This is a repository copy of *Design of Active Noise Control Systems with Compact and Distributed Sources.*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/79754/

Monograph:
Tokhi, M.O. (1994) Design of Active Noise Control Systems with Compact and Distributed Sources. Research Report. ACSE Research Report 533 . Department of Automatic Control and Systems Engineering

DESIGN OF ACTIVE NOISE CONTROL SYSTEMS WITH COMPACT AND DISTRIBUTED SOURCES

M O Tokhi

Department of Automatic Control and Systems Engineering, The University of Sheffield, P O Box 600, Mappin Street, Sheffield, S1 4DU, UK.

Tel: (0742) 825136.
Fax: (0742) 731 729.
E-mail: O.Tokhi@sheffield.ac.uk.

August 1994

Abstract

A coherent method of design of active noise control systems for compact and distributed sources of noise in a three-dimensional non-dispersive propagation medium is presented. An analysis of single-input single-output, single-input multi-output and multi-input multi-output control structures is provided. Conditions for the robust operation of such systems on the basis of optimum cancellation, in relation to controller design, are determined. These conditions are interpreted as constraints on the geometric compositions of the system.

Key words: Active noise control, three-dimensional propagation, feedforward control structure, feedback control structure.

CONTENTS

1 Introduction

Active noise control (ANC) is realised by artificially generating secondary (cancelling) source(s) of sound through detecting the primary (unwanted) noise and processing it by a suitable electronic controller, so that when the secondary wave is superimposed on the primary wave the two destructively interfere with one another and reduction of the unwanted sound occurs. Theoretical and practical investigations have shown that, generally, due to the broadband nature of the noise emitted by practical sources, the control process is required to realise suitable continuous frequency-dependent characteristics so that cancellation over a broad range of frequencies of the noise is achieved (Leitch and Tokhi, 1986, 1987; Nelson and Elliott, 1992; Tokhi and Leitch, 1992). Moreover, in practice, the characteristics of sources of noise vary, e.g. due to operating conditions, leading to time-varying spectra. Furthermore, the characteristics of system components are subject to variation, e.g. due to ageing, environmental effects, etc. Therefore, the control process is further required to incorporate an adaptive capability so that the required performance is achieved and maintained. Through his experiments of reducing transformer noise Conover was the first to realise the need for a 'black box' controller that would adjust the cancelling signal in accordance with information gathered at a remote distance from the transformer, as the performance of his ANC system was deteriorating from time to time due to the time-varying nature of the transformer noise (Conover, 1956, 1957). Later it has been realised by numerous researchers that for an ANC system to be practically successful it is essential that it incorporates an adaptive capability (Alvarez-Tinoco, 1985; Chaplin, 1980; Burgess, 1981; Darlington and Elliott; Elliott and Nelson; 1986; Elliott et.al, 1987; Eriksson et.al, 1987, 1988; Leitch and Tokhi, 1987; Ross, 1982; Tokhi and Leitch, 1989, 1991a).

In practice, sources of noise can broadly be classified as compact or distributed. A compact source of noise is theoretically modelled as a point source with contours of equal pressure levels forming spherical surfaces around the source. A distributed source of noise, on the other hand, can be modelled as a set of point sources distributed around the surface

of the source. In cancelling the noise of a compact source, a single detector is generally sufficient to obtain the required signal information needed to generate the cancelling signal. This leads to the realisation of a control structure incorporating a single input signal. However, in cancelling the noise of a distributed source, to obtain sufficient signal information, a multiple set of detectors will be required. This will lead to the realisation of a multi-input control structure. Similarly, at the output end, the performance requirements of the system as related to the physical extent of cancellation in the medium, will lead to the realisation of either single-output or multi-output control structures. Therefore, depending on the application a suitable control structure incorporating the required number of inputs and outputs can be employed.

The analysis presented in this paper is concerned with the cancellation of noise of both compact and distributed sources in three-dimensional (free-field) propagation. The system is considered within the realisation structures of the single-input single output (SISO), single-input multi-output (SIMO) and multi-input multi-output (MIMO) forms. The controller design relations are developed in the frequency-domain. These can, equivalently, be thought of either in the complex frequency $s-$domain or the $z-$domain allowing the practical realisation of the corresponding controller in either the continuous-time or the discrete-time using analogue or digital techniques accordingly.

The analysis focuses on ANC systems in stationary (steady-state) conditions. This corresponds to a system with fixed controller of the required characteristics under situations where substantial variations in the characteristics of secondary source(s), transducers and other electronic equipment used do not occur. In an adaptive ANC system, this means that once a steady-state (stationary) condition has reached the situation is equivalent to the case of the fixed controller. Therefore, in an adaptive ANC system the analysis applies to periods of time when a steady-state condition has reached and substantial variation in the parameter values do not occur.

2 Active noise control structure

A schematic diagram of a general ANC structure, namely the MIMO feedforward control structure (FFCS), is shown in Figure 1(a). A set of n primary point sources emit unwanted acoustic signals (noise) into the medium. This is detected by a set of n detectors, processed by the controller and fed to a set of k secondary sources. The secondary signals thus generated are superimposed upon the unwanted noise so that the noise level is reduced at a set of k observation points. The corresponding frequency-domain equivalent block diagram of Figure 1(a) is shown in Figure 1(b) where

E   is an $n \times n$ matrix representing transfer characteristics of the acoustic paths between the primary sources and the detectors,

F   is a $k \times n$ matrix representing transfer characteristics of the acoustic paths between the secondary sources and the detectors,

G   is an $n \times k$ matrix representing transfer characteristics of the acoustic paths between the primary sources and the observers,

H   is a $k \times k$ matrix representing transfer characteristics of the acoustic paths between the secondary sources and the observers,

M   is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix representing transfer characteristics of the detectors,

P   is a $1 \times n$ matrix representing the primary signals at the source points,

P_o   is a $1 \times k$ matrix representing the primary signals at the observation points,

S   is a $1 \times k$ matrix representing the secondary signals at the source points,

S_o   is a $1 \times k$ matrix representing the secondary signals at the observation points,

D   is a $1 \times n$ matrix representing the detected signals, and

O   is a $1 \times k$ matrix representing the combined primary and secondary signals at the observation points.

As seen in Figure 1(a), each detector gives a combined measure of the primary and secondary waves that reach the corresponding detection point. The secondary waves thus reaching the detectors form closed feedback loops that can cause the system to become

3

unstable. Therefore, a careful consideration of these loops is necessary in a design stage. Alternative techniques attempting to avoid the instability problem in one-dimensional propagation (duct noise) by isolating the detector from secondary source radiation through using either uni-directional detectors or multiple-detector/ multiple-source configurations such as acoustic dipole and tripole have been reported (Jessel and Mangiante, 1972; Eghtesadi and Leventhal, 1981; Leventhal, 1976; Leventhal and Eghtesadi, 1979; Swinbanks, 1973). It is possible to avoid the instability problem in three-dimensional propagation by using uni-directional detector(s) or by employing indirect detection (Tokhi and Leitch, 1991b). However, a stability analysis of the system based on relative stability measures will lead to a robust design (Tokhi and Leitch, 1991c).

Note, in Figure 1, that moving the observation points so that to coincide with the detection points will lead to a feedback control structure. This type of structure has been investigated for the SISO system extensively (Bleazy, 1962; Chaplin et.al, 1985; Lueg, 1936; Olson, 1956, 1961; Olson and May, 1953; Short, 1980; Tokhi and Leitch, 1988, 1991b; Trinder and Nelson, 1983a,b). The feedforward control structure, on the other hand, has more popularly been employed and investigated, as a general structure, in one-dimensional as well as three-dimensional enclosed and free fields (Conover, 1956, 1957; Eriksson et.al, 1988; Hesselman, 1978; Munjal and Eriksson, 1988; Leitch and Tokhi, 1986, 1987; Lueg, 1936; Nelson et.al, 1987; Ross, 1978; Roure, 1985; Tokhi and Leitch, 1988, 1989, 1991a,b,c).

3   Design of the controller

The objective in Figure 1 is to reduce the level of noise to zero at the observation points. This corresponds to the minimum variance design criterion in a stochastic environment. This requires the observed primary and secondary signals at each observation point to be equal in magnitudes and have a phase difference of 180°;

$$\mathbf{S}_o = -\mathbf{P}_o \tag{1}$$

Using the block diagram in Figure 1(b), P_o and S_o can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{P_o = PG}$$

(2)

$$\mathbf{S_o = PEMCL[I - FMCL]^{-1}H}$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix. Substituting for $\mathbf{P_o}$ and $\mathbf{S_o}$ from equation (2) into equation (1) and simplifying yields the required controller transfer function as

$$\mathbf{C = M^{-1}\Delta^{-1}GH^{-1}L^{-1}}$$

(3)

where Δ is an $n \times n$ matrix given by

$$\mathbf{\Delta = GH^{-1}F - E}$$

(4)

Equation (3) represents the required controller design relation for optimum cancellation of noise at the observation points. In designing such a controller a careful consideration of the acoustic feedback loops, due to secondary source radiation reaching the detectors, that can cause the system to become unstable is required. Moreover, note in equation (3) that, for given sources, sensors and necessary electronics, the controller characteristics are dependent on the transfer characteristics of the acoustic paths and, hence, system geometry. A study of this dependence will give an insight into the complexity and practical realisation aspects of the controller and, therefore, is extremely important in a design stage.

