



Deposited via The University of York.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/314/>

---

**Article:**

Brannigan, J.A., Hoa, N.T. and Cutting, S.M. (2001) The PDZ domain of the SpoIVB serine peptidase facilitates multiple functions. *Journal of Bacteriology*. pp. 4364-4373. ISSN: 0021-9193

<https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.14.4364-4373.2001>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

## The PDZ Domain of the SpoIVB Serine Peptidase Facilitates Multiple Functions

NGO T. HOA,<sup>1</sup> JAMES A. BRANNIGAN,<sup>2</sup> AND SIMON M. CUTTING<sup>1\*</sup>

*School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX,<sup>1</sup> and Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO10 5DD,<sup>2</sup> United Kingdom*

Received 20 February 2001/Accepted 25 April 2001

**During spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*, the SpoIVB protein is a critical component of the  $\sigma^K$  regulatory checkpoint. SpoIVB has been shown to be a serine peptidase that is synthesized in the spore chamber and which self-cleaves, releasing active forms. These forms can signal proteolytic processing of the transcription factor  $\sigma^K$  in the outer mother cell chamber of the sporulating cell. This forms the basis of the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint and ensures accurate  $\sigma^K$ -controlled gene expression. SpoIVB has also been shown to activate a second distinct process, termed the second function, which is essential for the formation of heat-resistant spores. In addition to the serine peptidase domain, SpoIVB contains a PDZ domain. We have altered a number of conserved residues in the PDZ domain by site-directed mutagenesis and assayed the sporulation phenotype and signaling properties of mutant SpoIVB proteins. Our work has revealed that the SpoIVB PDZ domain could be used for up to four distinct processes, (i) targeting of itself for *trans* proteolysis, (ii) binding to the protease inhibitor BofC, (iii) signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing, and (iv) signaling of the second function of SpoIVB.**


PDZ domains are relatively small ( $\approx 100$  amino acids) domains involved in protein-protein interactions (21, 23). Many of these interactions occur at the interface of the plasma membrane, enabling the recruitment and formation of larger complexes (24). PDZ domains have been shown to allow high selectivity in the targeting of proteins and can bind to short COOH-terminal peptide motifs. There are two main classes of binding site, h-X-V-COO<sup>-</sup> (where h is a hydrophobic amino acid) and S/T-X-V-COO<sup>-</sup>, based on the sequences of these motifs (1, 23, 24, 32, 34, 35). In addition, PDZ domains have been shown to be able to bind to internal motifs, as well as to other PDZ domains (14). Some PDZ proteins contain more than one domain; for example, the *Drosophila* InaD scaffolding protein carries five discrete PDZ domains (36). These multi-valent PDZ domain proteins enable a series of distinct protein-protein interactions which can be used to build a protein complex in steps. PDZ domains can be carried as discrete modules within a multidomain protein, and pertinent examples of these modular PDZ proteins for this work are two families of bacterial serine peptidases, the Prc (also called Tsp) family (15) and the HtrA (also called DegP) family (22). In these proteases, the PDZ domain enables substrate recognition, which is thought to occur at the C terminus of the target. The crystal structures of four PDZ domains, in complex with their cognate peptide ligands, have provided invaluable insight into how these domains interact with their targets (7, 8, 14). The PDZ domains consist of a compact arrangement of six  $\beta$  strands and two  $\alpha$  helices (Fig. 1C). Peptides bind in a groove between  $\beta$ B and  $\alpha$ 2 in an antiparallel manner to  $\beta$ B that extends the  $\beta$ -sheet structure. The peptide bound in this orientation places the carboxyl group of the C-terminal residue in a position to

interact with a loop between  $\beta$ A and  $\beta$ B. This loop has the consensus sequence h-G-h (where h is a hydrophobic residue) and forms a “carboxylate-binding pocket.” Remarkably, recognition of such a short, degenerate motif, coupled with the presence of a free carboxyl group, is sufficient to confer high selectivity of binding, and artificial PDZ constructs have been demonstrated to bind new targets and efficiently transport them to a defined subcellular location (32).

A PDZ domain has been identified in the *Bacillus subtilis* regulatory protein SpoIVB (Fig. 1) (21). SpoIVB is a multifunctional protein which plays a crucial role in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint by providing the signal that activates proteolytic processing of pro- $\sigma^K$  (2, 3). SpoIVB has been shown to be a serine peptidase that is synthesized in the forespore chamber and is secreted across the inner forespore membrane (IFM), where it somehow activates the proteolysis of pro- $\sigma^K$  (37). Pro- $\sigma^K$  is an inactive transcription factor synthesized in the outer mother cell chamber of the sporulating cell and is cleaved by a proposed complex of three proteins, SpoIVFA, SpoIVFB, and BofA, which are embedded in the outer forespore membrane (5, 26, 27, 40). The SpoIVFB protein has been identified as the zinc metalloprotease which cleaves pro- $\sigma^K$  to its active form,  $\sigma^K$  (17, 28). When activated by proteolytic cleavage,  $\sigma^K$  directs the final program of gene expression in the mother cell chamber of the sporulating cell. The important feature of this regulatory checkpoint is that  $\sigma^K$ -directed gene expression must wait until the appropriate signal is received from the forespore. Accurate signaling is essential to maintaining the fidelity of spore formation, since premature signaling leads to a marked decrease in spore-forming efficiency (3). How this is achieved is revealed by the extraordinary number of regulatory elements in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint which inhibit premature signaling. Initially,  $\sigma^F$ -directed transcription of the *spoIVB* gene is repressed at stage II (10, 12); it has been shown that should any inadvertent expression occur then, the BofC protein would inhibit SpoIVB autoproteolysis, most probably by direct protein-pro-

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom. Phone: 01784-443760. Fax: 01784-434326. E-mail: s.cutting@rhul.ac.uk.

