



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/2149/>

Monograph:

Liu, R. (1994) DRACULA Microscopic Traffic Simulator. Working Paper. Institute of Transport Studies, University of Leeds , Leeds, UK.

Working Paper 431

Reuse

See Attached

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



White Rose Research Online

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

ITS

[Institute of Transport Studies](#)

University of Leeds

This is an ITS Working Paper produced and published by the University of Leeds. ITS Working Papers are intended to provide information and encourage discussion on a topic in advance of formal publication. They represent only the views of the authors, and do not necessarily reflect the views or approval of the sponsors.

White Rose Repository URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/2149/>

Published paper

Liu, R. (1994) *DRACULA Microscopic Traffic Simulator*. Institute of Transport Studies, University of Leeds. Working Paper 431

Working Paper 431

December 1994

DRACULA MICROSCOPIC TRAFFIC SIMULATOR

R Liu

ITS Working Papers are intended to provide information and encourage discussion on a topic in advance of formal publication. They represent only the views of the authors, and do not necessarily reflect the views or approval of the sponsors.

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS
Institute for Transport Studies

ITS Working Paper 431

ISSN 0142-8942

December 1994

DRACULA MICROSCOPIC TRAFFIC SIMULATOR

R Liu

ITS Working Papers are intended to provide information and encourage discussion on a topic in advance of formal publication. They represent only the views of the authors, and do not necessarily reflect the views or approval of the sponsors.

CONTENTS

	Page
1.INTRODUCTION	1
2.NETWORK REPRESENTATION	1
3.TRAFFIC GENERATION	1
4.VEHICLE MOVEMENT WITHIN THE NETWORK	2
4.1The car-following models	2
4.2Lane-changing (gap-acceptance) rules	3
4.3Vehicle state	5
5.JUNCTION PROCESS	5
5.1Signalised junctions	6
5.2Priority junctions	6
6.RUNNING THE SIMULATION	6
REFERENCES	7

ABSTRACT

LIU, R (1994). DRACULA microscopic traffic simulator. *ITS Working Paper 431*, Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds.

KEY-WORDS:

Contact: Ronghui Liu, Institute for Transport Studies (tel: 0113 2335338).

DRACULA MICROSCOPIC TRAFFIC SIMULATOR

1.INTRODUCTION

The DRACULA traffic simulator is a microscopic model in that the vehicles are individually represented. The movement of vehicles in the network are represented continuously and updated every one second.

The network is modelled as a set of nodes and links which represent junctions and streets respectively. Vehicles are generated at their origins with a random headway distribution and are assigned a set of driver/vehicle characteristics (according to user-specified probabilities) and a fixed route. The movement of the vehicles on a network is governed by a car-following law, the gap acceptance rules and the traffic regulations at intersections. They can join a queue, change lane, discharge to another link or exit from the system. The traffic regulation at an intersection is actuated by traffic lights or right-of-way rules.

The inputs to the simulation are network data, trip matrix, fixed-time signal plans, gap-acceptance and car-following parameters. Outputs are in forms of animated graphics and statistical measures of network performance.

The program is written in C-language. All types of vehicle attributes are represented as one entity using the structure data type which provides a flexibility in storing and modifying various types of data. Attributes of nodes, links and lanes are also represented as structures. The large number of variables associated with vehicles and the network imply that the performance of the simulation depends on the size of the network and the total number of vehicles within the network at one time.

The simulator can be applied in many areas of urban traffic control and management, such as detailed evaluation of traffic signal control strategies, environmental issues such as air pollution due to emission from vehicles in idling, accelerating, decelerating or cruising, and analyses of the effects of variable demand and supply upon the performance of a network.

2.NETWORK REPRESENTATION

The main components of a network are nodes and links. A link is a one-way road between two nodes and consists of one or more lanes. A node can be either internal (an intersection) or external (a source or sink node for traffic coming in or leaving the network). An intersection is presently modelled by at most four bidirectional arms; and may be a traffic signal controlled or priority junction. On a more detailed level, an intersection can also be described by a set of 'inter-lanes' which connect the stop line of an incoming lane with the entry point of a lane leaving the junction. Vehicles travel through the junction along these inter-lanes.

