

This is a repository copy of *Optimal Control of Distributed Bilinear Systems*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/77031/

Monograph:

Banks, S.P. (1986) Optimal Control of Distributed Bilinear Systems. Research Report. Acse Report 301. Dept of Automatic Control and System Engineering. University of Sheffield

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Optimal Control of Distributed Bilinear Systems

S P Banks

Department of Control Engineering University of Sheffield Mappin Street SHEFFIELD S1 3JD England

October 1986

Abstract

The optimal control problem for a bilinear distributed parameter system subject to a quadratic cost functional is solved. It is shown that the optimal control is given by a convergent power series in the state with tensor coefficients.

Keywords: Bilinear-quadratic control problem, optimal control.

- C DETIJBN



1 Introduction

In a recent paper, Banks and Yew [3] have obtained a class of suboptimal controls for a bilinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx$$

where u is a scalar, x belongs to a Hilbert space and A and B are bounded operators, subject to the quadratic cost

$$J = \langle x, Gx \rangle + \int_{0}^{t_{\frac{1}{2}}} {\langle x, Mx \rangle + ru^2} dt.$$

The feedback turns out to be a power series in x with tensor coefficients. The convergence of this series was not proved, however, and so we proposed truncated versions of the series as suboptimal controls. In this paper we wish to provide the missing convergence proof and also generalise the results to the case where A is an unbounded operator, which generates a semigroup.

In section 2, we shall present the essential tensor theory for the development of the optimal control and then in section 3 we shall prove the convergence of the series derived in Banks and Yew [3]. Finally, in section 4, we shall give an example of a system in which A is self-adjoint and has compact resolvent which will enable us to obtain representations of the tensor coefficients of the feedback control series in terms of the spectrum of A.

We shall see that, in contrast to the linear-quadratic regulator problem, the optimal feedback control is only defined for states satisfying a certain bound, which depends on the horizon time. In other words, we must make the horizon time dependent on the initial states.

2 Tensor Theory in Hilbert Space

We shall first briefly review the theory of tensors defined in a Hilbert space (Greub [5]). If E and F are vector spaces and G is any vector space, then the tensor product of E and F is the pair

(E \otimes F, \otimes) where E \otimes F is a vector space and \otimes is a bilinear mapping with the universal property: if ϕ is any bilinear mapping then there exists a unique linear mapping $f: E\otimes F \to G$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F} & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & & & \\
 & &$$

commutes. If H is a Hilbert space than we define, by induction, the vector space H = H&....&H (i copies of H). Then we can make H into a Hilbert space by defining

$$\langle x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i, y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_i \rangle_{H_i} = \frac{1}{2} \langle x_j, y_j \rangle_{H}$$

Let \Re be the graded Hilbert space $\otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} (\otimes_i H) = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$ consisting of sequences $h = \{h_1, h_2, \cdots \}$ $(h_i \in H_i)$ such that

$$||\mathbf{h}|| \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \left\{ \stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} ||\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}||_{\mathbf{H}}^{2} \right\}^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Next, denote by $\mathcal{L}(H)$ the space of bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space H. Then if $P \in \mathcal{L}(H_i)$, $C \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we define the operator $PC \in \mathcal{L}(H_i)$ by

$$(PC) (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) = P(Cx_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) + P(x_1 \otimes Cx_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i) + \cdots + P(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes Cx_i)$$

(and by extension by linearity to all of H_i). Moreover, we define the adjoint operator P^* of P in the usual way:

$$\langle P^*(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i), (y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_i) \rangle = \langle (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i), P(y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_i) \rangle$$

and if $Pe\chi(H_i)$, $Qe\chi(H_j)$ we define PeQ by

$$(P \otimes Q) (\xi \otimes \eta) = P \xi \otimes Q \eta, \xi \epsilon H_i, \eta \epsilon H_i$$

Then we have the following elementary results (see Banks and Yew [3]). Lemina 2.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{L}(H_i)$, $Q \in \mathcal{L}(H_i)$, with P self-adjoint (ie $P^* = P$).