A



B

	$\beta$ A	$\beta$ B	$\beta$ C	$\alpha$ 1	$\beta$ D	$\beta$ E	$\alpha$ 2	$\beta$ F
Pdz1	RRIVIH <sup>h</sup> RGSTG	<u>LG</u> FNI <sup>h</sup> VGG	EDGE <sup>h</sup> GIFIS <sup>h</sup> FILAG	GPADLS <sup>h</sup> GELR <sup>h</sup> KGD	QILSV <sup>h</sup> NGVDL <sup>h</sup> RNAS <sup>h</sup> HEQAAI <sup>h</sup> ALKNAG <sup>h</sup> QVTTII <sup>h</sup> IAQYK <sup>h</sup> PPEY			
Pdz2	RRVTVR <sup>h</sup> KADAGGL	<u>LG</u> ISIK <sup>h</sup> GGRENK <sup>h</sup> MPILISK <sup>h</sup> IFKG		LAADQTEAL <sup>h</sup> FVGD	AILSV <sup>h</sup> NGEDL <sup>h</sup> SSATH <sup>h</sup> DEAV <sup>h</sup> QAL <sup>h</sup> KKTGK			EVVLE <sup>h</sup> VK <sup>h</sup> YMK
Pdz3	ISVRL <sup>h</sup> FKRK <sup>h</sup> VGG	<u>LG</u> F <sup>h</sup> LVKER	VSKPP <sup>h</sup> VII <sup>h</sup> SDLIRG	GAAEQSGLIQAG <sup>h</sup> D	IILAV <sup>h</sup> NDRPL <sup>h</sup> VDLSY <sup>h</sup> DSALEV <sup>h</sup> LRGIA <sup>h</sup> SETH <sup>h</sup> VLLIL <sup>h</sup> RGPEF			
Pdz4	RLVQ <sup>h</sup> FQKNT <sup>h</sup> DEPM	<u>GI</u> T <sup>h</sup> LKMN	ELNH <sup>h</sup> CIVARIM <sup>h</sup> GH	GMIHRQ <sup>h</sup> GTL <sup>h</sup> HVGD	EIRE <sup>h</sup> INGIS <sup>h</sup> VANQ <sup>h</sup> TYE <sup>h</sup> LO <sup>h</sup> KMLREMR <sup>h</sup> SGSIT <sup>h</sup> FKIV <sup>h</sup> PSYREF			
<b>Sp4B</b>	PDLK <sup>h</sup> VIPGGQ <sup>h</sup> S	<u>IG</u> VKL <sup>h</sup> HSV <sup>h</sup> GLV <sup>h</sup> VV <sup>h</sup> GF <sup>h</sup> HQ <sup>h</sup> INTSEG		KKSPG <sup>h</sup> ETAG <sup>h</sup> IEAG <sup>h</sup> DII <sup>h</sup> EM <sup>h</sup> NG <sup>h</sup> QKIEK	MNDV <sup>h</sup> VAP <sup>h</sup> FIQKA	GKTGES <sup>h</sup> LDL <sup>h</sup> L <sup>h</sup> L <sup>h</sup> IK <sup>h</sup> RDK		
Bant	KDFK <sup>h</sup> VIPGGQ <sup>h</sup> S	<u>IG</u> VKL <sup>h</sup> NT <sup>h</sup> KG <sup>h</sup> VLV <sup>h</sup> V <sup>h</sup> GH <sup>h</sup> HLI <sup>h</sup> QTEK <sup>h</sup> G		KVSPG <sup>h</sup> ETAG <sup>h</sup> VQ <sup>h</sup> IG <sup>h</sup> DMITE <sup>h</sup> ING <sup>h</sup> KTIER	MSDV <sup>h</sup> VAP <sup>h</sup> FIHNS	GETGE <sup>h</sup> PLN <sup>h</sup> L <sup>h</sup> VLL <sup>h</sup> RDG		
Bste	PTLK <sup>h</sup> VIPGGQ <sup>h</sup> S	<u>IG</u> VKL <sup>h</sup> NT <sup>h</sup> VG <sup>h</sup> VLV <sup>h</sup> V <sup>h</sup> GY <sup>h</sup> HLV <sup>h</sup> ETENG		KKSPG <sup>h</sup> EAA <sup>h</sup> GIQ <sup>h</sup> VD <sup>h</sup> II <sup>h</sup> IG <sup>h</sup> ING <sup>h</sup> QKIEN	MSDL <sup>h</sup> SP <sup>h</sup> FI <sup>h</sup> E <sup>h</sup> E <sup>h</sup> A	GKTG <sup>h</sup> KPL <sup>h</sup> HL <sup>h</sup> KIL <sup>h</sup> RDQ		
Bhal	PKMK <sup>h</sup> VVPGGQ <sup>h</sup> S	<u>IG</u> VK <sup>h</sup> VNT <sup>h</sup> DG <sup>h</sup> VLV <sup>h</sup> V <sup>h</sup> GH <sup>h</sup> HLI <sup>h</sup> SENG		EKSPG <sup>h</sup> ELAG <sup>h</sup> IRV <sup>h</sup> GD <sup>h</sup> RIT <sup>h</sup> KL <sup>h</sup> ND <sup>h</sup> KEIQ <sup>h</sup> H	MDEV <sup>h</sup> GD <sup>h</sup> VV <sup>h</sup> QAA	GEGK <sup>h</sup> KPL <sup>h</sup> KVEI <sup>h</sup> RGD		
Cace	AKIE <sup>h</sup> VY <sup>h</sup> PGGQ <sup>h</sup> P	<u>IG</u> IK <sup>h</sup> LHT <sup>h</sup> KG <sup>h</sup> VLIV <sup>h</sup> GF <sup>h</sup> SDID <sup>h</sup> ASR <sup>h</sup> GRV <sup>h</sup> QSPAANS <sup>h</sup> GIQ <sup>h</sup> IG <sup>h</sup> DSIV <sup>h</sup> KV <sup>h</sup> NEEA <sup>h</sup> INT		ADEL <sup>h</sup> GE <sup>h</sup> KV <sup>h</sup> NKDS	TEK <sup>h</sup> VK <sup>h</sup> L <sup>h</sup> T <sup>h</sup> VER <sup>h</sup> KG			
		114            126		144    149    155		185		

C




FIG. 1. The SpoIVB PDZ domain. (A) Schematic diagram showing the position of the PDZ domain of SpoIVB (residues 102 to 187). The arrow indicates the site of the first self-cleavage reaction in the SpoIVB polypeptide. Also shown are the propeptide sequence, the region containing the serine peptidase domain, and a region thought to be involved in SpoIVB's putative second function (shaded box). (B) Structure-based sequence alignment of the putative PDZ domain in *B. subtilis* SpoIVB (accession no. P17896; residues 102 to 187; Sp4B, center) with four PDZ domains of known structure (above the Sp4B sequence) and four SpoIVB homologues (below the Sp4B sequence). Amino acid identity and similarity between the groups are indicated (h, hydrophobic; s, small; -, negative charge; +, positive charge). A consensus secondary structure ( $\alpha$ 1 and  $\alpha$ 2,  $\alpha$  helices;  $\beta$ A to -F,  $\beta$  strands) is given above the PDZ sequences. Note that all gaps and insertions required for maximal primary sequence alignment are placed outside of these structural elements. Residues known to contact ligands based on the structures of PDZ-peptide complexes are underlined. The positions of mutations in *B. subtilis* SpoIVB are indicated by arrows. These correspond to three positions that are conserved between the two groups (G114, D149, and N155) and three which are conserved within the SpoIVB group (G126, G144, and R185). Of the 286 PDZ domains identified by the Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (33), Gly114 is conserved in 266 sequences, Gly126 is conserved in 114, Gly144 is conserved in 156, Asp149 is conserved in 269, Asn155 is conserved in 209, and Arg185 is conserved in 37. Preliminary sequence data were obtained from The Institute for Genomic Research ([www.tigr.org](http://www.tigr.org)), the *B. stearrowthermophilus* Genome Sequencing Project at the University of Oklahoma ([www.genome.ou.edu](http://www.genome.ou.edu)), and Genome Therapeutics Corp. ([www.cric.com](http://www.cric.com)). Pdz1, brain postsynaptic density protein 95 (residues 312 to 397); Pdz2, rabbit  $\alpha$ -syntrophin (residues 80 to 164); Pdz3, neuronal nitric oxide synthase (residues 15 to 101); Pdz4, hCASK (residues 482 to 574). Bant, *B. anthracis*; Bste, *B. stearrowthermophilus*; Bhal, *B. halodurans* (BAB06494); Cace, *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. (C) Stereoscopic representation of the SpoIVB PDZ domain structure. A homology model of the *B. subtilis* SpoIVB PDZ domain was constructed based on the crystal structures of PDZ 1 to 4 (Protein Data Bank codes 1be9, 1qav, 1qau, and 1kwa; [7, 8, 14]) by using the program Modeller (29). The peptide-binding groove is between  $\beta$ B and  $\alpha$ 2, and the carboxylate-binding loop is between  $\beta$ A and  $\beta$ B. The positions of glycine residues 114, 144, and 126 are shown as yellow balls. The side chains of residues Asp149, Asn155, and Arg185 are drawn as ball-and-stick images and colored with carbon atoms in grey, nitrogen atoms in blue, and oxygen atoms in red. The image was drawn with Molscript (16).