3.TRAFFIC GENERATION

A shifted-negative exponential time-headway distribution is used to describe the arrivals of vehicles into the system. Each origin lane has its own distribution, with the average arrival rate equal to the demand flow rate for that lane. A minimum headway of one second ensures that no more than one vehicle would arrive at the same entry point at the same time interval. If, however, when the next arrival time is due but there is no space in the entry lane, the vehicle would wait at the entry point. Vehicles waiting to enter the system are in a vehicle queue at the entry point.

When a vehicle enters the network, associated with it are a set of characteristics describing the driver's behaviour and the vehicle's parameters. These include:

- vehicle's identification number, type and length;
- maximum acceleration and deceleration rates of the vehicle;
- driver's desired speed and his normal acceleration rate;
- minimum clearance distance the driver would keep away from the vehicle in front when in stationary;
- driver's reaction time (one second for all drivers is assumed);
- pointers to the vehicles ahead and at the back.

These values (except the driver's reaction time) are randomly chosen out of normal (or user-defined) distributions with means varying according to vehicle type and the level of variation specified by the user.

Also assigned to each vehicle are its origin and destination and a fixed route through the network, provided by the demand sub-model of DRACULA.

Parameters describing a vehicle's state during the simulation period are listed in Section IV.3.

4.VEHICLE MOVEMENT WITHIN THE NETWORK

A vehicle's movement within a network is determined by the vehicle parameters, the rules of the road, the state of the traffic lights and the neighbouring vehicles via a car-following model and the lane-changing rules.

4.1THE CAR-FOLLOWING MODELS

A car-following model is one that tries to model the response of a driver in a stream of traffic to the behaviour of the vehicle(s) ahead. There have been several car-following models in the literature (eg. Gipps 1981, Leutzbach and Wiedmann 1986). In general they can be classified as:

- (1)a stimulus based model where the acceleration of a vehicle is determined by the driver's reaction to the speed and position difference between him and the vehicle in front; or
- (2)a stopping-distance based model where a driver always keeps a certain distance from the vehicle in front so that there would be no collision even if the front driver suddenly applies maximum braking.

The car-following rules employed in the current model combines the two and the driver's desired movements, and can be divided into three according to circumstances.

- (1)free-moving: when a vehicle is the leading one in the lane and is not approaching an intersection, or the vehicle in front is too far away, the driver accelerates freely (with his normal acceleration rate) in order to reach his desired speed.

(2) following-move: when the distance between a driver following another becomes shorter (less than 60 meters, an arbitrary boundary set between the free-flow and the following moves), he would apply a controlled acceleration which is derived from the relative speed and distance between him and the vehicle in front, in a fashion similar to that used in NEMIS (1990):

$$V_n(t+dt) = [aV_n(t) + bV_{n-1}(t+dt)]xdt + c(X_{n-1}(t+dt) - X_n(t) - s)$$

where V , X are the speed and position of the vehicle,

n the subject vehicle,

$(n-1)$ the preceding vehicle,

t the current time step,

dt the time increment,

s minimum separation (distance between the front bumpers) of the two vehicles,

a , b , c the car-following parameters which can be set by the user; default values are 0.56, 0.5 and 0.045 respectively.

(3) close-following: when the two vehicles get very close to each other (separation less than 15 meters, again a boundary set arbitrarily), the following vehicle will be prepared to stop in case the one in front brakes suddenly. A Gipps' constrained acceleration (or deceleration) rate is derived as following:

$$V_n(t+T) = b_n T + \sqrt{b_n^2 T^2 - b_n [2(X_{n-1}(t) - X_n(t) - s) - V_n(t)T - V_{n-1}(t)^2 / b'_{n-1}]}$$

where T is the reaction time of vehicle n , b_n is the maximum deceleration rate of vehicle n , and b'_{n-1} the deceleration rate of vehicle $(n-1)$ perceived by vehicle n and is assumed to be the minimum of -3.0 and $(b_{n-1} - 3.0)/2$ m/sec².

A minimum speed between Gipps' and that derived as in case 2) is adopted.

In all cases, a driver will not want to move at a speed exceeding his desired speed, accelerate at a rate exceeding his maximum acceleration capacity, or decelerate exceeding his maximum deceleration rate. When a vehicle moves very slowly (speed less than, say, 2 m/s), it is regarded as stationary. This model ensures that the driver maintains his desired speed while keeping a safe distance from the vehicle ahead.

4.2 LANE-CHANGING (GAP-ACCEPTANCE) RULES

When a driver intends to change lane, he needs to know the speeds and locations of his neighbouring vehicles, namely the vehicles in front and at the back in his current lane and in the lane he wants to move into (his target lane). He then applies the gap acceptance rules to decide whether he can move into that lane safely.

