5(1), 1–15, 2023 | https://doi.org/10.1093/noajnl/vdad145 | Advance Access date 24 November 2023

Genomic landscape and actionable mutations of brain metastases derived from non–small cell lung cancer: A systematic review

Lily J. Andrews[®], Zak A. Thornton[®], Ruqiya Saleh, Sarah Dawson, Susan C. Short[®], Richard Daly, Julian P.T. Higgins[®], Philippa Davies^{†®}, and Kathreena M. Kurian^{†®}

MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (IEU), Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK (L.J.A., Z.A.T., P.D., K.M.K.); Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK (L.J.A., Z.A.T., S.D., J.P.T.H., P.D., K.M.K.); Cancer Research Integrative Cancer Epidemiology Programme, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK (L.J.A., Z.A.T., P.D., K.M.K.); Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK (R.S.); Leeds Institute of Medical Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK (S.C.S.); Cellular Pathology Department, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK (R.D.); Brain Tumour Research Centre, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK (K.M.K)

Corresponding Authors: Lily J. Andrews, BSc, MSc, Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Clifton, Bristol BS8 2BN, UK (lily.andrews@bristol.ac.uk); Kathreena M. Kurian, BSc, MD, MBBS, FRCPathNeuro, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Clifton, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK (kathreena.kurian@bristol.ac.uk).

[†]These authors are joint co-senior authors.

Abstract

Background. Brain metastases derived from non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represent a significant clinical problem. We aim to characterize the genomic landscape of brain metastases derived from NSCLC and assess clinical actionability.

Methods. We searched Embase, MEDLINE, Web of Science, and BIOSIS from inception to 18/19 May 2022. We extracted information on patient demographics, smoking status, genomic data, matched primary NSCLC, and programmed cell death ligand 1 expression.

Results. We found 72 included papers and data on 2346 patients. The most frequently mutated genes from our data were *EGFR* (n = 559), *TP53* (n = 331), *KRAS* (n = 328), *CDKN2A* (n = 97), and *STK11* (n = 72). Common missense mutations included *EGFR* L858R (n = 80) and *KRAS* G12C (n = 17). Brain metastases of ever versus never smokers had differing missense mutations in *TP53* and *EGFR*, except for L858R and T790M in *EGFR*, which were seen in both subgroups. Of the top 10 frequently mutated genes that had primary NSCLC data, we found 37% of the specific mutations assessed to be discordant between the primary NSCLC and brain metastases.

Conclusions. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to describe the genomic landscape of brain metastases derived from NSCLC. These results provide a comprehensive outline of frequently mutated genes and missense mutations that could be clinically actionable. These data also provide evidence of differing genomic landscapes between ever versus never smokers and primary NSCLC compared to the BM. This information could have important consequences for the selection and development of targeted drugs for these patients.

Key Points

- Reported genes and missense mutation in brain metastases (BMs) derived from nonsmall cell lung cancer could inform targeted treatment.
- Highlighting the discordance between BM and the primary tumor provides insight that treatment for the primary tumor may not be effective for the BM.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press, the Society for Neuro-Oncology and the European Association of Neuro-Oncology.

Importance of the Study

Brain metastases (BMs) derived from non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represent a significant clinical problem. We provide a comprehensive systematic review of the genomic landscape of brain metastatic NSCLC to better inform novel precision medicine approaches. This review reports frequently mutated genes in BM derived from NSCLC and the most common missense mutations, with information on drug targets. Differing genomic profiles in NSCLC BM compared to the NSCLC primary and between smoking status are highlighted. Overall, this information could have important consequences for the selection and development of targeted drugs for patients.

Lung cancer causes more deaths worldwide (18.4%) than any other cancer type, leading to around 1.8 million deaths per year.¹ Non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represents around 80%–90% of lung cancers, with most patients presenting with advanced-stage unresectable disease,² around 27% of patients will develop brain metastases (BMs).³ Major histological subtypes of NSCLC include adenocarcinoma (the most common subtype), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), large cell carcinoma (LCC), adenosquamous carcinoma, and sarcomatoid carcinoma.⁴

The genetic landscape of the different subtypes of NSCLC is well established. TP53 and LRP1B mutations are common to all NSCLC subtypes, but certain subtypes also have specific alterations. Lung adenocarcinoma has higher frequencies of KRAS, EGFR, KEAP1, STK11, MET, and BRAF somatic mutations. SCC shares many alterations with lung adenocarcinoma, but has specific somatic alterations including TP53, LRP1B, CDKN2A, PTEN, PIK3CA, KEAP1, MLL2, HLA-A, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, RB1, and PDYN.⁴ Some studies suggest the genomic landscape of NSCLC in ever versus never smokers differ independent of subtype. One study found EGFR mutations, ROS1 and ALK fusions to be more prevalent in never smokers, whereas KRAS, TP53, BRAF, JAK2, JAK3 and mismatch repair gene mutations were more commonly mutated in ever smokers.⁵

The profiles of BM derived from NSCLC are not as well evidenced. A recent large cohort study found *TP53, KRAS, CDKN2A, STK11, CDKN2B, EGFR, NKX2-1, RB1, MYC,* and *KEAP1* genes to be frequently mutated.⁶ This study also suggested different genomic profiles in the primary NSCLC compared to the BM.⁶