Then

$$\left[\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{x}} \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{P} \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}\right] \mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{i} \left(\otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{P} \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where \mathcal{F}_{x} is the Frechet derivative with respect to x and $\otimes_{i} x = x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$

(i factors), and, more generally, if $C\epsilon$ (H),

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{P} \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}\right] \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} = 2 \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}, \ (\mathbf{PC}) \otimes_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\langle \otimes_{i}^{x}, P \otimes_{i}^{x} \rangle \langle \otimes_{j}^{x}, Q \otimes_{j}^{x} \rangle = \langle \otimes_{i+j}^{x}, (P \otimes Q) \otimes_{i+j}^{x} \rangle$$
 (2.3)

and

$$||P\otimes Q||_{\mathcal{L}(H_{i+j})} \le ||P||_{\mathcal{L}(H_{i})} ||Q||_{\mathcal{L}(H_{j})}.$$

It follows easily from the definition of PC, where $\text{Pe}\mathcal{L}(\text{H}_1)$, $\text{Ce}\mathcal{L}(\text{H})$, that

$$||PC||_{\mathcal{L}(H_{\mathbf{i}})} \leq i ||P||_{\mathcal{L}(H_{\mathbf{i}})} ||C||_{\mathcal{L}(H)}.$$

Let CP be defined as (P*C*)*.

We can express a tensor operator in terms of its components with respect to a basis in the following way. Let $\{e_k^i\}_{k \ge 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Then $\{e_k^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ $\{e_k^i\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ $\{e_k^i\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal

basis of H_i . If $Pe\chi(H_i)$ we shall write its matrix representation in

terms of such a basis as $P_{k_1 \cdots k_i}^{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_i}$,

$$P(e_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{k_i}) = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_i=1}^{\infty} P^{k_1 \cdots k_i} (e_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{k_i}).$$

Then P is self-adjoint if

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k_1}\cdots\mathbf{k_i}}^{\mathbf{l_1}\cdots\mathbf{l_i}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{l_i}\cdots\mathbf{l_i}}^{\mathbf{l_1}\cdots\mathbf{l_i}} \quad .$$

3 Optimal Control of Bilinear Systems

Consider now the bilinear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx \tag{3.1}$$

where $x \in H$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar control, A is a closed, densely-defined operator which generates a semigroup T(t), and B is a bounded operator. We shall consider the minimisation of the cost functional

$$J = \langle x, Gx \rangle + \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \{\langle x, Mx \rangle + ru^{2}\} dt$$
 (3.2)

subject to the dynamics (3.1).

It can be shown (Banks and Yew [3]) that the optimal control is given by the series

$$u(t) = -r^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x, (P_i B) \otimes_i x \rangle$$
(3.3)

where the tensor operators P are self-adjoint, $P_i \in \mathcal{L}(H_i)$ and

$$P_{1}(t) = T_{1}(t_{f}-t) GT_{1}^{*}(t_{f}-t) + \int_{0}^{t_{f}-t} T_{1}(t_{f}-t-s) MT_{1}^{*}(t_{f}-t-s) ds$$
 (3.4)

$$P_{m}(t) = -r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j \ge 1}} \int_{0}^{t_{f}-t} T_{m}(t_{f}-t-s)P_{i}(t_{f}-s)B\otimes P_{j}(t_{f}-s)BT_{m}^{*}(t_{f}-t-s)ds$$
(3.5)

provided the formal series in (3.3) converges. Here, $T_i(t)$ is the semigroup generated by the tensor operator \mathcal{H}_i defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{i}P_{i} = P_{i}A,$$
 $P_{i}\varepsilon\mathcal{L}(H_{i})\cap D(\mathcal{A}_{i}), i\geqslant 1.$

It can be seen that \Re_i is densely defined and does indeed generate a semigroup $T_i(t)$ which satisfies

$$||T_{i}(t)|| < Ne^{i\omega t}$$
 (3.6)

where N and ω are positive numbers such that

$$||T(t)|| < Ne^{\omega t},$$
 (3.7)

where T(t) is the semigroup generated by A. The main point remaining is to establish the convergence of the formal series (3.3). To do this we first estimate $P_1(t)$ from (3.4), using (3.7), to obtain

$$||P_{1}(t)|| \leq N^{2} e^{2\omega(t_{f}-t)} ||G|| + N^{2}(t_{f}-t) ||M|| \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t_{f}]} e^{2\omega(t_{f}-s)}\right) e^{-2\omega t}$$

$$\leq \alpha e^{-2\omega t}$$
 (3.8)

for some $\alpha > 0$.

Similarly, from (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$||P_{m}(t)|| \leq r^{-1} ||B||^{2} N^{2} \sum_{i+j=m}^{t_{f}-t} e^{2\omega m(t_{f}-t-s)} ij||P_{i}(t_{f}-s)||.||P_{j}(t_{f}-s)||ds.$$

Define

$$P_{m}(t) = Me^{2m\omega t} \alpha^{-m} (r^{-1} ||B||^{2}N^{2})^{-m+1} ||P_{m}(t)||, m \ge 1.$$
 (3.9)

Then, it follows that

$$p_{m}(t) \le m \sum_{i+j=m}^{\sum_{j=m}^{t}} o^{t_{f}-t} p_{i}(t_{f}-s)p_{j}(t_{f}-s)ds.$$
 (3.10)

with

$$P_1(t) \leq 1$$
.

