas, A. M., Bottenheim, J., Shepson, P. B., and Perron, F. E., 2002: Processes and properties of snow-air transfer in the high Arctic with application to interstitial ozone at Alert, Canada. *Atmospheric Environment*, 36: 2779-2787.
- Allegrini, I., Ianniello, A., Montagnoli, M., Sparapani, R., Mazziotti Gomez de Teran, C., 1999: Carboncoated annular denuders and ion chromatographic measurements for the determination of nitrogen-containing species (NO₂ and NO_y) in remote atmospheres. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 846: 265–268.

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881 882

883

884

885

886 887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

- Amato, P., Hennebelle, R., Magand, O., Sancelme, M., Delort, A. M., Barbante, C., Boutron, C., and Ferrari, C., 2007: Bacterial characterization of the snow cover at Spitzberg, Svalbard. *Fems Microbiology Ecology*, 59: 255-264.
 - Amoroso, A., Beine, H. J., Sparapani, R., Nardino, M., and Allegrini, I., 2006: Observation of coinciding arctic boundary layer ozone depletion and snow surface emissions of nitrous acid. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40: 1949-1956.
 - Amoroso, A., Domine, F., Esposito, G., Morin, S., Savarino, J., Nardino, M., Montagnoli, M., Bonneville, J. M., Clement, J. C., Ianniello, A., and Beine, H. J., 2010: Microorganisms in Dry Polar Snow Are Involved in the Exchanges of Reactive Nitrogen Species with the Atmosphere. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44: 714-719.
 - Avallone, L. M., Toohey, D. W., Fortin, T. J., McKinney, K. A., and Fuentes, J. D., 2003: In situ measurements of bromine oxide at two high-latitude boundary layer sites: Implications of variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 108.
 - Bartels-Rausch, T., Jacobi, H.-W., Kahan, T. F., Thomas, J. L., Thomson, E. S., Abbatt, J. P. D., Ammann, M., Blackford, J. R., Bluhm, H., Boxe, C., Domine, F., Frey, M. M., Gladich, I., Guzmán, M. I., Heger, D., Huthwelker, Th., Klán, P., Kuhs, W. F., Kuo, M. H., Maus, S., Moussa, S. G., McNeill, V. F., Newberg, J. T., Pettersson, J. B. C., Roeselová, M., and Sodeau, J. R, 2012: A review of air-ice chemical and physical interactions (AICI): liquids, quasi-liquids, and solids in snow. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14: 1587-1633.
 - Barrie, L. and Platt, U., 1997: Arctic tropospheric chemistry: an overview. *Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 49: 450-454.
 - Barrie, L., Falck, E., Gregor, D. J., Iversen, T., Loeng, H., Macdonald, R., Pfirman, S., Skotvold, T., and E., W., 1998: The Influence of Physical and Chemical Processes on Contaminant Transport into and within the Arctic. *In* Gregor, D. J., Loeng, H., and Barrie, L. (eds.), *AMAP Assessment Report: Arctic Pollution Issues*. Oslo: Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), 25-116.
 - Begoin, M., Richter, A., Weber, M., Kaleschke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., Stohl, A., Theys, N., and Burrows, J. P., 2010: Satellite observations of long range transport of a large BrO plume in the Arctic, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10: 6515-6526.
- Beine, H. J., Engardt, M., Jaffe, D. A., Hov, Ø., Holmén, K., and Stordal, F., 1996: Measurements of NO_x and aerosol particles at Ny-Ålesund Zeppelin mountain station on Svalbard: influence of regional and local pollution sources. *Atmospheric Environment*, 30: 1067-1079.
- Beine, H., Colussi, A. J., Amoroso, A., Esposito, G., Montagnoli, M., and Hoffmann, M. R., 2008: HONO emissions from snow surfaces. *Environmental Research Letters*, 3.
- 904 Beine, H. J., Jaffe, D. A., Herring, J. A., Kelley, J. A., Krognes, T., and Stordal, F., 1997: High-latitude 905 springtime photochemistry. Part 1. NO_x, PAN and ozone relationships. *Journal of Atmospheric* 906 *Chemistry*, 27: 127-153.
- Beine, H. J., Allegrini, I., Sparapani, R., Ianniello, A., and Valentini, F., 2001: Three years of springtime trace gas and particle measurements at Ny-Alesund, Svalbard. *Atmospheric Environment*, 35: 3645-3658.

