



Effects of Different Processing Methods on Pulses Phytochemicals: An Overview

Jiajing Zhou, Minhao Li, Qian Bai, Thaiza S. P. de Souza, Colin Barrow, Frank Dunshea & Hafiz A. R. Suleria

To cite this article: Jiajing Zhou, Minhao Li, Qian Bai, Thaiza S. P. de Souza, Colin Barrow, Frank Dunshea & Hafiz A. R. Suleria (2024) Effects of Different Processing Methods on Pulses Phytochemicals: An Overview, Food Reviews International, 40:4, 1138-1195, DOI: [10.1080/87559129.2023.2212041](https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2023.2212041)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2023.2212041>



© 2023 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



Published online: 22 May 2023.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1653



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles [↗](#)

Effects of Different Processing Methods on Pulses Phytochemicals: An Overview

Jiajing Zhou^a, Minhao Li^a, Qian Bai^a, Thaiza S. P. de Souza^a, Colin Barrow^b, Frank Dunshea^{a,c}, and Hafiz A. R. Suleria^{a,b}

^aSchool of Agriculture, Food and Ecosystem Sciences, Faculty of Science, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia; ^bCentre for Sustainable Bioproducts, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Victoria, Australia; ^cFaculty of Biological Sciences, The University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

ABSTRACT

Pulses are edible seeds belonging to the Leguminosae family for human consumption and consist of various species such as common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), peas (*Pisum sativum*), lentils (*Lens culinaris*), chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.), and faba beans (*Vicia faba*). Pulses are sustainable sources of nutritional compounds, especially containing almost twice the protein content compared to cereal grains. In addition to becoming an excellent source of macronutrients and micronutrients, they are abundant in phytochemicals, containing bioactive compounds with potential health benefits resulting from various phenolic compounds, as well as antinutritional compounds (e.g. phytic acid, enzyme inhibitors, lectins, saponins), which have received widespread concern by researchers. More essentially, various processing approaches for consumption purposes will result not only in enhanced nutritional and sensory characteristics in pulses but also in affected phytochemicals contents and their bioavailability. In this review, the nutritional and phytochemical compositions of pulses will be first introduced, followed by different common-applied processing methods (thermal and non-thermal), along with their impacts and pulse storage effects on the content of corresponding phytochemicals. Furthermore, through food processing and digestion, the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of pulse phytochemicals will be improved, thus releasing more health benefits, and expressing pharmacological functions in the human body.

KEYWORDS

Pulses; phytochemicals; phenolic compounds; antinutrients; processing; bioaccessibility

Introduction

Pulses are a subclass of legumes, which are included in the *Leguminosae* family that yield edible seeds for human and animal consumption.^[1] Pulses consist of various species, including the common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), peas (*Pisum sativum*), chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.), cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata*), lentils (*Lens culinaris*), faba beans (*Vicia faba*), pigeon peas (*Cajanus cajan*), as well as some others, in terms of worldwide production and consumption, are dominant.^[2,3] Typically, their consumption forms involve whole seeds, split grains, dehulled split grains, and flour, thus meeting different needs for consumers.^[2]

According to FAO statistics, the average annual consumption of pulses per person was projected to be between 2.7 and 10.8 kg in 2011.^[4] The share of different regions globally in the production of significant pulses is different (Table 1). Even though pulses are grown everywhere in the world, almost half of it is concentrated in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa.^[2] Besides, the dominant importing

CONTACT Hafiz A. R. Suleria  hafiz.suleria@unimelb.edu.au  School of Agriculture, Food and Ecosystem Sciences, Faculty of Science, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

© 2023 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

Table 1. Pulse classification, production, consumption, and diets.

Pulse Classification	Annual global production (million tons/year)	Major exporting countries	Major importing countries	Pulse consumption	References
Common beans (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.)	25.66*	China, Myanmar, USA, Argentina, Canada, etc.	India, Mexico, USA, UK, Brazil, etc.	Traditional household diets: Fried, baked, or cooked to be eaten as veggies; Commercial processing and products: Packaged dry beans, canned beans, precooked bean products, extruded and pasta-like products, specialised food ingredients (meals, flours, concentrates, powders, and flakes), quick-cooking beans, and frozen beans.	[5,6]
Common Peas (<i>Pisum sativum</i>)	11.69	Canada, Russian Federation, USA, France, Australia, etc.	India, China, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Belgium, etc.	Fresh peas cooked as a side dish vegetable and flavoured with butter, spearmint, or both; Used in pot pies, salads, and casseroles; When harvested within a few hours, pod peas best kept by drying, canning, or freezing; Used in stir-fried dishes, notably those in American Chinese cuisine.	[2,6]
Chickpeas (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)	11.67	Australia, Russian Federation, India, Mexico, Canada, etc.	India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, United Arab Emirates, Algeria, etc.	Boiled, consumed raw, roasted, dehulled, or processed to make flour; Popular snacks after roasted.	[6–9]
Cowpeas (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L.)	6.50	Nigeria, Niger, Burkina Faso, United Republic of Tanzania, Cameroon, etc.	USA	Traditional diets: Eaten whole, cooked, or prepared into stews and soups; Commercial processing methods: Boiling/roasting, milling/fractioning, germination/fermentation.	[6,10–12]
Faba beans (<i>Vicia faba</i>)	4.47	Australia, UK, Lithuania, Egypt, Latvia, etc.	Egypt, Norway, Germany, Saudi Arabia, France, etc.	Typical treatments and processing techniques: Dehulling, soaking, germination, fermentation, extrusion heating, and enzyme treatment; Traditional goods, pasta/spaghetti, bread, tofu, yoghurt, and other foods are examples of processing products.	[6,13]
Lentils (<i>Lens culinaris</i>)	4.99	Canada, Australia, Turkey, USA, etc.	India, Turkey, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, United Arab Emirates, etc.	Macroperma variants are consumed predominantly in West Asia, North Africa, and Europe as whole grain; Microperma types, are consumed primarily in Asia in dehulled and split form.	[2,6]
Pigeon peas (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>)	4.45	India, Myanmar, Malawi, Kenya, Uganda, etc.	Mauritius	Major processing methods: Shelling, freezing, and canning; Specific procedures in pigeon pea canning and freezing: Cleaning, blanching, and filling cans or polythene bags.	[5,6,11,14]
Other pulses	6.25	-	-	Varied in individual diet forms and common processing ways;	[6]
Total pulses	75.68	-	-	Consumption methods and most staple dishes prepared from pulses could be various; The combination of pulse and cereal proteins serve a balanced nutrition; Pulses and pulse products (e.g., pulse flour) can be utilized as gluten-free diets to improve nutrition for populations with special demands (e.g., celiac disease).	[15–17]

* The data for annual global production of pulses (million tons/year) are according to 2013–2017 statistics.

countries of different classes of pulses are different. While the pulse production scale is relatively tiny compared to cereal output, their annual average yield has been rising for years.^[7] The annual global production of pulses had increased to almost 77 million metric tonnes in three years ending in 2014, amounting to over 2.8% of global cereal production. From the perspective of global pulse production (according to 2014 statistics), common beans ranked first among all major pulse species (31.2%) and chickpeas ranked second (16.9%), subsequently followed by peas (14.3%), cowpeas (9.1%) and other species.^[2]

Pulses are generally recognized as a vital ingredient for human nutrition and health.^[5] Due to their high protein content (considerably higher than that of cereals), dietary fibre, starch, minerals, and vitamins, pulses have become a staple food and inexpensive source of protein in developing nations where protein-energy malnutrition (PEM) is common. Low-income groups of people especially prefer pulses because of their high protein quality. Due to the large regional differences, in several countries, pulses have become an essential dietary constituent, including Kenya (17.0 per person annually), India (15.4 per person annually), and Turkey (13.6 per person annually).^[7] In addition, in terms of the amino acid profile, cereal proteins could supply their abundant methionine and cysteine, which pulses lack. In contrast, pulses could contribute with their richer lysine which cereals lack.^[7] Therefore, especially for vegetarians, a complete complement of amino acids (or plant-based diets), and balanced nutrition, can be achieved through the combination of pulse and cereal proteins.^[18] Moreover, pulses and pulse products such as pulse flour can be utilized as gluten-free diets to improve nutrition for populations with unique demands (e.g., celiac disease).^[17]

Pulse is not only rich in nutritional compounds but also various phytochemicals, including phenolic compounds, alkaloids, phytosterols, saponins, enzyme inhibitors, and others. These phytochemicals are also related to health benefits, including anti-obesity, antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant potential.^[19–21] In addition, various processing methods have been applied to produce pulse and pulse-based products due to storage and nutrient enhancement needs. However, individual processing methods have different specific influences on pulse phytochemicals, including their contents, bioaccessibility, and bioavailability. Therefore, this review aims to provide pulse nutritional composition along with a phytochemical profile, including bioactive compounds and antinutrient compounds. Meanwhile, different processing effects on pulse phytochemicals, the bioaccessibility, bioavailability, as well as health benefits on the human body, will be summarized.

Nutritional composition of pulses

As demonstrated in Table 2, pulses are abundant in various nutritional compounds. Primarily, they provide a rich source of protein with contents of 19–30%.^[18] The protein composition of the pea, lentil, and chickpea is comparable to that of cowpeas and beans.^[18] Albumins (<20%) and globulins (>50%) are the two dominant types of proteins found in pulses. Depending on the pulse species, lower amounts of glutelin and prolamins are found. Pulses also contain necessary amino acids like lysine, cysteine, and methionine.^[18] Pulses are rich in the amino acid lysine; however, cereal grains are frequently deficient in this amino acid. At the same time, pulses have low levels of the vital amino acids methionine and tryptophan, which can be presented in products made from grains.^[15] As a result, pulses and grain-based products complement each other when consumed with cereal to offer a higher quality protein.

Relatively low in energy density, pulses after the cooking process provide a 1.3 kcal/g average.^[15] They have a higher carbohydrate content (up to 50%–65%) but are absorbed more slowly, giving them a lower glycemic index (GI) than other carb-rich meals like rice, white bread, or potatoes.^[56] Insoluble and soluble dietary fibre are both abundant in pulses, which offer about 7 g per half-cup serving, while the amount varies depending on the pulse species.^[41]

According to Hall, et al.,^[18] pulses typically have a lipid content less than 3%, whereas chickpea and lupin can have as high as 7% and 13%, respectively. In terms of the lipid fraction of pulses, it is characterized by a high level of triglycerides, along with a higher concentration of monounsaturated

fatty acids (oleic acid, C 18:1n-9) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (linoleic acid, C 18:2n-6, and alpha-linolenic acid C 18:3n-3) that are both beneficial components.^[57] Recent research has revealed an intriguing *n*-6/*n*-3 PUFA ratio, emphasizing lentils and azuki beans with values of 4.0 and 3.2, respectively, which provide health benefits like lowered CVD risk.^[58]

Further, pulses are a good source of micronutrients, containing various minerals and vitamins.^[59] They include B-group vitamins, such as thiamine, niacin, folate, riboflavin, and pyridoxine, and are an excellent source of selenium.^[15] Moreover, pulses are a good origin of iron and zinc. For instance, a half-cup portion of beans contains over 10% of the daily recommended amount of iron, even though the amount in different types of beans might vary significantly.^[60]

Phytochemical composition of pulses

According to Neilson, et al.,^[61] plant bioactive compounds are dominantly secondary metabolites, which means they are not necessary for plant organisms' survival. In detail, secondary metabolites include specific substances that give the plant advantages in survival and competition. Besides, "natural products" or "phytochemicals" are other names for plant secondary metabolites. Alkaloids, terpenoids, as well as phenolics/polyphenols, are the three main types of phytochemicals found in plants. Minor classes possess polyacetylenes, miscellaneous pigments, cyanogenic glucosides, glucosinolates, and nonprotein amino acids. As summarized in Table 3, pulses enrich various bioactive compounds, such as phenolic compounds, phytosterols, and non-digestible carbohydrates, all of which have essential physiological and metabolic effects.^[103] Many recent reviews also address the main phytochemical compounds in different pulses.^[103,104]

As one of the dominant groups of pulses' bioactive compounds, the aromatic rings of phenolic compounds have one or more hydroxyl substituents, resulting in simple to highly complex polymers.^[105] They have a broad therapeutic potential attributed to their antioxidant capabilities, which are due to their ability to act as reducing agents, hydrogen donors, and neutralizers of free radicals according to their ox-reduction potential.^[106] The primary phenolic components found in pulse seeds are condensed tannins, phenolic acids, and flavonoids, which are classified as flavanols, flavan-3-ols, and anthocyanins.^[103] Besides, phenolic acids discovered in pulses are either cinnamic acid (e.g., caffeic, ferulic, sinapic, and *p*-coumaric acid) or benzoic acid (e.g., gallic, syringic, and vanillic acid) derivatives, found as esters of caffeic and quinic acids.^[42] Flavonoids share the diphenylpropanes (C6-C3-C6) structure, consisting of two aromatic rings connected by three carbons and typically forming an oxygenated heterocycle.^[107] Isoflavones, in which the B ring of the flavone molecule is connected to carbon 3, are particularly common in pulses. While tannins are highly hydroxylated, forming insoluble complexes with proteins and carbohydrates.

Field bean (*Vicia faba*) hulls have been measured to contain condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins), which are also found in the seeds of coloured-flowered cultivars of peas.^[108] Further, the phenolic compositions in pulses are primarily found in the seed coat, while the cotyledon part has comparatively minor concentrations.^[103] Regarding the common bean, phenolic compounds are primarily found in the seed coat. The presence of flavonol glycosides, anthocyanins, and condensed tannins is principally responsible for the seed colour. Hou, et al.^[109] also concluded that the abundance of phenolic compounds present in pulse seed coats was highest in common beans, followed by lentils and peas, while least in mung bean and lupin. Additionally, pulses with a deep colour and a lot of pigment, including black gram (*Vigna mungo*) and red kidney beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), are indicated with higher phenolic concentrations.^[110,111] The total phenolic content (TPC) also varies among different pulse varieties. For instance, in Xu, et al.^[112] study, the lentils and kidney bean samples (47.6 and 45.7 mg/g DW, respectively) showed the highest phenolic content, while lima bean showed the lowest (9.5 mg/g DW).

A group of incredibly diverse substances known as alkaloids typically consist of a heterocycle with a nitrogen atom inside the cycle. This confirmation gives the molecule a primary character; in an



Table 2. Nutritional composition of different pulse sources (raw/unprocessed seeds).

Nutritional Compounds	Pulse Source	Content (Per 100 g dry weight)	Reference
Macronutrients			
Protein			
Total essential amino acids	Common beans	16.7–27.2 g/100 g	[22]
	Peas	20.5–22.6 g/100 g	[18,23–27]
	Chickpeas	20.47 g/100 g	[28,29]
	Cowpeas	22.5–26.1 g/100 g	[12,30]
	Faba bean	26.12–29.2 g/100 g	[13,31]
	Lentils	20.6–31.4 g/100 g	[32]
	Common beans	33.64–40.42 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	[33]
	Lupine (blue, white, yellow, andean)	35.53–37.61 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	[34]
	Pea	38.59 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	
	Chickpea	40.55 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	
	Lentil	39.59 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	
	Chickpea (flour)	39.89 g/100 g proteins	
	Common beans	1.24 g/100 g	[22]
Individual essential amino acids	Common beans	5.46–6.33 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	[33]
	Cowpeas	3.5–7.9 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	[35]
	Peas	6.67 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	[33]
	Chickpeas	6.60 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	
	Lentil	6.92 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	
	Lupine (blue, white, yellow, andean)	5.57–6.81 g·16 g ⁻¹ N	
	Chickpea (flour)	6.00 g/100 g proteins	[34]
	Common beans	1.68 g/100 g	[22]
	Chickpea (flour)	7.59 g/100 g proteins	[34]
	Faba beans	21.4 g/kg	[36]
	Peas	17.4 g/kg	
	Lupines (<i>Lupinus Albus</i> , <i>Lupinus Angustifolius</i> , <i>Lupinus luteus</i>)	21.5–27.5 g/kg M	
	Phenylalanine	Common beans	1.36 g/100 g
Chickpea (flour)		5.57 g/100 g proteins	[34]
Faba beans		12.6 g/kg	[36]

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

Nutritional Compounds	Pulse Source	Content (Per 100 g dry weight)	Reference
	Peas	11.7 g/kg	
	Lupines (<i>Lupinus Albus</i> , <i>Lupinus Angustifolius</i> , <i>Lupinus luteus</i>)	12.5–14.9 g/kg	
Valine	Common beans	1.01 g/100 g	[22]
	Chickpea (flour)	5.60 g/100 g proteins	[34]
	Faba beans	13.3 g/kg	[36]
	Peas	11.4 g/kg	
	Lupines (<i>Lupinus Albus</i> , <i>Lupinus Angustifolius</i> , <i>Lupinus luteus</i>)	12.5–14.5 g/kg	
Methionine	Chickpea (flour)	1.54 g/100 g proteins	[34]
Cysteine	Chickpea (flour)	1.36 g/100 g proteins	
Tyrosine	Chickpea (flour)	3.58 g/100 g proteins	
Threonine	Chickpea (flour)	3.86 g/100 g proteins	
Isoleucine	Chickpea (flour)	4.76 g/100 g proteins	
	Common beans	51.51–57.19 g/100 g	[37]
	Peas	17–22 g/100 g	[38,39]
	Chickpea	62.95 g/100 g	[29]
	Cowpeas	74.8 g/100 g	[30]
	Faba bean	44.1 g/100 g	[31]
	Lentils	43.4–69.9 g/100 g	[32]
	Common beans	27.8–34.78 g/100 g	[40]
	Peas	14–26 g/100 g	[41–45]
	Chickpeas	6.49–12.2 g/100 g	[29,46]
	Cowpea	10.6 g/100 g	[12]
	Faba bean	25 g/100 g	[13]
	Lentils	5.0–26.9 g/100 g	[32]
	Common beans	0.3–1.6 g/100 g	[47]
	Chickpeas	1.23–1.38 g/100 g	[48]
	Common beans	25.2–36.1 g/100 g	[47]
Dietary fiber			
	Soluble Dietary Fiber		
	Non-Soluble Dietary Fiber		

(Continued)



Table 2. (Continued).

Nutritional Compounds	Pulse Source	Content (Per 100 g dry weight)	Reference	
Total Starch	Chickpeas	14.15–23.18 g/100 g	[48]	
	Common beans (Negro 8025, Pinto Durango)	35.27–39.84 g/100 g	[47,49]	
	Peas	20–50 g/100 g	[25,50]	
	Chickpea	36.91 g/100 g	[28]	
	Cowpeas	28.3–36.2 g/100 g	[51]	
	Faba bean	41.2–44.3 g/100 g	[52]	
	Lentils	44.5 g/100 g	[15]	
	Total Sugars	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.8–5.9 g/100 g	[15]
		Peas	3.87–15.1 g/100 g	[53]
		Chickpeas	10.7 g/100 g	[29]
	Glucose	Cowpea	6.90 g/100 g	[12]
		Lentils	1.2 g/100 g	[15]
		Peas	0.90 g/100 g	[53]
	Sucrose (g)	Chickpeas	0–0.065 g/100 g	[28,54]
		Peas	2.20–4.20 mg/g d.w	[53]
Fructose (g)	Chickpeas	1.09–2.28 g/100 g	[28,54]	
	Chickpeas	0.23–0.28 g/100 g	[28,54]	
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.9–2.1 g/100 g	[15]	
	Peas	2.34–2.65 g/100 g	[53]	
	Chickpeas	4.64–5.68 g/100 g	[48]	
Saturated fatty acids (SFA)	Cowpeas	1.26–1.9 g/100 g	[12,51]	
	Faba bean	1.53–2.0 g/100 g	[13,52]	
	Lentils	0.7–4.3 g/100 g	[32]	
	Common beans	0.300–0.490 g/100 g	[15,22]	
	Cowpeas	25.1–90.3 g/100 g	[35]	
Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA)	Lentils	0.2 g/100 g	[15]	
	Common beans	0.230–0.400 g/100 g	[15,22]	
	Cowpeas	7.5–24.0 g/100 g	[35]	
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA)	Lentils	0.3 g/100 g	[15]	
	Common beans	0.500–1.280 g/100 g	[15,22]	
	Cowpeas	32.4–64.8 g/100 g	[35]	
	Lentils	0.8 g/100 g	[15]	

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

Nutritional Compounds	Pulse Source	Content (Per 100 g dry weight)	Reference
Water	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	11.0–11.3 mg/100 g	[15]
Micronutrients			
Minerals			
Calcium (Ca)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	100–180 mg/100 g	[15]
	Peas	9.5 mg/100 g	[55]
	Chickpeas	81.7–165 mg/100 g	[48]
	Cowpeas	34.7 mg/100 g	[30]
	Faba bean	103–220 mg/100 g	[13,31]
	Lentils	71 mg/100 g	[15]
	Pegion peas	120.8 mg/100 g	
	Common beans	5.500–8.082 mg/100 g	[15]
Iron (Fe)	Peas	2.20–49.0 mg/100 g	[55]
	Chickpeas	4.31–5.50 mg/100 g	[29,48]
	Cowpeas	8.27 mg/100 g	[12]
	Faba bean	6.6–6.7 mg/100 g	[13,31]
	Lentils	11.1 mg/100 g	[15]
Magnesium (Mg)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	180–190 mg/100 g	[15]
	Peas	5.5 mg/100 g	[55]
	Chickpeas	147.0–169 mg/100 g	[48]
	Cowpeas	184 mg/100 g	[12]
	Faba bean	192–281 mg/100 g	[13,31]
	Lentils	110 mg/100 g	[15]
Potassium (K)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	1090–1160 mg/100 g	[15]
	Peas	98 mg/100 g	[55]
	Chickpeas	994.5–1060.0 mg/100 g	[48]
	Cowpeas	1112 mg/100 g	[12]
	Faba bean	748–1062 mg/100 g	[13,31]
	Lentils	940 mg/100 g	[15]
Phosphorus (P)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	310–590 mg/100 g	[15]
	Peas	0.22–0.51 mg/100 g	[55]
	Chickpeas	252–451.5 mg/100 g	[29,48]
	Cowpeas	424 mg/100 g	[12]

(Continued)



Table 2. (Continued).