4. Limitations in controller design

It follows from equation (3) that for given detectors and secondary sources with necessary electronic components, the controller characteristics required for optimum cancellation is dependent on the characteristics of the acoustic paths from the primary and secondary sources to the detection and observation points. Any set of such points in the medium

require particular controller characteristics. In particular, if the set of detection and observation points are such that the determinant of Δ in equation (3) becomes zero then the critical situation of infinite gain controller (IGC) requirement arises. The locus of such points in the medium (as a practical limitation in the design of the controller) is therefore of crucial interest.

Under the situation of the IGC requirement equation (4), for periodic waves, can be written as

$$|\Delta(j\omega)| = |G(j\omega)H^{-1}(j\omega)F(j\omega) - E(j\omega)| = 0 \qquad (5)$$

where $E(j\omega)$, $F(j\omega)$, $G(j\omega)$ and $H(j\omega)$ represent the frequency responses of the corresponding acoustic paths in Figure 1, j is the unit imaginary number and ω is radian frequency. Note that equation (5) is given in terms of the characteristics of the acoustic paths in the system. This implies that the IGC requirement is a geometry-related problem in an ANC system. Therefore, an analysis of equation (5) will lead to the identification of loci of (detection and observation) points in the medium for which the IGC requirement holds. To obtain the solution of equation (5) a SISO system is considered first. The results obtained are then used and extended to the SIMO and MIMO ANC systems.

4.1 Single-input single-output system

Let the ANC system in Figure 1 incorporate a single primary source ($n = 1$) and a single secondary source ($k = 1$) and the functions $E(j\omega)$, $F(j\omega)$, $G(j\omega)$ and $H(j\omega)$, in this case, be denoted by $e(j\omega)$, $f(j\omega)$, $g(j\omega)$ and $h(j\omega)$ with the associated distances as r_e, r_f, r_g and r_h respectively;

$$E(j\omega) = e(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_e} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_e} \quad , \quad F(j\omega) = f(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_f} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_f}$$

$$\qquad\qquad ; \quad k = n = 1 \qquad (6)$$

$$G(j\omega) = g(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_g} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_g} \quad , \quad H(j\omega) = h(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_h} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_h}$$

6

where A is a constant. Substituting for $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ from equation (6) into equation (5) and simplifying yields

$$\left(\frac{r_e}{r_f}\right)e^{-j(r_f-r_e)\frac{\omega}{c}} = \left(\frac{r_g}{r_h}\right)e^{-j(r_h-r_g)\frac{\omega}{c}}$$

This equation is true if and only if the amplitudes as well as the exponents (phases) on either side of the equation are equal. Equating the amplitudes and the phases, accordingly, yields

$$\frac{r_e}{r_f} = \frac{r_g}{r_h} = a \quad , \quad r_f - r_e = r_h - r_g \tag{7}$$

where a is a positive real number representing the distance ratio. Equation (7) defines the locus of points for which $|\Delta(j\omega)| = 0$ and the controller is required to have an infinitely large gain. Note that this equation is in terms of the distances r_e, r_f, r_g and r_h only. Therefore, the critical situation of IGC requirement is determined only by the locations of the detector and observer relative to the primary and secondary sources.

Eliminating r_f and r_h in equation (7) and simplifying yields

$$r_e(a-1) = r_g(a-1) \tag{8}$$

Two possible situations, namely $a = 1$ and $a \neq 1$, are considered separately.

4.1.1 Unity distance ratio

For a unity distance ratio equation (8) yields the identity $0 = 0$. Therefore, substituting for $a = 1$ into equation (7) yields the locus of points for IGC requirement as

$$\frac{r_e}{r_f} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_g}{r_h} = 1 \tag{9}$$

If the locations of the primary and secondary sources are fixed then each relation in equation (9) defines a plane surface perpendicularly bisecting the line joining the locations

of the primary and secondary sources (see Appendix). This plane for the primary and secondary sources located at points $P(0,0,0)$ and $S(u_s, v_s, w_s)$ respectively with a distance r apart in a three-dimensional UVW-space is given by

$$\frac{u}{\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2u_s}\right)} + \frac{v}{\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2v_s}\right)} + \frac{w}{\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2w_s}\right)} = 1$$

which intersects the $U-$, $V-$ and $W-$axes at points $\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2u_s}, 0, 0\right)$, $\left(0, \dfrac{r^2}{2v_s}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0, 0, \dfrac{r^2}{2w_s}\right)$ respectively. If the detector is placed at any point on this plane (the IGC plane) and if at the same time the observer location coincides with a point on this plane then the 'critical situation' of equation (5) occurs and the controller is required to have an infinitely large gain for optimum cancellation to be achieved at the observation point.

4.1.2 Non-unity distance ratio

For a non-unity distance ratio equations (7) and (8) yield

$$\frac{r_e}{r_f} = a \quad , \quad \frac{r_g}{r_h} = a \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_e}{r_g} = 1 \tag{10}$$

It follows from the Appendix that each of the first two relations in equation (10) describe spherical surfaces. These surfaces for the primary and secondary sources located respectively at $P(0,0,0)$ and $S(u_s, v_s, w_s)$ are defined by

$$\left[u + \frac{a^2 u_s}{1-a^2}\right]^2 + \left[v + \frac{a^2 v_s}{1-a^2}\right]^2 + \left[w + \frac{a^2 w_s}{1-a^2}\right]^2 = \left[\frac{ar}{1-a^2}\right]^2 \quad ; \quad a \neq 1 \tag{11}$$

8

which has a radius $R = \dfrac{ar}{\left|1-a^2\right|}$ and centre along the line PS at point

$$Q\left(-\frac{a^2 u_s}{1-a^2}, -\frac{a^2 v_s}{1-a^2}, -\frac{a^2 w_s}{1-a^2}\right).$$

The third relation in equation (10) requires the equality of the distances r_e and r_g. The locus of such points in the three-dimensional UVW–space (for, say, constant r_e) is a sphere with radius equal to r_e and centre at the location of the primary source;

$$u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = r_e^2 \tag{12}$$

Therefore, the locus of points defined by equation (10) is given by the intersection of the two spheres in equations (11) and (12). Such an intersection results a circle (the IGC circle) located in a plane that is at right angles with the line joining the centres of the spheres. The centre of the circle is the point of intersection of the plane and the line.

Manipulating equations (11) and (12) yields the plane of the IGC circle as

$$\frac{u}{\left(\dfrac{B}{u_s}\right)} + \frac{v}{\left(\dfrac{B}{v_s}\right)} + \frac{w}{\left(\dfrac{B}{w_s}\right)} = 1 \tag{13}$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{2}\left[r^2 - \left(\frac{1}{a^2} - 1\right)r_e^2\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[r^2 - \left(r_f^2 - r_e^2\right)\right] \tag{14}$$

Equation (13) defines a plane surface on which the IGC circle is residing. It can be shown (see Appendix) that the line PS is at right angles with the plane of the IGC circle. This is shown in two dimensions in Figure 2(a) where point E is the location of the detector. The corresponding IGC circle is shown in Figure 2(b) where r_c is the radius of the IGC circle.

The quantity B in equation (14) gives a measure of the intersection of the plane in equation (13) with the coordinate axes and, thereby, with the line PS. It is evident from equation (14) that B is dependent on r, r_e and r_f or, for constant r, is dependent on the

location of the detector only. If θ denotes the angle between the lines PE and PS in a plane formed by these lines, Figure 2(c), then the following holds

$$r_f^2 = r^2 + r_e^2 - 2r_e r \cos\theta \tag{15}$$

Substituting for r_f^2 from equation (15) into equation (14) yields

$$B = rr_e \cos\theta$$

Therefore, as the detection point varies the limits for B are found to be

$$|B| < r_e r$$

This variation, in relation to the location of the plane of IGC circle, is shown in two dimensions in Figure 2(c).

The radius r_c of the IGC circle from Figure 2(c) is

$$r_c = r_e \sin\theta \quad ; \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$$

Thus, the maximum value $r_{c\ max}$ of the radius is r_e and occurs when the plane of the IGC circle intersects the line PS at point P, Figure 2(c). Movement of the plane to either side of point P will lead to a decrease in the radius. At the extreme cases where the line PE is aligned with the line PS (θ is either $0°$ or $180°$) the radius r_c is zero. In general, for constant values of the angle θ the radius r_c is directly proportional to the distance r_e between the primary source and the detector. This implies that for r_c to be minimised the detector is required to be placed as close to the primary source as possible.

