

Experimental and Theoretical Study of the OH-Initiated Degradation of Piperidine under Simulated Atmospheric Conditions

Wen Tan, Liang Zhu, Tomas Mikoviny, Claus J. Nielsen,* Armin Wisthaler, Barbara D'Anna, Simen Antonsen, Yngve Stenstrøm, Naomi J. Farren, Jacqueline F. Hamilton, Graham A. Boustead, Trevor Ingham, and Dwayne E. Heard



and in theoretical calculations based on CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/ aug-cc-pVTZ quantum chemistry results and master equation modeling of the pivotal reaction steps. The rate coefficient for the reaction of piperidine with OH radicals was determined by the relative rate method to be $k_{\text{OH-piperidine}} = (1.19 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-10}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 304 ± 2 K and 1014 ± 2 hPa. Product studies show the piperidine + OH reaction to proceed via H-abstraction from both CH₂ and NH groups, resulting in the formation of the corresponding imine (2,3,4,5tetrahydropyridine) as the major product and in the nitramine (1-nitropiperidine) and nitrosamine (1-nitrosopiperidine) as minor products. Analysis of 1-nitrosopiperidine photolysis experiments under natural sunlight conditions gave the relative rates $j_{rel} = j_{1-nitrosoperidine}/j_{NO_2} = 0.342 \pm 0.007$, $k_3/k_{4a} = 0.53 \pm 0.05$ and $k_2/$



 $k_{4a} = (7.66 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-8}$ that were subsequently employed in modeling the piperidine photo-oxidation experiments, from which the initial branchings between H-abstraction from the NH and CH₂ groups, $k_{N-H}/k_{tot} = 0.38 \pm 0.08$ and $k_{C^2-H}/k_{tot} = 0.49 \pm 0.19$, were derived. All photo-oxidation experiments were accompanied by particle formation that was initiated by the acid—base reaction of piperidine with nitric acid. Primary photo-oxidation products including both 1-nitrosopiperidine and 1-nitropiperidine were detected in the particles formed. Quantum chemistry calculations on the OH initiated atmospheric photo-oxidation of piperidine suggest the branching in the initial H-abstraction routes to be ~35% N¹, ~50% C², ~13% C³, and ~2% C⁴. The theoretical study produced an atmospheric photo-oxidation mechanism, according to which H-abstraction from the C² position predominantly leads to 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine and H-abstraction from the C³ position results in ring opening followed by a complex autoxidation, of which the first few steps are mapped in detail. H-abstraction from the C⁴ position is shown to result mainly in the formation of piperidin-4-one and 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridin-4-ol, whereas H-abstraction from N¹ under atmospheric conditions primarily leads to 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine and in minor amounts of 1-nitrosopiperidine and 1-nitropiperidine. The calculated rate coefficient for the piperidine + OH reaction agrees with the experimental value within 35%, and aligning the theoretical numbers to the experimental value results in $k(T) = 2.46 \times 10^{-12} \times exp(486 \text{ K/T}) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (200-400 \text{ K}).

1. INTRODUCTION

Piperidine (PIP) is on the OECD list of high production volume chemicals;¹ the compound is not considered persistent, bioaccumulative, and inherently toxic to aquatic organisms.² It is, however, classified as hazardous with a workplace exposure limit of 1 ppm time weighted average. Piperidine is used as an intermediate for pharmaceuticals and for plant protection agents, as a vulcanization accelerator in rubber manufacture, and as an oil or fuel additive.³ The compound has been reported in waste gas emissions from agricultural operations and food industries⁴ and detected in outdoor particle and air samples collected in the Zonguldak province (Northern Turkey at the Black sea coast), where coal mining and associated activities are economic drivers.^{5,6} Piperidine has also

been detected in many samples of source water to major cities in China with concentrations of up to 2.35 μ g L^{-1.7}.

The corresponding nitrosamine, 1-nitrosopiperidine (PIP-NO), was reported in the atmospheric particulate matter $(PM_{2.5})$ in central London⁸ and later in $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} samples^{9,10} collected at Seoul, Korea, and recently in $PM_{2.5}$ samples collected at Urumqi, China.¹¹ A comprehensive

Received:December 27, 2023Revised:February 27, 2024Accepted:February 29, 2024Published:March 29, 2024





examination of nitrosamines in a Korean water system, including sewage treatment plants, river water, and seawater reported PIP-NO concentrations of ~100 ng L⁻¹ in the sewage treatment plants influent and ~5 ng L⁻¹ in the effluent, whereas the concentrations in the river water and seawater was reported to be <1 and ~2 ng L⁻¹, respectively.¹² More recently, PIP-NO was reported with an average of 22 ng L⁻¹ in 4 of 5 drinking water samples collected from 13 cities in the state of São Paulo, Brazil,¹³ and in 47 of 117 samples of treated water throughout China (average 2.9 ng L⁻¹).¹⁴ To the best of our knowledge, neither 1-nitropiperidine (PIP-NO₂) nor the piperidine imine, 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine (PIP-IM), have ever been reported in environmental samples. Intriguingly, PIP-IM is an attractor to the Mexican fruit fly.¹⁵

The Henry's law solubility of PIP is small, $\dot{H}^{\rm cp} = 2.8 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$ Pa^{-1.16} Consequently, on a global scale, the major atmospheric sinks will therefore be gas phase reaction with OH radicals during daytime and NO₃ radicals during night-time. A preliminary project report from analysis of the PIP + OH kinetics suggested the reaction to be fast, $\sim 7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K; the report also included preliminary results from 1-nitrosopiperidine (PIP-NO) photolysis experiments.¹⁷ A subsequent quality control of the project data showed nonlinearity effects in the PTR-ToF-MS microchannel plate (MCP) detector warranting reanalysis of the data.¹⁸

PIP-NO and PIP-NO₂ are both carcinogenic¹⁹ and produced in the gas phase by competing aminyl radical reactions with O₂, NO, and NO₂.²⁰ Although the O₂ reaction with aminyl radicals in general is expected to be around 6 orders of magnitude slower than the corresponding NO and NO₂ reactions,²⁰ it will still dominate under most atmospheric conditions, and PIP-NO and PIP-NO₂ are thus only expected as minor products in the natural atmospheric photo-oxidation of PIP.

Piperidine was studied as part of the Norwegian " CO_2 and Amines Screening Study for Environmental Risks"²¹ because of its structural relationship to two CCS relevant compounds: piperazine and morpholine. In the present communication, we first report results from quantum chemistry-based calculations of the OH reaction kinetics and evaluations of the major routes in the OH initiated photo-oxidations of PIP under atmospheric conditions. We then present results from a series of PIP + OH reaction kinetics studies and from PIP-NO photolysis experiments carried out in the large volume EUPHORE atmospheric simulation chamber. We finally present results from PIP photooxidation experiments at EUPHORE and from analyses of the particles formed in these experiments.

2. METHODS

2.1. Experimental Methods and Chemicals. A series of experiments were carried out in chamber B of the EUPHORE facility at CEAM in Valencia, Spain. The facility and its standard analytical methods have been reported in detail²²— special online instrumentation employed in the present study includes a PTR-TOF 8000 instrument (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria),²³ a prototype CHARON inlet^{24,25} interfaced to a second PTR-TOF 8000, a compact time-of-flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, U.S.A.),^{26,27} and a FAGE (Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion) apparatus.^{28–30} Additional experimental information specific to the present work including chemicals used and the synthesis of PIP-NO³¹ and PIP-NO³² is given in the Supporting Information.

2.2. Computational Methods. Optimized geometries of stationary points on the potential energy surfaces of the atmospheric degradation of PIP were obtained in density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the global-hybrid meta-GGA functional M06-2X³³ in combination with the augcc-pVTZ^{34,35} basis set. Pre- and postreaction complexes were located by following the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC)³⁶⁻³⁸ from the saddle points. Electronic energies of selected stationary points were improved by explicitly correlated coupled cluster calculations with scaled triples contributions, denoted CCSD(T*)-F12a.^{39,40} Additional calculations on the initial step in the PIP + OH reaction were carried out employing $\omega B97XD^{41}$ (a range-separated hybrid density functional with atom-atom dispersion correction), BMK^{42} (a hybrid meta-GGA functional developed for kinetics), and the second-order Møller-Plesset wave function method, MP2.4

Nontrivial conformational analyses, including initial DFT calculations, were carried out employing a Mac-version of SPARTAN'20/Q-Chem.^{44,45} First, the conformational space was mapped in molecular mechanics calculations (MMFF94),⁴⁶ and the structures of the (up to 500) lowest energy conformers were optimized in semiempirical AM1 calculations.⁴⁷ Second, based on energy and focal interatomic distances relevant to internal H-transfer reactions, up to 100 conformers were selected and their structures optimized in B3LYP/3-21G* calculations.^{48,49} Third, the lowest energy conformers relevant to internal H-transfer reaction were refined in M062X/6-31+G(d,p) calculations on a mainframe computer before final selection for large basis set calculations.

Reaction enthalpies and proton affinities were calculated using the CBS-QB3 multilevel correlation single-reference method,^{50,51} based on B3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p) structures, which was reported⁵¹ with a mean absolute deviation of 4.5 kJ mol⁻¹ on the G2/97 test set.^{52,53} The CBS-QB3 composite method has also been tested against the BH28 data set of barrier heights⁵⁴ and was reported with a mean unsigned error of 5.9 kJ mol⁻¹.⁵⁵ Additional calculations of selected barrier heights were carried out employing the multilevel correlation single-reference G3X-K method;⁵⁶ the G3X-K method, parametrized using the DBH24/08 thermochemical kinetics database⁵⁷ as training set, was reported with a mean unsigned error of 2.1 kJ mol⁻¹ for the barrier heights.⁵⁶

Dipole moments and isotropic polarizabilities, serving as input to prediction of ion-molecule reaction rate coefficients,⁵⁸ were obtained in M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ and B3LYP/ aug-cc-pVTZ calculations; see Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The M06-2X, B3LYP, ω B97XD, BMK, MP2, CBS-QB3, and G3X-K calculations were performed in Gaussian 09⁵⁹ and Gaussian 16;⁶⁰ the CCSD(T*)-F12a calculations were carried out employing Molpro 2022.3 and 2023.2.⁶¹

Master equation calculations were carried out using the program MESMER 5.1⁶² (Master Equation Solver for Multi-Energy-well Reactions) to simulate the reactions under atmospheric conditions. The energy range spanned by grains of 100 cm⁻¹ was set to 25 kT, and the required input parameters for molecules, intermediate species and products were obtained from the ab initio calculations. Association (prereaction complex formation) and unimolecular dissociation steps were treated employing ILT (Inverse Laplace Transform), whereas unimolecular isomerization and H-transfer steps were treated by standard RRKM theory. Unless noted explicitly, the MESMER calculations reported employed the Lennard-Jones parameters for cyclohexane (e = 297 K, s = 6.18 Å),⁶³ an exponential down energy transfer parameter $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{\rm down} = 250$ cm⁻¹, and a one-dimensional asymmetric Eckart potential⁶⁴ for describing tunneling in H-transfer reactions.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Computational Results. Piperidine (PIP) exists in two chair conformations (C_s symmetry) in which the >NH group is either equatorial (eq) or axial (ax); each conformer has four pairs of equivalent and three nonequivalent hydrogen atoms, as shown in Figure 1. The electronic structure results



Figure 1. Numbering of the atoms in piperidine. Top: equatorial conformer. Bottom: axial conformer.

from M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations agree with the equilibrium structures of the two chair conformers derived from microwave spectroscopic data in combination with ab initio results.⁶⁵ The average absolute differences are 0.07 pm for distances, 0.13° for bond angles, and 0.53° for the dihedral angles. Also, the dipole moments compare well with the experiment (calculated values in parentheses): $\mu_{\rm a} = 0.178 \pm 0.007 \ (0.174)$ D and $\mu_{\rm c} = 0.800 \pm 0.020 \ (0.867)$ D for the equatorial form, and $\mu_{\rm a} = 1.069 \pm 0.015 \ (1.098)$ and $\mu_{\rm c} = 0.521 \pm 0.007 \ (0.550)$ D for the axial form.⁶⁶

The energy difference between the two chair conformers is relatively small; results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ// M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations (hereafter abbreviated as CC//M062X) place $\Delta H_{\rm ax-eq} = 2.72$ and $\Delta G_{\rm ax-eq} = 2.43$ kJ mol⁻¹ at 298 K, which compares to the experimental results $\Delta H = 2.22 \pm 0.13$ kJ mol⁻¹ from van't Hoff analyses of intensity variations in gas phase infrared absorption bands over the 50–210 °C range,⁶⁷ and $\Delta G = 3.07 \pm 0.30$ kJ mol⁻¹ from relative intensity measurements of rotational transitions by

microwave spectroscopy at 293 and 239 K.⁶⁶ The barrier to eq-ax inversion of the >NH group is calculated to be $\Delta E_{\nu=0}^{\dagger}^{\dagger}$ = 19.65 kJ mol⁻¹ ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 19.54$ kJ mol⁻¹), which compares to $25.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ from a ¹³C NMR study of piperidine dissolved in a 1:1 blend of CHFCl₂ and CHF₂Cl.⁶⁸ The conformational pathways in piperidine are excellently treated by Stortz⁶⁹ and will not be reiterated here; in addition to the two chair forms, there are six skew, pairwise pseudoenantiomeric conformations (denoted ${}^{3}S_{1}$, ${}^{5}S_{1}$, and ${}^{2}S_{N}$) having ~25 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energies linked by boat forms (${}^{3,N}B$, $B_{1,4}$, and $B_{3,N}$; the barriers between the chair forms and the skew forms $({}^{N}H_{1}, {}^{3}H_{4}/{}^{3}E_{2}, E_{3}, and E_{N})$ are ~50 kJ mol⁻¹. The present results from CC//M062X calculations of stationary points on the piperidine conformational space are found in Table S2 (energies, Cartesian coordinates, vibrational frequencies, rotational constants, and T_1^{70} and $D_1^{71,72}$ diagnostics values); the results obtained in the present high-level calculations do not differ markedly from the previous results obtained using relatively modest B3LYP calculations.

The conformational distribution in piperidine is calculated to be ~82% eq and 18% ax at 200 K, ~73% eq and 27% ax et 298 K, and ~67% ax and 33% eq at 400 K; the skew conformations are not relevant in relation to the initial reaction with OH radicals at temperatures below 700 K. An RRKM calculation places the rate of conversion between the two chair isomers >10⁸ s⁻¹ under atmospheric conditions, which is orders of magnitude faster than any bimolecular reaction with atmospheric oxidants. That is, the piperidine eq—ax equilibrium is maintained at all times during the atmospheric photooxidation.

3.1.1. Kinetics and Branching in the Piperidine + OH Reaction. The PIP + OH reaction proceeds via H-abstraction

ΔH^{Θ} = -102 kJ mol ⁻¹	NH	+ OH	\rightarrow	N.	+ H ₂ O	(1a)
ΔH^{Θ} = -116 kJ mol ⁻¹			\rightarrow	CH.NH	+ H ₂ O	(1b)
$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$			\rightarrow	HCNH	+ H ₂ O	(1c)
$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -85 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$			\rightarrow	HĊNH	+ H ₂ O	(1d)

All reaction enthalpies given are derived from CBS-QB3 calculations (298 K) referring to the lowest energy conformation of the species in question; all relative energies and barrier heights presented originate from CC//M062X calculations except when noted. In the following, the 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-piperidinyl radicals will be acronymized PIPN, PIPC², PIPC³, and PIPC⁴, respectively.

3.1.1.1. Potential Energy Surfaces of the OH Radical Reaction with Piperidine. The stationary points on the entrance side of the potential energy surface (PES) of the PIP + OH reaction are interrelated in Figure 2; the labeling tracks the atom number of the hydrogen being abstracted (see Figure 1) with sub labels increasing with the energy of the saddle point. On the exit side, the reaction proceeds via postreaction H-bonded H_2O complexes (POST). The underlying quantum chemistry results are documented in Tables S3 (equatorial conformation) and S4 (axial conformation), in Figure S1, demonstrating the vastly different saddle point energies as a function of the OH radical rotation around the XH…OH axes, and in Figure S2, depicting the structures of the prereaction complexes/adducts (PRE) and the saddle points (SP) to H-abstraction.



Figure 2. Relative energies ($\Delta E_{\nu=0}$ in kJ mol⁻¹) of stationary points on the potential energy surface of the OH radical reaction with piperidine. Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. See Figure 1 for definition of atom numbering. The sinusoidal curves signify that the stationary point has not been structure optimized, see text.

