

This is a repository copy of Status and outlook for lithium-ion battery cathode material synthesis and the application of mechanistic modeling.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/207556/</u>

Version: Published Version

# Article:

Pardikar, K. orcid.org/0000-0001-5720-6518, Entwistle, J., Ge, R. et al. (2 more authors) (2023) Status and outlook for lithium-ion battery cathode material synthesis and the application of mechanistic modeling. Journal of Physics: Energy, 5 (2). 022002. ISSN 2515-7655

https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7655/acc139

# Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

# Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# **TOPICAL REVIEW • OPEN ACCESS**

# Status and outlook for lithium-ion battery cathode material synthesis and the application of mechanistic modeling

To cite this article: Kunal Pardikar et al 2023 J. Phys. Energy 5 022002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Roadmap on Li-ion battery manufacturing</u> research Patrick S Grant, David Greenwood, Kunal Pardikar et al.
- <u>DARPA's Big Mechanism program</u> Paul R Cohen
- <u>Advancing treatment of retinal disease</u> <u>through *in silico* trials</u> Rémi J Hernandez, Paul A Roberts and Wahbi K El-Bouri

**TOPICAL REVIEW** 

# Journal of Physics: Energy

# CrossMark

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

RECEIVED 6 September 2022

REVISED 26 January 2023

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

3 March 2023 PUBLISHED

3 April 2023

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Status and outlook for lithium-ion battery cathode material synthesis and the application of mechanistic modeling

Kunal Pardikar<sup>1,2</sup>, Jake Entwistle<sup>2,3</sup>, Ruihuan Ge<sup>1,2</sup>, Denis Cumming<sup>1,2</sup>, and Rachel Smith<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, United Kingdom

2 The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom 3

The Department of Chemistry, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom

Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: rachel.smith@sheffield.ac.uk

Keywords: lithium-ion battery, cathode active material synthesis, mechanistic modeling, process-product relationship, process control

# Abstract

This work reviews different techniques available for the synthesis and modification of cathode active material (CAM) particles used in Li-ion batteries. The synthesis techniques are analyzed in terms of processes involved and product particle structure. The knowledge gap in the process-particle structure relationship is identified. Many of these processes are employed in other similar industries; hence, parallel insights and knowledge transfer can be applied to battery materials. Here, we discuss examples of applications of different mechanistic models outside the battery literature and identify similar potential applications for the synthesis of CAMs. We propose that the widespread implementation of such mechanistic models will increase the understanding of the process-particle structure relationship. Such understanding will provide better control over the CAM synthesis technique and open doors to the precise tailoring of product particle morphologies favorable for enhanced electrochemical performance.

# Abbreviations

CAM	Cathode Active Material
NMC	Nickle Manganese Cobalt Oxide
NCA	Nickle Cobalt Aluminum Oxide
LNO	Lithium Nickel Oxide
LMO	Lithium Manganese Oxide
LNM	Lithium Nickel Manganese Oxide
LFP	Lithium Iron Phosphate
LCP	Lithium Cobalt Phosphate
LMP	Lithium Manganese Phosphate
LCO	Lithium Cobalt Oxide
LFS	Lithium Iron Silicate
ТМ	Transition Metal
CSTR	Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor
SD	Spray Drying
FSP	Flame Spray Pyrolysis
ALD	Atomic Layer Deposition
PP	Primary Particle
DoE	Design of Experiments
DFT	Density Functional Theory
MD	Molecular Dynamics
DEM	Discrete Element Method
PBM	Population Balance Modeling
PBE	Population Balance Equation

CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
MC	Monte Carlo
KLMC	Kinetic Lattice Monte Carlo
DSMC	Direct Simulation Monte Carlo
FEM	Finite Element Method
SDD	Single Droplet Drying
LLZO	Lithium Lanthanum Zirconium Oxide

# 1. Introduction

With the increased awareness of global climate change and it is link to rising carbon dioxide emissions there is a tremendous interest in the decarbonization of transport through electrification. Due to their high specific capacities and long cycle lives, lithium-ion batteries are dominant technology in the field of electric mobility. Although a variety of new chemistries and battery architectures are being developed to push the limits of energy and power density, there is still room for improvement in the Li-ion battery first introduced by Sony in 1991 [1]. Apart from the specific chemistry, improvements in cost, performance, and recyclability can be achieved by better engineering and optimization of the cell manufacturing process [2]. An efficient manufacturing process is needed to meet the exponentially rising future demand for Li-ion batteries and to keep the cost of such devices competitive with incumbent and future technologies [3].

Starting from the synthesis of active materials to the module-level assembly of cells, many of the cell manufacturing processes are common to other industries. This review work focuses on the synthesis of intercalation type cathode active materials (CAMs) and various processes involved therein. For example, the widely used co-precipitation synthesis of CAM particles is also used for the production of various multi-constituent particles such as catalyst [4, 5], magnetic nano-particles [6–8], alloy systems [9]. Analytical and computational mechanistic models are often used in these industries to better understand the effect of various process parameters on the product particle properties.

Mechanistic models are based on mathematical equations governing the process. Although the governing equations could be derived from first-principles, some parameters can exhibit stochastic or phenomenological character. Analytical models find exact solution to relatively simple governing equations, while computational models are used to find an approximate solution to complex governing equations. Such mechanistic models prove useful for better understanding of the process-product relationship, increased process control and efficiency, improved equipment design, as well as effective scale-up.

Variety of intercalation type CAMs have been synthesized for Li-ion batteries. These can be classified into different categories (layered/spinel/olivine) based on the crystal structure [10–12]. Understanding the process-particle structure relationship during the synthesis is important for Li-ion batteries, as generated particle structures directly affect the electrochemical performance. Even for a fixed CAM chemistry, synthesis methods and generated particle structures affect the electrochemical performance including voltage profiles (figure 1(a)), rate capability (figure 1(b)), capacity retention (figure 1(c)), and columbic efficiency (figure 1(d)). More such quantitative comparison of electrochemical performance for different CAMs can be found in respective review works. Layered: NMC (LiNi<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>1-x-y</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [13], NCA (LiNi<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>Al<sub>1-x-y</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [14], LNO (LiNiO<sub>2</sub>) [15]; spinel: LMO (LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) [16], LNM (LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) [17, 18]; olivine: LFP (LiFePO<sub>4</sub>) [19, 20], LCP (LiCoPO<sub>4</sub>) [21], LMP (LiMnPO<sub>4</sub>) [22, 23].

The pharmaceutical industry has benefitted by borrowing process monitoring and optimization knowledge from other industries [28, 29]. Various mechanistic models have been implemented to optimize the dry milling process in the pharmaceutical industry [30]. Whereas, successful applications of different mechanistic models in case of wet granulation can be found in the comprehensive review by Suresh *et al* [31]. Mechanistic models have proved useful for the scale-up of crystallization process [32]. Review by Kremer and Hancock [33] lists various example modeling applications in the pharmaceutical industry.

Similar implementation of mechanistic models is possible in the battery industry to optimize current CAM synthesis techniques. Keeping such knowledge transfer as the core theme, this review attempts to identify different processes involved in the CAM synthesis techniques, where similar mechanistic models can be potentially implemented. Section 2 discusses different synthesis techniques in terms of processes involved and product particle morphology. Conclusions from this discussion are drawn in section 3. Processes that are common to other industries are identified and respective mechanistic modeling studies available in the literature are discussed in section 4. Conclusions from the review of mechanistic modeling applications are drawn in section 5 along with potential applications in the CAM synthesis procedure. The review ends with section 6, where knowledge gaps in the CAM synthesis process-product relationship and potential applications of mechanistic models are re-iterated.



**Figure 1.** Effect of the synthesis method and particle structure on half-cell electrochemical performance. (a) First charge/ discharge voltage profiles for Li, Mn rich NMC ( $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ ) generated through three different synthesis techniques at 2 °C rate, Adapted from [25] Copyright (2011), with permission from Elsevier. (b) rate capability performance of Li, Mn rich NMC ( $Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.56}Ni_{0.16}Co_{0.08}O_2$ ) synthesized through calcination in the traditional vs microwave furnace at 900 °C, Adapted from [26] Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier. (c) capacity retention of single crystal NMC622 particles compared to polycrystalline particles at 1 C rate (180 mA g<sup>-1</sup>) in 4.3–2.8 V, Adapted from [27] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier. (d) Coulombic efficiency for Li, Mn rich NMC ( $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ ) synthesized through the sol-gel and co-precipitation route. Adapted from [24]. CC BY 4.0.

# 2. Synthesis techniques for the CAMs

Figure 2 schematically shows a typical microstructure of the two electrodes involved in a Li-ion cell. Both cathode and anode consist of multiple components: active material, conductive additives, and polymer binder. The conductive additives and polymer binder are shown as carbon-binder domain in the schematic for simplification.

The CAM particles are made up of primary crystalline particles, conventionally 10–500 nm in size which form the secondary particles of 3–20  $\mu$ m. Within these secondary particles, the primary particles (PPs) are fused together along grain boundaries. During the discharging of the cell, lithium-ions must intercalate into the CAM; this process begins with a charge transfer reaction at the interface of the CAM particle and lithium-ion containing electrolyte, followed by the migration of the ions within the crystal structure. This process is reversed during charging. The particle-scale electrochemical performance depends on factors like rate of Li-ion diffusion, active surface area, electrolyte penetration, and structural strength of the secondary particle. These factors vary based on the secondary particle chemistry, morphology, and synthesis technique.

In an effort to improve the electrochemical performance, researchers have endeavored to synthesize variety of CAM particle morphologies. Multiple review works in the literature contain detailed discussion on the synthesis techniques, resulting particle morphologies, and electrochemical performance [11, 13–15, 19, 21, 34–39]. Hence a quantitative comparison of the electrochemical performance is avoided in current review.

Significantly different morphologies are obtained by modifying the synthesis procedure. Distinctly different synthesis techniques are discussed in this section. All of these synthesis techniques are multi-step procedures and as such are energy and time expensive [40]. Following subsections outline different synthesis techniques in approximately ascending order of complexity and provide an array of representative examples where the technique has been used to generate different particle morphologies. The studies often do not investigate the effect of process parameters on product particle morphologies. Many of these distinct morphologies have been found to improve the electrochemical performance.

3





**Figure 3.** Variety of secondary particle morphologies generated through solid-state and molten-salt synthesis. (a) LCO particles generated through solid-state synthesis, Adapted from [41] Copyright (2016), with permission from Elsevier. (b) NMC particles generated through solid state synthesis, Adapted from [43] Copyright (2004), with permission from Elsevier. (c) Polyhedron shaped NMC particles generated through molten salt synthesis. Adapted from [54] Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier.

# 2.1. Solid state and molten salt synthesis

As the name suggests, in solid-state synthesis the raw material is used in a solid powder form. Solid-state synthesis is relatively simple, efficient, and scalable synthesis technique. In this process, the precursors are firstly mixed and ground thoroughly, then heated to decompose the precursors and expel the gases [21]. The  $Li_2CO_3$  and  $Co_3O_4$  powder mixture is calcined, reground, and sintered again to form the final LCO ( $LiCoO_2$ ) particles (figure 3(a)) [41, 42]. Well-shaped crystals of NMC111 ( $Li[Ni_{0.33}Co_{0.33}Mn_{0.33}]O_2$ ) with sharp edges were obtained through solid-state synthesis of transition metal (TM) acetate salts (figure 3(b)) [43]. Mostly chains of PPs are formed through this synthesis technique.

Solid-state route is widely applied for synthesizing phosphate based LFP [19, 20] and LCP [21]. Similar solid state route has been employed to synthesize orhtosilicate LFS (LiFeSO<sub>4</sub>) [44, 45] and LiFeSO<sub>4</sub>/C composite [46, 47]. In LiFeSO<sub>4</sub>/C composite a carbon source (sucrose/carbon/coal pitch/carbon nanotube) is added during the grounding and calcination step. Different compositions of  $Li_2Fe_{1-x}Co_{x/2}Mn_{x/2}SiO_4$  were prepared through rapid solid state synthesis [48]. Solid state synthesis is also employed to generate other promising CAMs such as layered: LNO [15], NCA [14], single crystal NMC622 [49]; spinel: LMO [16], LNM [17, 18, 50]; and tavorite:  $Li_2CoPO_4F$  [51], NaLiFePO<sub>4</sub>F [52].

In molten salt synthesis, the raw material powders are melted near their eutectic temperatures. Han *et al* [53] melted the LiCl, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and CoCl<sub>2</sub> powders in a muffle furnace to synthesize LCO. Whereas, Polyhedron shaped PPs of NMC111 were obtained through a the molten salt technique (figure 3(c)) [54]. The NMC111 hydroxide precursor was obtained through the co-precipitation synthesis (section 2.3). The solid precursor was then mixed with molten lithium salt and calcined to obtain the final product. Similarly, molten salts of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were mixed to obtain (Li<sub>0.435</sub>Na<sub>0.315</sub>K<sub>0.25</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. This carbonate mixture was ball-milled with iron source (FeC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O), Li<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>. After drying and heat treatment Li<sub>2</sub>FeSO<sub>4</sub> was obtained [55]. Molten salt synthesis has also been used for generating layered: single crystal NMC particles [27, 56]; spinel: LNM [17, 18, 50]; and olivine: LFP/C composites [57].



**Figure 4.** Variety of secondary particle morphologies generated through sol-gel synthesis. (a) LCO nano-particles with citric acid as the gelling agent, Adapted from [58] Copyright (2010), with permission from Elsevier. (b) LCO particle with starch as gelling agent, Adapted from [60]. CC BY 4.0. (c) Chains of NMC111 with table sugar as gelling agent. Adapted from [61] Copyright (2013), with permission from Elsevier.

#### 2.2. Sol-gel synthesis

The sol–gel process is advantageous over solid state synthesis due to low temperature, good homogeneity, and high purity [21, 50]. In sol-gel a gelling agent is added to the reactor and the solution is heated to obtain the precursor gel. Tang *et al* dissolved lithium, cobalt acetates into water and added citric acid to the solution. The solution was heated to obtain a transparent gel. The gel was dried, grounded, and the powders calcined to obtain the final LCO nanoparticles product (figure 4(a)) [58]. Shaju and Bruce obtained macro porous NMC particles synthesized through a one-step sol-gel route [59]. Heating during the precursor precipitation generates viscous gel which is then dried, ground, mixed with Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and calcined to obtain the final product. Type of gelling agent (citric acid, glycine, starch, gelatin) was found to affect the LCO particles synthesized through the sol-gel route (figure 4(b)) [60]. Chains of NMC111 PPs were obtained by using table sugar as the chelating agent during the sol-gel synthesis (figure 4(c)) [61].

Sol-gel based synthesis of LFP [19, 20] and LCP [21] has been collated. It is emphasized that different parameters of the sol-gel synthesis such as precursors, sintering temperature and time had significant effect on the electrochemical performance. Other CAMs including layered: LNO [15], NCA [14]; spinel: LMO [16, 62], LNM [17, 18, 50]; orthosilicate: LFS, LiFeSO<sub>4</sub>/C [63, 64]; and tavorite: Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F/C [65] have also been generated through the sol-gel route.