Nutritional Compounds	Pulse Source	Content (Per 100 g dry weight)	Reference	
Zinc (Zn)	Faba bean	421 mg/100 g	[13]	
	Lentils	350 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.229–3.768 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Peas	2.04–6.35 mg/100 g	[55]	
	Chickpeas	3.4–4.07 mg/100 g	[48]	
	Cowpeas	3.37 mg/100 g	[12]	
	Faba bean	3.14–11.7 mg/100 g	[13,31]	
	Lentils	3.9 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	11–43 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Peas	3.5 mg/100 g	[55]	
Sodium (Na)	Cowpeas	16 mg/100 g	[12]	
	Faba bean	13–297 mg/100 g	[13,31]	
	Lentil	12 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Cowpeas	9.0 mg/100 g	[12]	
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.45–0.5 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Peas	0.44–0.62 mg/100 g	[53]	
	Chickpeas	0.477 mg/100 g	[29]	
	Faba bean	0.64 mg/100 g	[31]	
	Lentils	0.41 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.13–0.26 mg/100 g	[15]	
Riboflavin (Vitamin B2)	Peas	0.07–0.64 mg/100 g	[53]	
	Chickpeas	0.212 mg/100 g	[29]	
	Faba bean	0.190 mg/100 g	[31]	
	Lentils	0.27 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Peas	1.06 mg/100 g	[53]	
	Chickpeas	1.541 mg/100 g	[29]	
	Cowpeas	2.06 mg/100 g	[12]	
	Faba bean	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]	
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]	
	Peas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]	
Vitamin	Chickpeas	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]	
	Faba bean	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]	
	Niacin	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
Chickpeas		0.10 mg/100 g	[53]	
Faba bean		0.535 mg/100 g	[29]	
Common beans (white bean, broad bean)		0.230 mg/100 g	[31]	
Pyridoxine (Vitamin B6)		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
	Selenium (Se)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
Thiamin (Vitamin B1)		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
	Riboflavin (Vitamin B2)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
Pyridoxine (Vitamin B6)		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
	Selenium (Se)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
Thiamin (Vitamin B1)		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
	Riboflavin (Vitamin B2)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]
Pyridoxine (Vitamin B6)		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	2.000–2.832 mg/100 g	[13,31]
		Peas	0.37–0.56 mg/100 g	[15]
		Chickpeas	0.10 mg/100 g	[53]
		Faba bean	0.535 mg/100 g	[29]
		Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.230 mg/100 g	[31]

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

Nutritional Compounds	Pulse Source	Content (Per 100 g dry weight)	Reference
Pantothenic acid	Lentils	0.93 mg/100 g	[29]
	Chickpeas	1.588 mg/100 g	[12]
	Cowpeas	1.50 mg/100 g	[31]
Choline	Faba bean	0.270 mg/100 g	[29]
	Chickpeas	99.3 mg/100 g	[12]
	Cowpeas	94.7 mg/100 g	[53]
Folate/Folic acid (Vitamin B9)	Peas	0.050–0.054 mg/100 g	[29]
	Chickpeas	0.557 mg/100 g	[12]
	Cowpeas	0.633 mg/100 g	[13]
Retinol (Vitamin A)	Faba bean	0.423 mg/100 g	[15]
	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0 g	[29]
	Chickpeas	67 IU	[12]
Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C)	Cowpeas	3 µg/100 g	[15]
	Lentils	0g	[13]
	Faba bean	53 IU	[15]
Tocopherol (Vitamin E)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	Tr	[53]
	Peas	2.2–29.5 mg/100 g	[29]
	Chickpeas	4.0 mg/100 g	[12]
Phylloquinone (Vitamin K)	Cowpeas	1.50 mg/100 g	[13]
	Faba bean	1.4 mg/100 g	[15]
	Lentil	Tr	[15]
Phylloquinone (Vitamin K)	Common beans (white bean, broad bean)	0.21 mg/100 g	[53]
	Peas	2.2–7.1 mg/100g	[15]
	Chickpeas	2.88 mg/100 g	[13]
	Faba bean	9 mg/100 g	[13]

* Tr stands for trace.

aqueous solution, the molecule tends to pick up a proton unless the nitrogen atom is adjacent to an electron acceptor (e.g. ricinine).^[42] Lupins possess the majority of them (i.e., lupanine, lupinine, sparteine, and multiflorine), but developing alkaloid-free variants has boosted the number of lupins in fodder for domestic cattle.^[113] Additionally, alkaloids were reported to be present in peas as well as in tiny amounts in chickpeas and lentils.^[57] Lupanine has recently been identified to increase insulin secretion, as reported by Wiedemann, et al.^[114]; as a result, it may be relevant for the supportive treatment of diabetes mellitus.

In addition to being significant bioactive components of pulses, phytosterols, plant sterols, and stanols are the equivalent ingredients to cholesterol present in mammals.^[115] These compounds attach to bile acids and stop them from being reabsorbed.^[103]

Pulses contain trace amounts of phytosterols, the most prevalent of which are β -sitosterol, campesterol, and stigmasterol.^[116] The pulses have a total phytosterol concentration that ranges from 134 mg/100 g (kidney bean) to 242 mg/100 g (pea), as Ryan, et al.^[86] studied. Total β -sitosterol content ranged between 85 mg/100 g in butter beans and 160 mg/100 g in chickpeas.^[86]

Many other bioactive phytochemicals have been reported in pulses. For instance, pulses contain higher oligosaccharides compared to other crops; among them, α -galactosides are the dominant ones, including raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose.^[117] Based on Han and Baik^[118] investigation, total oligosaccharides in different pulses ranged between 70.7 mg/g DW (in yellow pea seeds) and 144.9 mg/g DW (in chickpea seeds).

Additionally, they include more significant levels of inaccessible or indigestible carbohydrates, such as resistant starch and dietary fiber, leading to a lower glycemic index (GI). Furthermore, pulses contain tocopherol with higher antioxidant potential (up to 52 mg/100 g in lupine seeds as reported).^[18]

Anti-nutritional compounds

Pulses contain various antinutritional compounds, including protease inhibitors, lectins, phytic acid, saponins, condensed tannins, and others, which can reduce the bioavailability and digestibility of other nutritional compounds and exceptionally, could be the cause of diseases.^[119] Protease inhibitors (such as trypsin and chymotrypsin) and amylase inhibitors are the two most common enzyme inhibitors of pulses (Table 3). Proteases, amylases, lipases, glycosidases, and phosphatases can be inhibited by protein hydrolases found in pulses.^[120] Common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) are the second-largest group of plant seeds after cereals that have been identified as natural sources of α -amylase inhibitors, which decreases the utilization of dietary starch and protein, potentially acting as a treatment for diabetes while also reducing the digestibility of dietary proteins.^[121] In addition, protease inhibitors also suppress the digestibility and bioavailability of nutrients.^[120]

Most plant foods include lectins or haemagglutinins, and pulses are the primary source of lectins in daily meals for humans. There have been reports of kidney beans showing a high level of lectins (840×10^{-5} hemagglutinating activity units (HU)/kg) and lupin seeds having a relatively low level (3×10^{-5} HU/kg).^[122] Due to some lectins disrupting the integrity of the intestinal epithelium, which affects the absorption and utilization of nutrients, some pulses' lectins decrease the growth of experimental animals and diminish the digestibility and biological value of dietary proteins.^[123]

According to Martín-Cabrejas,^[57] pulses store phosphorus mainly in the form of phytic acid (IP6), which can account for 3–5% of their total phosphorus content. Since phytic acid is a potent chelating agent and decreases the bioavailability of primarily divalent cations like Zn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} , it is regarded as a non-nutritive component. Insoluble metal-phytic complexes are generated under the digestive tract, decreasing their digestive use and subsequent assimilation in both animals and people. Along with interfering with digestive enzymes like pepsin, trypsin, and α -amylase, IP6 can also interact with proteins to produce phytate-protein complexes that impair the solubility and digestibility of dietary proteins.^[124] Based on Oomah, et al.,^[125] phytic acid makes up an average of 83% of all inositol phosphates, with the percentage varying from 77% in chickpea to 88% in black

Table 3. Contents of phytochemicals in different pulse sources.

Phytochemicals	Pulse Source	Content	Reference
Phenolic Compounds			
Total Phenolics	Mexican Common beans	0.90–2.11 mg GAE/g	[62]
	Common beans (Black, Pinto, Red)	8.58–20.30 mg catechin/g	[63]
	Peas	4.90 mg CE/g *d.w.	[64]
	Peas (flour)	5.89 mg CE/g d.w.	[65]
	Lentils	11.8–12.0 mg/g	[66]
	Chickpeas	2.2 mg/g	
	Peas (Yellow Peas, Green Peas)	1.2–2.5 mg/g	
	Soybeans	2.3 mg/g	
	Cowpea	7.94 mg GAE/g	[67]
	Cowpeas	89.4–295.2 mg GAE/g	[68]
	Faba bean	220.55 µg GAE/g	[69]
	Faba bean (Seeds)	3.86 mg GAE/g d.w.	[70]
	Faba bean (Leaves)	43.18–54.31 mg GAE/g d.w.	[71]
	Faba bean (10 varieties)	258–570 mg GAE/100 g d.w.	[72]
	Lentils (5 different genotypes)	5.90 mg GAE/g	[73]
Pigeon pea	0.16%	[74]	
		3.82%–16.61%	[74]
		6.15 mg GAE/g	[75]
Total Phenolic Acids	Peas (Yellow peas, Green peas)	154.4–253.3 mg/g	[76]
	Chickpeas	1285.7 mg/g	
	Lentil	2818.6 mg/g	
	Chickpeas	16.92–36.91 µg/g	[77]
	White lupine	508.6 µg/100 g	[78]
	Pinta beans	84.92 µg/g	[79]
Hydroxybenzoic	Peas (Yellow peas, Green peas)	74.91–84.38 mg/g	[76]
	Chickpeas	165.6 mg/g	
	Lentil	136.1 mg/g	
	Chickpeas (Sinaloa chickpea, Castellano chickpea)	7.05–25.62 µg/g d.w.	[80]
	Faba Beans (10 varieties)	76.2–153.9 µg/g	[71]
Hydroxycinnamic	Pinta beans	36.31 µg/g	[79]

(Continued)



Table 3. (Continued).

Phytochemicals	Pulse Source	Content	Reference	
Total Flavonoids	Peas (Yellow peas, Green peas)	79.46–168.9 mg/g	[76]	
	Chickpeas	1120.2 mg/g		
	Lentil	2682.5 mg/g		
	Faba beans (10 varieties)	3.37–7.71 µg/g	[71]	
	Common Bean	5.03–14.12 mg quercetin/g	[81]	
	Chickpeas	148.48–302.09 µg/g	[77]	
	Cowpeas	109.0–164.0 mg QE/g	[68]	
	Faba beans	106.33 µg QE/g	[69]	
	Faba bean (Seeds)	1.00 mg CE/g d.w.	[70]	
	Faba bean (Leaves)	43.68–63.67 mg CE/g d.w.		
	Faba bean (10 varieties)	200–316 µg/g	[71]	
	Lentils	398.33 mg catechin/100 g	[82]	
	Lentils (5 different genotypes)	4.95 mg CATE/g	[72]	
	Pigeon peas	2.11–5.44%	[74]	
	Pigeon peas	43.43 QE/100 g	[75]	
Flavonols	Pinta beans	14.58 µg/g	[79]	
	Common Beans (Black, Pinto, Red)	0.22–1.11 µg QE/g	[63]	
	Peas	0.08 µg QE/g	[64]	
	Pea (flour)	81.54 µg QE/g d.w.	[65]	
	Chickpeas (Sinaloa chickpea)	1.83 µg/g d.w.	[80]	
	Peas (Yellow peas, Green peas)	205.3–282.9 mg/g	[76]	
	Chickpeas	1693.7 mg/g		
	Lentil	4524.9 mg/g		
	Pinta beans	10.87 µg/g	[79]	
	Chickpeas (Sinaloa chickpea, Castellano chickpea)	51.92–57.53 µg/g d.w.	[80]	
Isoflavones	Common Bean	10.1–44.2 mg CE/g	[83]	
	Lupine (Blue, White, Yellow, Andean)	2.58–16.34 g/kg	[33]	
	Peas	4.69 g/kg		
	Chickpea	3.78 g/kg		
	Lentil	8.72 g/kg		
	Common Bean	0.38–1.53 g/kg		
	Faba Beans (5 selected cultivars)	0.21–0.65 mg/g	[84]	
	Tannins			

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued).

Phytochemicals	Pulse Source	Content	Reference
	Lentils	466.10 mg/100 g	[82]
	Lentils (5 different genotypes)	3.04 mg GAE/g	[72]
	Pigeon Pea	0.22–0.49%	[73,74]
Condensed Tannins	Common Bean	2.15–10.65 mg catechin/g	[81]
	Cowpeas	1.9–4.9 mg catechin/g	[68]
	Mexican Common Bean	9.49–35.70 mg of catechin/g	[62]
	Common Bean	0.43 ± 0.03 mg cyanidin 3-gluc./g	[81]
Anthocyanins	Mexican Common Bean	0.01–1.85 mg of cyanidin 3-glucoside (C3G)/g	[62]
	Common Beans (Red, Pinto, Black)	0.32–0.63 mg cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalent/g	[63]
	Peas	80.00 µg C3GlcE/g d.w.	[64]
	Peas (flour)	8.21 µg C3GlcE/g d.w.	[65]
	Cowpeas	0.1–1.8 mg cy-3-glu-1	[68]
	Pinta beans	256.94 µg/g	[79]
Catechins and procyanidins	Chickpeas	123.64–225.76 µg/g	[77]
Catechin	Faba beans	1455.59 µg/g	[69]
Total proanthocyanidin	Faba Beans (5 selected cultivars)	0.162–0.271 mg/g	[84]
	Lentils (5 genotypes)	7.93 mg PCBE/g	[72]
Other Bioactive Compounds			
Oligosaccharide	Mexican Common Bean	39.0–57.6 mg/g	[85]
Total α-galactosides	Pea	71.45 mg/g d.w.	[64]
	Pea (flour)	6.43 mg/100 mg d.w.	[65]
Total Phytosterols	Lentils	22.9–31.6 mg/100 g	[78]
	Peas (Yellow Split, Green Split)	33.7–42.8 mg/100 g	
	Chickpeas	48.9 mg/100 g	
	Kidney Bean	134 mg/100 g	[86]
	Pea	242 mg/100 g	
	Butter Bean	85 mg/100 g	[86]
β-sitosterol	Chickpea	160 mg/100 g	
Alkaloid	Pigeon pea	0.323–2.65%	[73,74]
Total tocopherol (Ts)	Chickpeas	90.50–120.95 µg/g	[77]
		124.5 mg/100 g	[87]

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued).

Phytochemicals	Pulse Source	Content	Reference
Total carotenoid	Chickpeas	111.0 mg/100 g	[87]
Resistant Starch	Mung Bean	5.70–5.95 g/100 g	[88]
	Cowpea	3.24–9.62 g/100 g	
Antinutritional Compounds			
Phytic Acid	Chickpeas	21.2 mg/g	[89]
	Faba bean	32.0 mg/g	[89]
	Chickpea (<i>desi</i> and <i>kabuli</i> cultivars)	Varied from 5.98 (<i>kabuli</i> PUSA1053) to 11.00 (<i>desi</i> JG74) mg/g	[90]
	Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper)	5.6 mg/g (varied from 1.7 (KUG-365)–9.0 (KUG-509) mg/g)	[91]
	Lentils	4.13 mg/g (brown lentils, after household cooking), 7.14 mg/g (Green lentils, after canning)	[92]
Total inositol phosphates (IP)	Peas	11.08 mg/g	[64]
Phytate	Peas	8.82 mg/g	[64]
	Pigeon pea	1.017%	[73]
Trypsin Inhibitor	Chickpeas	14.7 mg/g	[89]
	Faba bean	2.2 mg/g	[89]
	Faba beans (Whole, Split)		
	Chickpea (<i>desi</i> and <i>kabuli</i> cultivars)	5.96 (Whole faba bean)–6.10 (Split faba bean) TIU/mg	[93]
	Chickpeas	Varied from 17 to 31 mg/g in <i>desi</i> cultivars and in 9 to 16 mg/g in <i>kabuli</i>	[90]
	Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper)	14.22–16.24 TIU/mg	[93]
Lentils (Whole red lentil, Split red lentil, Football red lentil, Spanish brown lentil, etc.)		94.2 units/g seeds	[91]
		4.98–6.29 TIU/mg	[93]
Lentils		0.94–1.94 TIU/mg	[94]
	Peas (Whole yellow pea, Split yellow pea, Whole green pea, Split green pea)	3.16–4.92 TIU/mg	[93]
Common beans (Dark red kidney bean, Pinto bean, Navy bean, Black bean)		15.18–20.83 TIU/mg	[93]
	Soybean	45.89 TIU/mg	[93]
Common Bean		6.3–14.5 TIU/mg	[83]
	Peas	9.43 TIU/mg	[64]
α -Amylase inhibitor	Dry beans	43.19%	[63]
	Bean (Dark red kidney bean, Pinto bean, Navy bean, Black bean)	Varied from 785.58 (Black bean) to 1369.75 (Dark red kidney bean) AIU/g dry matter	[93]
Soybean		938.73 AIU/g dry matter	[93]

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued).

Phytochemicals	Pulse Source	Content	Reference
Chymotrypsin inhibitor	Peas	3.17 CIU/mg	[64]
Total Tannins	Faba bean	1.28 g/100 g	[89]
	Chickpea (<i>desi</i> and <i>kabuli</i> cultivars)	Varied from 0.18 to 0.22% in <i>desi</i> ; 0.07 to 0.13% in <i>kabuli</i>	[90]
	Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper)	7.33 mg/g	[91]
	Faba beans (VH 131 variety)	13.70 mg/g	[95]
Saponins	Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper)	14.3 mg/g	[91]
	Pigeon pea	21.64 mg/g- 34.94 mg/g	[96]
	Pigeon pea	0.466%	[73]
Total soyasaponins	Mexican black bean	42.28 mg/100 g	[97]
Oxalate	Pigeon pea	0.139%	[73]
Total polyphenols	Faba beans	14.70 mg/100 g d.w.	[98]
	Chickpea	1.47–2.87 mg GAE/g d.w.	[99]
	Lentils (Small lentils, large lentils)	25.8 (Large lentils)-25.9 (Small lentils) mg GAE/g	[100]
	Lentils (Colforito lentils, Eston lentils, Dehulled lentils, Laird lentils, Black lentils, etc.)	0.84–4.52 mg GAE/g	[101]
	Lentils	37.14 mg/100 g d.w.	[98]
	Lentil	1.22–7.45 mg GAE/g d.w.	[99]
	Peas (Green split peas, Yellow split peas)	11.8–13.6 mg GAE/100 g fresh w.t.	[100]
	Common beans	0.68–7.16 mg GAE/g	[101]
Lectin/Haemagglutinins	Lupines (White lupines)	19.4 mg GAE/100 g fresh w.t.	[100]
	Common Bean	0.5–3.1 HAU/g	[83]
	Peas	83.33 HU/kg	[64]
	Kidney Beans	840 ×10–5 HU/kg	[102]
	Cowpea	3×10–5 HU/kg	
	Lupin	3×10–5 HU/kg	

*d.w.: dry weight.

beans. Additionally, it tends to be present in larger concentrations in raw dry beans, black eye pea, and pigeon pea than in lentil, green split pea, and chickpea. On the other hand, pulses also contain significant amounts of phytate, the salt form of phytic acid found in the endosperm's protein bodies.

Saponins are another typical group of antinutritional compounds widely distributed in various pulses, such as chickpea, soybean, lentil, phaseolus bean, and the alfalfa sprout.^[42] The primary sources of saponins in the human diet are indicated as soybean and chickpea.^[125] Moreover, saponins can reduce the availability of nutrients and limit enzyme activity, which can have a growth-retarding effect on animal growth.^[126]

Furthermore, other antinutritional components in pulses include oxalates (which can lower mineral bioavailability like phytates), phenolic compounds (including isoflavones), condensed tannins (which decrease food intake in animals), lignans (phyto-estrogens), and lignins (which reduce fermentability of dietary fibres), among others.^[42]

Effect of processing on pulse phytochemicals

Through various thermal and non-thermal processing methods, the phytochemical compounds in pulses can be influenced, particularly in terms of phenolic compounds and antinutritional compounds. Thermal processing can quickly eliminate partial heat-labile antinutritional factors, such as protease inhibitors and lectins.^[119] In contrast, other antinutritional compounds, such as phytic acid, tannins, and saponins, withstand heat. Coda, et al.^[127] concluded that dehulling, soaking, air classification, extrusion, and cooking are the primary technological methods for reducing the adverse effects of antinutritional compounds on pulse consumption. However, biological techniques tend to be more effective, including germination, enzyme treatments, and particularly fermentation. In the following sub-sections, various thermal and non-thermal processing methods and their effects on phytochemical profiles and contents in pulse seeds will be introduced (Table 4).

Soaking

As one basic processing approach, soaking can soften the cell walls of the pulse seeds, thus facilitating the subsequent cooking process.^[177] The concentration of relevant phytochemical compounds will hence be influenced by soaking processing. Primarily, due to pertinent chemicals seeping into the soaking water during this stage, polyphenols will become soluble and lose their potency, thus causing a reduced general content of total phenolic contents (TPC).^[177] For example, Xu and Chang^[76] reported a 4.9–11.5% reduction in TPC in green peas and 9.5–37.8% in lentils after the soaking process (50, 70, 85, 100% hydration). Similar decreasing trends in TPC in five soaked different faba bean cultivars were also observed by Siah, et al.^[167] Secondly, soaking can remarkably influence the levels of certain phenolic compounds. Soaking pulses can effectively reduce the tannin content due to the diffusion effect into the water.^[178] Alonso, et al.^[129] reported a reduction of 47.7% and 24.2% in condensed tannin content in faba bean and kidney bean, respectively; Khandelwal, et al.^[136] also reported around 22% reduction in total tannins in red gram and Bengal

However, some studies showed the reverse effects of soaking on pulse phenolic compounds. Lentils were shown to contain more dihydroxybenzoic acid after being soaked, rising from 3.68 µg/g to 39.20 µg/g.^[135] And it was also demonstrated by Aguilera, et al.^[179] that flavonols in Sinaloa chickpea were increased by the soaking process. Not only soaking alone but also soaking with cooking on the different phenolic compounds has been studied and reported. Luthria and Pastor-Corrales^[180] observed that while overnight soaking samples showed a considerable loss in total phenolic acids, following cooking of bean samples retained more than 83% of the total phenolic acids.

Soaking of pulse seeds causes the reduction of phytate and phytic acid in general, mainly attributed to its water solubility, seeping into the soaking water, as well as the endogenous phytase activation.^[181] Khattab and Arntfield^[130] investigated the phytic acid of studied pulses (Canadian and Egyptian

cowpea, kidney bean, and pea) reduced significantly after soaking. Shimelis and Rakshit^[131] illustrated that compared to the raw control, soaked kidney beans had a 14–18% reduction in phytic acid.