The M062X-description of the PES gives a picture of gentle sloped saddle points with imaginary frequencies below 1000 cm^{-1} (average ~600 cm⁻¹). The majority of saddle points to H-abstraction are located with energies below the entrance energy of reactants, and 6 of the 25 first order saddle points located are linked to strongly H-bonded prereaction complexes involving the nitrogen lone pair. The other saddle points are linked to the reactants via van der Waals-type prereaction adducts resulting from electrostatic interactions, Debye forces and London dispersion interactions, of which the latter is a recognized problem in DFT theory. We note that adding Grimme's empirical dispersion⁷³ does not result in any significant improvements. The saddle points to the Habstraction reactions can be described as "early" or "loose" with average N-H/C-H elongations relative to their respective reactants of only 5.0 pm correlating well with the imaginary frequencies of the saddle points (Table S5); the average XH---OH distances in the saddle points are consistently long with an average of 154.3 pm. The two first order saddle points to abstraction of H^8/H^{16} in the equatorial form (see Figures 1, S1, and S2) stand out with submerged barriers of around -8 kJmol⁻¹, C-H elongations of only ~2.5 pm, CH…OH distances of 172–174 pm, imaginary frequencies of \sim 260 cm⁻¹, and a barrier of only ~ 2 kJ mol⁻¹ to rotation of the OH radical

around the CH…OH axis. IRC calculations locate a van der Waals-type prereaction adduct with slightly lower electronic energy (~1 kJ mol⁻¹ of which 80% can be ascribed to basis set superposition error at the M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ level), in which the OH radical soars on top of the axial H atoms (H⁸, H¹², and H¹⁶; see Figure 1) with a rotational barrier of only ~1.5 kJ mol⁻¹. The single point CC//M062X calculations swap the relative electronic energy differences in PRE_{eq}^{H8-2}, PRE_{eq}^{H8-2}, SP_{eq}^{H8-1}, and SP_{eq}^{H8-2} from -1 to +1 kJ mol⁻¹. The saddle point to H-abstraction from the NH group in the

The saddle point to H-abstraction from the NH group in the equatorial form, SP_{eq}^{H7-1} , appears with a submerged barrier of $-12.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. In this case, IRC calculations locate a prereaction adduct with 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹ lower electronic energy at the M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ level, but the single point CC/M062X electronic energy differences between PRE_{eq}^{H7-1} and SP_{eq}^{H7-1} changes from $-1.6 \text{ to } +2.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Further, the N-H bond lengths are almost unchanged in SP_{eq}^{H7-1} and SP_{eq}^{H7-2} , whereas the NH…OH distances are remarkably long, 169.4 and 177.5 pm, respectively. The NH bond is even shortened in the saddle point structure SP_{eq}^{-7} , in which the NH…OH distance is extraordinary long, 191.7 pm.

Other worrisome issues include the prereaction adducts to the saddle points SP_{ox}^{H9-2} and SP_{ox}^{H10} in the axial conformer. IRC calculations place nearly identical prereaction adducts,

 PRE_{ox}^{H9-2} and PRE_{ox}^{H10} , with the OH radical floating over H^{10} , H^9 , and H^7 of the amine group, and any attempts on structural refinements of PRE_{ox}^{H9-2} and PRE_{ox}^{H10} , resulting as the end points of the IRCs, lead to direct abstraction of H^7 and formation of a postreaction complex between H_2O and PIPN. These two prereaction adducts are outlined as sinusoidal curves in Figure 2.

The above-mentioned oddities in the M06-2X description of the piperidine + OH reaction prompted an additional examination of selected PES regions employing two other commonly used functionals— ω B97XD,⁴¹ and BMK⁴²—as well as by the MP2 wave function method.⁴³ The results from these comparative studies are included in Table S5.

The ω B97XD calculations locate the saddle points to Habstraction with systematically lower energies relative to that of the reactants than found by M06-2X (average 7.9 kJ mol⁻¹ lower), and the saddle points show even gentler inclines than in the M06-2X description (average imaginary frequency ~280 cm⁻¹). Single point CC// ω B97XD calculations place the relative saddle point energies systematically higher compared to the CC//M062X results by an average of $2.6 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The C-H bond elongations are slightly lesser and the CH…OH distances slightly longer in the saddle points than those obtained in the M06-2X calculations. Despite meticulous efforts, it was not possible to locate any saddle points to H⁸/ H¹⁶ abstraction in the equatorial conformation. Apparently, this H-abstraction route proceeds without any electronic barrier in the wB97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ description of the reaction. Also SP_{ox}^{H13-2} evaded finding. It is worth noting that the N-H bond lengths are shortened in all saddle points to H-abstraction from the amino group and the corresponding NH…OH distances are extremely long, ~190 pm.

The BMK results also show gentle sloped saddle points (average imaginary frequency \sim 400 cm⁻¹), but the average N-H/C-H bond elongations in the saddle points are slightly longer (average 5.9 pm), and the CH…OH distances slightly shorter (average 146.7 pm) than found in the M06-2X description of the reaction. The saddle points to H^8/H^{16} abstraction in the equatorial conformation could only be located in small basis set calculations, whereas BMK/aug-ccpVTZ calculations portray this H-abstraction route without any electronic barrier. In addition, the saddle points $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{or}}^{-\mathrm{H9-2}}$ and SP_{ox}^{H13-2} could not be located. The other relative saddle point energies differ from those of the M06-2X calculations by an average of -0.6 kJ mol⁻¹; the single point CC//BMK calculations place the relative saddle point energies systematically higher compared to the CC//M062X results by an average of 2.2 kJ mol⁻¹. The BMK calculations also render the N-H bond lengths shortened in all saddle points to Habstraction from the amino group and the corresponding NH…OH distances as particularly long.

As expected, the MP2 calculations locate steeper sloped saddle points (average imaginary frequency $\sim 1270 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) with relative energies higher by 8.6 kJ mol⁻¹ on the average than forecast by the M062X calculations. The C–H bond elongations are, also expectedly, found to be longer in the saddle points than in the DFT calculations, and the corresponding CH···OH distances are significantly shorter (average 141.6 pm). The saddle point to H-abstraction from the NH group in the axial form could not be located with certainty–energy mappings from calculations of the OH radical approaching the axial N–H invariably resulted in >N–H inversion prior to H-transfer. It is obvious from the above that the PIP + OH reaction presents a challenge to quantum chemistry. None of the DFTs employed give an impeccable description of the PES. In particular, the ω B97XD and BMK functionals are apparently not able to describe the potential for the dominant C² Habstraction route. The computationally expensive MP2 wave function method gives, with one exception, an intuitively appealing description of the reaction routes, the exception being the H-abstraction from the NH group in the axial conformation that could not be located with certainty. Although there are a few peculiarities, the M06-2X functional provides a reasonable characterization of PIP + OH reaction.

3.1.1.2. Rate Coefficient and Branching in the OH Radical Reaction with Piperidine. The kinetics of reaction 1 was simulated in a master equation model based on the PES illustrated in part in Figure 2 (all vibrational modes were treated as harmonic oscillators). Spin-orbit coupling in the OH radical (139.7 cm⁻¹)⁷⁴ was included in the model by lowering the energy of the OH radical with half of the splitting and including the ${}^{2}\Pi_{3/2}$ and ${}^{2}\Pi_{1/2}$ spin-orbit states in the electronic partition function; it was assumed that spin-orbit coupling could be neglected in prereaction adducts and in the saddle points. The formation of prereaction complexes and dissociation of postreaction complexes were treated as reversible reactions with rate coefficients approximated by $k_{\rm association}$ from long–range transition state theory (LRTST).⁷⁷ The different dipole moments of the equatorial and axial forms of piperidine results in slightly different values, $k_{\text{association}} = 2.3$ and $2.7 \times 10^{-10} \times (\text{T}/298 \text{ K})^{-1/6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the equatorial and axial forms, respectively. Tunneling was included using a one-dimensional asymmetric Eckart potential.6

The calculations forecast remarkably different rate coefficients for the equatorial and axial conformers— $k_{\text{PIPeq+OH}} = 1.21 \times 10^{-10}$ and $k_{\text{PIPax+OH}} = 0.31 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K. The branching in the H-abstraction reaction is indeed different for the two conformations—32, 53, 13, and 2% from the N¹, C², C³, and C⁴ positions in the equatorial form and 58, 20, 14, and 7% in the axial form. The conformational Boltzmann weighted rate coefficient, $k_{\text{PIP+OH}} = 9.77 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K, shows modest pressure dependence in the 1–1000 hPa region and displays a negative temperature dependence, as shown in Figure S3. The individual rate coefficients at 1013 hPa for all routes specified in Figure 2 are summarized in Table S6, whereas the rate coefficients per H atom are presented in Figure 3.

A sensitivity analysis, based on varying the Lennard-Jones parameters, $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{\text{down}}$ and the LRTST values by a factor of 2 in the master equations, shows that the model results are essentially neutral to variations in the Lennard-Jones parameters and $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{\text{down}}$ —the largest changes amount to a few percent. The sensitivity of the calculated rate coefficient and the branching to variations in the saddle point energies was examined by varying all barrier heights by ± 1 kJ mol⁻¹ in the master equations. The analysis shows that changing all barriers $\pm 1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ results in a $\mp 29\%$ change in the calculated overall rate coefficient at 298 K. The influence of the prereaction complex/adduct energies was examined in a similar way by varying their relative energies by ± 1 kJ mol⁻¹. In general, the calculated rate coefficients are far less sensitive to variation in the PRE-energies than in the SP energies (barrier heights), the most noteworthy exception being PRE_{eq}^{H7-1} . As mentioned above, PRE_{ea}^{H7-1} is located with 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹ lower



Figure 3. Calculated site-specific rate coefficients at 298 K (in 10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) in the piperidine + OH reaction. Top: Equatorial conformer. Bottom: Axial conformer. Results from MESMER models based on CCSD(T*)/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations.

electronic energy than SP_{eq}^{H7-1} in M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations, but at +2.1 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energy in the single point CC/M062X calculation. Lowering the PRE_{eq}^{H7-1} energy by 2.1 kJ mol⁻¹ increases the calculated rate coefficient for this route by 37%, but lowering it further by additional 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹ brings the calculated rate coefficient back to its original value.

In summary, the branching between H-abstraction from N¹, C², C³, and C⁴ position in PIP is projected to be 35(+19-8): 50(+11-20): 13(+4-5): 2(+1-1) at 298 K, where the attributed limits are estimated from variations in all barriers by $\pm 1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.

3.1.2. Atmospheric Photo-Oxidation. The following sections detail the quantum chemistry and master equation modeling of the atmospheric chemistry of PIP. Peroxy-radical reaction routes, such as $ROO + ROO \rightarrow \cdots$, $ROO + HOO \rightarrow \cdots$ and $ROO + NO \rightarrow RONO_2$, are not considered in the present investigation.

The master equation modeling often assumes rate coefficients for several bimolecular reactions, i.e., >ĊH + O₂ \rightarrow > CHOO, >CHOO + NO \rightarrow >CHO + NO₂ and >CHO + $O_2 \rightarrow$ >C=O + HO₂. For cyclic radicals, these rate coefficients have been approximated by the experimental values (in cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) for the similar reactions of the cyclohexyl- ($k_{>CH+O_2 \rightarrow >CHOO} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$),⁷⁶ cyclohexyloxy-($k_{>CHOO+HO_2 \rightarrow >CHOO_2 = 1.8 \times 10^{-14}$),⁷⁷ and cyclohexylperoxy radicals ($k_{>CHOO+NO \rightarrow >CHOO+NO_2 = 6.7 \times 10^{-12}$).⁷⁶ The average NOx level in rural Europe was 2.5 ppbV in 2019,⁷⁸ and an NO level of 1 ppbV has been taken as standard for unpretentious estimations of ROO + NO \rightarrow RO + NO₂ reaction rates under atmospheric conditions.

There is only one chair form of the PIPN and PIPC² radicals, whereas the PIPC³ and PIPC⁴ radicals exist in both eq and ax chair conformations. The enthalpy of the ax-form is ~1 kJ mol⁻¹ lower than the eq-form in PIPC³, whereas the ax-form has ~1 kJ mol⁻¹ higher enthalpy than the eq-form in PIPC⁴. The barriers to ax-eq conversion in the PIPC³ and PIPC⁴ radicals are nearly the same as in PIP itself, that is, ~20 kJ mol⁻¹, and the ax-eq conformational equilibrium will therefore be established before the primary PIP radicals

experience any bimolecular reaction. Cartesian coordinates, vibrational frequencies, rotational constants, energies, and T_1^{70} and $D_1^{71,72}$ diagnostics values of stationary points on the eq-ax potential energy surfaces of the PIPC³ and PIPC⁴ radicals are found in Table S7.

The conformational pathways of the PIPN radical resemble those of tetrahydropyran with two pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew conformations, located with 17–18 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energy than the chair form.⁶⁹ The PIPC² radical has four skew conformation pairs having 17–21 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energies than the chair conformation. The PIPC³ radical is also found with four pairs of skew conformations having energies between 13 and 18 kJ mol⁻¹ above that of PIPC³_{ox} but the PIPC⁴ radical is only located with three pairs of skew conformations, having energies 19–21 kJ mol⁻¹ above that of PIPC⁴, The conformational pathways of the PIPN, PIPC², PIPC³ and PIPC⁴ radicals have not been mapped in detail; the skew conformers, however, will clearly not contribute notably to the radical reactivities under atmospheric conditions.

3.1.2.1. Atmospheric Fate of the 1-Piperidinyl Radical. Under atmospheric conditions, aminyl radicals may react with O_2 , NO, NO₂, and O_3 . The dominant reaction is normally H-abstraction from the carbon atom in α -position by O_2 leading to the corresponding imine, whereas reactions with NO, NO₂, and O_3 constitute minor loss routes.

We first address the reaction between PIPN and O_2

Tang and Nielsen⁷⁹ investigated the $CH_3\dot{N}H$, $(CH_3)_2\dot{N}$, $CH_3CH_2\dot{N}H$ and $(CH_3CH_2)_2\dot{N}$ reactions with O_2 in B3LYP, MP2 and G4 calculations and showed that they proceed via the R¹R²NOO radical on the entrance side and a post reaction adduct between the corresponding imine (here, PIP-IM) and HO₂ on the exit side. They also identified two additional H-abstraction routes having significantly higher barriers and therefore of less importance under atmospheric conditions.

The 1-piperidinyl peroxy-radical (PIPNOO) exists in both equatorial and axial forms having approximately equal energies and being connected via a barrier around 15 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of reactants. The formation of PIPNOO is calculated to be slightly endergonic with $\Delta G^{\Theta} = 5.4$ kJ mol⁻¹ at 298 K, and the PIPNOO radical lifetime with respect to back-dissociation is estimated to be less than 1 μ s. Consequently, only unimolecular reactions, such as eq—ax conversion and internal H-transfer reactions, may compete with back-dissociation under atmospheric conditions.

Alam et al. studied the CH₃NH + O₂ reaction in G3X-K calculations and reported a small barrier of ~10 kJ mol⁻¹ to the nitroperoxy radical formation, CH₃NH + O₂ \rightarrow CH₃NHOO.⁸⁰ This entry barrier is, however, an artifact of applying a single-reference methodology to the generic multireference problem of a radical-radical reaction and is disclosed by the unmistakable accompanying spin-contamination, exemplified in Figure S4 exposing the PIPNOO_{eq} \rightarrow PIPN + O₂ dissociation.

In principle, there are two possible 1,4-H transfer reactions within the eq conformational subspace (transfer of either H_{eq} or H_{ax}), but only one within the ax subspace (H_{eq}). However, the minimum energy path to H_{eq} -transfer starting from PIPNOO_{eq} shows that this route is a two-step process, the first being a conformational change from PIPNOO_{eq} to PIPNOO_{ax}. Further, the 1,5-H transfer from C³ is only

possible within the conformational subspace of the ax-form. Finally, the H atoms in C⁴ position are too far away in PIPNOO for H-transfer, and the possible 1,6-H transfer route has been disregarded here. In addition to the two chair forms of the PIPNOO radical, three pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew conformers, linked by boat like saddle points, have been identified. The total population of these conformers, having from 22 to 29 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energies than the chair forms, will be less than 0.02% at 298 K. The saddle point energies of reaction 2, linked to the skew forms, are slightly higher in energy than those linked to the chair forms, and the skew conformations will therefore not contribute notably to the kinetics of reaction 2.

Figure 4 illustrates the links between stationary points on the PES relevant to reaction 2. Not only is the barrier to internal



Figure 4. Relative energies of stationary points on the potential energy surface relevant to the 1-piperidinyl radical reaction with O_2 . Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. The underlying quantum chemistry data are summarized in Table S8.

H-transfer from $C^3 \sim 90 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ above the entrance energy of reactants, the reversible H-transfer is also quite endothermic and this route will consequently be of little importance under atmospheric conditions; the barriers to imine formation are calculated to be lower, ~ 30 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of reactants, and of similar magnitude as the corresponding barriers found for the 1-piperazinyl + O₂ reaction.⁸¹ Unexpectedly, however, the saddle points energies obtained in CC//M062X calculations are ~30 kJ mol⁻¹ lower than the values obtained in M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations, and the T_1/D_1 diagnostic values for the coupled cluster calculations are arguably large -0.026/0.151 and 0.033/0.192 for SP_{ox}^{2a} and SP_{eq}^{2a} respectively, indicating inadequacy of the adapted single-reference electron correlation methodology. The multireference nature of reaction 2a is also reflected in the concurrent spin-contamination in the DFT results along the IRC from reactant (PIPNOO) to intermediate product (PIP-IM*HOO). In the ax form, the spin-contamination maximizes before the saddle point to H-transfer (Figure S5)-the signature of a late transition state. According to the M06-2X description, the corresponding PIPNOO_{eq} reaction proceeds in

two steps: (1) the formation of an intermediate PIPN*O₂ complex via a barrier of around 60 kJ mol⁻¹, as shown in Figure S4, and (2) H-abstraction/H-transfer to the PIP-IM*HOO, as shown in Figure S5. The spin-contamination remains high from there on ($S^2 = 1.16$ before annihilation in the saddle point) and even well into the product side of the reaction; that is, this saddle point region can only be described correctly in multireference calculations. The multireference nature of reaction 2a also leaves its mark on the interatomic distances in the two saddle point structures: $r_{C-H} = 124.4/123.9$, $r_{O-H} = 142.0/141.0$ and $r_{N-O} = 247.9/202.2$ pm in the eq/ax forms, respectively.

