



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/76994/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Fern, LA, Birch, RJ, Whelan, J et al. (2013) Why can't we improve the timeliness of cancer diagnosis in children, teenagers, and young adults? *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 347. ISSN: 0959-8138

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f6493>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

EDITORIALS

Why can't we improve the timeliness of cancer diagnosis in children, teenagers, and young adults?

A comprehensive programme of research is needed to find out

Lorna A Fern *research manager*¹, Rebecca Birch *research associate*², Jeremy Whelan *professor of cancer medicine and clinical oncologist*³, Mathew Cooke *lay representative*⁴, Stephen Sutton *lay representative*⁴, Richard D Neal *professor of primary care medicine*⁵, Craig Gerrard *consultant orthopaedic surgeon*⁶, Gill Hubbard *reader and co-director*⁷, Sam Smith *head of nursing and quality*⁸, Chris Lethaby *specialist registrar*⁹, Rachel Dommett *academic clinical lecturer in paediatric oncology*¹⁰, Faith Gibson *clinical professor of children and young people's cancer care*¹¹

¹National Cancer Research Institute Teenage and Young Adult Clinical Studies Group, Department of Oncology, University College London Hospitals, London NW1 2PG, UK; ²Faculty of Health and Social Care, Department of Children's Nursing, London South Bank University, London, UK; ³Department of Oncology, University College London Hospitals, London; ⁴Consumer Liaison Group, National Cancer Research Network, London, UK; ⁵North Wales Centre for Primary Care Research, Bangor University, Wrexham, UK; ⁶North of England Bone and Soft Tissue Tumour Service, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Freeman Hospital, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ⁷Cancer Care Research Centre, School of Nursing Midwifery and Health, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK; ⁸Teenage Cancer Trust, London, UK; ⁹Centre of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK; ¹⁰University of Bristol, UHB Education Centre, Bristol, UK; ¹¹Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust and London South Bank University, London UK

More than a third of young people attending this year's Teenage Cancer Trust conference, "find your sense of tumour," were diagnosed through emergency presentation, with a quarter having previously visited their general practitioner with symptoms. This has resulted in a considerable amount of "GP bashing" by young people and an angry but passionate call for interventions to improve the timeliness of cancer diagnosis. The problem seems to be real, but the research evidence is missing. In the United Kingdom, five year cancer survival for children and young people (0-24 years) varies between 50% and 95%, with survival in some cancers hardly having improved over the past two decades.^{1 2} Although cancer is the leading cause of death from disease in this age group, it is rare—around 3500 cases a year. Morbidity from both the treatment and disease is considerable, and interruptions to social development, education, and employment are likely. Caring for a child or young person with cancer can cause serious stress within the family, and the economic consequences for the family and society are considerable.

Evidence exists that children and young people experience a prolonged diagnostic journey,³ with wide variations in duration of symptoms and time taken to investigate and treat. Some of the delay occurs in primary care. People aged 16-25 years are twice as likely as older adults to have three or more GP consultations before referral.⁴ They are also more likely than

adults to be diagnosed through emergency presentation.⁵ Many describe their diagnostic experience with a sense of loss, anger, and frustration, and studies have found that perceived diagnostic delay is associated with increased anxiety in patients and parents.^{6 7}

Young people are unaware of the more common cancers that affect their age group—embryonal, brain, and germ cell tumours; leukaemia; lymphoma; sarcomas; and other solid cancers.^{1 2} This means that even if they attribute a symptom to an illness, it is unlikely to be to cancer. A quarter of young people cannot name a cancer symptom, and confusion about cancer abounds—for example, some think that hair loss is a symptom.⁸ If symptoms are judged as serious, barriers to seeking help include worry about what the doctor might find (72%), embarrassment (56%), or being too scared (56%).⁸ This, coupled with inexperience of communicating serious symptoms, may further impede the diagnostic process.

Most patients consult their GP about their symptoms. In adults the predictive value of 'alert' symptoms has generated risk assessments for some cancers.⁹ Analysis of pre-diagnostic consultations in children finds a positive association between "alert" symptoms and a cancer diagnosis, although the positive predictive values of individual symptoms are low.^{10 11 12} Even when specified alert symptoms are combined with multiple consultations over a short time, the probability of a cancer

diagnosis ranges from 11 per 10 000 children to 76 per 10 000¹² with similar results reported for young people.^{11 12}

A recent *BMJ* paper highlighted the problems faced by healthcare professionals in identifying children and young people with brain tumours.¹³ For 10 000 children presenting to their GP with visual symptoms, only six will be diagnosed as having cancer within three months. If the child also had multiple consultations, this increases to 23/10 000.¹² Although a considerable increase in the likelihood of a cancer diagnosis, for proponents of a “three strikes and refer” policy in young people with alert symptoms, the low predictive value is disappointing and likely to overwhelm services and cause unnecessary anxiety and investigation.