Moreover, soaking can significantly influence the level of other antinutrient and bioactive compounds. The soaking process results in the aqueous extraction of saponins because of their high water solubility.^[182] For instance, as Sharma and Sehgal^[137] reported, two of the faba bean cultivars that were studied had a 20–23% drop in saponins after soaking for 12 hours at 37°C. In addition, as for pulses, soaking can lower the concentration and activities of enzyme inhibitors such as trypsin, α -amylase, and chymotrypsin inhibitors.^[93] This phenomenon might be resulted from relevant inhibitors leaking into soaking water during treatment.^[183] In Shi, et al.^[93] study, after soaking, the level of α -amylase inhibitor in common beans was reduced by 4–10%, and that in soybean decreased by 4%. Simultaneously, trypsin inhibitor was significantly reduced by soaking in studied pea (17.34–30.74%), lentil (5.57–19.35%), faba bean (12.73–22.59%), chickpea (9.39–25.27%), common bean (4.88–9.09%), and soybeans (18.58%). Moreover, soaking also causes reduction of other phytochemicals, such as oligosaccharides (sucrose, stachyose and raffinose) in soybean, cowpea and ground bean samples.^[184]

Extrusion

Utilizing the mix of moisture, pressure, temperature, and mechanical shear, extrusion processing creates food products with distinctive physical and chemical properties, along with shortened cooking time and enhanced textural, nutritional, dietary, and sensory attributes of food ingredients at the same time.^[185] Tas and Shah^[186] indicated that incorporating pulse-based flours into extruded cereal-based snacks exerts better nutritional benefits (e.g., high protein and dietary fibre, low fat, elevated resistant starch levels) and functional properties (e.g., sensory appeal, textural attributes).

Extrusion can not only enhance the digestibility of starch and protein but also significantly impact the elimination of antinutritional factors, including trypsin inhibitors, lectins, phytic acid, and tannin.^[187,188] As shown in Table 4, Alonso, et al.^[129] indicated that the best treatment for removing trypsin, chymotrypsin, α -amylase inhibitors, and hemagglutinin activity without changing the protein composition was extrusion processing. Rathod and Annapure^[189] extruded lentils (18% moisture, 160°C, 200 rpm), and discovered that the final product had trypsin inhibitor, phytate, and tannin concentrations that had been reduced by up to 99.54, 99.30, and 98.83%, respectively. Besides, according to Pasqualone, et al.,^[190] observed a remarkable decrease in trypsin inhibitor levels after extrusion and attributed this result to heat and intense mechanical stress. Moreover, trypsin inhibitors can be significantly inactivated and destructed by extrusion at higher temperatures or by lengthening the residence period when extruding at lower temperatures.^[191]

The impact of extrusion cooking on different groups of phenolic compounds may vary. Several studies have proved the significant reduction of total phenolic compounds in extruded pea, kidney bean, faba bean, and chickpea.^[129,139,192] The excessively high temperatures may cause the unfavorable effects of extrusion cooking on relevant phenolic compounds.^[193] On the other hand, some experiments reported increasing reverse impacts. In Arribas, et al.^[194] study, an increase in total phenolics and anthocyanins was observed in the extrudates made from pea, rice, and carob flour. It was demonstrated that if phenolics are mostly bound to dietary fibre in the cell walls in the starting flour, as they are in carob, then the extrusion process will tend to partially disrupt the fibre, thus releasing relevant phenolic compounds.^[195,196]

Furthermore, some other phytochemical compounds can also be affected during the extrusion process. For instance, when compared to raw materials, extrusion cooking led to a considerable increase in the concentration of total α -galactosides of up to 85%.^[141] Lentil extrudates produced at 160°C had a greater total α -galactoside concentration than those produced at 140°C.^[141] This might be related to the fact that oligosaccharides are relatively heat-stable.^[197] Therefore, during the extrusion procedure, the cell walls undergo mechanical-structural changes, which may enhance their availability in the final extrudates.^[190] However, few studies indicate that extrusion processing affects vitamins'

Table 4. Effect of different processing methods on pulse phytochemical compounds.

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Soaking				
Faba bean	Phytic acid	Raw	8.36 mg/g	[128]
		Soaking (10°C, after preheating) Natural and accelerated fermentation	4.10–5.35 mg/g (36–51% decreased) 1.34–4.34 mg/g (48–84% decreased)	
	Steeping (25°C, 24 h)	6.12–6.86 mg/g (18–27% decreased)		
	Sprouting (30°C, 120 h)	2.66–7.70 mg/g (8–69% decreased)		
Faba beans	Condensed tannins	Raw	1.95 g eq cat kt–1 DM	[129]
		Soaking	1.02 g eq cat kt–1 DM (47.7% decreased)	
Canadian cowpea	Phytic acid	Raw	22.63 mg/g	[130]
		Soaking (18 h)	14.71 mg/g	
		Boiling (4 h)	6.30 mg/g	
		Unprocessed seeds (control)	23.51 mg/g	[131]
Kidney bean (Roba variety)	Phytic acid	Water soaking (12 h in plain water)	19.28 mg/g (18% decreased)	
		Sodium bicarbonate soaking (12 h)	20.22 mg/g (14% decreased)	
	Tannins	Unprocessed seeds (control)	5.37 mg/g	
		Water soaking (12 h in plain water)	4.03 mg/g (25% decreased)	
Kidney beans	Condensed tannins	Sodium bicarbonate soaking (12 h)	3.92 mg/g (27% decreased)	
		Raw	3.59 g eq cat kt–1 DM	[129]
		Soaking	2.72 g eq cat kt–1 DM (24.2% decreased)	
		Raw	47.1 mg/100 g	[132]
Black bean (T-39 cultivar)	Total phenolic acid content	Soaking (Soaking water extract)	0.9 mg/100 g	
		Cooking (Paste of simmered beans)	38.2 mg/100 g	
Great Northern bean (Matterhon cultivar)	Total phenolic acid content	Raw	32.5 mg/100 g	[132]
		Soaking (Soaking water extract)	0.2 mg/100 g	
		Cooking (Paste of simmered beans)	32.2 mg/100 g	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Vegetable peas (Bonneville)	Phytic acid	Control	630.3 mg/100 g	[133]
		Soaking (6 h)	598.7 mg/100 g	
		Soaking (12 h)	581.3 mg/100 g	
Green pea	TPC	Soaking (18 h)	554.6 mg/100 g	[134]
		Raw	1.22 mg GAE/g	
		Soaking (50, 70, 85, 100% hydration).	1.08–1.16 mg GAE/g (4.9–11.5% decreased)	
Lentils	TPC	Raw	7.34 mg GAE/g	[134]
		Soaking (50, 70, 85, 100% hydration).	4.56–6.64 mg GAE/g (9.5–37.8% decreased)	
Pardina Lentil	kaempferol 3-glucoside	Raw	3.66 µg/g	[135]
Sinaloa chickpea	dihydroxybenzoic acid	Soaking	2.21 µg/g	[80]
		Raw	3.68 µg/g	
	Soaking	39.20 µg/g		
	Raw	6.01 µg/g dry matter		
	Total flavonols	Soaking	1.88 µg/g dry matter (68.7% decreased)	
		Raw	1.83 µg/g dry matter	
	Soaking	3.40 µg/g dry matter (increased)		
	Soaking + cooking	2.78 µg/g dry matter (increased)		
	Raw	0.44 µg/g dry matter		
Red gram	Tannins	Soaking	0.35 µg/g dry matter	[136]
		Soaking + cooking	3.50 µg/g dry matter (increased)	
		Raw	296.9 mg/100 g	
Bengal gram	Tannins	Soaking	230.1 mg/100 g (22.5% decreased)	[136]
		Raw	236.6 mg/100 g	
		Soaking	184.3 mg/100 g (22.1% decreased)	(Continued)



Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Faba beans (Two varieties)	Saponins	Soaking (12 h, 37°C)	20–23% decreased	[137]
Common beans	α-amylase inhibitor	Soaking	4–10% decreased	[93]
Soybean	α-amylase inhibitor	Soaking	4% decreased	
Peas	Trypsin inhibitor	Soaking	17.34–30.74% decreased	
Lentils	Trypsin inhibitor	Soaking	5.57–19.35% decreased	
Faba beans	Trypsin inhibitor	Soaking	12.73–22.59% decreased	
Chickpeas	Trypsin inhibitor	Soaking	9.39–25.27% decreased	
Common beans	Trypsin inhibitor	Soaking	4.88–9.09% decreased	
Soybean	Trypsin inhibitor	Soaking	18.58% decreased	
Pulse	Cyanogenic glycosides	Soaking (sodium bicarbonate solution)	13.9% decreased	[138]
		Soaking (citric acid solution)	8.7% decreased	
		Soaking (water)	7.7% decreased	
Extrusion				
Faba beans	Phytic acid	Raw (unsoaked)	6.40 mg/g	[139]
		Extrusion (Unsoaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	6.86 mg/g (140°C, 18%); 6.05 mg/g (180°C, 22%); 6.26 mg/g (140°C, 22%); 6.23 mg/g (180°C, 18%).	
		Raw (pre-soaked)	6.10 mg/g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked)	4.80–5.50 mg/g	
	Tannins	Raw (unsoaked)	492 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (unsoaked)	397–438 mg/100 g	
		Raw (pre-soaked)	485 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked)	362–426 mg/100 g	
	Total phenols	Raw (unsoaked)	850 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (unsoaked)	635–750 mg/100 g	
		Raw (pre-soaked)	810 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked)	559–644 mg/100 g	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Faba beans	Phytic acid	Raw	21.7g/kg DM	[129]
		Extrusion	15.9 g/kg DM (26.6% decreased)	
	Condensed tannins	Raw	1.95 g eq cat/kt DM	
		Extrusion	0.89 g eq cat/kt DM (54.4% decreased)	
	Polyphenols	Raw	3.92 g/kg DM	
		Extrusion	2.80 g/kg DM (28.6% decreased)	
	Trypsin inhibitors	Raw	4.47 IU/mg DM	
		Extrusion	0.05 IU/mg DM (98.9% decreased)	
	Chymotrypsin inhibitor	Raw	3.56 IU/mg DM	
		Extrusion	1.68 IU/mg DM (52.8% decreased)	
	α -Amylase inhibitors	Raw	18.9 IU/g DM	
		Extrusion	0.00 IU/g DM (100% decreased)	
	Hemagglutinating activity	Raw	49.3 HU/mg DM	
		Extrusion	0.2 HU/mg DM (99.6% decreased)	
	Peas	Phytic acid	Raw (Unsoaked)	
Extrusion (Unsoaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)			7.90–8.34 mg/g	
Raw (pre-soaked)		8.50 mg/g		
Extrusion (pre-soaked)		7.14–7.60 mg/g		
Tannins		Raw (Unsoaked)	330 mg/100 g	
	Extrusion (Unsoaked)	236–278 mg/100 g		
	Raw (pre-soaked)	269 mg/100 g		
Total phenols	Extrusion (pre-soaked)	200–233 mg/100 g		
	Raw (Unsoaked)	460 mg/100 g		
	Extrusion (Unsoaked)	392–430 mg/100 g		
	Raw (pre-soaked)	393 mg/100 g		
	Extrusion (pre-soaked)	343–379 mg/100 g		

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Chickpeas	Phytic acid	Raw (Unsoaked)	8.21 mg/g	[139]
		Extrusion (Unsoaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	7.33–8.16 mg/g	
	Tannins	Raw (pre-soaked)	8.00 mg/g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	8.04 mg/g (140°C, 18%); 7.44 mg/g (180°C, 18%); 7.74 mg/g (140°C, 22%); 7.35 mg/g (180°C, 22%).	
		Raw (Unsoaked)	260 mg/100 g	
	Total phenols	Extrusion (Unsoaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	190–245 mg/100 g	
		Raw (pre-soaked)	210 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	214 mg/100 g (180°C, 18%); 210mg/100 g (180°C, 22%); 196 mg/100 g (140°C, 18%); 195 mg/100 g (140°C, 22%).	
		Raw (Unsoaked)	590 mg/100 g	
	Total phenols	Extrusion (Unsoaked)	470–520 mg/100 g	
Raw (pre-soaked)		550 mg/100 g		
Extrusion (pre-soaked)		270–380 mg/100 g		

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Kidney beans	Phytic acid	Raw (Unsoaked)	11.03 mg/g	[139]
		Extrusion (Unsoaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	9.64–10.90 mg/g	
	Tannins	Raw (pre-soaked)	9.95 mg/g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked; 140°C and 180°C; 18% and 22% moisture)	10.41 mg/100 g (140°C, 18%), 10.05 mg/100 g (140°C, 22%); 9.77 mg/g (180°C, 18%); 9.53 mg/100 g (180°C, 22%).	
		Raw (Unsoaked)	233 mg/100 g	
	Total phenols	Extrusion (Unsoaked)	196–223 mg/100 g	
		Raw (pre-soaked)	229 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (pre-soaked)	171–190 mg/100 g	
		Raw (Unsoaked)	639 mg/100 g	
		Extrusion (Unsoaked)	539–621 mg/100 g	
	Raw (pre-soaked)	610 mg/100 g		
	Extrusion (pre-soaked)	413–494 mg/100 g		

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References	
Kidney beans	Phytic acid	Raw	15.9 g/kg DM	[129]	
	Condensed tannins	Extrusion	12.6 g/kg DM (21.4% decreased)		
		Raw	3.59 g eq cat/kt DM		
	Polyphenols	Extrusion	0.58 g eq cat/kt DM (83.8% decreased)		
		Raw	2.07 g/kg DM		
	Trypsin inhibitors	Extrusion	1.12 g/kg DM (45.9% decreased)		
		Raw	3.10 IU/mg DM		
	Chymotrypsin inhibitor	Extrusion	0.43 IU/mg DM (86.1% decreased)		
		Raw	3.97 IU/mg DM		
	α -Amylase inhibitors	Extrusion	0.00 IU/mg DM (100% decreased)		
		Raw	248 IU/g DM		
	Hemagglutinating activity	Extrusion	0.00 IU/g DM (100% decreased)		
		Raw	74.5 HU/mg DM		
	Lentil (flour samples)	Total phenolics	Extrusion		0.2 HU/mg DM (99.7% decreased)
Raw			4.68 mg GAE/g		
Hydroxybenzoic acids		Extrusion	5.18 mg GAE/g (increased)		
		Raw	2.52 mg GAE/g		
Hydroxycinnamic acids		Extrusion	4.40 mg GAE/g (increased)		
		Raw	9.79 mg FAE/g		
Flavonols		Extrusion	12.28 mg FAE/g		
		Raw	13.50 mg QE/g		
Rice-extruded Bean/Carob flours		Extrusion	5.09 mg QE/g	[64]	
		Raw	Around 11%–36% increased		
Formulated lentil-nutritional yeast flours	Anthocyanins and total phenolics	Extrusion	95.0–99.6% decreased	[141]	
	Lectin	Extrusion (140°C; 160°C)	Increase (up to 85%)		
	α -galactosides	Extrusion (140°C; 160°C)		(Continued)	

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Germination				
Soybean (Bangladesh soybean-4)	Total folate content	Raw Germination	230.5 µg/100 g FW 815.2 µg/100 g FW	[142]
Soybean (HeiNong48)	Total folate content	Raw Germination	202.9 µg/100 g FW 759.5 µg/100 g FW	
Mung bean (BARI mung-4)	Total folate	Raw	168.9 µg/100 g FW	
Mung bean (Sulv3)	Total folate	Germination	690.89 µg/100 g FW	
		Raw	141.1 µg/100 g FW	
		Germination	633.9 µg/100 g FW	
Beans	Protocatechuic acid	Raw	32.8–41.4 µg/100 g	[143]
		Germination	n.d.	
	HBA	Raw	32.3–36.1 µg/100 g	
		Germination (6 days)	11.5–15.7 µg/100 g	
	Flavonoids	Raw	n.d.	
		Germination (6 days)	quercetin-3-rhamnoside (297–311 µg/100 g), kaempferol-3-rutinoside (305–323 µg/100 g) and kaempferol-3-glucoside (72.7–78.3 µg/100 g)	
Lentil	bound phenolic compounds	Raw	38 mg/100 g	[144]
		Germination (3 days)	5 mg/100 g	
		Germination (24 h)	61.3 mg/100 g	
Lentil	Total phenolic content	Raw	53 mg/kg	[143]
		Germination (5 days)	78 mg/kg	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Mung bean	Vitamin C	Raw	11.69 mg/100 g DW	[145]
		Germination (8 days)	285 mg/100 g DW	
	Total phenolic content	Raw	214.7 mg GA equiv/100 g DW	
		Germination (9 days)	966.4 mg GA equiv/100 g DW	
	Free phenolics	Raw	170.8 mg GA equiv/100 g DW	
		Germination (9 days)	925.4 mg GA equiv/100 g DW	
	Bound phenolic	Raw	43.91 mg GA equiv/100 g DW	
		Germination (1–9 days)	48.26 and 40.96 mg GA equiv/100 g DW (non-significance)	
	Quercetin-3-O-glucoside	Raw	0.49 mg/100 g DW	
		Germination (4 days)	5.95 mg/100 g DW	
Horse gram		Germination (5 days and after)	10.98 mg/100 g DW	[146]
	TPC	Raw	46.53 mg GAE/g	
		Germination	52.33 mg GAE/g	
	Oxalate	Raw	3.18 mg/g	
		Germination	1.73 mg/g	
	Phytate	Raw	10.23 mg/g	
		Germination	5.76 mg/g	
	Tannin	Raw	16.18 mg/g	
		Germination	10.28 mg/g	
		Germination	75% decreased	
Faba Bean	Phytic Acid	Raw	1.33 g/100 g	[147]
		Germination (24 h)	0.97 g/100 g	
		Germination (48 h)	0.88 g/100 g	
		Germination (72 h)	0.76 g/100 g	
Solara beans		Germination (8 days)	Decreased	[148]
		Germination (8 days)	Decreased	
Soya beans	Kunitz trypsin inhibitor	Germination (8 days)	Decreased	[149]

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Black bean	Soysaponin Af	Raw	0.65 mg/g	[150]
		Germination (1 day)	0.98 mg/g	
		Germination (2 days)	0.54 mg/g	
		Germination (3 days)	1.45 mg/g	
		Germination (4 days)	7.38 mg/g	
Roasting				
Beans (kidney beans, black-eyed peas, pinto beans, soybeans)	Total phenolics	Raw	100%	[151]
		Roasting (Black-eyed peas)	27%	
		Roasting (Kidney beans)	24%	
		Roasting (Pinto beans)	18%	
Faba beans	phytic acid	Raw	2.62 mg/g	[152]
		Roasting	2.23 mg/g	

(Continued)



Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References	
Faba beans	Total phenolic content	Raw	10.7mg GAE/g DW	[153]	
		Roasting (10 min)	8.3 mg GAE/g DW		
		Roasting (30 min)	5.5 mg GAE/g DW		
		Roasting (60 min)	5.2 mg GAE/g DW		
		Roasting (120 min)	6.2 mg GAE/g DW		
	Total flavonoid content	Raw	2.8mg CE/g DW		
		Roasting (10 min)	2.3 mg CE/g DW		
		Roasting (30 min)	1.6 mg CE/g DW		
		Roasting (60 min)	1.8 mg CE/g DW		
	Total	Roasting (120 min)	1.6 mg CE/g DW		
		Raw	0.23mg CE/g DW		
		proanthocyanidins			
		TPC	Roasting (10 min)		0.16 mg CE/g DW
			Roasting (30 min)		0.14 mg CE/g DW
			Roasting (60 min)		0.13 mg CE/g DW
Roasting (120 min)	0.16 mg CE/g DW				
Mangrove legume (<i>Canavalia cathartica</i>)	Raw	1450 mg/100 g d.w.	[154]		
	Roasting	1440 mg/100 g d.w.	[155]		
	Oxalate	Raw	490.6 mg/100 g		
		Roasting	466.2 mg/100 g		
	Tannin	Raw	3.09 moldm ⁻³		
		Roasting	2.56 moldm ⁻³		
	Phytate	Raw	353.9 mg/100 g		
		Roasting	346.9 mg/100 g		
	Saponin	Raw	4.6%		
		Roasting	4.2%		
Alkaloid	Raw	6.2%			
	Roasting	4.4%			
Cyanide	Raw	4.13 moldm ⁻³			
	Roasting	3.64 moldm ⁻³			
Chemotrypsin	Raw	0.4674 g			
	Roasting	0.4090 g			

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Adzuki beans	Phytic Acid	Roasting (600 W, 7 min)	3.50 mg/g	[156]
		Roasting (600 W, 14 min)	2.42 mg/g	
		Roasting (600 W, 21 min)	2.28 mg/g	
		Roasting (600 W, 7 min)	0.72 mg TI/g	
	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Roasting (600 W, 14 min)	0.45 mg TI/g	
		Roasting (600 W, 21 min)	0.17 mg TI/g	
		Roasting (600 W, 7 min)	6.21mg GAE/g	
		Roasting (600 W, 14 min)	17.12 mg GAE/g	
	TPC	Roasting (600 W, 14 min)	25.32 mg GAE/g	
		Roasting (600 W, 21 min)	1.56 mg/g	
Roasting (600 W, 7 min)		1.54 mg/g		
Roasting (600 W, 14 min)		1.53 mg/g		
Cowpea (Paiyur 1)	Saponins	Roasting (600 W, 21 min)	760 mg/100 g	[157]
		Roasting (600 W, 14 min)	390 mg/100 g	
		Roasting (600 W, 7 min)	153.80 mg/100 g	
		Roasting (600 W, 21 min)	120.31 mg/100 g	
	Tannin	Raw	2866.85 TIA/100 g	
		Roasting	1140.56 TIA/100 g	
	Phytic acid	Raw	859.3 mg/100 g	
		Roasting	540 mg/100 g	
		Raw	674 mg/100 g	
		Roasting	553 mg/100 g	
Trypsin inhibitor	Raw	2463.25 TIA/g		
	Roasting	1002.45 TIA/g		
Black gram (VBN(Bg)4)	Tannin	Raw		
		Roasting		
		Raw		
		Roasting		
	Phytic acid	Raw		
		Roasting		
	Trypsin inhibitor	Raw		
		Roasting		
		Raw		
		Roasting		
Steaming				