It follows from the above that the requirement of an infinitely large gain controller is directly linked with the locations of the detector and observer relative to the primary and secondary sources. This derives from the dependence of the controller characteristics on the transfer characteristics of the acoustic paths from the detector and observer to the primary and secondary sources which demand a particular controller transfer function for a particular set of detection and observation points in the medium. The above analysis

reveals that particular sets of detection and observation points in the medium exist that for optimum cancellation require the controller to have an infinitely large gain. These form the locus of IGC requirement as follows

(a) If the detector and observer are equidistant from the sources the locus is a plane surface that perpendicularly bisects the line joining the locations of the primary and secondary sources.

(b) If the detector and observer are not equidistant from the sources the locus is a circle, with centre along the line *PS* joining the locations of the primary and secondary sources, and on a plane that is parallel with that in *(a)*. The radius of the circle is given by the distance between the detector and the line *PS*.

Note that, if the first two relations in equation (10) are divided side-by-side (assuming $a \neq 0$) then the following equivalent relations are obtained

$$\frac{r_e}{r_g} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_f}{r_h} = 1 \tag{16}$$

This means that starting with equation (16), rather than equation (10), will also lead to exactly the same results obtained in the preceding paragraphs.

Note in Figure 1(a) that if the observer and the detector coincide with one another then the feedback control structure (FBCS) is obtained. In such a process the distances r_g and r_h effectively become equal to the distances r_e and r_f, respectively. This in terms of the transfer functions $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ and the distances r_e, r_f, r_g and r_h corresponds to

$$r_g = r_e \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{E}$$

$$r_h = r_f \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{F} \tag{17}$$

Projecting the above into the controller design relation of equation (3) the corresponding controller design relation for the FBCS is obtained.

Substituting for **G** and **H** from equation (17) into equation (4) and simplifying yields $|\Delta| = 0$ corresponding to the critical situation of IGC requirement discussed above. Therefore, for optimum cancellation of noise, the FBCS will always require a controller with an infinitely large gain. With a practically acceptable compromise between system performance and controller gain, and careful consideration of system stability, reasonable amounts of cancellation of the noise can be achieved with this structure.

4.2 *Single-input multi-output system*

Let the ANC system in Figure 1 incorporate a single primary source $(n = 1)$ and k secondary sources. Thus, the controller transfer characteristics, **C**, in equation (3) will represent a $1 \times k$ matrix;

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{c}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{c}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ represents the required controller transfer function along the secondary path from the detector to secondary source i. In this manner, the controller is realised in a SIMO form as shown in Figure 3.

Consider the simple case of $k = 2$ with the functions $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ represented as

$$\mathbf{E}(j\omega) = \mathbf{e}(j\omega) \quad , \quad \mathbf{F}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_1(j\omega) & \mathbf{f}_2(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$\mathbf{G}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1(j\omega) & \mathbf{g}_2(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \mathbf{H}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{11}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{12}(j\omega) \\ \mathbf{h}_{21}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{22}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_e} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_e} \quad , \qquad \mathbf{f}_i(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{fi}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{fi}}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_i(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{gi}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{gi}} \quad , \qquad \mathbf{h}_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{him}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{him}}$$

(19)

$i = 1,2$; $m = 1,2$; A is a constant and r_e, r_{fi}, r_{gi} and r_{him} are the distances of the acoustic paths with transfer characteristics $\mathbf{e}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{f}_i(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{g}_i(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{h}_{im}(j\omega)$ respectively. Substituting for $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ from equation (18) into equation (5) and simplifying yields

$$\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{g}_1\mathbf{h}_{22} - \mathbf{g}_2\mathbf{h}_{21}) + \mathbf{f}_2(\mathbf{g}_2\mathbf{h}_{11} - \mathbf{g}_1\mathbf{h}_{12}) = \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{h}_{11}\mathbf{h}_{22} - \mathbf{h}_{21}\mathbf{h}_{12}) \tag{20}$$

Manipulating equation (20) yields the set of solutions

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}_1}{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{11}}{\mathbf{g}_1} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{12}}{\mathbf{g}_2} \quad , \quad \frac{\mathbf{f}_2}{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{21}}{\mathbf{g}_1} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{22}}{\mathbf{g}_2} \quad , \quad \frac{\mathbf{f}_2}{\mathbf{f}_1} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{21}}{\mathbf{h}_{11}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{22}}{\mathbf{h}_{12}} \tag{21}$$

Substituting for $\mathbf{e}$, $\mathbf{f}_1$, $\mathbf{f}_2$, $\mathbf{g}_1$, $\mathbf{g}_2$, $\mathbf{h}_{11}$, $\mathbf{h}_{12}$, $\mathbf{h}_{21}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{22}$ from equation (19) into equation (21) accordingly and simplifying yields

$$\left(\frac{r_e}{r_{f1}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{f1}-r_e)} = \left(\frac{r_{g1}}{r_{h11}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{h11}-r_{g1})} = \left(\frac{r_{g2}}{r_{h12}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{h12}-r_{g2})}$$

$$\left(\frac{r_e}{r_{f2}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{f2}-r_e)} = \left(\frac{r_{g1}}{r_{h21}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{h21}-r_{g1})} = \left(\frac{r_{g2}}{r_{h22}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{h22}-r_{g2})} \tag{22}$$

$$\left(\frac{r_{f1}}{r_{f2}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{f2}-r_{f1})} = \left(\frac{r_{h11}}{r_{h21}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{h21}-r_{h11})} = \left(\frac{r_{h12}}{r_{h22}}\right) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}(r_{h22}-r_{h12})}$$

For the relations in equation (22) to hold, the amplitudes and phases on either side should be equal in each relation. Equating the amplitudes and phases accordingly yield

$$\frac{r_e}{r_{f1}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{h11}} = \frac{r_{g2}}{r_{h12}} = a_1 \quad ; \quad r_{f1} - r_e = r_{h11} - r_{g1} = r_{h12} - r_{g2}$$

$$\frac{r_e}{r_{f2}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{h21}} = \frac{r_{g2}}{r_{h22}} = a_2 \quad ; \quad r_{f2} - r_e = r_{h21} - r_{g1} = r_{h22} - r_{g2} \qquad (23)$$

$$\frac{r_{f1}}{r_{f2}} = \frac{r_{h11}}{r_{h21}} = \frac{r_{h12}}{r_{h22}} = a_{12} \quad ; \quad r_{f2} - r_{f1} = r_{h21} - r_{h11} = r_{h22} - r_{h12}$$

where a_1, a_2 and a_{12} are positive real numbers representing distance ratios. Equation (23) defines loci of detection and observation points for which $|\Delta(j\omega)| = 0$ and the controller in each secondary path is required to have an infinitely large gain for optimum cancellation of noise to be achieved at the observation points.

The first relation in equation (23) describes the locations of the detection and observation points relative to the primary source and secondary source one (say). In this manner, this defines the locus of detection and observation points relative to the locations of the two sources, considered as fixed points in the medium. Using a similar analysis procedure as presented in the previous section with the SISO system reveals that for a unity distance ration ($a_1 = 1$) the locus is given by

$$\frac{r_e}{r_{f1}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{h11}} = \frac{r_{g2}}{r_{h12}} = 1 \qquad (24)$$

Equation (24) defines a plane surface that perpendicularly bisects the line joining the locations of the two sources (see Appendix). This plane for the primary source and secondary source one located at $P(0,0,0)$ and $S_1(u_{s1}, v_{s1}, w_{s1})$ respectively with a distance r_1 apart in a three-dimensional UVW – space is given by

$$\frac{u}{\left(\dfrac{r_1^2}{2u_{s1}}\right)} + \frac{v}{\left(\dfrac{r_1^2}{2v_{s1}}\right)} + \frac{w}{\left(\dfrac{r_1^2}{2w_{s1}}\right)} = 1$$

which intersects the $U-$, $V-$ and $W-$axes at points $\left(\dfrac{r_1^2}{2u_{s1}}, 0, 0\right)$, $\left(0, \dfrac{r_1^2}{2v_{s1}}, 0\right)$ and

$\left(0, 0, \dfrac{r_1^2}{2w_{s1}}\right)$ respectively. If the locations of the detector as well as observers coincide with

points on this plane then the critical situation of the IGC requirement arises.

If the distance ratio a_1 is not unity, then the first relation in equation (23) yields

$$\frac{r_e}{r_{f1}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{h11}} = \frac{r_{g2}}{r_{h12}} = a_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_e}{r_{g1}} = \frac{r_e}{r_{g2}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{g2}} = 1 \tag{25}$$

It follows from the Appendix that both relations in equation (25) define spherical surfaces.

