

This is a repository copy of *Clinical and cost-effectiveness of individualised (early) patient-directed rehabilitation versus standard rehabilitation after surgical repair of the rotator cuff of the shoulder: protocol for a multicentre, randomised controlled trial with integrated Quintet Recruitment Intervention (RaCeR 2)*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/210094/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

## Article:

Mazuquin, Bruno, Moffatt, Maria, Realpe, Alba et al. (13 more authors) (2024) Clinical and cost-effectiveness of individualised (early) patient-directed rehabilitation versus standard rehabilitation after surgical repair of the rotator cuff of the shoulder: protocol for a multicentre, randomised controlled trial with integrated Quintet Recruitment Intervention (RaCeR 2). *BMJ Open*. e081284. ISSN 2044-6055

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-081284>

---

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

**Clinical and cost-effectiveness of individualised (early) patient-directed rehabilitation versus standard rehabilitation after surgical repair of the rotator cuff of the shoulder: protocol for a multi-centre, randomised controlled trial with integrated Quintet Recruitment Intervention (RaCeR 2).**

**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Despite the high number of operations and surgical advancement, rehabilitation after rotator cuff repair has not progressed for over 20 years. The traditional cautious approach might be contributing to sub-optimal outcomes. Our aim is to assess whether individualised (early) patient-directed rehabilitation results in less shoulder pain and disability at 12 weeks after surgical repair of full-thickness tears of the rotator cuff compared to current standard (delayed) rehabilitation.

**Methods and analysis:** The rehabilitation after rotator cuff repair (RaCeR 2) study is a pragmatic multi-centre, open label, randomised controlled trial with internal pilot phase. It has a parallel group design with 1:1 allocation ratio, full health economic evaluation, and Quintet Recruitment Intervention. Adults awaiting arthroscopic surgical repair of a full-thickness tear are eligible to participate. Upon completion of surgery, 638 participants will be randomised. The intervention (individualised early patient-directed rehabilitation) includes advice to the patient to remove their sling as soon as they feel able, gradually begin using their arm as they feel able and a specific exercise programme. Sling removal and movement is progressed by the patient over time according to agreed goals and within their own pain and tolerance. The comparator (standard rehabilitation) includes advice to the patient to wear the sling for at least four weeks and only to remove while eating, washing, dressing or

performing specific exercises. Progression is according to specific timeframes rather than as the patient feels able. The primary outcome measure is the Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) total score at 12-week post-randomisation. The trial timeline is 56 months in total, from September 2022.

**Registration:** ISRCTN11499185 <https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN11499185>

### **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- RaCeR 2 is a large randomised controlled trial investigating the clinical and cost-effectiveness of individualised early patient-directed rehabilitation after surgery to repair the torn rotator cuff of the shoulder.
- We will explore and address barriers to recruitment with the Quintet Recruitment Intervention to optimise recruitment.
- In addition to self-reported outcome measures, participants will have an ultrasound scan at 12 months to assess rotator cuff repair integrity.
- The parallel health economic analysis will assess the cost-effectiveness of individualised early patient-directed rehabilitation in comparison to standard rehabilitation.

## Introduction

Shoulder pain associated with a rotator cuff tear can significantly affect a person's quality of life (1). The number of operations to repair rotator cuff tears has increased over time (2). In 2018/2019 direct treatment costs in the UK NHS amounted to £56.7 million (3). Following surgery, rehabilitation is provided to support patients' recovery. Current standard rehabilitation in the UK NHS, typically includes sling immobilisation for approximately one month. This has not changed for over 20 years and may be contributing to suboptimal outcomes (4).

Our systematic review of 20 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) compared the effectiveness of early versus standard post-operative rehabilitation. We found no difference between the approaches for shoulder pain and disability up to 12 months, but early rehabilitation significantly improved range of movement (5). Rotator cuff re-tear after surgery is a concern for clinicians and underpins the rationale for more cautious approaches to post-operative rehabilitation. We found no difference in repair integrity between rehabilitation approaches, but rehabilitation protocols varied and approaches described as early mobilisation were more reflective of standard rehabilitation in the UK (5).