The conditions for a driver to change lane depends on his motive. For a driver who wants to overtake a slow moving vehicle in front by considering changing lanes, he can only do so to his rightside lane and where he can gain in speed. He will not force the vehicle behind to brake or run too close to the vehicle in front. He would normally not change lane in the last section of the link (see Section IV.3 for definition).

When the objective is to move to the correct lane for the next turning movement at the junction, a driver would prepare to slow down either before or after the manoeuvre. His desire for changing lane depends on his position relative to the 'end of the queue' in his target lane (the position of the last vehicle in the queue, or in the absence of a queue, the stopline of the link); the closer he is to the end of the queue, the more urgent his desire is to change lane. This is reflected by his accepting smaller gaps and sometimes having to force the vehicle behind to brake or moving close to the vehicle in front. In approaching the end of the queue still without success in changing to his target lane, he would slow down and eventually stop by the end of the queue and wait for an opportunity to change lane. Depending on the traffic situation, the opportunity may arise from a driver in the target lane who slows himself down and lets the subject vehicle join in. (A 20% is given here arbitrarily as the percentage of the drivers in the target who are prepared to give way to let one vehicle join in.)

In cases where there is more than one lane that allows his next turn, the driver would try to move to the lane that has the shortest queue and provides the greatest gain in speed.

The programming logic varies slightly depending on the lane- changing objectives. Take an example where a vehicle (C in Fig.1) needs to change to its left lane in order to turn left at the next junction, it would need to be aware of vehicles LF and LB in the left lane as well as vehicles F and B in its current lane (Fig. 1). The following parameters are introduced:

- Risk factor (f) is a function of vehicle's position relative to the end of the queue.



Fig 1: Vehicle C looks for a gaps on its left.

Minimum safe distance that following vehicle must keep to stop safely in time should
 from vehicle brakes and peak speed.

$$minGap = [(V_n(t) + V_n^2(t)/(2 * D_n)) - V_{n-1}^2(t + dt)/(2 * D_{n-1})]xdt + S_n^{min}$$

Where D and S^{\min} are the maximum deceleration rate and the minimum clearance distance of the vehicle respectively.

•Gap between two vehicles:

$$Gap = X_{n-1}(t + dt) - X_n(t) - s$$

Assume $gapB$ and $gapF$ the Gaps between vehicles LB and C, and vehicles C and LF respectively, and $minB$ and $minF$ their minGaps. When traffic moves quickly, check the following conditions:

$$gapB > f \times \max(\minB, 2 \times S_{CLB}^{\min}) \quad (2.a)$$

When traffic moves slowly and vehicle C is close to the end of queue of its target lane, and vehicle LB is willing to let vehicle C in, check

$$gapB > f \times S_{LB}^{\min} \quad (1.b)$$

$$gapF > f \times S_C^{\min} \quad (2.b)$$

Only when both conditions (1) and (2) hold, would vehicle C be able to change lane.

Vehicles can only change one lane at a time. After making one such manoeuvre, a driver has to wait for a while (a 2 seconds limit is set here arbitrarily) before attempting another one.

4.3 VEHICLE STATE

Vehicles are processed from downstream to upstream; the one closest to the stopline of the link is processed first. For each vehicle, the following parameters describing the vehicle state are recorded and updated:

- arrival and exit time to and from the network;
- travel time in each link;
- total travel time and distance through the network;
- speed and location relative to the entry line of the link at the current and previous time interval;
- next link and turn of the vehicle.

When discharged to another link, the vehicles are kept in the same relative positions in the link as they were in their last links. Upon entering a link, the next link of a vehicle is derived from its route and the next turn from the relative position of the current and the next links.

A link is divided into three sections. In the first part of the link (minimum of 50 metres and one-third of the link length), drivers will keep in the lanes they just entered. In the middle section a driver may change lane in order to achieve his desired speed or to get to the lane for his next turn. In the last section of the link (last 60 metres of the link, a limit set arbitrarily), the driver will not change lane if this manoeuvre leads him to a lane that forbids his next turn.