TABLE 1. *B. subtilis* strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype	Construction or reference
NH573	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBND155</i>	pNH487 into SC1836
NH577	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::pDG364</i>	pDG364 into SC1836
NH578	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB<sup>+</sup></i>	pNH470 into SC1836
NH723	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc</i>	SC1836 into SC500
NH587	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144</i>	pNH534 into SC1836
NH685	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA114</i>	pNH674 into SC1836
NH687	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144/ND155</i>	pNH676 into SC1836
NH987	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA126</i>	pNH973 into SC1836
NH990	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBRK185</i>	pNH970 into SC1836
NH991	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBRH185</i>	pNH985 into SC1836
NH1001	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ114</i>	pNH977 into SC1836
NH1003	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ126</i>	pNH979 into SC1836
NH1005	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144</i>	pNH981 into SC1836
NH1007	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBND155</i>	pNH983 into SC1836
NH1042	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc</i>	SC1836 into PW71
NH1097	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB<sup>+</sup></i>	pNH470 into NH723
NH1099	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ114</i>	pNH977 into NH723
NH1101	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144</i>	pNH534 into NH723
NH1103	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBND155</i>	pNH487 into NH723
NH1105	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144/ND155</i>	pNH676 into NH723
NH1107	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBRK185</i>	pNH970 into NH723
NH1135	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBDN149</i>	pNH1134 into SC1836
NH1140	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB<sup>+</sup></i>	pNH470 into NH1042
NH1151	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBDN149</i>	pNH1134 into NH723
NH1210	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA126</i>	pNH973 into NH723
NH1212	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ126</i>	pNH979 into NH723
NH1214	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ144</i>	pNH534 into NH723
NH1216	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBND155</i>	pNH983 into NH723
NH1218	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBRH185</i>	pNH985 into NH723
NH1248	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::pDG364</i>	pDG364 into NH1042
NH1250	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA114</i>	pNH674 into NH1042
NH1252	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA126</i>	pNH973 into NH1042
NH1254	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144</i>	pNH534 into NH1042
NH1256	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBND155</i>	pNH487 into NH1042
NH1258	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA144/ND155</i>	pNH676 into NH1042
NH1260	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBRK185</i>	pNH970 into NH1042
NH1262	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ114</i>	pNH977 into NH1042
NH1264	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ126</i>	pNH979 into NH1042
NH1266	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGQ144</i>	pNH981 into NH1042
NH1268	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBDN149</i>	pNH1134 into NH1042
NH1270	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBND155</i>	pNH983 into NH1042
NH1272	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBRH185</i>	pNH985 into NH1042
NH1278	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBGA114</i>	pNH674 into NH723
PW71	<i>spoIIIGΔ1 bofCΔ::neo</i>	38
PY79	<i>spo<sup>+</sup></i>	39
SC433	<i>SPβ::gerE-lacZ</i>	4
SC500	<i>spoIIIGΔ1</i>	3
SC1836	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc</i>	20
SC2373	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc bofB8 amyE::spoIVBDN149</i>	This work

tein interaction (11, 38). Premature signaling is also prevented by two inhibitors, SpoIVFA and BofA, which are thought to maintain the SpoIVFB protease in an inactive state (5, 25, 27). The C termini of both of these inhibitors protrude into the space between the IFM and outer forespore membrane and could interact with SpoIVB (13).

Potentially, the PDZ domain of SpoIVB could be used for protein-protein interactions to control these events, by activating and targeting its peptidase function or providing surfaces to direct inhibition by binding to protein partners. In this work, we have used site-directed mutagenesis to analyze the function of the SpoIVB PDZ domain. The results suggest that the PDZ domain is involved in multiple roles, including autoproteolysis, interaction with BofC, and signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains.** The strains used in this work are listed in Table 1 and were all congenic with prototrophic *spo<sup>+</sup>* strain PY79. To construct lysogens of *SPβ::gerE-lacZ*, a phage lysate was prepared from strain SC433 and used for transduction of the appropriate recipient strain. For integration of DNA at the *amyE* locus, cells were transformed with linearized DNA. Strain constructions using DNA-mediated transformation are outlined briefly in Table 1. SC2373 was constructed by transformation of competent cells of SC2221 (*spoIVBΔ::spc bofB8*) with linearized pNH1134 (see pDG364-*spoIVBDN149* below) plasmid DNA, followed by selection for chloramphenicol resistance (*Cm<sup>r</sup>*; encoded by the pDG364 plasmid).

**General methods.** The general *Bacillus* methods used (transduction, transformation, antibiotic selection, etc.) were those described by Cutting and Vander-Horn (6). Sporulation was induced by the resuspension method (19). Determination of heat and lysozyme resistance and measurements of *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis were done as described previously (19).

TABLE 2. Mutations in the SpoIVB PDZ domain

Strain	Relevant allele	Heat <sup>a</sup>	Lys <sup>a</sup>	Ger <sup>b</sup>	Signaling <sup>c</sup>	Pro- $\sigma^K$ processing <sup>d</sup>	BofC <sup>e</sup>	Self-cleavage <sup>f</sup>
NH578	<i>spoIVB</i> <sup>+</sup>	70.3	92.2	+	+	+		+
NH577	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc</i>	0.0009	0.235	ND	–	–		
NH685	<i>spoIVBGA114</i>	53.2	97	+	+	+	–	+
NH987	<i>spoIVBGA126</i>	67.9	80	+	+	+	–	+
NH587	<i>spoIVBGA144</i>	59.7	79.6	+	Delayed	+	–	+
NH1135	<i>spoIVBDN149</i>	<0.001 <sup>g</sup>	0.261	ND	Delayed	(–)	–	Impaired
NH573	<i>spoIVBND155</i>	66.2	81.5	+	Delayed	+	–	+
NH990	<i>spoIVBRK185</i>	97.9	100	+	Delayed	+	–	+
NH687	<i>spoIVBGA144/ND155</i>	41.2	89	+	Delayed	+	–	+
NH1001	<i>spoIVBGQ114</i>	87.7	79.9	+	Delayed	Delayed	–	Impaired
NH1003	<i>spoIVBGQ126</i>	97.3	78	+	+	+	–	+
NH1005	<i>spoIVBGQ144</i>	91.9	100	+	+	+	+	+
NH1007	<i>spoIVBNY155</i>	76.2	63.8	+	+	+	–	+
NH991	<i>spoIVBRH185</i>	69.1	69.6	+	+	+	–	+

<sup>a</sup> Heat resistance (Heat<sup>+</sup>) or lysozyme resistance (Lys<sup>+</sup>) of cultures 24 h after the initiation of sporulation in DS medium. Values are expressed as the percentage of CFU per milliliter in the untreated culture. All values are averages of at least two independent experiments.

<sup>b</sup> Germination proficiency (Ger) was examined by using 7-day-old colonies grown on agar plates as described by Cutting and Vander-Horn (6). ND, not determined.

<sup>c</sup> Signaling was defined on the basis of two criteria: (i) formation of pigmented colonies (Pig<sup>+</sup>) associated with the production of the  $\sigma^K$ -expressed CotA protein (31) and (ii) expression of the  $\sigma^K$ -controlled reporter gene *gerE-lacZ* in cells containing an *SPβ::gerE-lacZ* lysogen as described previously (3).

<sup>d</sup> Pro- $\sigma^K$  processing (Fig. 3). In the case of *spoIVBDN149*, although processing could not be detected by immunoblotting, *gerE-lacZ* expression was detectable, indicating that at least some processing must occur, but at extremely low levels.

<sup>e</sup> Involved in interaction with the BofC protein (Fig. 5).

<sup>f</sup> Measurable defect in or impairment of SpoIVB autoproteolysis (Fig. 6).

<sup>g</sup> Temperature-sensitive phenotype (see Table 3).