The first relation defines the sphere

$$\left[u + \frac{a_1^2 u_{s1}}{1 - a_1^2}\right]^2 + \left[v + \frac{a_1^2 v_{s1}}{1 - a_1^2}\right]^2 + \left[w + \frac{a_1^2 w_{s1}}{1 - a_1^2}\right]^2 = \left[\frac{a_1 r_1}{1 - a_1^2}\right]^2 \quad ; \quad a_1 \neq 1 \tag{26}$$

which has a radius $R_1 = \dfrac{a_1 r_1}{\left|1 - a_1^2\right|}$ and centre along the line PS_1 at point

$Q_1\left(-\dfrac{a_1^2 u_{s1}}{1 - a_1^2}, -\dfrac{a_1^2 v_{s1}}{1 - a_1^2}, -\dfrac{a_1^2 w_{s1}}{1 - a_1^2}\right)$. The second relation in equation (25) (for, say, constant r_e)

defines a sphere with a radius equal to r_e and centre at the location of the primary source;

$$u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = r_e^2 \tag{27}$$

Therefore, the locus of detection and observation points defined by equation (25) is given

by the intersection of the two spheres in equations (26) and (27). Such an intersection

results in a circle located in a plane that is at right angles with the line passing through the

centres of the spheres. The centre of the circle is the point of intersection of the plane and

the line. Manipulating equations (26) and (27) yields this plane as

$$\frac{u}{\left(\dfrac{B_1}{u_{s1}}\right)} + \frac{v}{\left(\dfrac{B_1}{v_{s1}}\right)} + \frac{w}{\left(\dfrac{B_1}{w_{s1}}\right)} = 1 \tag{28}$$

where $B_1 = \dfrac{1}{2}\left[r_1^2 - \dfrac{1-a_1^2}{a_1^2}r_e^2\right] = \dfrac{1}{2}\left[r_1^2 - \left(r_{f1}^2 - r_e^2\right)\right]$. The point of intersection of the plane in equation (28) with the line PS_1 is described by B_1. This can be interpreted in a similar manner as described in the previous section with the SISO system.

Manipulating equation (25) yields the alternative relation

$$\frac{r_e}{r_{g1}} = \frac{r_e}{r_{g2}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{g2}} = \frac{r_{f1}}{r_{h11}} = \frac{r_{f1}}{r_{h12}} = \frac{r_{h11}}{r_{h12}} = 1 \quad ; \quad a_1 \neq 1$$

This is an equivalent relation describing the locus of detection and observation points relative to the locations of the primary source and secondary source one as the IGC circle. Thus, the situation can alternatively be interpreted as when the ratio of the distances from the primary source to the detection point and each observation point as well as to the pair of observation points and from secondary source one to the detection point and each observation as well as to the pair of observation points are each equal to unity then the locus of detection and observation points is given by the IGC circle.

The loci given by the remaining two relations in equation (23) can be obtained through a similar analysis as above. Here, the locus of detection and observation points leading to the IGC requirement are given in the second relation with respect to the locations of the primary source and secondary source two (say) and in the third relation with respect to the locations of the two secondary sources. In each case, as above, the locus, for unity distance ratio, is defined by a plane surface perpendicularly bisecting the line joining the locations of the two sources and, for a non-unity distance ratio, is defined by a circle with centre along the line joining the locations of the two sources and on a plane that is at right angles with this line.

From the above analysis a generalisation of the solution to equation (5) for a SIMO ANC system with k secondary sources follows easily. Consider the system in Figure 1 to incorporate a single primary source and k secondary sources with the functions $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ represented as

$$\mathbf{E}(j\omega) = \mathbf{e}(j\omega)$$

$$\mathbf{F}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_1(j\omega) & \mathbf{f}_2(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{f}_k(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}^T \qquad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{G}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1(j\omega) & \mathbf{g}_2(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{g}_k(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{11}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{12}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{h}_{1k}(j\omega) \\ \mathbf{h}_{21}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{22}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{h}_{2k}(j\omega) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{h}_{k1}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{k2}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{h}_{kk}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_e} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_e} \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}_i(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{fi}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{fi}}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_i(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{gi}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{gi}} \quad , \quad \mathbf{h}_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{him}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{him}} \qquad (30)$$

$i = 1, 2, .., k$; $m = 1, 2, .., k$; A is a constant and r_e, r_{fi}, r_{gi} and r_{him} are the distances of the acoustic paths with transfer characteristics $\mathbf{e}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{f}_i(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{g}_i(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{h}_{im}(j\omega)$ respectively. Substituting for $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ from equation (29) into equation (5) and manipulating yields the set of solutions

$$\frac{\mathbf{f_i}}{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{\mathbf{h_{i1}}}{\mathbf{g_1}} = \frac{\mathbf{h_{i2}}}{\mathbf{g_2}} = \ldots = \frac{\mathbf{h_{ik}}}{\mathbf{g_k}} \qquad ; \quad \mathbf{i} = 1,2,\ldots,\mathbf{k}$$

$$; \quad \mathbf{m} = 1,2,\ldots,\mathbf{k} \qquad (31)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{f_m}}{\mathbf{f_i}} = \frac{\mathbf{h_{m1}}}{\mathbf{h_{i1}}} = \frac{\mathbf{h_{m2}}}{\mathbf{h_{i2}}} = \ldots = \frac{\mathbf{h_{mk}}}{\mathbf{h_{ik}}} \quad ; \qquad \mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{m}$$

Substituting for the functions in equation (31) from equation (30) accordingly and simplifying yields

$$\frac{r_e}{r_{fi}} = \frac{r_{g1}}{r_{hi1}} = \frac{r_{g2}}{r_{hi2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{gk}}{r_{hik}} = a_i$$

$$; \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,k \qquad (32)$$

$$r_{fi} - r_e = r_{hi1} - r_{g1} = r_{hi2} - r_{g2} = \ldots = r_{hik} - r_{gk}$$

and

$$\frac{r_{fi}}{r_{fm}} = \frac{r_{hi1}}{r_{hm1}} = \frac{r_{hi2}}{r_{hm2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{hik}}{r_{hmk}} = a_{im} \qquad ; \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,k$$

$$; \quad m = 1,2,\ldots,k \qquad (33)$$

$$r_{fm} - r_{fi} = r_{hm1} - r_{hi1} = r_{hm2} - r_{hi2} = \ldots = r_{hmk} - r_{hik} \quad ; \qquad i \neq m$$

where a_i and a_{im} are positive real numbers representing distance ratios. Equations (32) and (33) define the loci of detection and observation points for which $|\Delta(j\omega)| = 0$ and the controller, for optimum cancellation of noise at the observation points, is required to have infinitely large gain in each secondary path.

Equation (32) describes the locus of detection and observation points relative to the location of the primary source and secondary source i $(i = 1,2,\ldots,k)$. It follows from the Appendix and the analysis presented above that, if the primary source and secondary source i are located at points P and S_i respectively in the medium, then the locus for unity distance ratio $(a_i = 1)$ is a plane perpendicularly bisecting the line PS_i. If $a_i \neq 1$, however, the locus is a circle, with centre along a straight line joining points P and S_i in a plane that is at right angles with this line. The radius of the circle r_{ci} is given by

$$r_{ci} = r_e \sin\theta_i \quad ; \quad 0 \le \theta_i \le \pi$$

where, assuming the detector is located at point E in the medium, θ_i is the angle between the lines PE and PS_i in a plane formed by these lines.

Equation (33) describes the locus of detection and observation points relative to secondary sources i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ and m $(m = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$, located at points S_i and S_m respectively. In this case, as follows from the previous analysis, the locus for unity distance ratio $(a_{im} = 1)$ is a plane perpendicularly bisecting the line S_iS_m. For a non-unity distance ratio $(a_{im} \neq 1)$, however, the locus is a circle, with centre along a straight line joining the points S_i and S_m, in a plane that is at right angles with this line. The radius r_{cim} of this circle is given by

$$r_{cim} = r_{fi} \sin\theta_{im} \quad ; \quad 0 \le \theta_{im} \le \pi$$

where, assuming the detector is located at point E, θ_{im} is the angle between the lines S_iE and S_iS_m.

It follows from the above that in a SIMO ANC system the locus of detection and observation points leading to the IGC requirement is defined in relation to the locations of the primary source considered with each secondary source as well as each secondary source considered with any other secondary source. In this manner, for a system with k secondary sources a total of $\sum_{i=1}^{k} i$ pairs of sources can be identified. Among these, the primary source considered with each secondary source leads to k pairs, whereas the remaining $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i$ pairs are formed by considering the secondary sources with one another. In each case, assuming the two sources in question are located at points X and Y, the following two situations lead to the IGC requirement

(a) When the detector and all observers are equidistant from points X and Y. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a plane (the IGC plane) that perpendicularly bisects the line XY.

19

(b) When the distance ratios from point X to the detector and observer m ($m = 1, 2, \ldots, k$) and to each pair of observation points as well as the distance ratios from point Y to the detector and observer m ($m = 1, 2, \ldots, k$) and to each pair of observation points are each equal to unity. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a circle (the IGC circle), with centre along a straight line passing through points X and Y, on a plane that is at right angles with this line.

Note that in a FBCS, where both the detection and observation points coincide with one another, the situation described in (a) above corresponds to the detection point being on the IGC plane. In a FFCS, however, this corresponds to the situation when the detection point and all the observation points are on the IGC plane. With the situation described in (b), on the other hand, a FBCS always satisfies the requirement. In a FFCS, however, it is possible to minimise the region of space occupied by the IGC circle by a proper geometrical arrangement of system components.

4.3 Multi-input multi-output system

Let the ANC system in Figure 1 incorporate n primary sources and k secondary sources. Thus, the controller transfer characteristics, $\mathbf{C}$, in equation (3) will represent an $n \times k$ matrix given by

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1k} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2k} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{c}_{im}$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$; $m = 1, 2, \ldots, k$) represents the controller transfer function along the secondary path from detector i to secondary source m. In this manner, the controller is realised in a MIMO form as shown in Figure 4.