In our RaCeR pilot, 73 patients from five NHS hospitals were randomised to individualised (early) patient-directed rehabilitation (EPDR) (advice to remove the shoulder sling as soon as able and move as symptoms allow) or standard rehabilitation (sling immobilisation for four weeks). Participants in the EPDR reported less shoulder pain and disability, returned to driving 18 days faster, had 4 fewer days lost from work over 12-weeks and fewer re-tears (30% vs 41%) (6). These findings from our RaCeR pilot, combined with our favourable assessment of feasibility and an

evaluation of the need for evidence using principles of value of information to research prioritisation, provided the basis for the fully powered RCT (RaCeR 2).

## **Objectives**

Our hypothesis is that individualised EPDR is superior to standard rehabilitation for shoulder pain and disability, measured using the Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) (7) at 12 weeks post-randomisation. The aim of RaCeR 2 is to assess the clinical and cost-effectiveness of individualised EPDR compared to NHS standard rehabilitation for pain and disability at 12 weeks after rotator cuff repair. The objectives include:

- Understanding and mitigating barriers to recruitment.
- Shoulder pain and disability at 6 and 12 months, quality of life, time to return to drive and usual activities including work, further healthcare use, repair integrity, and adverse events to 12 months.
- Within-trial cost consequence analysis from an NHS and personal social services perspective and model-based long-term cost-effectiveness analysis.

## **Trial design**

Pragmatic multi-centre, open label, randomised controlled trial with internal pilot. It follows a parallel group design with 1:1 allocation ratio, with full economic evaluation, and integrated Quintet Recruitment Intervention (QRI) (8).

## Methods: participants, interventions and outcomes

This protocol paper follows the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) (9).

### Study setting

A minimum of 24 NHS orthopaedic and physiotherapy services across the UK will be opened for recruitment. The internal pilot will last six months (June to November 2023). The Trial Monitoring Group (TMG) in consultation with the Trial Steering Committee (TSC) will assess study progress and decide on progression based on the criteria in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Internal pilot progression criteria.

Progression criteria	Red (Stop)*	Amber (Amend)**	Green (Go)***
	< 66%	≥ 66% - 99%	100%
Average recruitment rate/ site/ month	< 0.7	0.7 – 1.0	1.1
Sites open	<12	12 – 17	18
Participants recruited	<50	50 – 96	97

\* Red: halt, do not progress to main study.

\*\* Amber: review areas of weakness and make amendments accordingly.

\*\*\* Green: no action required, continue to main study.

## **Eligibility criteria**

### ***Inclusion criteria:***

- Adults (18 years or older) awaiting arthroscopic surgical repair of a full thickness tear of their shoulder rotator cuff, of any size.
- Able to return to the recruiting centre or affiliated site for rehabilitation supported by physiotherapists trained to deliver the study interventions.

### ***Exclusion criteria:***

- Do not have a full thickness tear at surgery and/or arthroscopic repair is not undertaken.
- Unable to provide informed consent.
- Taking part in another research study that mandates a specific post-operative rehabilitation pathway.

## **Recruitment and informed consent**

Patients listed for rotator cuff repair surgery are screened and assessed for eligibility by trained local hospital site staff. Once an eligible patient has been identified and has been allocated a date for surgery, they are provided with an information pack about the study (including the optional QRI; more details below) and consent forms. Patients will be given the opportunity to discuss RaCeR 2 with support from an interpreter as required. We provide translated information sheets in Arabic, Bengali, Polish, Punjabi and Urdu; potential recruiting sites identified these languages as the most common languages spoken other than English in their areas. Recruiters will

