

This is a repository copy of *Thermoplastic hybrid-matrix composite prepared by a roomtemperature vacuum infusion and in-situ polymerisation process*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/210446/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Obande, W. orcid.org/0000-0001-7367-7272, Ó Brádaigh, C.M. and Ray, D. orcid.org/0000-0002-2353-9581 (2020) Thermoplastic hybrid-matrix composite prepared by a room-temperature vacuum infusion and in-situ polymerisation process. Composites Communications, 22. 100439. ISSN 2452-2139

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coco.2020.100439

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 1

2

Thermoplastic hybrid-matrix composites prepared by a roomtemperature vacuum infusion and in-situ polymerisation process

3

Winifred Obande, Conchúr M. Ó Brádaigh, and Dipa Ray*

School of Engineering, Institute for Materials and Processes, The University of Edinburgh,
Sanderson Building, Robert Stevenson Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FB, Scotland, United Kingdom.

6 *Corresponding author. *Email address*: <u>dipa.roy@ed.ac.uk</u>

7 Keywords: Polymer-matrix composite; Hybrid matrix; Liquid thermoplastic resin; Mechanical

8 properties; Resin infusion; Fibre-reinforced composite

9

10 Abstract

This work explores a novel route for the fabrication of hybrid-matrix composites based on a recently 11 developed liquid thermoplastic acrylic resin. This liquid resin was modified using a poly(phenylene 12 ether) (PPE) oligomer with vinyl functionality. Glass fibre-reinforced laminates based on acrylic and 13 PPE-modified acrylic matrices were produced by a room-temperature vacuum infusion and in-situ 14 polymerisation process. Comparative assessments of their mechanical performance and mode-I 15 interlaminar fracture behaviour revealed enhanced matrix ductility, transverse flexural properties 16 and initiation fracture toughness. Crazing was identified as the dominant mechanism for improved 17 resistance to crack initiation. 18

19 1 Introduction

Innovative low-viscosity liquid thermoplastic (LTP) resins can readily infiltrate into fibrous 20 reinforcement under conditions of relatively low temperature and pressure in the same way 21 that thermoset (TS) resins can [1–4]. Room-temperature infusible acrylic resins with 22 viscosities as low as 100 mPa.s have received considerable research attention in recent years 23 [5-13]. In our previous work, we presented comparisons between the mechanical 24 performance of acrylic composites with equivalent epoxy composites and reported inferior 25 transverse flexural performance [7] and impact damage resistance [8] in the acrylic-matrix 26 27 composites.

28 Structural composites typically comprise a thermoset matrix or a semi-crystalline 29 thermoplastic matrix. Cross-linked networks and crystalline domains contribute to enhanced 30 matrix rigidity, making them ideal candidates for high-performance applications. In contrast, 31 purely amorphous matrices such as acrylics do not contain cross-links or crystalline regions 32 within their molecular structure. Thus, this might influence composite properties, 33 particularly when matrix strength plays a key role.

Therefore, there is a significant scope to tailor the structure of acrylic-matrix composites for 1 enhanced performance under different loading conditions. Recent works on this topic have 2 Nanostrength™ triblock copolymers comprising polymethylmethacrylate-bused 3 polybutylacrylate-b-polymethylmethacrylate [9-11] and hybrid fibre reinforcements [12] to 4 realise improved composite properties. However, TP-TP hybridisation of an acrylic matrix, 5 via in-situ polymerisation, is novel and never investigated before. 6

Poly(phenylene ether) (PPE) – an amorphous engineering thermoplastic, is arguably one of
the most successfully applied as a modifier in TS-matrix composites [14–16]. Unlike the
acrylic matrix, which is a purely aliphatic amorphous TP, PPE contains aromatic rings, which
may confer some rigidity in a hybrid system and is thus, worthy of exploration.

This present study investigates an innovative route to obtaining vacuum-infusible hybridmatrix composites based on acrylic and PPE. To promote reactive blending during in-situ polymerisation of the hybrid matrix, PPE with vinyl functionality was selected for this study. The effects of hybridisation on mechanical and morphological properties are presented herein.

16 2 Experimental

17 2.1 Materials and fabrication

Two 4-mm thick (nominally) test laminates were prepared by a room-temperature vacuum
infusion and in-situ polymerisation process. Table 1 provides an overview of the materials
used. Full details of the materials and the fabrication processes used are supplied in Appendix
A.