Consider the simple case of $k = n = 2$ with the functions $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ represented as

$$E(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11}(j\omega) & e_{12}(j\omega) \\ e_{21}(j\omega) & e_{22}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad F(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11}(j\omega) & f_{12}(j\omega) \\ f_{21}(j\omega) & f_{22}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11}(j\omega) & g_{12}(j\omega) \\ g_{21}(j\omega) & g_{22}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad H(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}(j\omega) & h_{12}(j\omega) \\ h_{21}(j\omega) & h_{22}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

(34)

where

$$e_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{eim}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{eim}} \quad , \quad f_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{fim}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{fim}}$$

$$g_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{gim}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{gim}} \quad , \quad h_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{him}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{him}}$$

(35)

$i = 1,2$; $m = 1,2$; A is a constant and r_{eim}, r_{fim}, r_{gim} and r_{him} are the distances of the acoustic paths with transfer characteristics $e_{im}(j\omega)$, $f_{im}(j\omega)$, $g_{im}(j\omega)$ and $h_{im}(j\omega)$ respectively. Substituting for $E(j\omega)$, $F(j\omega)$, $G(j\omega)$ and $H(j\omega)$ from equation (34) into equation (5) and simplifying yields

$$\begin{aligned}(e_{11}f_{12} - e_{12}f_{11})(g_{22}h_{21} - g_{21}h_{22}) + (e_{12}f_{21} - e_{11}f_{22})(g_{22}h_{11} - g_{21}h_{12}) + \\ (e_{21}f_{12} - e_{22}f_{11})(g_{11}h_{22} - g_{12}h_{21}) + (e_{22}f_{21} - e_{21}f_{22})(g_{11}h_{12} - g_{12}h_{11}) + \\ (f_{11}f_{22} - f_{21}f_{12})(g_{11}g_{22} - g_{21}g_{12}) + (e_{11}e_{22} - e_{21}e_{12})(h_{11}h_{22} - h_{21}h_{12})\end{aligned}$$

(36)

Manipulating equation (36) yields the set of solutions

$$\frac{e_{11}}{e_{21}} = \frac{e_{12}}{e_{22}} = \frac{g_{11}}{g_{21}} = \frac{g_{12}}{g_{22}}$$

(37a)

$$\frac{f_{11}}{e_{11}} = \frac{f_{12}}{e_{12}} = \frac{h_{11}}{g_{11}} = \frac{h_{12}}{g_{12}}$$

$$\frac{f_{21}}{e_{11}} = \frac{f_{22}}{e_{12}} = \frac{h_{21}}{g_{11}} = \frac{h_{22}}{g_{12}}$$

(37b)

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}_{11}}{\mathbf{e}_{21}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{12}}{\mathbf{e}_{22}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{11}}{\mathbf{g}_{21}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{12}}{\mathbf{g}_{22}}$$

(37c)

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}_{21}}{\mathbf{e}_{21}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{22}}{\mathbf{e}_{22}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{21}}{\mathbf{g}_{21}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{22}}{\mathbf{g}_{22}}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}_{21}}{\mathbf{f}_{11}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{22}}{\mathbf{f}_{12}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{21}}{\mathbf{h}_{11}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{22}}{\mathbf{h}_{12}}$$

(37d)

Substituting for $\mathbf{e}_{im}$, $\mathbf{f}_{im}$, $\mathbf{g}_{im}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{im}$ ($i = 1, 2$; $m = 1, 2$) from equation (35) into equation (37) and simplifying yields

$$\frac{r_{e21}}{r_{e11}} = \frac{r_{e22}}{r_{e12}} = \frac{r_{g21}}{r_{g11}} = \frac{r_{g22}}{r_{g12}} = a_1 \quad ; \quad r_{e11} - r_{e21} = r_{e12} - r_{e22} = r_{g11} - r_{g21} = r_{g12} - r_{g22}$$

(38a)

$$\frac{r_{e11}}{r_{f11}} = \frac{r_{e12}}{r_{f12}} = \frac{r_{g11}}{r_{h11}} = \frac{r_{g12}}{r_{h12}} = a_{11} \quad ; \quad r_{f11} - r_{e11} = r_{f12} - r_{e12} = r_{h11} - r_{g11} = r_{h12} - r_{g12}$$

(38b)

$$\frac{r_{e11}}{r_{f21}} = \frac{r_{e12}}{r_{f22}} = \frac{r_{g11}}{r_{h21}} = \frac{r_{g12}}{r_{h22}} = a_{12} \quad ; \quad r_{f21} - r_{e11} = r_{f22} - r_{e12} = r_{h21} - r_{g11} = r_{h22} - r_{g12}$$

$$\frac{r_{e21}}{r_{f11}} = \frac{r_{e22}}{r_{f12}} = \frac{r_{g21}}{r_{h11}} = \frac{r_{g22}}{r_{h12}} = a_{21} \quad ; \quad r_{f11} - r_{e21} = r_{f12} - r_{e22} = r_{h11} - r_{g21} = r_{h12} - r_{g22}$$

(38c)

$$\frac{r_{e21}}{r_{f21}} = \frac{r_{e22}}{r_{f22}} = \frac{r_{g21}}{r_{h21}} = \frac{r_{g22}}{r_{h22}} = a_{22} \quad ; \quad r_{f21} - r_{e21} = r_{f22} - r_{e22} = r_{h21} - r_{g21} = r_{h22} - r_{g22}$$

$$\frac{r_{f11}}{r_{f21}} = \frac{r_{f12}}{r_{f22}} = \frac{r_{h11}}{r_{h21}} = \frac{r_{h12}}{r_{h22}} = a_2 \quad ; \quad r_{f21} - r_{f11} = r_{f22} - r_{f12} = r_{h21} - r_{h11} = r_{h22} - r_{h12}$$

(38d)

where a_1, a_2, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21} and a_{22} are positive real numbers representing distance ratios. Equation (38) defines loci of detection and observation points for which $|\Delta(j\omega)| = 0$ and the controller in each secondary path is required to have an infinitely large gain for optimum cancellation of noise to be achieved at the observation points. In particular, equation (38a) defines the locus of detection and observation points relative to the

locations of the two primary sources, equation (38b) defines the loci with respect to the locations of primary source one (say) and each secondary source, equation (38c) defines the loci with respect to the locations of primary source two (say) and each secondary source and equation (38d) defines the locus with respect to the locations of the two secondary sources. It follows from the Appendix and the analysis in the previous sections that, in each case, assuming the two sources in question are located at points X and Y respectively, the locus for the corresponding distance ratio being unity is given by a plane that perpendicularly bisects the line XY. For a non-unity distance ratio, however, the locus is a circle with centre along the line passing through the points X and Y, in a plane that is at right angles with this line. Mathematical description of these loci within the three-dimensional UVW − space can be obtained and interpreted in a similar manner as discussed in the previous sections.

A general solution of equation (5) corresponding to the MIMO ANC system of a general form can be obtained easily through a similar analysis as presented above. Consider the ANC system in Figure 1 to incorporate n primary and k secondary sources with the functions $\mathbf{E}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{F}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{G}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}(j\omega)$ represented as

$$\mathbf{E}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11}(j\omega) & e_{12}(j\omega) & \dots & e_{1n}(j\omega) \\ e_{21}(j\omega) & e_{22}(j\omega) & \dots & e_{2n}(j\omega) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n1}(j\omega) & e_{n2}(j\omega) & \dots & e_{nn}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \tag{39a}$$

$$\mathbf{F}(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11}(j\omega) & f_{12}(j\omega) & \dots & f_{1n}(j\omega) \\ f_{21}(j\omega) & f_{22}(j\omega) & \dots & f_{2n}(j\omega) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{k1}(j\omega) & f_{k2}(j\omega) & \dots & f_{kn}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \tag{39b}$$

$$G(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_{11}(j\omega) & \mathbf{g}_{12}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{g}_{1k}(j\omega) \\ \mathbf{g}_{21}(j\omega) & \mathbf{g}_{22}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{g}_{2k}(j\omega) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{g}_{n1}(j\omega) & \mathbf{g}_{n2}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{g}_{nk}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \tag{39c}$$

$$H(j\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{11}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{12}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{h}_{1k}(j\omega) \\ \mathbf{h}_{21}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{22}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{h}_{2k}(j\omega) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{h}_{k1}(j\omega) & \mathbf{h}_{k2}(j\omega) & \dots & \mathbf{h}_{kk}(j\omega) \end{bmatrix} \tag{39d}$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}_{im}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{eim}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{eim}} \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}_{sm}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{fsm}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{fsm}}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{is}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{gis}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{gis}} \quad , \quad \mathbf{h}_{st}(j\omega) = \frac{A}{r_{hst}} e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}r_{hst}} \tag{40}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$; A is a constant and r_{eim}, r_{fsm}, r_{gis} and r_{hst} are the distances of the acoustic paths with transfer characteristics $\mathbf{e}_{im}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{f}_{sm}(j\omega)$, $\mathbf{g}_{is}(j\omega)$ and $\mathbf{h}_{st}(j\omega)$ respectively. Substituting for $E(j\omega)$, $F(j\omega)$, $G(j\omega)$ and $H(j\omega)$ from equation (39) into equation (5) and manipulating yields the set of solutions