follow up with the patient to discuss the study and answer any questions. Patients may consent to participate in neither, either or both the QRI and RaCeR 2 trial. Separate QRI clauses relating to recording of discussions about the study are included within the consent form. The process of gaining informed consent may be wholly or partly undertaken remotely or in-person depending on local site and patient circumstances. If it is not possible to get written consent, for example, if the patient is not returning to the recruiting site prior to surgery, verbal remote consent will be acceptable to avoid unnecessary burden for the patients and site staff. The consent form is completed by the recruiter indicating that consent was taken verbally, and a copy provided to the participant. This is the same for patients who consent to the audio recording of their discussion (QRI) but not to participating in RaCeR 2. Consent is fully documented within the patient's medical notes, including the method of consent (remote/ in-person and written/ verbal). Figure 1 shows the study flow diagram.

***Please insert Figure 1 here.***

**Figure 1.** Study flow diagram.

## **Interventions**

### ***Individualised (early) patient-directed rehabilitation***

EPDR is an individualised approach where shoulder movement, sling removal, and exercise are progressed as the participant feels able within the context of their own pain experience and tolerance. Individualised EPDR includes advice to the patient

from a physiotherapist within 24-hours following surgery to remove their sling and gradually begin to actively use their arm as they feel able and within acceptable limits of pain. The advice to remove the sling is complemented by an exercise programme supervised by a physiotherapist and practised at home. After the first session with the physiotherapist, participants access follow-up with a physiotherapist according to usual care agreements. Follow-up sessions can be either face-to-face or remote.

### ***Standard (delayed) rehabilitation***

Standard (delayed) rehabilitation includes advice to the patient from a physiotherapist within 24-hours following surgery to wear their sling for four weeks except for when eating, washing, dressing, or undertaking the exercises prescribed. After the first session with the physiotherapist, participants access follow-up with a physiotherapist. The exercise programmes will be staged as follows (6):

Stage 1: Fully assisted (passive) shoulder movement.

Stage 2: Partially assisted (active assisted) with progression to full non-assisted (active) shoulder movement.

Stage 3: Resisted static exercises (isometric).

Stage 4: Resisted exercises through shoulder range of movement (dynamic) within limits of pain progressing to functional restoration.

### ***Difference between current and planned care pathways***

Participants in both groups agree the number of rehabilitation sessions with their physiotherapists; there is no pre-specified number of sessions. It is expected that approximately five follow-up appointments will be scheduled over the 12-week period following surgery. This means that both treatments are delivered within the parameters of current NHS physiotherapy provision. The key difference between the two rehabilitation approaches is that the individualised EPDR promotes an approach to rehabilitation which reflects patient factors including pain, pre-operative levels of function and psychological well-being. It aims to promote self-efficacy whereby the patients feel they have increased control over their recovery. Both groups will start with stage one of the specific exercise programme but the intervention group will be supported to progress through the stages as they feel able. The control group will remain at stage one for a minimum of four weeks. Patients undergoing individualised EPDR are invited to resume activities in line with their individual progress rather than pre-set timescales. Patients receiving standard rehabilitation will progress through stages based on specific time frames after surgery; stage 1 (0-4 weeks), stage 2 (4-6 weeks), stage 3 (6-8 weeks), stage 4 (8-12 weeks). Surgeons and physiotherapists will treat patients in both arms of the trial and multiple clinicians will be involved in patients' treatment in each arm.

### ***Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions***

There are no specific criteria to discontinue or modify the allocated interventions. Participants can withdraw at any time. If they opt for withdrawing from the allocated treatment, they will receive standard NHS care.

### ***Strategies to improve adherence to interventions***

Participants are supported by a physiotherapist to remove their sling as they feel able or to maintain the sling in place for four weeks, depending on their allocated intervention. Participants are also supported by a physiotherapist to adhere to their prescribed exercise programme through the individual consultations and a study specific manual and website that detail the exercises and progressions. Participants are also asked to complete a sling use diary to record their time out of the sling at regular periods throughout the day for four weeks post-randomisation.