Lemma 3.1 Let p'_i be given by

$$P_{4}'(t) = 1, t \epsilon [o, t_{f}]$$
 (3.11)

$$P'_{m}(t) = m \sum_{i+j=m}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t_{f}-t} p'_{i}(t_{f}-s) p'_{j}(t_{f}-s) ds.$$
 (3.12)

Then

$$p_i(t) \leq p_i'(t), \quad t\epsilon[o,t_f].$$

(This follows easily by induction.) [

Lemma 3.2 If p_m satisfies (3.11) and (3.12), then it is the form

$$p_{m} = q_{m}(t_{f}-t)^{m-1}, \quad i \geqslant 0,$$

where q_m is constant on $[o,t_f]$ and satisfies the difference equation

$$q_{m} = \frac{m}{m-1} \sum_{i+j=m}^{n} q_{i}q_{j}, (m \ge 2), q_{1} = 1$$
 (3.13)

Proof Again this follows by induction if we note that

$$q_{m}(t_{f}-t)^{m-1} = m \sum_{i+j=m}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t_{f}-t} q_{i}q_{j} s^{i-1}s^{j-1} ds$$

$$= \frac{m}{m-1} \sum_{i+j=m}^{\infty} (t_{f}-t)^{m-1} q_{i}q_{j}, \Box$$

<u>Lemma 3.3</u> Suppose that q_m satisfies (3.13) and that r_m satisfies the difference equation

$$r_{m} = \sum_{i+j=m} r_{i}r_{j}, \qquad r_{1} = 2;$$
 (3.14)

then

$$q_{m} \leq r_{m}, \qquad m \geqslant 1.$$

Proof Note that, from (3.13), we have

$$q_m \le 2 \sum_{i+j=m} q_i q_j, \qquad q_1=1.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{m}} \leq 2^{\mathbf{m}-1} \ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{q}_{1}^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}} \ 2^{\mathbf{m}-1}$$
 and
$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{r}_{1}^{\mathbf{m}} \qquad = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}} 2^{\mathbf{m}}$$

for some A_{m} . Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.4 The power series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i Z^{2i}$ has radius of convergence $1/2\sqrt{2}$,

where r_i is given by (3.14).

Proof Consider the formal power series $R(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i Z^{2i}$. It is easy

to check that the coefficients of this formal series satisfy (3.14) if and only if

$$r_1 z^2 + R^2(z) = R(z).$$
 (3.15)

Hence the formal series is convergent to an analytic function in some region if and only if the equation (3.15) has an analytic solution $R(\Xi)$. However, (3.15) implies that

$$R(\Xi) = \underbrace{1 \pm \sqrt{1-8\Xi^2}}_{2}$$

each branch of which is analytic inside the disc $\{Z: |Z| < 1/2\sqrt{2}\}$. \square From (3.9) and lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||P_{m}(t)|| &= \frac{1}{m} e^{-2 m\omega t} \alpha^{m} (r^{-1}||B||^{2}N^{2})^{m-1} P_{m}(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} e^{-2 m\omega t} \alpha^{m} (r^{-1}||B||^{2}N^{2})^{m-1} (t_{f}^{-t})^{m-1} q_{m} \end{aligned}$$

and so

as m $\rightarrow \infty$. Hence the optimal-control (3.3) exists as a power series in the state provided

$$||\mathbf{x}|| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{e^{\omega t}}{||\mathbf{B}|| N} \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\alpha(t_f - t)}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since the optimal cost is

$$J(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x_0, P_i \rangle x_0$$

(provided the series converges) we have

Theorem 3.5 The bilinear-quadratic regulator problem

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx,$$
 $x(0) = x_0$

$$J = \langle x(t_f), Gx(t_f) \rangle + \int_0^{t_f} {\langle x, Mx \rangle + ru^2} dt$$

has the optimal solution

$$u(t) = -r^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle \otimes_i x, (P_i B) \otimes_i x \rangle,$$

where P_{i} satisfies (3.4) and (3.5), provided

$$||\mathbf{x}_{0}|| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{||\mathbf{B}|| \mathbf{N}} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\alpha t_{f}}\right)^{1/2} \tag{3.16}$$

and where $||T(t)|| \le Ne^{\omega t}$ and α is given by (3.8). \square

4 Example

As a simple example of the theory we shall consider the system

$$\dot{\phi} = A\phi + u\phi, \qquad \phi \in L^2(0,1)$$

where B = I and A is a closed, self-adjoint, densely-defined operator with compact resolvent (see Dunford and Schwartz, [4] or Banks, [1]). Then the spectrum of A consists of eigenvalues λ_i to with finite multiplicity such that $|\lambda_i| \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. Moveover, there is a complete orthonormal set of eigenvectors ϕ_i such that, for any $h \in L^2(0,1)$