**Site-specific mutagenesis.** Two oligonucleotide primers were used to amplify a 1,429-bp *spoIVB* product by PCR using chromosomal DNA from *B. subtilis* strain PY79 as a template. The primers used were P1 (5'-TTATGGATCCCGTGCA CATCCATTCGTTTC-3'), which annealed to nucleotides –146 to –127 from the *spoIVB* start codon, and P2 (5'-AACAAGCTTAGTCAGCTTGCTTTTCTTT TCC-3'), which annealed to the *spoIVB* stop codon (in bold) and a further 18 bases upstream. The PCR product carried either a *Bam*HI (P1) or a *Hind*III (P2) restriction site (underlined), enabling direct cloning into pBluescript II KS(+). The resultant clone, pNH252, was sequenced completely to verify the presence of an unmodified *spoIVB* cistron. Next, mutations were created with mismatch oligonucleotides by using the method of Kunkel as described by Sambrook et al. (30). In each pBluescript clone, the presence of a single amino acid change was verified by DNA sequencing. Finally, the *spoIVB* genes were subcloned as 1.4-kb *Hind*III-*Bam*HI fragments into pDG364 (6). pDG364 clones were pNH674 (*spoIVBGA114*), pNH973 (*spoIVBGA126*), pNH534 (*spoIVBGA144*), pNH1134 (*spoIVBDN149*), pNH487 (*spoIVBND155*), pNH676 (*spoIVBGA144/ND155*), NH970 (*spoIVBRK185*), NH977 (*spoIVBGQ114*), NH979 (*spoIVBGQ126*), NH981 (*spoIVBGA144*), NH983 (*spoIVBNY155*), and pNH985 (*spoIVBRH185*).

pDG364 enables insertion of cloned DNA, *in trans*, at the *amyE* locus by double-crossover marker replacement. In each case, we linearized the pDG364 subclones by digestion with *Xho*I and introduced them into SC1836 (*spoIVBΔ::spc*) cells by DNA-mediated transformation, followed by selection for Cm<sup>r</sup> (encoded by pDG364). Insertion at the *amyE* locus was confirmed by testing for an Amy<sup>–</sup> phenotype (failure to digest starch) as described elsewhere (6). Mutant strains had the genotype *spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB*. To make the *spoIVBGA144/ND155* double mutant, we first constructed the *spoIVBGA144* allele and then used the resultant mutant plasmid as a template to create a second *spoIVBND155* mutation.

We also constructed two isogenic control strains, NH578 (*spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB*<sup>+</sup>) and NH577 (*spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::pDG364*). NH578 was created by integrating a pDG364 subclone, pNH470, carrying the full-length, 1,429-bp, wild-type *spoIVB* gene into the *amyE* locus, and NH577 was created by integrating the unmodified pDG364 plasmid into the chromosome by a double-crossover recombinational event at *amyE*.

**Preparation of extracts for Western blotting.** Samples (1 ml) were taken from sporulating cultures, and cells were harvested by centrifugation and frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. To break the cells, pellets were suspended in 50 μl of TS buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 0.1 M NaCl) containing lysozyme (0.2 μg/ml) and incubated for 10 min on ice. A 50-μl volume of 2× sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) loading dye was then added, and the samples were sonicated for 10 s before gel loading (approximately 20 μl of sample per well).

**Western analysis.** Immunoblotting of sporulating extracts with polyclonal antiserum to pro- $\sigma^K$  or SpoIVB was done as described previously (13, 37).

## RESULTS

**Site-specific mutagenesis of the PDZ domain.** We used the alignment of bacterial PDZ domains, including SpoIVB, created by Pallen and Ponting (21) to identify key residues within the SpoIVB PDZ domain for site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 1B). These residues span the entire PDZ domain and represent three (Gly114, Asp149, and Asn155) which are conserved in almost all PDZ domains and Gly126, Gly144, and Arg185, which are conserved within SpoIVB homologues. Two types of amino acid alteration were made as shown in Table 2, i.e., semiconservative (*GAI14*, *GAI26*, *GAI44*, *DNI49*, *ND155*, and *RK185*) and nonconservative (*GQ114*, *GQ126*, *GQ144*, *NY155*, and *RH185*) changes. In addition, we constructed a double mutant carrying two changes, *GAI44* and *ND155*, that we refer to here as *spoIVBGA144/ND155*.

As described in Materials and Methods, the mutated *spoIVB* alleles were introduced at the *amyE* locus in cells carrying a *spoIVBΔ::spc* insertion-and-deletion mutation. By using appropriate *spoIVB* and *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> congenic controls, we examined spore-forming efficiency during sporulation (Table 2). Only one mutation, *spoIVBDN149*, substantially impaired the formation of heat-resistant and lysozyme-resistant spores. For the other alleles, which had no effect on spore formation, we examined the capacity of spores to germinate correctly since impaired activation of the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint has been shown, in some circumstances, to lead to the production of germination-defective spores. We found that, in each case (with the exception of *spoIVBDN149*), spores germinated normally.

Since the *spoIVBDN149* allele caused a direct impairment of signaling, we determined whether it is dominant or recessive. We integrated the *spoIVBDN149* gene at the *amyE* locus of *spo*<sup>+</sup> (strain PY79) cells. *In trans* at the *amyE* locus, we found that *spoIVBDN149* is recessive, with the merodiploid producing essentially (99%) the same amount of heat-resistant spores

TABLE 3. Temperature sensitivity<sup>a</sup> of the *spoIVBDN149* allele

Strain	Relevant genotype	37°C				30°C			
		Viable count (CFU/ml)	Heat <sup>r</sup> (CFU/ml)	% Spores	Signaling <sup>b</sup>	Viable count (CFU/ml)	Heat <sup>r</sup> (CFU/ml)	% Spores	Signaling <sup>b</sup>
NH578	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB<sup>+</sup></i>	$3.6 \times 10^8$	$2 \times 10^8$	55	+	$1.22 \times 10^8$	$9.2 \times 10^7$	75.4	+
NH577	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::pDG364</i>	$9.50 \times 10^7$	$1 \times 10^1$	$1.05 \times 10^{-5}$	–	$1.03 \times 10^8$	$1 \times 10^1$	$9.7 \times 10^{-6}$	–
NH1135	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBDN149</i>	$5.4 \times 10^7$	$4.9 \times 10^2$	$9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	+ (delayed)	$6.2 \times 10^7$	$2.2 \times 10^6$	3.5	+
SC2373	<i>spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBDN149 bofB8</i>	$3.2 \times 10^7$	$4.7 \times 10^2$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	+ (premature)	$2.9 \times 10^7$	$1.2 \times 10^5$	0.45	+

<sup>a</sup> Sporulation was induced by the resuspension method at 30 or 37°C. Samples were taken at 24 h (37°C) or 34 h (30°C) and measured for heat resistance (Heat<sup>r</sup>). Values are expressed as the percentage of CFU per milliliter in the untreated culture. All values are averages of at least two independent experiments.

<sup>b</sup> Signaling in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint, representing (i) *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis in liquid medium or on agar plates, (ii) pigmented colonies due to synthesis of the CotA spore coat protein, and/or (iii) immunoblotting of cell extracts with anti-pro- $\sigma^K$  serum.

(data not shown) as a control *spo<sup>+</sup>* strain (NH578 *spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVB<sup>+</sup>*).

We also examined whether the *spoIVBDN149* mutation is involved in both of SpoIVB's sporulation-specific functions, i.e., signaling in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint and the development of heat resistance through a  $\sigma^K$ -independent process (20). This can be established by engineering *spoIVBDN149* cells to express active  $\sigma^K$  constitutively and determine whether heat-resistant spores develop. If so, then the *spoIVBDN149* mutation only affects signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing. Accordingly, we constructed a strain (SC2373 *amyE::spoIVBDN149 spoIVBΔ::spc bofB8*) carrying both the *bofB8* and *spoIVBDN149* alleles. In these cells, the *bofB8* suppressor mutation renders the pro- $\sigma^K$  processing enzyme, SpoIVFB, constitutively active. We found that in SC2373 cells, spore formation was blocked at stage IV-V with the production of phase grey spores. Phenotypically, then, spore formation had advanced, which is attributed to the premature synthesis and assembly of spore coat proteins onto the forespore, leading to the production of phase grey spores and referred to as the Bof phenotype, in contrast to a SpoIVB null phenotype, where stable phase grey spores are not produced (3). However, heat-resistant, phase bright spores were not formed at 37°C (Table 3). Failure to restore spore formation demonstrated that the *spoIVBDN149* mutation disrupts both functions. As described below, the *DNI49* allele is temperature sensitive, so we also examined the phenotype of SC2373 at 30°C (Table 3). We found that at this permissive temperature, signaling in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint was restored in SC2373 cells, which was confirmed by the presence of phase grey stage IV-V spores and normal *gerE-lacZ* expression. However, 10 times fewer spores were produced than in cells carrying only the *spoIVBDN149* allele. This phenomenon has been observed before and is due to premature signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing, which results in a 10-fold reduction in spore-forming efficiency (3).