The PES of reaction 2a was investigated further employing the ω B97XD and BMK functionals, as well as the multilevel correlation single-reference methods CBS-QB3 (B3LYP reference structure) and G3X-K (M06-2X reference structure). The saddle point structures and the spin-contaminations obtained in the ω B97XD and BMK calculations are virtually the same as those of M06-2X and are not documented further here; the saddle point energies to reaction 2a are slightly lower in the ω B97XD than in the M06-2X description, but the other energies are ~12 kJ mol⁻¹ higher. The CC// ω B97XD, CC/ BMK, and CC/M062X energies are essentially identical. The CBS-QB3 calculations find a slightly lower barrier of 9 kJ mol⁻¹ to the PIPNOO equatorial-axial conversion, and slightly higher barriers to imine formation of ~45 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of reactants (Table S8). Interestingly, the G3X-K calculations seem to catch the saddle points multiconfigurational calamities and locate SP_{eq}^{2a} at ~ 50 and SP_{ox}^{2a} at ~25 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of reactants, as shown in Table S8. While the present paper was in preparation, spinunrestricted open-shell coupled cluster calculations using UHF-orbitals became available in Molpro (UHF-UCCSD-(T)-F12a; acronym: UCC). As UHF-orbitals are expected to form a better basis for UCC calculations, additional UCC// M062X calculations were carried out for reaction 2a. The UCC results, included in Table S8, show more acceptable, but still of concern, T_1/D_1 diagnostic values (0.021/0.122 and 0.027/ 0.141 for SP^{2a}_{ox} and SP^{2a}_{eq}, respectively) and barriers of \sim 35 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of reactants to imine formation.

Reaction 2 was investigated in a master equation model based on the PES illustrated in Figure 4; the PIPN + O_2 association was treated as a reversible reaction and the post reaction complex, PIP-IM*HO₂, was replaced by the products in the master equation (treating dissociation of the postreaction complex explicitly makes little difference to the outcome of the kinetic modeling). The rate coefficient for the association reaction was approximated by a typical value of 2 \times $10^{-10} \times (T/298 \text{ K})^{1/6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ from Long-range transition state theory⁷⁵ (this is likely an overestimate of the true association rate coefficient, but a lowering of the value by 50% has no impact on the model results). The barriers to rotation of the -OO moiety in PIPNOO are quite different in the eq and ax conformations; the potentials obtained in M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) calculations, shown in Figure S6, warrant that the CNOO torsional mode should be treated as a hindered rotor in the model. Treating the CNOO torsional mode as a hindered rotor results in an increase in the calculated rate coefficients by a factor of ~ 2 at all temperatures. Figure S7 shows the calculated rate coefficient for reaction 2 as a function of temperature stemming from master equation calculations based on the CC//M062X, UCC//M062X, G3X-K, and CCS-

QB3 quantum chemistry results; the calculated rate coefficients are essentially independent of pressure in the relevant [p,T]range. Of concern, the results span 5 orders of magnitude at 298 K, $k_{\text{PIPN+O}_2 \rightarrow \text{PIP-IM+HO}_2} = 2.3 \times 10^{-16} (\text{CC}//\text{M062X})$, $3.9 \times 10^{-17} (\text{UCC}//\text{M062X})$, $2.7 \times 10^{-18} (\text{G3X-K})$, and 8.0×10^{-21} (CBS-QB3) cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. Disregarding the results based on CC//M062X and CBS-QB3 model chemistry, the atmospheric lifetime of the 1-piperidinyl radical with respect to reaction with O₂ is then estimated to fall in the range between 5 and 75 ms at 298 K.

We next consider the 1-piperidinyl radical reaction with NO. The reaction occurs without electronic barrier resulting in a vibrationally excited nitrosamine (PIP-NO). We note that there is only one chair-conformation of PIP-NO.

Tang et al.⁸² characterized the $(CH_3)_2\dot{N} + NO$ reaction in B3LYP, MP2, and G4 calculations and showed that the possible internal H-transfer reactions of the highly excited nitrosamine all have barriers of >50 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of reactants. These routes have similar barriers in PIP-NO and are therefore of little importance under atmospheric conditions. The similar reaction routes involving the higher energy skew conformations of PIP-NO (three pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew conformations have been located with 14 to 22 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energies than the chair forms and will have a relative population of less than 0.6% in total at 298 K) will not affect the thermal stability of PIP-NO. Accordingly, PIP-NO is expected to be thermally stable in the atmosphere.

Nitrosamines, having a characteristic $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition in the UV-A region, undergo rapid photolysis in natural sunlight. CAS-SCF calculations on H₂NNO show that the excited S₁ state is repulsive, leading to swift dissociation following excitation.⁸³ The same is expected for organic nitrosamines, and the quantum yield to photodissociation of (CH₃)₂NNO was, conformingly, reported to be 1.03 \pm 0.10, following S₀ \rightarrow S_1 ($n\pi^*$) excitation at 363.5 nm.⁸⁴ Hazeldine and Jander reported very comparable UV-spectral data for 1-nitrosopiperidine and dimethyl-, diethyl-, dipropyl-, dipentyl-, and dihexylnitrosamine.⁸⁵ Further, simple TD-DFT calculations (B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ) place the first two vertical singlet excitations in H₂NNO and PIP-NO at, respectively, 372 (oscillator strength f = 0.004) and 227 nm (f = 0.0112) and 363 (f = 0.008) and 237 nm (f = 0.026), which are virtually the same values calculated for (CH₃)₂NNO⁸² and 1-nitrosopiperazine.⁸¹ PIP-NO is therefore expected undergo photolysis as fast as $(CH_3)_2$ NNO, the threshold wavelength being ~600 nm

$$NNO \xrightarrow{h\nu} N' + NO (-3)$$

The PIPN radical reaction with NO₂ leads to a vibrationally excited nitramine (PIP-NO₂[‡]) that may initiate internal H-transfer from one of the α -CH₂ groups leading to the corresponding imine (PIP-IM). We note that there is only one chair-conformation of PIP-NO₂.

 $\Delta H^{\theta} = -209 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \qquad N^{*} + \text{NO}_{2} \rightarrow \qquad N\text{NO}_{2}^{\ddagger} \qquad (4a)$ $\Delta H^{\theta} = -198 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \qquad \rightarrow \qquad N^{*} + \text{HONO} \qquad (4b)$

The barrier to reaction 4b is found to be 1.3 kJ mol^{-1} below the entrance energy of the initial reactants indicating a small yield under atmospheric conditions.

Lazarou et al. found an additional route in the $(CH_3)_2\dot{N}$ radical reaction with NO₂ leading to the $(CH_3)_2\dot{N}\dot{O}$ radical in their very low pressure experiments $(\sim 10^{-5} \text{ atm})$.⁸⁶ This was later corroborated by theoretical calculations of the $CH_3\dot{N}H$, $(CH_3)_2\dot{N}$, CH_3CH_2NH and $(CH_3CH_2)_2\dot{N}$ reactions with NO₂ showing that the aminyl radical reaction with NO₂ can also proceed via a metastable *N*-nitroso-oxy compound.⁷⁹ The present quantum chemistry calculations show that the vibrationally excited *N*-nitroso-oxy piperidine will dissociate without any additional electronic barrier.

The atmospheric fate of the PIPNO radical will be addressed later.

The energetics of the PIPN + NO_2 reaction are illustrated in Figure 5. Master equation calculations based on the PES



Figure 5. Relative energies of stationary points on the potential energy surface relevant to the 1-piperidinyl radical reaction with NO₂. Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. The underlying quantum chemistry data are included in Table S9.

shown in Figure 5 signal that reaction 4b is of little importance under atmospheric conditions with $\ll 1\%$ yield of PIP-IM. Even lowering the barrier to reaction 4b by 50 kJ mol⁻¹ will not make any significant difference to the imine-yield, and it will require a lowering of the barrier to reaction 4b by as much as 75 kJ mol⁻¹ to have a 1% imine yield. Concerning the possible importance of PIP-NO₂ skew conformations, three pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew forms, having from 17 to 21 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energies than the chair form, were located. They will be populated by less than 0.2% at 298 K, and they will consequently not contribute notably to the imine yield in reaction 4a

We finally address the PIPN + O_3 reaction. There is no experimental information available on organic aminyl radical reactions with O_3 , but the $\dot{N}H_2$ radical is reported to react relatively fast with O_3 ($k = 1.7 \times 10^{-13}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, extensive review⁸⁷). Peiró–García et al.⁸⁸ investigated the $\dot{N}H_2$ + O_3 reaction in MP2, QCISD, QCISD(T), CCSD(T), CASSCF, and CASPT2 calculations with various basis sets

corroborating that the reaction is an oxygen atom transfer from O_3 : $\dot{N}H_2 + O_3 \rightarrow H_2N\dot{O} + O_2 (^{3}\Sigma_g \text{ and } ^{1}\Delta_g)$.

All attempts to carry out single-reference calculations on the PIPN + O_3 system similar to those presented for $\dot{N}H_2 + O_3$ were unsuccessful (the electronic structures of O_3 and, in particular, the saddle point of the O_3 reaction are not described adequately in any single reference quantum chemistry method). The simpler CH₃NH + O_3 system can, however, be described reasonably well at the MP2 level. The present CH₃NH + O_3 calculations imply a ~7 kJ mol⁻¹ lower barrier than that calculated for the NH₂ + O_3 reaction at the CCSD(T)/6-311G(3df,2p)//MP2(Full)/6-311+G(d,p) level,⁸⁸ as shown in Table S10. The CH₃NH + O_3 reaction is therefore expected to be an order of magnitude faster than the NH₂ + O_3 reaction, and we suggest that this will also apply to the PIPN + O_3 reaction.

$$\Delta H^{\varrho} = -289 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \qquad N^{\circ} + O_3 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad NO^{\circ} + O_2(^{3}\Sigma_g) \qquad (5a)$$
$$\Delta H^{\varrho} = -169 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad NO^{\circ} + O_2(^{1}\Delta_g) \qquad (5b)$$

Relevant loss processes for the PIPNO radical includes reaction with O₃. The experimental study on the $\dot{N}H_2 + O_3$ reaction by Bulatov et al.⁸⁹ also included the best estimate for the H₂NO + O₃ reaction: $k_{H_2NO+O3} \rightarrow _{\dot{N}H_2+2O_2} = (2.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at room temperature in agreement with the upper limit of $5 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ deducted in experiments by Patrick and Golden.⁹⁰ The computational problems related to the PIPN + O₃ reaction are even more severe for the PIPNO + O₃ reaction. All the same, we boldly suggest that the PIPNO reaction with O₃ will be considerably faster than that of H₂NO.

$$\Delta H^{\theta} = -47 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \qquad NO^{\circ} + O_3 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad NOO^{\circ} + O_2(^{3}\Sigma_g) \qquad (6a)$$

$$\Delta H^{\theta} = +73 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad NOO^{\circ} + O_2(^{1}\Delta_g) \qquad (6b)$$

$$\Delta H^{\theta} = +42 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \qquad \rightarrow \qquad N^{\circ} + O_2(^{3}\Sigma_g) \qquad (7a)$$

$$\Delta H^{\theta} = +161 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad N^{\circ} + O_2(^{1}\Delta_g) \qquad (7b)$$

The barrier to imine formation (reaction 2a) is around 30 kJ mol⁻¹ higher in energy than the dissociation channel (reaction 7) and no significant amount of imine will therefore result directly following reaction 6. We confidently conclude from the above that the PIPN radical and the corresponding nitroxide radical (PIPNO) will simply react with O₃ in a cyclic manner regenerating the PIPN radical under atmospheric conditions: PIPN + O₃ \rightarrow PIPNO + O₂, PIPNO + O₃ \rightarrow PIPN +2 O₂.

In summary, under atmospheric conditions, an initial hydrogen abstraction from the amino group in PIP leads to imine, nitrosamine, and nitramine formation according to Scheme 1 adapted from the generic mechanism proposed by Lindley et al.⁹¹ for the $(CH_3)_2N$ radical reactions with NO, NO₂ and O₂.

3.1.2.2. Atmospheric Fate of the 2-Piperidinyl Radical. The 2-piperidinyl radical, PIPC², predicted with a 50% yield in reaction 1, will add O_2 , forming a vibrationally excited peroxy radical, PIPC²OO[‡]

$$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$$
 (B) (B) (CHO) (CHO)

There are four distinct chair forms of PIPC²OO that are labeled ee, ea, ae, and aa according to the equatorial (e) or axial (a) orientations of the N–H and –OO groups, respectively.

 $\Delta H^{\odot} = -154 \text{ k}$

Scheme 1. Piperidine Reactions Leading to Nitrosamine and Nitramine Formation in the Atmosphere^a



^aNote that the present theoretical calculations on the 1-piridinyl radical reactions predict $k_{4b}/k_{4a} < 0.01$.

The ea form has the lowest energy, followed by ee (+16 kJ mol⁻¹) and ae and aa (both +22 kJ mol⁻¹). In addition, eight pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew conformations have been located with 18-50 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energy than the ea chair form.

The aa conformer turns out to be metastable with a barrier to N-inversion of only 1.4 kJ mol⁻¹ to the minimum energy ea conformer. The ae and ee and the ea and aa conformer pairs are connected via relatively low barriers of ~20 kJ mol⁻¹ to *N*-inversion, whereas the ea and ae and the aa and ee conformers are connected via higher barriers of around 40 kJ mol⁻¹ involving a series of linked skew and boat conformers constituting internal pseudorotation in PIPC²OO, as shown in Figure 6.

The vibrationally excited PIPC²OO[‡] may initiate internal Htransfer reactions before being quenched by collisions and reaction with NO. There are five possible internal H-transfer reactions, of which reaction 9a, leading to the imine (PIP-IM), and reaction 9b, leading to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine, can be characterized as irreversible, concerted 1,4 H-transfer/C–O bond scission reactions proceeding via HO₂ complexes on the exit sides. The reaction enthalpies presented refer to the ea conformation.

ΔH^{o} = +91 kJ mol ⁻¹	СНОО	$\xrightarrow{1,4-H}$	N	+ HO ₂	(9a)
ΔH^{o} = +100 kJ mol ⁻¹		$\xrightarrow{1,4-H}$	NH	+ HO ₂	(9b)
$\Delta H^{o} = +74 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$		$\stackrel{1,5-H}{\longleftrightarrow}$	HC. NH		(9c)
ΔH^{o} = +84 kJ mol ⁻¹		$\stackrel{1,6-H}{\longleftrightarrow}$	CHOOH NH HC		(9d)
$\Delta H^{\circ} = +41 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$		$\xrightarrow{1,5-H}$	сноон н сн		(9e)
ΔH° = -37 kJ mol ⁻¹	CHOO.	+ NO \rightarrow	CHO.	+ NO ₂	(10)

The barriers to routes 9a-9e are calculated to be 73, 105, 118, 140, and 85 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively, in the PIPC²OO ea conformation. Not all routes of reaction 9 are possible within the three stable conformational subspaces of the PIPC²OO radical. Reactions 9a and 9e are only realistic in the ea



Figure 6. Conformational pathways in 2-piperidinyl peroxy radicals and relative energies in kJ mol⁻¹ of stationary points on the potential energy surface relative to that of the initial reactants, $PIPC^2 + O_2$. Saddle points are highlighted in bold font; the sine wave represents the pseudorotation consisting of alternate skew and boat conformations. Results from $CCSD(T^*)$ -F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations; the underlying quantum chemistry data are collected in Table S11.

conformation, and the 140 kJ mol⁻¹ barrier to reaction 9d is a result of the long distance between the (O)O and H atoms in C⁵ position. In general, the barriers to H-transfer are substantially higher than the barriers between the conformations. Consequently, the PIPC²OO conformers will exist in equilibrium throughout the reaction, and the complex conformational pathways illustrated in Figure 6 may therefore be modeled by simple equilibria. Figure 7 presents a simplified outline of the links between stationary points on the PES of the unimolecular PIPC²OO radical reactions, in which the conformational interchange processes are indicated by dashed curves and routes 9d, having high barriers, have been omitted for simplicity. The same applies to routes 9b and 9c within the ae conformational subspace, as these routes have high barriers of 137 and 152 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively.

A total of 8 pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew PIPC²OO conformations were located with 18–50 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energy than the ea chair form. The relative population of these conformations is <0.1%, and the linked saddle point energies to reactions 9a–e are all located at higher energies than the corresponding saddle points linked to the chair forms. It can therefore be concluded that the skew conformations will only have minute impact on the kinetics of the internal H-transfer reactions in PIPC²OO.

The reaction sequence 8-10 was simulated in master equation calculations based on the PESes sketched in Figures 6 and 7, including O₂-sinks for the intermediate alkyl radicals formed in 9c-9e. The rate coefficient of reaction 8 is in the order of 10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, and the PIPC² radical will consequently not be fully thermalized at the time of peroxy radical formation. Assuming equipartitioning of the reaction enthalpy in reaction 1b and ~10 quenching collisions before reaction, PIPC² could bring as much as an additional ~60 kJ mol⁻¹ into reaction 8.