#### 2.3. The co-precipitation synthesis

The co-precipitation synthesis is commonly used in industrial production of CAM particles [66, 67]. Different materials such as NMC, NCA have been synthesized through the co-precipitation technique. Figure 5 shows a schematic representation of this synthesis procedure.

There are multiple variants of the co-precipitation technique. But following steps are common to all. The synthesis starts with aqueous solution of TM salts, suitable precipitating agent, and chelating agent. These raw materials are added and mixed in a continuous stirred tank reactor. The proportions of the raw materials are carefully controlled. Appropriate pH level and temperature is maintained in the reactor. Desirable stirring speed, stirring duration, and reactor atmosphere ( $N_2$  or  $O_2$  or air) is selected. The precipitated precursor is filtered, washed, and dried. Next step involves lithiation and decomposition of the precursor into final product. The lithiation step is a solid state reaction process. The precursor powders are ground in a mortar or milled in a ball-mill and mixed with a lithiating agent. This mixture is heat treated (calcination or annealing or sintering) to obtain the final CAM product.

Noh *et al* demonstrated that the PP shape depends on the specific NMC composition  $Li[Ni_xCo_yMn_z]O_2$ [68]. Decreasing PP size was obtained with increasing Ni content, while surface rough and non-spherical secondary particles were synthesized through co-precipitation. Process parameters like concentrations, pH, temperature, and stirring speed were observed to affect the secondary particle morphology.

Yang *et al* [69], employed the co-precipitation technique to generate NMC111 hydroxide precursors. Emphasis was placed on the growth analysis of the precursor agglomerates. As the precursor shape largely determines the shape and structure of final CAM particles, such insight in formation and growth of precursors can be used to optimize the synthesis process through use of mechanistic models discussed in section 4. Co-precipitation was employed to generate phase-pure well-ordered Li[Li<sub>0.2</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.6</sub>]O<sub>2</sub> CAM particles with average size of 20  $\mu$ m and narrow size distribution [70]. Initially irregular precursor particles



transformed into smooth porous spheres during vigorous stirring in the reactor. As seen in figures 6(a) and (b), distinct secondary particle morphologies of Ni-rich NMC with radially and randomly-aligned PPs were obtained after calcination of precursors obtained from two different suppliers (Shuangdeng Group Co, Ltd and Argonne National Laboratory) [71]. Little explanation was provided for this phenomenon.

Few studies deviate from this traditional co-precipitation technique and report distinctly different particle structures. Sun *et al* modified the addition sequence of the TM metal salts in the stirred tank. Instead of adding stoichiometric amounts of TMs simultaneously, the Ni-rich solution was added first then subsequently Ni-poor solution was added to generate the core–shell particle structure (figure 6(c)) [72]. Such core–shell synthesis examples of layered, spinel, olivine CAMs have been collated along with respective electrochemical performance data [34]. Other variants of this technique include core-concentration gradient shell structure (figure 6(d)) [73] and the full concentration gradient structure [74]. It is important to note that these significantly different structures were resulted by modifying the addition sequence of the TM salts in the reactor. Noh *et al* applied similar technique and demonstrated significant difference in particle morphology arising from spatially uniform or variable concentration of TMs [75]. The secondary particles consisted of radially aligned nano-rod PPs for the variable concentration of TM salts.

As shown in figure 6(e), hierarchical porous secondary particles have been generated consisting well-aligned faceted PPs for three different chemistries:  $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ ,  $LiNi_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.2}O_2$ ,  $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.2}Mn_{0.6}O_2$  [76]. These morphologies were possible due to use of a high shear reactor in place of the traditional stirred tank. The generated flow field causes exfoliation of the particles creating faceted nano-plates. Such faceted PP structure helps rapid migration of Li-ions resulting in improved electrochemical performance. A later study combined the high shear reactor with sequential addition of TM solutions to generate core–shell NMC523 particles with a void layer between the core and the shell [77]. Zhu *et al* synthesized four different secondary particle morphologies of Ni<sub>0.6</sub>Co<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> by using four different impeller types (propeller turbine, pitched blade turbine, flat blade turbine, Rushton turbine) [78].



**Figure 6.** Variety of secondary particle morphologies generated through co-precipitation. (a) Radially-aligned primary particles of Ni-rich NMC, Adapted from [71]. CC BY 4.0. (b) Randomly-aligned primary particles of Ni-rich NMC, Adapted from [71]. CC BY 4.0. (c) Core-shell structure, Adapted with permission from [72]. Copyright (2005) American Chemical Society. (d) Core-concentration gradient shell structure, Adapted from [73] John Wiley & Sons. Copyright © 2010 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. (e) Structure generated through high shear reactor, Adapted from [77] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier. (f) Single crystals of NMC622. Adapted from [82]. CC BY 4.0.

Morphologies varied from spherical dense secondary particles to particles made up of flat and flaky PPs. The difference in the morphologies was explained based on different flow fields generated by varying impeller geometries.

Distinct approach using microemulsion based co-precipitation was employed to synthesize single crystals of spinel LNM [79]. Oil-in-water emulsion was used as *in-situ* co-precipitation site. Aqueous solution of Nickel and Manganese acetates was poured in kerosene oil under stirring to generate microemulsions. Emulsions were stabilized with non-ionic surfactants. This emulsion was dropped into the aqueous solution of the NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>. Due to emulsification single crystals of Ni<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> precursor are generated. After grounding with lithiating agent, pelletizing, and sintering single crystals of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are obtained.

Co-precipitation has also been used to generate other CAMs including layered: LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [80], LNO [15], NCA [14]; spinel: LMO [16], LNM [17, 18, 50, 81]; and olivine: LFP [19, 20]. Single crystal morphologies (figure 6(f)) of high-Ni CAMs can be generated through milling and high temperature sintering of precursors obtained through the co-precipitation route [82, 83].

From these studies it can be concluded that variety of factors in the synthesis technique affect the final particle morphology during co-precipitation. These include the choice of TM salts, precipitating agents, chelating agents that affect the precursor morphology. The proportions of the starting materials have a significant effect on the final product structure and properties. Figure 6 collates different secondary particle morphologies generated through co-precipitation. More exhaustive comparison of precursor particle structures synthesized through co-precipitation can be found elsewhere [84].

#### 2.4. Self and sacrificial template synthesis

In the self and sacrificial template synthesis method, the co-precipitation technique is followed to obtain a precursor containing a different composition of TMs compared to the composition in final product. The porous precursor is used as a template and desired composition of TMs is achieved during the grounding step.

Uniquely structured particles of NMC111 comprising of nano-sized PPs were generated through a facile template sacrifice as shown in figure 7(a) [85]. MnO<sub>2</sub> microspheres were generated after sintering of the MnCO<sub>3</sub> precursor. Ni and Co nitrate solutions were sequentially added to the solution containing MnO<sub>2</sub> microspheres under stirring to obtain the final NMC111 particles. Wu *et al* obtained cube shaped hierarchical NMC111 particles through self-template synthesis (figure 7(b)) [86]. First, the cube shaped MnO<sub>2</sub> particles were obtained via precipitation of carbonate precursor and further annealing. These cubes



**Figure 7.** Variety of secondary particle morphologies generated through template synthesis. (a) NMC111 secondary particles consisting nanometer size primary particles, Adapted from [85] Copyright (2014), with permission from Elsevier. (b) Cube shaped NMC particle generated from cube shaped MnO<sub>2</sub> particle, Adapted from [86] with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) NMC particle generated from nano-porous MnO<sub>2</sub> sphere. Adapted from [88], with permission from Springer Nature.

were dissolved in Ni, Co nitrates with LiOH in ethanol. After evaporation the mixture was ground and calcinated to obtain final product particles. Chen *et al* used silver nitrate as a catalyst in the precipitation process to obtain spherical fluffy MnO<sub>2</sub> particles [87]. Subsequently other TMs were added to obtain the final particles containing fluffy MnO<sub>2</sub> at the core surrounded by short nano-wires. In another study, CaCO<sub>3</sub> was used as sacrificial template to obtain porous spherical size NMC111 particles [88]. The CaMn<sub>3</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> precursor was calcined to obtain MnO<sub>2</sub>-CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> was removed to obtain nano-porous MnO<sub>2</sub> spheres. Similar process as above was followed to obtain the final NMC111 particles (figure 7(c)). Template synthesis is also used for single crystal nanowires of spinel LMO [89] and olivine LFP/C composite [90].

#### 2.5. Combustion and thermal synthesis

Combustion and thermal synthesis techniques involve high temperature heating of TM salt mixtures. Riley *et al* synthesized irregular chains of PPs through combustion synthesis [91]. The TM nitrates were melted together then the mixture was allowed to cool down and solidify. After grounding, the powder was mixed with sucrose and water to make a thick red syrup. This mixture was then combusted to obtain the final product. Sucrose-aided combustion method was used to obtain tavorite Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F [92]. LiCoPO<sub>4</sub> was first generated from aqueous solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub>, Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O, and NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Milling, pelletizing, and annealing of LiCoPO<sub>4</sub> and LiF was followed to obtain final Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F. Combustion synthesis has also been used for synthesizing spinel LMO [16], LNM [17, 93].

The solvo/hydro thermal synthesis involves heating of the TM salts solution in autoclave. The precursors are dissolved and mixed in solvents, then sealed in an autoclave to react at temperature above the boiling point of the solvent [21]. Ryu *et al* dissolved the TM acetates and urea in water [94]. Ethylene glycol was also added to the mixture. After continuous stirring, the mixture was annealed in autoclave. Remaining steps to obtain the final product are similar to the co-precipitation route. This solvo/hydrothermal method generates distinctly shaped secondary particles. For example, 3D dumbbells assembled from nano-cubes (figure 8(a)) [94] and peanut-like secondary particles (figure 8(b)) [95].

TM acetates were heated in autoclave to obtain a precursor. Due to different densification of the inner core and outer shell of the particle, two types of pore sizes were observed. This particle was termed to have hierarchical porous yolk-shell like architecture (figure 8(c)) [96]. The void between the yolk and the shell is speculated to be due to the heterogeneous contraction caused by non-equilibrium heating.

Hydro/solvo-thermal synthesis is also used for other CAMs including layered: NCA [14]; spinel: LMO [16], LNM [97]; olivine: LFP [19, 20, 98, 99], LFP/C nano-plates [100], LCP [21, 101]; and tavorite: Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F [102] production.

Microwave heating is advantageous in achieving fast uniform heating of the sample. Microwave heating has been used for the mixture annealing step to generate  $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{PO}_4$  particles [103]. Depending on the Mn content, high aspect ratio PPs were assembled into flower like secondary particles. Similar microwave synthesis has been employed to generate layered: NMC811 [104],  $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Mn}_{0.56}\text{Ni}_{0.16}\text{Co}_{0.08}\text{O}_2$  [26, 105]; spinel: LNM [106]; olivine: LFP [19, 20], LCP [21]; and orthosilicate: LFS [107].

#### 2.6. Spray drying (SD) and flame spray pyrolysis (FSP) synthesis

SD and FSP technique offer an alternative to the reactor-based synthesis. The lithium and TM salts in aqueous form are pumped into a spray nozzle and the sprayed droplets are dried to obtain the precursor. The







**Figure 9.** Variety of secondary particle morphologies generated through spray drying and flame spray pyrolysis. (a) Crumbling NMC111 morphology obtained through spray drying, Adapted from [43] Copyright (2004), with permission from Elsevier. (b) Spray dried Mn-rich NMC particle obtained without preheating of the powder mixture, (c) Spray dried Mn-rich NMC particle obtained with preheating of the powder mixture, Adapted from [109] Copyright (2017), with permission from Elsevier. (d) NMC111 secondary particle obtained through flame spray pyrolysis, Adapted from [40]. CC BY 4.0. (e) Spray dried NCA particle with rough surface, (f) Flame Spray Pyrolyzed NCA particle showcasing smooth surface. Adapted from [111] Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier.

precursor is then annealed to obtain the final product. Different examples of spray-dried active material particles and corresponding electrochemical performance have been collated [108].

Crumbling agglomerates and chains of NMC111 PPs were obtained through spray drying (figure 9(a)) [43]. Wang *et al* demonstrated that preheating of metal salt mixture before spray drying and final heating generates good spherical secondary particles of Mn-rich NMC ( $\text{Li}[\text{Li}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_{0.54}\text{Ni}_{0.13}\text{Co}_{0.13}]\text{O}_2$ ) with enhanced electrochemical performance compared to spray dried particles generated without preheating of metal salt mixture (figures 9(b) and (c)) [109].

The TMs were found to segregate during a spray-pyrolysis synthesis [110]. Spherical NMC442 secondary particles (5–20  $\mu$ m) had Ni-poor and Mn-rich surfaces. This local migration of elements was observed to be mostly dependent on the thermal annealing process. Fröhlich *et al* obtained secondary agglomerates consisting nanometer scale round PPs of NMC111 through the flame spray pyrolysis synthesis (figure 9(d)) [40]. TM chlorides were sprayed in a roasting chamber to obtain the intermediate product of large hollow



**Figure 10.** Variety of post-processing techniques. (a) Sn doping to generate secondary particles containing radially aligned primary particles, Adapted from [115] Copyright (2021), with permission from Elsevier. (b) Dry mechanofusion based coating of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on CAM particle, Adapted from [122] Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier. (c) Nano-structured secondary particle generated by further ball milling, spray drying, and sintering of as received NMC particles. Reproduced from [125]. © The Author(s) 2018. Published by ECS. CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.

spheres with faceted PPs. After ball-milling during the lithiation step, roughly round secondary particles were obtained.

Zhang *et al* compared the morphology of the NCA (LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Co<sub>0.15</sub>Al<sub>0.05</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) secondary particles synthesized through the SD and FSP technique [111]. The SD generated large hollow spheres with rough surface (figure 9(e)) while the FSP produced small size particles with relatively smooth surface (figure 9(f)). Along with layered CAMs, spray pyrolysis has also been used for synthesizing spinel: LMO [16], LNM [17, 18], and olivine: LFP [112, 113], LCP [23], LMP [22, 114] CAMs.

#### 2.7. Doping, coating, and post-processing

Doping of NMC particles with small amounts of dopants has been shown to affect the secondary particle morphology. As shown in figure 10(a), Nguyen *et al* demonstrated a secondary particle comprising of radially-aligned PP obtained from 0.3% molar doping of Sn in high-Ni NCM [115]. It is observed that different dopants alter the secondary particle structure differently. For doping, the traditional co-precipitation is followed until the lithiation step. A doping agent is added to the precursor along with the lithiating agent during grounding in mortar or milling in ball-mill. After calcination, the particle morphology is significantly altered due to the doping agent. The resulting secondary particle structures were found to be beneficial in resisting micro-cracks and thus improving the degradation performance [116].