$$\frac{\mathbf{e}_{i1}}{\mathbf{e}_{m1}} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{i2}}{\mathbf{e}_{m2}} = \dots = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{in}}{\mathbf{e}_{mn}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{i1}}{\mathbf{g}_{m1}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{i2}}{\mathbf{g}_{m2}} = \dots = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{ik}}{\mathbf{g}_{mk}};$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad ; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad ; \quad i \neq m \tag{41a}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}_{i1}}{\mathbf{e}_{m1}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{i2}}{\mathbf{e}_{m2}} = \dots = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{ik}}{\mathbf{e}_{mn}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{i1}}{\mathbf{g}_{m1}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{i2}}{\mathbf{g}_{m2}} = \dots = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{ik}}{\mathbf{g}_{mk}};$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad ; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{41b}$$

$$\frac{f_{i1}}{f_{m1}} = \frac{f_{i2}}{f_{m2}} = \ldots = \frac{f_{in}}{f_{mn}} = \frac{h_{i1}}{h_{m1}} = \frac{h_{i2}}{h_{m2}} = \ldots = \frac{h_{ik}}{h_{mk}};$$

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad ; \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad ; \quad i \neq m$$

(41c)

Substituting for the functions in equation (41) from equation (40) accordingly and simplifying yields

$$\frac{r_{em1}}{r_{ei1}} = \frac{r_{em2}}{r_{ei2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{emn}}{r_{ein}} = \frac{r_{gm1}}{r_{gi1}} = \frac{r_{gm2}}{r_{gi2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{gmk}}{r_{gik}} = a_p$$

$$r_{ei1} - r_{em1} = r_{ei2} - r_{em2} = \ldots = r_{ein} - r_{emn} = r_{gi1} - r_{gm1} = r_{gi2} - r_{gm2} = \ldots = r_{gik} - r_{gmk}$$

(42a)

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad ; \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad ; \quad i \neq m$$

$$\frac{r_{em1}}{r_{fi1}} = \frac{r_{em2}}{r_{fi2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{emn}}{r_{fin}} = \frac{r_{gm1}}{r_{hi1}} = \frac{r_{gm2}}{r_{hi2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{gmk}}{r_{hik}} = a_{ps}$$

$$r_{fi1} - r_{em1} = r_{fi2} - r_{em2} = \ldots = r_{fin} - r_{emn} = r_{hi1} - r_{gm1} = r_{hi2} - r_{gm2} = \ldots = r_{hik} - r_{gmk}$$

(42b)

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad ; \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

$$\frac{r_{fm1}}{r_{fi1}} = \frac{r_{fm2}}{r_{fi2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{fmn}}{r_{fin}} = \frac{r_{hm1}}{r_{hi1}} = \frac{r_{hm2}}{r_{hi2}} = \ldots = \frac{r_{hmk}}{r_{hik}} = a_s$$

$$r_{fi1} - r_{fm1} = r_{fi2} - r_{fm2} = \ldots = r_{fin} - r_{fmn} = r_{hi1} - r_{hm1} = r_{hi2} - r_{hm2} = \ldots = r_{hik} - r_{hmk}$$

(42c)

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad ; \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, k \quad ; \quad i \neq m$$

where a_p, a_{ps} and a_s are positive real numbers representing distance ratios. Equation (42) defines loci of detection and observation points relative to the locations of the sources in the medium for which the IGC requirement holds. In particular, equation (42a) defines the locus of detection and observation points relative to the locations of primary sources i

and m, equation (42b) defines the locus with respect to the locations of primary source m and secondary source i and equation (42c) defines the locus with respect to the locations of secondary sources i and m. It follows from the Appendix and the analysis presented in the previous sections that, in each case, assuming the two sources in question are located at points X and Y respectively, the locus for the corresponding distance ratio being unity is a plane perpendicularly bisecting the line XY. For a non-unity distance ratio, however, the locus is a circle, with centre along a line passing through the points X and Y, in a plane that is at right angles with this line.

It follows from the above that in a MIMO ANC system the locus of detection and observation points leading to the IGC requirement is defined in relation to the locations of all possible pairs of sources, each pair considered at a time. In this manner, for a system with n primary sources and k secondary sources a total of $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m + nk$ pairs of sources can be identified. Among these, the primary sources considered with one another lead to $\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m$ pairs, the secondary sources considered with one another lead to $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i$ pairs and the remaining nk pairs are formed by considering each primary source with the secondary sources. In each case, assuming the two sources in question are located at points X and Y, the following two situations lead to the IGC requirement

(a) When all the detectors and observers are equidistant from points X and Y. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a plane (the IGC plane) that perpendicularly bisects the line XY.

(b) When the distance ratios from point X to each pair of detection points, to detector i ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$) and observer m ($m = 1, 2, ..., k$) and to each pair of observation points as well as the distance ratios from point Y to each pair of detection points, to detector i ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$) and observer m ($m = 1, 2, ..., k$) and to each pair of observation points are each equal to unity. This defines the locus of detection and observation

points as a circle (the IGC circle), with centre along a line passing through points X and Y, on a plane that is at right angles with this line.

Note that in a FBCS, where both the detection and observation points coincide with one another, the situation described in *(a)* above corresponds to the detection points being on the IGC plane. In a FFCS, however, this corresponds to the situation when all the detection and observation points are on the IGC plane. With the situation described in *(b)*, on the other hand, a FBCS always satisfies the requirement. In a FFCS, however, it is possible to minimise the region of space occupied by the IGC circle by a proper geometrical arrangement of system components.

5   Conclusion

An analysis and design procedure for ANC systems in a three-dimensional non-dispersive propagation medium on the basis of optimum cancellation of noise has been presented. The relation between the transfer characteristics of the required controller and the geometrical arrangement of system components has been studied and conditions interpreted as geometrical constraints in the design of ANC systems have been derived and analysed.

For optimum cancellation of noise to be achieved at the observation points in the medium the controller is required to have suitable continuous frequency-dependent characteristics to produce a cancelling wave that is an exact mirror image of the noise. The transfer characteristics of such a controller are found to be dependent upon the transfer characteristics of transducers, secondary sources and propagation paths from the primary and secondary sources to the detection and observation points.

The dependence of controller characteristics on the characteristics of the acoustic paths in the system, arising from geometrical arrangement of system components, can sometimes lead to practical difficulties in the design of the controller and to instability problems in the system. A particular arrangement of system components requires the

controller to have a particular transfer characteristics. In particular, there are specific arrangements of system components, identified as loci of detection and observation points relative to the sources, which lead to the critical situation of infinite-gain controller requirement. In a SISO ANC system, two situations are found in general lead to the IGC requirement

(i) When both the observer and detector are equidistant from the primary and secondary sources. This corresponds to the locus of detection and observation points forming a plane that perpendicularly bisects the line joining the locations of the sources.

(ii) When the ratio of the distances from the primary source to the detector and observer and the ratio of the distances from the secondary source to the detector and observer are each equal to unity. This corresponds to the locus of detection and observation points forming a circle, with centre along a straight line passing through the locations of the primary and secondary sources, in a plane that is at right angles with this line.

In a SIMO ANC system the locus of detection and observation points leading to the IGC requirement is defined in relation to the locations of the primary source considered with each secondary source as well as each secondary source considered with any other secondary source. In each case, assuming the two sources in question are located at points X and Y, the following two situations lead to the IGC requirement

(iii) When the detector and all observers are equidistant from points X and Y. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a plane that perpendicularly bisects the line XY.

(iv) When the distance ratios from point X to the detector and observer m $(m = 1, 2, ..., k)$ and to each pair of observation points as well as the distance ratios from point Y to the detector and observer m $(m = 1, 2, ..., k)$ and to each pair of observation points are each equal to unity. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a circle, with centre along a straight line passing through points X and Y, on a plane that is at right angles with this line.

In a MIMO ANC system the locus of detection and observation points leading to the IGC requirement is defined in relation to the locations of all possible pairs of sources, each pair considered at a time. In each case, assuming the two sources in question are located at points X and Y, the following two situations lead to the IGC requirement

(v) When all the detectors and observers are equidistant from points X and Y. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a plane that perpendicularly bisects the line XY.

(vi) When the distance ratios from point X to each pair of detection points, to detector i $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ and observer m $(m = 1, 2, ..., k)$ and to each pair of observation points as well as the distance ratios from point Y to each pair of detection points, to detector i $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ and observer m $(m = 1, 2, ..., k)$ and to each pair of observation points are each equal to unity. This defines the locus of detection and observation points as a circle, with centre along a straight line passing through points X and Y, on a plane that is at right angles with this line.