The recent emergence of targeted therapies to programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) has dramatically improved the survival of advanced NSCLC patients through targeting immune checkpoints to enhance tumor-directed immunity.⁷ Tumors with specific mutations may respond less well to immunotherapy drugs, and FDA-approved drugs that target specific mutations in EGFR and ALK may be more effective.8 These are now under investigation for patients with NSCLC BM but it is not clear whether selecting agents based on the mutation profile of the primary tumor is appropriate. New targeted therapies using agents with high CNS penetration that target appropriate mutations are also needed to improve the quality of life and survival for these patients.9 In this systematic review, we aim to collate genomic sequencing data of BM derived from NSCLC to identify commonly mutated genes and missense mutations, and assess their clinical actionability.

We also aim to compare the genomic profile of ever versus never smokers, and primary NSCLC against the BM.

Materials and Methods

Protocol

We registered a protocol on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO: https:// www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record. php?ID=CRD42022321782) and followed the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA).^{10,11} We did not require ethical approval for this study as all the data used in our analyses were from previously published articles.

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

We considered studies to be eligible if they (i) included samples/patients clinically diagnosed with a BM derived from NSCLC; (ii) had at least 2 mutations analyzed in the sequencing of BM; (iii) performed sequencing on BM tissue; and (iv) were cohort studies (including randomized trials and other controlled/uncontrolled clinical trials), case series, or case reports. There were no restrictions on language.

We identified records through a systematic literature search of Embase, MEDLINE, Web of Science, and BIOSIS from inception to 18/19 May (Supplementary Tables 1–4), we then uploaded the records to Endnote and de-duplicated.¹² Next, we uploaded the remaining articles to Rayyan.¹³Two independent reviewers screened records by title and abstract using Rayyan software and records which did not fit eligibility criteria were excluded. Two independent reviewers assessed the eligibility of the full texts for all remaining references. Any discrepancies during the screening process were referred to a third reviewer.

We carried out the data extraction into a Microsoft Excel document. We extracted data on the following: as publication details, patient characteristics, subtype of NSCLC, time to BM, overall survival, and genes mutated in BM. One reviewer extracted the data from each included record and a second reviewer checked this. We did not extract data looking at loss of heterozygosity. In addition to our prespecified data extraction, we extracted information on PD-L1 protein expression from the BM since this has emerged as an important biomarker for

Advances

response to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Where the data were available, we also assessed if the primary NSCLC tumor had the same gene mutated as the BM, since this could provide important information regarding whether targeted treatment can be selected without access to BM tissue.

Risk of Bias

One reviewer assessed risk of bias in the included studies using the Hoy et al. risk-of-bias tool.¹⁴ We considered studies to be at low risk of bias where all items received a yes response, moderate risk where 1 item received a no response, and high risk where 2 or more items received a no response.

Statistical and Actionability Analysis

We synthesized the data from the included papers using Microsoft Excel, which we also used to create result tables and bar charts. We included a subgroup analysis looking at the genomic profile of BM in ever and never smokers, as defined in the individual publications. For all patients (including never- and ever-smoker subgroups), we also investigated distinct missense mutations present in frequently mutated genes. This analysis only included data that specified the exact type of missense mutation sequenced.

We used OncoKB to look at specific missense mutations found in the top 10 mutated genes in all patients to generate the level of evidence for each biomarker and considered if they could be actionable (https://www.oncokb. org).¹⁵ We also used the drug-gene interaction database (DGldb) to assess the potential druggability of the selected genes (https://www.dgidb.org).¹⁶ We searched ClinicalTrials.gov to identify ongoing or completed clinical trials of drugs targeting mutant genes in NSCLC BM (https://clinicaltrials.gov). We refined our search by using the terms "brain metastasis," "CNS," "brain metastases," "Non-small Cell Lung Cancer" and selecting for recruiting, active, not recruiting, completed studies, and only considering adults or older adults.

Mutation Similarity Between Brain Metastasis and NSCLC Primary

We investigated the top 10 most commonly mutated genes in our gene list. We only included gene mutations that specified the distinct mutation in the BM and the primary. Copy number variant and other nonspecific mutations were not included. We identified the mutation in the NSCLC BM and then looked at the same gene in the primary tumor to see if there was the same/different/no mutation.

Results

Study Selection and Characteristics

We carried out a systematic literature search on Embase, MEDLINE, Web of Science, and BIOSIS (number of papers,

n = 3266) (Supplementary Tables 1–4). Of these papers, 1109 were duplicates, 1476 were excluded after title and abstract screen, and 609 were removed after full-text screen (Figure 1). A total of 72 distinct studies were included, with data on 2346 patients. Summary data were reported for 1798 of these patients and individual data for 567; some papers reported both (Table 1). We found 28 studies to be at low risk of bias, 31 at moderate risk, and 13 at high risk of bias (Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). We found 31 studies to have a high risk of bias due to not providing an acceptable case definition. For example, when a study stated the presence of a mutation, for example, mutated EGFR, but not the specific type of mutation, for example, L858R missense mutation in EGFR gene. So, we could not include these data in missense mutation analysis and the comparison between the genomic landscape of BM and primary NSCLC.