Assuming $k_{\text{PIPC}^2+O_2} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$, $k_{\text{PIPC}^2\text{OO+NO}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ and that PIPC² is vibrationally quenched at the time of reaction, the calculations indicate a PIP-IM yield of >99% for a mixing ratio of NO = 1 ppb; the PIP-IM yield will be slightly lower (still >97%) if the PIPC² is vibrationally excited by +60 kJ mol⁻¹ at the time of reaction. A concurrent increase in the barriers to imine formation and decrease in the barriers to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine formation by 4 kJ mol⁻¹ lowers the PIP-Im yield to ~98.5%, which leads to the



Figure 7. Stationary points on the potential energy surface relevant to the internal H-transfer reactions in the 2-piperidinyl peroxy radical. The high-barrier route 9d as well as routes 9b and 9c within the ae conformational have been left out for the sake of clarity. Top: ea and aa manifold. Bottom: ae and ee manifold. The dashed curves mimic the complex conformational links illustrated in Figure 6. Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. The underlying quantum chemistry data for all routes in reaction 9 are included in Table S11.

conclusion that PIP-IM is the by far dominating product in the PIP-C² + O₂ reaction under atmospheric conditions. In chamber experiments with high-NOx conditions of, e.g., 50 ppb NO, the PIP-IM yield is lowered to ~92%, and the upper limits for PIPC²O and 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine formation are estimated to be 7 and 1%, respectively.

3.1.2.3. Atmospheric Fate of the 3-Piperidinyl Radical. The theoretical calculations predict a 13% yield of the 3piperidinyl radical (PIPC³) in reaction 1. Under atmospheric conditions, the PIPC³ radical will add O_2 forming a vibrationally excited peroxy radical, PIPC³OO[‡], which may initiate several internal H-transfer reactions before being quenched by collisions and reaction with NO. There are four distinct chair forms of the PIPC³OO peroxy radical (ee, ea, ae, and aa); the aa form has the lowest energy followed by ee $(+1.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$, ae $(+3.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$, and aa $(+3.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$. The ae and ee and the ea and aa conformers are connected via relatively low barriers of \sim 20 kJ mol⁻¹ to N-inversion, whereas the ea and ae and the aa and ee conformers are connected via higher barriers of around 40 kJ mol⁻¹ involving a series of linked skew and boat conformers; internal pseudorotation in PIPC³OO is similar to the setting outlined in Figure 6 for PIPC²OO. The energies and conformational pathways involving skew and boat forms of PIPC³OO were not investigated in detail, but a total of 12 pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew PIPC³OO conformations were located with 21-32 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energy than the aa chair form. The relative population of these conformations is <0.1% in total, and it is presumed that internal H-transfer reactions involving the PIPC³OO skew conformers can be omitted in modeling the atmospheric fate of the PIPC³OO radical.

Excluding the high barrier 1,6-H transfer reactions from C^6 position (cf. Section 3.1.2.2) involving a skew conformation, the possible routes are

Not all routes of reaction 12 are feasible within each of the four conformational subspaces of the PIPC³OO radical. Route 12a is only possible in the aa conformation, and route 12d is only accessible in the aa and ea conformations. The aa conformation routes 12b and 12c and the ea conformation route 12c can be characterized as irreversible, concerted 1,4 H-transfer/C–O bond scission reactions proceeding via HO₂ complexes with tetrahydropyridines on the exit sides. The QOOH radical formed in the ee conformer route 12b has an additional barrier of around 60 kJ mol⁻¹ to HOO ejection; the latter detail has not been included in Figure 8 illustrating the energetics of the PIPC³OO radical H-transfer reactions. The complex conformational pathways linking the ea and ae conformers, and the aa and ee conformers are represented by dashed parabolic curves.

The reaction sequence 11-13 was simulated in master equation calculations based on the PES sketched in Figure 8,



Figure 8. Stationary points on the potential energy surface relevant to the internal H-transfer reactions in the 3-piperidinyl peroxy radical. Top: ea and aa manifold. Bottom: ae and ee manifold. The dashed curves mimic the complicated conformational links between the ea and ae and the ee and aa conformers. Results from $CCSD(T^*)$ -F12a/ aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. The underlying quantum chemistry data are collected in Table S12.

including O₂-sinks ($k_{\text{QOOH+O}_2} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) for the intermediate alkyl radicals formed in 12b–12d and an aminyl radical O₂ sink ($k_{\text{RN+O}_2} = 10^{-17} - 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, see Section 3.1.2.1). The rate coefficient of reaction 11 is in the order of 10^{-11} cm^3 molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, and the PIPĊ³ radical will consequently not be fully thermalized at the time of peroxy radical formation. Assuming equipartitioning of the reaction enthalpy in reaction 1c and ~10 quenching collisions before reaction, PIPĊ³ could bring as much as an additional ~40 kJ mol⁻¹ into reaction 11.

A quick perusal of Figure 8 hints that only routes 12a and 12d for the aa conformer and route 12b for the ee conformer may compete with the PIPC³OO + NO sink (reaction 13). Assuming $k_{13} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (see above) and that PIPC³ is vibrationally quenched at the time of

reaction, the calculations indicate a PIPC³O yield of 57, 36% of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine and 7% of the CH₂CHCH₂CH(OOH)CH₂NH radical for a mixing ratio of NO = 20 ppt. In the case that PIPC³ carries 40 kJ mol⁻¹ additional internal energy into reaction 11, the branching becomes completely different: 13% PIPC³O, 85% 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine, and 2% CH₂CHCH₂CH(OOH)CH₂NH. The branching is only slightly sensitive to $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{down}$ —a decrease of $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{down}$ from 250 to 150 cm⁻¹ only changes the above-mentioned yields to 52, 41, and 6%. A simultaneous decrease of all barriers to H-transfer by 4 kJ mol⁻¹ lowers the PIPC³O yield to 45%, whereas 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine and the CH₂CHCH₂CH(OOH)CH₂NH radical yields increase to 18 and 47%, respectively.

Under atmospheric conditions with NO ≥ 1 ppb, the yields become >98% PIPC³O and <2% 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine when PIPC³ is vibrationally quenched at the time of reaction with O₂, and ~87% PIPC³O and ~13% 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine when an additional 40 kJ mol⁻¹ internal energy is carried over into the reaction with O₂. In summary, the product distribution of the reaction sequence 11–13 is very dependent on the NO level and the extent of collisional quenching prior to the PIPC³ + O₂ reaction. Considering the uncertainties involved in the reaction modeling, we tentatively suggest that the reaction sequence 11–13 results in 85 ± 10% PIPC³O and 15 ± 10% 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine.

The PIPC³O radical also exists in four distinct chair forms; the aa form has the lowest energy, followed by ae (+0.5 kJ mol^{-1}), ee (+3.4 kJ mol⁻¹), and ea (+11.3 kJ mol⁻¹), the latter being metastable with a barrier of only 5.8 kJ mol⁻¹ (M062X/ aug-cc-pVTZ) to the aa form. The CC//M062X calculations place the saddle point 5.6 kJ mol⁻¹ lower in energy than the ea form, but, as the diagnostic values of the CCSD(T) calculation are rather high $(T_1 = 0.026, D_1 = 0.148)$, the single-referencebased method will likely not give highly accurate results. In any case, the PIPC³O ea conformer will spontaneously rearrange to the aa conformer. The ae and ee conformers are connected via a relatively low barrier of ~ 20 kJ mol⁻¹ to N-inversion, whereas the ea and ae and the aa and ee conformers are, presumably, connected via higher barriers of around 40 kJ mol⁻¹ involving a series of linked skew and boat conformers constituting internal pseudorotation in PIPC³O resembling the situation in PIPC³OO (12 pairs of pseudoenantiomeric skew PIPC³O conformations were located with 21-40 kJ mol⁻¹ higher energy than the aa chair form).

The PIPC³O radical will either undergo H-abstraction of the α -hydrogen atom by O₂, ring rupture, or internal H-transfer reactions. The enthalpies of reaction given below refer to the aa conformation.

ΔH^{Θ} = -129 kJ mol ⁻¹		$+ 0_2 \rightarrow$	O=C-NH + HO ₂	(14)
ΔH^{Θ} = -56 kJ mol ⁻¹	OCHNH	$\stackrel{1,4\text{-H}}{\longleftrightarrow}$	HOCH_N·	(15a)
ΔH^{Θ} = -20 kJ mol ⁻¹		$\xrightarrow{1,4-H}$	HOCHNH HCNH	(15b)
ΔH^{Θ} = -39 kJ mol ⁻¹		$\stackrel{1,5-H}{\longleftrightarrow}$	HOCH .NH .CH	(15c)
ΔH^{Θ} = -9 kJ mol ⁻¹	ÖCHNH	\rightarrow	CHOCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ NHĊH ₂	(16a)
ΔH^{\odot} = +30 kJ mol ⁻¹		\rightarrow	CHOCH ₂ NHCH ₂ CH ₂ ĊH ₂	(16b)

Not all routes in reaction 15 are feasible within each of the four conformational subspaces of the PIPC³O radical. Route 15a is only possible in the aa conformation, and route 15b is only accessible in the aa and ea conformations. The barriers to routes 15a and 15b are calculated to be well above the entrance energy of reactants, but the barriers to 15c are below; the 1,5-H shift reaction occurs via envelope-like saddle points (SP1^{15c}) linking the chair conformations of PIPC³O to a skew conformer (Skew^{15c}) and a boat conformer (SP2^{15c}). Importantly, the barriers to C^2-C^3 scission (route 16a) are very low -7.2 (aa), 11.8 (ae), and 7.1 kJ mol⁻¹ (ee)—whereas the barriers to $C^3 - C^4$ scission (route 16b) are much higher: 50.3 (aa), 54.1 (ae), and 47.5 kJ mol⁻¹ (ee). Figure 9 illustrates the links between stationary points on the PES of the unimolecular PIPC³O radical reactions; the complex conformational pathways linking the ea and ae conformers and the aa and ee conformers are represented by dashed parabolic curves.

A quick perusal of Figure 9 leaves little doubt that route 16a will dominate the atmospheric fate of PIPC³O. To confirm, the competition between reactions 14–16 was simulated in master equation calculations based on the PES sketched in Figure 9, including O₂ sinks for radicals formed in reaction 15, $k_{>CH+O_2} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ and an O₂ sink for the 1-aminyl radical, $k_{>N+O_2} = 1.0 \times 10^{-17}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹; see Section 3.1.2.1. Assuming $k_{14} = 1.8 \times 10^{-14}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ and equipartition of the enthalpy in reaction 13, the calculations show >99.9% yield of the CHOCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂NHCH₂ radical under atmospheric conditions. It makes essentially no difference to the yields if all the available enthalpy in reaction 13 is placed in the oxy-radical.

The CHOCH₂CH₂CH₂NHCH₂ radical will add O_2 , resulting in a vibrationally excited peroxy radical that may initiate internal H-transfer reactions before being quenched by collision and reaction with NO. There are five possible internal H-transfer reactions, of which the 1,4-H transfer route turns out to be irreversible and results in imine formation via a post reaction complex with HO₂

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -132 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2 + O_2$$

$$\rightarrow \text{CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{OO}^{\ddagger}$$
(17)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +39 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{OO}^{\ddagger}$$
$$\xrightarrow{1,4\text{H}} \text{CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N} = \text{CH}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \qquad (18a)$$

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +29 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,5-\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\dot{\text{CHNHCH}}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(18b)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +58 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,6-\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{CHOCH}_2 \dot{\text{CHOCH}}_2 \text{NHCH}_2 \text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(18c)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,7-H}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{CHOCHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(18d)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +16 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\rightleftharpoons \dot{C}(O)CH_2CH_2CH_2NHCH_2OOH^{\ddagger}$$
(18e)



Figure 9. Stationary points on the potential energy surface relevant to the unimolecular reactions of the 3-piperidinyl oxy radical, PIPC³O. For the sake of simplicity, the high-barrier 1,4-H transfer reactions 15a and 15b have not been included in the figure. Top: ea and aa manifold. Bottom: ae and ee manifold. The dashed curves mimic the complicated conformational links between the ea and ae and the ee and aa conformers. Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. The underlying quantum chemistry data are collected in Table S13.

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -48 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{O}\dot{O} + \text{NO}$$
$$\rightarrow \text{CHOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\dot{O} + \text{NO}_2 \tag{19}$$

Considering the internal energy available in $CHOCH_2CH_2CH_2NHCH_2OO^{\ddagger}$, there is a plethora of conformations accessible, and the lowest barriers to reactions 18a through 18e were found to be 77, 91, 102, 83, and 64 kJ mol⁻¹ (the rotational barriers linking the various conformations are lower and can be disregarded). The links between stationary points on the PES of reactions 17–18 are sketched in Figure S8, and the underlying quantum chemistry data are collected in Table S14.

The competition between reactions 18 and 19 was modeled in master equation calculations including O₂ sinks with typical values of 1×10^{-11} for the alkyl⁹² and 5×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the acyl radicals formed in reactions 18b through 18e. Taking $k_{19} = 1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, the calculations show less than 1% yield of the oxy radical for NO levels below 40 ppb and a branching of 26:1:0:1:72 in reaction 18. Changing k_{18e} by a factor of 2 has virtually no impact on the branching, but setting $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{\rm down}$ = 150 cm⁻¹ changes the branching to 64:1:0:1:34. The barrier to imine formation, reaction 18a, is of the same height as in CH₃NHCH₂OO,⁹³ and, although the 1,8-H transfer reaction has the lowest barrier, it is somewhat surprising finding this channel being predominant despite the entropic penalty that accompanies formation of a nine-member cyclic transition state.⁹⁴ Regarding the barrier heights, the branching is essentially only sensitive to the barrier to imine formation in route 18a. Assuming an uncertainty in this barrier of $\pm 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $\langle \Delta E \rangle_{\text{down}}$ to be somewhere in the region $150-250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ confines the predicted branching 18a: 18e to the rather wide range 13-64:84-34. There are obviously additional saddle point conformers to each reaction route. However, it makes virtually no difference to the calculated branching if the second lowest energy saddle point conformers are included in the calculations. Considering the assumptions and approximations involved in the quantum chemistry and the master equation model, we conclude that the imine and acyl radical yields in reactions 17-19 are around 40 and 60 \pm 20%, respectively, under atmospheric conditions.

The $\dot{C}(O)CH_2CH_2CH_2NHCH_2OOH$ acyl radical is expected to add O_2 forming a highly vibrationally excited peroxy acyl radical that may induce internal H-transfer reactions before being quenched and reacting with NO or NO₂. Of the six possible internal H-transfer reactions, the 1,8-H transfer turns out to be irreversible and resulting in OH regeneration and amide formation

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -166 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \dot{C}(O)(CH_2)_3 \text{NHCH}_2 \text{OOH} + O_2$$

$$\rightarrow \dot{O}OC(O)(CH_2)_3 \text{NHCH}_3 \text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(20)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -18 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \dot{O}OC(O)CH_2CH_2CH_2NHCH_2OOH^{\ddagger}$$
$$\underset{HOOC}{\overset{1,4-H}{\longleftrightarrow}}HOOC(O)\dot{C}HCH_2CH_2NHCH_2OOH^{\ddagger} (21a)$$

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +16 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,5-\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\dot{\text{C}}\text{HCH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(21b)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -32 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,6-\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\dot{\text{C}}\text{HNHCH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(21c)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = + 11 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,7-\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\dot{\text{N}}\text{CH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger} (21\text{d})$$

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -210 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{l,8-H}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{NHCHO} + \text{OH}$$
(21e)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = -128 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \dot{O}OC(O)(CH_2)_3 \text{NHCH}_2 \text{OOH} + \text{NO}_2$$

$$\Rightarrow O_2 \text{NOOC}(O)(CH_2)_3 \text{NHCH}_2 \text{OOH}^{\ddagger}$$
(22)

 $\Delta H^{\Theta} = -138 \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \dot{O}OC(O)(CH_2)_3 \text{NHCH}_2 \text{OOH} + \text{NO}$

$$\rightarrow CH_2(CH_2)_2NHCH_2OOH + NO_2 + CO_2$$
(23)

Again, there is a plethora of $OOC(O)(CH_2)_3NHCH_2OOH$ conformations accessible, and the lowest barriers to reactions 21a through 21f are found to be 113, 86, 53, 76, 61, and 52 kJ mol⁻¹ at the M062X/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. Although energy results obtained at this level of theory should be viewed with some caution (CC//M062X calculations on these species are very memory and CPU time demanding), it is clear that routes 21c and 21f will be dominant. Consequently, modeling the atmospheric fate of $C(O)(CH_2)_3NHCH_2OOH$ requires inclusion of the additional four possible internal H-transfer reactions that the intermediate peroxy radical, HOOC(O)-CH₂CH₂CH₂NHCH₂OO[‡], formed in 21f, may initiate before quenching, and reaction with NO. It turns out that the 1,4-H shift leading to imine formation is irreversible and proceeding via a complex with HO₂ on the exit side.

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +86 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{NHCHOO}^{\ddagger}$$

$$\xrightarrow{1,4-\text{H}} \text{HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{N} = \text{CH}_{2} + \text{HOO}$$
(24a)

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
$$\stackrel{\text{l,5-H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC(O)CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CHNHCH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger} \quad (24b)$$

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +54 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{^{1,6-\text{H}}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\dot{\text{C}}\text{HCH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger} (24c)$$

$$\Delta H^{\Theta} = +20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\stackrel{1,7-\text{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{HOOC}(\text{O})\dot{\text{C}}\text{HCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{OOH}^{\ddagger} (24\text{d})$$

$$H^{\Theta} = -38 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{O}\dot{O} + \text{NO}$$

Δ

$$\rightarrow \text{HOOC}(\text{O})\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2}\text{NHCH}_{2}\dot{O} + \text{NO}_{2}$$
(25)

The barriers to reactions 24a-24d are calculated to be 98, 79, 106, and 84 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively; route 24b, having the lowest barrier, leads to the same radical as 21c.