### ***Relevant concomitant care permitted or prohibited during the trial***

No concomitant care is prohibited in RaCeR 2. Other healthcare use will be collected during the trial, summarised and described.

### ***Provisions for post-trial care***

None beyond standard NHS care.

### **Outcomes**

Figure 2 presents the trial schedule of outcomes and assessments. Upon receipt of informed consent (Supplemental material 1), questionnaires are completed at baseline (before surgery), and at 12 weeks, 6 and 12 months after randomisation. At

baseline, the questionnaire will include demographic data (e.g. date of birth, sex and ethnicity), the SPADI and EQ-5D-5L.

### ***Primary outcome measure***

Shoulder pain and disability at 12-weeks post-randomisation will be measured using the SPADI. The SPADI is a validated self-report measure (7), it was more sensitive and responsive than the Oxford Shoulder Score in our RaCeR pilot and is the most used outcome measure in RCTs evaluating interventions for shoulder disorders (6).

### ***Secondary outcome measures***

- Shoulder pain and disability at 6- and 12-months post-randomisation will be measured using the total SPADI score.
- Health-related quality of life at 12-weeks, 6- and 12- months post-randomisation will be measured using the EQ-5D-5L.
- Time to return to usual activities, including work and driving, will be measured via self-report questionnaire at 12-weeks, 6- and 12-months.
- Healthcare resource use at 12-weeks, 6- and 12-months will be measured via self-report questionnaire.
- Rotator cuff repair integrity (evidence of full-thickness re-tear; yes/ no) at 12-months will be assessed via diagnostic ultrasound scan.
- Number and nature of adverse events at 12-weeks, 6- and 12-months will be measured via self-report questionnaire and clinician report.

- Self-report time out of sling, measured in hours, over 4 weeks post-surgery via self-report diary.

### ***Participants timeline***

See Figures 1 and 2.

***Please insert Figure 2 here***

**Figure 2.** Trial schedule of assessments and outcomes.

## **Sample size**

The sample size calculation was based on total SPADI score at 12 weeks, powered to detect a minimal clinically important difference of 8 points between groups (10). Assuming a standard deviation of 30 (the upper 80% confidence limit from our pilot study) (6) at 90% power and significance level 5%, and using an independent T-Test, results in 297 participants being needed per group (594 in total). However, using ANCOVA (primary analysis), adjusting for the baseline SPADI score, where correlation ( $r$ ) between baseline and 12-weeks = 0.2 (data from pilot RaCeR RCT), the sample size was adjusted by  $(1-r^2)$  plus one extra participant per group to 574 in total (11). In addition, adjusting for 10% non-response of SPADI questionnaire at 12 weeks, a target of 319 participants should be randomised per group, 638 in total.

## **Recruitment strategies**

### ***The Quintet Recruitment Intervention***

We will implement the QRI aiming to optimise recruitment (8). Although our RaCeR pilot recruited 39% of those eligible, we anticipate challenges to recruitment in the main trial due to: (i) hesitance by surgeons to randomise patients (particularly older patients with larger rotator cuff tears), and (ii) challenges in participants accepting the randomised allocation due to perceived risks of individualised EPDR.

The QRI has been applied to over 25 RCTs to date, leading to insights about individual and generic recruitment issues and the development of targeted strategies to improve recruitment rates (12, 13). Rather than simply increasing the numbers of patients recruited, the QRI will aim to reduce 'missed opportunities' for enrolling eligible patients, while safeguarding informed consent. We will draw on insights from

previous application of QRI methods in RCTs, and the latest recruitment related evidence to develop materials and pre-emptive training which will support participant recruitment from the outset of RaCeR 2. Once sites open to recruitment, we will proceed to implement the QRI in two phases:

Phase 1: We will investigate recruitment issues that transpire ‘in real time’ throughout the remainder of the scheduled recruitment period. We will use mixed methods to investigate actual (rather than anticipated) issues hindering recruitment as the trial proceeds. Data collection will include:

- Semi-structured interviews with individuals involved in recruitment (‘recruiters’).
- Audio-recorded discussions between recruiters and potential participants about RaCeR 2.
- Mapping of recruitment pathways and screening log analysis.