Elium[®] 188 O a NORYLTM SA9000 b

O-UD Glass ^c

Unreinforced polymer samp	oles d		
A100/P0	100	0	0
A95/P5	95	5	0
Composite samples ^d			
<i>GF/A100/P0</i>	100	0	50
<i>GF/A95/P5</i>	95	5	57
		~~· ~	

^a A Liquid acrylic resin [A] supplied by Arkema GRL, France.

^b An oligomeric PPE resin [P] with vinyl functionality, supplied by SABIC.

c TEST2594 – a quasi-unidirectional (UD) glass non-crimp fabric (NCF) supplied by Ahlstrom-Munksjö. GF: glass fibre. Fibre volume fraction.

d Polymerised using a dibenzoyl peroxide initiator – BP50FT supplied by United Initiators.

2

1

- 3 2.2 Mechanical and thermomechanical characterisation
- 4 2.2.1 Tensile testing
- 5 Tensile properties were evaluated in accordance with ASTM D3039 under transverse tension.
- 6 2.2.2 Short beam shear testing

7 Short beam shear properties were evaluated by short beam shear testing using a span-to-

- 8 thickness ratio of 4:1 in accordance with ASTM D2344.
- 9 2.2.3 Flexural testing

10 Non-standard flexural testing was performed on unreinforced matrix samples as detailed in

11 Appendix B. To gain further insights on differences in fracture behaviour of the matrices,

- 12 SEM inspections were also performed.
- 13 Flexural properties of glass fibre-reinforced composite samples were determined by three-
- point bending (ASTM D7264 Procedure A) using a span-to-thickness ratio of 32:1 under
 longitudinal and transverse loading.
- 16 2.2.4 Mode-I interlaminar fracture toughness (ILFT) testing
- 17 Mode-I ILFT was evaluated using double cantilever beam tests per ASTM D5528. SEM
- 18 inspections were conducted on DCB fracture surfaces to assess fracture behaviour.
- 19 The interested reader is referred to Appendix B for supplementary specimen and test 20 specifications.

1 3 Results and discussions

2 3.1 Flexural test results of unreinforced matrices

3 PPE modification appears to improve flexural strength and stiffness of the GF/A95/P5 4 sample as evidenced by the stress-displacement curves in Figure 1(a). Although mid-span 5 deflections were not measured during testing, the observed increase in stiffness may 6 tentatively indicate an increase in modulus. These results are based on single-sample tests 7 and are thus, not conclusive. These results provide interesting insights, however, that are 8 worthy of further investigation.

- 9 The micrographs from the regions of interest, diagrammatically shown in Figure 1(b), reveal
- 10 relatively flat fracture topography for A100/Po (Figure 1(c)), and multi-planar fractures for
- 11 the A95/P5 matrix (Figure 1(d)), which suggests an interplay of crack deflection and crack
- 12 penetration mechanisms as detailed in Appendix C [17]. At higher magnifications, the
- 13 A100/Po matrix appears homogenous (Figure 1(e)); a biphasic morphology comprising
- 14 discrete domains was observed for the A95/P5 matrix (Figure 1(f)). These domains are likely
- 15 PPE-rich phase, surrounded by an acrylic-rich phase.



1

Figure 1. Flexural stress-displacement curves (a) and diagrammatic representation of the
SEM region of interest (b). SEM micrographs of fracture surfaces of unreinforced (c) & (e)
A100/Po and (d) & (f) A95/P5 samples at different magnifications (×300 & ×2000).

5 3.2 Results of composites testing

6 3.2.1 Transverse tensile test results

Representative stress-strain responses and average transverse tensile strengths, moduli and 7 8 failure strains of the GF/A100/Po and GF/A95/P5 materials are presented in Figure 2(a). Both materials exhibit similar linear behaviour initially; however, an earlier onset of damage 9 initiation (matrix cracking) was observed with the GF/A95/P5 samples. From Points 1 to 3 10 Figure 2(a), matrix crack accumulation occurs before ultimate failure. In contrast, the 11 GF/A100/Po material undergoes plastic deformation up to failure. Matrix hybridisation 12 resulted in reduced (-18%) transverse tensile strength with a slight increase in modulus 13 (+8%) and significant increases in failure strain (+58%). Thus, hybridisation appears to 14 increase both transverse composite modulus and ductility. Moreover, higher areas bounded 15 16 under GF/A95/P5 curves may suggest enhanced toughness.