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Green bean	Total ascorbic acid	Steaming (115°C, 0 min)	5.33 mg/100 g green bean	[158]
		Steaming (115°C, 10 min)	4.68 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (115°C, 20 min)	5.00 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (115°C, 40 min)	5.11 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (20min, raw)	5.33 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (20min, 100°C)	5.32 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (20min, 115°C)	5.00 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (20min, 121°C)	5.15 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (20min, 115°C)	5.00 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (20min, 121°C)	5.15 mg/100 g green bean	
		Steaming (115°C, 10 min)	36% decreased	
		Steaming (115°C, 20 min)	32% decreased	
		Steaming (115°C, 30 min)	30% decreased	
		Steaming (115°C, 10 min)	60% decreased	
Steaming (100°C, 120 min)	60% decreased			
Green beans (Purple (Cv Purple Queen))	Total phenols	Raw	23.20 mg GAE/100 g FW	[159]
		Steaming		
Green beans (Green (Cv Allure))	Total phenols	Steaming	21.68 mg GAE/100 g FW	
		Raw	11.87 mg GAE/100 g FW	
		Steaming	8.3 mg GAE/100 g FW	
Green beans (Yellow (Cv Brittle Wax))	Total phenols	Raw	7.81 mg GAE/100 g FW	
		Steaming	6.29 mg GAE/100 g FW	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References	
Green pea	Total phenolic content	Conventional steaming, 70 min	25.0% decreased	[76]	
	Procyanidin content	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	10.5% decreased		
		Conventional steaming, 70 min	8.9% decreased		
	Saponin	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	20.7% decreased		
		Conventional steaming, 70 min	15.8% decreased		
	Phytic acid	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	9.5% decreased		
		Conventional steaming, 70 min	18.2% decreased		
	Catechin	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	20.7% decreased		
		Raw	205.3 mg/g		
	Yellow pea	Total flavan-3-ols	Conventional steaming, 70 min		129.9 mg/g
			Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min		130.9 mg/g
		Total phenolic content	Raw		205.3 mg/g
			Conventional steaming, 70 min		129.9 mg/g
		Procyanidin content	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min		130.9 mg/g
Conventional steaming, 70 min			25.9% decreased		
Chickpea	Saponin	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	12.9% decreased	[134]	
		Conventional steaming, 70 min	8.5% decreased		
	Phytic acid	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	17.9% decreased		
		Conventional steaming, 70 min	5.2% decreased		
	Catechin	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	17.5% decreased		
		Conventional steaming, 70 min	16.7% decreased		
	Total flavan-3-ols	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	20.6% decreased		
		Raw	282.9 mg/g		
	TPC	Conventional steaming, 70 min	186.3 mg/g		
		Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min	135.8 mg/g		
	Chickpea	TPC	Raw		282.9 mg/g
			Conventional steaming, 70min		186.3 mg/g
	Chickpea	TPC	Pressure steaming, 15 psi, 60 min		135.8 mg/g
			Regular Steaming (70 min)		13.9% decreased
Chickpea	TPC	Pressure Steaming (5 psi, 70 min)	27.9% decreased		
		Regular Steaming (15 psi, 60 min)	15.6% decreased		
Chickpea	TPC	Regular Steaming (70 min)	2.8% decreased		
		Pressure Steaming (5 psi, 70 min)	7.6% decreased		
Chickpea	TPC	Pressure Steaming (15 psi, 60 min)	4.3% decreased		

(Continued)



Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References	
<i>Vigna. racemosa</i>	Phytic acid	Raw	63.15 mg/g	[160]	
		Atmospheric steaming Pressure steaming	25.87 mg/g (59.03% decreased) 25.10 mg/g (60.25% decreased)		
	Saponin	Raw	5.15 mg/g	[161]	
		Atmospheric steaming Pressure steaming	1.48 mg/g (71.26% decreased) 1.55 mg/g (69.90% decreased)		
	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Raw	9.72mg/g	[161]	
		Atmospheric steaming Pressure steaming	0.00 mg/g (100% decreased) 0.00 mg/g (100% decreased)		
	Cowpea-based products	Phytic acid	Steaming	7.8–14.0% decreased	[161]
			Steaming	19.6–24.7% decreased	
		Tannin	Steaming	64.2–72.0% decreased	
			Steaming		
Soya beans	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Raw	7638 µg/g	[162]	
		Steaming (102°C, 10 min)	1005 µg/g		
	Lectins	Steaming (102°C, 20 min)	105 µg/g	[162]	
		Steaming (102°C, 40 min)	14 µg/g		
	Faba seeds	Total phenolic acid	Steaming (120°C, 2 min)	<0.5 µg/g	[70]
			Control	3.86 mg GAE/g d.w.	
		Total flavonoid acid	Steaming (15 min)	3.76 mg GAE/g d.w.	
			Steaming (30 min)	3.67 mg GAE/g d.w.	
		Phytic acid	Steaming (45 min)	3.67 mg GAE/g d.w.	
			Steaming (60 min)	3.74 mg GAE/g d.w.	
Control			1.00 mg CE/g d.w.		
Steaming (15 min)			1.20 mg CE/g d.w.		
Autoclaving	Phytic acid	Steaming (30 min)	1.05 mg CE/g d.w.	[147]	
		Steaming (45 min)	1.18 mg CE/g d.w.		
	Autoclaving	Steaming (60 min)	1.12 mg CE/g d.w.		
		Raw	399 mg/100g		
Faba beans		Autoclaving	205 mg/100g		

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Common beans	Trypsin inhibitors	Unprocessed	1918.64 UI/g	[163]
		Autoclaving (0.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /111 C, 15 min)	1025.23 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (0.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /111 C, 45 min)	977.77 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (1.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /127 C, 15 min)	770.75 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (1.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /127 C, 45 min)	790.43 UI/g	
		Unprocessed	6512.83 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (0.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /111 C, 15 min)	5809.05 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (0.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /111 C, 45 min)	5534.83 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (1.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /127 C, 15 min)	4337.99 UI/g	
		Autoclaving (1.5 Kgf cm ⁻² /127 C, 45 min)	4002.07 UI/g	
Chickpea (Gokce variety from Turkey)	Phytate	Autoclaving (1:2, w/v) after 2-h pre-soaked	47% decreased	[164]
		Autoclaving at 121°C for 10, 20, 40, 60, and 90 min (after 4h pre-soaking)	31–46% decreased	[165]
Bean (<i>Raba cultivar</i>)	Tannin	Raw	33–40%	[166]
		Autoclaving	105.8 mg GAE/100 g	
Bean (<i>Warta cultivar</i>)	TPC	Raw	78.6 mg GAE/100 g	[166]
		Autoclaving	93.5 mg GAE/100 g	
Pea (<i>Wilwa cultivar</i>)	TPC	Raw	77.1 mg GAE/100 g	[166]
		Autoclaving	93.4 mg GAE/100 g	
Lentil (<i>Anita cultivar</i>)	TPC	Raw	80.0 mg GAE/100 g	[166]
		Autoclaving	782.4 mg GAE/100 g	
			533.4 mg GAE/100 g	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Canadian cowpea	Tannin	Raw	22.63 mg/g	[130]
		Autoclaving	8.42 mg/g	
	Phytic acid	Raw	0.801 g/100 g	
		Autoclaving	0.280 g/100 g	
	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Raw	2400.91TIU/g	
Canadian kidney bean		Autoclaving	0.00	
	Tannin	Raw	67.09 mg/g	
		Autoclaving	19.54 mg/g	
	Phytic acid	Raw	1.188 g/100 g	
	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Autoclaving	0.384 g/100 g	
Canadian pea		Raw	3583.22TIU/g	
		Autoclaving	0.00	
	Tannin	Raw	30.93 mg/g	
		Autoclaving	2.57 mg/g	
	Phytic acid	Raw	0.815 g/100 g	
	Autoclaving	0.265 g/100 g		
	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Raw	1297.22 TIU/g	
		Autoclaving	0.00	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Faba bean (<i>Nura</i>)	TFC	Raw	1.69 mg CE/g DW	[167]
		Autoclaving	0.43 mg CE/g DW	
	Total proanthocyanidins	Raw	0.28mg CE/g DW	
Faba bean (<i>Rossa</i>)	TPC	Autoclaving	0.27mg CE/g DW	
		Raw	10.9 mg GAE/g DW	
		Autoclaving	1.80 mg GAE/g DW	
	TFC	Raw	1.72 mg CE/g DW	
	Total proanthocyanidins	Autoclaving	0.44 mg CE/g DW	
Chickpea (H-208)		Raw	0.13mg CE/g DW	[168]
	TPC	Autoclaving	0.17mg CE/g DW	
		Raw	11.2 mg GAE/g DW	
		Autoclaving	1.9 mg GAE/g DW	
	TPC	Raw	846 mg/100 g	
Chickpea (C-235)		Autoclaving	638 mg/100 g (25% decreased)	
	TPC	Raw	835 mg/100 g	
Black gram (T-9)		Autoclaving	642 mg/100 g (23% decreased)	
	TPC	Raw	842 mg/100 g	
Faba bean		Autoclaving	660 mg/100 g (22% decreased)	[31]
	Hemagglutinin activity	Raw	3.85 unit/mg	
		Autoclaving	0	(Continued)
	Trypsin inhibitor	Raw	8.13 unit/mg protein	
		Autoclaving	1.27 unit/mg protein	



Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> subsp. <i>unguiculata</i>	Total free phenolics	Raw	1.21 g/100 g	[169]
	Tannins	Autoclaving	0.35 g/100 g (71% decreased)	
	Hydrogen cyanide	Raw	0.38 g/100 g	
		Autoclaving	0.07 g/100 g (82% decreased)	
	Phytic Acid	Raw	0.22 mg/100 g	
		Autoclaving	0.08 mg/100 g (64% decreased)	
	Trypsin Inhibitor	Raw	398.28 mg/100 g	
Boiling & Cooking Common peas	Total phenolic content	Autoclaving	118.40 mg/100 g (70% decreased)	[170]
		Raw	26.48 TIU mg ⁻¹ protein	
	Total flavonoid content	Autoclaving	2.21 TIU mg ⁻¹ protein (92% decreased)	
		Raw	558.2mg GAE/100 g DW	
	Monomeric anthocyanin content	Cooking	520.5mg GAE/100 g DW	
		Raw	348.3 mg QAE/100 g DW	
	Total phenolic content	Cooking	210.1mg QAE/100 g DW	
Raw		8.8mg CAE/100 g DW		
Pigeon pea	Total phenolic content	Cooking	4.0mg CAE/100 g DW	
		Raw	201.4mg GAE/100 g DW	
	Total flavonoid content	Cooking	172.4 mg GAE/100 g DW	
		Raw	61.7mg QAE/100 g DW	
	Monomeric anthocyanin content	Cooking	46.5 mg QAE/100 g DW	
		Raw	5.3 mg CAE/100 g DW	
	Total polyphenol	Cooking	2.2 mg CAE/100 g DW	
Raw		84.50 mg GAE/100 g DW		
Red beans	Cooking	119.31mg GAE/100 g DW	[171]	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References
Black bean	Phenolic acids	Boiling	61% decreased	[172]
Black soybean			62% decreased	
Chickpea			56% decreased	
Lentil			41% decreased	
Pea			37% decreased	
Yellow soybean			61% decreased	
Faba bean	Hemagglutinin activity	Raw	3.85 unit/mg	[31]
		Cooking	0	
	Trypsin inhibitor	Raw	8.13 unit/mg protein	
		Cooking	2.32 unit/mg protein	
<i>Canavalia ensiformis</i>	Hemagglutinin activity	Raw	13531.8 HU/g	[173]
		Cooking (1st stage Cooking)	102.0 HU/g	
		Cooking (2nd stage Cooking)	12.1 HU/g	
		Cooking (3-h cook)	<3.6 HU/g	
<i>Canavalia braziliensis</i>	Hemagglutinin activity	Raw	10204.1 HU/g	
		Cooking (1st stage Cooking)	285.7 HU/g	
		Cooking (2nd stage Cooking)	71.4 HU/g	
		Cooking (3-h cook)	<3.6 HU/g	
Common bean (CNF 0178)	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Raw	119 unit/mg	[174]
		Cooking (60 min)	8.1 unit/mg	
		Cooking (90 min)	7.9 unit/mg	

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued).

Pulse source	Compounds studied	Processing methods	Content of studied compounds	References		
Lima beans (<i>Phaseolus lunatus</i>)	Trypsin inhibitor activity	Raw	3.4 Tiu/ml	[175]		
		Cooking (60 min)	0			
	Phytic acid	Raw	234 mg/100 g			
		Cooking (60 min)	198 mg/100 g			
	Tannins	Cooking (120 min)	131 mg/100 g			
		Raw	0.59 mg/g			
		Cooking (60 min)	0.31 mg/g			
		Raw	1.99 mg CE/g			
	Black beans	TPC	Cooking		0.24 mg CE/g	[176]
			Raw		6.42 mg CE/g	
Red beans	TPC	Cooking	0.12 mg CE/g			
		Raw	0.24 mg CE/g			
White beans	TPC	Cooking	0.12 mg CE/g			
		Raw	9.19 mg CE/g			
Brown beans	TPC	Cooking	0.38 mg CE/g			
		Raw				

Abbreviations: n.d.=not detected; TPC=Total phenolic content; TFC=Total flavonoid content; CE=Catechin equivalents; GAE= Gallic acid equivalents; FAE =Ferulic acid equivalents; QE=Quercetin equivalents.grams. Moreover, flavones and flavanones levels in studied lentils were noted to decrease, owing to the leaching and oxidative deterioration.[135].

stability in pulses. Lorenz, et al.^[198] have suggested that extrusion processing can successfully retain up to 80% of the vitamin C in the studied pulse sample.^[199]

Germination

Germination is usually applied to effectively reduce the anti-nutrient content of grains and pulses and simultaneously alters the food products' nutritional level, biochemical properties, and physical characteristics.^[200,201] The enzyme phytase in pulse and other plant seeds is often activated during germination, which destroys phytate and lowers the content of phytic acid in the final products.^[202] Different studies demonstrated that germination is a more efficient processing method than thermal processing for eliminating phytic acid in pulses, including chickpeas, peas, and faba beans.^[147,203] For instance, in Khalil,^[147] the losses of phytic acid were up to 75% in germinated faba beans, significantly higher than in soaked (27%), autoclaved (49%), or cooked (40%) samples.

The TPC, tannin content, and other phenolic compounds can also be significantly influenced during germination processing. For instance, Guo, et al.^[145] reported a marked increase in TPC, free phenolics, bound phenolics, and Quercetin-3-*O*-glucoside in smung bean after germination. This might have occurred because, in comparison to raw seeds, germination can lead to the gradual accumulation of soluble phenolics in pulse sprouts and seeds.^[204] Moktan and Ojha^[146] also reported increased TPC (from 46.53 to 52.33 mg GAE/g) and antioxidant capacities in germinated horse grams. Moreover, in Mamilla and Mishra^[205] experiment, germination altered the TPC in chickpea and red lentil samples; while the TPC in kidney beans showed non-influence, which may be due to the reduced water absorption caused by increased polyphenol oxidase activity and a thicker seed coat.

Germination can also affect other antinutritional and certain bioactive substances in pulses. Although not as severe as thermal treatment, germination can considerably diminish enzyme inhibitor activity in general.^[206] In addition, the saponin content of pulses may also be influenced within the germination stage. Guajardo-Flores, et al.^[150] demonstrated that the concentration of total saponins in sprouts and cotyledons approximately doubled after the 1-day germination; however, the amount of the most abundant soybean saponins in germinated black bean sprouts decreased as germination days went on. Moktan and Ojha^[146] also claimed the reduced oxalate (from 3.18 to 1.73 mg/g) in horse grams due to germination. Furthermore, Shohag, et al.^[142] reported a remarkably increased total folate content in germinated soybean and mung bean samples. And Mubarak^[207] found the mineral content was effectively retained in mung bean seeds with decreased levels of stachyose and raffinose after the germination process.

Roasting

Roasting is a traditional thermal processing method that can suppress the adverse effects of antinutritional factors in pulses. Roasting is effective in removing total phenolic compounds (from 1450 to 1440 mg/100 g d.w.) but less effective than the pressure-cooking method (940 mg/100 g d.w.) in mangrove legume *Canavalia cathartica*, as Seena, et al.^[154] reported. Boateng, et al.^[151] also found a significant reduction in TPC in studied black eye pea, kidney bean, and pinto bean. However, some reverse results have been reported. Bolek^[156] discovered that the roasting process increased TPC and the antioxidant capacity of adzuki beans (*Vigna angularis*). The rise in phenolic levels during the roasting process may be caused by heat-induced changes in the molecule structures of substances related to the corresponding phenolic compounds.

On the other hand, roasting can significantly reduce other antinutrients. As Seena, et al.^[154] indicated, roasting effectively diminishes the hemagglutinin activity in raw seeds. In Aremu, et al.^[155] study, the oxalate, tannin, phytate, chemotrypsin, and saponin in roasted bambara groundnut, scarlet runner bean, and lima bean exhibited marked reduction individually compared to their raw samples; while the reducing effects through roasting are not pronounced as boiling. In addition, roasted adzuki beans showed a significant decrease in phytic acid content, saponin content, and trypsin inhibitor

activity.^[156] Similar reducing effects on trypsin inhibitor activity were detected in *Sacha inchi* and *Tamarindus indica* seeds, which may be owing to the denaturation of this inhibitor at an excessive roasting temperature.^[208,209]

Moreover, some other bioactive phytochemical compositions will be affected via roasting. For instance, alkaloid and cyanide in the study by Aremu, et al.^[155] exhibited a significant reduction after the roasting process in three pulses. Besides, Khattab and Arntfield^[130] along with Udensi, et al.^[210] noted a significant reduction (24% and 22.63% respectively) in α -galactosides in roasted cowpea and kidney bean seeds. And roasting treatment of faba beans at 120°C for 10 minutes caused a certain extent the elimination of vicine (1–12%) and convicine (3–30%), although not as effective as boiling processing.^[211]

Steaming

Steaming is a typical wet thermal processing method applied in food production. Steam processing has several benefits, including a shortened processing time, better retention of antioxidant compounds and activities, and preservation of the appearance and texture of cooked pulses.^[134]

Steaming shows significant effects on pulse phenolic compounds. Xu and Chang^[134] reported that all the studied pulse samples (green & yellow pea, chickpea, and lentil) exhibited a significant reduction in TPC values after conventional or pressure steaming processing. Simultaneously, the highly retained TPC, DPPH, and ORAC levels in all studied samples were found when compared to the boiling processing. Besides, in another study by Xu and Chang,^[76] the conventional and pressure steaming treatments both caused significant ($p < 0.05$) reduction in procyanidin content for all tested pulses. Meanwhile, the two used steaming methods caused reductions in catechin and flavan-3-ols in individual pulse samples. Nevertheless, Duan, et al.^[70] indicated that both faba bean seeds and leaves underwent steaming treatments for 30, 45, and 60 minutes with no discernible changes in TFC, which can be attributed to the destruction of heat-sensitive flavonoid compounds, while the comparatively heat-stable components persisted.

In addition, other pulses' antinutritional and bioactive compounds can be affected during steaming processing. Ojo, et al.^[160] applied atmospheric steaming and pressure steaming on tested *Vigna racemose* samples and detected a significant decrease in phytic acid, saponin, and tannin. Significantly, the trypsin inhibitor activity diminished by 100% after two steaming methods. As “moin-moin” (a steamed cowpea paste with seasonings) was being produced, the tannins and phytic acid concentrations decreased by 19.6–24.7% and 7.8–14.0%, respectively.^[161] As a result of their thermal degradation, the phytate was decreased after heat treatment; this is because phytic acid is naturally thermolabile.^[210]

Autoclaving

Autoclaving is one high-pressure cooking approach that employs rapid cycles of high temperature (often operating at 121°C) and pressure (typically operating at 1.8 to 2.0 bar).^[212] It is a high-intensity thermal processing method employed in industry to produce canned pulses.^[212]

The phenolic compounds in pulses can markedly be influenced by autoclaving treatment. The TPC values in studied beans and peas showed a significant decrease after autoclaving.^[166] Jood, et al.^[168] reported that autoclaving had the most pronounced effect on phenolic content in chickpea and black gram samples, similar to germination. Significant reductions in total free phenolics (71%) and tannins (82%) were also detected in autoclaved *Vigna unguiculata*, as Kalpanadevi and Mohan^[169] reported. Moreover, Siah, et al.^[167] found that while TPC and TFC in autoclaved faba bean samples declined significantly, the total proanthocyanidins in *Rossa* faba bean showed a slight increase after autoclaving.

Bioactive substances and antinutritional elements, including tannins, phytic acid, and trypsin inhibitors are reduced or eliminated by autoclaving treatment.^[212] This method activates the phytase enzyme and enhances acidity in grains, pulses, and other plant-based diets, causing a reduction in

phytic acid after autoclaving.^[213] In Khattab and Arntfield^[130] study, the autoclaving treatment led to a remarkable decrease in tannin and phytic acid content in six pulses. The phytic acid or phytate in faba bean and chickpeas also exhibited a significant reduction in content after autoclaving.^[164] In addition, the enzyme's inhibitory activities may be partially or totally removed by autoclaving due to exposure to excessively high temperature. As Alajaji and El-Adawy^[28] reported, autoclaved chickpeas had a substantial decrease in trypsin inhibitor activity. And the trypsin inhibitor activity decreased to zero in autoclaved cowpea, pea, and kidney bean seeds as Khattab and Arntfield^[130] studied. Moreover, the α -amylase inhibitor activity in common beans showed a significant decreasing trend under different autoclaving conditions, as Batista, et al.^[163] illustrated.

Furthermore, other phytochemicals in pulses can be influenced by autoclaving treatment. Autoclaving caused the highest reduction in oligosaccharides in Khattab and Arntfield^[130] research. And the reduction of hydrogen cyanide by up to 64% was reported in *Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *Unguiculata* after autoclaving.^[169]

Boiling & cooking

Boiling is a typical cooking method and affects the phytochemicals in pulses. Typically, pulses are boiled in water at 100°C for a short period of time. The boiling approach improves the sensory qualities of pulse seeds, tenderizes the seed, and increases customer acceptability. This treatment also aids in the elimination of heat-sensitive antinutritional compounds. Khalil and Mansour^[31] indicated that in faba bean seeds, the boiling treatment removed their hemagglutinins. Carlini and Udedibie^[173] also found that jack bean required 3 hours of boiling to become lectin-free and 2 hours to eradicate trypsin inhibitor activity. Over 90% of the trypsin inhibitor activity in common beans can be eliminated by cooking them for 60 minutes at 100°C.^[211]

Boiling and cooking can significantly influence the phenolic compounds in pulses. Bressani and Elías^[176] noted that heating removes 30–40% of the polyphenols from common beans. Tungmunnithum, et al.^[170] reported a significant reduction in TPC, TFC, and monomeric anthocyanin content in peas and pigeon peas. In Aguilera, et al.^[79] study, some phenolic compounds, such as the hydroxybenzoic in cannellini bean, as well as catechins, procyanidins, and flavonols in pinto bean, all showed a significant decrease after cooking treatment. Moreover, tannin content was reported to decline significantly by cooking in several common beans and chickpeas in Wang, et al.^[48] study.