The links between the stationary points on the PES of reactions 20–24 are sketched in Figure S9, while the quantum chemistry data are collected in Table S15. The competition between reactions 20–25 was estimated in master equation calculations including O₂ sinks with typical values of 1×10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the alkyl radicals formed in reactions 21 and 24, and $k_{22} = 1 \times 10^{-11}$ and $k_{23} = 2 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (the values for the peroxyacetyl radical),⁹² and a typical value of 9×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for k_{25} .⁹² Reaction 22 was initially treated as irreversible to estimate the maximum amount of the PAN-like compound that could possibly be formed; the model shows that reactions 22 and 23 can be ignored for NO and NO₂ levels below 100 ppb.

The importance of reaction 25 is subject to the assumed level of NO. For remote areas with NO levels of 20 ppt, the

model predicts a small yield of ~2% oxy radical formation, whereas the sum of routes 21b and 24c accounts for ~84% and route 23d for ~14%. In urban areas with NO levels of 1–10 ppb, the oxy radical yield is predicted to fall in the region 37–60% with the sum of routes 21b and 24c accounting for ~38% and route 24d for only ~1%.

The QOOH radicals formed in reactions 21 and 24 will add O_2 , resulting in a highly vibrationally excited peroxy radicals that each may induce further internal H-transfer reactions before being quenched and/or reacting with NO. Also, the alkoxy radical formed in reaction 25 may initiate internal H-transfer reactions, in particular H-transfer from the peroxyacid group, competing with dissociation and H-abstraction by O_2 . These autoxidation reactions will, however, not be pursued further here. The first steps in the atmospheric reactions the PIPC³ radical are outlined in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. Atmospheric Reactions of the 3-Piperidinyl Radical $(\text{PIPC}^3)^a$



^aThermally stable products are typeset in bold font; radical sites are indicated in red.

3.1.2.4. Atmospheric Fate of the 4-Piperidinyl Radical. The theoretical calculations predict around 2% yield of the 4piperidinyl radical (PIPC⁴) in reaction 1; details on the atmospheric reactions of PIPC⁴ are placed and illustrated in Figures S10–S11 in the Supporting Information (underlying quantum chemistry data are collected in Tables S16–S17). In summary, the major products following H-abstraction from C⁴ are projected to be ~20% CHOCH₂CH₂NHCH₂CH₂, ~30% 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridin-4-ol and ~50% piperidin-4-one. The alkyl radical, CHOCH₂CH₂NHCH₂CH₂, is expected to undergo autoxidation reactions similar to those outlined for CHOCH₂CH₂CH₂NHCH₂ in Section 3.1.2.3.

3.1.2.5. Photo-Oxidation Mechanism. Scheme 3 summarizes the major atmospheric degradation routes of PIP obtained from master equation calculations based on quantum Scheme 3. Major Routes for the OH Initiated Photo-Oxidation of Piperidine under Atmospheric Conditions as Resulting From Theoretical Calculations^a



^aConservative limits to estimated branchings are given in parentheses; thermally stable products are typeset in bold font; radical sites are indicated in red.

chemistry results detailed in the previous sections. Tentative limit estimates to the calculated branching in the initial PIP + OH reaction are given in parentheses.

Scheme 3 shows that the four routes in the PIP + OH reaction result in different products, and it should therefore, in principle, be possible to quantify the initial branching in photo-oxidation experiments. The two dominating H-abstraction routes (C^2 and N^1) are estimated to account for 85% of the PIP reactivity toward OH, both result in 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine (PIP-IM) as the major primary product. The products resulting in the autoxidation following H-abstraction from C^3 are highly functionalized, and they are therefore very likely to partition into the particle phase.

3.2. Experimental Results. We first report results from kinetic studies of the PIP + OH reaction carried out in the EUPHORE atmospheric simulation chamber B. We then focus on results from PIP-NO photolysis experiments before addressing the atmospheric photo-oxidation of PIP and the initial branching between H-abstraction from the NH and CH₂ groups in the PIP + OH reaction. Finally, we present results from studies of the particles formed during the PIP photo-oxidation experiments.

3.2.1. Piperidine + OH Reaction Kinetics. Two relative rate experiments were carried out on 2016.07.19 employing three reference compounds: styrene, 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, and isoprene. Acetonitrile was added as an inert tracer to monitor the apparent dilution by purified air that was constantly added to compensate for leakage and continuous sampling by the air monitors ($k_{OH+CH_3CN} = 2.2 \times 10^{-14}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K).⁹² OH radicals were generated employing 2-propylnitrite (IPN) as the precursor: CH₃CH(ONO)CH₃ + $h\nu \rightarrow$ CH₃CH(\dot{O})CH₃ + NO; CH₃CH(\dot{O})CH₃ + $O_2 \rightarrow$ CH₃C(O)-CH₃ + HO₂; HO₂ + NO \rightarrow OH + NO₂.

Figure 10 displays the time profiles of acetonitrile, styrene, 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, piperidine, and isoprene (detected at m/z 42.034, 105.070, 121.102, 86.095, and 69.070, respectively) during the first of the two kinetic experiments (1014 \pm 2 hPa, 304 \pm 2 K). The apparent dilution rate, due to air replenishment, was $8.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and the PIP wall loss rate, derived from the decay prior to adding IPN, was around 3 times larger ($k_{\text{dillution}} + k_{\text{wall}} = 3.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$). As can be seen, the reference compounds wall losses are practically negligible. Results from the second kinetic experiment are presented in Figure S12.

Preliminary findings from a kinetic experiment, in which the OH reactivities of PIP, dimethyl- and methylpropylamine were compared relative to tetrahydrofuran and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, have appeared as part of the "Atmospheric Degradation of Amines" (ADA) project summary report.¹⁷ The data have been reanalyzed, and the results are presented in Figure S13.

Table S18 summarizes the kinetic results and includes the currently recommended values for the absolute OH rate coefficients for the reference compounds.⁹⁵ The relative rate data obtained with isoprene as reference results in an almost 50% larger absolute rate coefficient for the PIP + OH reaction than the average of all data. We tentatively suggest that there is an interference in the m/z 69.070 ion signal, attributed to isoprene, and offer the average value of $(1.19 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-10}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ from the other reference compounds as a best estimate for the piperidine + OH rate coefficient at 1014 \pm 2 hPa and 304 \pm 2 K.

There was a moderate particle formation during the kinetic experiments (see also Section 3.2.4 later). The particle formation was not characterized in detail, and the kinetic data have therefore not been corrected for gas-particle transfer as was done in our previous piperazine study,⁸¹ where

600







Figure 10. Top panel: Time evolution of acetonitrile, styrene, 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, piperidine (PIP), and isoprene during the first kinetic experiment on 2016.07.19. Bottom panel: relative rate plots showing the decays of piperidine, styrene, and 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene in the presence OH radicals. For the sake of clarity, the data have been displaced along the abscissa. The data have been corrected for dilution due to chamber air replenishment and wall loss. Uncertainties given are 3 times the standard error of the least-squares fit.

 $\ln\{[\text{Ref}]_0/[\text{Ref}]_t\} - (k_{\text{wall}} + k_{\text{dillution}}) \cdot t$

information on the particle composition was available. Inclusion of gas-particle transfer in the analyses of the kinetic data for piperazine resulted in a lowering of the rate coefficient by \sim 7%. Since the particle formation in the piperidine experiments is much smaller than in the corresponding piperazine experiments, we are confident that derived piperidine rate coefficient is not severely affected by particle formation.

3.2.2. 1-Nitrosopiperidine Photolysis Studies. Warning. N-Nitrosopiperidine should be handled with utmost caution; it is reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen based on sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in experimental animals.⁹⁶

Three photolysis experiments were carried out in the EUPHORE chamber B under different NOx conditions in 2011; preliminary findings have appeared as part of the "Atmospheric Degradation of Amines" (ADA) project

summary report that also contains information on monitor and $j_{\rm NO_2}$ calibration.¹⁷ A subsequent quality control of the project data showed nonlinearity effects in the PTR-ToF-MS microchannel plate (MCP) detector warranting reanalysis of the PIP-NO photolysis data.¹⁸

In the photolysis experiments, SF₆ and varying amounts of NO, NO₂ (NO + O₃) were injected into the chamber prior to adding the nitrosamine in a stream of N₂. Excess cyclohexane (~2 ppm) was included in order to quantify the amount of OH radicals formed following PIP-NO photolysis (PIP-NO + $h\nu \rightarrow \text{PIPN} + \text{NO}$; PIPN + O₂ \rightarrow PIP-IM + HO₂; HO₂ + NO \rightarrow OH + NO₂). When the substances were well mixed, the chamber canopy was opened to natural sunlight and the nitrosamine was largely photolyzed within 30 min. Figures S14 and S15 illustrates *p*, *T*, *j*_{NO₂}, NO, NO₂, and O₃ during the experiments.

Figure 11 shows the time profiles of PIP-NO (m/z 115.087, $C_5H_{11}N_2O^+$), PIP-NO₂ (m/z 131.082, $C_5H_{11}N_2O^+$), and PIP-



Figure 11. PTR-TOF-MS ion signals (ncps) observed during the 1nitrosopiperidine photolysis experiment on 2011.04.05. (a) Start injection of PIP-NO, (b) start injection of cyclohexane, and (c) open chamber canopy to solar irradiation.

IM $(m/z 84.081, C_5H_{10}N^+)$ during the photolysis experiment on 2011.04.05. In addition to signals obviously related to cyclohexanone (see below), only three ion signals were growing in with intensities above 1% of the intensity loss in the PIP-NO ion signal: m/z 69.070 (C₅H₉⁺), 71.050 $(C_4H_7O^+)$, and 85.065 $(C_5H_9O^+)$. It should be noted that the three additional signals all grow with intensities below 2% of the intensity loss in the PIP-NO ion signal, and we abstain from presenting a definite molecular interpretation. An inspection of the ion signals observed in the time period before opening the chamber canopy to sunlight reveals that [PIP-NO]H⁺ hardly fragments at the instrumental settings employed (E/N = 88 Td): 99.7% m/z 115.087 (protonated molecule), $\sim 0.1\%$ m/z 84.081 (HNO ejection), and $\sim 0.2\%$ m/ z 85.089 (NO ejection). PIP-NO₂ calibration experiments show that [PIP-NO₂]H⁺ fragments slightly more: ~97% m/z131.082 (protonated molecule), ~2% m/z 84.081 (HNO₂ ejection), and ~1% m/z 85.089 (NO₂ ejection).

It is obvious that the temporal imine signal at m/z 84.082 cannot possibly be due to reaction with OH radicals alone, but

that particle formation must be involved. Figure 12 shows the particle number concentration and particle size distribution



Figure 12. Particle number concentration and particle size distribution from SMPS measurements during the 2011.04.05 photolysis experiment in the EUPHORE atmospheric simulation chamber B. (a) Start injection of PIP-NO, (b) start injection of cyclohexane, and (c) open chamber canopy to solar irradiation.

from scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) measurements during the experiment. Assuming that the particle formation is a result of PIP-IM salt formation with HNO₃ (from NO₂ + OH), the observed particle mass growth corresponds to a transfer of ~30 ppb PIP-IM from the gas to the particle phase. It is therefore clear that the temporal gas-phase profile of PIP-IM should be interpreted with caution. The two other experiments were carried out under NO*x* conditions suppressing imine formation to the extent that the instrument signal of cyclohexane (m/z 84.094, C₆H₁₂⁺) was interfering with the PIP-IM signal (m/z 84.082) impeding its quantification. On the other hand, the particle formation and gas-phase mass transfer were much smaller in these experiments, as shown in Figure S12.

The photolysis experiments were modeled according to the generic reactions in Scheme 1, with the constraint $k_{4b}/k_{4a} < 0.01$ from our theoretical study of the atmospheric PIPN radical reactions; see Section 3.1.2.1. The model takes NO, NO₂, j_{NO_2} , and the OH-field as input; the monitor values for NO and NO₂ were cross-calibrated against results from FTIR.¹⁷ In addition to the photolysis and OH reactions, all compounds also experience wall loss and apparent loss due to air replenishment compensating for air drawn from the chamber by instruments and other sampling. The dilution loss rate coefficient, $k_{dilution}$, was determined by monitoring the inert tracer, SF₆, by FTIR. The first order wall loss of PIP-NO, k_{wall} , was obtained from the apparent PIP-NO loss before opening of the chamber canopy; the photolysis model assumes that PIP-NO₂ and PIP-IM face the same wall loss as PIP-NO.

The OH radical density was retrieved from the temporal profile of cyclohexanone formed in the cyclohexane + OH reaction ($C_6H_{12} + OH \rightarrow C_6H_{11} + H_2O$; $C_6H_{11} + O_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{11}O\dot{O}$; $C_6H_{11}O\dot{O} + NO \rightarrow C_6H_{11}\dot{O} + NO_2$; $C_6H_{11}\dot{O} + O_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{10}O + HO_2$) presuming the cyclohexane concentration and the rate coefficients for OH reaction with cyclohexane and cyclohexanone (6.7 and 6.4 × 10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K).⁹⁵ The cyclohexane mixing ratio was quantified by FTIR and the cyclohexanone mixing ratio by PTR-TOF-MS; the

derived OH radical density was found to be as high as 6×10^{6} cm⁻³ during the initial phase and continuously decreasing throughout the photolysis experiments, and OH radical reactions could potentially represent significant loss processes. However, the nitroso group has been found to reduce the OH reactivity of $(CH_3)_2$ NNO by an order of magnitude^{97,98} vis-à-vis that of the perferent amine,⁹⁵ and the same is the case for the nitro group.⁹⁹ Also imines are less reactive than their amine analogues.^{100–102} In accordance with the experimental evidence showing insignificant additional compounds being formed in the photolysis experiments (Figure 11), the OH reactivities of PIP-NO, PIP-NO₂, and PIP-IM were constrained to be an order of magnitude smaller than that of piperidine itself (1.2×10^{-10} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, see Section 3.2.1).

Figure 13 illustrates the quality of PIP-NO photolysis modeling under natural sunlight conditions. The agreement



Figure 13. Observed and calculated mixing ratios of 1-nitroso piperidine, 1-nitropiperidine, and 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine during the 1-nitrosopiperidine photolysis experiments on 2011.04.05 and 2011.06.06.

between experiment and model (r.m.s. 2.5 ppbV) is very pleasing considering the model constraints, and the inherent uncertainties in the monitor values for NOx and the actinic flux. The derived parameters $-j_{\rm rel} = 0.342 \pm 0.007$, $k_3/k_{4a} = 0.53 \pm 0.05$, and $k_2/k_{4a} = (7.66 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-8}$ (3σ error limits) – are only slightly correlated (largest element [$j_{\rm rel}$, k_3/k_{4a}] = 0.88) and fall in the range reported from other nitrosamine photolysis studies.²⁰ Disregarding the theoretical upper limit of $k_{4b}/k_{4a} < 0.01$ and including k_{4b}/k_{4a} in the

nonlinear least-squares fitting procedure results in a slightly better fit with an r.m.s. = 1.491 ppbV and slightly different parameters: $j_{\rm rel} = 0.340 \pm 0.004$, $k_3/k_{4a} = 0.464 \pm 0.030$, $k_{4b}/k_{4a} = 0.119 \pm 0.008$ and $k_2/k_{4a} = (5.58 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-8}$ (3σ error limits). The associated correlation matrix has two significant elements: $[j_{\rm rel}, k_3/k_{4a}] = 0.87$ and $[k_{4b}/k_{4a}, k_3/k_{4a}] = -0.80$. However, a value of $k_{4b}/k_{4a} = 0.119$ is in utter disagreement with the theoretical results (Section 3.1.2.1) and must be dismissed as a fitting artifact.

Concerning OH-initiated oxidation during the experiments, the model simulations imply that up to 6% of the PIP-NO, PIP-NO₂, and PIP-IM gas phase losses during the experiments were due to reaction with OH-radicals, cf. the additional weak ion signals observed in the experiments.

3.2.3. Piperidine Photo-Oxidation Studies. Four PIP photo-oxidation experiments were carried out under sunny conditions in July 2016; IPN was slowly injected to the chamber during three of the experiments to boost the photo-oxidation relative to competing gas phase loss processes in the chamber. The experiments were carried out under diverse NOx conditions (Table S19) attempting to enhance the three PIPN radical reaction routes selectively; see Scheme 1.

Calibration experiments show that PIP fragments significantly at the instrument settings employed; at E/N = 105 Td, the fragmentation is 87% m/z 86.097 ($C_5H_{12}N^+$, protonated molecule) and 13% m/z 84.082 ($C_5H_{10}N^+$, H_2 -loss), the latter coinciding with the ion signal of protonated PIP-IM. At E/N = 65 Td, the fragmentation is less: 93% m/z 86.097 and 7% m/z 84.082. PIP-NO₂ and PIP-NO both exhibit minor, but insignificant fragmentation in the present context; see Section 3.2.2.

Figure 14 exemplifies the observed time evolution of the focal molecules ion signals recorded during a photo-oxidation experiment; the time profiles of other ion signals, increasing by more than 1% of the decrease in the PIP m/z 86.097 signal during the photo-oxidation experiments, are displayed in



Figure 14. Normalized counts per second (ncps) for m/z 86.097 (protonated piperidine, PIPH⁺), m/z 84.082 (protonated 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine, [PIP-IM]H⁺, as well as fragment of PIPH⁺), m/z 115.094 (protonated 1-nitrosopiperidine, [PIP-NO]H⁺), and m/z 131.083 (protonated 1-nitropiperidine, [PIP-NO₂]H⁺) observed during the piperidine photo-oxidation experiment on 2016.07.12. (a) Chamber canopy opened to solar radiation. (b) IPN injection started. (c) IPN injection stopped. (d) Chamber canopy closed.