- 1 3.2.2 Short beam shear test results
- 2 Figure 2(b) shows the results of short beam shear tests performed on the GF/A100/Po and
- 3 GF/A95/P5 materials. For both materials, all samples exhibited plastic deformation up to
- 4 their respective ultimate shear stress values (Point 1). However, beyond this point, the curves
- 5 of GF/A95/P5 samples exhibited a more abrupt loss in stiffness with increasing displacement
- 6 between Points 1 and 2.





8 Figure 2. Representative curves and results for GF/A100/P0 (red) and GF/A95/P5 (blue)
9 following loading in (a) transverse tension; (b) short beam shear; (c) longitudinal flexure
10 and (d) transverse flexure.

11 3.2.3 Flexural test results

Results from longitudinal flexural tests are presented in Figure 2(c). All samples of both
materials exhibited a three-stage stress-strain evolution: (i) an initial linear-elastic region,
(ii) a region of slight nonlinearity, and (iii) the onset of damage (Point 1). Post-peak strain

- 1 evolution between Points 2 and 3 was relatively more confined in GF/A100/Po samples than
- 2 in GF/A95/P5. Progressive fibre fractures over a broader range of strains may provide
- 3 evidence of superior damage resistance and possibly toughness in the GF/A95/P5 material.
- 4 Moreover, it exhibited markedly higher (18%) average failure strain than the GF/A100/Po.
- Hybridisation did, however, produce a laminate with lower longitudinal flexural strength (8%) and modulus (-18%).
- In Figure 2(d), the results of transverse flexural tests are presented. All samples across both materials exhibited an initial region of linearity, beyond which, plastic deformation ensued with a distinct onset of failure (Point 1) and abrupt ultimate failure at Point 2. All GF/A95/P5 samples underwent cumulative matrix cracking in plies under tension, such as those shown between Points 1 and 2. The hybrid-matrix composite exhibited improved transverse flexural strength (+15%), modulus (+18%) and failure strain (+24%) relative to the unmodified reference.
- Differences in the trends between the comparative transverse tensile and flexural performance were likely attributed to the sensitivity of the former to defect distribution across the gauge length. Thus, it can be concluded that hybridisation improved the composite transverse strength, modulus, ductility and overall interfacial strength.

18 3.2.4 Mode-I interlaminar fracture toughness test results

24

Representative DCB load-displacement curves and obtained results are shown in Figure 3(a).
Despite exhibiting superior longitudinal flexural stiffness, GF/A100/Po samples had
unexpectedly lower crack opening stiffness up to initiation, which may be explained by a
higher fibre volume fraction in the GF/A95/P5 laminate. Both materials underwent unstable
crack growth due to the presence of 90° fibres within the fabric.



Figure 3. (a) Representative load-displacement curves and (b) R-curves for GF/A100/P0
(red) and GF/A95/P5 (blue) following double cantilever beam testing.

Hybridisation conferred a 5% increase in the initiation fracture toughness (GIC-init.); however, 1 propagation fracture toughness (G_{IC-prop.}) decreased by 29%. Similar results were reported by 2 Lee et al. [18] who found that hybridisation only enhanced GIC-init., but GIC-prop. was reduced 3 due to limited fibre bridging in the hybrid composite. This is supported by literature on 4 factors affecting propagation behaviour [11,19,20]. Moreover, other factors limiting fibre 5 bridging in the GF/A95/P5 material may be its plausibly higher matrix modulus [17] 6 (evidenced by the higher stiffness reported in 3.1) and enhanced interfacial strength as 7 8 discussed in 3.2.3 [21,22]. Interestingly, R-curves (Figure 3(b)) did not reveal discernibly distinct propagation behaviour between both materials. 9

Figure 4 (a)-(f) presents DCB fracture surfaces of GF/A100/Po and GF/A95/P5 samples
obtained using SEM. Both surfaces appear texturally coarse and dull, indicating comparable
ductility on a microscopic scale.