**Effects of PDZ domain mutations on signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing.** To examine the effects of PDZ mutations on signaling in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint, we used two methods: first, expression of a  $\sigma^K$ -controlled gene, *gerE*, and second, proteolytic processing of pro- $\sigma^K$  during spore formation. In the first approach, cells carrying the *spoIVB* PDZ allele at the *amyE* locus were lysogenized with the bacteriophage *SPβ::gerE-lacZ*. Cells carrying this reporter gene were induced to sporulate by the resuspension method, and *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis was measured during spore formation. Our results are

summarized in Table 2, and representative profiles of *gerE-lacZ* expression are given in Fig. 2A to D. We found that four of the mutations in the PDZ domain, *GAI44* (Fig. 2A), *ND155* (Fig. 2B), *RK185* (Fig. 2B), and *GQ114* (Fig. 2C), as well as the double mutation *GAI44/ND155* (Fig. 2B), produced a modest yet reproducible delay in *gerE-lacZ* expression. We have repeated these experiments at least three times and found a delay of 20 to 30 min, together with a partial reduction in the level of *gerE* expression. Finally, the *spoIVBDN149* allele produced strong impairment of *gerE-lacZ* expression (Fig. 2B), which was consistent with the block in spore formation observed with this mutant. Careful analysis of the profile of *gerE-lacZ* expression suggested that reduced levels (although higher than that of the *spoIVB* null mutant) were being produced but *gerE-lacZ* expression initiated 2 h later than in wild-type cells and peaked at 8 to 9 h instead of 6 to 7 h (Fig. 2D). We also probed wild-type and mutant sporulating cultures for active  $\sigma^K$  and inactive  $\sigma^K$  (pro- $\sigma^K$ ) by using a polyclonal antiserum to pro- $\sigma^K$  (Fig. 3A and B). Two PDZ alleles produced a noticeable effect on  $\sigma^K$  processing. *spoIVBGQ114* produced a marked delay in pro- $\sigma^K$  processing of approximately 20 to 30 min (Fig. 3A), and in a *spoIVBDN149* mutant, we could not detect any processing of pro- $\sigma^K$  even at the eighth hour of spore formation (Fig. 3B). Since we have shown that *gerE* is expressed, albeit at a later time and at reduced levels, in the *spoIVBDN149* mutant, we assume that extremely low levels of  $\sigma^K$  are being produced by proteolysis of pro- $\sigma^K$  but at levels undetectable by immunoblotting. That *gerE* could be transcribed by very low threshold levels of  $\sigma^K$ -RNAP has been proposed before for  $\sigma^K$ -controlled genes (18) and is consistent with the SpoIVBDN149 phenotype.

We constructed additional strains in which the *bofCΔ::meo* mutation was introduced into *spoIIIGΔ1 spoIVBΔ::spc amyE::spoIVBPDZ* cells. In these cells, low levels of SpoIVB would be synthesized due to  $\sigma^F$ -controlled gene expression (12). Normally, wild-type SpoIVB cannot signal under these conditions due to the BofC inhibition. However, with BofC absent, we would expect delayed activation of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing and  $\sigma^K$ -directed gene expression, as has been shown previously (11, 38).

Strains were lysogenized with *SPβ::gerE-lacZ*, sporulation was induced, and *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis was determined (Fig. 4A to C). In cells carrying a wild-type *spoIVB* gene at the *amyE* locus (strain NH1140), *gerE* expression commenced at 6 h, reaching a maximum at 10 to 11 h, while in cells




FIG. 2.  $\sigma^K$ -directed gene expression in *spoIVB* PDZ mutants.  $\beta$ -Galactosidase synthesis was measured at the indicated times following the initiation of sporulation in cells carrying an *SP $\beta$ gerE::lacZ* reporter. In each case, congenic strains were used and the relevant alleles (at the *amyE* locus) are given here (Table 1 contains the complete genotypes). (A) NH578, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH577, *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc* (○); NH685, *spoIVBGA114* ( $\Delta$ ); NH987, *spoIVBGA126* ( $\blacktriangle$ ); NH587, *spoIVBGA144* ( $\blacklozenge$ ). (B) NH578, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH577, *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc* (○); NH1135, *spoIVBDN149* ( $\Delta$ ); NH573, *spoIVBND155* ( $\blacktriangle$ ); NH687, *spoIVBGA144/ND155* ( $\blacklozenge$ ); NH990, *spoIVBRK185* ( $\square$ ). (C) NH578, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH577, *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc* (○); NH1001, *spoIVBGQ114* ( $\Delta$ ); NH1003, *spoIVBGQ126* ( $\blacktriangle$ ); NH1005, *spoIVBGQ144* ( $\square$ ); NH1007, *spoIVBNY155* ( $\blacksquare$ ); NH991, *spoIVBRH185* ( $\blacklozenge$ ). (D) NH578, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH577, *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc* (○); NH1135, *spoIVBDN149* ( $\square$ ). Background levels of *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis present in cells containing no reporter have been subtracted.

devoid of an intact *spoIVB* gene (strain NH1248), no *gerE* expression was detected. We found essentially no detectable *gerE* expression in cells carrying the *spoIVBDN149* (Fig. 4C) and *spoIVBGQ114* (Fig. 4C) alleles. In strains carrying the *spoIVBGA144* (Fig. 4A), *spoIVBRK185* (Fig. 4B), *spoIVBGQ126* (Fig. 4C), *spoIVBNY155* (Fig. 4C), and *spoIVBRH185* (Fig. 4C) alleles, as well as the double mutation *spoIVBGA144/ND155* (Fig. 4B), *gerE-lacZ* expression was clearly delayed and reduced. Both of the experiments outlined above (Fig. 3 and 4) show that the PDZ mutations were interfering with signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing.

#### Temperature-sensitive nature of the *spoIVBDN149* allele.

When grown on sporulation agar plates at 37°C, *spoIVBDN149*

mutant cells (NH1135) were Spo<sup>-</sup> and indistinguishable from *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc* cells (NH577), producing low levels of phase grey spores which were not released from the mother cell. However, prolonged incubation of these plates at room temperature revealed a low number of phase bright spores (Spo<sup>+</sup>) which were released from the sporangial cell. This suggested that the *spoIVBDN149* mutation could be temperature sensitive. To test this, we induced sporulation in *spo*<sup>+</sup>, *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc*, and *spoIVBDN149* cells at 30 and 37°C by the resuspension method. Samples were removed at 34 and 24 h from the 30 and 37°C cultures, respectively, and the numbers of heat-resistant spores (65°C, 45 min) were determined. The different assay times were chosen because spore formation at 30°C is slower at




FIG. 3. Pro- $\sigma^K$  processing during spore formation. Sporulation was induced in wild-type and mutant cells, and at the indicated times, samples were removed, cell extracts were prepared, and proteins were size fractionated by SDS-12% PAGE and then probed with a polyclonal antiserum to pro- $\sigma^K$ . Panels A and B show 10-min time points taken between 3 h and 4 h 10 min for cells carrying semiconservative (A) or nonconservative (B) changes in the *spoIVB* PDZ domain. The strains used were NH578 (*spoIVB*<sup>+</sup>), NH577 (*spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc*), NH685 (*spoIVBGA114*), NH987 (*spoIVBGA126*), NH587 (*spoIVBGA144*), NH573 (*spoIVBND155*), NH990 (*spoIVBRK185*), NH687 (*spoIVBGA144/ND155*), NH1001 (*spoIVBGQ114*), NH1003 (*spoIVBGQ126*), NH1005 (*spoIVBGQ144*), NH1007 (*spoIVBNY155*), and NH991 (*spoIVBRH185*). Panel C shows a similar immunoblot but using 30- or 60-min sampling between 3 and 8.5 h for *spoIVB*, *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc*, and *spoIVBDN149* (NH1135) cells. In each panel, the onset of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing is indicated by an arrow.

the lower temperature. As shown in Table 3, we found that at 30°C, approximately 3.5% of the culture consisted of heat-resistant spores. Moreover, this was almost 4,000-fold more than were present when sporulation was induced at 37°C. Since the number of spores was unaffected in the *spoIVB* $\Delta$ ::*spc* mutant, these results show that the *spoIVBDN149* allele is temperature sensitive.