What can be done? Young people and their parents want early diagnosis to become a research priority.¹⁴ Whether timely cancer diagnosis in this age group affects survival is unknown, but, improvements in the timeliness of the diagnostic process may reduce treatment related morbidity and psychological distress associated with a bad diagnostic experience. Research directed towards optimising the diagnostic experience and identifying relevant outcomes for children and young people is urgently needed.

From a medical perspective, an “optimal diagnosis” includes starting treatment before delays have affected outcomes. For a patient, however, optimal diagnosis further implies the calming of fears which a cancer diagnosis generates. Once diagnosed, patients invariably view their diagnosis within the context of their medical history. Consequently, they may think of their diagnosis as suboptimal, especially if they can relate symptoms of an earlier illness to cancer, regardless of how realistic this may be. This can cause young people to question themselves—whether they should have taken symptoms more seriously—and the medical professionals overseeing their care. Thus, the quality of communication with all the medical professionals encountered during the diagnosis pathway may determine how patients view their diagnosis. As a result, even an optimal diagnosis, from a medical viewpoint, can still be perceived as suboptimal by the patient.

Much of the existing evidence has been generated from research on adults with cancer, which limits its generalisability. We need researchers and funders to respond to the specific needs of this age group. A comprehensive programme of research is needed to identify which parts of the diagnostic journey matter most for young people and to suggest which interventions might best

inform policy and practice. Then these interventions need to be tested quickly and robustly. The “find your sense of tumour” audience deserves no less and will expect to be vital and enthusiastic contributors to such important work.

We would like to acknowledge all the young people participating in this year's Find Your Sense of Tumour event.

Competing interests: We have read and understood the BMJ Group policy on declaration of interests and declare the following interests: CG is a Trustee of the Bone Cancer Research Trust.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

- 1 Cancer Research UK. Teenage and young adult cancer. 2013. <http://publications.cancerresearchuk.org/publicationformat/formatstats/tya-report.html>.
- 2 Cancer Research UK. Childhood cancer: Great Britain and UK. 2010. <http://publications.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/statschildhood/statschild2010.html>.
- 3 Lethaby CD, Picton S, Kinsey SE, Phillips R, van Laar M, Feltbower RG. A systematic review of time to diagnosis in children and young adults with cancer. *Arch Dis Child* 2013;98:349-55.
- 4 Lyrtzopoulos G, Neal RD, Barbieri JM, Rubin GP, Abel GA. Variation in number of general practitioner consultations before hospital referral for cancer: findings from the 2010 national cancer patient experience survey in England. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:353-65.
- 5 Purkayastha Debasree OHC, Moran T. Routes to diagnosis: investigating the different pathways for cancer referrals in England for teenagers and young adults. Manchester National Cancer Intelligence Network, 2013 www.ncin.org.uk/cancer_type_and_topic_specific_work/cancer_type_specific_work/cancer_in_children_teenagers_and_young_adults.
- 6 Gibson F, Pearce S, Eden T, Glaser A, Hooker L, Whelan J, et al. Young people describe their prediagnosis cancer experience. *Psychooncology* 2013;22:2585-92.
- 7 Dixon-Woods M, Findlay M, Young B, Cox H, Heney D. Parents' accounts of obtaining a diagnosis of childhood cancer. *Lancet* 2001;357:670-4.
- 8 Kyle RG, Forbat L, Hubbard G. Cancer awareness among adolescents in Britain: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2012;12:580.
- 9 Hamilton W. The CAPER studies: five case-control studies aimed at identifying and quantifying the risk of cancer in symptomatic primary care patients. *Br J Cancer* 2009;101(suppl 2):S80-6.
- 10 Dommert RM, Redaniel MT, Stevens MC, Hamilton W, Martin RM. Features of childhood cancer in primary care: a population-based nested case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 2012;106:982-7.
- 11 Dommert RM, Redaniel MT, Stevens MC, Hamilton W, Martin RM. Features of cancer in teenagers and young adults in primary care: a population-based nested case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 2013;108:2329-33.
- 12 Dommert RM, Redaniel T, Stevens MC, Martin RM, Hamilton W. Risk of childhood cancer with symptoms in primary care: a population-based case-control study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63:e22-9.
- 13 Wilne SH, Dineen RA, Dommert RM, Chu TP, Walker DA. Identifying brain tumours in children and young adults. *BMJ* 2013;347:f5844.
- 14 Fern LA, Brooman K, Irving C, Millington H, Starkey C, Wright D, et al. Involving young people with cancer in research. The National Cancer Research Institutes Teenage and Young Adult (TYA) Core Consumer Group (CCG)—a new and evolving model. National Cancer Research Institute International Conference Birmingham, 2009. <http://conference.ncri.org.uk/abstracts/2009/abstracts/C3.htm>.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2013;347:f6493

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2013