Other bioactive compounds can be influenced during boiling and cooking. For instance, boiling in water for 10 hours was effective in eliminating vicine and convicine in faba beans, as Abd Allah, et al.^[214] reported. Besides, cooking treatment generally leads to a lower tocopherol content in pulses compared to the uncooked controls.^[78] Moreover, the marked elevation in the carotenoid range was detected in lentils after cooking, along with the production of 13'-*cis*-lutein and 15'-*cis*-lutein.^[215]

Effect of pulse storage on phytochemicals

Post-harvest storage of the pulses can alter their chemical composition, including the phytochemicals, and consequently, their nutritional and functional value will be changed.^[216]

Pulse storage has significant effects on their phenolic compounds. Granito, et al.^[216] stored common beans under three different conditions: 30°C, 11% humidity (C1), 50°C, 11% humidity (C2), and 50°C, 80% humidity (C3). And under C2 and C3 conditions, the TPC content within the first 90 day-storage increased significantly. The deamination of *L*-phenylalanine and *L*-tyrosine, catalysed by the enzyme phenylalanine and tyrosine ammonium lyase, might have caused the rise in TPC. One of phenolic compound derivatives during this process is hydrocinnamic acid, namely ferulic acid.^[216] While as for tannin content, it consistently decreased, which may be due to their condensation, migration, and bonding to the cell wall; after 90 days of storage, the TPC started to reduce. However, an inverse result was obtained from Nakitto, et al.^[217] study, where the hard-to-cook beans presented greater concentrations of tannin in all studied cultivars compared to the fresh controls, although their

TPC showed a decrease after storage. And there may be a connection between these enhanced tannin compounds and low-molecular-weight non-tannin material, indicating post-harvest biochemical activity within the storage process.

Moreover, some other phytochemical compounds can also be influenced during pulse storage. For example, as Amarowicz and Pegg^[218] reported, the total ascorbic acid content in peas stored at 4°C remarkably reduced within 14 days; while after 7 days of storage at 20°C, the ascorbic acid content decreased by 72%. In Bento, et al.^[219] study, the lignin content significantly increased in all common bean cultivars during adverse storage.

Bioaccessibility, bioavailability, and digestibility of phytochemicals in pulses

According to the literature, the phenolic compounds' bioaccessibility, i.e., the percentage of a compound liberated from its food matrix in the gastrointestinal tract and subsequently made available for intestinal absorption, determines their bioavailability.^[220] Bioaccessibility can be primarily influenced by chemical structure and matrix interactions.^[221] According to Nicolás-García, et al.,^[177] phenolic compounds are included in cell wall components, in which phenolic acids combine with lignin to produce complexes when the hydroxyl groups on the aromatic ring are bound together. In contrast, insoluble phenolic compounds can interact or bind via covalent bonds with cell wall structural compositions such as cellulose, hemicellulose (including arabinoxylans), lignin, pectin, and structural proteins. Additionally, cellulose and pectin exhibit greater anthocyanin absorption and interaction potential.^[177] Other elements that significantly affect the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of phenolic compounds include the substance concentration within the cell wall, changes in the structure of the cell wall, and the position of glycosides within cells.^[222]

Most polyphenols found in dietary components are seen as glycosides, esters, or polymers that are commonly difficult to absorb.^[223] Before glycosylated phenols are absorbed, the sugar moiety must be eliminated by digestive enzymes (in gastrointestinal mucosa or colonic microflora) or through various food processing methods.^[223] The bioaccessibility and bioavailability of phenolic compounds in original pulse seeds are limited (Table 5). According to Sancho, et al.,^[226] the bioavailability of total phenols in black bean coat and small red bean coat was only 24% and 49%, respectively, and the tannins in each sample were detected as 6% and 7%, respectively. During *in vitro* digestion, while the free and conjugated phenolic substances are available to be absorbed in human small and large intestines, those covalently bound to indigestible polysaccharides might be absorbed after being released from cell structures via digestive enzymes or microorganisms present in the intestinal lumen.^[224] Therefore, the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of relevant phenolic compounds in pulse ingredients will be increased in the digestion process. Chen, et al.^[227] noticed a rise in TPC in the intestinal phase compared to the gastric phase during *in vitro* digestion, indicating that the intestinal phase is primarily responsible for releasing phenolics from cooked lentils. Zhang, et al.^[225] noted a similar pattern, finding higher total phenolic, flavonoid, and tannin levels during intestinal digestion than during gastric digestion.

The bioaccessibility and bioavailability of phenolic compounds in pulses will generally improve during various food processing approaches as can be observed in Table 5. Lafarga, et al.^[221] conducted the *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion experiment of several pulses, confirming the enhancement impact of cooking treatment on the bioaccessibility of pulse phenolic compounds. Based on the results, cooked soybeans had the highest concentration of bioaccessible polyphenols, followed by faba beans and lentil samples ($p < 0.05$).^[221] Hithamani and Srinivasan^[228] also found that the roasting process significantly increased the bioaccessible polyphenol content in green gram (11%), and the open pan boiling also had an increasing effect. However, Akillioglu and Karakaya^[229] reported that soaking caused a significant reduction (25.61% for hot-water soaking and 38.63% for cold-water soaking) in the total phenols bioavailability of common cooked beans, along with decreased total flavonoid bioavailability, which could be a result of relevant phenolic compounds being released into the soaking water.^[229]

Table 5. Bioaccessibility and bioavailability of phenolics in various pulse samples.

Pulse sample	Bioaccessibility/bioavailability of phenolics				References
	Phenolic compounds	Processing methods	Gastric phase	Intestinal phase	
Green lentil	Phenolics	Cooking	21%	50%	[61,221,223–225]
	Flavonoids	Cooking	29%	71%	
Lentils	Total phenolics	Raw	28.61 mg/100 g d.w.	36.44 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	43.93 mg/100 g d.w.	50.06 mg/100 g d.w.	
Cowpeas	Total phenolics	Raw	19.90 mg/100 g d.w.	22.22 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	35.26 mg/100 g d.w.	40.02 mg/100 g d.w.	
Faba beans	Total phenolics	Raw	46.51 mg/100 g d.w.	50.16 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	55.40 mg/100 g d.w.	62.87 mg/100 g d.w.	
Chickpeas	Total phenolics	Raw	19.96 mg/100 g d.w.	22.47 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	39.52 mg/100 g d.w.	46.65 mg/100 g d.w.	
Soybeans	Total phenolics	Raw	57.29 mg/100 g d.w.	65.57 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	83.53 mg/100 g d.w.	90.27 mg/100 g d.w.	
Runner beans	Total phenolics	Raw	20.23 mg/100 g d.w.	23.00 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	34.02 mg/100 g d.w.	40.86 mg/100 g d.w.	
Common beans	Total phenolics	Raw	20.63 mg/100 g d.w.	23.25 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	28.30 mg/100 g d.w.	33.49 mg/100 g d.w.	
Peas	Total phenolics	Raw	25.35 mg/100 g d.w.	30.39 mg/100 g d.w.	
		Cooking	40.71 mg/100 g d.w.	45.38 mg/100 g d.w.	

Table 6. Biological potential and health impacts of phytochemicals in pulses.

Health impacts	Pulse source	Phytochemicals and characterization	Model	Biological effects	References
Antidiabetes potential	Black turtle bean	Phenolic acids (e.g., gallic acid, ferulic acid, sinapic acid)	Biochemical experiments	Lipase inhibitory activity IC ₅₀ : 0.076 mg/mL	[20]
	Lentil	Phenolic acids (e.g., <i>trans-p</i> coumaric acid, <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid)	Biochemical experiments	Lipase Inhibitory activity IC ₅₀ : 6.26–9.26 mg/mL	[21]
	Adzuki bean	Flavonoids (e.g., kaempferol, quercetin, catechin glucoside) Saponins (Azuki saponin I-VI) Flavonoids (e.g., catechin, quercetin-3-O-glucoside)	<i>In vivo</i>	Enhanced noradrenaline-induced lipolysis of 152.6%	[19]
Antidiabetes potential	Fermented and non-fermented mung bean	Phenolic compounds	Balb/c mice	200 mg/kg/p.o for 7 days 1000 mg/kg/p.o for 7 days	[234]
	Lupin bean	Phenolic compounds	Biochemical assay	α-amylase inhibition (30–75%) α-glucosidase inhibition (30–70%)	[235]
	Lentil	Phenolic acids (<i>trans-p</i> coumaric acid, <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid) Flavonoids (e.g., kaempferol-3-O-glucoside, catechin glucoside)	Biochemical assay	α-glucosidase inhibitory activity IC ₅₀ : 23.08–42.15 mg/mL	[21]

(Continued)

Table 6. (Continued).

Health impacts	Pulse source	Phytochemicals and characterization	Model	Biological effects	References
Antioxidant potential	Mung bean sprouts, vitexin, isovitexin	Phenolic compounds	Male sprague-dawley rats	Antioxidant and myocardial protective activities	[236]
	Methanolic extract of mung bean hulls	Phenolic compounds	<i>In vitro</i>	100 mg/mL	[237]
Antimicrobial potentials	Mung bean sprouts	Phenolic compounds	<i>Fusarium solani</i> , <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> , <i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i> , etc.	Antibacterial and antifungal activity	[238]
		Phenolic compounds	<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i> , <i>F. proliferatum</i> , <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> and <i>A. parasiticus</i>	Antifungal activity	[239]
Anti-inflammatory	Mung bean sprouts	Phenolic compounds	Wistar strain male albino rats	600 mg/kg per day for 13 days	[240]
		Phenolic compounds	Male Wistar rats	100, 500, 1000 µg/mL for 3 weeks	[241]
		Phenolic compounds	<i>In vitro</i>	100 mg/mL	[242]

The bioavailability of some other bioactive phytochemical compounds, such as carotenoids, may be influenced by various pulse processing. McInerney, et al.^[230]

demonstrated that very little of the lutein as carotenoids in green beans was digested *in vitro* ($\leq 14\%$); besides, the bioavailability of lutein in green beans was raised by pressure cooking at 600 MPa. Oghbaei and Prakash^[231] tested the carotenoids' bioaccessibility in mixed green gram (*Vigna radiata*) and amaranth greens (*Amaranthus caudatus*) and found that when temperature decreased with increased moisture (-17°C , 78.4%), the bioaccessible carotenoids was significantly reduced to 0.18 mg/100 g compared to 0.56 mg/100 g in the control sample (28°C , 3.6%).

Health impacts of pulse consumption

Pulse consumption provides various health benefits. They are highly nutritious, containing roughly twice as much protein as cereals, and providing different essential or non-essential amino acids.^[18] Starch is the primary source of easily absorbed carbohydrates in pulse seeds and is present in the highest amounts (22–45%), coupled with oligosaccharides (1.8–18%), and dietary fibre (4.3–25%).^[232] Pulse consumption also serves various essential vitamin (e.g., vitamin B) and mineral (e.g., Fe, Ca, Zn, Se, Mg, P, Cu, and K) compounds for humans and animals.^[233]

Pulse exerts a strong influence in radical scavenging and detoxifying activities; and demonstrates chemo-preventive benefits due to the high level and efficacy of the phytochemical substances. Table 6 summarizes the biological potential and health impacts of phytochemicals of pulses according to the literature. The phenolic compounds in pulses have been reported to exert various health benefits, which are associated with their antioxidant potential as well as preventive impacts on chronic diseases brought on by free radicals.^[243] As the most widely distributed phenolics in pulse seeds, the phenolic acids and flavonoids exhibit significant antioxidant capacities.^[244] Also, the pulses' phenolics are confirmed to be related to other favorable properties such as anti-inflammatory and anti-microbial potential.^[19] Pulse can help manage obesity by reducing lipid levels when regularly consumed, due to the lipase inhibitory activity by relevant phenolic compounds.^[20] They also provide antidiabetic potential due to α -amylase and α -glucosidase inhibition by suitable phenolic compounds.^[235] In Hou, et al.^[109] review, pulse seed coats' prevention effects on the chronic disease were concluded, including antioxidant, anti-obesity, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, and intestinal pre-biotic capacities confirmed by a series of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. On the other hand, other bioactive and antinutritional compounds in pulses also exhibit health benefits. For instance, the saponin present in pulses shows potential anticarcinogenic and hypocholesterolemic activity.^[233] Additionally, phytates in pulses exhibit beneficial health effects, play a valuable antioxidant role, and provide protection from several cancers, coronary heart disease, renal stones, and diabetes mellitus.^[245]

Conclusion

Pulses, an inexpensive and sustainable source of nutritional compounds, have been utilized as an essential part of the daily human diet in many countries worldwide. Pulses are a source of nutritional compounds such as proteins, carbohydrates, minerals, and vitamins. In addition, they present several phytochemicals, such as bioactive compounds (e.g., phenolic compounds), and antinutritional compounds. Several listed processing methods, including soaking, germination, and thermal cooking, can influence pulse phytochemicals differently, as it depends on the technological processing and the pulse varieties. Generally, each processing can effectively reduce pulse antinutritional compounds (e.g., phytate, enzyme inhibitors, saponins, lectins, and others), hence improving the bioaccessibility, bioavailability as well as digestibility of nutritional compounds in pulses. Simultaneously, relevant phytochemicals can be positively affected under specific processing treatments. Germination helps increase the level of total phenolics and certain flavonoid compounds.

Moreover, relatively mild thermal processing methods, such as steaming, are more effective in retaining antioxidant compounds and activities in addition to inactivating antinutrients, compared to

extrusion and autoclaving. In addition, the consumption of pulses exhibits huge health potential for the human body. The presence of bioactive compounds in pulses such as phenolics, phytosterols, and dietary fibre, are correlated with the prevention of chronic diseases, along with their antioxidant, anti-obesity, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer capacities. Thus, it is essential for industries as well as researchers to find more effective methods for improving the bioaccessibility of bioactive constituents in pulses nowadays. The effect of different processing methods on the bioaccessibility of phenolic compounds in various pulses through *in vitro* digestion and colonic fermentation should be further researched, providing supportive information for the commercial utilization of these pulses as functional food ingredients and their pharmaceutical development. Furthermore, epidemiological research and clinical trials can be conducted for more specific information about the role of pulses' bioactive constituents in human health.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank The Future Food Hallmark Research Initiative at the University of Melbourne, Australia. We would like to thank researchers of the Dr. Hafiz Suleria group from the School of Agriculture, Faculty of Science, the University of Melbourne for their incredible support.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

The work was supported by the Australian Research Council [ARC-DECRA—DE220100055]; University of Melbourne [Future Food Hallmark Research Initiative Funds (grant no. UoM-21/23)]; University of Melbourne [Collaborative Research Development Grant;UoM-21/23]

References

- [1] FAO. Definition and Classification Commodities, 4. Pulses and Derived Products. 1994. <http://www.fao.org/es/faodef/fdef04e.htm>
- [2] Rawal, V., and Navarro, D. K.; Eds. Introduction. In *The Global Economy of Pulses*, Rome, Italy: FAO, 2019; pp 1–8. DOI: 10.4060/I7108EN.
- [3] Calles, T. The International Year of Pulses: What are They and Why are They Important. *Agric. Dev.* 2016, 26, 40.
- [4] Faostat, F. *FAOSTAT Statistical Database*; FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations): Rome, Italy, 2016.
- [5] Siddiq, M.; Uebersax, M. A. Dry Beans and Pulses Production and Consumption—An Overview. In *Dry Beans and Pulses Production, Processing and Nutrition*, Hoboken, New Jersey, United States: Wiley, 2012; pp 1–22. DOI: 10.1002/9781118448298.
- [6] Merga, B.; Haji, J.; Yildiz, F. Economic Importance of Chickpea: Production, Value, and World Trade. *Cogent Food Agric.* 2019, 5(1), 1615718. DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2019.1615718.
- [7] Sozer, N.; Holopainen-Mantila, U.; Poutanen, K. Traditional and New Food Uses of Pulses. *Cereal Chem. J.* 2017, 94(1), 66. DOI: 10.1094/CCHEM-04-16-0082-FI.
- [8] Coşkuner, Y.; Karababa, E. Leblebi: A Roasted Chickpea Product as a Traditional Turkish Snack Food. *Food Res. Int.* 2004, 20(3), 257. DOI: 10.1081/FRI-200029424.
- [9] Chatzikamari, M.; Kyriakidis, D.; Tzanetakis, N.; Biliaderis, C.; Litopoulou-Tzanetaki, E. Biochemical Changes During a Submerged Chickpea Fermentation Used as a Leavening Agent for Bread Production. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2007, 224(6), 715. DOI: 10.1007/s00217-006-0363-4.
- [10] Kebede, E.; Bekeko, Z.; Tejada Moral, M. Expounding the Production and Importance of Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) in Ethiopia. *Cogent Food & Agriculture.* 2020, 6(1), 1769805. DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2020.1769805.
- [11] Akibode, C. S.; Maredia, M. K. Global and Regional Trends in Production, Trade and Consumption of Food Legume Crops. Department of Agricultural, Food, and Resource Economics, Staff Paper Series 2012-10. Michigan, United States: Michigan State University, 2012. DOI: 10.22004/ag.econ.136293.

- [12] Affrifah, N. S.; Phillips, R. D.; Saalia, F. K. Cowpeas: Nutritional Profile, Processing Methods and Products—A Review. *Legume Sci.* **2021**, *4*(3), e131. DOI: [10.1002/leg3.131](https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.131).
- [13] Dhull, S. B.; Kidwai, M. K.; Noor, R.; Chawla, P.; Rose, P. K. A Review of Nutritional Profile and Processing of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba L.*). *Legume Sci.* **2021**, *4*(3), e129. DOI: [10.1002/leg3.129](https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.129).
- [14] Ganesan, J.; Chelladurai, V. Pigeon Pea. In *Pulses*, New York, United States: Springer Cham, **2020**; pp 275–296. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-41376-7_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41376-7_15).
- [15] Dilis, V.; Trichopoulou, A. Nutritional and Health Properties of Pulses. *Mediterr. J. Nutr. Metab.* **2009**, *1*(3), 149. DOI: [10.3233/s12349-008-0023-2](https://doi.org/10.3233/s12349-008-0023-2).
- [16] Boye, J.; Zare, F.; Pletch, A. Pulse Proteins: Processing, Characterization, Functional Properties and Applications in Food and Feed. *Food Res. Int.* **2010**, *43*(2), 414. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.003).
- [17] Niewinski, M. M. Advances in Celiac Disease and Gluten-Free Diet. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **2008**, *108*(4), 661. DOI: [10.1016/j.jada.2008.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2008.01.011).
- [18] Hall, C.; Hillen, C.; Garden Robinson, J. Composition, Nutritional Value, and Health Benefits of Pulses. *Cereal Chem. J.* **2017**, *94*(1), 11. DOI: [10.1094/CCHEM-03-16-0069-FI](https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-03-16-0069-FI).
- [19] Liu, R.; Zheng, Y.; Cai, Z.; Xu, B. Saponins and Flavonoids from Adzuki Bean (*Vigna Angularis L.*) Ameliorate High-Fat Diet-Induced Obesity in ICR Mice. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *8*, 687. DOI: [10.3389/fphar.2017.00687](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2017.00687).
- [20] Tan, Y.; Chang, S. K.; Zhang, Y. Comparison of α -Amylase, α -Glucosidase and Lipase Inhibitory Activity of the Phenolic Substances in Two Black Legumes of Different Genera. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *214*, 259. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.06.100](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.06.100).
- [21] Zhang, B.; Deng, Z.; Ramdath, D. D.; Tang, Y.; Chen, P. X.; Liu, R.; Liu, Q.; Tsao, R. Phenolic Profiles of 20 Canadian Lentil Cultivars and Their Contribution to Antioxidant Activity and Inhibitory Effects on α -Glucosidase and Pancreatic Lipase. *Food Chem.* **2015**, *172*, 862. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.09.144](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.09.144).
- [22] Baptista, A.; Pinho, O.; Pinto, E.; Casal, S.; Mota, C.; Ferreira, I. M. P. L. V. O. Characterization of Protein and Fat Composition of Seeds from Common Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris L.*), Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata L.* Walp) and Bambara Groundnuts (*Vigna Subterranea L. Verdc*) from Mozambique. *J. Food Meas. Charact.* **2017**, *11*(2), 442. DOI: [10.1007/s11694-016-9412-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-016-9412-2).
- [23] de Almeida Costa, G. E.; da Silva Queiroz-Monici, K.; Pissini Machado Reis, S. M.; de Oliveira, A. C. Chemical Composition, Dietary Fibre and Resistant Starch Contents of Raw and Cooked Pea, Common Bean, Chickpea and Lentil Legumes. *Food Chem.* **2006**, *94*(3), 327. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.11.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.11.020).
- [24] Lu, Z. X.; He, J. F.; Zhang, Y. C.; Bing, D. J. Composition, Physicochemical Properties of Pea Protein and Its Application in Functional Foods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *60*(15), 2593. DOI: [10.1080/10408398.2019.1651248](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1651248).
- [25] Guillon, F.; Champ, M. M. J. Carbohydrate Fractions of Legumes: Uses in Human Nutrition and Potential for Health. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2002**, *88*(S3), 293. DOI: [10.1079/BJN2002720](https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN2002720).
- [26] Millar, K. A.; Gallagher, E.; Burke, R.; McCarthy, S.; Barry-Ryan, C. Proximate Composition and Anti-Nutritional Factors of Fava-Bean (*Vicia Faba*), Green-Pea and Yellow-Pea (*Pisum Sativum*) Flour. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2019**, *82*, 103233. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2019.103233](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2019.103233).
- [27] Owusu-ansah, Y. J.; McCurdy, S. M. Pea Proteins: A Review of Chemistry, Technology of Production, and Utilization. *Food Rev. Int.* **1991**, *7*(1), 103. DOI: [10.1080/87559129109540903](https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129109540903).
- [28] Alajaji, S. A.; El-Adawy, T. A. Nutritional Composition of Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum L.*) as Affected by Microwave Cooking and Other Traditional Cooking Methods. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2006**, *19*(8), 806. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2006.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2006.03.015).
- [29] Wallace, T. C.; Murray, R.; Zelman, K. M. The Nutritional Value and Health Benefits of Chickpeas and Hummus. *Nutrients.* **2016**, *8*(12), 766. DOI: [10.3390/nu8120766](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8120766).
- [30] Rivas-Vega, M.; Goytortúa-Bores, E.; Brauer, J.; Salazar-García, M.; Cruz-Suarez, L.; Nolasco, H.; Civera-Cerecedo, R. Nutritional Value of Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata L.* Walp) Meals as Ingredients in Diets for Pacific White Shrimp (*Litopenaeus Vannamei* Boone). *Food Chem.* **2006**, *97*(1), 41. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.03.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.03.021).
- [31] Khalil, A. H.; Mansour, E. H. The Effect of Cooking, Autoclaving and Germination on the Nutritional Quality of Faba Beans. *Food Chem.* **1995**, *54*(2), 177. DOI: [10.1016/0308-8146\(95\)00024-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-8146(95)00024-D).
- [32] Joshi, M.; Timilsena, Y.; Adhikari, B. Global Production, Processing and Utilization of Lentil: A Review. *J. Integr. Agric.* **2017**, *16*(12), 2898. DOI: [10.1016/S2095-3119\(17\)61793-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(17)61793-3).
- [33] Grela, E. R.; Kiczorowska, B.; Samolińska, W.; Matras, J.; Kiczorowski, P.; Rybiński, W.; Hanczakowska, E. Chemical Composition of Leguminous Seeds: Part I—Content of Basic Nutrients, Amino Acids, Phytochemical Compounds, and Antioxidant Activity. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2017**, *243*(8), 1385. DOI: [10.1007/s00217-017-2849-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-017-2849-7).
- [34] Arab, E. A.; Helmy, I.; Bareth, G. Nutritional Evaluation and Functional Properties of Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum L.*) Flour and the Improvement of Spaghetti Produced from Its. *J. Am. Sci.* **2010**, *6*(10), 1055.
- [35] Gonçalves, A.; Goufo, P.; Barros, A.; Domínguez-Perles, R.; Trindade, H.; Rosa, E. A. S.; Ferreira, L.; Rodrigues, M. Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata L.* Walp), a Renewed Multipurpose Crop for a More Sustainable Agri-Food System: Nutritional Advantages and Constraints. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2016**, *96*(9), 2941. DOI: [10.1002/jsfa.7644](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7644).