13

Figure 4. SEM micrographs showing mode-I fracture surfaces of (a), (b) & (c)
GF/A100/Po and (d)-(h) GF/A95/P5. The larger broken arrows show the direction of
crack propagation. In (f), arrows highlight paths of microcrack formation.

The GF/A95/P5 sample showed evidence of microcrack formation (Figure 4(f)) and multiple sites of crazing (Figure 4(g)), features which were not observed for GF/A100/P0. The microcracks appeared as long craze-like interpenetrating paths across the fracture surface; however, no coalescence was observed at their points of intersection. Crazing is a dominant plastic deformation mechanism in amorphous TP matrices [23,24], which may explain the increased G_{IC-init}.

The absence of discernible PPE-rich domains in the micrographs of the GF/A95/P5 sample
compared with those of the A95/P5 sample may highlight the effects of fibres on the resulting
phase morphology. However, further investigations would be required to substantiate this
hypothesis.

11 4 Conclusions

27

28

This study represents the first implementation of a novel approach for room temperature vacuum infusion of continuous fibre, thermoplastic hybrid-matrix composites. The approach exploits the low viscosity of liquid TP acrylic resins and with a higher performance poly(phenylene ether) with vinyl functionality to realise enhanced reactivity during the insitu polymerisation processing. The following are the key observations and conclusions from the benchmarking of mechanical performance with respect to an unmodified acrylic reference laminate:

- Enhanced ductility in the hybrid-matrix composite: failure strains increased
 under transverse tension (+58%), transverse flexure (+24%) and longitudinal flexure
 (+18%).
- Improved composite transverse flexural strength (+15%) and modulus
 (+18%): this may suggest enhancements in matrix strength, modulus and interfacial
 adhesion.
- A 5% increase in initiation fracture toughness, possibly due to the effects of
 multiple crazing of the hybrid matrix system.
 - **Decreased propagation fracture toughness by 29%**, possibly due to diminished contributions from fibre bridging.

The investigation of the reaction kinetics and mechanism between acrylic resin and PPE, and how this relates to phase separation and morphology is recommended as future work.

9

1 5 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Arkema GRL, France and SABIC for the provision of
materials and technical support towards this research. SABIC and brands marked with [™] are
trademarks of SABIC or its subsidiaries or affiliates, unless otherwise noted.

5 6 References

- 6 [1] H. Parton, I. Verpoest, In situ polymerization of thermoplastic composites based on
 7 cyclic oligomers, Polym. Compos. 26 (2005) 60–65.
- 8 [2] K. Van Rijswijk, J.J.E. Teuwen, H.E.N. Bersee, A. Beukers, Textile fiber-reinforced
 9 anionic polyamide-6 composites. Part I: The vacuum infusion process, Compos. Part A
 10 Appl. Sci. Manuf. 40 (2008) 1–10.
 11 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2008.03.018.
- [3] J.J. Murray, C. Robert, K. Gleich, E.D. McCarthy, C.M. Ó Brádaigh, Manufacturing of
 unidirectional stitched glass fabric reinforced polyamide 6 by thermoplastic resin
 transfer moulding, Mater. Des. 189 (2020) 108512.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2020.108512.
- Y. Zhao, X. Ma, T. Xu, D.R. Salem, H. Fong, Hybrid multi-scale thermoplastic 16 [4] composites reinforced with interleaved nanofiber mats using in-situ polymerization of 17 cyclic butylene terephthalate, Compos. Commun. 12 (2019)18 91-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coco.2019.01.005. 19
- [5] R.E. Murray, D. Snowberg, D. Berry, R. Beach, S. Rooney, D. Swan, Manufacturing a
 9-meter thermoplastic composite wind turbine blade, in: Am. Soc. Compos. 32nd Tech.
 Conf., West Lafayette, Indiana, 2017. www.nrel.gov/publications. (accessed January 1,
 2019).
- [6] D.S. Cousins, Advanced thermoplastic composites for wind turbine blade
 manufacturing, Colorado School of Mines [Doctoral Thesis], 2018.
- [7] W. Obande, D. Mamalis, D. Ray, L. Yang, C.M. Ó Brádaigh, Mechanical and
 thermomechanical characterisation of vacuum-infused thermoplastic- and thermosetbased composites, Mater. Des. 175 (2019) 107828.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.107828.
- [8] W. Obande, D. Ray, C.M. Ó Brádaigh, Viscoelastic and drop-weight impact properties
 of an acrylic-matrix composite and a conventional thermoset composite A

 1
 comparative
 study,
 Mater.
 Lett.
 238
 (2019)
 38-41.

