

This is a repository copy of *WEIGHTED HARDY AND POLYA–KNOPP INEQUALITIES WITH VARIABLE LIMITS*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/47122/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Nikolova, L., Persson, L.-E., Ushakova, Elena et al. (1 more author) (2007) *WEIGHTED HARDY AND POLYA–KNOPP INEQUALITIES WITH VARIABLE LIMITS*. *Mathematical Inequalities Applications*. 547–557.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

WEIGHTED HARDY AND PÓLYA–KNOPP INEQUALITIES WITH VARIABLE LIMITS

L. NIKOLOVA, L.-E. PERSSON, E. USHAKOVA AND A. WEDESTIG

(communicated by S. Saitoh)

Abstract. A new scale of characterizations for the weighted Hardy inequality with variable limits is proved for the case $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. A corresponding scale of characterizations for the (limit) weighted Pólya-Knopp inequality is also derived and discussed.

1. Introduction

Let $a = a(x)$, $b = b(x)$ be strictly increasing differentiable functions on $[0, \infty]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 a(0) &= b(0) = 0, \\
 a(x) &< b(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \infty, \\
 a(\infty) &= b(\infty) = \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

In [1] it was proved that for the case $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t) dt \right)^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.2}$$

holds for some finite constant C and for all positive measurable functions f if and only if

$$A = \sup \left(\int_t^x u(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(t)} v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where supremum is taken over all x and t such that

$$0 < t < x < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a(x) < b(t). \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant for which (1.2) holds, then

$$A \leq C \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{q}{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(1 + \frac{p'}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} A, \tag{1.5}$$

where $p' = p/(p - 1)$.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 26D15, 26D20.

Key words and phrases: inequalities, Hardy type inequalities, Pólya-Knopp type inequalities, variable limits.

In this paper we prove that the condition (1.3) is not unique for characterizing (1.2). More exactly, inspired by results in [5] we will prove that there is a whole scale of conditions for characterizing (1.2) (see Theorem 2.1). In fact, (1.3) may be regarded as just an endpoint case of this scale (see Remark 2.2). We note that (1.2) describes the (continuous) mapping properties of the (arithmetic mean) Hardy type operator

$$(Hf)(x) = \frac{1}{b(x) - a(x)} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t) dt.$$

In [4] the corresponding geometric mean operator with variable limits was introduced as

$$(Gf)(x) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{b(x) - a(x)} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \ln f(t) dt \right),$$

and the Pólya-Knopp type inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty (Gf)^q(x) u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1.6)$$

was characterized for the case $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ with the following weight characterization:

$$A_{pp} = \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_{\sigma^{-1}(a(x))}^{\sigma^{-1}(b(x))} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (b(t) - a(t))^{-\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{a(t) + b(t)}{2},$$

and

$$w(x) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{b(x) - a(x)} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \ln \frac{1}{v(t)} dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} u(x). \quad (1.8)$$

In this paper we also prove that the condition (1.7) is not unique for characterizing (1.6). In fact, we will prove that also in this case there exists a scale of conditions for characterizing (1.6) (see Theorem 3.1). The method of proof is completely different (and simpler) than that in [4].

2. A scale of weight characterizations for the Hardy inequality with variable limits

Our main result in this Section reads:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then the inequality (1.2) holds for some finite constant C and for all positive measurable functions f if and only if for any $s \in (1, p)$*

$$B(s) := \sup \left(\int_t^x u(z) \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(t)} v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

where supremum is taken over all x and t such that (1.4) holds. Moreover, if C is the best constant in (1.2), then

$$\sup_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{p}{p-s}\right)^p}{\left(\frac{p}{p-s}\right)^p + \frac{1}{s-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(s) \leq C \leq 2 \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} B(s). \quad (2.2)$$

REMARK 2.2. Note that if $s \rightarrow p$, then $B(s) \rightarrow A$ as defined in (1.3) and also the lower bound in (2.2) converges to the lower bound in (1.5).

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need the following result of A. Wedestig [5]:

LEMMA 2.3. Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then for $0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$ the inequality

$$\left(\int_a^b \left(\int_a^x f(t) dt \right)^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_a^b f^p(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (2.3)$$

holds for some finite constant C and for all measurable functions $f \geq 0$ if and only if for any $s \in (1, p)$

$$A_W(s) = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \left(\int_t^b u(x) \left(\int_a^x v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_a^t v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (2.3), then

$$\sup_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{p}{p-s}\right)^p}{\left(\frac{p}{p-s}\right)^p + \frac{1}{s-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} A_W(s) \leq C \leq \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} A_W(s). \quad (2.5)$$

By using a standard duality argument (see e.g. [3]) we also have:

LEMMA 2.4. Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then for $0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$ the inequality

$$\left(\int_a^b \left(\int_x^b f(t) dt \right)^q u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_a^b f^p(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (2.6)$$

holds for some constant C and for all measurable positive functions f if and only if for any $s \in (1, p)$

$$\tilde{A}_W(s) = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \left(\int_a^t u(x) \left(\int_x^b v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_t^b v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Furthermore, if C is the best constant in (2.6), then

$$\sup_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{p}{p-s}\right)^p}{\left(\frac{p}{p-s}\right)^p + \frac{1}{s-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{A}_W(s) \leq C \leq \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tilde{A}_W(s). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Necessity: Put $V(t) = \int_0^t v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta$ and suppose that (1.2) holds. Consider the test function

$$f(y) = \frac{P}{p-s} (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{-\frac{s}{p}} v^{1-p'}(y) \chi_{(a(z), b(t))}(y) \\ + (V(y) - V(a(z)))^{-\frac{s}{p}} v^{1-p'}(y) \chi_{(b(t), b(z))}(y).$$

Here t and x are fix numbers, $0 < t < x < \infty$, such that $a(x) < b(t)$. It yields that if $t < z \leq x$, then $a(z) \leq a(x) < b(t) < b(z) \leq b(x)$. The right hand side integral in (1.2) can be estimated as follows:

$$\left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(t)} \left(\frac{P}{p-s}\right)^p (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{-s} v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right. \\ \left. + \int_{b(t)}^{b(z)} (V(y) - V(a(z)))^{-s} v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (2.9) \\ \leq \left(\left(\frac{P}{p-s}\right)^p (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{1-s} + \frac{1}{s-1} (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = \left(\left(\frac{P}{p-s}\right)^p + \frac{1}{s-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{\frac{1-s}{p}} \\ \leq \left(\left(\frac{P}{p-s}\right)^p + \frac{1}{s-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (V(b(t)) - V(a(x)))^{\frac{1-s}{p}}.$$

Moreover, for the left hand side in (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} f(y) dy \right)^q u(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \left(\int_t^x \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} f(y) dy \right)^q u(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & = \left(\int_t^x \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(t)} \frac{p}{p-s} (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{-\frac{s}{p}} v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \int_{b(t)}^{b(z)} (V(y) - V(a(z)))^{-\frac{s}{p}} v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^q u(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.10} \\
 & = \left(\int_t^x \left(\frac{p}{p-s} (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{\frac{p-s}{p}} + \frac{p}{p-s} (V(b(z)) - V(a(z)))^{\frac{p-s}{p}} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{p}{p-s} (V(b(t)) - V(a(z)))^{\frac{p-s}{p}} \right)^q u(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & = \frac{p}{p-s} \left(\int_t^x (V(b(z)) - V(a(z)))^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} u(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (1.2), (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\frac{\frac{p}{p-s}}{\left(\left(\frac{p}{p-s} \right)^p + \frac{1}{s-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\int_t^x u(z) (V(b(z)) - V(a(z)))^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (V(b(t)) - V(a(x)))^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \leq C.$$

We conclude that (2.1) and, by taking supremum, the left hand side inequality in (2.2) holds.

Sufficiency: Assume that (2.1) holds. Here we first use some arguments from [1] (see also [3, p. 127]) and define $a = a(x)$, $b = b(x)$ to be strictly increasing differentiable functions on $[0, \infty]$ satisfying the conditions (1.1) and, consequently, the inverse functions a^{-1} and b^{-1} exist and are strictly increasing and differentiable, too. We define a sequence $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as follows: for fixed $m > 0$ define $m_0 = m$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{k+1} &= a^{-1}(b(m_k)), \text{ if } k \geq 0, \\
 m_k &= b^{-1}(a(m_{k+1})), \text{ if } k \leq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, we have

$$a(m_{k+1}) = b(m_k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, we define the weight functions u_a and u_b by

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_a(y) &= u(a^{-1}(y))(a^{-1})'(y), \\
 u_b(y) &= u(b^{-1}(y))(b^{-1})'(y),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and $a_k = a(m_k)$, $b_k = b(m_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (note, that $(0, \infty) = \cup[a_k, b_k]$). It follows that the left hand side of (1.2) (with f replaced by $f v^{1-p'}$) is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \left(\int_y^{b_k} f(t) v^{1-p'}(t) dt \right)^q u_a(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & + \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{a_{k+1}}^{b_{k+1}} \left(\int_{a_{k+1}}^y f(t) v^{1-p'}(t) dt \right)^q u_b(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

For details see the book [3, p. 133].