Nano-particle coatings are effective in improving structural stability and cycling performance of micron-sized CAM particles. Different coating materials like Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [91, 117, 118], LiAlO<sub>2</sub> [119], CeO<sub>2</sub> [120], ZnO [121], ZrO<sub>2</sub> [121] have been coated on the surface of CAM particles through the sol-gel [119], dry mechanofusion (figure 10(b)) [122], and ALD [123, 124] techniques. ALD has advantages over other coating methods in terms of regulating film thickness in the Angstrom range, allowing a uniform coating and good conformality [124]. Studies investigating effect of ALD coatings on the electrochemical performance have been collated [123, 124].

Dreizler *et al* and Wagner *et al* performed further post-processing of commercially synthesized NMC111 particles by milling to generate nano-particles, then spray drying and sintering to obtain final porous nano-structured particles (figure 10(c)) [125, 126]. Single crystal morphologies have been generated by further milling, high temperature annealing of polycrystalline CAM particles [56, 127].

# 3. Conclusions from the CAM synthesis techniques

Table 1 below summarizes different synthesis techniques, corresponding advantages, disadvantages, and CAM chemistries that can be synthesized through a given technique.

From the table it can be observed that all of the synthesis techniques are capable of synthesizing CAMs of varying structures (layered/spinel/olivine). The differences are in terms of process simplicity, cost, scalability, and particle properties (crystallinity, homogeneity, and PSD). Suitability of a synthesis technique for generating given CAM chemistry should be determined through consideration of these factors. It should be noted that common synthesis techniques are discussed in this section. While variety of other techniques like freeze drying, polymer-assisted, mechano-chemical, combinational annealing, electrospinning, phase inversion, supercritical fluid, ionothermal, biosynthesis exist in the literature [16, 17, 23, 37, 50].

Synthesis technique	Advantages	Disadvantages	Synthesizes CAMs
Solid-state	+ Low cost + Simple process + Scalable	<ul> <li>Low homogeneity</li> <li>Poor crystallinity</li> <li>Uncontrolled particle growth</li> <li>Wide PSD</li> <li>High temperature</li> <li>Long sintering time</li> <li>High energy consumption</li> </ul>	Layered: LCO [41, 42], NMC [43], NCA [14], LNO [15], Single crystal NMC [49] Spinel: LMO [16], LNM [17, 18, 50] Olivine: LFP [19, 20], LCP [21]
Molten salt	<ul> <li>+ Flexible process</li> <li>+ Fast reactions</li> <li>+ High homogeneity</li> <li>+ High crystallinity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High cost of eutectic salt</li> <li>Valuable salt wastage</li> </ul>	Layered: LCO [53], NMC [54], NCA [128], Single crystal NMC [56] Spinel: LNM [17, 18, 50]. Olivine: LFP [57]
Sol-gel	<ul> <li>+ High purity</li> <li>+ High homogeneity</li> <li>+ High crystallinity</li> <li>+ High yield</li> <li>+ Low temperature</li> <li>+ Low energy consumption</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hard to scale</li> <li>Long process</li> <li>Requires large quantities of expensive precursors</li> </ul>	Layered: LCO [58, 60] NMC [59, 61], LNO [15], NCA [14]. Spinel: LMO [16, 62], LNM [17, 18, 50]. Olivine: LFP [19, 20], LCP [21, 65]
Co-precipitation	<ul> <li>+ High homogeneity</li> <li>+ Narrow PSD</li> <li>+ Spherical morphology</li> <li>+ Scalable</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Complex parameter control</li><li>Wastage</li></ul>	Layered: NMC [68–78], LNO [15], NCA [14], Single crystal NMC [27, 82, 83]. Spinel: LMO [16], LNM [17, 18, 50, 79]. Olivine: LFP [19, 20].
Hydro/Solvo thermal	<ul> <li>+ Low temperature</li> <li>+ Morphology control</li> <li>+ Few impurities</li> <li>+ High crystallinity</li> <li>+ High yield</li> <li>+ Low energy consumption</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strict reaction conditions</li> <li>Wastage</li> <li>High cost at large-scale</li> </ul>	Layered: NMC [94–96], NCA [14] Spinel: LMP [16], LNM [97] Olivine: LFP [19, 20, 98–100], LCP [21, 101, 102]
Microwave	<ul> <li>+ Uniform heating</li> <li>+ High crystallinity</li> <li>+ High homogeneity</li> <li>+ Short reaction time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires high temperature</li> </ul>	Layered: NMC [104], Li <sub>1.2</sub> Mn <sub>0.56</sub> Ni <sub>0.16</sub> Co <sub>0.08</sub> O <sub>2</sub> [26, 105] Spinel: LNM [106] Olivine: LiFe <sub>1-x</sub> Mn <sub>x</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [103], LFP [19, 20], LCP [21]
Spray based	<ul> <li>+ Single step</li> <li>+ Short reaction time</li> <li>+ Narrow PSD</li> <li>+ High purity</li> <li>+ Scalable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Large amount of excess</li> <li>Li source</li> <li>Wastage</li> </ul>	Layered: NMC [40, 43, 109, 110], NCA [14, 111, 129] Spinel: LMO [16], LNM [17, 18, 50] Olivine: LFP [112, 113], LCP [23], LMP [22, 114]

Table 1. Comparison of different synthesis techniques in terms of advantages, disadvantages, and example CAM chemistries synthesized.

Different synthesis techniques discussed previously can be compiled together as shown in figure 11. Variety of options are available at each stage along the synthesis procedure. Different synthesis techniques can be explored by selecting one of the available options at each step. Some steps like filtration, washing, drying, grounding are common to all synthesis techniques.

Each technique thus constructed can potentially generate a different CAM secondary particle structure. Moreover, the proportions of materials involved, and the equipment operating parameters influence the particle structures. Often, a slight change in the synthesis procedure generates significantly different particle structures. For example, simultaneous addition of TM salts in the reactor generates spherical secondary particles with uniform composition, while stepwise addition of the TM salts generates core–shell structure. Readers interested in the comparison of electrochemical performance of cells made from different CAM particle structures should refer to respective review studies [34–37, 39].



Distinct product particle structures are often not pre-determined but are produced due to the specific combination of the process parameters along the synthesis route. A mechanistic understanding of the process-particle structure relationship is lacking. Hence, given a synthesis technique along with process parameters, it is difficult to predict the final particle structure beforehand. Lack of understanding about how different process parameters affect the structure can result in significant product variability and poor process optimization [28, 29]. Dong and Koenig emphasized on detailed understanding and investigations into the fundamental mechanisms behind the synthesis of precursors obtained through the co-precipitation synthesis [84]. Such understanding is needed to realize the full potential of the synthesis techniques in generating a large array of different particle structures.

Very few studies in the battery literature investigate the effect of process parameters on the product properties through the use of mechanistic models. As mentioned in the introduction, some of these processes are widely used in other industries. Multiple studies related to the understanding of the process-product relationship through the use of mechanistic models exist in respective literature. Next section identifies such common processes. Example mechanistic modeling studies for each of these processes are discussed and potential applications to the CAM synthesis are identified.

# 4. Mechanistic modeling

The CAM synthesis techniques involve different processes like reactive precipitation, spray drying, flame spray pyrolysis, milling, calcination/sintering, and coating. Resulting product particle structures depend on the specific raw material properties. Even for the same raw materials, process parameters such as the equipment design, operating parameters, scale-up can also influence the product particle properties. Often this process-product relationship is investigated through vigorous experimentation. Data obtained from such experimental campaign is used to build predictive correlations between process parameters and product properties. Such data-driven methods include DoE, empirical correlations, and machine learning algorithms. Mechanistic models can substantially eliminate this need for vigorous experimentation. This section focuses on such mechanistic models.

Mechanistic models are based on mathematical equations governing the process. For first-principle based mechanistic models, all required input parameters can be calculated. While, input parameters involved in some mechanistic models need to be obtained from experimental measurements or data-fitting based calibration. For some processes, exact solution to the governing equation can be obtained analytically. Resulting in an analytical model. For most of the processes, approximate solutions are obtained through the application of a variety of computational methods. This section focuses on the process-product relationship predicted by the mechanistic models, rather than detailed explanation of the governing equations and solution methods. Brief definitions of commonly used mechanistic models (atomistic to macro-scale) along with introductory references are provided next.

**Density functional theory (DFT):** DFT is an approach to finding solution to the Schrödinger equation governing quantum behavior of atoms and molecules. In computational chemistry, DFT is useful in first-principle based calculation of material properties such as lattice constants, surface energies, structural energies, elastic constants [130, 131]. For detailed information on DFT readers are referred to introductory texts [132, 133].

**Molecular dynamics (MD):** MD simulation consists of numerical, step-by-step, solution of the classical equations of motion. This model is useful for computationally simulating the movements of atoms and molecules. Interactions between atoms/molecules are determined based on acting forces. The forces are derived from potential energy which in turn depends on interatomic potentials. Atomistic MD simulations are useful in determining atomic/molecular interactions during a process. Detailed information about MD can be found elsewhere [134, 135].

**Phase field method:** The phase field method is particularly useful in simulating changes in material microstructure comprising grains. The grain morphologies are represented through phase field variables. Temporal evolution of the phase field variables is governed by a set of partial differential equations. This model can predict evolution of grains due to different driving forces (bulk and interfacial energy, elastic energy, electric or magnetic energy) and transport processes (mass diffusion, heat conduction and convection). Detailed explanation and applications of the phase field method can be found elsewhere [136–138].

**Discrete element method (DEM):** DEM proves useful in accurate simulations of processes dominated by inter-particle interactions. Since the inception of DEM by Cundall and Strack [139], it has been widely used to simulate particulate processes. Similar to MD, particle trajectories are calculated based on equations of motion. Contact forces generated due to numerous inter-particle interactions are calculated during the process. With increasing number of particles and complex particle shape, computational cost of DEM also increases.

**Population balance modelling (PBM):** PBM is widely used to describe temporal evolution of population of particles during a process. The governing PBEs define how populations of separate entities develop in specific properties over time. The integro-differential equations are essentially a balance on number of particles of particular state. Detailed information about the derivation of PBEs, applications, and limitations can be found in respective

literature [140].

**Computational fluid dynamics (CFD):** CFD is indispensable when motion of fluid is of interest. At fundamental level, the governing Navier–Stokes equation describes the motion of viscous fluid and is derived from the conservation of mas and momentum [141]. Variety of computational methods have been developed to find solution to the Navier–Stokes equation for different fluid flows. Enormous literature exists on application, variants, computational cost, and limitations of CFD [142].

**Monte carlo methods (MC):** MC methods represent a broad class of models relying on repeated random sampling and statistical analysis to compute the results. Random samples from a probability distribution of input parameters are generated and corresponding outcome is predicted based on an underlying mechanism [143, 144]. Variants of MC models include the KLMC and DSMC. KLMC deals with determining dynamic evolution of atoms in a lattice structure [145]. DSMC is used for fluid dynamics simulations in the free molecular regime. Due to dominant molecular effects, Boltzmann equation of kinetic theory governs the flow instead continuum Navier–Stokes equation. Since its inception by Bird [146], DSMC has been continually developed for simulating dilute non-continuum gas flows [147, 148].

Based on the extent of the simplifying assumptions behind the governing equations, mechanistic models can have limited applicability. Multiple mechanistic models are often need to be coupled together (DFT-Phase Field Method, CFD-PBM, CFD-DEM) to develop a comprehensive multi-scale model representing the complete process under consideration. Rantanen and Khinast presented a review of different process modeling tools available for the pharmaceutical manufacturing process with emphasis on how different tools can be coupled to each other for increased fundamental process understanding [29]. A thorough validation of the developed model is required for accurate quantitative predictions about the process-product relationship. It is important to note that even if models have limited accuracy, they can prove useful in improving the fundamental scientific understanding of the processs [29]. Such increased understanding enables optimization, control, and scale-up of the processes.

Very few studies in the Li-ion battery literature employ mechanistic process modeling tools. Many of the processes involved in CAM synthesis are common to other industries like pharmaceutical, dairy, minerals, ceramic, nuclear, cement, micro-electronics industry. Multiple studies investigating process-product relationship based on mechanistic models exist in respective literature. Similar models can be imported in the battery industry. Following subsections discuss representative mechanistic modeling studies for different processes. Emphasis is placed on the conclusions drawn about the process-product relationship rather than a detailed explanation of the mechanistic model employed. The section is concluded by identifying potential mechanistic modeling applications to the CAM synthesis procedures.

#### 4.1. Crystallization and precipitation in stirred tank

Crystallization and precipitation is an integral part of the co-precipitation synthesis route. Experimental investigations related to effect of process parameters on CAM particle properties synthesized through co-precipitation have been collated [13, 84].

Few studies in the battery literature apply mechanistic models to investigate the process-product relationship during co-precipitation synthesis [131, 149]. These studies focus on the precipitation process inside a reactor and employ a combination of mechanistic models. A multi-scale mechanistic model simulating the nucleation, growth, and aggregation of particles during co-precipitation of NMC111 hydroxide precursors has been developed [149]. The classical nucleation theory determines the initial number density of the nuclei. Further growth of these PPs is modeled through a continuum growth model based on population balance equations accounting for diffusion of reactants through the solution and precipitation on the particle surface. For aggregation of PPs into secondary particles, lattice based MC method is employed. This multi-scale model predicts that increasing solution pH and decreasing ammonia concentration leads to smaller secondary particle size. The model is then used to perform parametric study and identify optimal parameter values to obtain uniformly sized secondary particles. Although co-precipitation of NMC111 particles was simulated, the computational framework is promising and can be employed to other co-precipitation systems. The model could be used to tune the secondary particle size by controlling the solution pH and ammonia concentration. Few limitations exist in this multi-scale model. The PPs are assumed spherical; thus the internal porosity of the secondary particle cannot be captured. Leading to a bad tap density prediction. Effect of stirrer speed on the particle morphology is not captured. The model focuses on predicting the size of precipitated precursors. The particle morphology can change during the lithiation/calcination step. Model could be developed further to include the effect of calcination on particle size and shape.

A predictive mechanistic model combining the DFT and phase field method is developed for investigating the effect of process parameters on shape of the MnCO<sub>3</sub> precipitates [131]. It is predicted that

14



with increasing metal concentration, particle transitions from rhombohedral to cubic to spherical (figure 12) and the particle size decreases. These observations agree with experiments. The model can capture the particle growth dynamics. This computational framework can be used for prediction of particle shape and size evolution for pseudo single crystal particles in a batch reactor. It is assumed that particle aggregation can be neglected in a batch reactor. Model is developed for single TM salt, further development can include multiple TMs. Currently, this model cannot capture the influence of stirring speed and ammonia concentration on particle size and shape. Further improvement may include incorporation of particle aggregation, internal porosity of secondary particle, and effect of calcination on particle size and shape.

Multiple mechanistic model based studies simulating crystallization and precipitation process exist outside the battery literature. PBM has been developed to analyze the effect of different process parameters on size and aspect ratio of crystals in the pharmaceutical industry [150]. It is observed that during a three stage crystallization-milling-dissolution process, process parameters like the rotor speed, number of cycles, amount of mass dissolved affect the particle size and aspect ratio. Heuristic optimization is done using the PBM to produce more equant crystals or to control crystal morphology. Qualitative agreement is obtained between the model and experimental results [151].