- [36] Jezierny, D.; Mosenthin, R.; Bauer, E. The Use of Grain Legumes as a Protein Source in Pig Nutrition: A Review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *157*(3–4), 111. DOI: [10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.03.001).
- [37] Aguirre Santos, E. A.; Gómez Aldapa, C. A. EVALUACIÓN DE LAS CARACTERÍSTICAS FÍSICOQUÍMICAS EN LA ESPECIE DE FRIJOL PHASEOLUS VULGARIS DE LAS VARIEDADES; PINTO SALTILLO, BAYO VICTORIA Y NEGRO SAN LUIS. **2010**. https://www.uaeh.edu.mx/investigacion/icbi/LI_FisicAlim/Carlos_Aldapa/4.pdf
- [38] Mejri, F.; Ben Khoud, H.; Njim, L.; Baati, T.; Selmi, S.; Martins, A.; Serralheiro, M. L. M.; Rauter, A. P.; Hosni, K. In vitro and in vivo Biological Properties of Pea Pods (*Pisum Sativum* L.). *Food Biosci.* **2019**, *32*, 100482. DOI: [10.1016/j.fbio.2019.100482](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2019.100482).
- [39] Hanan, E.; Rudra, S. G.; Sagar, V. R.; Sharma, V. Utilization of Pea Pod Powder for Formulation of Instant Pea Soup Powder. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **2020**, *44*(11), e14888. DOI: [10.1111/jfpp.14888](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14888).
- [40] Brigide, P.; Canniatt-Brazaca, S.; Silva, M. Nutritional Characteristics of Biofortified Common Beans. *Food Sci. Technol (Campinas)*. **2014**, *34*(3), 493. DOI: [10.1590/1678-457x.6245](https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-457x.6245).
- [41] Tosh, S. M.; Yada, S. Dietary Fibres in Pulse Seeds and Fractions: Characterization, Functional Attributes, and Applications. *Food Res. Int.* **2010**, *43*(2), 450.
- [42] Champ, M. M. J. Non-Nutrient Bioactive Substances of Pulses. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2002**, *88*(S3), 307. DOI: [10.1079/BJN2002721](https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN2002721).
- [43] Dalgetty, D. D.; Baik, B. -K. Isolation and Characterization of Cotyledon Fibers from Peas, Lentils, and Chickpeas. *Cereal Chem. J.* **2003**, *80*(3), 310. DOI: [10.1094/CCHEM.2003.80.3.310](https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM.2003.80.3.310).
- [44] Gawalko, E.; Garrett, R. G.; Warkentin, T.; Wang, N.; Richter, A. Trace Elements in Canadian Field Peas: A Grain Safety Assurance Perspective. *Food Addit. Contam., Part A*. **2009**, *26*(7), 1002. DOI: [10.1080/02652030902894389](https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030902894389).
- [45] Maphosa, Y.; Jideani, V. A. Dietary Fiber Extraction for Human Nutrition—A Review. *Food Rev. Int.* **2016**, *32*(1), 98. DOI: [10.1080/87559129.2015.1057840](https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2015.1057840).
- [46] Maheri-Sis, N.; Chamani, M.; Ali-Asghar, S.; Mirza-Aghazadeh, A.; Aghajanzadeh-Golshani, A. Nutritional Evaluation of Kabuli and Desi Type Chickpeas (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) for Ruminants Using in vitro Gas Production Technique. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *7*(16), 2946–2951. DOI: [10.5897/AJB08.501](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB08.501).
- [47] Campos-Vega, R.; Reynoso-Camacho, R.; Pedraza-Aboytes, G.; Acosta-Gallegos, J. A.; Guzman-Maldonado, S. H.; Paredes-Lopez, O.; Oomah, B. D.; Loarca-Piña, G. Chemical Composition and in vitro Polysaccharide Fermentation of Different Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.). *J. Food Sci.* **2009**, *74*(7), T59. DOI: [10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01292.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01292.x).
- [48] Wang, N.; Hatcher, D. W.; Tyler, R. T.; Toews, R.; Gawalko, E. J. Effect of Cooking on the Composition of Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) and Chickpeas (*Cicer Arietinum* L.). *Food Res. Int.* **2010**, *43*(2), 589. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2009.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.07.012).
- [49] Ovando-Martínez, M.; Osorio-Díaz, P.; Whitney, K.; Bello-Pérez, L. A.; Simsek, S. Effect of the Cooking on Physicochemical and Starch Digestibility Properties of Two Varieties of Common Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) Grown Under Different Water Regimes. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *129*(2), 358. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.04.084](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.04.084).
- [50] Mateos-Aparicio, I.; Redondo-Cuenca, A.; Villanueva-Suárez, M. -J.; Zapata-Revilla, M. -A.; Tenorio-Sanz, M. -D. Pea Pod, Broad Bean Pod and Okara, Potential Sources of Functional Compounds. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *43*(9), 1467. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2010.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2010.05.008).
- [51] Antova, G. A.; Stoilova, T. D.; Ivanova, M. M. Proximate and Lipid Composition of Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata* L.) Cultivated in Bulgaria. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2014**, *33*(2), 146. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2013.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2013.12.005).
- [52] Crépon, K.; Marget, P.; Peyronnet, C.; Carrouée, B.; Arese, P.; Duc, G. Nutritional Value of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba* L.) Seeds for Feed and Food. *Field Crops Res.* **2010**, *115*(3), 329. DOI: [10.1016/j.fcr.2009.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2009.09.016).
- [53] Savage, G.; Deo, S. The Nutritional Value of Peas (*Pisum Sativum*). A Literature Review. *Nutr. Abstr. Rev.* **1989**, *59*, 66.
- [54] Sánchez-Mata, M. C.; Peñuela-Teruel, M. J.; Cámara-Hurtado, M.; Díez-Marqués, C.; Torija-Isasa, M. E. Determination of Mono-, Di-, and Oligosaccharides in Legumes by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Using an Amino-Bonded Silica Column. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*(9), 3648. DOI: [10.1021/jf980127w](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf980127w).
- [55] Kumari, T.; Deka, S. C. Potential Health Benefits of Garden Pea Seeds and Pods: A Review. *Legume Sci.* **2021**, *3*(2), e82. DOI: [10.1002/leg3.82](https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.82).
- [56] McCrory, M. A.; Hamaker, B. R.; Lovejoy, J. C.; Eichelsdoerfer, P. E. Pulse Consumption, Satiety, and Weight Management. *Adv. Nutr. (Bethesda, Md)*. **2010**, *1*(1), 17. DOI: [10.3945/an.110.1006](https://doi.org/10.3945/an.110.1006).
- [57] Martín-Cabrejas, M. A. Legumes: An Overview. In *Legumes: Nutritional Quality, Processing and Potential Health Benefits*, Cambridge, UK: Royal Society of Chemistry, **2019**; pp 1–18. DOI: [10.1039/9781788015721-00001](https://doi.org/10.1039/9781788015721-00001).
- [58] Caprioli, G.; Giusti, F.; Ballini, R.; Sagratini, G.; Vila-Donat, P.; Vittori, S.; Fiorini, D. Lipid Nutritional Value of Legumes: Evaluation of Different Extraction Methods and Determination of Fatty Acid Composition. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *192*, 965. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.102](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.102).
- [59] Winham, D.; Webb, D.; Barr, A. Beans and Good Health. *Nutr. Today*. **2008**, *43*(5), 201. DOI: [10.1097/01.NT.0000303354.21347.45](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NT.0000303354.21347.45).

- [60] Mudryj Adriana, N.; Yu, N.; Aukema Harold, M. Nutritional and Health Benefits of Pulses. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* **2014**, *39*(11), 1197. DOI: [10.1139/apnm-2013-0557](https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2013-0557).
- [61] Neilson, A. P.; Goodrich, K. M.; Ferruzzi, M. G. Chapter 15 - Bioavailability and Metabolism of Bioactive Compounds from Foods. In *Nutrition in the Prevention and Treatment of Disease*, Fourth ed.; Coulston, A.M., Boushey, C.J., Ferruzzi, M.G. and Delahanty, L.M., Eds.; Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States: Academic Press, **2017**; p. 301.
- [62] Espinosa-Alonso, L. G.; Lygin, A.; Widholm, J. M.; Valverde, M. E.; Paredes-Lopez, O. Polyphenols in Wild and Weedy Mexican Common Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.). *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* **2006**, *54*(12), 4436. DOI: [10.1021/jf060185e](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf060185e).
- [63] Oomah, B. D.; Kotzeva, L.; Allen, M.; Bassinello, P. Z. Microwave and Micronization Treatments Affect Dehulling Characteristics and Bioactive Contents of Dry Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94*(7), 1349. DOI: [10.1002/jsfa.6418](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6418).
- [64] Arribas, C.; Cabellos, B.; Cuadrado, C.; Guillamón, E.; Pedrosa, M. M. Bioactive Compounds, Antioxidant Activity, and Sensory Analysis of Rice-Based Extruded Snacks-Like Fortified with Bean and Carob Fruit Flours. *Foods*. **2019**, *8*(9), 381. DOI: [10.3390/foods8090381](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8090381).
- [65] Pedrosa, M. M.; Varela, A.; Domínguez-Timón, F.; Tovar, C. A.; Moreno, H. M.; Borderías, A. J.; Díaz, M. T. Comparison of Bioactive Compounds Content and Techno-Functional Properties of Pea and Bean Flours and Their Protein Isolates. *Plant Foods Human Nutr.* **2020**, *75*(4), 642. DOI: [10.1007/s11130-020-00866-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-020-00866-4).
- [66] Han, H.; Baik, B. -K. Antioxidant Activity and Phenolic Content of Lentils (*Lens Culinaris*), Chickpeas (*Cicer Arietinum* L.), Peas (*Pisum Sativum* L.) and Soybeans (*Glycine Max*), and Their Quantitative Changes During Processing. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *43*(11), 1971. DOI: [10.1111/j.1365-2621.2008.01800.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2008.01800.x).
- [67] Kirse, A.; Krkljfa, D. Integrated Evaluation of Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) and Maple Pea (*Pisum Sativum* Var. *Arvense* L.) Spreads. *Agron. Res.* **2015**, *13*, 956.
- [68] Cavalcante, R.; Araújo, M.; Rocha, M.; Moreira-Araújo, R. Effect of Thermal Processing on Chemical Compositions, Bioactive Compounds, and Antioxidant Activities of Cowpea Cultivars. *Rev. Caatinga.* **2017**, *30* (4), 1050. DOI: [10.1590/1983-21252017v30n426rc](https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-21252017v30n426rc).
- [69] Ali, A. K.; Toliba, A. O.; Rady, A. H.; El-Sahy, K. M. EFFECT of GAMMA RADIATION on PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOUNDS in FABA BEAN (*Vicia Faba* L.). *Zagazig J. Agri. Res.* **2019**, *46*(3), 757–767.
- [70] Duan, S. -C.; Kwon, S. -J.; Eom, S. -H. Effect of Thermal Processing on Color, Phenolic Compounds, and Antioxidant Activity of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba* L.) Leaves and Seeds. *Antioxidants.* **2021**, *10*(8), 1207. DOI: [10.3390/antiox10081207](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10081207).
- [71] Johnson, J. B.; Skylas, D. J.; Mani, J. S.; Xiang, J.; Walsh, K. B.; Naiker, M. Phenolic Profiles of Ten Australian Faba Bean Varieties. *Molecules.* **2021**, *26*(15), 4642. DOI: [10.3390/molecules26154642](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26154642).
- [72] Irakli, M.; Kargiotidou, A.; Tigka, E.; Beslemes, D.; Fournomiti, M.; Pankou, C.; Stavroula, K.; Tsivelika, N.; Vlachostergios, D. N. Genotypic and Environmental Effect on the Concentration of Phytochemical Contents of Lentil (*Lens Culinaris* L.). *Agronomy.* **2021**, *11*(6), 1154. DOI: [10.3390/agronomy11061154](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11061154).
- [73] Nwosu, J. N.; Ojukwu, M.; Ogueke, C. C.; Ahaotu, I.; Owuamanam, C. I. The Antinutritional Properties and Ease of Dehulling on the Proximate Composition of Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus Cajan*) as Affected by Malting. *International Journal of Life Sciences.* **2013**, *2*(2), 60–67.
- [74] Sahu, M.; Verma, D.; Haris, K. Phytochemical Analysis of the Leaf, Stem and Seed Extracts of *Cajanus Cajan* L. (*Dicotyledoneae: Fabaceae*). *World J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* **2014**, *3*, 694.
- [75] Sharma, S.; Singh, A.; Singh, B. Characterization of in vitro Antioxidant Activity, Bioactive Components, and Nutrient Digestibility in Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus Cajan*) as Influenced by Germination Time and Temperature. *J. Food Biochem.* **2019**, *43*(2), e12706. DOI: [10.1111/jfbc.12706](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12706).
- [76] Xu, B.; Chang, S. K. Phytochemical Profiles and Health-Promoting Effects of Cool-Season Food Legumes as Influenced by Thermal Processing. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* **2009**, *57*(22), 10718. DOI: [10.1021/jf902594m](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf902594m).
- [77] Fares, C.; Suriano, S.; Codianni, P.; Marciello, U.; Russo, M.; Menga, V. Phytochemical Profile of Chickpea Cultivars Grown in Conventional and Organic Farms in Southern, Italy. *Org. Agri.* **2021**, *11*(4), 589. DOI: [10.1007/s13165-021-00365-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-021-00365-z).
- [78] Kalogeropoulos, N.; Chiou, A.; Ioannou, M.; Karathanos, V. T.; Hassapidou, M.; Andrikopoulos, N. K. Nutritional Evaluation and Bioactive Microconstituents (Phytosterols, Tocopherols, Polyphenols, Triterpenic Acids) in Cooked Dry Legumes Usually Consumed in the Mediterranean Countries. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *121*(3), 682. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.01.005).
- [79] Aguilera, Y.; Estrella, I.; Benitez, V.; Esteban, R. M.; Martín-Cabrejas, M. A. Bioactive Phenolic Compounds and Functional Properties of Dehydrated Bean Flours. *Food Res. Int.* **2011**, *44*(3), 774. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2011.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.01.004).
- [80] Aguilera, Y.; Dueñas, M.; Estrella, I.; Hernández, T.; Benitez, V.; Esteban, R. M.; Martín-Cabrejas, M. A. Phenolic Profile and Antioxidant Capacity of Chickpeas (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) as Affected by a Dehydration Process. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2011**, *66*(2), 187. DOI: [10.1007/s11130-011-0230-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-011-0230-8).
- [81] Aparicio, X.; Juárez-López, B. A. Polyphenolics Concentration and Antiradical Capacity of Common Bean Varieties (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) after Thermal Treatment. In *Food Science and Food Biotechnology Essentials*:

- A *Contemporary Perspective*, 1st ed.; Nevárez-Moorillón, G. V., Ortega-Rivas, E., Eds., Durango, Mexico: Asociación Mexicana de Ciencias de los Alimentos, 2012; pp 25.
- [82] Fouad, A. A.; Rehab, F. M. Effect of Germination Time on Proximate Analysis, Bioactive Compounds and Antioxidant Activity of Lentil (*Lens Culinaris* Medik.) Sprouts. *Acta Sci. Pol. Technol. Aliment.* **2015**, *14*(3), 233. DOI: [10.17306/J.AFS.2015.3.25](https://doi.org/10.17306/J.AFS.2015.3.25).
- [83] de Mejía, E. G.; Guzmán-Maldonado, S. H.; Acosta-Gallegos, J. A.; Reynoso-Camacho, R.; Ramírez-Rodríguez, E.; Pons-Hernández, J. L.; González-Chavira, M. M.; Castellanos, J. Z.; Kelly, J. D. Effect of Cultivar and Growing Location on the Trypsin Inhibitors, Tannins, and Lectins of Common Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) Grown in the Semiarid Highlands of Mexico. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* **2003**, *51*(20), 5962. DOI: [10.1021/jf030046m](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf030046m).
- [84] Kwon, S. -J.; Kim, D. -G.; Kim, J.; Kang, K. -Y.; Lee, M. -K.; Hong, M.; Kim, J. -B.; Eom, S.; Kang, S. -Y.; Ha, B. -K., et al. Phytochemical Compounds and Antioxidant Activity in the Grain of Selected Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba*) Genotypes. *Plant Breed. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *6*(1), 65. DOI: [10.9787/PBB.2018.6.1.65](https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2018.6.1.65).
- [85] Iniestra-González, J. J.; Ibarra-Pérez, F. J.; Gallegos-Infante, J. A.; Rocha-Guzmán, N. E.; Laredo, R. F. G.; Ibarra-Pérez, F. Factores antinutricios y actividad antioxidante en variedades mejoradas de frijol común (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Agrociencia.* **2005**, *39*(1), 603. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2004.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2004.11.002).
- [86] Ryan, E.; Galvin, K.; O'Connor, T. P.; Maguire, A. R.; O'Brien, N. M. Phytosterol, Squalene, Tocopherol Content and Fatty Acid Profile of Selected Seeds, Grains, and Legumes. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* **2007**, *62*(3), 85. DOI: [10.1007/s11130-007-0046-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-007-0046-8).
- [87] Ferreira, C. D.; Bubolz, V. K.; da Silva, J.; Dittgen, C. L.; Ziegler, V.; de Oliveira Raphaelli, C.; de Oliveirade Oliveira, M. Changes in the Chemical Composition and Bioactive Compounds of Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) Fortified by Germination. *LWT.* **2019**, *111*, 363. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2019.05.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.05.049).
- [88] Eashwarage, I. S.; Herath, H. M. T.; Gunathilake, K. G. T. Dietary Fibre, Resistant Starch and Elevencommonly Consumed Legumes (Mung Bean, Cowpea, Soybean and Horse Gram) in Sri Lanka. *Research Journal of Chemical Sciences.* **2017**, *7*(2), 1–7.
- [89] Adamidou, S.; Nengas, I.; Grigorakis, K.; Nikolopoulou, D.; Jauncey, K. Chemical Composition and Antinutritional Factors of Field Peas (*Pisum Sativum*), Chickpeas (*Cicer Arietinum*), and Faba Beans (*Vicia Faba*) as Affected by Extrusion Preconditioning and Drying Temperatures. *Cereal Chem. J.* **2011**, *88*(1), 80. DOI: [10.1094/CCHEM-05-10-0077](https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-05-10-0077).
- [90] Sharma, S.; Singh, A.; Sharma, U.; Kumar, R.; Yadav, N. Effect of Thermal Processing on Anti Nutritional Factors and in vitro Bioavailability of Minerals in Desi and Kabuli Cultivars of Chick Pea Grown in North India. *Legume RES. Int. J.* **2017**, *41*. DOI: [10.18805/LR-3708](https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-3708).
- [91] Suneja, Y.; Kaur, S.; Gupta, A. K.; Kaur, N. Levels of Nutritional Constituents and Antinutritional Factors in Black Gram (*Vigna Mungo* L. Hepper). *Food Res. Int.* **2011**, *44*(2), 621. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2010.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.12.020).
- [92] Margier, M.; Georgé, S.; Hafnaoui, N.; Remond, D.; Nowicki, M.; Du Chaffaut, L.; Amiot, M. J.; Reboul, E. Nutritional Composition and Bioactive Content of Legumes: Characterization of Pulses Frequently Consumed in France and Effect of the Cooking Method. *Nutrients.* **2018**, *10*(11), 1668. DOI: [10.3390/nu10111668](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10111668).
- [93] Shi, L.; Mu, K.; Arntfield, S. D.; Nickerson, M. T. Changes in Levels of Enzyme Inhibitors During Soaking and Cooking for Pulses Available in Canada. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *54*(4), 1014. DOI: [10.1007/s13197-017-2519-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2519-6).
- [94] Barbana, C.; Boye, J. I. In vitro Protein Digestibility and Physico-Chemical Properties of Flours and Protein Concentrates from Two Varieties of Lentil (*Lens Culinaris*). *Food Funct.* **2013**, *4*(2), 310. DOI: [10.1039/C2FO30204G](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2FO30204G).
- [95] Sharma, A.; Sehgal, S. Effect of Processing and Cooking on the Antinutritional Factors of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba*). *Food Chem.* **1992**, *43*(5), 383. DOI: [10.1016/0308-8146\(92\)90311-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-8146(92)90311-O).
- [96] Duhan, A.; Khetarpaul, N.; Bishnoi, S. Saponin Content and Trypsin Inhibitor Activity in Processed and Cooked Pigeon Pea Cultivars. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2001**, *52*(1), 53. DOI: [10.1080/09637480020027200](https://doi.org/10.1080/09637480020027200).
- [97] Guajardo-Flores, D.; García-Patiño, M.; Serna-Guerrero, D.; Gutiérrez-Uribe, J. A.; Serna-Saldívar, S. O. Characterization and Quantification of Saponins and Flavonoids in Sprouts, Seed Coats and Cotyledons of Germinated Black Beans. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *134*(3), 1312. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.03.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.03.020).
- [98] Lafarga, T.; Villaró, S.; Bobo, G.; Simó, J.; Aguiló-Aguayo, I. Bioaccessibility and Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds in Cooked Pulses. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *54*(5), 1816. DOI: [10.1111/ijfs.14082](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.14082).
- [99] Padhi, E. M.; Liu, R.; Hernández, M.; Tsao, R.; Ramdath, D. D. Total Polyphenol Content, Carotenoid, Tocopherol and Fatty Acid Composition of Commonly Consumed Canadian Pulses and Their Contribution to Antioxidant Activity. *J. Funct. Foods.* **2017**, *38*, 602. DOI: [10.1016/j.jff.2016.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2016.11.006).
- [100] Kalogeropoulos, N.; Chiou, A.; Ioannou, M.; Karathanos, V.; Hassapidou, M.; Andrikopoulos, N. Nutritional Evaluation and Bioactive Microconstituents (Phytosterols, Tocopherols, Polyphenols, Triterpenic Acids) in Cooked Dry Legumes Usually Consumed in the Mediterranean Countries. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *121*(3), 682. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.01.005).
- [101] Giusti, F.; Caprioli, G.; Ricciutelli, M.; Vittori, S.; Sagratini, G. Determination of Fourteen Polyphenols in Pulses by High Performance Liquid Chromatography-Diode Array Detection (HPLC-DAD) and Correlation Study with Antioxidant Activity and Colour. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *221*, 689. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.11.118](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.11.118).