Fix $t > 0$ and let $t < z < x$. Write $y = a(x)$ in (2.1) and make the variable transformation $a(z) = r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(s) & \geq \left(\int_t^{a^{-1}(y)} u(z) \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_y^{b(t)} v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \\ & = \left(\int_{a(t)}^y \left(\int_r^{b(a^{-1}(r))} v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} u(a^{-1}(r))(a^{-1})'(r) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_y^{b(t)} v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \\ & \geq \left(\int_{a(t)}^y \left(\int_r^{b(t)} v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} u_a(r) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_y^{b(t)} v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where u_a is defined by (2.13). In the last estimate we have used that $b(t) < b(a^{-1}(r))$ (which holds because $t < z < a^{-1}(r)$). We conclude that

$$\left(\int_a^y \left(\int_r^b v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} u_a(r) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_y^b v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \leq B(s) < \infty \tag{2.15}$$

for all $(a, b) = (a(t), b(t))$ (and, thus, in particular for all $(a, b) = (a_k, b_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Hence, (2.7) is satisfied and we can use Lemma 2.4 repeatedly (with a uniform bound of the best constant) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &\leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^q \left(\int_{a_k}^{b_k} (f(y)v^{1-p'}(y))^p v(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f^p(y)v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(y)v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where, by (2.8) and (2.15),

$$C \leq \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tilde{A}_W(s) \leq \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} B(s). \tag{2.17}$$

Next, we let x be fixed, write $y = b(t)$ in (2.1) and make the variable transformation $b(z) = r$. Similar as above we find that

$$\left(\int_y^b \left(\int_a^r v^{1-p'}(\eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q(p-s)}{p}} u_b(r) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_a^y v^{1-p'}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} \leq B(s) < \infty \tag{2.18}$$

for all $(a, b) = (a(t), b(t))$ and where u_b is defined by (2.13). Thus, (2.4) holds and we can use Lemma 2.3 to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C^q \left(\int_{a_{k+1}}^{b_{k+1}} (f(y)v^{1-p'}(y))^p v(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq C \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{a_{k+1}}^{b_{k+1}} f^p(y)v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(y)v^{1-p'}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where, by (2.5) and (2.18),

$$C \leq \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} A_W(s) \leq \inf_{1 < s < p} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} B(s). \tag{2.20}$$

By now combining (2.14) with (2.16) and (2.19) we find that the left hand side of (2.1) can be estimated by

$$C \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(y)v(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(here we have replaced $f(y)v^{1-p'}(y)$ by $f(y)$ again), where by (2.17) and (2.20) the best constant can be estimated as stated in the right hand side inequality of (2.2). The proof is complete.

3. A scale of weight characterizations for the Pólya-Knopp inequality with variable limits

Our main result in this Section reads:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then the inequality (1.6) holds for all positive measurable functions f if and only if for any $s > 1$*

$$D(s) := \sup \left(\int_t^x w(z) (b(z) - a(z))^{-\frac{qs}{p}} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (b(t) - a(x))^{\frac{s-1}{p}} < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

where the supremum is taken over all x and t such that (1.4) holds and $w(z)$ is defined by (1.8). Moreover, if C is the best constant in (1.6), then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s>1} \left(\frac{e^s(s-1)}{e^s(s-1)+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} D(s) &\leq C \\ &\leq \inf_{s>1} 2^{\frac{s}{p}} \left(\frac{p+q(s-1)}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{p+q(s-1)}{q(s-1)} \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} D(s). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Sufficiency: Assume that (3.1) holds. If we define $w(z)$ as in (1.8) and replace $f^p(x)$ by $g(x)/v(x)$ we see that (1.6) is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(x) - a(x)} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \ln g(t) dt \right) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty g(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Now we let $g(x) = f^s(x)$. Then we have that (3.3) and, thus, (1.6) is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(x) - a(x)} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \ln f(t) dt \right) \right)^{\frac{qs}{p}} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty f^s(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, by Jensen's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(x) - a(x)} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \ln f(t) dt \right) \right)^{\frac{qs}{p}} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t) dt \right)^{\frac{qs}{p}} w(x) (b(x) - a(x))^{-\frac{qs}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We only need to consider the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t) dt \right)^{\frac{qs}{p}} w(x)(b(x) - a(x))^{-\frac{qs}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty f^s(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.6}$$

In order to be able to compare with (1.2) we note that (3.6) can equivalently be written as

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t) dt \right)^{\frac{qs}{p}} w(x)(b(x) - a(x))^{-\frac{qs}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{qs}} \leq C_0 \left(\int_0^\infty f^s(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $C_0 = C^{\frac{p}{s}}$. Note that (3.7) is just (1.2) with $v(x) \equiv 1$, $u(x) = w(x)(b(x) - a(x))^{-\frac{qs}{p}}$, p replaced by s and q replaced by $\frac{qs}{p}$. Hence, according to [1], it holds (see (1.3)) if

$$A = A(s) = \sup \left(\int_t^x w(z)(b(z) - a(z))^{-\frac{qs}{p}} dz \right)^{\frac{p}{qs}} (b(t) - a(x))^{\frac{s-1}{s}} < \infty,$$

where supremum is taken over all x and t such that (1.4) holds. In fact, this condition holds in view of the assumption (3.1) (note that $A(s) = D(s)^{\frac{p}{s}}$). Therefore, (3.6) holds and, according to (3.5), it follows that (3.6) and, thus, (1.6) holds. Moreover, if C_0 is the best possible constant in (3.7), then (see (1.5))

$$C_0 \leq \inf_{s>1} 2 \left(\frac{p + q(s - 1)}{p} \right)^{\frac{p}{qs}} \left(\frac{p + q(s - 1)}{q(s - 1)} \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} A(s).$$