- Beine, H. J., Domine, F., Simpson, W., Honrath, R. E., Sparapani, R., Zhou, X. L., and King, M., 2002a: Snow-pile and chamber experiments during the Polar Sunrise Experiment 'Alert 2000': exploration of nitrogen chemistry. *Atmospheric Environment*, 36: 2707-2719.
- Beine, H. J., Honrath, R. E., Domine, F., Simpson, W. R., and Fuentes J. D., 2002b: NO_x during background and ozone depletion periods at Alert: Fluxes above the snow surface: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(D21): 4584.
- Beine, H. J., Domine, F., Ianniello, A., Nardino, M., Allegrini, I., Teinilä, K., and Hillamo, R., 2003:
 Fluxes of nitrates between snow surfaces and the atmosphere in the European high Arctic.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 3: 335-346.
- Bergin, M. H., Jaffrezo, J. L., Davidson, C. I., Dibb, J. E., Pandis, S. N., Hillamo, R., Maenhaut, W., Kuhns, H. D., and Makela, T., 1995: The Contributions of Snow, Fog, and Dry Deposition to the Summer Flux of Anions and Cations at Summit, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 100: 16275-16288.
- Björkman, M. P., Kühnel, R., Partridge, D. G., Roberts, T. J., Aas, W., Mazzola, M., Viola, A., Hodson, A., Ström, J., and Isaksson, E., 2013: Nitrate dry deposition in Svalbard. *Tellus B*, 65.

926

930 931

932

938

939

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

- Blunier, T., Floch, G. L., Jacobi, H. W., and Quansah, E., 2005: Isotopic view on nitrate loss in Antarctic surface snow. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32.
- Boxe, C. S. and Saiz-Lopez, A., 2008: Multiphase modeling of nitrate photochemistry in the quasi-liquid layer (QLL): implications for NO_x release from the Arctic and coastal Antarctic snowpack. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8: 4855-4864.
 - Brooks, P. D., Schmidt, S. K., and Williams, M. W., 1997: Winter production of CO₂ and N₂O from Alpine tundra: Environmental controls and relationship to inter-system C and N fluxes. *Oecologia*, 110: 403-413.
- Cadle, S. H., Dasch, J. M., and Mulawa, P. A., 1985: Atmospheric Concentrations and the Deposition Velocity to Snow of Nitric-Acid, Sulfur-Dioxide and Various Particulate Species. *Atmospheric Environment*, 19: 1819-1827.
- Cadle, S. H., 1991: Dry Deposition to Snowpacks. *In* Davies, T. D., Tranter, M., and Jones, H. G. (eds.), Seasonal Snowpacks. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 21-66.
 - Chu, L. and Anastasio, C., 2003: Quantum yields of hydroxyl radical and nitrogen dioxide from the photolysis of nitrate on ice. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 107: 9594-9602.
- 940 Colbeck, S. C., 1997: Model of wind pumping for layered snow. *Journal of Glaciology*, 43: 60-65.
 - Coplen, T. B., Bohke, J. K., and Casciotti, K. L., 2004: Using dual-bacterial denitrification to improve δ⁵N determinations of nitrates containing mass-independent ¹⁷O. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 18: 245-250.
 - Cress, R. G., Williams, M. W., and Sievering, H., 1995: Dry depositional loading of nitrogen to an alpine snowpack, Niwot Ridge, Colorado, *Biogeochemistry of Seasonally Snow-Covered Catchments*: IAHS Publ. no. 228, 33-40.
 - Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J., 1993: Reaction of N₂O₅ on Tropospheric Aerosols Impact on the Global Distributions of NO_x, O₃, and OH. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 98: 7149-7163.
- Dibb, J. E., Talbot, R. W., Munger, J. W., Jacob, D. J., and Fan, S. M., 1998: Air-snow exchange of HNO₃ and NO_y at Summit, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 103: 3475-3486.
- Dickerson, R. R., 1985: Reactive Nitrogen-Compounds in the Arctic. *Journal of Geophysical Research- Atmospheres*, 90: 10739-10743.
- Diehl, K., Mitra, S. K., and Pruppacher, H. R., 1995: A laboratory study of the uptake of HNO₃ and HCL vapor by snow crystals and ice spheres at temperatures netween 0 and -40°C. *Atmospheric Environment*, 29: 975-981.
- Domine, F. and Shepson, P. B, 2002: Air-snow interactions and atmospheric chemistry. *Science*, 297: 1506-1510.