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \phi_i \rangle \phi_i$$

Ah =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle h, \phi_i \rangle \phi_i$$

and

$$R(\lambda; A) h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_i} \langle h, \phi_i \rangle \phi_i, \lambda \epsilon \rho(A)$$

where $R(\lambda;A)$ is the resolvent of A and $\rho(A)$ is the resolvent set. Here, $(\lambda_i)1 \le i < \infty$ is the sequence of eigenvalues counted according to multiplicity. Since $\{\phi_i\}$ is a basis of $L^2(0,1)$ we can write

$$Q(\phi_{k} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{k}) = \sum_{\ell_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{\ell_{i}=1}^{\infty} Q_{k}^{\ell_{1} \cdots \ell_{i}} (\phi_{\ell_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{\ell_{i}})$$

for any tensor operator $Q \in \mathcal{L}(0,1)$. Note also that, if T(t) is the semigroup generated by A, then

$$T(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_i t} \langle \cdot, \phi_i \rangle \phi_i.$$

In the cost functional (3.2) we shall assume, for simplicity, that G=M=I and so from (3.4) we have $(P_1)_i^j = P_{1i}\delta_{ij}$, where

$$p_{1i}(t) = e^{2\lambda_i(t_f - t)} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} (e^{2\lambda_i(t_f - t)} - 1)$$
.

Note next that it is easy to see by induction that $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is also 'diagonal' in the sense that

$$(P_m)_{i_1\cdots i_m}^{j_1\cdots j_m} = P_{m,i_1\cdots i_m}^{j_1\cdots j_m}$$

for some tensor $\mathbf{p}_{1,i_1\cdots i_m}$. Moreover, \mathbf{p}_{m} is symmetric in all indices so that

$$p_{m,i_1,\dots,i_m} = p_{m,\sigma(i_1,\dots,i_m)}$$

where o is any permutation of the indices. It follows easily that if

$$\mathcal{H}_{m}P_{m}=P_{m}A,$$

then

$$(T_{\mathbf{m}}(t)P_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}^{\mathbf{j}} \cdots _{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}^{k} e^{\mathbf{m} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}} t} P_{\mathbf{m}, k, \mathbf{i}_{2}} \cdots _{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{j}_{1}} \delta_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}^{\mathbf{j}_{1}} \cdots \delta_{\mathbf{i}_{m}}^{\mathbf{j}_{m}}.$$

Hence

$$p_{m}, i_{1} \cdots i_{m}(t) = -r^{-1} \sum_{k+\ell=m}^{\infty} k\ell \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{t-t} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i_{1}}^{\alpha} e^{k\lambda_{\alpha}(t_{f}-s)} p_{k,\alpha,i_{2}} \cdots i_{k}(t_{f}-s).$$

$$k, \ell > 1$$

$$\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\beta} e^{\beta \lambda_{\beta}(t_{f}-s)} p_{\ell,\beta,i_{k+2}\cdots i_{m}} (t_{f}-s) ds$$

5 Conclusions

This paper has been concerned with the optimal control of distributed bilinear systems. In an earlier paper (Banks and Yew [3]) we were unable to prove the convergence of the power series solution for the control. Convergence has now been established for certain initial states (unlike the linear-quadratic problem where the feedback rule is valid for all states). In particular, the inequality (3.16) shows that for large initial states we must choose a small horizon time t_f , whereas for a small initial state we could choose t_f to be somewhat larger. This resembles receding horizon control (Banks [2]).

6 References

- [1] S P Banks, State-space and frequency-domain methods in the control of distributed parameter systems, Peter Peregrinus, (1983)
- [2] S P Banks, Receding horizon control for distributed systems,

 J Franklin Inst.. 315, 435-451 (1983)
- [3] S P Banks and M K Yew, On a class of suboptimal controls for infinite-dimensional bilinear systems, Sys. Contr. Letts. <u>5</u>, 327-333 (1985)

- [4] N Dunford and J T Schwartz, Linear Operators II, Interscience Publishers (1963)
- [5] W Greub, Multilinear Algebra, 2nd Ed., Springer, (1978)

SHEFFIELD UNIV.
APPLIED SCIENCE
LIBRARY