We conclude that (3.6) and, thus, (1.6) holds and for the best constant C in (1.6) it yields that

$$C \leq \inf_{s>1} 2^{\frac{s}{p}} \left(\frac{p + q(s - 1)}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{p + q(s - 1)}{q(s - 1)} \right)^{\frac{s-1}{p}} D(s). \tag{3.8}$$

Necessity: Assume that (1.6) and, thus, (3.3) holds with some finite constant C . Let t and x be fix numbers, such that $0 < t < x < \infty$ and $a(x) < b(t)$. For any $z \in (t, x)$ it yields that $a(z) \leq a(x) < b(t) < b(z) \leq b(x)$. To (3.3) we now apply the following test function:

$$g(y) = (b(t) - a(z))^{-s} \chi_{(a(z), b(t))}(y) + e^{-s(y - a(z))} \chi_{(b(t), b(z))}(y).$$

Then the right hand side of (3.3) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\infty g(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\int_{a(z)}^{b(t)} (b(t) - a(z))^{-s} dy + e^{-s} \int_{b(t)}^{b(z)} (y - a(z))^{-s} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left((b(t) - a(z))^{1-s} + e^{-s} \frac{1}{s-1} (b(t) - a(z))^{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (3.9) \\ &\leq \left(1 + e^{-s} \frac{1}{s-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (b(t) - a(x))^{\frac{1-s}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the left hand side in (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_L &:= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(z) - a(z)} \int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} \ln g(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right) w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\geq \left(\int_t^x \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(z) - a(z)} \int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} \ln g(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right) w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_t^x \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(z) - a(z)} \left[\int_{a(z)}^{b(t)} \ln(b(t) - a(z))^{-s} dy \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \int_{b(t)}^{b(z)} \ln e^{-s} (y - a(z))^{-s} dy \right] \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right) w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &=: \left(\int_t^x \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{b(z) - a(z)} [I + II] \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right) w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = -s(b(t) - a(z)) \ln(b(t) - a(z)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II &= -s \int_{b(t)}^{b(z)} dy - s \int_{b(t)}^{b(z)} \ln(y - a(z)) dy \\ &= -s(b(z) - a(z)) \ln(b(z) - a(z)) + s(b(t) - a(z)) \ln(b(t) - a(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up we have

$$I + II = -s(b(z) - a(z)) \ln(b(z) - a(z)),$$

and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} I_L &\geq \int_t^x (\exp(-s \cdot \ln(b(z) - a(z))))^{\frac{q}{p}} w(z) dz \\ &= \left(\int_t^x (b(z) - a(z))^{-\frac{sq}{p}} w(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by combining (3.8) and (3.9) we find that

$$C \geq \left(1 + e^{-s} \frac{1}{s-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} D(s) = \left(\frac{e^s(s-1)}{e^s(s-1)+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} D(s). \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, (3.1) holds and, moreover, by combining (3.8) and (3.11) we see that also (3.2) holds so the proof is complete.

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee and Professor Sabrou Saitoh for some suggestions which have improved the final version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. P. HEINIG, G. SINNAMON, *Mapping properties of integral averaging operators*, Studia Math., **129**, (2) (1998), 157–177.
- [2] B. OPIC, P. GURKA, *Weighted inequalities for geometric means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **120**, (3) (1994), 771–779.
- [3] A. KUFNER, L.-E. PERSSON, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003. xviii+357 pp. ISBN: 981-238-195-3.
- [4] L.-E. PERSSON, D. PROKHOROV, *Integral inequalities for some weighted geometric mean operator with variable limits*, Arch. Inequal. Appl., **2**,(4) (2004), 475–482.
- [5] A. WEDESTIG, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy-type and their limiting inequalities*, PhD Thesis 2003:17, Department of Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, 2003.

(Received March 20, 2006)

Ludmila Nikolova
Department of Mathematics
University of Sofia
boul.J.Bouchier 5
Sofia 1164
BULGARIA

e-mail: lubmilan@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Lars-Erik Persson, Elena Ushakova and Anna Wedestig
Department of Mathematics
Luleå University of Technology
SE-971 87 Luleå
SWEDEN

e-mail: larserik@sm.luth.se

e-mail: eleush@sm.luth.se

e-mail: annaw@sm.luth.se