CFD-PBM coupled mechanistic models have been developed to predict the effect of process parameters on product crystal properties in pharmaceutical [152], minerals [153], and nuclear industry [154, 155]. Review by Bałdyga elaborates application of CFD-PBM models to 'design' product particles [152]. Coupling the CFD predictions of the hydrodynamic properties (velocity gradients, mixing patterns) with PBM enables prediction of the particle properties and their evolution with time. Such models can predict effect of feed concentration, stirrer speed, impeller type on precipitated particle properties and prove useful in investigating scale-up issues. In the mineral industry, CFD-PBM models have been employed for the precipitation of CaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CaCO<sub>3</sub> [153]. The influence of stirrer speed, feed point position, feed rate, feed tube diameter, energy dissipation, impeller type on particle properties was investigated. The particle properties include mean particle size, surface area, filterability. This computational strategy makes a priori prediction of change in particle properties due to change in operating conditions possible. The model can be further used for scale-up investigations including how the mean size, size distribution, nucleation rate changes with scale up (specific power input, tank volume). The CFD-PBM models have been used to predict size evolution of uranium oxalate crystals during precipitation reaction in the nuclear industry [154, 155]. Simulation of the flow field allowed accurate description of mixing and effect of hydrodynamics on the precipitate properties. It was concluded that the reagent injection position affects the mean crystal size.

The KLMC simulation technique has been implemented to determine the morphology of the co-precipitated agglomerates of multi-component alloy system [9]. The morphology of Fe-Cu-Mn-Ni-Si alloy was classified in core–shell or appendage category through the simulations. There are two distinctive precipitating phases in this system: the fast precipitating Cu-rich phase acts as heterogeneous site for the slow precipitating phase of MnNiSi. The interplay between interfacial and ordering energies along with active diffusion paths determine the core–shell vs appendage morphology. Thus predicting the morphology of the precipitate (figure 13). Guidelines for designing the co-precipitate microstructure for multi-component



**Figure 13.** Evolution of Cu-MnNiSi precipitate predicted from kinetic lattice Monte Carlo (KLMC) simulations. Adapted from [9] Copyright (2018), with permission from Elsevier.

system were obtained from the simulations. The specific conclusions derived in this study may not be transferable to multi-component CAM like NMC, NCA. But similar simulation methodology could be developed for desired elements (Ni, Mn, Co). Such model could predict morphology of multi-component CAM particles.

The mechanistic models and corresponding development methodologies discussed above are transferable to the co-precipitation synthesis of CAM. Although these models have some limitations, potential further development specific to the procedure under consideration can be achieved. Such models can identify optimum process parameters to produce CAM particles exhibiting desired properties.

#### 4.2. Spray drying (SD) and flame spray pyrolysis (FSP)

SD and FSP has been used extensively in pharmaceutical, food, dairy, minerals industry to generate particles with varying morphology. Variety of particle designs can be generated through the SD and FSP processes by manipulation of process parameters [156, 157]. These processes can be used to generate 'designer particles' exhibiting desired properties. Although SD and FSP have been used for the synthesis of CAM particles [111], respective mechanistic modeling studies are not available in the battery literature.

Mechanistic modeling of the spray drying process involves two steps. The first step is the development of a model to describe drying of a single droplet. This SDD model is then plugged into a CFD model in the second step to simulate the complete spray drying process. Extensive research has been done in the pharmaceutical and dairy industry to develop SDD models predicting drying kinetics of a single droplet [158, 159]. These models can predict particle properties like size, morphology (hollow/dense), and final moisture content. Multiple application examples of such SDD models in the pharmaceutical and dairy industry have been collated [159].

The CFD models simulate the gas flow, heat and mass transfer, evaporation, and solidification of the sprayed droplets. Most of these CFD studies model the continuous gas phase as a Eulerian medium while the droplets are modeled as discrete Lagrangian entities. CFD has been employed to simulate droplet–droplet interactions [160], droplet deposition on dryer wall [161], coalescence and agglomeration behavior [162]. Scale-up of spray drying process is often challenging and CFD can prove useful as a scale-up tool. Different approaches available for design and scale-up of spray drying have been collated [163]. CFD model based methodology can also be used for process development [164]. They prove useful in constructively designing particles with required size, density, and surface properties.

Mechanistic models based on the DEM have been developed for the spray-drying process of silica nanoparticles [165]. DEM was used to understand the effect of internal structures of spray dried granules on the mechanical properties (figure 14(a)). Structural parameters like the macro void, shell thickness, and micro porosity were varied to generate different granule internal structures. Effect of these internal structures on granule mechanical properties are investigated through fracture strength and strain simulations. DEM based mechanistic model have been used to investigated the segregation phenomenon during spray drying of multicomponent aggregates (figure 14(b)) [166].

Along with spray drying, the FSP technique is widely used in the ceramic industry to generate metal oxide nanoparticles such as ZrO<sub>2</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>. Extensive research has gone into developing CFD-PBM coupled mechanistic models to simulating the FSP process. The ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle synthesis was modeled using a Euler–Lagrange framework [167]. The particle dynamics was simulated through a population balance model to obtain evolution of particle volume, area, and number concentration. The simulations can predict the velocity and temperature profiles, droplet evaporation, and particle formation. Figure 15 shows





**Figure 15.** CFD-PBM simulated spatial evolution of  $ZrO_2$  (a) primary ( $d_p$ ) and (b) agglomerate ( $d_g$ ) nanoparticle diameters at various heights above burner (HAB) in flame spray pyrolysis (FSP) for liquid feed (LF) to dispersed gas (DG) ratio: 5/5. (c) Model predictions qualitatively agree with experimental measurements and the model can predict the effect of process parameter (LF/DG) on particle diameter distribution. Primary particle and agglomerate diameters are measured with transmission electron microscopy ( $d_{p,\text{TEM}}$ ,  $d_{g,\text{TEM}}$ ) [168]. Adapted from [168]. CC BY 4.0. © 2019 The Authors. AIChE Journal published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of American Institute of Chemical Engineers.

particle and agglomerate diameters predicted through a CFD-PBM model, the predictions are in qualitative agreement with experimental results. The CFD-PBM coupling was used to facilitate tuning of different process parameters to obtain a desired particle size and morphology [168]. Torabmostaedi and Zhang published a series of papers related to mechanistic modeling of the FSP process [169–173]. These simulations



enable prediction of particle diameters and variation with respect to multiple process and geometrical parameters. These models require minimal experimental data, hence they are useful for initial process design, reactor optimization, and scale-up.

Studies reviewed in this section demonstrate that similar mechanistic models based on CFD, PBM, DEM can be developed for CAM particles synthesized through spray drying and FSP [111]. Such models can prove effective in synthesizing particles with desired properties, in understanding the effect of process parameters on particle properties, as well as in scale-up procedures.

#### 4.3. Grounding or milling

Grounding or milling of materials is performed during the lithiation [40], doping [115, 116], or post-processing [125, 126] step of the CAM synthesis. Although ball-milling is most commonly used during CAM synthesis, variety of mill designs are used across different industries. The review by Brunaugh and Smyth comprehensively show the effect of operating parameters and mill design on the particle properties for pharmaceutical milling process [174]. Process parameters like the solids feed rate, milling duration, revolution rate affect the size distribution, shape, surface area of milled particles. Various analytical and computational mechanistic models have been developed to predict the effect of process parameters on milled particle properties in mining, pharmaceutical, and minerals industry.

Dynamic analytical model has been developed for predicting the power draw and energy usage of the tumbling mills used in minerals processing industry [175]. PBM have been developed for milling of pharmaceutical crystals [150]. PBM can simulate the time and space evolution of the particle size distribution during milling as a function of material and mill characteristics. PBM based models for milling are collated [174]. PBM models are usually developed for specific devices and operating conditions hence have limited applicability.

The DEM based mechanistic model is especially suitable for simulating the milling process. Motion of particles in planetary ball-mill has been simulated [176]. Although the actual breakage of the particles was ignored, the energy transfer from the milling tool to the powder can be predicted. This energy transfer can be plugged into correlations to further determine the milling rate. DEM is an effective tool to analyze the impact of mill geometry (figure 16(a)) [177], mill speed (figure 16(b)) [178], filling ratio of milling balls, milling ball properties, and milling time. It can be used to understand the effect of scale-up on the process dynamics. Similar applications of DEM can be found in case of the semi autogenous mill [179] and tumbling mills [180]. DEM has been used to perform a DoE study to understand the complex effect of multiple operating parameters on the ball-milling of iron ore particles and to further improve the mill design [178].

DEM is suitable for modeling particle interaction, but wet milling demands more complex models. Interaction between the slurry, grinding media, and mill structure needs to be simulated. For wet milling,





DEM needs to be coupled with CFD to simulate the liquid phase [177, 181]. Such complex coupled models can be used to understand how various properties and operational parameters affect the properties of milled particles during wet milling.

As grounding or milling plays an important role during the lithiation and doping step of CAM synthesis techniques, similar predictive mechanistic models can be potentially developed to predict process-product relationship and further optimize the milling parameters to consistently obtain desired particle properties.

#### 4.4. Calcination and sintering

Calcination and sintering is an integral part of all synthesis techniques. Calcination is signified by removal of  $CO_2$  or  $H_2O$  from the precursors to form oxides. Whereas, sintering occurs after the lithiation and doping step. Sintering involves grain growth and densification of the secondary particles. It has been shown that calcination conditions (temperature, ramp rate, holding time) affect the structural and electrochemical properties of cathode materials [182, 183]. The optimum calcination temperature depends on the specific composition and reduces with increasing nickel content [182].

Although mechanistic models for simulating calcination and sintering of TM precursors are unavailable, phase-field method based model has been developed to model sintering of LLZO solid electrolyte used in solid-state batteries [183]. Interface between phases (pores and grains) is tracked as the diffusion of species occurs during sintering. Model demonstrates effect of sintering temperature on particle structure as shown in figure 17.

Outside the battery literature, mechanistic models have been developed for calcination and sintering processes majorly in cement and ceramics industry. Studies focusing on single particle calcination take into account different reactions occurring at different temperatures [184]. Source terms due to these reactions are then incorporated into the conservation equations (mass, momentum, energy, species). Resulting solutions provide profiles of gas velocity, pressure, temperature, and composition throughout the particle.

Calcination of limestone is often performed in the cement industry in large scale calciners or kilns or furnaces. Number of CFD based modeling studies are available for such calciners [185–188]. Euler–Lagrange coupled simulations are developed to simultaneously track the gas and the solid phase. Governing equations involve the conservation equations (mass, momentum, species, energy) along with reaction rate equations. The model can predict temperature and species distribution throughout the calciner during the process.

Extensive research has been gone into developing mechanistic models for sintering of particles. Initial stage sintering models focus on predicting surface area reduction rate [189, 190]. Sintering process is divided into elemental reactions such as absorption of water, diffusion to the neck region, vacancy creation, desorption of water. Reaction rates are derived analytically for each of the elemental steps. Comparison of respective rates to the experimental rate of surface reduction indicates the rate limiting elemental step of the



sintering process. Such models are useful in understanding the influence of sintering temperature and atmosphere on the rate of surface area reduction. Rate of neck growth can be incorporated in population balances to investigate particle size evolution during the sintering process [191].

Atomistic model based on DFT and MD is developed to simulate sintering between two neighboring tungsten particles (figure 18) [130]. DFT is useful in predicting the lattice constants, while MD simulations predict movement of atoms during the sintering process.

DEM finds applications in procedures where stresses are applied to compact the powder and induce sintering [192]. MC method has been implemented to simulate evolution of pore and grain phases during the sintering process [193]. Sintering simulations at the atomistic, microscopic, and macroscopic scales have been collated [194].

Similar mechanistic models based on the DFT-MD, phase field method, MC, DEM can be implemented for calcination and sintering step of CAM synthesis technique. Such models can provide better understanding into the effect of temperature, ramp rate, holding time on final CAM particle morphology.

#### 4.5. Particle coating

ALD technique is advantageous for uniform deposition of conformal coating with controllable thickness on CAM particles [195]. Mechanistic models based on analytical correlations [196, 197], DFT [198–200], MD [201], MC [197, 202], CFD [203] have been applied to investigate the ALD process. Whereas, DEM has been employed to model mechanofusion based dry particle coating [204–206].

Gordon *et al* developed analytical correlation for achieving uniform coating in a narrow cylindrical hole [196]. The exposure time required to complete the coating increases with increasing aspect ratio of the cylindrical hole. This model was further modified for coating on agglomerates of nanoparticles [197]. Fluidized bed reactor based ALD coating of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles has been modeled though CFD and analytical correlations [203]. This mechanistic model predicted the bed pressure drop, temperature distribution, and the saturated growth time. Resulting precursor utilization as a function of fluidizing velocity could be used to optimize the coating process. Stochastic MC method has proved useful in predicting coating coverage on 3D structures [202] and agglomerated nanoparticles [197]. Coating of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles was investigated. As shown in figure 19(a), this approach can predict coating coverage inside nanoparticle agglomerates. With increasing number of particles in the agglomerate, the saturation time increased while the coating coverage decreased (figure 19(b)).





Mechanistic modeling studies focused on ALD coating have been collated [195, 207]. Similar mechanistic models can be developed for predicting coating quality of CAM particles during the post-processing step.

# 5. Conclusions from the mechanistic modeling

The synthesis process, mechanistic models, example application, respective industry, and specific insights about the process-product relationship are outlined in table 2. The process-product relationship is qualitatively listed by showing effect of increasing ( $\uparrow$ ) or decreasing ( $\downarrow$ ) process parameter on product property. These application examples are obtained from an array of different industries. It is evident that very few studies related to mechanistic modeling exist in the battery literature.

Similar mechanistic models can be implemented in the CAM synthesis technique to better understand the process-particle structure relationship. As shown in figure 20, in the co-precipitation or template synthesis technique, CFD can be implemented to understand the fluid flow in the reactor, then the CFD model can be coupled to PBM to predict particle size evolution of precursor particles. If the spray drying or flame spray pyrolysis is chosen for precursor precipitation, mechanistic models related to the drying of single droplet can be developed. CFD along with energy balance can be implemented for prediction of evolution of precursor particle properties. For grounding or milling during lithiation and doping step, DEM can be used to predict effect of process parameters on particle properties. Phase field method based models can be developed for further understanding of the calcination and sintering process. Mechanistic models based on MC, CFD, DEM could be developed for the particle coating process. Once the process parameters are correlated to the product particle properties, these processes can be further optimized.

To build confidence in the accuracy of different mechanistic models, experimental validation is important. Required data for such validation can be obtained from variety of process monitoring techniques. The continuous manufacturing platforms of pharmaceutical industries employ a variety of process monitoring techniques to characterize the product at different stages of the process. Apart from validation, this data can be used for drawing valuable insights related to the process-product relationship. For further detailed information on different process monitoring tools, readers are referred elsewhere [28, 29].