Findings from these sources will be triangulated to generate an in-depth understanding of the ‘root-causes’ of key recruitment issues.

Phase 2: Using the results from phase 1, the QRI team will work closely with the TMG and Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) group to design and implement ‘actions’ to optimise recruitment. Actions may be applicable to all sites, specific sites, or individual recruiters, and will aim to increase the number of eligible patients approached, and/or improve conversion rates whilst safeguarding informed consent. The QRI phases will run iteratively. New avenues of enquiry will emerge throughout the conduct of the QRI, through discussion in feedback meetings and continued monitoring of screening logs.

We will pay close attention to screening log data before/after QRI actions to formatively evaluate the impact of actions, and the need for further investigation (Phase 1) or actions (Phase 2). Part of the QRI will entail up-front training for site staff as they open to recruitment. This training will evolve to become increasingly focused as we develop our understanding of recruitment issues, with a view to ensuring sites that open in the latter stages of the trial benefit from the QRI insights that have emerged to date.

### **Assignment of interventions: Allocation**

#### ***Sequence generation***

Upon completion of surgery, participants are randomised using minimisation. Participants are allocated on a 1:1 ratio, stratified by recruiting site and rotator cuff tear size; small (<1cm), medium (1cm to 3cm), large/massive (>3cm) or unknown.

#### ***Concealment mechanism***

To ensure allocation concealment, randomisation is coordinated by Derby Clinical Trials Support Unit (DCTSU) remotely via an online randomisation system.

#### ***Implementation***

The allocation sequence is generated by an online randomisation system. Following surgery, the local site team will explain to the participant their randomised allocation as well as other routine post-operative requirements. An exercise manual is provided

to all participants, along with the sling diary. Participants will complete the diary with the amount of time (hours and minutes) they were not wearing the sling at regular periods throughout the day.

### **Assignment of interventions: Blinding (masking)**

RaCeR 2 is an open label RCT. No blinding of participants, clinicians, research team or oversight committees is in place.

### **Data collection, management, and analysis**

#### ***Plans for assessment and collection of outcomes***

Following consent, the baseline questionnaire will be completed prior to surgery in-person or remotely. Completion of the baseline questionnaire will require input from local site staff and participants. The questionnaire will include the SPADI and EQ-5D-5L validated questionnaires and demographic data. The SPADI has 13 items divided into two sub-scales: pain (5 items) and disability (8 items). The responses are indicated on a visual analogue scale (0 = no pain/no difficulty and 10 = worst imaginable pain/so difficult it requires help). The items are summed and converted to a total score out of 100, a high score indicates greater pain and disability (7). The EQ-5D-5L is a generic measure of health-related quality of life. It provides a single index value for health status that can be used in a clinical or health economic evaluation (14). The EQ-5D-5L consists of questions relating to five health domains (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression) and respondents rate their degree of impairment using five response levels (no problems,

slight, moderate, severe or extreme problems). The EQ-5D-5L is the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence's (NICE) preferred measure of health-related quality of life in adults.

Follow-up questionnaires, including SPADI, EQ-5D-5L, self-report questionnaire for healthcare resource use, time to return to usual activities (including work), and any adverse events, will be completed at 12 weeks, 6 and 12 months after randomisation (+4 weeks visit window to allow for reminders). This process will be coordinated centrally by the DCTSU. Follow-up questionnaires will be available in paper or electronic format. At 12 months following surgery participants will be asked to undergo an ultrasound scan.

### ***Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up***

If participants do not complete their questionnaires at the expected timepoints, they will be contacted at two weeks and a minimum data collection (SPADI and adverse events) will be attempted via telephone at three weeks.