Figure S17. The temporal variation in the NO, NO₂, and O₃ mixing ratios and in j_{NO_2} are recorded in Figure S18. Note that j_{NO_2} is a factor of 2 larger during the photo-oxidation experiments than in the PIP-NO photolysis experiments (see above) and that the lifetime of PIP-NO in the photo-oxidation experiments is estimated to be less than 6 min. The mass peaks pertinent to the PIP photo-oxidation experiments are summarized in Table 1; a more complete list of observed

Table 1. Major PTR-TOF-MS Ion Signals Observed during OH Initiated Piperidine Photo-Oxidation Experiments^a

exact m/z	ion sum formula	interpretation and comments
71.050	$C_4H_7O^+$	unidentified product, also observed in PIPNO photolysis experiments
74.024	$C_2H_4NO_2^+$	unidentified product from autoxidation following H-abstraction from C ³
84.081	$C_5H_{10}N^+$	2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine (PIP-IM), [PIPH] ⁺ fragment
86.097	$C_5H_{12}N^+$	piperidine (PIP)
98.061	$C_5H_8NO^+$	secondary product: 4,5-dihydropyridin-2(3H)- one
100.076	$C_5H_{10}NO^+$	piperidin-4-one (PIPC ⁴ ==O), CHOCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ N = CH ₂ , 2,3,4,5- tetrahydropyridin-4-ol
114.092	$C_6H_{12}NO^+$	piperidine-1-carbaldehyde, condensation product of PIP and HCOOH
115.087	$C_5H_{11}N_2O^+$	1-nitrosopiperidine (PIP-NO)
131.082	$C_5H_{11}N_2O_2^+$	1-nitropiperidine (PIP-NO2)

^{*a*}Only ion signals increasing by more than 2% of the m/z 86.097 ion signal decrease during the time of photo-oxidation are included. Signals due to isotopes and established chamber artifacts are not included.

ion signals including our interpretation is compiled in Table S20, from which one may note that the average difference between observed and exact m/z is < 0.002.

Figure 14 establishes that PIP has a recognizable surface affinity, and that there was only a minor additional drop in the m/z 86.097 ion signal ascribable to reaction with OH radicals during the first 30 min after opening the chamber canopy to solar radiation. Upon the injection of IPN (0.1 μ L min⁻¹), the PIP gas phase loss increased and the ion signals associated with the primary products—PIP-IM (m/z 84.081) PIP-NO (m/z 115.087), and PIP-NO₂ (m/z 131.082)—developed at a faster rate. It is also clear from the graph that PIP-IM is removed from the gas phase quite rapidly during the experiment.

The observed ion signals can be grouped according to their time evolution: (1) signals that grow initially and then decrease during the photo-oxidation experiments (reactive primary and secondary products, reactive compounds desorbing from chamber walls by displacement, and products with high affinity to the particle phase) and (2) signals that apparently grow steadily after opening the chamber canopy (secondary products, chamber artifacts and products released from the particle phase). Most of the ion signals observed fall into category 2; in fact, a meticulous inspection of the PTR-TOF-MS spectra shows relatively few ion signals increasing their intensity by more than 1% of the decrease in the PIP m/z86.097 signal during the experiments. Further, the majority of these ion signals can either be attributed to established chamber artifacts, molecules from previous experiments desorbing from the chamber walls, or generic molecule ion fragments.

The temporal shape of the PIP-IM ion signal suggests that the imine reacts quite readily with OH, although not as fast as PIP. However, there are very few ion signals that can be attributed to secondary products. In fact, only m/z 98.061 ($C_5H_8NO^+$) shows the correct temporal profile, and the ion sum formula suggests the incorporation of a carbonyl functionality into PIP-IM. Results from a recent theoretical study of the atmospheric oxidation of 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrazine (the imine of piperazine)¹⁰² indicates that the major reaction route in the PIP-IM + OH reaction should be Habstraction from C², and we tentatively assign the m/z 98.061 ion signal to protonated 4,5-dihydropyridin-2(3H)-one, $QH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3NC=0$.

One of the ions signals observed, $m/z 114.092 (C_6H_{12}NO^+)$, corresponds to a compound containing more carbon atoms than PIP, and it is attributed to piperidine-1-carbaldehyde resulting from condensation of PIP and formic acid, either in the particle phase or on the chamber walls. In 3 of the 4 experiments, the $m/z \ 114.092$ ion signal is an order of magnitude larger than the $m/z \ 115.087$ PIP-NO signal, and, the 13 C isotopic signal of $C_6H_{12}NO^+$, in particular, hampers the analysis and the quantification of PIP-NO.

Two, uncorrelated ion signals remain unexplained: m/z 71.050 and 74.024. The first was also observed in the PIP-NO photolysis experiments and could potentially stem from a photo-oxidation product of PIP-NO, PIP-NO₂, or PIP-IM. The latter ion signal corresponds to a sum formula that has no obvious relationship PIP photo-oxidation and is tentatively attributed to a product in the autoxidation following H-abstraction from C³; see Section 3.1.2.3.

3.2.4. Particle Analysis during the Piperidine + OH Reaction. The piperidine photo-oxidation experiments were accompanied by minor particle formation, as exemplified in the top panel of Figure 15, displaying the temporal profile of the particle size distribution obtained by a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS). Particles were already present in the chamber before it was exposed sunlight at 8:00 UTC; these particles were formed in the PIP-HNO₃ acid-base reaction (HNO₃ initially being an impurity in the NO used and later resulting from the NO₂ reaction with OH). As already mentioned, the OH radical precursor IPN was slowly injected to the chamber during the experiments to boost the photooxidation relative to competing gas phase loss processes in the chamber. At around 13:00 UTC, when the chamber was closed, the SMPS results showed a total particle mass loading of ~50 μ g m⁻³ and a particle number concentration of ~7.0 × 10^3 cm⁻³ having a mean mobility diameter of ~260 nm. Assuming the particles to be pure PIP·HNO₃ salt provides a rough estimate of around 5% of the initial gas phase PIP being transferred to the particle phase.

The bottom panel in Figure 15 shows the temporal profiles of gas phase piperidine, the total particle mass loading derived from SMPS, CHARON PTR-ToF-MS, and the particle composition (nitrate, organics, and water), as monitored online by C-ToF AMS. It is evident that AMS underestimates the organics fraction. This is related to the instrument relative ion efficiency, which was derived using pure piperidinium nitrate (there is a good agreement between the AMS and CHARON PTR-ToF-MS results for nitrate).

Both AMS and CHARON PTR-ToF-MS data show that a considerable part of the total aerosol mass is due to piperidinium nitrate, but the data also clearly show that around half of the organic fraction of the particle mass is



Figure 15. Top panel: Time evolution of particle size distribution during the piperidine photo-oxidation experiment on July 12, 2016. The chamber was opened to solar radiation 8:00; slow injection of IPN started at 8:40. Bottom panel: Time evolution of gas phase piperidine (black curve) and total particle mass loading from SMPS (magenta curve) and CHARON PTR-ToF-MS (red curve), and cumulative plots of the major chemical components from c-ToF-AMS: organic-water fragments (cyan), nitrate (green), and organic fragments (blue).

composed of organics other than PIP. A mass spectrum of the particle phase obtained by CHARON PTR-ToF-MS, as shown in Figure 16, clearly shows the dominating peaks being due to PIP ($C_5H_{12}N^+$, m/z 86.092), nitrate (NO_2^+ , m/z 45.993), and PIP-IM ($C_5H_{10}N^+$, m/z 84.079), but around 20 other ion signals are observed with intensities above 2% of that of protonated PIP. Table S20 summarizes the ion signals observed together with our tentative interpretation. Considering the highly oxygenated products that eventually will be formed in the autoxidation upon ring-opening we refrain from offering molecular interpretation of all the ion signals observed.

PIP-NO₂ was unambiguously detected in small amounts in the particle phase; see the inset in Figure 16. As described above in Section 3.2.3, quantification of PIP-NO by CHARON PTR-ToF-MS was hampered by interference from piperidine-1-carbaldehyde isotope signals. The results from filter sampling, collected in Tables S21 and S22, confirm both PIP-NO and PIP-NO₂ in the particle phase.

3.2.5. N-H/C-H Branching in the Piperidine + OH Reaction. The theoretical study predicts a branching between H-abstraction from the N¹, C², C³, and C⁴ positions in PIP to be 35(+19-8): 50(+11-20): 13(+4-5): 2(+1-1) at 298 K (Section 3.1.1). Scheme 3 shows that the initial branching $k_{\rm NH}/k_{\rm tot}$ in the PIP + OH reaction can be extracted from the temporal profiles of PIP and PIP-NO₂ during the photo-



Figure 16. Particle mass spectrum measured by CHARON PTR-ToF-MS at 12:00 UTC on July 12, 2016: m/z 45.993 (NO₂⁺), 84.079 (protonated PIP-IM), 86.096 (protonated PIP), 115.086 (protonated PIP-NO), and 131.080 (protonated PIP-NO₂). Inset: time evolutions of m/z 84.079, m/z 86.092, and m/z 131.080. All ion signals are listed in Table S20.

oxidation experiments (as already mentioned, the PIP-NO gas phase profiles should be considered with caution).

The gas phase chemistry model puts the relative rate coefficients, obtained in the PIP-NO photolysis experiments (Section 3.2.2), to use, taking NO, NO₂, and j_{NO_2} from the chamber monitors as input, as shown in Figure S18. The apparent dilution rate, due to air replenishment compensating for leakage and continuous instrument sampling, was obtained from FTIR spectra of SF₆ added as an inert tracer. The PIP wall loss rate was, as previously mentioned, derived from its decay prior to adding IPN, and the wall losses of PIP-IM, PIP-NO, and PIP-NO₂ were assumed to be the same as that of PIP. The model does not include transfer from gas to the particle phase as this is less than 5% of the total gas phase loss, as mentioned above. The OH concentration was extracted from the temporal PIP profiles using the experimental rate coefficient, $k_{\text{PIP+OH}} = 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. There is a very good agreement between the temporal shape of the OH profiles measured directly by Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion (FAGE), as shown in Figure S19, and those derived from the decay of PIP in the early phase of the experiments, although there is an explicable difference of 50% between the absolute concentrations; see notes to Figure S19.^{103,104} In the later parts of the photo-oxidation experiments, where the OH concentration derived from the temporal PIP profiles is highly unreliable, scaled FAGE results, were used in the model.

Figure 17 illustrates the results from analysis of the PIP photo-oxidation experiment on 2016.07.12. According to the analysis, ~130 of the ~160 ppbV PIP present in the chamber at the start of the photo-oxidation was removed from the gas phase by reaction with OH. The PIP-NO₂ profile can be reproduced reasonably well with $k_{\rm N-H}/k_{\rm tot} = 0.35 \pm 0.05$; to obtain a passable agreement between the experimental and modeled PIP-IM profile requires a slight increase in the C² H-abstraction route to $k_{\rm C^2-H}/k_{\rm tot} = 0.55 \pm 0.05$. Results from analyses of the other photo-oxidation experiments are visualized in Figure S20, which also includes the values of $k_{\rm N-H}/k_{\rm tot}$ and $k_{\rm C^2-H}/k_{\rm tot}$ drawn from the experiments.

Figure 17 displays several eye-catching mis-matches. First and foremost, the PIP decay deviates significantly from the model results from around 11:00 and onward when the OH radical concentration is at its highest and when most of PIP has been removed from the gas phase. We attribute this mis-match



Figure 17. Observed and modeled volume mixing ratios of piperidine (PIP), 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine (PIP-IM), and 1-nitropiperidine (PIP-NO₂) observed during the piperidine photo-oxidation experiment on 2016.07.12. (a) Chamber canopy opened to solar radiation. (b) IPN injection started. (c) IPN injection stopped. (d) Chamber canopy closed.

to evaporation of particles in the heated sampling lines and, in particular, in the drift tube of the PTR-MS analyzer.¹⁰⁵ A more pronounced example of particle evaporation in the PTR-MS analyzer was reported in AMP (2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol) photo-oxidation experiments.¹⁰⁶ Also, the (noisy) PIP-NO profile displays a time profile in the later part of the experiment, which conflicts the established gas phase chemistry of amines.²⁰

Considering the inherent uncertainties in the monitor values for NO, NO₂, and $j_{\rm NO_2}$, and in the derived volume mixing ratios from PTR measurements, the span in the extracted branching is remarkably modest, and the average results, $k_{\rm N-H}/k_{\rm tot} = 0.38 \pm 0.08$ and $k_{\rm C^2-H}/k_{\rm tot} = 0.49 \pm 0.19$, are in disturbing agreement with the theoretical predictions abstaining us from drawing firm conclusions.

3.3. Synthesis of Experimental and Theoretical Results. The present quantum chemistry calculations narrow the branching in the initial H-abstraction reactions from PIP by OH down to $35\binom{+19}{-8}$ % from N, $50\binom{+11}{-20}$ % from C², $13\binom{+4}{-5}$

% from C³, and $2\binom{+1}{-1}$ % from C⁴ at 298 K, which by providence agrees with the experimental results, $38 \pm 8\%$ from N and 49 \pm 19% from C². The quantum chemistry-based kinetic model for the PIP + OH reaction also forecasts a rate coefficient quite close to the experimental value, $k_{\rm theo}({\rm PIP}$ + OH) = 0.948 and k_{exp} (PIP + OH) = (1.19 ± 0.27) × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 1014 \pm 2 hPa and 304 \pm 2 K. The sensitivity study of the theoretical PIP + OH kinetics results (Section 3.1.1) indicated that the calculated branching primarily depends on the saddle point energies and less on energies of the pre reaction complexes/adducts. Considering the calculated saddle point energies being associated with conservative uncertainties of ± 4 kJ mol⁻¹, it is therefore straightforward to reproduce the experimental rate coefficient by naively lowering all barriers by a merely ~ 0.8 kJ mol⁻¹. This leaves the calculated branching in the initial PIP + OH reaction essentially unchanged and in agreement with the experimental branching.

Figure 18 shows the theoretical PIP + OH rate coefficient, scaled to match the experimental value at 304 K, as well as the



Figure 18. Theoretical rate coefficient k(T) for the piperidine + OH reaction at 1013 hPa aligned to reproduce the experimental rate coefficient and branching in the reaction at 304 K; see text. Contributions from the individual H-abstraction routes are shown as dashed curves.

individual contributions from the seven nonequivalent Habstraction sites. The temperature dependence of the rate coefficient at 1013 hPa can be described quite accurately by the Arrhenius equation in the 200 to 400 K region: k(T) = $2.46 \times 10^{-11} \times \exp(486 \text{ K/T}) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The theoretical study of the PIPN + NO/NO₂/O₂ reactions (Section 3.1.2.1) concluded that multireference calculations are necessary to produce trustworthy ab initio values for the PIPN + O₂ rate coefficient. The PIP-NO photolysis experiments (Section 3.2.2) provided a robust set of relative rate coefficients for the PIPN radical reactions with NO, NO₂, and O₂: $k_3/k_{4a} = k_{\text{PIPN}+\text{NO} \rightarrow \text{PIP}-\text{NO}}/k_{\text{PIPN}+\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{PIP}-\text{NO}_2} = 0.53 \pm 0.05$ and $k_2/k_{4a} = k_{\text{PIPN}+\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{PIP}-\text{IM}}/k_{\text{PIPN}+\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{PIP}-\text{NO}_2} = (7.66 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-8}$.

Radical-radical reactions, such as PIPN + NO and PIPN + NO₂, are expected to proceed with rate coefficients in the range 10^{-11} to 10^{-10} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ under atmospheric

conditions; $k_{\text{NH2+NO}\rightarrow\text{products}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K (extensive review⁸⁷) and $k_{\text{NH}_2+\text{NO}_2\rightarrow\text{products}} = 2.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (high pressure value at 298 K¹⁰⁷). The results from the PIP-NO photolysis experiments therefore imply that $k_{\text{PIPN}+O_2\rightarrow\text{PIP-IM}}$ should fall in the region 8×10^{-19} to $8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K, which is consistent with the results from the multilevel correlation single-reference G3X-K calculations. The CC/M062X and UCC/M062X calculations results in rate coefficients being 1–2 orders of magnitude too large, whereas the results based on CBS-QB3 calculations are 2–3 orders of magnitude too small.

We note that Liu et al.¹⁰⁸ examined the O₂ reaction with 24 aminyl radicals, including the 1-piperidinyl radical, in CCSD-(T)/6-311+G(2df,2p)//MP2/6-31+G(d,p) calculations and reported $k = 1.4 \times 10^{-20}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K from canonical transition state theory including tunneling correction. This result is also deemed 2–3 orders of magnitude too small.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The PIP + OH reaction rate coefficient was determined to be $(1.19\pm0.27)\times10^{-10}~{\rm cm^3}$ molecule $^{-1}~{\rm s^{-1}}$ at 1014 \pm 2 hPa and 304 ± 2 K, which is roughly half of sum of k_{OH} for piperazine^{81,109} and cyclohexane.⁹⁵ The branching in the PIP + OH reaction was determined to be $k_{\rm N-H}/k_{\rm tot}$ = 0.38 ± 0.08, which apparently does not compare well with the experimental values offered for the branching in the piperazine + OH reaction: 0.09 \pm 0.06,¹⁰⁹ and 0.18 \pm 0.04.⁸¹ According to the present theoretical study, the branching in the OH reaction is quite different for the two PIP conformations $(k_{\rm N-H}/k_{\rm tot} = 0.32)$ for eq and 0.58 for ax), and piperazine exists in three lowenergy chair-conformations that are distributed 55% eq-eq, 42% eq-ax, and 3% ax-ax at 298 K;⁸¹ unfortunately, the only existing theoretical analysis of the kinetics and branching in the piperazine + OH reaction just considers the eq-eq conformer.¹¹⁰ Branching data exist for two other secondary amines: $(CH_3)_2$ NH $(k_{N-H}/k_{tot} = 0.37 \pm 0.05,^{91} 0.42 \pm 0.05,^{111}$ and 0.41 \pm 0.07¹¹²) and (CH₃CH₂)₂NH ($k_{\rm N-H}/k_{\rm tot}$ = 0.60 \pm $0.10).^{17}$

To the best of our knowledge, there are no estimations of the magnitude of PIP emissions to the atmosphere. Once in the atmosphere, PIP will partition between the gas phase and the solid/deliquescent particle phase. There are no kinetic transfer parameters available for piperidine, but assuming the experimental uptake coefficients for methylamines on 59–82 wt % sulfuric acid ($\gamma \sim 2 \times 10^{-2}$)¹¹³ establishes amine uptake on deliquescent particles in general, the implication is that the aqueous particle uptake of PIP will be diffusion controlled under atmospheric conditions.