The majority of patients with individual-level data included in this analysis were histologically diagnosed with adenocarcinoma (n = 387, 67.2%), SCC (n = 35, 6.1%), adenosquamous carcinoma (n = 15, 2.6%), and LCC (n = 11, 1.9%). The rest were unknown, or the data were unavailable. This is similar to the NSCLC population demographic; however, there is a slight overrepresentation of the adenocarcinoma subtype. Overall, from the limited demographic data we have, we expect these to follow the typical NSCLC population demographic (Table 1).

The most prevalent sequencing techniques in our cohort were the following; next-generation sequencing (NGS; n = 690, 29.41%), multiple techniques (n = 500, 21.31%), Sanger sequencing (n = 193, 8.23%), EGFR mutation kit (n = 136, 5.80%), and whole exome sequencing (n = 112, 4.77%). There were 4r studies which did not report specific methods (n = 221, 9.42%).

We observed 4 patients who had >600 mutations reported, so we initially did not extract the data. Once we discovered the gene list of >25 mutations in NSCLC BM, we checked to see if these 4 patients had the same mutated gene, and if so, this was added to the analysis. We also identified 3 patients with >5 mutations in a single gene; this was reported as only 5 mutations to avoid outlier bias.

Frequently Mutated Genes

We found over 350 genes to be mutated at least twice in NSCLC BM. A total of 22 genes had >25 mutations across the included studies: *EGFR* (number of mutations, n = 559), *TP53* (n = 331), *KRAS* (n = 328), *CDKN2A* (n = 97), *STK11* (n = 72), *MET* (n = 69), *PIK3CA* (n = 51), *MYC* (n = 49), *TERT* (n = 38), *CDKN2B* (n = 36), *KEAP1* (n = 35), *KMT2C* (n = 34), *NKX2-1* (n = 30), *RB1* (n = 30), *ERBB2* (n = 29), *MCL-1* (n = 29), *LRP1B* (n = 29), *CTNNB1* (n = 28), *MDM2* (n = 27), *SMARCA4* (n = 27), *ALK* (n = 26) and *PTEN* (n = 26) (Figure 2A).

We further subgrouped our NSCLC BM cohort to never and ever smokers. Most papers did not report individual smoking status, so this analysis only included a total of 115 ever smokers and 114 never smokers (Figure 2B and 2C). The top 5 mutated genes in ever smokers were *TP53* (n = 52), *EGFR* (n = 52), *KRAS* (n = 33), *CDKN2A* (n = 23), *MCL-1* (n = 20), *MYC* (n = 13) and *PIK3CA* (n = 10)



(Supplementary Figure 3A). For the never smokers, *EGFR* and (n = 71), *TP53* (n = 45), *KMT2C* (n = 22), *NOTCH2* (n = 12), Fig and *CTNNB1* (n = 9) were most frequently mutated mutated

(Supplementary Figure 3B).

Distinct Missense Mutations

For the top 10 mutated genes (*TP53*, *EGFR*, *KRAS*, *CDKN2A*, *STK11*, *MET*, *PIK3CA*, *MYC*, *TERT*, and *CDKN2B*), we further investigated each distinct missense mutation reported. *TP53* had a wide range of distinct missense mutations with a total of 74. Only 11.6% (n = 10) of studies reported more than one of the same mutations, with the most common mutations R248L

and V157F mutated 3 times (3.5%) (Supplementary Figure 4). For *EGFR*, there were 25 distinct missense mutations, most of these mutations were L858R 67.8% (n = 80), T790M 6.8% (n = 8), and G719S 4.2% (n = 5) (Supplementary Figure 5). *KRAS* was found to have 12 distinct missense mutations, these included G12C 30.4% (n = 17), G12V 16.1% (n = 9), and G13C 14.3% (n = 8) (Supplementary Figure 6). *CDKN2A* had a total of 5 distinct missense mutations, with the most common being V115L 33.3% (n = 2) (Supplementary Figure 7). *STK11* had 7 distinct missense mutations, each mutated once (Supplementary Figure 8). *MET* only had a single specific missense mutation reported which was G1146A. *PIK3CA* was found to have 7 distinct missense mutations and the most common being E545K 38.5% (n = 5)