### ***Data management***

A secure electronic software platform (Dacima™) will be used to store participant study data. Each participant is assigned a participant ID for use on study forms, other study documents and the electronic database.

## ***Confidentiality***

All documents will be stored safely in confidential conditions in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018 and UK General Data Protection Regulation and retained according to national legislation.

## **Statistical methods**

### ***Primary and secondary outcome analysis***

Primary analyses will be conducted according to the intention-to-treat analysis group. ANCOVA will be used to compare total SPADI scores between individualised EPDR versus standard rehabilitation at 12 weeks after randomisation, adjusting for baseline SPADI score.

Among other secondary analyses, time to return to usual activities (work & driving) will be analysed using Kaplan-Maier curves and log rank test. Logistic regression will be undertaken to test the association between treatment groups and re-tear at 12 months. Linear regression will be used to test the association between treatment groups and time out of sling over 4 weeks. Repeated measures ANCOVA will be used to test if any treatment effect exists and has been maintained up to 12 months in terms of SPADI, and EQ-5D-5L scores. ANCOVA will be used to compare total SPADI and EQ-5D-5L scores between the treatment groups at 6 and 12 months adjusting for baseline scores. Safety analysis will be undertaken based on the per protocol analysis group. Presence of Adverse events (AEs)/Serious adverse events (SAEs) and problems after surgery will be compared between the two groups at 12 weeks, 6- and 12-months using Chi-Squared test.

### ***Interim analyses***

Interim descriptive analysis will be undertaken at 6 months from the start of recruitment to assess the progression criteria of the internal pilot phase. This will not include any comparison of the patient reported outcomes between the randomised groups.

### ***Methods for additional analyses***

Exploratory subgroup analysis will be undertaken for the primary endpoint at 12 weeks including an interaction term in the ANCOVA model of “rotator cuff tear size” by “treatment group”.

### ***Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence and any statistical method to handle missing data***

Per protocol analysis will consider patients with time out of sling of 222.6 hours or more over four weeks compared to those with time out of sling less than 222.6 hours base on the cut-off values from the RaCeR pilot (6). Missing values in the diary will not be included in the analysis.

Complete cases analysis will be undertaken as part of the primary endpoint analyses, where cases with missing values or those completed outside the four weeks window will be excluded in each analysis. If substantial missing data (>10% and <20%) are observed in SPADI at 12 weeks or a key prognostic covariate for the primary analysis, then multiple imputation using chained equations will be applied. Complete cases analysis will be undertaken for the secondary study outcomes.

## ***Economic analysis***

The perspective for both within-trial and model-based economic analyses will be that of the NHS and Personal Social Services (15). The economic analysis has three phases:

- 1) Development of a conceptual cost-effectiveness model structure: an initial conceptual cost-effectiveness model structure will be developed to estimate the long-term costs and quality-adjusted life year of EPDR and standard rehabilitation.
- 2) Within-trial cost-consequences analysis: health benefits will be quantified for changes in health-related quality of life, measured by the EQ-5D-5L. Healthcare resource use and costs observed during the trial period will be reported for each treatment group. Outcomes measured during the 12-month study period will be left undiscounted.
- 3) Model-based economic analysis: The long-term costs and health outcomes of EPDR and standard rehabilitation will be modelled for their impact on clinically relevant events (e.g., re-tear, re-operation), updating the state-transition model developed using the RaCeR pilot with parameters derived from data collected in RaCeR 2 and (where relevant) the published literature. Long-term predicted outcomes will be discounted at 3.5% per annum (15). The health economic analysis plan will be developed and finalised before analysis commenced and is anticipated to be disseminated in a separate publication.

## ***Plans to give access to the full protocol, participant level data and statistical code***

The full protocol is available at

<https://www.fundingawards.nihr.ac.uk/award/NIHR133874> . In the first instance, further requests for data can be made via the chief investigator (CL).