 2
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2018.11.137.

- [9] M.R. Boumbimba, M. Coulibaly, A. Khabouchi, G. Kinvi-Dossou, N. Bonfoh, P. Gerard,
 Glass fibres reinforced acrylic thermoplastic resin-based tri-block copolymers
 composites: Low velocity impact response at various temperatures, Compos. Struct.
 160 (2017) 939–951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.10.127.
- [10] G. Kinvi-Dossou, R. Matadi Boumbimba, N. Bonfoh, S. Garzon-Hernandez, D. Garcia Gonzalez, P. Gerard, A. Arias, Innovative acrylic thermoplastic composites versus
 conventional composites: improving the impact performances, Compos. Struct. 217
 (2019) 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.02.090.
- [11] T. Pini, Fracture behaviour of thermoplastic acrylic resins and their relevant
 unidirectional carbon fibre composites : rate and temperature effects, Politecnico di
 Milano [Doctoral Thesis], 2017.
- M.E. Kazemi, L. Shanmugam, D. Lu, X. Wang, B. Wang, J. Yang, Mechanical properties
 and failure modes of hybrid fiber reinforced polymer composites with a novel liquid
 thermoplastic resin, Elium[®], Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf. 125 (2019).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2019.105523.
- [13] S.K. Bhudolia, S.C. Joshi, Low-velocity impact response of carbon fibre composites
 with novel liquid methylmethacrylate thermoplastic matrix, Compos. Struct. 203
 (2018) 696–708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.07.066.
- [14] R.W. Venderbosch, H.E.H. Meijer, P.J. Lemstra, Processing of intractable polymers
 using reactive solvents: 1. Poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene ether)/epoxy resin, 1993.
- [15] R.W. Venderbosch, H.E.H. Meijer, P.J. Lemstra, Processing of intractable polymers
 using reactive solvents. 2. poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene ether) as a matrix material
 for high-performance composites, Polymer (Guildf). 36 (1995) 1167–1178.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861(95)93918-C.
- [16] H. Cong, B. Yu, H. Yuan, C. Tian, S. Yang, Preparation and characterization of
 nanocomposites with polyphenylene oxide, in: V. Mittal (Ed.), Manuf. Nanocomposites
 with Eng. Plast., Woodhead Publishing, 2015.
- [17] Q. Zhang, S. Liang, G. Sui, X. Yang, Influence of matrix modulus on the mechanical and
 interfacial properties of carbon fiber filament wound composites, RSC Adv. 5 (2015)
 25208–25214. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra00098j.

- [18] J.J. Lee, S.L. Ogin, P.A. Smith, Interlaminar fracture toughness of glass fiber laminates
 additionally reinforced with carbon bead, in: ASTM Spec. Tech. Publ., 1995.
 https://doi.org/10.1520/stp14007s.
- [19] T. Pini, F. Briatico-Vangosa, R. Frassine, M. Rink, Matrix toughness transfer and fibre
 bridging laws in acrylic resin based CF composites, Eng. Fract. Mech. 203 (2018) 115–
 125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2018.03.026.
- [20] P. Compston, P.B. Jar, The Transfer of Matrix Toughness to Composite Mode I
 Interlaminar Fracture Toughness in Glass-Fibre / vinyl Ester Composites, Appl.
 Compos. Mater. 9 (2002) 291–314.
- 10 [21] H. Ming Chong, Toughening mechanisms of block copolymer and graphene
 11 nanoplatelet modified epoxy polymers, 2015.
- [22] K. Masanai, Toughening mechanisms of silica nanoparticle-modified epoxy polymers,
 Imperial College London, 2010.
- [23] K.Y. Kim, L. Ye, C. Yan, Fracture behavior of polyetherimide (PEI) and interlaminar
 fracture of CF/PEI laminates at elevated temperatures, Polym. Compos. 26 (2005) 20–
 28. https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.20062.
- 17 [24] S. Pal, B.C. Ray, Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Nanostructured Materials: An
 18 Understanding of Mechanical Behavior, 2020.
- 19