laure I. Stury citarat	CLETISLICS UI	liliciuueu stuules.						
Author	Country	Patients with nor brain metastasis	n-small cell lung cancer	Female (%)	Age, years (range)	Primary NSCLC diagnosis	Median time between non-small cell lung	Overall survival
		Total patients (<i>n</i> = 2346)	Matched pairs of pri- mary cancer and brain metastasis (n = 588)				cancer diagnosis and brain metastasis detection (months)	(months)
Aljohani, H. M. ³²	NSA	ß	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Balak, M. N. ³³	NSA	1	1	100	72	Adenocarcinoma	N/A	N/A
Bekar, A. ³⁴	Turkey	26	0	3.8	Mean: 55.84 (21–78)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Brastianos, P. K. ³⁵	NSA	33	33	66.7	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (88.2%), Squamous (11.8%)	Mean: 10.5	Mean: 25.2
Calles, A. ³⁶	NSA	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chai, R. C. ³⁷	China	1	0	100	56	Adenocarcinoma	N/A	N/A
Cheok, S. ³⁸	NSA	с	3	33.3	Mean: 67.33 (51–86)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Сlау, Т. D. ³⁹	N/A	2	0	50	Mean: 69 (55–83)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
De Martino, L. ⁴⁰	Italy	-	0	100	10	Adenocarcinoma	0	23
Facchinetti, E ⁴¹	ltaly	1	0	100	54	Adenocarcinoma	0	N/A
Ferguson, S. D. ⁴²	N/A	293	0	54.9	Median: 61	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fu, Z. ⁴³	China	1	1	100	38	Large cell neuroendocrine	0	10
Fukumura, K. ⁴⁴	NSA	13	13	53.8	Mean: 61.6 (48–78)	Adenocarcinoma (69.2%), Squamous (30.8%)	Mean: 28.0	Mean: 15.4
Gautschi, O. ⁴⁵	N/A	-	1	100	56	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gow, C. H. ⁴⁶	Taiwan	12	12	40	Median: 61	Adenocarcinoma (83.3%), Squamous (16.7%)	N/A	N/A
Gow, C. H. ⁴⁷	Taiwan	-	1	100	48	Adenocarcinoma	23	6
Hadfield, M. J. ⁴⁸	NSA	-	-	0	57	Adenocarcinoma	0	24
Harada, G. ⁴⁹	Brazil	-	1	0	53	Adenocarcinoma	5.1	6.5
Hermans, B. C. M. ⁵⁰	Nether- lands	Ν	0	50	Mean: 48.5 (34–63)	Large cell neuroendocrine (100%)	N/A	Mean: 49.5
Huang, C. C. ⁵¹	Taiwan	49	0	N/A	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Illei, P. B. ⁵²	NSA	-	0	N/A	38	Adenocarcinoma	N/A	N/A
lto, N. ⁵³	Japan	-	0	100	71	Adenocarcinoma	N/A	5
Jafri, S. H. R. ⁵⁴	NSA	-	0	100	52	Adenocarcinoma	0	7
Jiang, T. ⁵⁵	China	16	11	50	Mean: 50 (36–62)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Jiang, T. ⁵⁶	China	S	5	40	Mean: 52 (36–65)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Jing, W. ⁵⁷	China	1	1	100	52	Adenocarcinoma	6	22

Neuro-Oncology Advances

Table 1. Continued								
Author	Country	Patients with nor brain metastasis	n-small cell lung cancer	Female (%)	Age, years (range)	Primary NSCLC diagnosis	Median time between non-small cell lung	Overall survival
		Total patients (<i>n</i> = 2346)	Matched pairs of pri- mary cancer and brain metastasis (n = 588)				cancer diagnosis and brain metastasis detection (months)	(months)
Kamila, W. K. ⁵⁸	Poland	143	0	30.8	Median: 59	Adenocarcinoma (42.6%), squamous (16.1%), large cell (14.7%), non-other specified (NOS) (26.6%)	N/A	N/A
Kandioler, D. ⁵⁹	Austria	-	-	N/A	N/A	Adenocarcinoma	N/A	N/A
Kim, K. M. ⁶⁰	Korea	18	18	33.3	Mean: 60.1 (36–73)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kudo, Y. ⁶¹	NSA	37	37	56.4	Median: 61 (40–84)	Adenocarcinoma (61.5%), squamous (20.5%), large cell (7.7%), and others (10.3%)	N/A	N/A
Leclair, N. ⁶²	NSA	1	0	0	57	Adenocarcinoma	0	30
Lee, Н.Ү. ⁶³	Korea	т	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Li, D. ¹⁷	China	54	11	53.7	Mean: 55.6 (42–81)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Liao, L. ⁶⁴	China	9	9	16.7	Mean: 55.8 (33–67)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	Mean: 9	N/A
Li, L. ⁶⁵	China	7	7	42.9	Mean: 50.1 (38-63)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	Mean: 12.9	N/A
Liu, Z. ⁶⁶	China	12	12	50	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (66.7%), squa- mous (8.3%), large cell (8.3%), adenosquamous (8.3%), clear cell, and tubular adenocarcinoma (8.3%)	N/A	Mean: 37.4
Luo, D. ⁶⁷	China	136	14	39	Median: 55 (26–79)	Adenocarcinoma (82.4%), squamous (3.7%), adenosquamous carcinoma (5.9%), large cell carcinoma (8.1%)	N/A	N/A
Ma, C. ⁶⁸	China	വ	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ма, Ү. ⁶⁹	China	15	o	53.3	Median: 55 (Range: 35–65)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Martinez-Marti, A. ⁷⁰	Spain	2	2	50	Mean: 59 (44–74)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Martinez-Marti, A. ⁷¹	Spain	1	-	N/A	N/A	Adenocarcinoma	0	36
Nayyar, N. ⁷²	NSA	73	0	67.1	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Nicos, M. ⁷³	Poland	150	0	32	59.8 (38–81)	Adenocarcinoma (44%), squamous (16%), giant cell (15%), not otherwise specified (25%)	N/A	N/A
Nicos, M. ⁷⁴	Poland	145	0	31	Median: 60	Adenocarcinoma (55.2%), squamous (20%), large cell (15.1%), not otherwise specified (9.7%)	N/A	Median: 13.5
Ogata, M. ⁷⁵	Japan	1	-	100	64	Adenocarcinoma	24	N/A
Patil, T. ⁷⁶	NSA	-	-	0	40	Squamous	0	74