It is evident that the predictive mechanistic models and process monitoring tools can be implemented during the CAM synthesis techniques to produce particles with desired morphology. The mechanistic understanding is even more important in the battery industry, as the final particle morphology directly affects the electrochemical performance of the cell. With the ability to predict particle morphology based on a synthesis technique will come the opportunity to design new particle morphologies favorable for enhanced electrochemical performance.

**Table 2.** Summary of synthesis process, mechanistic model, example application, industry, and process-product relationship. Arrows indicate increasing ( $\uparrow$ ) or decreasing ( $\downarrow$ ) parameters.

Process	Mechanistic model	Example application	Industry	Process-product relationship
Crystallization And precipitation	Continuum growth and Monte Carlo	Co-precipitation of NMC111 [149]	Battery	↑ Solution pH $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Particle size $\downarrow$ Ammonia concentration $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Particle size
11	DFT and Phase Field Model	Co-precipitation of MnCO <sub>3</sub> particles [131]	Battery	↓ Metal concentration $\Rightarrow$ Rhombohedral particles $\uparrow$ Metal concentration $\Rightarrow$ Cubic particles $\uparrow$ Metal concentration $\Rightarrow$ ↓ Particle
	РВМ	Crystallization- milling-dissolution of needle-shaped	Pharma	↑ Number of cycles $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Aspect ratio, $\downarrow$ broadness of distribution ↑ Mass dissolved $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Aspect ratio, ↑ broadness of distribution
	CFD-PBM	Supersaturation zone induced precipitation of	Pharma	↑ Reynolds number $\Rightarrow$ First $\downarrow$ then slightly ↑ Particle size ↑ Initial concentration in single feed mode
		pharmaceutical crystals [152]		<ul> <li>⇒ ↓ Precipitated particle size ↑</li> <li>Stirrer speed ⇒ ↑ Mean crystal size,</li> <li>↑ Primary particle diameter, ↑</li> <li>Agglomerate diameter ↑ Pressure in</li> <li>high pressure nozzle ⇒ ↑</li> <li>Deagglomeration, ↓ Particle size</li> </ul>
		Precipitation of CaC <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> and CaCO <sub>3</sub> [153]	Minerals	↑ Stirrer speed ⇒ First ↑ then ↓ mean particle size, First ↑ then ↓ nucleation rate ↑ Specific power input ⇒ First ↑ then ↓ volume mean crystal size, ↓ Coefficient of variation, First ↑ then ↓ nucleation rate. ↑ Feed point height from impeller ⇒ ↓ Mean particle size at
		Precipitation of uranium oxalate in unbaffled magnetic stirrer [155]	Nuclear	fiven specific power input. $\uparrow$ Reagent feeding distance from axis $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ Mean crystal size, $\downarrow$ maximal supersaturation.
	Monte Carlo	Co-precipitation of FeCuMnNiSi alloy [9]	Alloys	↑ Interfacial energy between matrix and fast precipitating phase $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Probability of core–shell particle morphology compared to annendage morphology
Spray drying	Analytical model for single droplet drying	Spray drying of pharmaceutical and dairy powders [159, 208–212].	Pharma, Dairy	<ul> <li>appendage morphology.</li> <li>↑ Time ⇒ ↓ Moisture content, First</li> <li>↑ then ↓ solute mass fraction, ↑</li> <li>Solid shell thickness ↑ Droplet</li> <li>radius ⇒ ↑ Solute mass fraction ↑</li> <li>Difference in component solubility</li> <li>⇒ ↑ Segregation</li> </ul>
	CFD	1-D numerical model for spray drying of skim milk concentrates [213]	Dairy	↑ Dryer height $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ powder moisture, $\downarrow$ droplet velocity, ↑ insolubility index, ↑ product temperature.
		Simulating spray dryers in pharmaceutical industry [159, 214, 215]	Pharma	↑ Peclet number $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Particle porosity ↑ Initial droplet diameter $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Product particle diameter ↑ Droplet residence time $\Rightarrow$ First ↓ then constant particle moisture content ↑ Atomization gas flow rate $\Rightarrow$ ↓ Particle size ↑ Liquid federate $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Particle size ↑ Solids
				$concentration \Rightarrow \uparrow Particle size$

Process	Mechanistic model	Example application	Industry	Process-product relationship
	DEM	Internal structure of spray-dried granules [165]	Ceramic	↑ Void ⇒ ↓ Fracture strength ↑ Shell thickness + ↑ PP packing density in shell ⇒ ↑ Fracture strength + ↓ Fracture strain ↓ Binder amount ⇒ ↓ Fracture strength & strain
		Segregation due to PP size difference	Nano- particles	$\uparrow$ Polydispersity $\Rightarrow$ $\uparrow$ Segregation
Flame spray pyrolysis	CFD-PBM	Synthesis of ZrO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles [167]	Ceramic	↑ Height above burner $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Primary particle diameter, ↑ Agglomerate diameter ↑ Dispersion oxygen flow $\Rightarrow$ ↓ Primary particle diameter, ↑ Agglomerate diameter ↑ Precursor concentration $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Product particle diameter
		Synthesis of ZrO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles [168]	Ceramic	<ul> <li>↑ Height above burner ⇒ First ↑</li> <li>then ↓ nucleation rate, First ↑</li> <li>primary particle size then remains</li> <li>constant, ↑ Agglomerate size ↑</li> <li>LF/DG ratio ⇒ ↑ Agglomerate size,</li> <li>↑ Primary particle size</li> </ul>
		Synthesis of ZrO <sub>2</sub> , TiO <sub>2</sub> , SiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles [169–173]	Ceramic	<ul> <li>↑ Pressure drop, ↑ Oxidant/mixture</li> <li>↑ Pressure drop, ↑ Oxidant/mixture</li> <li>volume feed ratio ⇒ ↓ Sintering of</li> <li>nano-particles, ↑ Number of</li> <li>primary particles per agglomerate ↑</li> <li>Height above burner ⇒ First ↑</li> <li>primary particle size then remains</li> <li>constant, ↑ Agglomerate size, ↑</li> <li>Number of primary particles per</li> <li>agglomerate ↑ Gas to liquid feed</li> <li>ratio ⇒ ↓ Particle mass fraction at</li> <li>given height above burner, ↓</li> <li>Primary particle diameter, ↑</li> <li>Agglomerate diameter, ↑ Number of</li> <li>primary particles per agglomerate ↑</li> </ul>
		Effect of nozzle geometry on nanoparticle synthesis [172]	Ceramic	<ul> <li>Primary particle diameter</li> <li>↑ Oxidant gap size ⇒ ↓ Particle</li> <li>mass fraction at given height above</li> <li>burner, ↓ Sintering rate, ↓ Primary</li> <li>particle diameter, ↑ Number of</li> <li>primary particles per agglomerate,</li> <li>↑ Agglomerate diameter ↓ Oxygen</li> <li>content in dispersion gas ⇒ ↓</li> </ul>
Milling	Analytical model	Tumbling mills for minerals [175]	Minerals	↑ Feed rate $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Product yield ↑ Change in feed rate $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Dynamic
	PBM	Milling of pharmaceutical	Pharma	↑ Rotor speed $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Aspect ratio, $\downarrow$ broadness of distribution
	DEM	Planetary ball mill [176]	Powder	↑ Revolution speed, ↑ Number of milling balls, ↑ Milling time $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Product yield ↑ Milling ball diameter and density $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Particle refinement
		Semi-autogenous (SAG) mill [179]	Mining	↑ Mill speed, ↑ Fill level ratio $\Rightarrow$ ↑ then ↓ Fraction of fines, ↑ Mill power ↑ Steel ball ratio $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Fraction of fines, ↑ Mill power

# Table 2. (Continued.)

	Mechanistic Example							
Process	model	application	Industry	Process-product relationship				
		Ball-milling of iron ore particles [178].	Mining	↑ Mill speed $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Impact toe, ↑ head ↑ Lifter height $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Impact toe, ↑ head				
	CFD-DEM	Wet milling in minerals processing industry [181]	Minerals	↑ Fill rate $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Power draw ↑ Disc tip speed $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Power draw, ↑ Abrasion and wear				
Calcination and Sintering	Phase field method	Calcination and sintering of LLZO solid electrolyte [183]	Solid-state battery	↑ Calcination temperature $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Grain size, ↓ Pore size ↑ Sintering temperature $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Relative density				
	Analytical	Calcination of single clay particle [184]	Cement	$\uparrow Calcination time \Rightarrow \uparrow Conversion, \\\uparrow Particle temperature \uparrow Porosity \Rightarrow \\\uparrow Optimum calcination time$				
		Rate of surface area reduction during sintering [189, 190]	Ceramic	↑ Water vapor pressure $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Rate of surface area reduction				
	CFD	Modeling calcination in pipe reactor [185], multiple hearth furnace [186], riser chamber [187], cement calciner [188]	Cement	↑ Temperature $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Calcination rate ↑ Calciner height $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Conversion extent, ↑ CO <sub>2</sub> Concentration				
	DFT-Molecular Dynamics	Sintering of tungsten particles [130]	Nano- particles	↑ Sintering time ⇒ ↑ Neck size, ↑ Densification ↑ Sintering temperature ⇒ ↑ Neck size, ↑ Neck angle, ↑ Densification ↑ Sintering pressure ⇒ ↑ Neck size, ↑ Densification ↑ Misalignment ⇒ ↓ Neck size, ↓ Densification				
	DEM	Simulating sintering of NiAl powder [192]	Ceramic	$\uparrow$ Sintering time $\Rightarrow$ $\uparrow$ Relative density				
	Monte Carlo	Sintering of Nickel powders [193]	Fuel Cell	↑ Sintering time $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Relative density ↑ Relative density $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Grain size, ↓ Surface to volume ratio, ↓ Pore volume fraction				
Particle coating	Analytical	ALD coating on narrow holes [196] and agglomerate pores [197]	Micro- electronics	<ul> <li>↑ Hole aspect ratio ⇒ ↑ Exposure time for complete coating ↑</li> <li>Number of particles in agglomerate, ↑ Fractal dimension ⇒ ↑ Coating time</li> </ul>				
	Monte Carlo	Fluidized bed atomic layer deposition (ALD) coating on agglomerates of nano-particles [197]	Nano- particles	↑ Gas pressure $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Coverage $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ Coating time ↑ Number of particles in agglomerate, ↑ Fractal dimension $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Coverage $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ Coating time				
	CFD	Fluidized bed reactor based ALD particle coating [203]	Nano- particles	↑ Precursor mass fraction $\Rightarrow \downarrow$ Minimum pulse time, $\downarrow$ Precursor waste ↑ Fluidizing velocity $\Rightarrow$ First ↑ then $\downarrow$ precursor utilization				
	DEM	Mechanofusion based dry coating [204–206]	Nano- particles	↑ Particle loading, ↑ RPM $\Rightarrow$ ↑ Compressive force				

Table 2. (Continued.)

Reactor p	recipitation	Spray drying and Flame spray pyrolysis		Grounding or milling		Calcination and sintering		Particle coating	
8						B.			
DFT- Phase Field	Particle shape evolution	Analytical	Single droplet drying	Analytical	Energy consumption	Phase Field Method	Grain size evolution	Analytical	Coating kinetics
CFD	Flow fields, heat transfer	CFD	Flow fields, heat transfer	DEM	Particle collisions, mill parameters	DFT-MD	Atomistic scale particle sintering	Monte Carlo	Coating coverage, exposure
CFD-PBM	Evolution of particle populations	CFD-PBM	Evolution of particle populations	CFD-DEM	Wet slurry milling	Monte Carlo	Pore and grain size distribution	DEM	Mechano- fusion coating

Figure 20. Suitable mechanistic models and corresponding investigative aspects for various processes involved in the cathode active material synthesis techniques.

# 6. Conclusions and outlook

Range of synthesis techniques have been employed by researchers to generate a variety of CAM particle morphologies in the hope of enhanced electrochemical performance. Distinct particle structures can be generated by modifying the synthesis procedures. Final particle morphology was not pre-determined in many of the CAM synthesis studies reviewed in this work. The particle morphology resulted due to the specific combination of different process parameters involved in the synthesis technique. The ability of *a-priori* determination of the particle structure based on a given synthesis technique is lacking in the battery literature.

Different mechanistic models are used in other industries that enable the prediction of particle properties based on the process parameters. These models are useful in predicting the product properties without vigorous experimentation. Multiple mechanistic models are often need to be coupled together for accurate description of the complete process. These mechanistic models have proved beneficial in deeper understanding of the process-product relationship and better control over the product properties.

Variety of mechanistic models can be applied to different processes involved in various CAM synthesis techniques to investigate the process-particle structure relationship. There are very few studies in the battery literature that focus on mechanistic model development. The effectiveness of models discussed in this review should be explored further. Implementation of such mechanistic models will result in increased understanding of the process-product relationship, reduced experimental trial and error, consistent product properties, and effective scale-up.

Similar to CAMs, mechanistic modeling can be applied to processes involved in the synthesis of anode active material. Works reviewing different synthesis techniques available for anode active materials along with electrochemical performance data exist in the literature [10, 36, 216–222]. Mechanistic modeling methods depicted in figure 20 can be similarly implemented for anode active material synthesis to investigate the effect of the process parameters on particle properties.

Apart from the synthesis procedure, possibilities of mechanistic model development exist in the downstream steps of the electrode manufacturing train such as, slurry mixing, slurry casting, electrode drying, calendaring, assembly of the cells and modules. Knowledge about the model development can be transferred from industries where similar processing is done. For example, the slurry casting process can draw from the extensive developments made in the paper and paint coating industry for optimization of the coating process.

Increased process control is becoming a necessity given the exponential rise in the demand for Li-ion batteries. It is imperative that opportunities for mechanistic model development should be identified throughout the cell manufacturing train and predictive models should be implemented to better understand the process-product relationship.

#### Data availability statement

No new data were created or analysed in this study.

# Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge the funding provided by the faraday institution FIRG015.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

# **ORCID** iDs

Kunal Pardikar () https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5720-6518 Denis Cumming () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1923-2250

#### References

- [1] Nagaura T and Tozawa K 1990 Lithium ion rechargeable battery Prog. Batteries Sol. Cells 9 209
- [2] Kwade A, Haselrieder W, Leithoff R, Modlinger A, Dietrich F and Droeder K 2018 Current status and challenges for automotive battery production technologies Nat. Energy 3 290–300
- [3] Xu C, Dai Q, Gaines L, Hu M, Tukker A and Steubing B 2020 Future material demand for automotive lithium-based batteries Commun. Mater. 1 99
- [4] Pourmortazavi S M, Rahimi-Nasrabadi M, Khalilian-Shalamzari M, Zahedi M M, Hajimirsadeghi S S and Omrani I 2012 Synthesis, structure characterization and catalytic activity of nickel tungstate nanoparticles Appl. Surf. Sci. 263 745–52
- [5] Mota N, Guil-Lopez R, Pawelec B G, Fierro J L G and Navarro R M 2018 Highly active Cu/ZnO–Al catalyst for methanol synthesis: effect of aging on its structure and activity RSC Adv. 8 20619–29
- [6] Schwaminger S, Syhr C and Berensmeier S 2020 Controlled synthesis of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: magnetite or maghemite? Crystals 10 214
- [7] Roth H-C, Schwaminger S P, Schindler M, Wagner F E and Berensmeier S 2015 Influencing factors in the CO-precipitation process of superparamagnetic iron oxide nano particles: a model based study J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 377 81–89
- [8] Alarcón Segovia L C, Daza Agudelo J I, Glisoni R J, Acha C, De Zan M M and Rintoul I 2020 A multiparametric model for the industrialization of co-precipitation synthesis of nano-commodities *Nanotechnology* 31 185604
- [9] Shu S, Wells P B, Almirall N, Odette G R and Morgan D D 2018 Thermodynamics and kinetics of core-shell versus appendage co-precipitation morphologies: an example in the Fe-Cu-Mn-Ni-Si system Acta Mater. 157 298–306
- [10] Nitta N, Wu F, Lee J T and Yushin G 2015 Li-ion battery materials: present and future Mater. Today 18 252-64
- [11] Bensalah N and Dawood H 2016 Review on synthesis, characterizations, and electrochemical properties of cathode materials for lithium ion batteries J. Mater. Sci. Eng. 5 1–21
- [12] Booth S G et al 2021 Perspectives for next generation lithium-ion battery cathode materials APL Mater. 9 109201
- [13] Julien C, Mauger A, Zaghib K and Groult H 2016 Optimization of layered cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries *Materials* 9 595
- [14] Purwanto A, Yudha C S, Ubaidillah U, Widiyandari H, Ogi T and Haerudin H 2018 NCA cathode material: synthesis methods and performance enhancement efforts *Mater. Res. Express* 5 122001
- [15] Bianchini M, Roca-Ayats M, Hartmann P, Brezesinski T and Janek J 2019 There and back again—the journey of LiNiO 2 as a cathode active material Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 58 10434–58
- [16] Marincaş A-H, Goga F, Dorneanu S-A and Ilea P 2020 Review on synthesis methods to obtain LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based cathode materials for Li-ion batteries J. Solid State Electrochem. 24 473–97
- [17] Julien C M and Mauger A 2013 Review of 5-V electrodes for Li-ion batteries: status and trends Ionics 19 951-88
- [18] Liu D et al 2014 Spinel materials for high-voltage cathodes in Li-ion batteries RSC Adv. 4 154–67
- [19] Satyavani T V S L, Srinivas Kumar A and Subba Rao P S V 2016 Methods of synthesis and performance improvement of lithium iron phosphate for high rate Li-ion batteries: a review Eng. Sci. Technol. Int. J. 19 178–88
- [20] Chairunnisa A, Wulandari S A, Salsabila S, Putri S R, Maryam W, Bayu A and Nandiyanto D 2020 Synthesis of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> (lithium iron phosphate) with several methods: a review RHAZES Green Appl. Chem. 10 49–81
- [21] Zhang M, Garcia-Araez N and Hector A L 2018 Understanding and development of olivine LiCoPO<sub>4</sub> cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries J. Mater. Chem. A 6 14483–517
- [22] Mauger A and Julien C 2018 Olivine positive electrodes for Li-ion batteries: status and perspectives Batteries 4 39
- [23] Tolganbek N, Yerkinbekova Y, Kalybekkyzy S, Bakenov Z and Mentbayeva A 2021 Current state of high voltage olivine structured LiMPO<sub>4</sub> cathode materials for energy storage applications: a review J. Alloys Compd. 882 160774
- [24] Hendrickx M, Paulus A, Kirsanova M A, Van Bael M K, Abakumov A M, Hardy A and Hadermann J 2022 The influence of synthesis method on the local structure and electrochemical properties of Li-Rich/Mn-rich NMC cathode materials for Li-ion batteries *Nanomaterials* 12 2269
- [25] Zheng J M, Wu X B and Yang Y 2011 A comparison of preparation method on the electrochemical performance of cathode material Li[Li<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.54</sub>Ni<sub>0.13</sub>Co<sub>0.13</sub>]O<sub>2</sub> for lithium ion battery *Electrochim. Acta* 56 3071–8
- [26] Shi S, Zhang S, Wu Z, Wang T, Zong J, Zhao M and Yang G 2017 Full microwave synthesis of advanced Li-rich manganese based cathode material for lithium ion batteries J. Power Sources 337 82–91
- [27] Qian G et al 2020 Single-crystal nickel-rich layered-oxide battery cathode materials: synthesis, electrochemistry, and intra-granular fracture Energy Storage Mater. 27 140–9
- [28] Simon L L et al 2015 Assessment of recent process analytical technology (PAT) trends: a multiauthor review Org. Process Res. Dev. 19 3–62
- [29] Rantanen J and Khinast J 2015 The future of pharmaceutical manufacturing sciences J. Pharm. Sci. 104 3612–38
- [30] Naik S and Chaudhuri B 2015 Quantifying dry milling in pharmaceutical processing: a review on experimental and modeling approaches J. Pharm. Sci. 104 2401–13

- [31] Suresh P, Sreedhar I, Vaidhiswaran R and Venugopal A 2017 A comprehensive review on process and engineering aspects of pharmaceutical wet granulation *Chem. Eng. J.* 328 785–815
- [32] Schmidt B, Patel J, Ricard F X, Brechtelsbauer C M and Lewis N 2004 Application of process modelling tools in the scale-up of pharmaceutical crystallisation processes *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **8** 998–1008
- [33] Kremer D M and Hancock B C 2006 Process simulation in the pharmaceutical industry: a review of some basic physical models J. Pharm. Sci. 95 517–29
- [34] Hou P, Zhang H, Zi Z, Zhang L and Xu X 2017 Core–shell and concentration-gradient cathodes prepared via co-precipitation reaction for advanced lithium-ion batteries *J. Mater. Chem.* A 5 4254–79
- [35] Wei C, He W, Zhang X, Shen J and Ma J 2016 Recent progress in hybrid cathode materials for lithium ion batteries New J. Chem. 40 2984–99
- [36] Zhou L, Zhang K, Hu Z, Tao Z, Mai L, Kang Y-M, Chou S-L and Chen J 2018 Recent developments on and prospects for electrode materials with hierarchical structures for lithium-ion batteries Adv. Energy Mater. 8 1701415
- [37] Uddin M-J, Alaboina P K and Cho S-J 2017 Nanostructured cathode materials synthesis for lithium-ion batteries Mater. Today Energy 5 138–57
- [38] Mohamed N and Allam N K 2020 Recent advances in the design of cathode materials for Li-ion batteries RSC Adv. 10 21662–85
   [39] Zheng M, Tang H, Li L, Hu Q, Zhang L, Xue H and Pang H 2018 Hierarchically nanostructured transition metal oxides for
- lithium in batteries *Adv. Sci.* 5 1700592
- [40] Fröhlich K, Legotin E, Bärhold F and Trifonova A 2017 New large-scale production route for synthesis of lithium nickel manganese cobalt oxide J. Solid State Electrochem. 21 3403–10
- [41] Jankovský O, Kovařík J, Leitner J, Růžička K and Sedmidubský D 2016 Thermodynamic properties of stoichiometric lithium cobaltite LiCoO<sub>2</sub> Thermochim. Acta 634 26–30
- [42] Shao-Horn Y, Croguennec L, Delmas C, Nelson E C and O'Keefe M A 2003 Atomic resolution of lithium ions in LiCoO<sub>2</sub> Nat. Mater. 2 464–7
- [43] Li D-C, Muta T, Zhang L-Q, Yoshio M and Noguchi H 2004 Effect of synthesis method on the electrochemical performance of LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> J. Power Sources 132 150–5
- [44] Nytén A, Abouimrane A, Armand M, Gustafsson T and Thomas J O 2005 Electrochemical performance of Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> as a new Li-battery cathode material *Electrochem. Commun.* 7 156–60
- [45] Zaghib K, Ait Salah A, Ravet N, Mauger A, Gendron F and Julien C M 2006 Structural, magnetic and electrochemical properties of lithium iron orthosilicate J. Power Sources 160 1381–6
- [46] Guo H, Xiang K, Cao X, Li X, Wang Z and Li L 2009 Preparation and characteristics of Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>/C composite for cathode of lithium ion batteries *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* 19 166–9
- [47] Huang X, Li X, Wang H, Pan Z, Qu M and Yu Z 2010 Synthesis and electrochemical performance of Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>/carbon/carbon nano-tubes for lithium ion battery *Electrochim. Acta* 55 7362–6
- [48] Ferrari S, Mozzati M C, Lantieri M, Spina G, Capsoni D and Bini M 2016 New materials for Li-ion batteries: synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of Li<sub>2</sub>(FeMnCo)SiO<sub>4</sub> cathode materials *Sci. Rep.* 6 27896
- [49] Zheng L, Bennett J C and Obrovac M N 2020 All-dry synthesis of single crystal NMC cathode materials for Li-ion batteries J. Electrochem. Soc. 167 130536
- [50] Santhanam R and Rambabu B 2010 Research progress in high voltage spinel LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> material J. Power Sources 195 5442–51
- [51] Wang D, Xiao J, Xu W, Nie Z, Wang C, Graff G and Zhang J-G 2011 Preparation and electrochemical investigation of Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F cathode material for lithium-ion batteries *J. Power Sources* 196 2241–5
- [52] Khasanova N R, Drozhzhin O A, Storozhilova D A, Delmas C and Antipov E V 2012 New form of Li<sub>2</sub>FePO<sub>4</sub>F as cathode material for Li-ion batteries *Chem. Mater.* 24 4271–3
- [53] Han C, Hong Y, Park C M and Kim K 2001 Synthesis and electrochemical properties of lithium cobalt oxides prepared by molten-salt synthesis using the eutectic mixture of LiCl–Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> J. Power Sources 92 95–101
- [54] Jiang X, Chu S, Chen Y, Zhong Y, Liu Y and Shao Z 2017 LiNi<sub>0.29</sub>Co<sub>0.33</sub>Mn<sub>0.38</sub>O<sub>2</sub> polyhedrons with reduced cation mixing as a high-performance cathode material for Li-ion batteries synthesized via a combined co-precipitation and molten salt heating technique J. Alloys Compd. 691 206–14
- [55] Kojima T, Kojima A, Miyuki T, Okuyama Y and Sakai T 2011 Synthesis method of the Li-ion battery cathode material Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> using a molten carbonate flux J. Electrochem. Soc. 158 A1340
- [56] Langdon J and Manthiram A 2021 A perspective on single-crystal layered oxide cathodes for lithium-ion batteries Energy Storage Mater. 37 143–60
- [57] Chen Z, Zhu W, Zhu H, Zhang J and Li Q 2010 Electrochemical performances of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composites prepared by molten salt method *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* 20 809–13
- [58] Tang W, Liu L L, Tian S, Li L, Yue Y B, Wu Y P, Guan S Y and Zhu K 2010 Nano-LiCoO<sub>2</sub> as cathode material of large capacity and high rate capability for aqueous rechargeable lithium batteries *Electrochem. Commun.* 12 1524–6
- [59] Shaju K M and Bruce P G 2006 Macroporous Li(Ni<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>)O<sub>2</sub>: a high-power and high-energy cathode for rechargeable lithium batteries *Adv. Mater.* 18 2330–4
- [60] Freitas B, Siqueira J Jr, da Costa L, Ferreira G and Resende J 2017 Synthesis and characterization of LiCoO<sub>2</sub> from different precursors by sol-gel method J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 28 2254–66
- [61] Kızıltaş-Yavuz N, Herklotz M, Hashem A M, Abuzeid H M, Schwarz B, Ehrenberg H, Mauger A and Julien C M 2013 Synthesis, structural, magnetic and electrochemical properties of LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> prepared by a sol–gel method using table sugar as chelating agent *Electrochim. Acta* 113 313–21
- [62] Sun Y-K, Oh I-H and Kim K Y 1997 Synthesis of spinel LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by the sol—gel method for a cathode-active material in lithium secondary batteries *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **36** 4839–46
- [63] Fan X-Y, Li Y, Wang J-J, Gou L, Zhao P, Li D-L, Huang L and Sun S-G 2010 Synthesis and electrochemical performance of porous Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>/C cathode material for long-life lithium-ion batteries J. Alloys Compd. 493 77–80
- [64] Pushnitsa K, Novikov P, Popovich A and Wang Q 2020 Synthesis of Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub>/C composite cathode material for Li-ion batteries and influence of dispersion effect on electrochemical characteristics Mater. Today Proc. 30 773–7
- [65] Wu X, Gong Z, Tan S and Yang Y 2012 Sol–gel synthesis of Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F/C nanocomposite as a high power cathode material for lithium ion batteries J. Power Sources 220 122–9
- [66] Ahmed S, Nelson P A, Gallagher K G, Susarla N and Dees D W 2017 Cost and energy demand of producing nickel manganese cobalt cathode material for lithium ion batteries J. Power Sources 342 733–40