- Domine, F., Albert, M., Huthwelker, T., Jacobi, H. W., Kokhanovsky, A. A., Lehning, M., Picard, G., and Simpson, W. R., 2008: Snow physics as relevant to snow photochemistry. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 8: 171-208.
- 963 Elliott, E. M., Kendall, C., Boyer, E. W., Burns, D. A., Lear, G. G., Golden, H. E., Harlin, K.,
 964 Bytnerowicz, A., Butler, T. J., and Glatz, R., 2009: Dual nitrate isotopes in dry deposition: Utility
 965 for partitioning NOx source contributions to landscape nitrogen deposition. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, 114.
- Erbland, J., Vicars, W. C., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Frey, M. M., Frosini, D., Vince, E., and Martins, J. M.
 F., 2013: Air-snow transfer of nitrate on the East Antarctic Plateau Part 1: Isotopic evidence for a photolytically driven dynamic equilibrium. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 13: 6403-6419.

- Evans, M. J., Jacob, D. J., Atlas, E., Cantrell, C. A., Eisele, F., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Mauldin, R. L., Ridley, B. A., Wert, B., Talbot, R., Blake, D., Heikes, B., Snow, J., Walega, J., Weinheimer, A. J., and Dibb, J., 2003: Coupled evolution of BrO_x-ClO_x-HO_x-NO_x chemistry during bromine-catalyzed ozone depletion events in the arctic boundary layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 108.
- Febo, A., Desantis, F., Perrino, C., and Giusto, M., 1989: Evaluation of laboratory and field performance of denuder tubes a theoretical approach. *Atmospheric Environment*, 23: 1517-1530.
- Felix, J. D. and Elliott, E. M., 2013: The agricultural history of human-nitrogen interactions as recorded in ice core δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40: 1642-1646.
- Foster, K. L., Plastridge, R. A., Bottenheim, J. W., Shepson, P. B., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Spicer, C. W., 2001: The role of Br₂ and BrCl in surface ozone destruction at polar sunrise. *Science*, 291: 471-474.
- France, J. L., King, M. D., and Lee-Taylor, J., 2010: The importance of considering depth-resolved photochemistry in snow: a radiative-transfer study of NO₂ and OH production in Ny-Alesund (Svalbard) snowpacks. *Journal of Glaciology*, 56: 655-663.
- France, J. L., King, M. D., Frey, M. M., Erbland, J., Picard, G., Preunkert, S., MacArthur, A., and Savarino, J., 2011a: Snow optical properties at Dome C (Concordia), Antarctica; implications for snow emissions and snow chemistry of reactive nitrogen. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11: 9787-9801.
- France, J. L., King, M. D., Lee-Taylor, J., Beine, H. J., Ianniello, A., Domine, F., and MacArthur, A., 2011b: Calculations of in-snow NO₂ and OH radical photochemical production and photolysis rates: A field and radiative-transfer study of the optical properties of Arctic (Ny-Alesund, Svalbard) snow. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*, 116.
- Frey, M. M., Stewart, R. W., McConnell, J. R., and Bales, R. C., 2005: Atmospheric hydroperoxides in West Antarctica: Links to stratospheric ozone and atmospheric oxidation capacity. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 110.
- Frey, M. M., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., and Martins, J. M. F., 2009: Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9: 8681-8696.
- Freyer, H. D., 1991: Seasonal variation of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios in atmospheric nitrate species. *Tellus Series B- Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 43: 30-44.
- Galbavy, E. S., Anastasio, C., Lefer, B., and Hall, S., 2007: Light penetration in the snowpack at Summit, Greenland: Part 2 nitrate photolysis. *Atmospheric Environment*, 41: 5091-5100.
- Grannas, A. M., Jones, A. E., Dibb, J., Ammann, M., Anastasio, C., Beine, H. J., Bergin, M., Bottenheim, J., Boxe, C. S., Carver, G., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., Domine, F., Frey, M. M., Guzman, M. I., Heard, D. E., Helmig, D., Hoffmann, M. R., Honrath, R. E., Huey, L. G., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H. W., Klan, P., Lefer, B., McConnell, J., Plane, J., Sander, R., Savarino, J., Shepson, P. B., Simpson, W. R., Sodeau, J. R., von Glasow, R., Weller, R., Wolff, E. W., and Zhu, T., 2007: An overview of snow photochemistry: evidence, mechanisms and impacts. *Atmospheric Chemistry*
- overview of snow photochemistry: evidence, mechanisms and impacts. *Atmospheric Chemistry* and *Physics*, 7: 4329-4373.

- Hanson, D. R. and Ravishankara, A. R., 1995: Heterogeneous Chemistry of Bromine Species in Sulfuric-Acid under Stratospheric Conditions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 22: 385-388.
- Hanson, D. R., Ravishankara, A. R., and Lovejoy, E. R., 1996: Reaction of BrONO₂ with H₂O on submicron sulfuric acid aerosol and the implications for the lower stratosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 101: 9063-9069.
- Hastings, M. G., Steig, E. J., and Sigman, D. M., 2004: Seasonal variations in N and O isotopes of nitrate in snow at Summit, Greenland: Implications for the study of nitrate in snow and ice cores.

 Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 109.
- Hastings, M. G., 2010: Evaluating source, chemistry and climate change based upon the isotopic composition of nitrate in ice cores. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 9: 012002.
- Hirdman, D., Sodemann, H., Eckhardt, S., Burkhart, J. F., Jefferson, A., Mefford, T., Quinn, P. K., Sharma, S., Ström, J., and Stohl, A., 2010: Source identification of short-lived air pollutants in the Arctic using statistical analysis of measurement data and particle dispersion model output.

 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10: 669-693.
- Hodson, A. J., Mumford, P. N., Kohler, J., and Wynn, P. M., 2005: The High Arctic glacial ecosystem: new insights from nutrient budgets. *Biogeochemistry*, 72: 233-256.
- Hodson, A. J., Roberts T. J., Engvall A.-C., Holmén K., Mumford P., 2010: Glacier ecosystem response to episodic nitrogen enrichment in Svalbard, European High Arctic. *Biogeochemistry*, 98, 1-3, 171-184. Honrath, R. E., Peterson, M. C., Guo, S., Dibb, J. E., Shepson, P. B., and Campbell, B., 1999: Evidence of NO_x production within or upon ice particles in the Greenland snowpack. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26: 695-698.
- Honrath, R. E., Peterson, M. C., Dziobak, M. P., Dibb, J. E., Arsenault, M. A., and Green, S. A., 2000:
 Release of NO_x from sunlight-irradiated midlatitude snow. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27: 2237-2240.
- Hopper, J. F., Peters, B., Yokouchi, Y., Niki, H., Jobson, B. T., Shepson, P. B., and Muthuramu, K., 1994:
 Chemical and meteorological observations at ice camp swan during polar sunrise experiment
 1037

 1992. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 99: 25489-25498.

1040

1041 1042

1043

1044

1045

- Hopper, J. F., Barrie, L. A., Silis, A., Hart, W., Gallant, A. J., and Dryfhout, H., 1998: Ozone and meteorology during the 1994 Polar Sunrise Experiment. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 103: 1481-1492.
- Huff, D. M., Joyce, P. L., Fochesatto, G. J., and Simpson, W. R., 2011: Deposition of dinitrogen pentoxide, N₂O₅, to the snowpack at high latitudes. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11: 4929-4938.
- Ianniello, A., Beine, H. J., Sparapani, R., Di Bari, F., Allegrini, I., and Fuentes, J. D., 2002: Denuder measurements of gas and aerosol species above Arctic snow surfaces at Alert 2000. *Atmospheric Environment*, 36: 5299-5309.
- Ianniello, A., Beine, H. J., Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Esposito, G., Arnoroso, A., and Allegrini, I.,
 2007: Comparing field performances of denuder techniques in the high Arctic. *Atmospheric Environment*, 41: 1604-1615.
- Ianniello, A., Spataro, F., Esposito, G., Allegrini, I., Hu, M., and Zhu, T., 2011: Chemical characteristics of inorganic ammonium salts in PM2.5 in the atmosphere of Beijing (China). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11: 10803-10822.
- Jacobi, H. W. and Hilker, B., 2007: A mechanism for the photochemical transformation of nitrate in snow. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 185: 371-382.
- Jacobi, H. W., Morin, S., and Bottenheim, J. W., 2010: Observation of widespread depletion of ozone in the springtime boundary layer of the central Arctic linked to mesoscale synoptic conditions. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 115: D17302.