- [102] Nachbar, M. S.; Oppenheim, J. D. Lectins in the United States Diet: A Survey of Lectins in Commonly Consumed Foods and a Review of the Literature. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1980**, *33*(11), 2338. DOI: [10.1093/ajcn/33.11.2338](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/33.11.2338).
- [103] Singh, B.; Singh, J. P.; Shevkani, K.; Singh, N.; Kaur, A. Bioactive Constituents in Pulses and Their Health Benefits. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *54*(4), 858. DOI: [10.1007/s13197-016-2391-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-016-2391-9).
- [104] Rochfort, S.; Panozzo, J. Phytochemicals for Health, the Role of Pulses. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*(20), 7981. DOI: [10.1021/jf071704w](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf071704w).
- [105] Singh, J. P.; Kaur, A.; Shevkani, K.; Singh, N. Influence of Jambolan (*Syzygium Cumini*) and Xanthan Gum Incorporation on the Physicochemical, Antioxidant and Sensory Properties of Gluten-Free Eggless Rice Muffins. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *50*(5), 1190. DOI: [10.1111/ijfs.12764](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12764).
- [106] Singh, B.; Singh, J. P.; Kaur, A.; Singh, N. Bioactive Compounds in Banana and Their Associated Health Benefits—A Review. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *206*, 1. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.03.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.03.033).
- [107] Ganesan, K.; Xu, B. A Critical Review on Phytochemical Profile and Health Promoting Effects of Mung Bean (*Vigna Radiata*). *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness.* **2018**, *7*(1), 11. DOI: [10.1016/j.fshw.2017.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2017.11.002).
- [108] Smulikowska, S.; Pastuszewska, B.; Świąch, E.; Ochtabińska, A.; Mieczkowska, A.; Nguyen, V. C.; Buraczewska, L. Tannin Content Affects Negatively Nutritive Value of Pea for Monogastrics. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* **2001**, *10*(3), 511. DOI: [10.22358/jafs/68004/2001](https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/68004/2001).
- [109] Hou, D.; Feng, Q.; Tang, J.; Shen, Q.; Zhou, S. An Update on Nutritional Profile, Phytochemical Compounds, Health Benefits, and Potential Applications in the Food Industry of Pulses Seed Coats: A Comprehensive Review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2022**, *1*. DOI: [10.1080/10408398.2022.2095973](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2022.2095973).
- [110] Dueñas, M.; Sun, B.; Hernández, T.; Estrella, I.; Spranger, M. I. Proanthocyanidin Composition in the Seed Coat of Lentils (*Lens Culinaris L.*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*(27), 7999. DOI: [10.1021/jf0303215](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0303215).
- [111] López, A.; El-Naggar, T.; Dueñas, M.; Ortega, T.; Estrella, I.; Hernández, T.; Gómez-Serranillos, M. P.; Palomino, O. M.; Carretero, M. E. Effect of Cooking and Germination on Phenolic Composition and Biological Properties of Dark Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris L.*). *Food Chem.* **2013**, *138*(1), 547. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.10.107](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.10.107).
- [112] Xu, B. J.; Yuan, S. H.; Chang, S. K. Comparative Analyses of Phenolic Composition, Antioxidant Capacity, and Color of Cool Season Legumes and Other Selected Food Legumes. *J. Food Sci.* **2007**, *72*(2), S167. DOI: [10.1111/j.1750-3841.2006.00261.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2006.00261.x).
- [113] Ruiz-López, M. A.; Barrientos-Ramírez, L.; García-López, P. M.; Valdés-Miramontes, E. H.; Zamora-Natera, J. F.; Rodríguez-Macias, R.; Salcedo-Pérez, E.; Bañuelos-Pineda, J.; Vargas-Radillo, J. J. Nutritional and Bioactive Compounds in Mexican Lupin Beans Species: A Mini-Review. *Nutrients.* **2019**, *11*(8), 1785. DOI: [10.3390/nu11081785](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11081785).
- [114] Wiedemann, M.; Gurrola-Díaz, C. M.; Vargas-Guerrero, B.; Wink, M.; García-López, P. M.; Düfer, M. Lupanine Improves Glucose Homeostasis by Influencing KATP Channels and Insulin Gene Expression. *Molecules.* **2015**, *20*(10), 19085. DOI: [10.3390/molecules201019085](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules201019085).
- [115] Khan, M. K.; Karnpanit, W.; Nasar-Abbas, S. M.; Huma, Z. -E.; Jayasena, V. Phytochemical Composition and Bioactivities of Lupin: A Review. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *50*(9), 2004. DOI: [10.1111/ijfs.12796](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12796).
- [116] Benveniste, P. Sterol Biosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **1986**, *37*(1), 275. DOI: [10.1146/annurev.pp.37.060186.001423](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.37.060186.001423).
- [117] Muzquiz, M.; Varela, A.; Burbano, C.; Cuadrado, C.; Guillamón, E.; Pedrosa, M. M. Bioactive Compounds in Legumes: Pronutritive and Antinutritive Actions. Implications for Nutrition and Health. *Phytochem. Rev.* **2012**, *11*(2–3), 227. DOI: [10.1007/s11101-012-9233-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-012-9233-9).
- [118] Han, I. H.; Baik, B. -K. Oligosaccharide Content and Composition of Legumes and Their Reduction by Soaking, Cooking, Ultrasound, and High Hydrostatic Pressure. *Cereal Chem. J.* **2006**, *83*(4), 428. DOI: [10.1094/CC-83-0428](https://doi.org/10.1094/CC-83-0428).
- [119] Gobbetti, M.; DeAngelis, M.; Di Cagno, R.; Polo, A.; Rizzello, C. G. The Sourdough Fermentation is the Powerful Process to Exploit the Potential of Legumes, Pseudo-Cereals and Milling By-Products in Baking Industry. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *60*(13), 2158. DOI: [10.1080/10408398.2019.1631753](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1631753).
- [120] Singh, J.; Basu, P. S. Non-Nutritive Bioactive Compounds in Pulses and Their Impact on Human Health: An Overview. *Food Nutr. Sci.* **2012**, *03*(12), 1664–1672. DOI: [10.4236/fns.2012.312218](https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2012.312218).
- [121] Kamran, F. *Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Lupin (Lupinus Angustifolius) Protein: Isolation and Characterization of Bioactive Peptides*; Sydney, Australia: Western Sydney University, **2017**.
- [122] Adamcová, A.; Laursen, K. H.; Ballin, N. Z. Lectin Activity in Commonly Consumed Plant-Based Foods: Calling for Method Harmonization and Risk Assessment. *Foods.* **2021**, *10*(11), 2796. DOI: [10.3390/foods10112796](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10112796).
- [123] Cuadrado, C.; Hajos, G.; Burbano, C.; Pedrosa, M. M.; Ayet, G.; Muzquiz, M.; Pusztai, A.; Gelencser, E. Effect of Natural Fermentation on the Lectin of Lentils Measured by Immunological Methods. *Food Agric. Immunol.* **2002**, *14*(1), 41. DOI: [10.1080/09540100220137655](https://doi.org/10.1080/09540100220137655).
- [124] Kumar, S.; Verma, A. K.; Das, M.; Jain, S. K.; Dwivedi, P. D. Clinical Complications of Kidney Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris L.*) Consumption. *Nutrition.* **2013**, *29*(6), 821. DOI: [10.1016/j.nut.2012.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2012.11.010).
- [125] Oomah, B. D.; Blanchard, C.; Balasubramanian, P. Phytic Acid, Phytase, Minerals, and Antioxidant Activity in Canadian Dry Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris L.*) Cultivars. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*(23), 11312. DOI: [10.1021/jf801661j](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf801661j).

- [126] West, L.; Greger, J.; White, A.; Nonnamaker, B. J. In vitro Study on Saponin-Mineral Complexation. *J. Food Sci.* 2006, 43(4), 1342. DOI: [10.1111/j.1365-2621.1978.tb15309.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1978.tb15309.x).
- [127] Coda, R.; Katina, K.; Rizzello, C. G. Bran Bioprocessing for Enhanced Functional Properties. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 2015, 1, 50. DOI: [10.1016/j.cofs.2014.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2014.11.007).
- [128] Luo, Y.; Gu, Z.; Han, Y.; Chen, Z. The Impact of Processing on Phytic Acid, in vitro Soluble Iron and Phy/Fe Molar Ratio of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba L.*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2009, 89(5), 861. DOI: [10.1002/jsfa.3525](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3525).
- [129] Alonso, R.; Aguirre, A.; Marzo, F. Effects of Extrusion and Traditional Processing Methods on Antinutrients and in vitro Digestibility of Protein and Starch in Faba and Kidney Beans. *Food Chem.* 2000, 68(2), 159. DOI: [10.1016/S0308-8146\(99\)00169-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(99)00169-7).
- [130] Khattab, R. Y.; Arntfield, S. D. Nutritional Quality of Legume Seeds as Affected by Some Physical Treatments 2. Antinutritional Factors. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2009, 42(6), 1113. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2009.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2009.02.004).
- [131] Shimelis, E. A.; Rakshit, S. K. Effect of Processing on Antinutrients and in vitro Protein Digestibility of Kidney Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris L.*) Varieties Grown in East Africa. *Food Chem.* 2007, 103(1), 161. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.08.005).
- [132] Luthria, D.; Pastor-Corrales, M. Phenolic Acid Content of Fifteen Dry Edible Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris L.*) Varieties. *J. Food Compost. Anal.* 2006, 19(2–3), 205. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2005.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2005.09.003).
- [133] Bishnoi, S.; Khetarpaul, N.; Yadav, R. K. Effect of Domestic Processing and Cooking Methods on Phytic Acid and Polyphenol Contents of Pea Cultivars (*Pisum Sativum*). *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 1994, 45(4), 381. DOI: [10.1007/BF01088088](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01088088).
- [134] Xu, B.; Chang, S. K. C. Effect of Soaking, Boiling, and Steaming on Total Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activities of Cool Season Food Legumes. *Food Chem.* 2008, 110(1), 1. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.01.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.01.045).
- [135] Aguilera, Y.; Dueñas, M.; Estrella, I.; Hernández, T.; Benítez, V.; Esteban, R. M.; Martín-Cabrejas, M. A. Evaluation of Phenolic Profile and Antioxidant Properties of Pardina Lentil as Affected by Industrial Dehydration. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2010, 58(18), 10101. DOI: [10.1021/jf102222t](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf102222t).
- [136] Khandelwal, S.; Udipi, S. A.; Ghugre, P. Polyphenols and Tannins in Indian Pulses: Effect of Soaking, Germination and Pressure Cooking. *Food Res. Int.* 2010, 43(2), 526. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.036).
- [137] Sharma, A.; Sehgal, S. Effect of Domestic Processing, Cooking and Germination on the Trypsin Inhibitor Activity and Tannin Content of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba*). *Plant Foods Human Nutr.* 1992, 42(2), 127. DOI: [10.1007/BF02196465](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02196465).
- [138] Yasmin, A.; Zeb, A.; Khalil, A. W.; Paracha, G. M. D.; Khattak, A. B. Effect of Processing on Anti-Nutritional Factors of Red Kidney Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*) Grains. *Food Bioprocess. Technol.* 2008, 1(4), 415. DOI: [10.1007/s11947-008-0125-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-008-0125-3).
- [139] Abd El-Hady, E. A.; Habiba, R. A. Effect of Soaking and Extrusion Conditions on Antinutrients and Protein Digestibility of Legume Seeds. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2003, 36(3), 285. DOI: [10.1016/S0023-6438\(02\)00217-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(02)00217-7).
- [140] Morales, P.; Cebadera-Miranda, L.; Cámara, R. M.; Reis, F. S.; Barros, L.; Berrios, J. D. J.; Ferreira, I. C.; Cámara, M. Lentil Flour Formulations to Develop New Snack-Type Products by Extrusion Processing: Phytochemicals and Antioxidant Capacity. *J. Funct. Foods.* 2015, 19, 537. DOI: [10.1016/j.jff.2015.09.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2015.09.044).
- [141] Ciudad-Mulero, M.; Fernández-Ruiz, V.; Cuadrado, C.; Arribas, C.; Pedrosa, M. M.; Berrios, J. D. J.; Pan, J.; Morales, P. Novel Gluten-Free Formulations from Lentil Flours and Nutritional Yeast: Evaluation of Extrusion Effect on Phytochemicals and Non-Nutritional Factors. *Food Chem.* 2020, 315, 126175. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126175](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126175).
- [142] Shohag, M.; Wei, Y.; Yang, X. Changes of Folate and Other Potential Health-Promoting Phytochemicals in Legume Seeds as Affected by Germination. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2012, 60(36), 9137. DOI: [10.1021/jf302403t](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf302403t).
- [143] López-Amorós, M.; Hernández, T.; Estrella, I. Effect of Germination on Legume Phenolic Compounds and Their Antioxidant Activity. *J. Food Compost. Anal.* 2006, 19(4), 277. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2004.06.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2004.06.012).
- [144] Aguilera, Y.; Díaz, M. F.; Jiménez, T.; Benítez, V.; Herrera, T.; Cuadrado, C.; Martín-Pedrosa, M.; Martín-Cabrejas, M. A. Changes in Nonnutritional Factors and Antioxidant Activity During Germination of Nonconventional Legumes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2013, 61(34), 8120. DOI: [10.1021/jf4022652](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf4022652).
- [145] Guo, X.; Li, T.; Tang, K.; Liu, R. H. Effect of Germination on Phytochemical Profiles and Antioxidant Activity of Mung Bean Sprouts (*Vigna Radiata*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2012, 60(44), 11050. DOI: [10.1021/jf304443u](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf304443u).
- [146] Moktan, K.; Ojha, P. Quality Evaluation of Physical Properties, Antinutritional Factors, and Antioxidant Activity of Bread Fortified with Germinated Horse Gram (*Dolichus Uniflorus*) Flour. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2016, 4(5), 766. DOI: [10.1002/fsn3.342](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.342).
- [147] Khalil, M. M. Effect of Soaking, Germination, Autoclaving and Cooking on Chemical and Biological Value of Guar Compared with Faba Bean. *Food/Nahrung.* 2001, 45(4), 246. DOI: [10.1002/1521-3803\(20010801\)45:4<246:AID-FOOD246>3.0.CO;2-F](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3803(20010801)45:4<246:AID-FOOD246>3.0.CO;2-F).
- [148] Alonso, R.; Orúe, E.; Marzo, F. Effects of Extrusion and Conventional Processing Methods on Protein and Antinutritional Factor Contents in Pea Seeds. *Food Chem.* 1998, 63(4), 505. DOI: [10.1016/S0308-8146\(98\)00037-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(98)00037-5).
- [149] Wilson, K. A.; Papastoitis, G.; Hartl, P.; Tan-Wilson, A. L. Survey of the Proteolytic Activities Degrading the Kunitz Trypsin Inhibitor and Glycinin in Germinating Soybeans (*Glycine Max*) 1. *Plant Physiol.* 1988, 88(2), 355. DOI: [10.1104/pp.88.2.355](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.88.2.355).

- [150] Guajardo-Flores, D.; Serna-Saldívar, S. O.; Gutiérrez-Urbe, J. A. Evaluation of the Antioxidant and Antiproliferative Activities of Extracted Saponins and Flavonols from Germinated Black Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.). *Food Chem.* **2013**, *141*(2), 1497. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.04.010).
- [151] Boateng, J.; Verghese, M.; Walker, L.; Ogotu, S. Effect of Processing on Antioxidant Contents in Selected Dry Beans (*Phaseolus* Spp. L.). *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *41*(9), 1541. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2007.11.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2007.11.025).
- [152] Habtie, T.; Admassu, S.; Asres, K. Effects of Processing Methods on Some Phytochemicals Present in the Seeds of *Lupinus Albus* L. Grown in Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Pharm. J.* **2009**, *27*(2). DOI: [10.4314/epj.v27i2.58269](https://doi.org/10.4314/epj.v27i2.58269).
- [153] Siah, S.; Konczak, I.; Wood, J. A.; Agboola, S.; Blanchard, C. L. Effects of Roasting on Phenolic Composition and in vitro Antioxidant Capacity of Australian Grown Faba Beans (*Vicia Faba* L.). *Plant Foods Human Nutr.* **2014**, *69*(1), 85. DOI: [10.1007/s11130-013-0400-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-013-0400-y).
- [154] Seena, S.; Sridhar, K. R.; Arun, A. B.; Young, C. -C. Effect of Roasting and Pressure-Cooking on Nutritional and Protein Quality of Seeds of Mangrove Legume *Canavalia Cathartica* from Southwest Coast of India. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2006**, *19*(4), 284. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2005.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2005.05.004).
- [155] Aremu, M.; Ibrahim, H.; Ekanem, B. Effect of Processing on In-Vitro Protein Digestibility and Anti-Nutritional Properties of Three Underutilized Legumes Grown in Nigeria. *British Biotechnol. J.* **2016**, *14*(1), 1–10. DOI: [10.9734/BBJ/2016/22581](https://doi.org/10.9734/BBJ/2016/22581).
- [156] Bolek, S. Effects of Roasting on Bioavailability and Bioactivities of *Vigna Angularis* and Potential of Coffee-Like Beverage. *J. Food Sci.* **2022**, *87*(3), 911. DOI: [10.1111/1750-3841.16048](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.16048).
- [157] Kamalasundari, S.; Babu, R.; Umamaheswari, T. Effect of Domestic Processing Methods on Anti-Nutritional Factors and Its Impact on the Bio-Availability Proteins and Starch in Commonly Consumed Whole Legumes. *Asian J. Dairy Food Res.* **2019**, *38*(1). DOI: [10.18805/ajdfr.DR-1410](https://doi.org/10.18805/ajdfr.DR-1410).
- [158] Jiratanan, T.; Liu, R. H. Antioxidant Activity of Processed Table Beets (*Beta Vulgaris* Var, *Conditiva*) and Green Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*(9), 2659. DOI: [10.1021/jf034861d](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf034861d).
- [159] Preti, R.; Rapa, M.; Vinci, G. Effect of Steaming and Boiling on the Antioxidant Properties and Biogenic Amines Content in Green Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*) Varieties of Different Colours. *J. Food Qual.* **2017**, *2017*, 2017. DOI: [10.1155/2017/5329070](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5329070).
- [160] Ojo, M. A.; Ade-Omowaye, B.; Ngoddy, P. Influence of Soaking and Hydrothermal Techniques on Antinutritional Components and in vitro Multienzymes Protein Digestibility of *Vigna Racemosa*—An Underutilised Hard-To-Cook Legume. *Ann Food Sci Technol.* **2017**, *18*, 385.
- [161] Bolade, M. K. Individualistic Impact of Unit Operations of Production, at Household Level, on Some Antinutritional Factors in Selected Cowpea-Based Food Products. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2016**, *4*(3), 441. DOI: [10.1002/fsn3.306](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.306).
- [162] Qin, G.; Ter Elst, E. R.; Bosch, M. W.; van der Poel, A. F. B. Thermal Processing of Whole Soya Beans: Studies on the Inactivation of Antinutritional Factors and Effects on Ileal Digestibility in Piglets. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *57*(4), 313. DOI: [10.1016/0377-8401\(95\)00863-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(95)00863-2).
- [163] Batista, K. A.; Pereira, W. J.; Moreira, B. R.; Silva, C.; Fernandes, K. F. Effect of Autoclaving on the Nutritional Quality of Hard-To-Cook Common Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*). *Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *5*(1), 22. DOI: [10.22161/ijeaab.51.4](https://doi.org/10.22161/ijeaab.51.4).
- [164] Ertaş, N.; Türker, S. Bulgur Processes Increase Nutrition Value: Possible Role in in-Vitro Protein Digestibility, Phytic Acid, Trypsin Inhibitor Activity and Mineral Bioavailability. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *51*(7), 1401. DOI: [10.1007/s13197-012-0638-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0638-7).
- [165] Rehman, Z. -U.; Shah, W. Thermal Heat Processing Effects on Antinutrients, Protein and Starch Digestibility of Food Legumes. *Food Chem.* **2005**, *91*(2), 327. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.06.019).
- [166] Piecyk, M.; Wołosiak, R.; Drużynska, B.; Worobiej, E. Chemical Composition and Starch Digestibility in Flours from Polish Processed Legume Seeds. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *135*(3), 1057. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.05.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.05.051).
- [167] Siah, S.; Wood, J. A.; Agboola, S.; Konczak, I.; Blanchard, C. L. Effects of Soaking, Boiling and Autoclaving on the Phenolic Contents and Antioxidant Activities of Faba Beans (*Vicia Faba* L.) Differing in Seed Coat Colours. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *142*, 461. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.068).
- [168] Jood, S.; Chauhan, B. M.; Kapoor, A. C. Polyphenols of Chickpea and Blackgram as Affected by Domestic Processing and Cooking Methods. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1987**, *39*(2), 145. DOI: [10.1002/jfsa.2740390207](https://doi.org/10.1002/jfsa.2740390207).
- [169] Kalpanadevi, V.; Mohan, V. R. Effect of Processing on Antinutrients and in vitro Protein Digestibility of the Underutilized Legume, *Vigna Unguiculata* (L.) Walp Subsp. *Unguiculata*. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *51*(2), 455. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.030).
- [170] Tungmunthum, D.; Drouet, S.; Lorenzo, J. M.; Hano, C. Effect of Traditional Cooking and in vitro Gastrointestinal Digestion of the Ten Most Consumed Beans from the Fabaceae Family in Thailand on Their Phytochemicals, Antioxidant and Anti-Diabetic Potentials. *Plants.* **2021**, *11*(1), 67. DOI: [10.3390/plants11010067](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11010067).
- [171] Nyau, V.; Prakash, S.; Rodrigues, J.; Farrant, J. Domestic Cooking Effects of Bambara Groundnuts and Common Beans in the Antioxidant Properties and Polyphenol Profiles. *J Food Res.* **2017**, *6*(2), 10.5539. DOI: [10.5539/jfr.v6n2p24](https://doi.org/10.5539/jfr.v6n2p24).
- [172] Palermo, M.; Pellegrini, N.; Fogliano, V. The Effect of Cooking on the Phytochemical Content of Vegetables. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94*(6), 1057. DOI: [10.1002/jfsa.6478](https://doi.org/10.1002/jfsa.6478).