In a FBCS, where both the detection and observation points coincide with one another, the situation leading to the IGC plane corresponds to the detection point(s) being on the IGC plane. In a FFCS, however, this corresponds to the situation when the detection as well as the observation points are on the IGC plane. With the situation leading to the IGC circle, on the other hand, a FBCS always satisfies the requirement. In a FFCS, however, it is possible to minimise the region of space occupied by the IGC circle by a proper geometrical arrangement of system components.

6   References

ALVAREZ-TINOCO, A. M. (1985). "Adaptive algorithms for the active attenuation of acoustic noise", Ph.D. Thesis, Heriot-Watt University, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Edinburgh, UK.

BLEAZY, J. C. (1962). "Electronic sound absorber", Journal of Audio Engineering Society, **10**, (2), pp. 135-139.

BURGESS, J. C. (1981). "Active adaptive sound control in a duct: A computer simulation", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, **70**, (3), pp. 715-726.

CHAPLIN, B. (1980). "The cancellation of repetitive noise and vibration", Proceedings of Inter-noise 80: International Conference on Noise Control Engineering, Florida, 8-10 December 1980, **II**, pp. 699-702.

CHAPLIN, G. B. B. , JONES, A. and JONES, O. (1985). "Method and apparatus for low frequency active attenuation", US Patent No. 4 527 282.

CONOVER, W. B. (1956). "Fighting noise with noise", Noise Control, **92**, pp. 78-82 & 92..

CONOVER, W. B. (1957). "Noise reducing system for transformers", US Patent No. 2 776 020.

DARLINGTON, P. and ELLIOTT, S. J. (1985). "Adaptive control of periodic disturbances in resonant systems", Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics, **7**, (Part 2), pp. 87-94.

EGHTESADI, Kh. and LEVENTHAL, H. G. (1981). "Comparison of active attenuators of noise in ducts", Acoustics Letters, **4**, (10), pp. 204-209.

ELLIOTT, S. J. and NELSON, P. A. (1986). "An adaptive algorithm for multichannel active control", Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics, **8**, (Part 1), pp. 135-147.

ELLIOTT, S. J., SOTHERS, I. M. and NELSON, P. A. (1987). "A multiple error LMS algorithm and its application to the active control of sound and vibration", IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, **ASSP-35**, (10), pp. 1423-1434.

ERIKSSON, L. J., ALLIE, M. C. and GREINER, R. A. (1987). "The selection and application of an IIR adaptive filter for use in active sound attenuation", IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, **ASSP-35**, (4), pp. 433-437.

ERIKSSON, L. J., ALLIE, M. C., BREMIGAN, C. D. and GREINER, R. A. (1988). "Active noise control using adaptive digital signal processing", Proceedings of the IEEE

International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, New York, 1988, **5**, pp. 2594-2597.

HESSELMAN, N. (1978). "Investigation of noise reduction on a 100 KVA transformer tank by means of active methods", Applied Acoustics, **11**, (1), pp. 27-34.

JESSEL, M. and MANGIANTE, G. A. (1972). "Active sound absorbers in an air duct", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **23**, (3), pp. 383-390.

LEITCH, R. R. and TOKHI, M. O. (1986). "The implementation of active noise control systems using digital signal processing techniques", Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics, **8**, (Part 1), pp. 149-157.

LEITCH, R. R. and TOKHI, M. O. (1987). "Active noise control systems", IEE Proceedings-A, **134**, (6), pp. 525-546.

LEVENTHAL, H. G. (1976). "Developments in active attenuators", Proceedings of Noise Control Conference, Warsaw, 13-15 October 1976, pp. 33-42.

LEVENTHAL, H. G. and EGHTESADI, Kh. (1979). "Active attenuation of noise: Monopole and Dipole systems", Proceedings of Inter-noise 79: International Conference on Noise Control Engineering, Warsaw, 11-13 September 1979, **I**, pp. 175-180.

LUEG, P. (1936). "Process of silencing sound oscillations", US Patent No. 2 043 416.

MUNJAL, M. L. and ERIKSSON, L. J. (1988). "An analytical, one-dimensional, standing-wave model of a linear active noise control system in a duct", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, **84**, (3), pp. 1086-1093.

NELSON, P. A. and ELLIOTT, S. J. (1992). "Active control of sound", Academic Press, London.

NELSON, P. A., CURTIS, A. R. D., ELLIOTT, S. J. and BULLMORE, A. J. (1987). "The active minimization of harmonic enclosed sound fields, Part I: Theory", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **117**, (1), pp. 1-13.

OLSON, H. F. (1956). "Electronic control of noise, vibration and reverberation", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, **28**, pp. 966-972.

OLSON, H. F. (1961). "Electronic sound absorber", US Patent No. 2 983 790.

OLSON, H. F. and MAY, E. G. (1953). "Electronic sound absorbers", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, **25**, pp. 1130-1136.

ROSS, C. F. (1978). "Experiments on the active control of transformer noise", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **61**, (4), 473-480.

ROSS, C. F. (1982). "An adaptive digital filter for broadband active sound control", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **80**, (3), pp. 381-388.

ROURE, A. (1985). "Self-adaptive broadband active sound control system", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **101**, (3), pp. 429-441.

SHORT, W. R. (1980). "Global low frequency active noise attenuation", Proceedings of Inter-noise 80: International Conference on Noise Control Engineering, Florida, 8-10 December 1980, **II**, pp. 695-698.

SWINBANKS, M. A. (1973). "Active control of sound propagation in long ducts", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **27**, (3), pp. 411-436.

TOKHI, M. O. and LEITCH, R. R. (1988). "Practical limitations in the controller design for active noise control systems in three-dimensions", Proceedings of Inter-noise 88: International Conference on Noise Control Engineering, Avignon, 30 August - 01 September 1988, **2**, pp. 1037-1040.

TOKHI, M. O. and LEITCH, R. R. (1989). "Self-tuning active noise control", IEE Digest No 1989/46: Colloquium on Adaptive Filters, London, 22 March 1989, pp. 9/1-9/4.

TOKHI, M. O. and LEITCH, R. R. (1991a). "Design and implementation of self-tuning active noise control systems", IEE Proceedings-D, **138**, (4), pp. 421-430.

TOKHI, M. O. and LEITCH, R. R. (1991b). "Design of active noise control systems operating in three-dimensional dispersive propagation medium", Noise Control Engineering Journal, **36**, (1), pp. 41-53.

TOKHI, M. O. and LEITCH, R. R. (1991c). "The robust design of active noise control systems based on relative stability measures", Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, **90**, (1), pp. 334-345.

TOKHI, M. O. and LEITCH, R. R. (1992). "Active noise control", Clarendon Press, Oxford.

TRINDER, M. C. J. and NELSON, P. A. (1983a). "The acoustical virtual earth and its application to ducts with reflecting terminations", Proceedings of Inter-noise 83: International Conference on Noise Control Engineering, Edinburgh, 13-15 July 1983, **I**, pp. 447-450.

TRINDER, M. C. J. and NELSON, P. A. (1983b). "Active noise control in finite length ducts", Journal of Sound and Vibration, **89**, (1), pp. 95-106.

A   Appendix: Locus of constant distance ratio

Consider two fixed points $P(0,0,0)$ and $S(u_s, v_s, w_s)$ and an arbitrary point $T(u, v, w)$ in a three-dimensional UVW –space. Let the distances PS, PT and ST be denoted by r, r_g and r_h respectively;

$$r = \sqrt{u_s^2 + v_s^2 + w_s^2}$$

$$r_g = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2 + w^2} \qquad (A.1)$$

$$r_h = \sqrt{(u - u_s)^2 + (v - v_s)^2 + (w - w_s)^2}$$

Let the distance ratio $\dfrac{r_g}{r_h}$ be denoted by, a positive real number, a;

$$\frac{r_g}{r_h} = a \qquad (A.2)$$

Substituting for r_g and r_h from equation (A.1) into equation (A.2), simplifying and using equation (A.1) yields

$$(1 - a^2)u^2 + 2a^2 u_s u + (1 - a^2)v^2 + 2a^2 v_s v + (1 - a^2)w^2 + 2a^2 w_s w = a^2 d^2 \qquad (A.3)$$

This gives the locus of points in the UVW −space that corresponds to a particular distance ratio a.