## **Oversight and monitoring**

### ***Composition of the coordinating centre and trial steering committee***

The Chief Investigator (CL) is responsible for the conduct of the trial and will be supported by the TMG. The TMG oversees all day-to-day aspects of trial management and delivery. The independent TSC monitors the trial progress and ensures that it is being conducted according to the protocol and the applicable regulations. The TSC has an independent chair (statistician), and four other independent members including a health economist, physiotherapist, surgeon and two PPI representatives as well as the Chief Investigator (non-independent). The TSC will meet annually. The Chief Investigator, Associate Investigator, Statistician and Trial Manager will attend the TSC meetings and report on trial progress.

### ***Composition of the data monitoring committee, its role and reporting structure***

Given the nature of RaCeR 2, a separate Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) will not be convened and the TSC will take on the data monitoring role, as agreed by the funder.

### ***Adverse event reporting and harms***

Number and nature of adverse events at 12-weeks, 6- and 12-months will be measured via self-report questionnaire and clinician report. Adverse events might include an increase in shoulder pain requiring additional care, e.g. prescribed medication or injection; infection up to 12-weeks post-surgery; other shoulder disorders, e.g. stiffness; rotator cuff re-rupture requiring additional care, e.g. injection, physiotherapy or surgery.

### ***Frequency and plans for auditing trial conduct***

Audits will be conducted by the Sponsor (University Hospitals of Derby & Burton NHS Foundation Trust) according to their audit plan; these may be central or site audits and may be trial or process-level audits.

### ***Plans for communicating important protocol amendments to relevant parties***

Substantial amendments will be submitted by the Sponsor to relevant regulatory bodies (Research Ethics Committee and Health Research Authority) for review and approval. The amendments will only be implemented after approval and a favourable opinion has been obtained. Non-substantial amendments will be submitted to the Health Research Authority for their approval/acknowledgment.

## ***Ethics and dissemination***

We were granted ethical approval by London-Stanmore Research Ethics Committee (23/LO/0195). We will disseminate findings from RaCeR 2 to stakeholders via peer-reviewed publications and presentations at national and international conferences. Our website ([www.racer2study.co.uk](http://www.racer2study.co.uk)) will serve as a hub to videos describing the trial results to support patient and clinical decision making.

## **Patient and public involvement**

PPI was embedded throughout our RaCeR pilot (6). Our PPI group informed the choice of primary outcome, directed the timing of the intervention, the reporting of ultrasound scans, and the follow-up data collection methods. They will continue to be actively involved in all stages of RaCeR 2, including development of patient-facing documents and the qualitative interview schedule for the QRI. We will work collaboratively to co-create dissemination materials such as blogs and social media posts accessible to members of the public. The co-author MF is a TMG member. Our PPI group holds regular meetings, facilitated by our PPI lead (MM).

## **Discussion**

RaCeR 2 will be the largest RCT in the world investigating rehabilitation after rotator cuff repair (5). The findings will inform national and international clinical practice. Our primary outcome assesses pain and disability. Our comprehensive dataset will assess other outcomes of interest to the clinical community, including rotator cuff

repair integrity, and the comparative cost effectiveness of individualised early patient directed rehabilitation versus standard rehabilitation.

### **Study Status**

The RaCeR 2 trial (protocol version 2.2, 14<sup>th</sup> April 2023) opened to recruitment on the 1<sup>st</sup> June 2023 and is scheduled to remain open until 31<sup>st</sup> May 2025.