- [67] Dai Q, Kelly C J, Dunn J and Benavides T P 2018 Update of bill-of-materials and cathode materials production for lithium-ion batteries in the GREET model (U.S. Dep Energy) (available at: https://greet.es.anl.gov/publication-update\_bom\_cm)
- [68] Noh H-J, Youn S, Yoon C S and Sun Y-K 2013 Comparison of the structural and electrochemical properties of layered Li[Ni<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>Mn<sub>z</sub>]O<sub>2</sub> (x = 1/3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and 0.85) cathode material for lithium-ion batteries *J. Power Sources* 233 121–30
- [69] Yang Y, Xu S, Xie M, He Y, Huang G and Yang Y 2015 Growth mechanisms for spherical mixed hydroxide agglomerates prepared by co-precipitation method: a case of Ni<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> J. Alloys Compd. 619 846–53
- [70] Lee D-K, Park S-H, Amine K, Bang H J, Parakash J and Sun Y-K 2006 High capacity Li[Li<sub>0.2</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.6</sub>]O<sub>2</sub> cathode materials via a carbonate co-precipitation method J. Power Sources 162 1346–50
- [71] Xu Z *et al* 2020 Charge distribution guided by grain crystallographic orientations in polycrystalline battery materials *Nat. Commun.* **11** 83
- [72] Sun Y-K, Myung S-T, Kim M-H, Prakash J and Amine K 2005 Synthesis and characterization of Li[(Ni<sub>0.8</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>) 0.8 (Ni<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>) O<sub>2</sub> ]O<sub>2</sub> with the microscale core-shell structure as the positive electrode material for lithium batteries *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127 13411–8
- [73] Sun Y-K, Kim D-H, Yoon C S, Myung S-T, Prakash J and Amine K 2010 A novel cathode material with a concentration-gradient for high-energy and safe lithium-ion batteries Adv. Funct. Mater. 20 485–91
- [74] Sun Y-K, Chen Z, Noh H-J, Lee D-J, Jung H-G, Ren Y, Wang S, Yoon C S, Myung S-T and Amine K 2012 Nanostructured high-energy cathode materials for advanced lithium batteries Nat. Mater. 11 942–7
- [75] Noh H-J, Chen Z, Yoon C S, Lu J, Amine K and Sun Y-K 2013 Cathode material with nanorod structure—an application for advanced high-energy and safe lithium batteries *Chem. Mater.* 25 2109–15
- [76] Hua W et al 2017 Shape-controlled synthesis of hierarchically layered lithium transition-metal oxide cathode materials by shear exfoliation in continuous stirred-tank reactors J. Mater. Chem. A 5 25391–400
- [77] Hua W et al 2020 Lithium-ion (de)intercalation mechanism in core-shell layered Li(Ni,Co,Mn)O<sup>2</sup> cathode materials Nano Energy 78 105231
- [78] Zhu Q, Xiao H, Zhang R, Geng S and Huang Q 2019 Effect of impeller type on preparing spherical and dense Ni1-x-y Co<sub>x</sub> Mn<sub>y</sub> (OH)<sub>2</sub> precursor via continuous co-precipitation in pilot scale: a case of Ni<sub>0</sub>·<sub>6</sub>Co<sub>0·2</sub>Mn<sub>0·2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> Electrochim. Acta **318** 1–13
- [79] Lu X, Liu C, Zhu W, Lu Z, Li W, Yang Y and Yang G 2019 Synthesis of micron-sized LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> single crystals through in situ microemulsion/coprecipitation and characterization of their electrochemical capabilities Powder Technol. 343 445–53
- [80] Shi L et al 2015 Preparation and electrochemical performances of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 10 4696–705
- [81] Yi T-F, Fang Z-K, Xie Y, Zhu Y-R and Zang L-Y 2014 Synthesis of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cathode with excellent fast charge-discharge performance for lithium-ion battery *Electrochim. Acta* 147 250–6
- [82] Zhang F *et al* 2020 Surface regulation enables high stability of single-crystal lithium-ion cathodes at high voltage *Nat. Commun.* 11 3050
- [83] Yan W, Jia X, Yang S, Huang Y, Yang Y and Yuan G 2020 Synthesis of single crystal LiNi<sub>0.92</sub>Co<sub>0.06</sub>Mn<sub>0.01</sub>Al<sub>0.01</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cathode materials with superior electrochemical performance for lithium ion batteries *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **167** 120514
- [84] Dong H and Koenig G M 2020 A review on synthesis and engineering of crystal precursors produced via coprecipitation for multicomponent lithium-ion battery cathode materials CrystEngComm 22 1514–30
- [85] Xiong W, Jiang Y, Yang Z, Li D and Huang Y 2014 High-performance hierarchical LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> microspheres synthesized via a facile template-sacrificial route J. Alloys Compd. 589 615–21
- [86] Wu Y, Cao C, Zhu Y, Li J and Wang L 2015 Cube-shaped hierarchical LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with enhanced growth of nanocrystal planes as high-performance cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries *J. Mater. Chem.* A 3 15523–8
- [87] Chen Z, Wang J, Chao D, Baikie T, Bai L, Chen S, Zhao Y, Sum T C, Lin J and Shen Z 2016 Hierarchical porous LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> nano-/micro spherical cathode material: minimized cation mixing and improved Li+ mobility for enhanced electrochemical performance *Sci. Rep.* 6 25771
- [88] Zhang J, Wu Z, Hua W, Liu H and Zhong B 2015 High-performance porous spherical cathode materials based on CaCO<sub>3</sub>-template synthesis of LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for lithium-ion batteries *Ionics* 21 3151–8
- [89] Hosono E, Kudo T, Honma I, Matsuda H and Zhou H 2009 Synthesis of single crystalline spinel LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanowires for a lithium ion battery with high power density Nano Lett. 9 1045–51
- [90] Sides C R, Croce F, Young V Y, Martin C R and Scrosati B 2005 A High-Rate, Nanocomposite LiFePO[sub 4]/Carbon cathode Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 8 A484
- [91] Riley L A, Van Atta S, Cavanagh A S, Yan Y, George S M, Liu P, Dillon A C and Lee S-H 2011 Electrochemical effects of ALD surface modification on combustion synthesized LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a layered-cathode material *J. Power Sources* 196 3317–24
- [92] Okumura T, Shikano M, Yamaguchi Y and Kobayashi H 2015 Structural changes in Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub>F during lithium-ion battery reactions *Chem. Mater.* 27 2839–47
- [93] Zhang L, Lv X, Wen Y, Wang F and Su H 2009 Carbon combustion synthesis of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and its use as a cathode material for lithium ion batteries J. Alloys Compd. 480 802–5
- [94] Ryu W-H, Lim S-J, Kim W-K and Kwon H 2014 3D dumbbell-like LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cathode materials assembled with nano-building blocks for lithium-ion batteries *J. Power Sources* 257 186–91
- [95] Zhao X, Liu B, Yang J, Hou J, Wang Y and Zhu Y 2020 Synthesizing LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with microsized peanut-like structure for enhanced electrochemical properties of lithium ion batteries J. Alloys Compd. 832 154464
- [96] Chen Z, Chao D, Chen M and Shen Z 2020 Hierarchical porous LiNi<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with yolk–shell-like architecture as stable cathode material for lithium-ion batteries RSC Adv. 10 18776–83
- [97] Guo J, Deng Z, Yan S, Lang Y, Gong J, Wang L and Liang G 2020 Preparation and electrochemical performance of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinels with different particle sizes and surface orientations as cathode materials for lithium-ion battery J. Mater. Sci. 55 13157–76
- [98] Qin X, Wang X, Xiang H, Xie J, Li J and Zhou Y 2010 Mechanism for hydrothermal synthesis of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> platelets as cathode material for lithium-ion batteries J. Phys. Chem. C 114 16806–12
- [99] Li Y, Wang L, Zhang K, Yao Y and Kong L 2021 Optimized synthesis of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cathode material and its reaction mechanism during solvothermal Adv. Powder Technol. 32 2097–105
- [100] Saravanan K, Balaya P, Reddy M V, Chowdari B V R and Vittal J J 2010 Morphology controlled synthesis of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C nanoplates for Li-ion batteries *Energy Environ. Sci.* 3 457
- [101] Wu B, Xu H, Mu D, Shi L, Jiang B, Gai L, Wang L, Liu Q, Ben L and Wu F 2016 Controlled solvothermal synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiCoPO<sub>4</sub> submicron single crystals as a cathode material for lithium ion batteries *J. Power Sources* 304 181–8

- [102] Schoiber J, Berger R J F, Yada C, Miki H and Hüsing N 2015 A two-step synthesis for Li<sub>2</sub>CoPO<sub>4</sub> F as high-voltage cathode material J. Electrochem. Soc. 162 A2679–83
- [103] Laveda J V, Johnston B, Paterson G W, Baker P J, Tucker M G, Playford H Y, Jensen K M Ø, Billinge S J L and Corr S A 2018 Structure–property insights into nanostructured electrodes for Li-ion batteries from local structural and diffusional probes J. Mater. Chem. A 6 127–37
- [104] Skvortsova I, Savina A A, Orlova E D, Gorshkov V S and Abakumov A M 2022 Microwave-assisted hydrothermal synthesis of space fillers to enhance volumetric energy density of NMC811 cathode material for Li-ion batteries *Batteries* 8 67
- [105] Shi S, Wang T, Cao M, Wang J, Zhao M and Yang G 2016 Rapid self-assembly spherical Li<sub>1.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.56</sub>Ni<sub>0.16</sub>Co<sub>0.08</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with improved performances by microwave hydrothermal method as cathode for lithium-ion batteries ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8 11476–87
- [106] Jafta C J, Mathe M K, Manyala N, Roos W D and Ozoemena K I 2013 Microwave-assisted synthesis of high-voltage nanostructured LiMn<sub>1.5</sub>Ni<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel: tuning the Mn 3+ content and electrochemical performance ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5 7592–8
- [107] Guo-Rong H 2009 Preparation of Li<sub>2</sub>FeSiO<sub>4</sub> cathode material for lithium-ion batteries by microwave synthesis Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin. 25 1004–8
- [108] Vertruyen B, Eshraghi N, Piffet C, Bodart J, Mahmoud A and Boschini F 2018 Spray-drying of electrode materials for lithiumand sodium-ion batteries *Materials* 11 1076
- [109] Wang Z et al 2017 High performance lithium-manganese-rich cathode material with reduced impurities Nano Energy 31 247–57
- [110] Lin F, Nordlund D, Li Y, Quan M K, Cheng L, Weng T-C, Liu Y, Xin H L and Doeff M M 2016 Metal segregation in hierarchically structured cathode materials for high-energy lithium batteries *Nat. Energy* 1 15004
- [111] Zhang J, Xu S, Hamad K I, Jasim A M and Xing Y 2020 High retention rate NCA cathode powders from spray drying and flame assisted spray pyrolysis using glycerol as the solvent *Powder Technol.* 363 1–6
- [112] Hamid N A, Wennig S, Hardt S, Heinzel A, Schulz C and Wiggers H 2012 High-capacity cathodes for lithium-ion batteries from nanostructured LiFePO<sub>4</sub> synthesized by highly-flexible and scalable flame spray pyrolysis J. Power Sources 216 76–83
- [113] Kashi R, Khosravi M and Mollazadeh M 2018 Effect of carbon precursor on electrochemical performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>-C nano composite synthesized by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis as cathode active material for Li ion battery Mater. Chem. Phys. 203 319–32
- [114] Bakenov Z and Taniguchi I 2011 LiMnPO<sub>4</sub> olivine as a cathode for lithium batteries *Open Mater. Sci. J.* 5 222–7
- [115] Nguyen T, Kim U-H, Yoon C S and Sun Y-K 2021 Enhanced cycling stability of Sn-doped Li[Ni<sub>0.90</sub>Co<sub>0.05</sub>Mn<sub>0.05</sub>]O<sub>2</sub> via optimization of particle shape and orientation *Chem. Eng. J.* 405 126887
- [116] Kim U, Park G, Son B, Nam G W, Liu J, Kuo L, Kaghazchi P, Yoon C S and Sun Y 2020 Heuristic solution for achieving long-term cycle stability for Ni-rich layered cathodes at full depth of discharge Nat. Energy 5 860–9
- [117] Neudeck S, Mazilkin A, Reitz C, Hartmann P, Janek J and Brezesinski T 2019 Effect of low-temperature Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ALD coating on Ni-rich layered oxide composite cathode on the long-term cycling performance of lithium-ion batteries Sci. Rep. 9 5328
- [118] Zhu W, Huang X, Liu T, Xie Z, Wang Y, Tian K, Bu L, Wang H, Gao L and Zhao J 2019 Ultrathin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating on LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> cathode material for enhanced cycleability at extended voltage ranges Coatings 9 92
- [119] Liu W et al 2018 Significantly improving cycling performance of cathodes in lithium ion batteries: the effect of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and LiAlO<sub>2</sub> coatings on LiNi<sub>0.6</sub>Co<sub>0.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Nano Energy 44 111–20
- [120] He Y, Pham H, Liang X and Park J 2022 Impact of ultrathin coating layer on lithium-ion intercalation into particles for lithium-ion batteries Chem. Eng. J. 440 135565
- [121] Zhao J and Wang Y 2013 Surface modifications of Li-ion battery electrodes with various ultrathin amphoteric oxide coatings for enhanced cycleability J. Solid State Electrochem. 17 1049–58
- [122] Zheng L, Hatchard T D and Obrovac M N 2019 A high-quality mechanofusion coating for enhancing lithium-ion battery cathode material performance MRS Commun. 9 245–50
- [123] Wang X and Yushin G 2015 Chemical vapor deposition and atomic layer deposition for advanced lithium ion batteries and supercapacitors Energy Environ. Sci. 8 1889–904
- [124] Riyanto E et al 2021 A review of atomic layer deposition for high lithium-ion battery performance J. Mater. Res. Technol. 15 5466–81
- [125] Dreizler A M, Bohn N, Geßwein H, Müller M, Binder J R, Wagner N and Friedrich K A 2018 Investigation of the influence of nanostructured LiNi<sub>0.33</sub>Co<sub>0.33</sub>Mn<sub>0.33</sub>O<sub>2</sub> lithium-ion battery electrodes on performance and aging J. Electrochem. Soc. 165 A273–82
- [126] Wagner A C, Bohn N, Geßwein H, Neumann M, Osenberg M, Hilger A, Manke I, Schmidt V and Binder J R 2020 Hierarchical structuring of NMC111-cathode materials in lithium-ion batteries: an in-depth study on the influence of primary and secondary particle sizes on electrochemical performance ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 3 12565–74
- [127] Zhao Z, Huang B, Wang M, Yang X and Gu Y 2019 Facile synthesis of fluorine doped single crystal Ni-rich cathode material for lithium-ion batteries Solid State Ion. 342 115065
- [128] Reddy M V, Subba Rao G V and Chowdari B V R 2007 Preparation and characterization of LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.4</sub>Al<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by molten salt synthesis for Li ion batteries *J. Phys. Chem.* C 111 11712–20
- [129] Ju S H, Kim J H and Kang Y C 2010 Electrochemical properties of LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Co<sub>0.2−x</sub>Al<sub>x</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0≤x≤0.1) cathode particles prepared by spray pyrolysis from the spray solutions with and without organic additives *Met. Mater. Int.* 16 299–303
- [130] Moitra A, Kim S, Kim S G, Park S J, German R M and Horstemeyer M F 2010 Investigation on sintering mechanism of nanoscale tungsten powder based on atomistic simulation Acta Mater. 58 3939–51
- [131] Garcia J C, Barai P, Chen J, Gutierrez A, Wen J, Arslan I, Wang X, Fister T T, Iddir H and Srinivasan V 2020 Predicting morphological evolution during coprecipitation of MnCO<sub>3</sub> battery cathode precursors using multiscale simulations aided by targeted synthesis *Chem. Mater.* 32 9126–39
- [132] Koch W and Holthausen M C 2001 A Chemist's Guide to Density Functional Theory (New York: Wiley) (https://doi.org/ 10.1002/3527600043)
- [133] Sholl D S and Steckel J A 2009 Density Functional Theory (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley) (https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470447710)
- [134] Allen M P 2004 Introduction to molecular dynamics simulation Comput. Soft Matter 23 1–28
- [135] Binder K, Horbach J, Kob W, Paul W and Varnik F 2004 Molecular dynamics simulations J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 S429–53
- [136] Moelans N, Blanpain B and Wollants P 2008 An introduction to phase-field modeling of microstructure evolution Calphad 32 268–94
- [137] Chen L-Q 2002 Phase-field models for microstructure evolution Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 32 113-40
- [138] Boettinger W J, Warren J A, Beckermann C and Karma A 2002 Phase-field simulation of solidification Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 32 163–94
- [139] Cundall P A and Strack O D L 1979 A discrete numerical model for granular assemblies Géotechnique 29 47-65