5. JUNCTION PROCESS

Drivers start to react to traffic controls of the downstream junction when they are within a certain distance (depending on the type of the junction) from the stopline of the link. Only the leading

vehicles of the link react to junction control. When the leading vehicle has passed the stopline (for the right turners, the centre of the junction), the succeeding vehicle will be treated as the lead. The following vehicles react only to their preceding ones. When the leading vehicle approaches a junction and decides to stop, the stimulus comes from a dummy vehicle with zero length and speed at the stopline. Traffic moves through an intersection along the inter-lanes; the cross between two inter-lanes is a conflict point.

5.1 SIGNALISED JUNCTIONS

The time that it takes for the head of a queue to react to the signal changing (from red) to green varies; a range between 1 and 3 seconds is set here randomly. Before moving off, the head of the queue will also check whether his path is clear. During the remaining green period, vehicles move across the junction at a speed determined from the car-following model; the leading vehicle follows the last vehicle in the lane it turns into.

At the instance the signal turns to amber, the driver nearest to the stopline will prepare to stop. If he is too close to the stopline, however, he will either go ahead when he can pass the stopline by the end of the amber period with his current speed, or make a decision randomly whether to carry on moving or to stop. If the decision is to stop, he would apply his maximum deceleration rate if necessary; similarly if he decides to go ahead, he may accelerate with his maximum acceleration rate in order to pass the junction quickly. This decision is then maintained throughout the remaining amber period.

Traffic lights are based on lane/phase operation, which caters for filtered signals.

5.2 PRIORITY JUNCTIONS

The links coming into a priority junction are given (in the network data file) a priority mark, giveway or not. Flows in the minor road give way to those on the major road, and share opportunities with other giveway flows. Another giveway situation occurs where the right-turning (major) flow gives way to the opposite straight-moving (major) flow. This happens at signalised junctions as well.

Vehicles travelling on a giveway link will aim to stop by the stopline of the link. Only when they are a few metres away from the stopline where they can see the situation on the major road would they start to look for gaps in the major flows.

Depending on the geometry of the junction and so on whether the two inter-lanes cross each other or not, the two opposite right-turning movements are termed as hooked or un-hooked. In the latter case both vehicles move as though there is no conflict in their movements. In the hooked situation and when both vehicles have the gaps, the one that arriving at the junction first has the higher priority to move, otherwise a random decision is made between the two as to who goes first.

6. RUNNING THE SIMULATION

Before running the actual simulation, a 'prep-run' is carried out which creates a data base as inputs to the actual simulation. The required inputs are network topology, a description of traffic light phases and the trips through the fixed routes.

The origin/destination trip matrix and the assignment of the vehicles on the different routes of a network are generated out externally (in the demand sub-model of DRACULA). From which, a list of the fixed routes (represented by a list of links) and the demand flow along each route are derived for each type of vehicles and/or during a section of the simulation period. This demand flow is then divided equally among the lanes leaving each origin link for vehicle generation.

The input network data includes for each node: the geometry, type and number of arms; and for each link: the link length, up- and down-stream nodes, number of lanes, permitted turns, a priority mark and the first and the last lanes allowed for each turn. The program derives more detailed information for the simulation which includes: the pointers to the links entering and leaving each (internal) node; and for each link, pointers to its neighbouring links going and leaving its downstream node. Also produced are data describing the lanes (pointer to the link a lane is in and permitted turns) and the inter-lanes (their coordinates) of the network.

The main simulation program puts the vehicles into the network, and represents the movement of every vehicle at each simulation step.

The outputs from the simulation are in the forms of animated graphics and of measures of system performance. The graphical representation of the simulated traffic helps to check the input data and the performance of the model, and can either be run in parallel with the simulation or be recorded for display later on.

The simulator offers a range of numerical outputs. Depending on the applications, it can output the link travel times of each individual driver for re-assignment in the demand analysis; the total travel hours and distances as measures of system performance; and the average speeds, delays, number of stops and/or queue lengths for queueing analysis.

REFERENCES

GIPPS, P.G. (1981). A behavioural car-following model for computer simulation. *Transpn. Res. B* 15, pp 105-111.

GIPPS, P.G. (1986). A model for the structure of lane-changing decisions. *Transpn. Res. B* 20, No.5, pp 403-414.

LEUTZBACH, W. AND WIEDMANN, R. (1986). Development and applications of traffic simulation models at the Karlsruhe Institut für Verkehrswesen. *Traffic Engng. Control*, 27(6), pp 270-278.

MIZAR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (1990). NEMIS: Network Micro-Simulation Package: Introductory Notes, Turin, June.

g:\..\sally\listwptn\wp431.rl