## REFERENCES

1. Carr AJ, Cooper CD, Campbell MK, Rees JL, Moser J, Beard DJ, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of open and arthroscopic rotator cuff repair the UK Rotator Cuff Surgery (UKUFF) randomised trial . Health technology assessment (Winchester, England). 2015;19(80):1-218.
2. Karjalainen TV, Jain NB, Heikkinen J, Johnston RV, Page CM, Buchbinder R. Surgery for rotator cuff tears. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2019;12(12):Cd013502.
3. HES. Hospital Episode Statistics. Hospital Admitted Patient Care Activity 2018-19 [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 May 18].
4. Littlewood C, Mazuquin B, Moffatt M, Bateman M. Rehabilitation following rotator cuff repair: A survey of current practice (2020). Musculoskeletal Care. 2021;19(2):165-71.
5. Mazuquin B, Moffatt M, Gill P, Selfe J, Rees J, Drew S, et al. Effectiveness of early versus delayed rehabilitation following rotator cuff repair: Systematic review and meta-analyses. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(5):e0252137.
6. Littlewood C, Bateman M, Butler-Walley S, Bathers S, Bromley K, Lewis M, et al. Rehabilitation following rotator cuff repair: A multi-centre pilot & feasibility randomised controlled trial (RaCeR). Clinical Rehabilitation. 2020:0269215520978859.
7. MacDermid JC, Solomon P, Prkachin K. The Shoulder Pain and Disability Index demonstrates factor, construct and longitudinal validity. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2006;7:12.
8. Donovan JL, Rooshenas L, Jepson M, Elliott D, Wade J, Avery K, et al. Optimising recruitment and informed consent in randomised controlled trials: the development and implementation of the Quintet Recruitment Intervention (QRI). Trials. 2016;17(1):283.
9. Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krleža-Jerić K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158(3):200-7.
10. Buchbinder R, Ramiro S, Huang H, Gagnier JJ, Jia Y, Whittle SL. Measures of Adult Shoulder Function. Arthritis Care & Research. 2020;72(S10):250-93.
11. Borm GF, Fransen J, Lemmens WA. A simple sample size formula for analysis of covariance in randomized clinical trials. J Clin Epidemiol. 2007;60(12):1234-8.
12. Rooshenas L, Scott LJ, Blazeby JM, Rogers CA, Tilling KM, Husbands S, et al. The QuinteT Recruitment Intervention supported five randomized trials to recruit to target: a mixed-methods evaluation. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2019;106:108-20.
13. Rooshenas L, Elliott D, Wade J, Jepson M, Paramasivan S, Strong S, et al. Conveying Equipoise during Recruitment for Clinical Trials: Qualitative Synthesis of Clinicians' Practices across Six Randomised Controlled Trials. PLOS Medicine. 2016;13(10):e1002147.
14. Hernández Alava M, Wailoo AJ, Ara R. Tails from the peak district: adjusted limited dependent variable mixture models of EQ-5D questionnaire health state utility values. Value in health : the journal of the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research. 2012;15(3):550-61.

15. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Guide to the methods of technology appraisal, 2013.

**Acknowledgements:** NA

**Authors' contributions:** CL, MB, NF, BM, MM, AR, AM, VG, JR, ST and AF conceived of the study and were involved, alongside RS, KI and MF in developing the design and protocol. CL, BM, MM, AM, VG, AR, NF, JR, SD, MB and AF secured funding for the study. BM drafted the manuscript and all other authors reviewed and provided feedback on drafts. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Funding statement:** This trial is funded by the NIHR HTA (Ref: 133874). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR, the Department of Health and Social Care, or the NHS.

**Availability of data and materials:** Data produced from the trial will be made available to other researchers upon request, subject to approval by the Sponsor.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Ethical approval was granted by London - Stanmore Research Ethics Committee (23/LO/0195). All participants must provide consent before participating, after receiving a full written and verbal explanation of the study's aims, procedures and risks.

**Consent for publication:** Consent for publication is not applicable as there are no identifying images or other personal details of participants presented.

**Competing interests:**

All authors declare support from the National Institute of Health and Care Research for the present manuscript.

AM is non-executive director of the ISPOR.

JR is Past President of the British Elbow and Shoulder Society (2021-2023).

SD reports education consultancy contracts with Stryker, Smith and Nephew and Arthrex for teaching and training. SD is the president of the British Elbow and Shoulder Society (2023-2025).

**Word count:** 4106 words