- Jacobi, H. W., Kleffmann, J., Villena, G., Wiesen, P., King. M., France, J., Anastasio, C., and Staebler, R., 2014: Role of Nitrate in the Photochemical Formation of Radicals in the Snow. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 48:165-172.
- Jarvis, J. C., Steig, E. J., Hastings, M. G., and Kunasek, S. A., 2008: Influence of local photochemistry on isotopes of nitrate in Greenland snow. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35.
- Jarvis, J. C., Hastings, M. G., Steig, E. J., and Kunasek, S. A., 2009: Isotopic ratios in gas-phase HNO₃ and snow nitrate at Summit, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 114: -.
- Johansson, C. and Granat, L., 1986: An Experimental-Study of the Dry Deposition of Gaseous Nitric-Acid to Snow. *Atmospheric Environment*, 20: 1165-1170.
- Jones, A. E., Weller, R., Wolff, E. W., and Jacobi, H. W., 2000: Speciation and rate of photochemical NO and NO₂ production in Antarctic snow. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27: 345-348.
- Jones, A. E., Weller, R., Anderson, P. S., Jacobi, H. W., Wolff, E. W., Schrems, O., and Miller, H., 2001:
 Measurements of NO_x emissions from the Antarctic snowpack. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28:
 1071
 1499-1502.
- Kaiser, J., Hastings, M. G., Houlton, B. Z., Rockmann, T., and Sigman, D. M., 2007: Triple oxygen isotope analysis of nitrate using the denitrifier method and thermal decomposition of N₂O. *Analytical Chemistry*, 79: 599-607.
- 1075 Kendall, C., 1998: Tracing Nitrogen Sources and Cycling in Catchments. *In* Kendall, C. and McDonnell, 1076 J. J. (eds.), *Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V., 839pp.
- 1077 Kendall, C., Elliott, E. M., and Wankel, S. D., 2007: Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to ecosystems. *In* Michener, R. H. and Lajtha, K. (eds.), *Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science*: Blackwell Publishing, 375-449.
- Kuhn, M., 2001: The nutrient cycle through snow and ice, a review. *Aquatic Sciences*, 63: 150-167.
- Kvlividz, V. I., Kiselev, V. F., and Ushakova, L. A., 1970: Existence of Quasi-Liquid Layer on Ice Surface (in Russian). Doklady Akademii Nauk Sssr, 191: 1088-1090.
- Kühnel, R., Roberts, T. J., Björkman, M. P., Isaksson, E., Aas, W., Holmén, K., and Ström, J., 2011: 20-1084 Year Climatology of NO₃ and NH₄ Wet Deposition at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2011: 10.
- Kühnel, R., 2013: Reactive Nitrogen: Transport to and deposition at the high Arctic site Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, 236 pp, University of Oslo, Oslo.

1092

- Larose, C., Berger, S., Ferrari, C., Navarro, E., Dommergue, A., Schneider, D., and Vogel, T. M., 2010:
 Microbial sequences retrieved from environmental samples from seasonal Arctic snow and
 meltwater from Svalbard, Norway. *Extremophiles*, 14: 205-212.
 - Ma, W. K., Schautz, A., Fishback, L. A. E., Bedard-Haughn, A., Farrell, R. E., and Siciliano, S. D., 2007: Assessing the potential of ammonia oxidizing bacteria to produce nitrous oxide in soils of a high arctic lowland ecosystem on Devon Island, Canada. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 39: 2001-2013.
- McCabe, J. R., Boxe, C. S., Colussi, A. J., Hoffmann, M. R., and Thiemens, M. H., 2005: Oxygen isotopic fractionation in the photochemistry of nitrate in water and ice. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 110: D15310.
- Michalski, G., Scott, Z., Kabiling, M., and Thiemens, M. H., 2003: First measurements and modeling of Δ^{17} O in atmospheric nitrate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30.
- 1099 Miteva, V., 2008: *Bacteria in snow and glacier ice*: Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberger Platz 3, D-1100 14197 Berlin, Germany, 31-50.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Cavender, A., Shepson, P. B., and Bottenheim, J. W., 2007a: Major influence of BrO on the NO_x and nitrate budgets in the Arctic spring, inferred from Δ¹⁷O(NO₃⁻) measurements during ozone depletion events. *Environmental Chemistry*, 4: 238-241.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Gong, S., and Bottenheim, J. W., 2007b: Signature of Arctic surface ozone depletion events in the isotope anomaly (Delta O-17) of atmospheric nitrate. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7: 1451-1469.

- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Frey, M. M., Yan, N., Bekki, S., Bottenheim, J. W., and Martins, J. M. F., 2008: Tracing the Origin and Fate of NO_x in the Arctic Atmosphere Using Stable Isotopes in Nitrate. Science, 322: 730-732.
- Morin, S., Savarino, J., Frey, M. M., Domine, F., Jacobi, H. W., Kaleschke, L., and Martins, J. M. F., 2009: Comprehensive isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in the Atlantic Ocean boundary layer from 65 degrees S to 79 degrees N. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 114: D05303.
- Perrino, C., Ramirez, D., and Allegrini, I., 2001: Monitoring acidic air pollutants near Rome by means of diffusion lines: development of a specific quality control procedure. *Atmospheric Environment*, 35: 331-341.
- Qiu, R., Green, S. A., Honrath, R. E., Peterson, M. C., Lu, Y., and Dziobak, M., 2002: Measurements of J_{NO3} in snow by nitrate-based actinometry. *Atmospheric Environment*, 36: 2563-2571.
- Rahn, K. A., Joranger, E., Semb, A., and Conway, T. J., 1980: High Winter Concentrations of SO₂ in the Norwegian Arctic and Transport from Eurasia. *Nature*, 287: 824-826.
- Rahn, K. A., 1981: Relative importances of North-America and Eurasia as sources of Arctic aerosol. *Atmospheric Environment*, 15: 1447-1455.
- Roberts T. J., Hodson A., Evans C. D., Holmén, K., 2010: Modelling the impacts of a nitrogen pollution event on the biogeochemistry of an Arctic glacier. Annals of Glaciology, 51: 163-170.
- Russell, A. G., Mcrae, G. J., and Cass, G. R., 1985: The Dynamics of Nitric-Acid Production and the Fate of Nitrogen-Oxides. *Atmospheric Environment*, 19: 893-903.
- Sander, R. and Bottenheim, J., 2012: A compilation of tropospheric measurements of gas-phase and aerosol chemistry in polar regions. *Earth System Science Data*, 4: 215–282.
- Sato, K., Takenaka, N., Bandow, H., and Maeda, Y., 2008: Evaporation loss of dissolved volatile substances from ice surfaces. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 112: 7600-7607.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N., 2006: *Atmosperic Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, Second Edition.* Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & sons, Inc.
- Siciliano, S. D., Ma, W. K., Ferguson, S., and Farrell, R. E., 2009: Nitrifier dominance of Arctic soil nitrous oxide emissions arises due to fungal competition with denitrifiers for nitrate. *Soil Biology* & *Biochemistry*, 41: 1104-1110.
- Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Bohlke, J. K., 2001: A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater.

 Analytical Chemistry, 73: 4145-4153.
- Simpson, W. R., King, M. D., Beine, H. J., Honrath, R. E., and Zhou, X. L., 2002: Radiation-transfer modeling of snow-pack photochemical processes during ALERT 2000. *Atmospheric Environment*, 36: 2663-2670.
- Simpson, W. R., von Glasow, R., Riedel, K., Anderson, P., Ariya, P., Bottenheim, J., Burrows, J., Carpenter, L. J., Friess, U., Goodsite, M. E., Heard, D., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H. W., Kaleschke, L., Neff, B., Plane, J., Platt, U., Richter, A., Roscoe, H., Sander, R., Shepson, P., Sodeau, J., Steffen, A., Wagner, T., and Wolff, E., 2007: Halogens and their role in polar boundary-layer ozone depletion. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7: 4375-4418.
- Sozzi, R., Favaron, M., Georgiadis, T., 1998: Method for estimation of surface roughness and similarity function of wind speed vertical profile. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 37: 461–469.
- Spataro, F., Ianniello, A., Esposito, G., Allegrini, I., Zhu, T., and Hu, M., 2013: Occurrence of atmospheric nitrous acid in the urban area of Beijing (China). *Science of the Total Environment*, 447: 210-224.
- Stohl, A., 2006: Characteristics of atmospheric transport into the Arctic troposphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 111: D11306.
- Sturm, M. and Johnson, J. B., 1991: Natural-Convection in the Sub-Arctic Snow Cover. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth and Planets*, 96: 11657-11671.

- Thomas, J. L., Stutz, J., Lefer, B., Huey, L. G., Toyota, K., Dibb, J. E., and von Glasow, R., 2011:
 Modeling chemistry in and above snow at Summit, Greenland Part 1: Model description and results. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11: 4899-4914.
- Thomas, J. L., Dibb, J. E., Huey, L. G., Liao, J., Tanner, D., Lefer, B., von Glasow, R., and Stutz, J., 2012:
 Modeling chemistry in and above snow at Summit, Greenland Part 2: Impact of snowpack
 chemistry on the oxidation capacity of the boundary layer. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*,
 12: 6537-6554.
- Vega, C. P., 2014: Nitrate stable isotopes and major ions in snow and ice from Svalbard, 80 pp, Uppsala University, Uppsala.
- Villena, G., Wiesen, P., Cantrell, C. A., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Hall, S. R., Hornbrook, R. S., Knapp, D.,
 Kosciuch, E., Mauldin III, R. L., McGrath, J. A., Montzka, D., Richter, D., Ullmann, K., Walega,
 J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A., Staebler, R. M., Liao, J., Huey, L. G., and Kleffmann, J., 2011:
 Nitrous acid (HONO) during polar spring in Barrow, Alaska: A net source of OH radicals?
 Journal of Geophysical Research, 116: D00R07.
- Zhou, X. L., Beine, H. J., Honrath, R. E., Fuentes, J. D., Simpson, W., Shepson, P. B., and Bottenheim, J.
 W., 2001: Snowpack photochemical production of HONO: a major source of OH in the Arctic boundary layer in springtime. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28: 4087-4090.
- Zien, A. W., Richter, A., Hilboll, A., Blechschmidt, A.-M., and Burrows, J. P., 2014: Systematic analysis
 of tropospheric NO₂ long-range transport events detected in GOME-2 satellite data. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14: 7367-7396.