Table 1. Continued								
Author	Country	Patients with no brain metastasis	n-small cell lung cancer	Female (%)	Age, years (range)	Primary NSCLC diagnosis	Median time between non-small cell lung	Overall survival
		Total patients (<i>n</i> = 2346)	Matched pairs of pri- mary cancer and brain metastasis (n = 588)				cancer diagnosis and brain metastasis detection (months)	(montns)
Powrozek, T. ⁷⁷	Poland	143	0	30.8	Mean: 59.8 (38–81)	Adenocarcinoma (42.7%), squamous (16.1%), large cell (14.7%), not otherwise specified (26.6%)	N/A	Median: 9.2
Preusser, M. ⁷⁸	Austria	76	0	32.9	Mean: 57.3 (38–78)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	Median: 0	Median: 13.5
Rau, K. M. ⁷⁹	Taiwan	49	49	44.9	Mean: 64 (46–86)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Sakakibara-Konishi, J. ⁸⁰	Japan	1	0	100	40	Adenocarcinoma	N/A	N/A
Saunus, J. M. ⁸¹	Aus- tralia	18	0	27.8	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (66.7%), Adenosquamous (11.1%), squamous (11.1%), large cell (11.1%)	Mean: 19.8	Mean: 32.8
Schaettler, M. O. ⁸²	NSA	5	0	60	Mean: 64.8 (54–81)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schlegel, U. ⁸³	NSA	a	വ	16.7	N/A (47–72)	Adenocarcinoma (66.7%) and large cell (33.3%)	N/A	N/A
Shan, C. G. ⁸⁴	China	-	0	0	44	Adenocarcinoma	2	14
Song, Z. ¹⁸	China	27	27	33.3	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (77.8%), squamous (22.2%)	N/A	N/A
Stein, M. K. ⁸⁵	NSA	143	0	57	Median: 64 (31–84)	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	N/A
Stella, G. ⁸⁶	Italy	68	68	N/A	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (54.4%), Squamous (20.5%), Neuroendocrine (10.2%) and undifferentiated (14.9%)	N/A	N/A
Sun, M. ⁸⁷	NSA	55	55	35	N/A	Adenocarcinoma (73%), squamous (23%), large cell (2%), adenosquamous (2%)	Median: 14.8	N/A
Tafe, L. J. ⁸⁸	NSA	31	0	58	Median: 70 (51–89)	Adenocarcinoma (77.4%), squamous (22.6%)	N/A	N/A
Talreja, V. ⁸⁹	India	1	0	0	47	Squamous	N/A	N/A
Tseng, L. H. ⁹⁰	NSA	12	б	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Vassella, E. ⁹¹	Switz- erland	56	56	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Villaruz, L. C. ⁹²	NSA	200	38	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wang, H. ⁹³	China	61	61	29.5	Mean: 55.5 (29–74)	Adenocarcinoma (82.0%), mixed (13.1%), squamous (4.9%)	Mean: 17.0	N/A
Wang, W. ⁹⁴	China	-	0	0	48	Adenocarcinoma	0	N/A

Neuro-Oncology Advances

	Overall survival	(months)	N/A	N/A	N/A	06	23	N/A	N/A	
	Median time between non-small cell lung	cancer diagnosis and brain metastasis detection (months)	0	Mean: 6.3	N/A	2	0	N/A	N/A	
	Primary NSCLC diagnosis		N/A	Adenocarcinoma (100%)	N/A	Adenocarcinoma	Adenocarcinoma	Adenocarcinoma	Adenocarcinoma	
	Age, years (range)		50	Median: 59 (29–82)	N/A	27	62	N/A	51	
	Female (%)		100	48	N/A	100	0	N/A	100	
	-small cell lung cancer	Matched pairs of pri- mary cancer and brain metastasis (<i>n</i> = 588)	0	0	18	0	1	0	1	
	Patients with non brain metastasis	Total patients (<i>n</i> = 2346)	1	86	18	1	1	1	1	
	Country		China	Taiwan	China	China	China	NSA	NSA	
. Continued			6	-95		4	86.	8	100	
Table 1	Author		Wu, H. ¹	Wu, P. F	Хи, Ү <mark>96</mark>	Yan, J. ⁹	Yang, J	Zhou, Y.	Zhu, W.	

(Supplementary Figure 9). MYC had 4 specific missense mutations, with each mutated once (Supplementary Figure 10). TERT had 2 distinct missense mutations (P259L and R622H) both only mutated once. CDKN2B had no specified missense mutations with most of the mutations relating to copy number variation (CNV).