A.1 Non-unity distance ratio

If the distance ratio a is not unity then equation (A.3), after completing squares and simplifying, yields

$$\left[u+\frac{a^2 u_s}{1-a^2}\right]^2+\left[v+\frac{a^2 v_s}{1-a^2}\right]^2+\left[w+\frac{a^2 w_s}{1-a^2}\right]^2=\left[\frac{ar}{1-a^2}\right]^2;\quad a\neq 1 \tag{A.4}$$

This represents a sphere with radius $R=\dfrac{ar}{\left|1-a^2\right|}$ and centre at

$$Q\left(-\frac{a^2 u_s}{1-a^2},-\frac{a^2 v_s}{1-a^2},-\frac{a^2 w_s}{1-a^2}\right).$$

To obtain the location of the centre of the sphere Q in relation to points P and S, let the coordinates of point Q be denoted by (u_q,v_q,w_q), unit vectors in the directions of $U-$, $V-$ and $W-$ axes be denoted by i, j and k respectively and unit vectors along lines PS and PQ pointing towards points S and Q respectively be denoted by I_{ps} and I_{pq};

$$u_q=-\frac{a^2 u_s}{1-a^2}\quad,\quad v_q=-\frac{a^2 v_s}{1-a^2}\quad,\quad w_q=-\frac{a^2 w_s}{1-a^2} \tag{A.5}$$

$$I_{ps}=\frac{u_s i+v_s j+w_s k}{\sqrt{u_s^2+v_s^2+w_s^2}}\quad,\quad I_{pq}=\frac{u_q i+v_q j+w_q k}{\sqrt{u_q^2+v_q^2+w_q^2}} \tag{A.6}$$

Substituting for u_q, v_q and w_q from equation (A.5) into equation (A.6), simplifying and using equation (A.1) yields

$$I_{ps}=\frac{1}{r}\left(u_s i+v_s j+w_s k\right)$$

$$I_{pq}=-\frac{\left|1-a^2\right|}{1-a^2}\frac{1}{r}\left(u_s i+v_s j+w_s k\right) \tag{A.7}$$

34

or

$$I_{pq} = \begin{cases} +I_{ps} & \text{for} \quad a > 1 \\ \\ -I_{ps} & \text{for} \quad a < 1 \end{cases} \tag{A.8}$$

It follows from equation (A.8) that the centre of the sphere (point Q) is located along the line PS and, specifically, if P is chosen as reference then for $a > 1$ the centre is located on the portion of PS corresponding to points away from P in the direction of I_{ps} whereas for $a < 1$ the centre of the sphere will be on the portion of PS corresponding to points away from P in the direction of $-I_{ps}$. In either of these situations, as follows from equation (A.5), the centre of the sphere lies outside the range (P,S). This is shown below.

Let the distance between points P and Q be denoted by r_{pq} and the distance between points Q and S be denoted by r_{sq};

$$r_{pq} = \sqrt{u_q^2 + v_q^2 + w_q^2}$$

$$r_{sq} = \sqrt{\left(u_q - u_s\right)^2 + \left(v_q - v_s\right)^2 + \left(w_q - w_s\right)^2}$$

Substituting for u_q, v_q and w_q from equation (A.5) into the above, using equation (A.1) and simplifying yields

$$r_{pq} = \frac{a^2 r}{\left|1 - a^2\right|} \quad , \quad r_{sq} = \frac{r}{\left|1 - a^2\right|}$$

which implies that

$$r_{pq} > r_{sq} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{pq} > r \quad \text{for} \quad a > 1$$

$$r_{pq} < r_{sq} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{sq} > r \quad \text{for} \quad a < 1$$

Therefore, point Q is always outside the range (P,S).

Let the line passing through points P and S intersect the sphere in equation (A.4) at point $N(u_n, v_n, w_n)$ with distances r_{pn} and r_{sn} relative to points P and S respectively and let the unit vectors pointing towards N from points P and S be denoted by I_{pn} and I_{sn} respectively;

$$r_{pn} = \sqrt{u_n^2 + v_n^2 + w_n^2}$$

$$r_{sn} = \sqrt{(u_n - u_s)^2 + (v_n - v_s)^2 + (w_n - w_s)^2}$$

and

$$I_{pn} = \frac{u_n i + v_n j + w_n k}{r_{pn}}$$

$$I_{sn} = \frac{(u_n - u_s) i + (v_n - v_s) j + (w_n - w_s) k}{r_{sn}}$$

(A.9)

Since N is a point along the line PS, the vectors I_{pn} and I_{sn} are pointing either in the same or in opposite directions. Therefore, it follows from equation (A.9) that

$$\frac{|u_n|}{r_{pn}} = \frac{|u_n - u_s|}{r_{sn}} \quad , \quad \frac{|v_n|}{r_{pn}} = \frac{|v_n - v_s|}{r_{sn}} \quad , \quad \frac{|w_n|}{r_{pn}} = \frac{|w_n - w_s|}{r_{sn}}$$

(A.10)

Since $\dfrac{r_{pn}}{r_{sn}}$ represents the distance ratio a, equation (A.10) can be written as

$$u_n^2 = a^2 (u_n - u_s)^2 \quad , \quad v_n^2 = a^2 (v_n - v_s)^2 \quad , \quad w_n^2 = a^2 (w_n - w_s)^2$$

(A.11)

Solving equation (A.11) for u_n, v_n and w_n yields

$$u_n = \left(\frac{-a^2 \pm a}{1 - a^2} \right) u_s \quad , \quad v_n = \left(\frac{-a^2 \pm a}{1 - a^2} \right) v_s \quad , \quad w_n = \left(\frac{-a^2 \pm a}{1 - a^2} \right) w_s$$

(A.12)

It follows from equation (A.12) that a line, passing through points P and S, and the sphere in equation (A.4) intersect at two points E and F with coordinates (u_e, v_e, w_e) and (u_f, v_f, w_f) respectively;

$$u_e = \frac{a}{1+a} u_s \quad , \quad v_e = \frac{a}{1+a} v_s \quad , \quad w_e = \frac{a}{1+a} w_s \qquad (A.13)$$

$$u_f = -\frac{a}{1-a} u_s \quad , \quad v_f = -\frac{a}{1-a} v_s \quad , \quad w_f = -\frac{a}{1-a} w_s \qquad (A.14)$$

Equations (A.13) and (A.14) imply that point E is always located inside the range (P,S) and point F outside this range. In particular, if $a > 1$ then points E and F are closer to point S whereas if $a < 1$ then points E and F are closer to point P. If the distances from points E and F to points P and S are denoted respectively by r_{pe}, r_{se} and r_{pf}, r_{sf} then, using equations (A.1), (A.13) and (A.14) these distances are given by

$$r_{pe} = \frac{ar}{1+a} \quad , \quad r_{se} = \frac{r}{1+a}$$

$$r_{pf} = \frac{ar}{|1-a|} \quad , \quad r_{sf} = \frac{r}{|1-a|}$$

A.2   Unity distance ratio

If the distance ratio a is unity, then equation (A.2) yields

$$r_g = r_h \qquad (A.15)$$

Substituting for r_g and r_h from equation (A.1) into equation (A.15), simplifying and using equation (A.1) yields

$$\frac{u}{\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2u_s}\right)} + \frac{v}{\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2v_s}\right)} + \frac{w}{\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2w_s}\right)} = 1 \qquad (A.16)$$

This represents a plane surface which intersects the $U-$, $V-$ and $W-$axes at points $\left(\dfrac{r^2}{2u_s}, 0, 0\right)$, $\left(0, \dfrac{r^2}{2v_s}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0, 0, \dfrac{r^2}{2w_s}\right)$ respectively.

The direction of the plane in equation (A.16) is represented by a unit vector at right angles with the surface and pointing outward from the surface. Let such a unit vector be denoted by I_s. Simplifying equation (A.16) yields

$$2u_s u + 2v_s v + 2w_s w - r^2 = 0$$

Let the left-hand side of the above equation be denoted by a variable Z;

$$Z = 2u_s u + 2v_s v + 2w_s w - r^2 \tag{A.17}$$

As Z varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ equation (A.17) defines an infinite set of plane surfaces parallel to the plane in equation (A.16). Thus, the unit vector I_s is given by

$$I_s = \frac{\dfrac{\partial Z}{\partial u} i + \dfrac{\partial Z}{\partial v} j + \dfrac{\partial Z}{\partial w} k}{\sqrt{\left(\dfrac{\partial Z}{\partial u}\right)^2 + \left(\dfrac{\partial Z}{\partial v}\right)^2 + \left(\dfrac{\partial Z}{\partial w}\right)^2}} \tag{A.18}$$

where $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u}$, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial v}$ and $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial w}$ denote the partial derivatives with respect to u, v and w respectively. Substituting for Z from equation (A.17) into equation (A.18), simplifying and using equation (A.1) yields

$$I_s = \frac{u_s}{r} i + \frac{v_s}{r} j + \frac{w_s}{r} k \tag{A.19}$$

Comparing equation (A.19) with equation (A.7) yields

$$I_s = I_{ps} \tag{A.20}$$

Equation (A.20) implies that the line PS is perpendicular to the plane surface in equation (A.16). Moreover, it follows from equation (A.15) that the point of intersection of the plane and the line PS is equidistant from points P and S. Therefore, the plane in equation (A.16) perpendicularly bisects the line PS.

In a two-dimensional space, the locus of constant distance ratio can be obtained through a similar manipulation as presented above and equivalent interpretations of the corresponding results can be made. In this manner, for a non-unity distance ratio, the locus is given by a circle with centre along the line PS. For a unity distance ratio, however, the locus is given by a straight line perpendicularly bisecting the line joining points P and S.

(a)

(b)

Figure 1: Active noise control structure;

(a) Schematic diagram,

(b) Block diagram.

Figure 2: The infinite-gain controller circle;

(a) Formation,

(b) IGC circle,

(c) Position with detector location.

Figure 3: SIMO controller.

Figure 4: MIMO controller.