- [140] Ramkrishna D 2000 Population Balances: Theory and Applications to Particulate Systems in Engineering (New York: Academic)
- [141] McLean D 2012 Understanding Aerodynamics: Arguing from the Real Physics (New York: Wiley) (available at: https://books.google. co.uk/books?id=UE3sxu28R0wC)
- [142] Ferziger J H, Perić M and Street R L 2002 Computational Methods for Fluid Dynamics (Berlin: Springer)
- [143] Mackay D J C 1998 Introduction to Monte Carlo methods Learning in graphical models (Amsterdam: Springer) pp 175-204
- [144] Raychaudhuri S 2008 Introduction to Monte Carlo simulation 2008 Winter Simul. Conf. (IEEE) pp 91–100
- [145] Voter A F 2007 Introduction to the kinetic Monte Carlo method Radiation Effects in Solids (Amsterdam: Springer) pp 1–23
- [146] Bird G A 1963 Approach to translational equilibrium in a rigid sphere gas Phys. Fluids 6 1518
- [147] Prasanth P S and Kakkassery J K 2006 Direct simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC): a numerical method for transition-regime flows–a review J. Indian Inst. Sci. **86** 169–92
- [148] Gallis M A, Torczynski J R, Plimpton S J, Rader D J and Koehler T 2014 Direct simulation Monte Carlo: the quest for speed AIP Conf. Proc. 1628 27–36
- [149] Barai P, Feng Z, Kondo H and Srinivasan V 2019 Multiscale computational model for particle size evolution during coprecipitation of Li-ion battery cathode precursors J. Phys. Chem. B 123 3291–303
- [150] Salvatori F and Mazzotti M 2017 Manipulation of particle morphology by crystallization, milling, and heating cycles—a mathematical modeling approach Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 56 9188–201
- [151] Salvatori F and Mazzotti M 2018 Manipulation of particle morphology by crystallization, milling, and heating cycles: experimental characterization Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 57 15522–33
- [152] Bałdyga J 2016 Mixing and fluid dynamics effects in particle precipitation processes KONA Powder Part. J. 33 127-49
- [153] Zauner R 1999 Scale-up of precipitation processes Doctoral thesis University College London
- [154] Bertrand M, Parmentier D, Lebaigue O, Plasari E and Ducros F 2012 Mixing study in an unbaffled stirred precipitator using LES modelling Int. J. Chem. Eng. 2012 1–11
- [155] Bertrand M, Plasari E, Lebaigue O, Baron P, Lamarque N and Ducros F 2012 Hybrid LES–multizonal modelling of the uranium oxalate precipitation Chem. Eng. Sci. 77 95–104
- [156] Jung D S, Bin Park S and Kang Y C 2010 Design of particles by spray pyrolysis and recent progress in its application Korean J. Chem. Eng. 27 1621–45
- [157] Nandiyanto A B D and Okuyama K 2011 Progress in developing spray-drying methods for the production of controlled morphology particles: from the nanometer to submicrometer size ranges Adv. Powder Technol. 22 1–19
- [158] Walton D E and Mumford C J 1999 The morphology of spray-dried particles Chem Eng. Res. Des. 77 442-60
- [159] Boel E, Koekoekx R, Dedroog S, Babkin I, Vetrano M R, Clasen C and Van den Mooter G 2020 Unraveling particle formation: from single droplet drying to spray drying and electrospraying *Pharmaceutics* 12 625
- [160] Mezhericher M, Levy A and Borde I 2008 Droplet–droplet interactions in spray drying by using 2D computational fluid dynamics Dry Technol. 26 265–82
- [161] Fletcher D F, Guo B, Harvie D J E, Langrish T A G, Nijdam J J and Williams J 2006 What is important in the simulation of spray dryer performance and how do current CFD models perform? *Appl. Math. Model.* 30 1281–92
- [162] Verdurmen R E M et al 2004 Simulation of agglomeration in spray drying installations: the EDECAD project Dry Technol. 22 1403–61
- [163] Al-Khattawi A, Bayly A, Phillips A and Wilson D 2018 The design and scale-up of spray dried particle delivery systems Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 15 47–63
- [164] Dobry D E, Settell D M, Baumann J M, Ray R J, Graham L J and Beyerinck R A 2009 A model-based methodology for spray-drying process development J. Pharm. Innov. 4 133–42
- [165] Eckhard S, Fries M, Antonyuk S and Heinrich S 2017 Dependencies between internal structure and mechanical properties of spray dried granules—experimental study and DEM simulation Adv. Powder Technol. 28 185–96
- [166] Zellmer S, Garnweitner G, Breinlinger T, Kraft T and Schilde C 2015 Hierarchical structure formation of nanoparticulate spray-dried composite aggregates ACS Nano 9 10749–57
- [167] Gröhn A J, Pratsinis S E and Wegner K 2012 Fluid-particle dynamics during combustion spray aerosol synthesis of ZrO<sub>2</sub> Chem. Eng. J. 191 491–502
- [168] Meierhofer F, Mädler L and Fritsching U 2020 Nanoparticle evolution in flame spray pyrolysis—process design via experimental and computational analysis *AIChE J.* 66 1–14
- [169] Torabmostaedi H, Zhang T, Foot P, Dembele S and Fernandez C 2013 Process control for the synthesis of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles using FSP at high production rate *Powder Technol.* 246 419–33
- [170] Torabmostaedi H and Zhang T 2014 Numerical optimization of quenching efficiency and particle size control in flame synthesis of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles J. Therm. Spray Technol. 23 1478–92
- [171] Torabmostaedi H and Zhang T 2014 Computational study of the effect of processing parameters on the formation and growth of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in FSP process Chem. Eng. Process.: Process Intensif. 78 1–10
- [172] Torabmostaedi H and Zhang T 2014 Effect of nozzle geometry and processing parameters on the formation of nanoparticles using FSP Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 92 2470–8
- [173] Torabmostaedi H and Zhang T 2018 Numerical simulation of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle synthesis by flame spray pyrolysis Powder Technol. 329 426–33
- [174] Brunaugh A and Smyth H D C 2018 Process optimization and particle engineering of micronized drug powders via milling Drug Deliv. Transl. Res. 8 1740–50
- [175] Yu P, Xie W, Liu L X and Powell M S 2018 Analytical solution for the dynamic model of tumbling mills Powder Technol. 337 111-8
- [176] Burmeister C F and Kwade A 2013 Process engineering with planetary ball mills Chem. Soc. Rev. 42 7660
- [177] Chegeni M J 2019 Combined DEM and SPH simulation of ball milling J. Min. Environ. 10 151-61
- [178] Peng Y, Li T, Zhu Z, Zou S and Yin Z 2017 Discrete element method simulations of load behavior with mono-sized iron ore particles in a ball mill Adv. Mech. Eng. 9 168781401770559
- [179] Xie Q, Zhong C, Liu D, Fu Q, Wang X and Shen Z 2020 Operation analysis of a SAG mill under different conditions based on DEM and breakage energy method *Energies* 13 5247
- [180] Góralczyk M, Krot P, Zimroz R and Ogonowski S 2020 Increasing energy efficiency and productivity of the comminution process in tumbling mills by indirect measurements of internal dynamics—an overview *Energies* 13 6735
- [181] Larsson S, Pålsson B I, Parian M and Jonsén P 2020 A novel approach for modelling of physical interactions between slurry, grinding media and mill structure in wet stirred media mills *Miner. Eng.* 148 106180

- [182] Ronduda H, Zybert M, Szczęsna-Chrzan A, Trzeciak T, Ostrowski A, Szymański D, Wieczorek W, Raróg-Pilecka W and Marcinek M 2020 On the sensitivity of the Ni-rich layered cathode materials for Li-ion batteries to the different calcination conditions *Nanomaterials* 10 2018
- [183] Barai P, Fister T, Liang Y, Libera J, Wolfman M, Wang X, Garcia J, Iddir H and Srinivasan V 2021 Investigating the calcination and sintering of Li<sub>7</sub>La<sub>3</sub> Zr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (LLZO) solid electrolytes using operando synchrotron x-ray characterization and mesoscale modeling *Chem. Mater.* 33 4337–52
- [184] Teklay A, Yin C, Rosendahl L and Bøjer M 2014 Calcination of kaolinite clay particles for cement production: a modeling study Cem. Concr. Res. 61–62 11–19
- [185] Mikulčić H, von Berg E, Vujanović M, Priesching P, Perković L, Tatschl R and Duić N 2012 Numerical modelling of calcination reaction mechanism for cement production *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 69 607–15
- [186] Eskelinen A, Zakharov A, Jämsä-Jounela S-L and Hearle J 2015 Dynamic modeling of a multiple hearth furnace for kaolin calcination AIChE J. 61 3683–98
- [187] Wydrych J and Dobrowolski B 2019 Numerical calculations of limestone calcination in cement industry with use of shrink core model AIP Conf. Proc. 2078 020034
- [188] Nakhaei M, Wu H, Grévain D, Jensen L S, Glarborg P and Dam–Johansen K 2019 CPFD simulation of petcoke and SRF co–firing in a full–scale cement calciner Fuel Process Technol. 196 106153
- [189] Hébrard J-L, Nortier P, Pijolat M and Soustelle M 1990 Initial sintering of submicrometer titania anatase powder J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 73 79–84
- [190] Bernache-Assollant D, Ababou A, Champion E and Heughebaert M 2003 Sintering of calcium phosphate hydroxyapatite Ca<sub>10</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)6(OH)<sub>2</sub> I. Calcination and particle growth J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 23 229–41
- [191] Kruis F E, Kusters K A, Pratsinis S E and Scarlett B 1993 A simple model for the evolution of the characteristics of aggregate particles undergoing coagulation and sintering Aerosol. Sci. Technol. 19 514–26
- [192] Rojek J, Nosewicz S, Pietrzak K and Chmielewski M 2013 Simulation of powder sintering using a discrete element model Acta Mech. Autom. 7 175–9
- [193] Hara S, Ohi A and Shikazono N 2015 Sintering analysis of sub-micron-sized nickel powders: kinetic Monte Carlo simulation verified by FIB–SEM reconstruction J. Power Sources 276 105–12
- [194] Rojek J, Nosewicz S, Maździarz M, Kowalczyk P, Wawrzyk K and Lumelskyj D 2017 Modeling of a sintering process at various scales *Proc. Eng.* **177** 263–70
- [195] Oviroh P O, Akbarzadeh R, Pan D, Coetzee R A M and Jen T-C 2019 New development of atomic layer deposition: processes, methods and applications Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 20 465–96
- [196] Gordon R G, Hausmann D, Kim E and Shepard J 2003 A kinetic model for step coverage by atomic layer deposition in narrow holes or trenches *Chem. Vap. Deposition* 9 73–78
- [197] Jin W, Kleijn C R and van Ommen J R 2017 Simulation of atomic layer deposition on nanoparticle agglomerates J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 35 01B116
- [198] Widjaja Y and Musgrave C B 2002 Quantum chemical study of the mechanism of aluminum oxide atomic layer deposition Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 3304–6
- [199] Shirazi M and Elliott S D 2014 Atomistic kinetic Monte Carlo study of atomic layer deposition derived from density functional theory J. Comput. Chem. 35 244–59
- [200] Weckman T and Laasonen K 2015 First principles study of the atomic layer deposition of alumina by TMA-H<sub>2</sub>O-process Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 17 17322–34
- [201] Hu Z, Shi J and Heath Turner C 2009 Molecular dynamics simulation of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film structure during atomic layer deposition Mol. Simul. 35 270–9
- [202] Cremers V, Geenen F, Detavernier C and Dendooven J 2017 Monte Carlo simulations of atomic layer deposition on 3D large surface area structures: required precursor exposure for pillar- versus hole-type structures J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 35 01B115
- [203] Duan C-L, Zhu P-H, Deng Z, Li Y, Shan B, Fang H-S, Feng G and Chen R 2017 Mechanistic modeling study of atomic layer deposition process optimization in a fluidized bed reactor J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 35 01B102
- [204] Dave R, Chen W, Mujumdar A, Wang W and Pfeffer R 2003 Numerical simulation of dry particle coating processes by the discrete element method *Adv. Powder Technol.* 14 449–70
- [205] Chen W, Dave R N, Pfeffer R and Walton O 2004 Numerical simulation of mechanofusion system *Powder Technol.* 146 121–36
- [206] Nadimi S and Ghadiri M 2021 Stress and input energy analyses of shearing a particle bed under a centrifugal field Powder Technol. 394 575–83
- [207] Justin Kunene T, Kwanda Tartibu L, Ukoba K and Jen T-C 2022 Review of atomic layer deposition process, application and modeling tools Mater. Today Proc. 62 S95–S109
- [208] Mezhericher M, Levy A and Borde I 2007 Theoretical drying model of single droplets containing insoluble or dissolved solids Dry Technol. 25 1025–32
- [209] Mezhericher M, Levy A and Borde I 2008 Heat and mass transfer of single droplet/wet particle drying Chem. Eng. Sci. 63 12-23
- [210] Gac J M and Gradoń L 2013 A distributed parameter model for the spray drying of multicomponent droplets with a crust formation Adv. Powder Technol. 24 324–30
- [211] Seydel P, Blömer J and Bertling J 2006 Modeling particle formation at spray drying using population balances Dry Technol. 24 137–46
- [212] Grasmeijer N, Frijlink H W and Hinrichs W L J 2016 Model to predict inhomogeneous protein–sugar distribution in powders prepared by spray drying J. Aerosol. Sci. 101 22–33
- [213] Patel K, Chen X D, Jeantet R and Schuck P 2010 One-dimensional simulation of co-current, dairy spray drying systems—pros and cons *Dairy Sci. Technol.* **90** 181–210
- [214] Kemp I C, Hartwig T, Herdman R, Hamilton P, Bisten A and Bermingham S 2016 Spray drying with a two-fluid nozzle to produce fine particles: atomization, scale-up, and modeling *Dry Technol.* 34 1243–52
- [215] Pinto M, Kemp I, Bermingham S, Hartwig T and Bisten A 2014 Development of an axisymmetric population balance model for spray drying and validation against experimental data and CFD simulations *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 92 619–34
- [216] Goriparti S, Miele E, De Angelis F, Di Fabrizio E, Proietti Zaccaria R and Capiglia C 2014 Review on recent progress of nanostructured anode materials for Li-ion batteries J. Power Sources 257 421–43
- [217] Wu C, Tong X, Ai Y, Liu D-S, Yu P, Wu J and Wang Z M 2018 A review: enhanced anodes of Li/Na-ion batteries based on yolk–shell structured nanomaterials *Nano-Micro Lett.* 10 40

- [218] Moon G D 2020 Yolk-shell nanostructures: syntheses and applications for lithium-ion battery anodes Nanomaterials 10 675
- [219] Liu Y, Gonzalez M and Wassgren C 2019 Modeling granular material segregation using a combined finite element method and advection–diffusion–segregation equation model *Powder Technol.* **346** 38–48
- [220] Cheng H, Shapter J G, Li Y and Gao G 2021 Recent progress of advanced anode materials of lithium-ion batteries J. Energy Chem. 57 451–68
- [221] Nzereogu P U, Omah A D, Ezema F I, Iwuoha E I and Nwanya A C 2022 Anode materials for lithium-ion batteries: a review Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv. 9 100233
- [222] Jeevan T S M A, Tadele G, Yizengaw L and Johnson M F G 2022 Review on recent progress of nanostructured anode materials for Li-ion batteries Am. J. Anal. Chem. 13 431–48