We further looked at the distinct missense mutations of TP53 and EGFR in BM of ever and never smokers. TP53 had no concordant missense mutations between ever versus never smokers (Supplementary Figure 11). For EGFR, ever and never smokers had 7 and 8 L858R mutations, respectively. Both groups were found to have 2 T790M mutations, but no other concordant mutations were found (Supplementary Figure 12).

Clinically Actionable Mutations and Drugs

For our commonly mutated gene list in all patients, DGldb found 22 clinically actionable genes, 15 genes related to drug resistance, and 13 that have a potentially druggable genome (Supplementary Table 5). Of 91 studies identified in the clinical trial search, 38 were of drugs to target mutated genes (Supplementary Table 6).

Biomarker Evidence and FDA-Approved Drugs

L858R, T790M, G719, and L861Q EGFR missense mutations and G12C KRAS missense mutation are FDArecognized biomarkers predictive of response to an FDA-approved drug (level 1) reported in NSCLC (Table 2). For EGFR, afatinib targets L858R, G719, and L861Q, osimertinib targets L858R and T790M, dacomitinib, erlotinib, erlotinib + ramucirumab combination, and gefitinib target L858R. For KRAS, adagrasib and sotorasib target G12C. Osimertinib has been FDA approved for targeting G719 and L861Q, and these are currently standard-of-care biomarkers (level 2). Other drugs have been considered for missense mutations in TP53, EGFR, KRAS, CDKN2A, STK11, and PIK3CA but these are not FDA approved (Table 2). For EGFR, T790M is a standard-of-care biomarker predictive of resistance to erlotinib, gefitinib, and afatinib in NSCLC, D761Y is also considered a biomarker of resistance to gefitinib but this is less well evidenced (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 12). It is important to note these levels of biomarker evidence have been accepted for systemic therapies (solid tumors and NSCLC), but this is not evidenced in BM (Table 2).

Mutation Similarity Between BM and NSCLC

There were 647 mutations among the top 10 overall mutated genes incorporated in this analysis. We identified 408 mutations (63%) which were the same in both the BM and the primary NSCLC, and 239 mutations (37%) that were discordant. Of this subgroup, TP53 (n = 121), EGFR (n = 94), and *KRAS* (n = 65) have the most data. We found the mutations that were most often similar between BM and NSCLC were in TP53 (67%), KRAS (66%), and EGFR (58%).



We identified the percentage of PD-L1 expression in the BM, although these were only reported in three of the 72

included studies.^{17–19} We found a total of 28 patients, consisting of 21 lung adenocarcinoma (75%), 6 SCC (21.4%), and 1 with subtype data unavailable (3.6%). Of this subgroup, 25 patients (89.3%) were found to have 0%–49% of 9

Neuro-Oncology

Advances

 Table 2.
 Level of evidence for drugs targeting missense mutations in NSCLC and all solid tumors for the missense mutations NSCLC BM cohort found on OncoKB

Gene	Missense mutation	Level of evidence	Drugs	Level-associated cancer types
TP53	Y220C	3A	PC14586	All solid tumors
EGFR	L858R, exon-19 in-frame deletions	1	Afatinib Dacomitinib Erlotinib Erlotinib + Ramucirumab Gefitinib Osimertinib	NSCLC
	L858R, S768I, G719, L861Q, exon-19 in-frame deletions, exon-19 in-frame insertions	3A	Patritumab Deruxtecan	NSCLC
	T790M	1	Osimertinib	NSCLC
		R1	Erlotinib Gefitinib Afatinib	
	G719	1	Afatinib	NSCLC
		2	Osimertinib	
	L861Q	1	Afatinib	NSCLC
		2	Osimertinib	
	D761Y	4	Osimertinib	NSCLC
		R2	Gefitinib	
	L747P	4	Afatinib	NSCLC
KRAS	G12C	1	Adagrasib	NSCLC
		1	Sotorasib	
	G12V G13C Q61H G13D G12A G12F	4	Trametinib Cobimetinib Binimetinib	All solid tumors
	G12S			
	P34L			
	Q61L			
	G12D			
	G12D	4	RMC-6236	All solid tumors
CDKN2A	Oncogenic mutations	4	Abemaciclib Palbociclib Ribociclib	All solid tumors
STK11	H174R E223V	4	Bemcentinib + Pembrolizumab	NSCLC
ΡΙΚ3CΑ	E545K E542K G118D	4	RLY-2608	All solid tumors
	U040N H1047R			
	11104711	4	LOXO-783	All solid tumors

Level 1 = FDA-recognized biomarker predictive of response to an FDA-approved drug in this indication, level 2 = standard care biomarker to an FDA-approved drug in this indication, level 3A = compelling clinical evidence biomarker is predictive of response to drug in this indication, level 3B = standard care or investigational biomarker predictive of response to FDA-approved or investigational drug in another indication, level 4 = compelling biological evidence biomarker is predictive of response to a drug. Level R1 = standard care biomarker predictive of resistance to an FDA-approved drug in this indication, level R2 = compelling clinical evidence biomarker is predictive of resistance to a drug.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/noa/article/5/1/vdad145/7445708 by University of Leeds - Librarian (inactive) user on 05 January 2024

dvances

11

PD-L1 expression. Only 3 patients (10.7%) had PD-L1 expression which was >50% and these patients were all diagnosed with lung adenocarcinoma (Table 3). Patients are classified as having a high PD-L1 expression if a tumor proportion score \geq 50%, as this is the FDA-approved level for first-line treatment of primary NSCLC.²⁰

Discussion

This review included 72 studies with data from 2346 patients with BM derived from NSCLC, of which 567 had individual-level data. These studies provided information on the commonly mutated genes and missense mutations in BM derived from NSCLC, comparison of the genomic landscape between ever versus never smokers and primary NSCLC versus BM, and PD-L1 expression in BM.