Table 1. Fitted linear regression models (y=kx+m) for the three periods (Fig. 4)*.

Model	Period	k	m	n	\mathbb{R}^2	p-value	Unit
$c_{ m NO3}$	1	0.1	-7.8	30	0.17	< 0.03	μmol L ⁻¹
	2	0.2	-18.1	12	0.50	0.01	μmol L ⁻¹
	3	0.1	-6.5	26	0.34	< 0.01	μmol L ⁻¹
c_{Br}	1	1.5	-61.3	29	0.06	0.18	nmol L ⁻¹
	2	-20.6	2100.4	12	0.56	< 0.01	nmol L ⁻¹
	3	-4.5	566.2	23	0.56	<< 0.01	nmol L ⁻¹
$c_{ m Cl}$	1	2.4	-206.6	29	0.09	0.11	μmol L ⁻¹
	2	3.4	-348.0	12	0.04	0.56	μmol L ⁻¹
	3	3. 1	-339.7	26	0.45	< 0.01	μmol L ⁻¹
$c_{ m Na}$	1	1.0	-70.8	30	0.02	0.42	μmol L ⁻¹
	2	2.7	-279.4	12	0.06	0.43	μmol L ⁻¹
	3	3.2	-354.8	27	0.40	< 0.01	μmol L ⁻¹
$\delta(^{15}N)$	1	-0.1	8.8	23	0.01	0.67	‰
	2	-0.4	41.6	12	0.02	0.65	‰
	3	0.6	-85.1	26	0.49	< 0.01	‰
$\delta(^{18}\mathrm{O})$	1	-0.1	90.2	23	0.00	0.78	‰
	2	0.9	-20.7	12	0.28	0.08	‰
	3	0.7	-0.4	26	0.37	< 0.01	‰
Z_{SWE}	1	0.1	-8.2	30	0.50	<< 0.01	cm
	2	0.03	-2.0	12	0.02	0.63	cm
	3	0.2	-21.1	26	0.88	<< 0.01	cm
V	1	4.0	-346.8	30	0.50	<< 0.01	mL
	2	-0.7	-157.3	12	0.01	0.80	mL
	3	7.8	-896.6	26	0.88	<< 0.01	mL

*Regression models where analyzed for the NO₃ concentration (c_{NO3}), Br concentration (c_{Br}), Cl concentration (c_{Cl}), Na⁺ concentration (c_{Na}), the NO₃ isotopic composition (δ (¹⁵N) and δ (¹⁸O)), the surface snow water equivalence (c_{SWE}), and the sample volume (V). Also given are the numbers of measurements (n) used for each regression, the multiple R² value for the models, and the significance (p-value) of the slope k.

Table 2. Calculated initial ($_{0}$) and final ($_{t}$) values from the regression model in Table 1.

Model	Period	0	t	Unit
$c_{ m NO3}$	1	1.1±0.2	1.8±0.2	μmol L ⁻¹
	2	1.4 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	μmol L ⁻¹
	3	1.7 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.1	μmol L ⁻¹
$\delta(^{15}N)$	1	-5.3 ± 1.8	-6.5 ± 1.7	‰
	2	-7.4 ± 1.7	-8.7 ± 1.7	‰
	3	-12.9 ± 0.6	-8.0 ± 0.6	‰
$\delta(^{18}{\rm O})$	1	84.0 ± 1.2	83.4±1.2	‰
	2	85.2 ± 0.9	88.0 ± 0.9	‰
	3	83.0 ± 0.9	88.7±0.9	‰
z_{SWE}	1	1.3 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.1	cm
	2	1.7 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	cm
	3	0.4 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	cm
V	1	57.2 ± 4.0	92.9±4.0	mL
	2	73.4 ± 5.2	71.2 ± 5.2	mL
	3	15.6±2.9	78.0±2.9	mL

Table 3. Calculated net change of NO₃⁻ (F_{net}) and isotopic signatures (δ (¹⁵N) and δ (¹⁸O)).

Period	${F_{ m net}}^*$	$\delta(^{15}\mathrm{N})^{\#}$	$\delta(^{18}\mathrm{O})^{^{\#}}$
	$(\mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1})$	(‰)	(‰)
1	0.9 ± 0.4	-8.2 ± 13.6	82.7±87.9
2	1.4 ± 0.6	-12.2 ± 18.8	95.4±110.6
3	0.6 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 5.6	105.9 ± 72.3

*calculated from Eq. 2 *calculated from Eq. 5.