- [173] Carlini, C. R.; Udedibie, A. B. Comparative Effects of Processing Methods on Hemagglutinating and Antitryptic Activities of *Canavalia Ensiformis* and *Canavalia Braziliensis* Seeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45*(11), 4372.
- [174] Trugo, L. C.; Ramos, L. A.; Trugo, N. M. F.; Souza, M. C. P. Oligosaccharide Composition and Trypsin Inhibitor Activity of *P. Vulgaris* and the Effect of Germination on the α -Galactoside Composition and Fermentation in the Human Colon. *Food Chem.* **1990**, *36*(1), 53. DOI: [10.1016/0308-8146\(90\)90007-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-8146(90)90007-Q).
- [175] Egbe, I. A.; Akinyele, I. O. Effect of Cooking on the Antinutritional Factors of Lima Beans (*Phaseolus Lunatus*). *Food Chem.* **1990**, *35*(2), 81. DOI: [10.1016/0308-8146\(90\)90022-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-8146(90)90022-V).
- [176] Bressani, R.; Elías, L. G., Nutritional Role of Polyphenols in Beans. In *Polyphenols in cereals and legumes: proceedings of a symposium*, IDRC, Ottawa, ON, CA: **1979**.
- [177] Nicolás-García, M.; Perucini-Avenidaño, M.; Jiménez-Martínez, C.; Perea-Flores, M. D. J.; Gómez-Patiño, M. B.; Arrieta-Báez, D.; Dávila-Ortiz, G. Bean Phenolic Compound Changes During Processing: Chemical Interactions and Identification. *J. Food Sci.* **2021**, *86*(3), 643. DOI: [10.1111/1750-3841.15632](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15632).
- [178] Avanza, M.; Acevedo, B.; Chaves, M.; Añón, M. Nutritional and Anti-Nutritional Components of Four Cowpea Varieties Under Thermal Treatments: Principal Component Analysis. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *51*(1), 148. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.09.010).
- [179] Aguilera, Y.; Dueñas, M.; Estrella, I.; Hernández, T.; Benitez, V.; Esteban, R. M.; Martín-Cabrejas, M. A. Phenolic Profile and Antioxidant Capacity of Chickpeas (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) as Affected by a Dehydration Process. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition. Plant Foods Human Nutr.* **2011**, *66*(2), 187. DOI: [10.1007/s11130-011-0230-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-011-0230-8).
- [180] Luthria, D. L.; Pastor-Corrales, M. A. Phenolic Acids Content of Fifteen Dry Edible Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) Varieties. *J. Food Compost. Anal.* **2006**, *19*(2–3), 205. DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2005.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2005.09.003).
- [181] Fredrikson, M.; Alminger, M. L.; Carlsson, N. -G.; Sandberg, A. -S. Phytate Content and Phytate Degradation by Endogenous Phytase in Pea (*Pisum Sativum*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2001**, *81*(12), 1139. DOI: [10.1002/jfca.918](https://doi.org/10.1002/jfca.918).
- [182] Francis, G.; Makkar, H. P. S.; Becker, K. Antinutritional Factors Present in Plant-Derived Alternate Fish Feed Ingredients and Their Effects in Fish. *Aquaculture.* **2001**, *199*(3–4), 197. DOI: [10.1016/S0044-8486\(01\)00526-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00526-9).
- [183] Kumar, Y.; Basu, S.; Goswami, D.; Devi, M.; Shivhare, U. S.; Vishwakarma, R. K. Anti-Nutritional Compounds in Pulses: Implications and Alleviation Methods. *Legume Sci.* **2022**, *4*(2), e111. DOI: [10.1002/leg3.111](https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.111).
- [184] Egounlety, M.; Aworh, O. C. Effect of Soaking, Dehulling, Cooking and Fermentation with *Rhizopus Oligosporus* on the Oligosaccharides, Trypsin Inhibitor, Phytic Acid and Tannins of Soybean (*Glycine Max* Merr.), Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata* L. Walp) and Groundbean (*Macrotyloma Geocarpa* Harms). *J. Food Eng.* **2003**, *56*(2), 249.
- [185] Berrios, J. D. J. Extrusion Processing of Main Commercial Legume Pulses. *Adv. Food Extrusion Technol.* **2016**, *1*, 209.
- [186] Tas, A. A.; Shah, A. U. The Replacement of Cereals by Legumes in Extruded Snack Foods: Science, Technology and Challenges. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *116*, 701. DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2021.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2021.08.016).
- [187] Patil, S. S.; Brennan, M. A.; Mason, S. L.; Brennan, C. S. The Effects of Fortification of Legumes and Extrusion on the Protein Digestibility of Wheat Based Snack. *Foods.* **2016**, *5*(4), 26. DOI: [10.3390/foods5020026](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods5020026).
- [188] Alam, M. S.; Kaur, J.; Khaira, H.; Gupta, K. Extrusion and Extruded Products: Changes in Quality Attributes as Affected by Extrusion Process Parameters: A Review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2016**, *56*(3), 445. DOI: [10.1080/10408398.2013.779568](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2013.779568).
- [189] Rathod, R. P.; Annapure, U. S. Effect of Extrusion Process on Antinutritional Factors and Protein and Starch Digestibility of Lentil Splits. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *66*, 114. DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2015.10.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.10.028).
- [190] Pasqualone, A.; Costantini, M.; Coldea, T. E.; Summo, C. Use of Legumes in Extrusion Cooking: A Review. *Foods.* **2020**, *9*(7), 958. DOI: [10.3390/foods9070958](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9070958).
- [191] Kamau, E. H.; Nkhata, S. G.; Ayua, E. O. Extrusion and Nixtamalization Conditions Influence the Magnitude of Change in the Nutrients and Bioactive Components of Cereals and Legumes. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *8*(4), 1753. DOI: [10.1002/fsn3.1473](https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.1473).
- [192] Marzo, F.; Alonso, R.; Urdaneta, E.; Arricibita, F. J.; Ibáñez, F. Nutritional Quality of Extruded Kidney Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L. Var. Pinto) and Its Effects on Growth and Skeletal Muscle Nitrogen Fractions in Rats. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2002**, *80*(4), 875. DOI: [10.2527/2002.804875x](https://doi.org/10.2527/2002.804875x).
- [193] Karaaslan, M.; Yilmaz, F. M.; Cesur, Ö.; Vardin, H.; İkinci, A.; Dalgıç, A. C. Drying Kinetics and Thermal Degradation of Phenolic Compounds and Anthocyanins in Pomegranate Arils Dried Under Vacuum Conditions. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *49*(2), 595. DOI: [10.1111/ijfs.12342](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12342).
- [194] Arribas, C.; Cabellos, B.; Cuadrado, C.; Guillamón, E.; Pedrosa, M. M. The Effect of Extrusion on the Bioactive Compounds and Antioxidant Capacity of Novel Gluten-Free Expanded Products Based on Carob Fruit, Pea and Rice Blends. *Innovative Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* **2019**, *52*, 100. DOI: [10.1016/j.ifset.2018.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2018.12.003).
- [195] Espinoza-Moreno, R. J.; Reyes-Moreno, C.; Milán-Carrillo, J.; López-Valenzuela, J. A.; Paredes-López, O.; Gutiérrez-Dorado, R. Healthy Ready-To-Eat Expanded Snack with High Nutritional and Antioxidant Value Produced from Whole Amarantin Transgenic Maize and Black Common Bean. *Plant Foods Human Nutr.* **2016**, *71*(2), 218. DOI: [10.1007/s11130-016-0551-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-016-0551-8).
- [196] Zieliński, H.; Michalska, A.; Piskuła, M. K.; Kozłowska, H. Antioxidants in Thermally Treated Buckwheat Groats. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2006**, *50*(9), 824. DOI: [10.1002/mnfr.200500258](https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200500258).
- [197] Pedrosa, M. M.; Cuadrado, C.; Burbano, C.; Allaf, K.; Haddad, J.; Gelencsér, E.; Takács, K.; Guillamón, E.; Muzquiz, M. Effect of Instant Controlled Pressure Drop on the Oligosaccharides, Inositol Phosphates, Trypsin

- Inhibitors and Lectins Contents of Different Legumes. *Food Chem.* 2012, 131(3), 862. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.09.061.
- [198] Lorenz, K.; Jansen, G.; Harper, J. Nutrient Stability of Full-Fat Soy Flour and Corn-Soy Blends Produced by Low-Cost Extrusion. *Cereal Foods World.* 1980, 25(4), 161–162.
- [199] Nikmaram, N.; Leong, S. Y.; Koubaa, M.; Zhu, Z.; Barba, F. J.; Greiner, R.; Oey, I.; Roohinejad, S. Effect of Extrusion on the Anti-Nutritional Factors of Food Products: An Overview. *Food Control.* 2017, 79, 62. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.03.027.
- [200] Laxmi, G.; Chaturvedi, N.; Richa, S. The Impact of Malting on Nutritional Composition of Foxtail Millet, Wheat and Chickpea. *J. Nutr. Food Sci.* 2015, 5(5), 1. DOI: 10.4172/2155-9600.1000407.
- [201] Oghbaei, M.; Prakash, J.; Yildiz, F. Effect of Primary Processing of Cereals and Legumes on Its Nutritional Quality: A Comprehensive Review. *Cogent Food Agric.* 2016, 2(1), 1136015. DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2015.1136015.
- [202] Samtiya, M.; Aluko, R. E.; Dhewa, T. Plant Food Anti-Nutritional Factors and Their Reduction Strategies: An Overview. *Food Prod. Process. Nutr.* 2020, 2(1), 6. DOI: 10.1186/s43014-020-0020-5.
- [203] Rehman, Z. -U.; Shah, W. H. Thermal Heat Processing Effects on Antinutrients, Protein and Starch Digestibility of Food Legumes. *Food Chem.* 2005, 91(2), 327. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.06.019.
- [204] López-Martínez, L. X.; Leyva-López, N.; Gutiérrez-Grijalva, E. P.; Heredia, J. B. Effect of Cooking and Germination on Bioactive Compounds in Pulses and Their Health Benefits. *J. Funct. Foods.* 2017, 38, 624. DOI: 10.1016/j.jff.2017.03.002.
- [205] Mamilla, R. K.; Mishra, V. K. Effect of Germination on Antioxidant and ACE Inhibitory Activities of Legumes. *LWT.* 2017, 75, 51. DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.08.036.
- [206] Patterson, C. A.; Curran, J.; Der, T. Effect of Processing on Antinutrient Compounds in Pulses. *Cereal Chem. J.* 2017, 94(1), 2. DOI: 10.1094/CCHEM-05-16-0144-FI.
- [207] Mubarak, A. E. Nutritional Composition and Antinutritional Factors of Mung Bean Seeds (*Phaseolus Aureus*) as Affected by Some Home Traditional Processes. *Food Chem.* 2005, 89(4), 489. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.01.007.
- [208] Bueno-Borges, L. B.; Sartim, M. A.; Gil, C. C.; Sampaio, S. V.; Rodrigues, P. H. V.; Regitano-d'Arce, M. A. B. Sacha Inchi Seeds from Sub-Tropical Cultivation: Effects of Roasting on Antinutrients, Antioxidant Capacity and Oxidative Stability. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2018, 55(10), 4159. DOI: 10.1007/s13197-018-3345-1.
- [209] Oluseyi, E. O.; Temitayo, O. M. Chemical and Functional Properties of Fermented, Roasted and Germinated Tamarind (*Tamarindus Indica*) Seed Flours. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 2015, 45(1), 97–111. DOI: 10.1108/NFS-11-2013-0131.
- [210] Udensi, E.; Ekwu, F.; Isinguzo, J. Antinutrient Factors of Vegetable Cowpea (*Sesquipedalis*) Seeds During Thermal Processing. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 2007, 6(2), 194. DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2007.194.197.
- [211] Cardador-Martínez, A.; Maya-Ocaña, K.; Ortiz-Moreno, A.; Herrera-Cabrera, B. E.; Dávila-Ortiz, G.; Múzquiz, M.; Martín-Pedrosa, M.; Burbano, C.; Cuadrado, C.; Jiménez-Martínez, C. Effect of Roasting and Boiling on the Content of Vicine, Convicine and L-3,4-Dihydroxyphenylalanine in Vicia Faba L. *J. Food Qual.* 2012, 35(6), 419. DOI: 10.1111/jfq.12006.
- [212] Pedrosa, M. M.; Guillamón, E.; Arribas, C. Autoclaved and Extruded Legumes as a Source of Bioactive Phytochemicals: A Review. *Foods.* 2021, 10(2), 379. DOI: 10.3390/foods10020379.
- [213] Ertop, M. H.; Bektaş, M. Enhancement of Bioavailable Micronutrients and Reduction of Antinutrients in Foods with Some Processes. *Food And Health.* 2018, 4(3), 159. DOI: 10.3153/FH18016.
- [214] Abd Allah, M. A.; Foda, Y. H.; Abu Salem, F. M.; Abd Allah, Z. S. Treatments for Reducing Total Vicine in Egyptian Faba Bean (Giza 2 Variety). *Plant Foods Human Nutr.* 1988, 38(3), 201. DOI: 10.1007/BF01092859.
- [215] Zhang, B.; Deng, Z.; Tang, Y.; Chen, P. X.; Liu, R.; Ramdath, D. D.; Liu, Q.; Hernandez, M.; Tsao, R. Effect of Domestic Cooking on Carotenoids, Tocopherols, Fatty Acids, Phenolics, and Antioxidant Activities of Lentils (*Lens Culinaris*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2014, 62(52), 12585. DOI: 10.1021/jf504181r.
- [216] Granito, M.; Paolini, M.; Pérez, S. Polyphenols and Antioxidant Capacity of *Phaseolus Vulgaris* Stored Under Extreme Conditions and Processed. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2008, 41(6), 994. DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2007.07.014.
- [217] Nakitto, A. M.; Muyonga, J. H.; Nakimbugwe, D. Effects of Combined Traditional Processing Methods on the Nutritional Quality of Beans. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2015, 3(3), 233. DOI: 10.1002/fsn3.209.
- [218] Amarowicz, R.; Pegg, R. B. Legumes as a Source of Natural Antioxidants. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2008, 110(10), 865. DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.200800114.
- [219] Bento, J. A. C.; Lanna, A. C.; Bassinello, P. Z.; Oomah, B. D.; Pimenta, M. E. B.; Carvalho, R. N.; Moreira, A. S. Aging Indicators for Stored Carioca Beans. *Food Res. Int.* 2020, 134, 109249. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109249.
- [220] Cilla, A.; Bosch, L.; Barberá, R.; Alegría, A. Effect of Processing on the Bioaccessibility of Bioactive Compounds – a Review Focusing on Carotenoids, Minerals, Ascorbic Acid, Tocopherols and Polyphenols. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2018, 68, 3. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfca.2017.01.009.
- [221] Lafarga, T.; Villaró, S.; Bobo, G.; Simó, J.; Aguiló-aguayo, I. Bioaccessibility and Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds in Cooked Pulses. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, 54(5), 1816. DOI: 10.1111/ijfs.14082.
- [222] Parada, J.; Aguilera, J. M. Food Microstructure Affects the Bioavailability of Several Nutrients. *J. Food Sci.* 2007, 72(2), R21. DOI: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2007.00274.x.

- [223] Scalbert, A.; Williamson, G. Dietary Intake and Bioavailability of Polyphenols. *J. Nutr.* **2000**, *130*(8), 2073S. DOI: [10.1093/jn/130.8.2073S](https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.8.2073S).
- [224] Wang, T.; He, F.; Chen, G. Improving Bioaccessibility and Bioavailability of Phenolic Compounds in Cereal Grains Through Processing Technologies: A Concise Review. *J. Funct. Foods.* **2014**, *7*, 101. DOI: [10.1016/j.jff.2014.01.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2014.01.033).
- [225] Zhang, B.; Deng, Z.; Tang, Y.; Chen, P. X.; Liu, R.; Ramdath, D. D.; Liu, Q.; Hernandez, M.; Tsao, R. Bioaccessibility, in vitro Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Phenolics in Cooked Green Lentil (*Lens Culinaris*). *J. Funct. Foods.* **2017**, *32*, 248. DOI: [10.1016/j.jff.2017.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2017.03.004).
- [226] Sancho, R. A. S.; Pavan, V.; Pastore, G. M. Effect of in vitro Digestion on Bioactive Compounds and Antioxidant Activity of Common Bean Seed Coats. *Food Res. Int.* **2015**, *76*, 74. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2014.11.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.11.042).
- [227] Chen, P. X.; Dupuis, J. H.; Marcone, M. F.; Pauls, P. K.; Liu, R.; Liu, Q.; Tang, Y.; Zhang, B.; Tsao, R. Physicochemical Properties and in vitro Digestibility of Cooked Regular and Nondarkening Cranberry Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) and Their Effects on Bioaccessibility, Phenolic Composition, and Antioxidant Activity. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *63*(48), 10448. DOI: [10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04005](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04005).
- [228] Hithamani, G.; Srinivasan, K. Bioaccessibility of Polyphenols from Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum*), Sorghum (*Sorghum Bicolor*), Green Gram (*Vigna Radiata*), and Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum*) as Influenced by Domestic Food Processing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *62*(46), 11170. DOI: [10.1021/jf503450u](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf503450u).
- [229] Akillioglu, H. G.; Karakaya, S. Changes in Total Phenols, Total Flavonoids, and Antioxidant Activities of Common Beans and Pinto Beans After Soaking, Cooking, and in vitro Digestion Process. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *19*(3), 633. DOI: [10.1007/s10068-010-0089-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-010-0089-8).
- [230] McInerney, J. K.; Seccafien, C. A.; Stewart, C. M.; Bird, A. R. Effects of High Pressure Processing on Antioxidant Activity, and Total Carotenoid Content and Availability, in Vegetables. *Innovative Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* **2007**, *8*(4), 543. DOI: [10.1016/j.ifset.2007.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2007.04.005).
- [231] Oghbaei, M.; Prakash, J. Effects of Processing and Digestive Enzymes on Retention, Bioaccessibility and Antioxidant Activity of Bioactive Components in Food Mixes Based on Legumes and Green Leaves. *Food Biosci.* **2013**, *4*, 21. DOI: [10.1016/j.fbio.2013.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2013.08.001).
- [232] Ofuya, Z.; Akhidue, V. The Role of Pulses in Human Nutrition: A Review. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* **2005**, *9* (3), 99. DOI: [10.4314/jasem.v9i3.17361](https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v9i3.17361).
- [233] Kamboj, R.; Nanda, V. Proximate Composition, Nutritional Profile and Health Benefits of Legumes-A Review. *Legume Res.* **2018**, *41*, 3. DOI: [10.18805/LR-3748](https://doi.org/10.18805/LR-3748).
- [234] Yeap, S. K.; Mohd Ali, N.; Mohd Yusof, H.; Alitheen, N. B.; Beh, B. K.; Ho, W. Y.; Koh, S. P.; Long, K. Antihyperglycemic Effects of Fermented and Nonfermented Mung Bean Extracts on Alloxan-Induced-Diabetic Mice. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *2012*, 2012. DOI: [10.1155/2012/285430](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/285430).
- [235] Moreno-Valdespino, C. A.; Luna-Vital, D.; Camacho-Ruiz, R. M.; Mojica, L. Bioactive Proteins and Phytochemicals from Legumes: Mechanisms of Action Preventing Obesity and Type-2 Diabetes. *Food Res. Int.* **2020**, *130*, 108905. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108905](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108905).
- [236] Bai, Y.; Chang, J.; Xu, Y.; Cheng, D.; Liu, H.; Zhao, Y.; Yu, Z. Antioxidant and Myocardial Preservation Activities of Natural Phytochemicals from Mung Bean (*Vigna Radiata* L.) Seeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2016**, *64*(22), 4648. DOI: [10.1021/acs.jafc.6b01538](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b01538).
- [237] Duh, P. -D.; Du, P. -C.; Yen, G. -C. Action of Methanolic Extract of Mung Bean Hulls as Inhibitors of Lipid Peroxidation and Non-Lipid Oxidative Damage. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **1999**, *37*(11), 1055. DOI: [10.1016/S0278-6915\(99\)00096-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(99)00096-4).
- [238] Pingfan, W. Research Progress on the Biological Activities and Functions of Mung Beans. *J. Chin. Inst. Food Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *9*(13), 3286–3291.
- [239] Thembo, K.; Vismer, H.; Nyazema, N.; Gelderblom, W.; Katerere, D. Antifungal Activity of Four Weedy Plant Extracts Against Selected Mycotoxigenic Fungi. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2010**, *109*(4), 1479. DOI: [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04776.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04776.x).
- [240] Manikandaselvi, S.; David, R.; Aravind, S.; Ravikumar, R.; Thinagarbabu, R.; Nandhini, S. Anti-Anemic Activity of Sprouts of *Vigna Radiata* L. in Male Albino Rats. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* **2015**, *7*(11), 263–267.
- [241] Venkateshwarlu, E.; Reddy, K. P.; Dilip, D. Potential of *Vigna Radiata* (L.) Sprouts in the Management of Inflammation and Arthritis in Rats: Possible Biochemical Alterations. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **2016**, *54*(1), 37–43.
- [242] Luo, J.; Cai, W.; Wu, T.; Xu, B. Phytochemical Distribution in Hull and Cotyledon of Adzuki Bean (*Vigna Angularis* L.) and Mung Bean (*Vigna Radiata* L.), and Their Contribution to Antioxidant, Anti-Inflammatory and Anti-Diabetic Activities. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *201*, 350. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.101](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.101).
- [243] Xu, B.; Chang, S. K. C. Phenolic Substance Characterization and Chemical and Cell-Based Antioxidant Activities of 11 Lentils Grown in the Northern United States. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2010**, *58*(3), 1509. DOI: [10.1021/jf903532y](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf903532y).
- [244] Singh, B.; Singh, J. P.; Kaur, A.; Singh, N. Phenolic Composition and Antioxidant Potential of Grain Legume Seeds: A Review. *Food Res. Int.* **2017**, *101*, 1. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2017.09.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.09.026).
- [245] Kumar, V.; Sinha, A. K.; Makkar, H. P. S.; Becker, K. Dietary Roles of Phytate and Phytase in Human Nutrition: A Review. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *120*(4), 945. DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.11.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.11.052).