In our cohort, over 350 genes were reported to be mutated at least twice, with 22 genes found to have >25 mutations. Twelve of these mutated genes were found to be

 Table 3.
 PD-L1 expression in patients included in the NSCLC brain metastasis cohort

NSCLC subtype	PD-L1 expression in brain metastasis (%)
Lung adenocarcinoma	50–100
Lung adenocarcinoma	50–100
Lung adenocarcinoma	65
Lung adenocarcinoma	1–49
Lung adenocarcinoma	40
Lung adenocarcinoma	<1
Lung adenocarcinoma	0
Squamous cell carcinoma	1–49
Squamous cell carcinoma	1–49
Squamous cell carcinoma	1–49
Squamous cell carcinoma	0
Squamous cell carcinoma	0
Squamous cell carcinoma	0
N/A	<1

concordant with a large cohort study of BM from NSCLC: EGFR, TP53, KRAS, CDKN2A, STK11, PIK3CA, MYC, CDKN2B, KEAP1, NKX2-1, SMARCA4, and RB1 (Figure 2A).⁶The same study also found *NFKBIA*, *RICTOR*, and *NF1* to be frequently mutated; these genes were also identified in our cohort but were not in our top mutated genes.⁶ A meta-analysis found TP53, EGFR, KRAS, STK11, and EML4-ALK to be frequently mutated in NSCLC.²¹ We identified a similar pattern in our BM derived from NSCLC. However, our study discovered some differences between the mutations present in the primary NSCLC and the BM. Primary NSCLC and BM were found to harbor different mutations in 37% of cases, this evidence is in keeping with previous studies suggesting the NSCLC primary and derived BM suggest genetic differences, thus highlighting the importance of sequencing BM derived from NSCLC due to differing genomic landscapes.6,22

The frequently mutated genes in BM derived from NSCLC included TP53, EGFR, KRAS, CDKN2A, STK11, MET, PIK3CA, MYC, TERT, and CDKN2B, which we considered to be of most interest to target for intervention. Currently, EGFR and ALK have the most well-established actionable genetic alterations for metastases derived from NSCLC. EGFR has 3 generations of treatment including gefitinib and erlotinib (first generation), afatinib and dacomitinib (second generation), and osimertinib (third generation).^{8,23-27} These drugs were also identified in our OncoKB database search with varying levels of biomarker evidence depending on the mutation type. ALK also presented many treatment options such as alectinib, although this was less frequently mutated in our gene list.^{8,28} More recently, drugs have been discovered that target genes that were previously difficult, such as KRAS. Two G12C inhibitors have been approved (sotorasib and adagrasib), with other clinical trials ongoing.8 OncoKB identified a number of drugs that are currently being tested in our frequently mutated gene list, but these are not FDA approved. These drugs included TP53 with PC14586 in all solid tumors, EGFR with patritumab deruxtecan in NSCLC, KRAS with trametinib, cobimetinib, and binimetinib in all solid tumors, CDKN2A with abemaciclib, palbociclib, and ribociclib, STK11 with bemcentinib + pembrolizumab, and PIK3CA with RLY-2608 and LOXO-783 in all solid tumors (Table 2).15

In our smoking subgroup analysis, the genomic profile of BM in never smokers identified more EGFR mutations compared to ever smokers. Likewise, ever smokers had more TP53 mutations. The genomic landscape comparing smoking status in BM seemed to differ, with alternative genes found to be frequently mutated, excluding TP53 and EGFR (Figure 2B and 2C). Distinct missense mutations in TP53 and EGFR between ever smokers were compared with never smokers and were found to differ, with the exception of L858R and T790M which were identified at similar frequencies. Interestingly, only ever smokers were found to have the missense mutations L861Q and G179S in EGFR which are clinically actionable (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 12). Previous studies investigating the genomic landscape of NSCLC in ever versus never smokers found a similar pattern to our data, with EGFR mutations more frequent in never smokers, and TP53 and KRAS more commonly mutated in ever smokers.⁵

Our data found high PD-L1 expression (>50%) to be uncommon in our cohort, with 25 patients (89.3%) with 0%-49% of PD-L1 expression and only 3 patients (10.7%) had >50% PD-L1 expression, suggesting that immune checkpoint inhibition may be effective in only a small proportion of these patients. PD-L1 was also found to be infrequently expressed in the BM in a previous study with found seven (21.9%) of patients with PD-L1 \geq 5% and 25 (78.1%) of patients with PD-L1 <5%.²⁹

There were some limitations to this review. We only included studies of patients/samples with sequenced tumor tissue rather than circulating tumor DNA as tissue sequencing is still the gold-standard technique for molecular tests.³⁰ However, the consequence of this is that the many studies that sequence circulating tumor DNA were not included in our review. The data are also biased to BM where the brain tumor was resected, making tumor tissue available to sequence, which likely depends on both BM size and location.³¹ One limitation of the published literature is the lack of granularity on the lineage of the metastatic NSCLC, that is, adenocarcinoma versus SCC, and we would recommend that all subsequent genomic studies include precise diagnosis by lung pathologists, where possible.