With $k_{\text{PIP+OH}} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the atmospheric lifetime of PIP with respect to gas phase reaction with OH during daytime will typically be 2–3 h. The night-time chemistry of PIP is expected to be dominated by the NO₃ radical. There is no experimental value for $k_{\text{PIP+NO}_3}$, but the empirical correlation between OH and NO₃ rate coefficients for reaction with amines implies a very fast reaction, $k_{\text{PIP+NO}_3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K.²⁰ The average night-time NO₃ concentration has been suggested to be around 5 × 10^8 cm^{-3} , 114,115 bringing the estimated lifetime of PIP during night to less than 10 min. Note that there is no information

available in the literature on the branching between N-H and C-H H-abstraction in amines by the NO_3 radical.

The experimental Henry's law solubility constant for PIP is $H^{cp} = 2.8 \text{ mol } \text{m}^{-3} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ at 298 K.¹⁶ Under nonreactive equilibrium conditions and assuming the liquid water content in clouds, fog, and urban aerosol to be, respectively, 3, 0.2 and 10^{-4} cm³ m⁻³, ¹¹⁶ PIP will partition roughly 2, 0.14, and \ll 0.01% to the aqueous particle phase in the three cases. There are no experimental data for the aqueous phase PIP reactivity, but $k_{OH,aq} = 8.24 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is predicted by the group contribution method of Minakata et al.¹¹⁷ Taking typical average values for the OH concentration in maritime, remote and urban clouds $(2 \times 10^{-12}, 2.2 \times 10^{-14}, 3.5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ M}^{-1})$ and in deliquescent particles $(1 \times 10^{-13}, 3 \times 10^{-12}, 4.4 \times 10^{-13})$ M⁻¹),¹¹⁸ the estimated lifetime of PIP in clouds will be around 1 min in maritime and 2-10 h in remote and urban environments. The lifetime of PIP in deliquescent particles is predicted to be short, ~20 min in maritime, but only 1-5 min in remote and urban environments, and the high reactivity in maritime clouds and in deliquescent aerosol will consequently drive additional uptake. Note that there are no experimental results from mechanistic studies of aqueous phase piperidine reactions.

The major product in the atmospheric degradation of PIP, PIP-IM, is expected to react an order of magnitude slower with OH than PIP, and PIP-IM is therefore to a large extent likely to undergo hydrolysis in aqueous particles, resulting in ring opening and the formation of CHOCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂NH₂ (5-aminopentanal).

Regarding the photo-oxidation products of health concern, PIP-NO and PIP-NO₂, the former will primarily undergo very fast photolysis in the gas phase, $j_{\rm PIP-NO} \approx 0.35 \cdot j_{\rm NO_2}$. Taking the annual average $j_{\rm NO_2}$ at 60°N ($1.3 \times 10^{-3} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$)¹¹⁹ leads to an annual average gas phase photolysis lifetime of <40 min. Both PIP-NO and PIP-NO₂ are expected to react an order of magnitude slower with OH than PIP does; their atmospheric lifetimes with respect to gas phase reaction with OH are consequently estimated to be 1–2 days.

The Henry's law solubility constant of PIP-NO is ~4 times larger than that of PIP.¹²⁰ There are no data for the Henry's law solubility constants for nitramines, but to a first approximation, they are expected to be the same as those of the nitrosamines. Phase transfer will therefore be important for these compounds, and with aqueous phase OH rate coefficients of 2.98 × 10⁹ and 2.8 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, for PIP-NO¹²¹ and PIP-NO¹²² respectively, their estimated lifetimes with respect to reaction with OH radicals in deliquescent particles will be nearly as short as that of PIP, driving additional phase transfer.

The aqueous phase photolysis speed of PIP-NO has been shown to be comparable to that of the gas phase,¹²³ implying that the lifetime of PIP-NO in droplets and deliquescent particles will also be comparable that of the gas phase.

The present results permit implementation of a detailed gas phase degradation mechanism accounting for more than 85% of PIP in emission dispersion modeling; the remaining 15% is expected to undergo autoxidation resulting in yet unknown compounds. A simple box model (parameters given in Table S23^{91,95,97,98,112}), based on average atmospheric NOx conditions in the Oslo region $(\langle j_{NO_2} \rangle_{annual} = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1},^{119}$ $\langle NO \rangle_{annual} \sim 6$ ppb and $\langle NO_2 \rangle_{annual} \sim 10$ ppb¹²⁴), demonstrates similarities and differences in the formation of nitrosamines and nitramines, resulting in dimethylamine and PIP emissions from a point source. The results show that 1 h after emission, the mixing ratios of PIP-NO and PIP-NO₂ will be around 10, respectively 5 times larger than those of nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) and dimethylnitramine (DMNM) per unit of amine emitted, respectively, as shown in Figure S21. Although the actinic flux and NO*x*-conditions in London, Seoul, and Urumqi differ substantially from those characteristic of Oslo, it is obvious that the major part of nitrosamines reported in the atmospheric particulate matter in central London, ⁸ Seoul, ^{9,10} and in Urumqi, ¹¹ cannot originate in gas phase photo-oxidation of the corresponding amines.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.3c08415.

Details on instrumentation and experimental methodologies, including chemical synthesis, documentation of quantum chemistry calculations, and experimental conditions and results (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Claus J. Nielsen – Section for Environmental Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern NO-0315 Oslo, Norway; orcid.org/0000-0002-2962-2634; Phone: +47-22855680; Email: c.j.nielsen@kjemi.uio.no

Authors

- Wen Tan Section for Environmental Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern NO-0315 Oslo, Norway; Present Address: TOFWERK China, No.320, PuBin Road, Pukou, NanJing, China
- Liang Zhu Section for Environmental Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern NO-0315 Oslo, Norway; Present Address: TOFWERK China, No.320, PuBin Road, Pukou, NanJing, China
- **Tomas Mikoviny** Section for Environmental Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern NO-0315 Oslo, Norway
- Armin Wisthaler Section for Environmental Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Blindern NO-0315 Oslo, Norway; Orcid.org/0000-0001-5050-3018
- Barbara D'Anna Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, LCE, UMR 7376, Marseille 13331, France
- Simen Antonsen Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, N-1432 Ås, Norway; Present Address: Department of Mechanical, Electrical and Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Technology, Art and Design, Oslo Metropolitan University, NO-0130 Oslo, Norway; Orcid.org/0000-0002-9416-5476
- **Yngve Stenstrøm** Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, N-1432 Ås, Norway; orcid.org/0000-0001-9598-5225
- Naomi J. Farren Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, YO10 5DD York, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0002-5668-1648
- Jacqueline F. Hamilton Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, YO10 5DD York, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0003-0975-4311

Graham A. Boustead – School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, U.K.

- **Trevor Ingham** School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, U.K.
- Dwayne E. Heard School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, U.K.; Orcid.org/0000-0002-0357-6238

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.3c08415

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is part of the Atmospheric Chemistry of Amines project (ACA) supported by the CLIMIT program under contract 244055 and has received additional support from the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence scheme, project number 262695. C.J.N. acknowledges constructive comments from Prof. Jens Liengård Hjorth, Department of Environmental Science/Interdisciplinary Centre for Climate Change, Aarhus University, Denmark, and helpful discussions on the conformational mapping of piperidine with Prof. Carlos Stortz, Universidad de Buenos Aires. The authors are indebted to an anonymous reviewer for careful reading and productive comments improving the manuscript.

REFERENCES

(1) The 2004 OECD List of High Production Volume Chemicals. https://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/risk-assessment/33883530.pdf (accessed February 2024).

(2) OECD. Categorization Results from the Canadian Domestic Substance List. https://canadachemicals.oecd.org/ChemicalDetails. aspx?ChemicalID=5A2A38D7-BF3C-46F7-93CE-00F24A7FB6D6 (accessed February 2024).

(3) Roose, P.; Eller, K.; Henkes, E.; Rossbacher, R.; Höke, H. Amines, Aliphatic. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; Wiley, 2015; pp 1–55.

(4) Rappert, S.; Müller, R. Odor compounds in waste gas emissions from agricultural operations and food industries. *Waste Manage.* **2005**, 25, 887–907.

(5) Akyüz, M. Simultaneous determination of aliphatic and aromatic amines in indoor and outdoor air samples by gas chromatographymass spectrometry. *Talanta* **2007**, *71*, 486–492.

(6) Akyüz, M. Simultaneous determination of aliphatic and aromatic amines in ambient air and airborne particulate matters by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Atmos. Environ.* **2008**, *42*, 3809–3819.

(7) Zhang, H.; Ren, S.; Yu, J.; Yang, M. Occurrence of selected aliphatic amines in source water of major cities in China. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *24*, 1885–1890.

(8) Farren, N. J.; Ramirez, N.; Lee, J. D.; Finessi, E.; Lewis, A. C.; Hamilton, J. F. Estimated Exposure Risks from Carcinogenic Nitrosamines in Urban Airborne Particulate Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 49, 9648–9656.

(9) Hong, Y.; Kim, K. H.; Sang, B.-I.; Kim, H. Simple quantification method for N-nitrosamines in atmospheric particulates based on facile pretreatment and GC-MS/MS. *Environ. Pollut.* **201**7, 226, 324–334.

(10) Choi, N. R.; Ahn, Y. G.; Lim, H. B.; Lee, J. Y.; Jung, C. H.; Kim, Y. P. Particulate nitrosamines in the atmosphere at Seoul and their major sources. *Air Qual., Atmos. Health* **2018**, *11*, 855–865.

(11) Wang, J.; Zhou, X.; Hua, Z.; Jiang, N.; He, X. Concentration level, health risk assessment and source apportionment of nitrosamines in $PM_{2.5}$ in Urumqi during winter time. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2023**, *14*, 101756.

(12) Lee, J.-H.; Oh, J.-E. A comprehensive survey on the occurrence and fate of nitrosamines in sewage treatment plants and water environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *556*, 330–337.

(13) Vizioli, B. D. C.; Hantao, L. W.; Montagner, C. C. Drinking water nitrosamines in a large metropolitan region in Brazil. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 32823–32830.

(14) Bei, E.; Shu, Y.; Li, S.; Liao, X.; Wang, J.; Zhang, X.; Chen, C.; Krasner, S. Occurrence of nitrosamines and their precursors in drinking water systems around mainland China. *Water Res.* **2016**, *98*, 168–175.

(15) Robacker, D. C.; Bartelt, R. J. Chemicals Attractive to Mexican Fruit Fly from Klebsiella pneumoniae and Citrobacter freundii. Cultures Sampled by Solid-Phase Microextraction. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **1997**, *23*, 2897–2915.

(16) Bernauer, M.; Dohnal, V. Temperature dependences of limiting activity coefficients and Henry's law constants for N-methylpyrrolidone, pyridine, and piperidine in water. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2009**, 282, 100–107.

(17) Nielsen, C. J.; ĎAnna, B.; Bossi, R.; Bunkan, A. J. C.; Dithmer, L.; Glasius, M.; Hallquist, M.; Hansen, A. M. K.; Lutz, A.; Salo, K.; et al. Atmospheric Degradation of Amines (ADA); ISBN 978-82-992954-7-5; University of Oslo: Oslo, 2012. http://urn.nb.no/ URN:NBN:no-30510.

(18) Müller, M.; Mikoviny, T.; Wisthaler, A. Detector aging induced mass discrimination and non-linearity effects in PTR-ToF-MS. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2014**, 365–366, 93–97.

(19) Låg, M.; Lindeman, B.; Instanes, C.; Brunborg, G.; Schwarze, P. Health effects of amines and derivatives associated with CO_2 capture; The Norwegian Institute of Public Health, 2011.

(20) Nielsen, C. J.; Herrmann, H.; Weller, C. Atmospheric chemistry and environmental impact of the use of amines in carbon capture and storage (CCS). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 6684–6704.

(21) CCS Norway. https://ccsnorway.com/hse-studies/ (accessed February 2024).

(22) Becker, K. H. The European Photoreactor EUPHORE, Design and Technical Development of the European Photoreactor and First Experimental Results, Final Report of the EC-Project Contract EVSV-CT92-0059, 1996.

(23) Jordan, A.; Haidacher, S.; Hanel, G.; Hartungen, E.; Mark, L.; Seehauser, H.; Schottkowsky, R.; Sulzer, P.; Mark, T. D. A high resolution and high sensitivity proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS). *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2009**, *286*, 122–128.

(24) Eichler, P.; Müller, M.; D'anna, B.; Wisthaler, A. A Novel Inlet System for Online Chemical Analysis of Semi-Volatile Submicron Particulate Matter. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2015**, *8*, 1353–1360.

(25) Eichler, P.; Müller, M.; Rohmann, C.; Stengel, B.; Orasche, J. r.; Zimmermann, R.; Wisthaler, A. Lubricating Oil as a Major Constituent of Ship Exhaust Particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2017**, *4*, 54–58.

(26) Drewnick, F.; Hings, S. S.; DeCarlo, P.; Jayne, J. T.; Gonin, M.; Fuhrer, K.; Weimer, S.; Jimenez, J. L.; Demerjian, K. L.; Borrmann, S.; et al. A new time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (TOF-AMS)— Instrument description and first field deployment. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39*, 637–658.

(27) Müller, M.; George, C.; D'Anna, B. Enhanced spectral analysis of C-TOF Aerosol Mass Spectrometer data: Iterative residual analysis and cumulative peak fitting. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2011**, *306*, 1–8.

(28) Stone, D.; Whalley, L. K.; Heard, D. E. Tropospheric OH and HO_2 radicals: field measurements and model comparisons. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 6348–6404.

(29) Heard, D. E. Atmospheric Field Measurements of the Hydroxyl Radical using Laser-Induced Fluorescence Spectroscopy. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2006**, *57*, 191–216.

(30) Commane, R.; Floquet, C. F. A.; Ingham, T.; Stone, D.; Evans, M. J.; Heard, D. E. Observations of OH and HO₂ radicals over West Africa. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10*, 8783–8801.

(31) Zhang, Y.; Zou, P.; Han, Y.; Geng, Y.; Luo, J.; Zhou, B. A combined experimental and DFT mechanistic study for the unexpected nitrosolysis of N-hydroxymethyldialkylamines in fuming nitric acid. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 19310–19316.

(32) Mezyk, S. P.; Ewing, D. B.; Kiddle, J. J.; Madden, K. P. Kinetics and Mechanisms of the Reactions of Hydroxyl Radicals and Hydrated Electrons with Nitrosamines and Nitramines in Water. *J. Phys. Chem.* A **2006**, *110*, 4732–4737.

(33) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, Noncovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four M06-class Functionals and 12 other Functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.

(34) Dunning, T. H., Jr Gaussian Basis Sets for use in Correlated Molecular Calculations. I. The Atoms Boron through Neon and Hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. **1989**, *90*, 1007–1023.

(35) Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H.; Harrison, R. J. Electron Affinities of the First-row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J. Chem. Phys. **1992**, *96*, 6796–6806.

(36) Fukui, K. The Path of Chemical Reactions - the IRC Approach. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1981**, *14*, 363–368.

(37) Hratchian, H. P.; Schlegel, H. B. Accurate Reaction Paths using a Hessian based Predictor-Corrector Integrator. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2004, 120, 9918–9924.

(38) Hratchian, H. P.; Schlegel, H. B. Theory and Applications of Computational Chemistry: The First 40 Years; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2005.

(39) Adler, T. B.; Knizia, G.; Werner, H.-J. A simple and efficient CCSD(T)-F12 approximation. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127, 221106.

(40) Knizia, G.; Adler, T. B.; Werner, H.-J. Simplified CCSD (T)-F12 Methods: Theory and Benchmarks. J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 130, 054104.

(41) Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom-atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620.

(42) Boese, A. D.; Martin, J. M. L. Development of density functionals for thermochemical kinetics. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121, 3405-3416.

(43) Møller, C.; Plesset, M. S. Note on an Approximation Treatment for Many-Electron Systems. *Phys. Rev.* **1934**, *46*, 618–622.

(44) Spartan'20; Wavefunction Inc.: Irvine, CA, 2022.

(45) Shao, Y.; Gan, Z.; Epifanovsky, E.; Gilbert, A. T. B.; Wormit, M.; Kussmann, J.; Lange, A. W.; Behn, A.; Deng, J.; Feng, X.; et al. Advances in molecular quantum chemistry contained in the Q-Chem 4 program package. *Mol. Phys.* **2015**, *113*, 184–215.

(46) Halgren, T. A. Merck molecular force field. I. Basis, form, scope, parameterization, and performance of MMFF94. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 490–519.

