

This is a repository copy of *An essay concerning toleration and other writings on law and politics, 1667-1683*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/46547/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Article:**

Stanton, Timothy [orcid.org/0000-0002-8282-9570](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8282-9570) (2008) *An essay concerning toleration and other writings on law and politics, 1667-1683*. *Journal of Ecclesiastical History*. p. 784. ISSN: 0022-0469

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022046908005526>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

performance occasions illustrating Baroque's responsiveness to social change, and that whereas Pietism meshed uneasily with Baroque culture and responded negatively to the discussion of music in the debate on adiaphora, J. S. Bach and his family were caught up to some extent in Enlightenment social, literary and musical patterns. While illuminating the role of Bach's secular music and texts the book raises questions regarding the placing within the period's theological debates, especially those between orthodox and Pietists, of the Leipzig liturgical cantata material (see, for example, the studies in Steiger's 2002 *Gnadengegenwart*).

LINCOLN

IVOR H. JONES

John Locke, *An essay concerning toleration and other writings on law and politics, 1667–1683*.

Edited with introduction, critical apparatus, notes and transcription of ancillary manuscripts by J. R. Milton and Philip Milton. (The Clarendon edition of the Works of John Locke.) Pp. xi + 458 incl. 13 plates. Oxford: Clarendon, 2006.

£65. 0 19 823721 9; 978 0 19 823721 1

*JEH* (59) 2008; doi:10.1017/S0022046908005526

In history, we are told, 'pour savoir les choses, il faut savoir le detail'. The editors of the present volume cannot be faulted in this connexion. The special difficulties they faced are outmatched by an attention to detail as scrupulous as it is daunting. These difficulties included a main text which survives in four manuscripts whose chronological relation to one another is opaque, and other materials of uncertain status. The Miltons have delivered a critical text of Locke's unpublished *Essay concerning toleration* (1667) based upon, and registering variations between, all four manuscripts that is clearer than any reader could reasonably have hoped, together with complete transcriptions of other unpublished Lockian writings including 'Queries on Scottish church government' (1668), notes on Samuel Parker's *Discourse of ecclesiastical politie* (1669), the important 'Excommunication' (1674), *A letter from a person of quality, to his friend in the country* in which Locke had a hand (1675) and a paper on the selection of juries (1681) recently identified by the editors as Locke's work, plus assorted entries from commonplace books, items of dubious provenance and related material not by Locke. The textual introduction (pp. 162–263) is a model of editorial scholarship, and the general introduction (pp. 1–161) meticulous about matters of fact and illuminating about matters of style (for example pp. 49–52) if not always so convincing about conceptual content (for example p. 32). The quality of the texts and bibliographical descriptions alone ensures that the volume will quickly become authoritative; the assuredness and inimitable concision which distinguish its judgements recommend it still further. Witness, for instance, the following irresistible epitome of Parker: 'an ambitious ecclesiastical careerist with deplorable controversial manners' of whom 'it is very easy to form an unfavourable opinion' (p. 61). The latter could scarcely be said of this volume.

UNIVERSITY OF YORK

TIMOTHY STANTON