**Genetic evidence that the PDZ domain can interact with BofC.** If the PDZ domain is involved in interaction with the BofC inhibitor, then mutations in the PDZ domain may release SpoIVB from BofC's inhibitory action. To address this possibility, we constructed strains carrying the *spoIIIG* $\Delta$ 1 mutation, the wild-type or mutant *spoIVB* gene at the *amyE* locus, and (to monitor  $\sigma^K$  activity) the reporter phage *SP* $\beta$ ::*gerE*-

*lacZ*. These cells were induced to sporulate, and *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis was measured. We found that cells carrying the *spoIVBGQ144* allele at the *amyE* locus allowed measurable levels of *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis with expression beginning at 6 h (Fig. 5). With all other mutants, we observed no effect on *gerE-lacZ* expression (not shown), although, as an example, expression in cells carrying *spoIVBGA114* is shown in Fig. 5. As an internal control, we also measured *gerE* expression in *spoIIIG* $\Delta$ 1 cells carrying the *bofC* deletion-and-insertion mutation *bofC* $\Delta$ ::*neo*. In *spoIIIG* $\Delta$ 1 *bofC* $\Delta$ ::*neo* cells, expression of *gerE* commenced at 6 h, reaching maximum levels at 11 h, which was similar to the result obtained with *spoIIIG* $\Delta$ 1 *amyE*::*spoIVBGQ144* cells, although the maximum levels of *gerE* expression were higher. Delayed expression of *gerE-lacZ* in a *bofC* mutant is thought to occur because of the low levels of SpoIVB produced in this mutant, where *spoIVB* is transcribed under  $\sigma^F$  control and must therefore accumulate to sufficient levels in order to signal (38). The simplest explanation for these results is that the *GQ144* allele affects the interaction of SpoIVB with BofC.

**Autoproteolysis of SpoIVB in PDZ mutants.** The *spoIVBDN149* and *spoIVBGQ114* mutations produced substantial effects on signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing. One possible explanation for their phenotype is that in these PDZ mutants, processing of SpoIVB was perturbed, leading to a consequential effect on signaling. Such an explanation implies that the PDZ domain is important for autoproteolysis of SpoIVB instead of, or in addition to, having a direct role in signaling by protein-protein interaction. To address this possibility, we examined the proteolysis of SpoIVB in sporulating cells. Figure 6 shows Western blots of sporulating cell samples taken from *spo*<sup>+</sup> cells (NH578) and cells carrying the *spoIVBGQ114* (NH1001) and *spoIVBDN149* (NH1135) mutations. In *spo*<sup>+</sup> cells, SpoIVB is synthesized as a 50-kDa protein which is subject to rapid autoproteolysis beginning at about 3 h. Self-cleavage yields intermediate-size products of 46, 45, and 44 kDa, but these forms are rapidly inactivated by secondary cleavage to yield 42- and 40-kDa products. The 46-, 45-, and 44-kDa species are thought to be the active forms of SpoIVB, and these are seen only intermittently during spore formation while the 42- and 40-kDa forms accumulate. Our blots showed that in *spoIVBGQ114* cells there appeared less of the intermediate and presumably active forms of SpoIVB (the 46-, 45-, and 44-kDa forms). In addition, the 50-kDa, full-length form of SpoIVB appeared to be more stable, unlike in wild-type cells, where the 50-kDa form was gradually processed. While this is a small difference, it was reproducible and suggests that processing of SpoIVB was impaired or reduced compared with that in wild-type cells. In *spoIVBDN149* cells, the 50-kDa form of SpoIVB persisted longer during the time course we examined, suggesting that SpoIVB was being processed less efficiently.

We have shown *GQ144* to be important for PDZ interaction with BofC, so we also examined SpoIVB autoproteolysis in this mutant and found little, if any, effect on SpoIVB cleavage, although some retardation of the cleavage of the intermediate forms of SpoIVB was apparent. We have also examined all of the other PDZ alleles (data not shown) and detected no significant effect on autoproteolysis or on the levels of intermediate forms.




FIG. 4. Effects of PDZ mutations on  $\sigma^K$ -directed gene expression when *spoIVB* is expressed prematurely.  $\beta$ -Galactosidase synthesis was measured at the indicated times following the initiation of sporulation in cells lysogenized with *SP $\beta$ ::gerE-lacZ*. Cells carried both *spoIIIG $\Delta$ 1* and *bofC $\Delta$ ::neo*, allowing signaling in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint. In addition, cells carried the following *spoIVB* alleles at the *amyE* locus. (A) NH1140, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH1248, *spoIVB*<sup>-</sup> (○); NH1250, *spoIVBGA114* (■); NH1252, *spoIVBGA126* (□); NH1254, *spoIVBGA144* (△). (B) NH1140, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH1248, *spoIVB*<sup>-</sup> (○); NH1256, *spoIVBND155* (■); NH1258, *spoIVBGA144/ND155* (□); NH1260, *spoIVBRK185* (▲); NH1268, *spoIVBDN149* (△). (C) NH1140, *spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (●); NH1248, *spoIVB*<sup>-</sup> (○); NH1262, *spoIVBGQ114* (■); NH1264, *spoIVBGQ126* (□); NH1266, *spoIVBGQ144* (△); NH1270, *spoIVBNY155* (▲); NH1272, *spoIVBRH185* (◇). Background levels of *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis present in cells containing no reporter have been subtracted.

## DISCUSSION

SpoIVB has been extensively studied primarily because it is the signal which activates pro- $\sigma^K$  processing at the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint (2, 10, 12, 20, 37). This protein has recently been shown to be a serine peptidase (37) and so resembles the Prc and HtrA serine peptidases, which carry both a PDZ and a serine peptidase domain (15, 22). In work to be published elsewhere (N. T. Hoa, J. A. Brannigan, and S. M. Cutting, unpublished data), we have shown that the catalytic triad for the SpoIVB serine peptidase is confined to the C terminus and is downstream of the PDZ domain (Fig. 1A).

SpoIVB is synthesized in the forespore and is secreted across the IFM. Since this protein lacks a normal signal sequence, it is probably not released by signal peptide cleavage from the membrane and, instead, releases itself by self-cleavage, which can occur in *trans*. At the time when SpoIVB is synthesized, the BofC protein is made in the forespore and is secreted across the IFM. Unlike SpoIVB, BofC carries a typical secretory signal sequence that is cleavable by a signal peptidase. BofC has been shown to inhibit autoprolysis of SpoIVB by stabilizing SpoIVB in an inactive form (38). BofC is only important at stage II of development and provides a mechanism by which to ensure that any inadvertent transcription of the *spoIVB* gene does not lead to premature signaling (38). When proteolytically active, SpoIVB signals two distinct events. The first is processing of pro- $\sigma^K$ , and the second is an unidentified process, termed the second function, which leads to the formation of heat-resistant spores (20). The second function was illuminated in genetic experiments in which bypassing of the requirement of SpoIVB for processing

of pro- $\sigma^K$  did not restore heat resistance, implying that SpoIVB must, therefore, have two distinct roles. We can assign four processes to SpoIVB, each of which could involve protein-protein interaction: (i) autoprolysis, (ii) interaction with the




FIG. 5. Effects of PDZ mutations on  $\sigma^K$ -directed gene expression in the absence of  $\sigma^G$ .  $\beta$ -Galactosidase synthesis was measured at the indicated times following the initiation of sporulation in cells lysogenized with *SP $\beta$ ::gerE-lacZ*. Cells carried a *spoIIIG $\Delta$ 1* mutation, as well as (i) a wild-type or modified *spoIVB* gene carried at the *amyE* locus or (ii) a *bofC $\Delta$ ::neo* insertional mutation, as indicated (Table 1 contains the complete genotypes). PW71, *spoIIIG $\Delta$ 1 bofC $\Delta$ ::neo* (●); NH1097, *spoIIIG $\Delta$ 1 spoIVB*<sup>+</sup> (○); NH1278, *spoIIIG $\Delta$ 1 spoIVBGA114* (△). Background levels of *gerE*-directed  $\beta$ -galactosidase synthesis present in cells containing no reporter have been subtracted.