Figure captions:

1188 1189

Figure 1. Maps of a) the Ny-Ålesund region and b) the Svalbard archipelago. Included in a) as a star is the surface snow sampling site and "Gruvebadet" (a newly established research site), the Amundsen-Nobile Climate Change Tower (CCT) and the Zeppelin Station. In b) the most commonly used ice-core drilling sites (Holtedahlfonna, Lomonosovfonna and Austfonna) are given along with the major settlements (Longyearbyen, Barentsburg, Svea, Ny-Ålesund and Hornsund).

1196

Figure 2. A schematic of the processes important for NO₃ dynamics in Svalbard surface snow.

1198

Figure 3. Nitrate concentrations (c_{NO3}) and isotopic signatures ($\delta(^{15}N)$) and $\delta(^{18}O)$) of the daily 1199 1200 surface snow samples in 2010. Including: a) a schematic sketch of the visible layering found in the sampled surface snow; b) the atmospheric O_3 concentration (c_{O3}) measured at the Zeppelin 1201 1202 Station and at Gruvebadet, along with surface snow Br concentrations (c_{Br}) ; c) a schematic sketch of 7 days back-trajectories obtained from the FLEXTRA model, where arrows indicate 1203 flow paths and circles indicate stagnant air; d) the measured $\delta(^{15}N)$ and $\delta(^{18}O)$ in the surface 1204 snow, and; e) the measured c_{NO3} in the surface snow together with the registered precipitation in 1205 Ny-Ålesund. Furthermore, error bars indicates standard error $(\sigma_{\bar{x}})$, * indicate samples where $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ is 1206 1207 calculated from only two data points, and symbols without error bars indicates one replicate. 1208 Solid lines represent significant linear regression models (lm, p-value < 0.05), while broken lines 1209 equal non-significant trends (p-value > 0.05).

1210

Figure 4. Measured flux data (6 minute resolution) from Gruvebadet during the spring campaign 2010. Including; a) the NO concentrations (C_{NO}) at the upper and lower inlets; b) the NO₂ concentrations (C_{NO2}) at the upper and lower inlets; c) the NO and NO₂ flux data (F_{NO} and F_{NO2} , respectively) derived from the difference between the upper and lower inlets and the eddy diffusivity, K.

1216

Figure 5. Measured and modelled NO_x , HNO_3 and $p-NO_3$ fluxes measured at Gruvebadet. Where; a) is the photolytic rate (J_{NO3}) estimated from Eq. 7; b) is the daily average emission and deposition of NO, NO_2 and NO_x (F_{NO} , F_{NO2} and F_{NOx}) and the daily NO_x net flux (ΣF_{NOx}). Also included is the estimated photolytic NO_2 flux (F_{JNO3}) using J_{NO3} in a) and the measured c_{NO3} in Fig. 3e; c) is the daily average emission and deposition of HNO_3 (F_{HNO3}) and $p-NO_3$ (F_{p-NO3}) and the net flux of these (ΣF_{NO3}), also included is the measured (F_{tray}) and modelled ($F_{model}(LND)$) NO_3 dry deposition to Ny-Ålesund given in Björkman et al. (2013).

1224

Figure 6. Box model results, using the NO_x, HNO₃ and p-NO₃ flux measurements. Given in a) is the modeled δ_t for ¹⁸O (Eq. 13) for the three isotopic deposition scenarios (δ_{atm}) where; + 40 % resembles the OH signal found in Greenland (Jarvis et al., 2009); + 75 % resembles a midlatitude signal (Morin et al., 2009); and + 100 % resembles the influence of BrO chemistry (Morin et al., 2009). Also included in a) is the actual measured $\delta(^{18}O)$ and co-occurring linear regression models (lm, Table 1). Similarly b) gives the modeled δ_t for ¹⁵N (Eq. 13) for the three isotopic deposition scenarios (δ_{atm}) where; – 20 % represents Polar basin air (Morin et al., 2009);

- 1232 13 % represent ambient air (Amoroso et al., 2010); and + 5 % represents a local
- biogeochemical signal (Amoroso et al.,2010). Also included in b) is the measured $\delta(^{15}N)$ and
- developed lm's. Given in c) is the modeled c_t (Eq. 16) along with the measured c_{NO3} and lm's.
- Furthermore, the fractionation sensitivity test is included as shaded areas around the box model
- results in a) and b).

- Figure 7. Same as Fig. 6 but where the box model parameterization for three mission of NO_x
- from the snow-pack is based on the photolytic rate function (J_{NO3-} , Eq. 7) and the deposition of
- nitrate from the atmosphere based on the dry deposition rate (F_{tray}) using Eq. 11 and 12.