(47) Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. Development and use of quantum mechanical molecular models. 76. AM1: a new general purpose quantum mechanical molecular model. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 3902–3909.

(48) Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.

(49) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789.

(50) Montgomery, J. A.; Frisch, M. J.; Ochterski, J. W.; Petersson, G. A. A complete basis set model chemistry. VI. Use of density functional geometries and frequencies. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 2822–2827.

(51) Montgomery, J. A.; Frisch, M. J.; Ochterski, J. W.; Petersson, G. A. A complete basis set model chemistry. VII. Use of the minimum population localization method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *112*, 6532–6542.

(52) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Redfern, P. C.; Pople, J. A. Assessment of Gaussian-2 and density functional theories for the computation of enthalpies of formation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *106*, 1063–1079.

(53) Curtiss, L. A.; Redfern, P. C.; Raghavachari, K.; Pople, J. A. Assessment of Gaussian-2 and density functional theories for the computation of ionization potentials and electron affinities. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 42–55.

(54) Karton, A. Highly Accurate CCSDT(Q)/CBS Reaction Barrier Heights for a Diverse Set of Transition Structures: Basis Set Convergence and Cost-Effective Approaches for Estimating Post-CCSD(T) Contributions. J. Phys. Chem. A **2019**, *123*, 6720–6732.

(55) Kieninger, M.; Ventura, O. N. SVECV-f12: A composite scheme for accurate and cost-effective evaluation of reaction barriers. II. Benchmarking using Karton's BH28 barrier heights database. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2023**, *123*, No. e27069.

(56) da Silva, G. G3X-K theory: A composite theoretical method for thermochemical kinetics. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, 558, 109–113.

(57) Zheng, J.; Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The DBH24/08 Database and Its Use to Assess Electronic Structure Model Chemistries for Chemical Reaction Barrier Heights. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2009, 5, 808–821.

(58) Su, T. Parametrization of Kinetic Energy Dependences of Ion-Polar Molecule Collision Rate Constants by Trajectory Calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100*, 4703.

(59) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. *Gaussian 09*. revision B. 01: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(60) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; et al. *Gaussian 16*. Rev. C.01; Wallingford, CT, 2016.

(61) Werner, H. J.; Knowles, P. J.; Knizia, G.; Manby, F. R.; Schütz, M. Molpro: a General-Purpose Quantum Chemistry Program Package. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.* 2011, 2, 242–253.
(62) Glowacki, D. R.; Liang, C.-H.; Morley, C.; Pilling, M. J.; Robertson, S. H. MESMER: An Open-Source Master Equation Solver

for Multi-Energy Well Reactions. J. Phys. Chem. A 2012, 116, 9545– 9560.

(63) Poling, B. E.; Prausnitz, J. M.; O'Connel, J. P. The Properties of Gases and Liquids; McGraw-Hill, 2001.

(64) Miller, W. H. Tunneling Corrections to Unimolecular Rate Constants, with Application to Formaldehyde. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 6810–6814.

(65) Demaison, J.; Craig, N. C.; Groner, P.; Écija, P.; Cocinero, E. J.; Lesarri, A.; Rudolph, H. D. Accurate equilibrium structures for piperidine and cyclohexane. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 1486–1493.

(66) Parkin, J. E.; Buckley, P. J.; Costain, C. C. The microwave spectrum of piperidine: Equatorial and axial ground states. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1981**, *89*, 465–483.

(67) Baldock, R. W.; Katritzky, A. R. The conformational equilibria of piperidine and morpholine from infrared spectra. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, *9*, 1159–1162.

(68) Anet, F. A. L.; Yavari, I. Nitrogen inversion in piperidine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1977**, 99, 2794–2796.

(69) Stortz, C. A. Conformational pathways of simple six-membered rings. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2010, 23, 1173–1186.

(70) Lee, T. J.; Taylor, P. R. A diagnostic for determining the quality of single-reference electron correlation methods. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2009**, *36*, 199–207.

(71) Janssen, C. L.; Nielsen, I. M. New diagnostics for coupledcluster and Møller–Plesset perturbation theory. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, 290, 423–430.

(72) Lee, T. J. Comparison of the T_1 and D_1 diagnostics for electronic structure theory: a new definition for the open-shell D_1 diagnostic. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *372*, 362–367.

(73) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion

correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.

(74) Coxon, J. A.; Foster, S. C. Radical Dependence of Spin Orbit and L-doubling Parameters in the X²-PI Ground-State os Hydroxyl. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1982**, *91*, 243–254.

(75) Georgievskii, Y.; Klippenstein, S. J. Long-Range Transition State Theory. J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 122, 194103.

(76) Platz, J.; Sehested, J.; Nielsen, O. J.; Wallington, T. J. Atmospheric Chemistry of Cyclohexane: UV Spectra of $c-C_6H_{11} \bullet$ and $(c-C_6H_{11})O_2 \bullet$ Radicals, Kinetics of the Reactions of $(c-C_6H_{11})O_2 \bullet$ Radicals with NO and NO₂, and the Fate of the Alkoxy Radical ($c-C_6H_{11})O \bullet$. J. Phys. Chem. A **1999**, 103, 2688–2695.

(77) Zhang, L.; Kitney, K. A.; Ferenac, M. A.; Deng, W.; Dibble, T. S. LIF Spectra of Cyclohexoxy Radical and Direct Kinetic Studies of Its Reaction with O₂. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2004**, *108*, 447–454.

(78) Horálek, J.; Vlasáková, L.; Schreiberová, M.; Doubalová, J.; Schneider, P.; Kurfürst, P.; Tognet, F.; Schovánková, J.; Vlček, O.; Damašková, D. European air quality maps for 2020; 978-82-93970-17-0, 2023.

(79) Tang, Y. Z.; Nielsen, C. J. A systematic theoretical study of imines formation from the atmospheric reactions of R_nNH_{2-n} with O_2 and NO_2 ($R = CH_3$ and CH_3CH_2 ; n = 1 and 2). *Atmos. Environ.* **2012**, 55, 185–189.

(80) Alam, M. A.; Ren, Z.; da Silva, G. Nitramine and nitrosamine formation is a minor pathway in the atmospheric oxidation of methylamine: A theoretical kinetic study of the $CH_3NH + O_2$ reaction. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2019**, *51*, 723–728.

(81) Tan, W.; Zhu, L.; Mikoviny, T.; Nielsen, C. J.; Wisthaler, A.; D'Anna, B.; Antonsen, S.; Stenstrøm, Y.; Farren, N. J.; Hamilton, J. F.; et al. Experimental and Theoretical Study of the OH-Initiated Degradation of Piperazine under Simulated Atmospheric Conditions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2021**, *125*, 411–422.

(82) Tang, Y.; Hanrath, M.; Nielsen, C. J. Do primary nitrosamines form and exist in the gas phase? A computational study of CH_3NHNO and $(CH_3)_2NNO$. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 16365–16370.

(83) Peláez, D.; Arenas, J. F.; Otero, J. C.; Soto, J. A complete active space self-consistent field study of the photochemistry of nitrosamine. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 164311.

(84) Geiger, G.; Huber, J. R. Photolysis of Dimethylnitrosamine in the Gas-Phase. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1981**, *64*, 989–995.

(85) Haszeldine, R. N.; Jander, J. Studies in spectroscopy. Part VI. Ultra-violet and infra-red spectra of nitrosamines, nitrites, and related compounds. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, 691–695.

(86) Lazarou, Y. G.; Kambanis, K. G.; Papagiannakopoulos, P. Gas-Phase Reactions of $(CH_3)_2N$ Radicals with NO and NO₂. J. Phys. Chem. **1994**, 98, 2110–2115.

(87) Atkinson, R.; Baulch, D. L.; Cox, R. A.; Crowley, J. N.; Hampson, R. F.; Hynes, R. G.; Jenkin, M. E.; Rossi, M. J.; Troe, J. Evaluated Kinetic and Photochemical Data for Atmospheric Chemistry: Volume I - Gas Phase Reactions of O_{xy} H O_{xy} N O_x and S O_x Species. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *4*, 1461.

(88) Peiró-García, J.; Nebot-Gil, I.; Merchán, M. An Ab Initio Study on the Mechanism of the Atmospheric Reaction $NH_2+O_3 \rightarrow H_2NO$ + O_2 . ChemPhysChem **2003**, 4, 366–372.

(89) Bulatov, V.P.; Buloyan, A.A.; Cheskis, S.G.; Kozliner, M.Z.; Sarkisov, O.M.; Trostin, A.I. On the reaction of the NH_2 radical with ozone. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *74*, 288–292.

(90) Patrick, R.; Golden, D. M. Kinetics of the reactions of amidogen radicals with ozone and molecular oxygen. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984**, *88*, 491–495.

(91) Lindley, C. R. C.; Calvert, J. G.; Shaw, J. H. Rate Studies of the Reactions of the $(CH_3)_2N$ Radical with O_2 , NO, and NO_2 . *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1979**, 67, 57–62.

(92) Atkinson, R.; Baulch, D. L.; Cox, R. A.; Crowley, J. N.; Hampson, R. F.; Hynes, R. G.; Jenkin, M. E.; Rossi, M. J.; Troe, J. Evaluated Kinetic and Photochemical Data for Atmospheric Chemistry: Volume II - Gas Phase Reactions of Organic Species. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 3625–4055. (93) Bunkan, A. J. C.; Nielsen, C. J. Unpublished results, 2023.
(94) Vereecken, L.; Nozière, B. H migration in peroxy radicals under atmospheric conditions. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2020, 20, 7429-7458.

(95) McGillen, M. R.; Carter, W. P. L.; Mellouki, A.; Orlando, J. J.; Picquet-Varrault, B.; Wallington, T. J. Database for the Kinetics of the Gas-Phase Atmospheric Reactions of Organic Compounds. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **2020**, *12*, 1203–1216.

(96) NTP (National Toxicology Program). *Report on Carcinogens*, 15th ed.; Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 2021. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/roc15.

(97) Tuazon, E. C.; Carter, W. P. L.; Atkinson, R.; Winer, A. M.; Pitts, J. N. Atmospheric Reactions of N-Nitrosodimethylamine and Dimethylnitramine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1984**, *18*, 49–54.

(98) Zabarnick, S. S.; Fleming, J. W.; Baronavski, A. P.; Lin, M. C. Reaction kinetics of hydroxyl with nitromethane, dimethylnitrosamine, and 1,3,5-trioxane; photolytic production of hydroxyl from nitromethane at 266 nm; NBS Special Publication (United States), 1986; Vol. 716, p 731.

(99) Maguta, M. M.; Aursnes, M.; Bunkan, A. J. C.; Edelen, K.; Mikoviny, T.; Nielsen, C. J.; Stenstrøm, Y.; Tang, Y.; Wisthaler, A. Atmospheric Fate of Nitramines: An Experimental and Theoretical Study of the OH Reactions with CH_3NHNO_2 and $(CH_3)_2NNO_2$. J. Phys. Chem. A **2014**, 118, 3450–3462.

(100) Bunkan, A. J. C.; Tang, Y.; Sellevåg, S. R.; Nielsen, C. J. Atmospheric Gas Phase Chemistry of CH_2 =NH and HNC. A First-Principles Approach. J. Phys. Chem. A 2014, 118, 5279–5288.

(101) Bunkan, A. J. C.; Reijrink, N. G.; Mikoviny, T.; Müller, M.; Nielsen, C. J.; Zhu, L.; Wisthaler, A. Atmospheric Chemistry of N-Methylmethanimine ($CH_3N=CH_2$): A Theoretical and Experimental Study. J. Phys. Chem. A **2022**, 126, 3247–3264.

(102) Almeida, T. G.; Kurtén, T. Atmospheric Oxidation of Imine Derivative of Piperazine Initiated by OH Radical. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2022**, *6*, 2453–2464.

(103) Mao, J.; Ren, X.; Zhang, L.; Van Duin, D. M.; Cohen, R. C.; Park, J. H.; Goldstein, A. H.; Paulot, F.; Beaver, M. R.; Crounse, J. D.; et al. Insights into hydroxyl measurements and atmospheric oxidation in a California forest. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *12*, 8009–8020.

(104) Woodward-Massey, R.; Slater, E. J.; Alen, J.; Ingham, T.; Cryer, D. R.; Stimpson, L. M.; Ye, C.; Seakins, P. W.; Whalley, L. K.; Heard, D. E. Implementation of a chemical background method for atmospheric OH measurements by laser-induced fluorescence: characterisation and observations from the UK and China. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2020**, *13*, 3119–3146.

(105) Piel, F.; Müller, M.; Winkler, K.; Skytte af Sätra, J.; Wisthaler, A. Introducing the extended volatility range proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometer (EVR PTR-MS). *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2021**, *14*, 1355–1363.

(106) Tan, W.; Zhu, L.; Mikoviny, T.; Nielsen, C. J.; Tang, Y.; Wisthaler, A.; Eichler, P.; Müller, M.; D'Anna, B.; Farren, N. J.; et al. Atmospheric Chemistry of 2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol: A Theoretical and Experimental Study of the OH-Initiated Degradation under Simulated Atmospheric Conditions. J. Phys. Chem. A 2021, 125, 7502–7519.

(107) Klippenstein, S. J.; Harding, L. B.; Glarborg, P.; Gao, Y.; Hu, H.; Marshall, P. Rate Constant and Branching Fraction for the $NH_2 + NO_2$ Reaction. J. Phys. Chem. A **2013**, 117, 9011–9022.

(108) Liu, C.; Ma, F.; Elm, J.; Fu, Z.; Tang, W.; Chen, J.; Xie, H.-B. Mechanism and predictive model development of reaction rate constants for N-center radicals with O₂. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *237*, 124411.

(109) Onel, L.; Dryden, M.; Blitz, M. A.; Seakins, P. W. Atmospheric Oxidation of Piperazine by OH has a Low Potential To Form Carcinogenic Compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2014**, *1*, 367–371.

(110) Ren, Z.; da Silva, G. Atmospheric Oxidation of Piperazine Initiated by OH: A Theoretical Kinetics Investigation. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2019**, *3*, 2510–2516. (111) Nielsen, C. J.; D'Anna, B.; Karl, M.; Aursnes, M.; Boreave, A.; Bossi, R.; Bunkan, A. J. C.; Glasius, M.; Hansen, A.-M. K.; Hallquist, M.; et al. Summary Report: Photo-oxidation of Methylamine, Dimethylamine and Trimetahylamine. Climit project no. 201604; NILU OR 2/ 2011, ISBN 978-82-425-2357-0; NILU, 2011.

(112) Onel, L.; Blitz, M.; Dryden, M.; Thonger, L.; Seakins, P. Branching Ratios in Reactions of OH Radicals with Methylamine, Dimethylamine, and Ethylamine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 9935–9942.

(113) Wang, L.; Lal, V.; Khalizov, A. F.; Zhang, R. Heterogeneous Chemistry of Alkylamines with Sulfuric Acid: Implications for Atmospheric Formation of Alkylaminium Sulfates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 2461–2465.

(114) Atkinson, R. Kinetics and Mechanisms of the Gas-Phase Reactions of the NO₃ Radical with Organic Compounds. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1991**, *20*, 459–507.

(115) Wayne, R. P.; Barnes, I.; Biggs, P.; Burrows, J. P.; Canosamas, C. E.; Hjorth, J.; Lebras, G.; Moortgat, G. K.; Perner, D.; Poulet, G.; et al. The Nitrate Radical - Physics, Chemistry, and the Atmosphere. *Atmos. Environ.* **1991**, *25*, 1–203.

(116) Herrmann, H. Kinetics of Aqueous Phase Reactions relevant for Atmospheric Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 4691–4716.

(117) Minakata, D.; Li, K.; Westerhoff, P.; Crittenden, J. Development of a Group Contribution Method To Predict Aqueous Phase Hydroxyl Radical (HO \bullet) Reaction Rate Constants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43, 6220–6227.

(118) Herrmann, H.; Tilgner, A.; Barzaghi, P.; Majdik, Z.; Gligorovski, S.; Poulain, L.; Monod, A. Towards a more detailed description of tropospheric aqueous phase organic chemistry: CAPRAM 3.0. *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39*, 4351–4363.

(119) Nielsen, C. J.; Hoffmann, D.; Herrmann, H. Theoretical Evaluation of the Fate of Harmful Compounds Post Emission; Report no. 2210040-3; Tel-Tek: Porsgrunn, 2010. https://ccsnorway.com/wpcontent/uploads/sites/6/2019/10/atmosphericformation_teltek-2. pdf.

(120) Mirvish, S. S.; Issenberg, P.; Sornson, H. C. Air—Water and Ether—Water Distribution of N—Nitroso Compounds: Implications for Laboratory Safety, Analytic Methodology, and Carcinogenicity for the Rat Esophagus, Nose, and Liver 2. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1976, 56, 1125–1129.

(121) Landsman, N. A.; Swancutt, K. L.; Bradford, C. N.; Cox, C. R.; Kiddle, J. J.; Mezyk, S. P. Free Radical Chemistry of Advanced Oxidation Process Removal of Nitrosamines in Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2007, 41, 5818–5823.

(122) Mezyk, S. P.; Razavi, B.; Swancutt, K. L.; Cox, C. R.; Kiddle, J. J. Radical-Based Destruction of Nitramines in Water: Kinetics and Efficiencies of Hydroxyl Radical and Hydrated Electron Reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116*, 8185–8190.

(123) Plumlee, M. H.; Reinhard, M. Photochemical Attenuation of N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) and other Nitrosamines in Surface Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 6170–6176.

(124) NILU Air Quality monitoring data. https://luftkvalitet.nilu. no/en/historical (accessed February 2024).