FIG. 6. Autoproteolysis of SpoIVB in PDZ domain mutants. Sporulation was induced in NH578 (wild type [WT]; *spo*<sup>+</sup>), NH1001 (GQ114; *spoIVBGQ114*), NH1005 (GQ144; *spoIVBGQ144*), and NH1135 (DN149; *spoIVBDN149*) cells, and samples were taken every 10 min. Samples were fractionated by SDS-12% PAGE and examined with a polyclonal antiserum to SpoIVB (2-min enhanced-chemiluminescence exposure time). The full-length, 50-kDa, unprocessed form of SpoIVB is marked, as are 46-, 45-, and 44-kDa intermediate SpoIVB cleavage products and the 42- and 40-kDa cleavage products produced by secondary cleavage.

BofC protein, (iii) signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing, and (iv) signaling of the second function. Our work has shown that the PDZ domain could be used in all four of these putative interactions.

Our results have shown that most changes in the PDZ domain produced only slight phenotype changes. In part, this was expected due to the size of the PDZ domain. However, we have revealed the importance of the Asp149 and Gly114 residues in the PDZ domain. These residues correspond to the most highly conserved positions within PDZ sequences. Gly114 in the motif h-G-h is important for maintaining the conformation of the carboxylate-binding loop. Interactions with a substrate carboxylate are mediated by backbone amides from the loop, and so the amino acid residue side chains can vary significantly. Substitutions for glycine in such a structural role are acceptable; however, there are energetic penalties, as the introduced amino acid must take up unfavorable conformations to preserve the architecture. In the case of the SpoIVB PDZ, we assume that the smaller Ala side chain is more easily accommodated than a glutamine. The most drastic effects are produced by mutation of Asp149. It is likely that alterations at this position lead to significant changes in the PDZ structure, and the pleiotropic nature of DN149 mutants suggests that the PDZ domain of SpoIVB is important to all of the functions tested. In some crystal structures of PDZ domains, Asp149 forms a salt bridge between  $\beta$  strands A and D (8). The temperature-sensitive phenotype of a *spoIVBDN149* mutant (see below) indicates that there may be a similar structural role for Asp149 in SpoIVB.

Interestingly, the *spoIVBDN149* allele has been isolated previously, in a classical genetic screen for new *spoIVB* alleles (20). Characterization of this allele, known as *spoIVB57*, differed somewhat from our results described here. Specifically, the *spoIVB57* strain was found to allow very low levels of  $\sigma^K$ -directed gene expression and higher levels of heat-resistant

phase bright spores (1.2%) at 37°C. In contrast, our work shown here demonstrated a much lower level of spore formation (<0.0001%) and moderate-to-low levels of *gerE-lacZ* expression. We have no immediate explanation for these results, although the *spoIVB57* mutant was analyzed by inducing spore formation by the exhaustion method (using DS medium [19]), whereas here we used the resuspension method. Although it is an unsatisfactory explanation, we now know that the *spoIVBDN149* allele is temperature sensitive and we cannot be sure that these two studies were performed under identical conditions.

Possibly, the most important discovery in this work is that proteolysis of SpoIVB was defective in the *spoIVBDN149* and *spoIVBGQ114* mutants. Self-cleavage of SpoIVB still occurred in these mutants but at a reduced rate. This provides strong support for the hypothesis that the PDZ domain is used for self-cleavage. That there are other families of prokaryotic serine peptidases (e.g., the Prc and HtrA serine peptidases) with PDZ domains makes it likely that the PDZ domain has been exploited by bacterial proteases for substrate recognition. We cannot predict how SpoIVB would recognize itself at this stage, although head-to-tail oligomerization has been proposed for some PDZ-PDZ interactions (14). Another important finding is that the *spoIVBDN149* allele is temperature sensitive, which suggests a weaker interaction more easily disrupted at the higher temperature. Moreover, even at the restrictive temperature, signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing occurred, although this was at levels undetectable by immunoblotting. We can conclude that since, after a pronounced delay, *gerE-lacZ* expression reached 50% of the wild-type level, then expression of *gerE* must be susceptible to a very low threshold level of active  $\sigma^K$ , an observation which has been made before, i.e., that some  $\sigma^K$ -controlled genes require different levels of  $\sigma^K$  for expression (18). This is supported by the *GQ114* allele, where the defect in SpoIVB autoproteolysis was less severe than in the DN149 mutant, producing a delay in pro- $\sigma^K$  processing of 30 min. An important question is whether the defective signaling is due to impaired PDZ-mediated interaction of SpoIVB with one or more components of the pro- $\sigma^K$  processing complex (SpoIVFA, BofA, or SpoIVFB) or whether a simple reduction in active SpoIVB cleavage products is required for signaling. In the first model, the PDZ domain is required specifically for targeting of SpoIVB to the pro- $\sigma^K$  processing complex in the outer forespore membrane, while in the second model, the PDZ domain would be required only to enable SpoIVB self-cleavage and the generation of cleavage products which signal by a different mechanism. Our work does not provide direct evidence that SpoIVB uses its PDZ domain for signaling in the  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint or signaling of the second function, although it is attractive to propose this. The simplest way in which a PDZ domain would achieve this is to interact specifically with C-terminal motifs. However, we are unable to identify any known PDZ-binding sequences at the C termini of SpoIVFA, BofA, SpoIVFB, or, indeed, SpoIVB.

A further reason for speculating that the PDZ domain is involved in other interactions (other than self-cleavage) is our genetic evidence that the PDZ domain interacts with BofC. This was revealed by the *GQ144* allele, which permitted substantial levels of *gerE-lacZ* expression under conditions in which the BofC protein inhibits SpoIVB-mediated signaling.

This confirms our previous report, in which we suggested that SpoIVB and BofC interact (38). Again, we have failed to identify any potential PDZ recognition motif within BofC, which suggests that the SpoIVB recognition sequence represents a new class of motif. Since our work shows interaction of the SpoIVB PDZ domain with BofC, as well as with itself, it seems reasonable to predict that this domain is involved in other SpoIVB-mediated interactions, such as signaling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing and the second function.

Our work has revealed that the SpoIVB PDZ domain is involved in at least two, if not more, distinct partner interactions. Unlike other multivalent PDZ-domain proteins, though, SpoIVB appears to use a single motif to interact with a number of target proteins. Some single PDZ domains mediate a number of interactions, which supports the multiple roles proposed for SpoIVB's PDZ domain. For instance, PDZ2 of the 95-kDa postsynaptic density protein can heterodimerize with neuronal nitric oxide synthase or  $\alpha$ -syntrophin and interact with the C terminus of the Shaker-type potassium ion channel Kv1.4. Single mutations in PDZ2 can alter the specificity and affinity of one interaction without affecting the other (9). One plausible model is that the level of SpoIVB would dictate with which protein SpoIVB could interact, and indeed, we have proposed previously that the level of SpoIVB is important for escaping inhibition by the BofC protein (38).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tony Wilkinson and Phil Wakeley for help and advice.

This work was supported by a grant from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) to S.M.C. J.A.B. is supported by the BBSRC-funded Structural Biology Centre at York.

#### REFERENCES

- Beebe, K. D., J. Shin, J. Peng, C. Chaudhury, J. Khera, and D. Pei. 2000. Substrate recognition through a PDZ domain in tail-specific protease. *Biochemistry* **39**:3149–3155.
- Cutting, S., A. Driks, R. Schmidt, B. Kunkel, and R. Losick. 1991. Forespore-specific transcription of a gene in the signal transduction pathway that governs pro- $\sigma^K$  processing in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Genes Dev.* **5**:456–466.
- Cutting, S., V. Oke, A. Driks, R. Losick, S. Lu, and L. Kroos. 1990. A forespore checkpoint for mother cell gene expression during development in *B. subtilis*. *Cell* **62**:239–250.
- Cutting, S., S. Panzer, and R. Losick. 1989. Regulatory studies on the promoter for a gene governing synthesis and assembly of the spore coat in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **207**:393–404.
- Cutting, S., S. Roels, and R. Losick. 1991. Sporulation operon *spoIVF* and the characterization of mutations that uncouple mother-cell from forespore gene expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **221**:1237–1256.
- Cutting, S. M., and P. B. Vander-Horn. 1990. Genetic analysis, p. 27–74. *In* C. R. Harwood and S. M. Cutting (ed.), *Molecular biological methods for Bacillus*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, England.
- Daniels, D. L., A. R. Cohen, J. M. Anderson, and A. T. Brunger. 1998. Crystal structure of the hCASK PDZ domain reveals the structural basis of class II PDZ domain target recognition. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **5**:317–325.
- Doyle, D. A., A. Lee, J. Lewis, E. Kim, M. Sheng, and R. MacKinnon. 1996. Crystal structures of a complexed and peptide-free membrane protein-binding domain: molecular basis of peptide recognition by PDZ. *Cell* **85**:1067–1076.
- Gee, S. H., S. Quenneville, C. R. Lombardo, and J. Chabot. 2000. Single-amino acid substitutions alter the specificity and affinity of PDZ domains for their ligands. *Biochemistry* **39**:14638–14646.
- Gomez, M., S. Cutting, and P. Stragier. 1995. Transcription of *spoIVB* is the only role of  $\sigma^G$  that is essential for pro- $\sigma^K$  processing during spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**:4825–4827.
- Gomez, M., and S. M. Cutting. 1997. *bofC* encodes a putative forespore regulator of the *Bacillus subtilis*  $\sigma^K$  checkpoint. *Microbiology* **143**:157–170.
- Gomez, M., and S. M. Cutting. 1996. Expression of the *Bacillus subtilis* *spoIVB* gene is under dual  $\sigma^F/\sigma^G$  control. *Microbiology* **142**:3453–3457.
- Green, D. H., and S. M. Cutting. 2000. Membrane topology of the *Bacillus subtilis* pro- $\sigma^K$  processing complex. *J. Bacteriol.* **182**:278–285.
- Hillier, B. J., K. S. Christopherson, K. E. Prehoda, D. S. Brett, and W. A. Lim. 1999. Unexpected modes of PDZ domain scaffolding revealed by structure of nNOS-syntrophin complex. *Science* **284**:812–815.
- Keiler, K. C., P. R. Waller, and R. T. Sauer. 1996. Role of a peptide tagging system in degradation of proteins synthesised from damaged messenger RNA. *Science* **271**:990–993.
- Kraulis, P. J. 1991. Molscript: a program to produce both detailed and schematic plots of protein structure. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **24**:946–950.
- Lewis, A. P., and P. J. Thomas. 1999. A novel clan of zinc-metalloproteases with possible intramembrane cleavage properties. *Protein Sci.* **8**:439–442.
- Lu, S., and L. Kroos. 1994. Overproducing the *Bacillus subtilis* mother cell sigma factor precursor, pro- $\sigma^K$ , uncouples  $\sigma^K$ -dependent gene expression from dependence on intercompartmental communication. *J. Bacteriol.* **176**:3936–3943.
- Nicholson, W. L., and P. Setlow. 1990. Sporulation, germination and outgrowth, p. 391–450. *In* C. R. Harwood and S. M. Cutting (ed.), *Molecular biological methods for Bacillus*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, United Kingdom.
- Oke, V., M. Shchepetov, and S. Cutting. 1997. SpoIVB has two distinct functions during spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **23**:223–230.
- Pallen, M. J., and C. P. Ponting. 1997. PDZ domains in bacterial proteins. *Mol. Microbiol.* **26**:411–415.
- Pallen, M. J., and B. W. Wren. 1997. The HtrA family of serine proteases. *Mol. Microbiol.* **26**:209–221.
- Ponting, C. P. 1997. Evidence for PDZ domains in bacteria, yeast, and plants. *Protein Sci.* **6**:464–468.
- Ponting, C. P., C. Philips, K. E. Davies, and D. J. Blake. 1997. PDZ domains: targeting signalling molecules to sub-membraneous sites. *Bioessays* **19**:469–479.
- Resnekov, O. 1999. Role of the sporulation protein BofA in regulating activation of the *Bacillus subtilis* developmental transcription factor  $\sigma^K$ . *J. Bacteriol.* **181**:5384–5388.
- Resnekov, O., S. Alper, and R. Losick. 1996. Subcellular localization of proteins governing the proteolytic activation of a developmental transcription factor in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Genes Cells* **1**:529–542.
- Resnekov, O., and R. Losick. 1998. Negative regulation of the proteolytic activation of a transcription factor in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**:3162–3167.
- Rudner, D. Z., P. Fawcett, and R. Losick. 1999. A family of membrane-embedded metalloproteases involved in regulated proteolysis of membrane-associated transcription factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**:14765–14770.
- Sali, A., and T. L. Blundell. 1993. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. *J. Mol. Biol.* **234**:779–815.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Sandman, K., L. Kroos, S. Cutting, P. Youngman, and R. Losick. 1988. Identification of the promoter for a spore coat protein gene in *Bacillus subtilis* and studies on the regulation of its induction at a late stage of sporulation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **200**:461–473.
- Schneider, S., M. Buchert, O. Georgiev, B. Catimel, M. Halford, S. A. Stackler, T. Baechli, K. Moelling, and C. M. Hovens. 1999. Mutagenesis and selection of PDZ domains that bind new protein targets. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **17**:170–175.
- Schultz, J., R. R. Copley, T. Doerks, C. P. Ponting, and P. Bork. 2000. SMART: a web-based tool for the study of genetically mobile domains. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**:231–234.
- Songyang, Z., A. S. Fanning, C. Fu, J. Xu, S. M. Marfatia, A. H. Chishti, A. Crompton, A. C. Chan, J. M. Anderson, and L. C. Cantley. 1997. Recognition of unique carboxyl-terminal motifs by distinct PDZ domains. *Science* **275**:73–77.
- Stricker, N. L., K. S. Christopherson, A. Y. Byungdo, P. J. Schatz, R. W. Raab, G. Dawes, D. E. Bassett, D. S. Brett, and M. Li. 1997. PDZ domain of neuronal nitric oxide synthase recognises novel C-terminal peptide sequences. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **15**:336–342.
- Tsunoda, S., J. Sierralta, Y. Sun, E. Suzuki, A. Becker, M. Socolich, and C. S. Zuker. 1997. A multivalent PDZ-domain protein assembles signalling complexes in a G-protein-coupled cascade. *Nature* **388**:243–249.
- Wakeley, P., R. Dorazi, N. T. Hoa, J. R. Bowyer, and S. M. Cutting. 2000. Proteolysis of SpoIVB is a critical determinant in signalling of pro- $\sigma^K$  processing in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **36**:1336–1348.
- Wakeley, P., N. T. Hoa, and S. M. Cutting. 2000. BofC negatively regulates SpoIVB-mediated signalling in the *B. subtilis*  $\sigma^K$ -checkpoint. *Mol. Microbiol.* **36**:1415–1424.
- Youngman, P., J. Perkins, and R. Losick. 1984. Construction of a cloning site near one end of Tn917 into which foreign DNA may be inserted without affecting transposition in *Bacillus subtilis* or expression of the transposon-borne *erm* gene. *Plasmid* **12**:1–9.
- Zhang, B., A. Hofmeister, and L. Kroos. 1998. The prosequence of pro- $\sigma^K$  promotes membrane association and inhibits RNA polymerase core binding. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**:2434–2441.