Some studies we reviewed reported the presence of a mutation in a gene but did not clarify the specific type of mutation, so we could not include these data in our analysis of distinct missense mutations in top mutated genes in BM from NSCLC. In addition, for many of the studies using NGS and other sequencing platforms, we have no knowledge of genes that were not mutated as we did not have access to the full list of genes that were tested and/ or which of those tests had failed. There also could be publication and reporting bias as candidate genes that are already known to be mutated in the NSCLC primary tumor are more likely to be sequenced, so their mutation status is more likely to be reported compared to lesser-known genes. Considering these limitations, we were not able to generate a prevalence estimate for each gene in the BM derived from NSCLC. The studies included in our review used a wide range of sequencing panels which may lead to some mutations being more represented or identified compared to others, which could have led to bias in our results. There is also a slight overrepresentation of adenocarcinoma in the NSCLC population in our cohort, which may lead to bias with mutations commonly seen in this subtype to be identified more frequently.

The genomic landscape of BM compared to the NSCLC primary should be interpreted with caution as our search criteria identified BM which had a mutation and we then looked to see if the same gene was mutated in the primary NSCLC. Therefore, the data is biased toward BM gene mutations, as we are missing the data where the primary NSCLC has a mutated gene that is not identified in the BM. In this analysis, we were also unable to include mutations that were identified in either primary or BM but which lacked an exact description to define if they matched, that is, when the gene has a missense mutation versus L858R missense mutation, the first option was insufficient. Similarly, we were not able to include CNV variation in this analysis as we were unable to identify the number of copies of each gene that were present.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that assessed the genomic landscape of BM derived from NSCLC. We highlight the most frequently mutated genes (*TP53*, *EGFR*, *KRAS*, *CDKN2A*, and *STK11*) and most frequently reported missense mutations (L858R in *EGFR* and G12C in *KRAS*) in BM derived from NSCLC, and assessed their potential clinical actionability. Moreover, we found gene mutations in NSCLC BM to differ compared to the NSCLC primary. We also identified different genomic profiles in BM of ever versus never smokers. These differences could have important implications for the selection and development of targeted agents for these patients.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available online at *Neuro-Oncology* (https://academic.oup.com/neuro-oncology).

Keywords

brain metastases | non-small cell lung cancer | genomics | actionable mutations

Funding

L.J.A. and K.M.K. are funded by Cancer Research UK (grant number C30758/A29791); Z.A.T. is funded by Southmead Hospital Charitable Funds: Brain tumour bank and research fund 8036. This work was supported by Cancer Research UK [grant number C18281/A29019 and C18281/A30905]. K.M.K. is funded by Innovate [grant number 10027624]. J.P.T.H. is a National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator (NIHR203807). The views expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Authorship statement

K.M.K. conceived the study. P.D. and K.M.K. designed the study. S.D. designed the search strategy. L.J.A., Z.A.T., K.M.K., and R.S. performed literature search and record screening. L.J.A. carried out data extraction and initial interpretation. Z.A.T. and R.S. checked the data extraction. L.J.A. carried out risk of bias, created figures and tables, and drafted the manuscript. K.M.K., P.D., and J.P.T.H. supervised the project. Z.A.T., K.M.K., P.D., J.P.T.H., S.C.S., and R.D. carried out critical revisions of the manuscript.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394–424.
- Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, et al. Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2018;29:iv192–iv237.
- Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Sloan AE, Davis FG, et al. Incidence proportions of brain metastases in patients diagnosed (1973 to 2001) in the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer Surveillance System. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(14):2865–2872.
- Suster DI, Mino-Kenudson M. Molecular pathology of primary non-small cell lung cancer. Arch Med Res. 2020;51(8):784–798.
- Govindan R, Ding L, Griffith M, et al. Genomic landscape of non-small cell lung cancer in smokers and never-smokers. *Cell*. 2012;150(6):1121–1134.
- Huang RS, Harries L, Decker B, et al. Clinicopathologic and genomic landscape of non-small cell lung cancer brain metastases. *Oncologist.* 2022;27(10):839–848.
- Mok TS, Wu Y-L, Kudaba I, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for previously untreated, PD-L1-expressing, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-042): a randomised, openlabel, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2019;393(10183):1819–1830.
- König D, Savic Prince S, Rothschild SI. Targeted therapy in advanced and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer an update on treatment of the most important actionable oncogenic driver alterations. *Cancers.* 2021;13(4):804.
- Peters S, Bexelius C, Munk V, Leighl N. The impact of brain metastasis on quality of life, resource utilization and survival in patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2016;45:139–162.
- Moher D, Altman DG, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J. PRISMA statement. Epidemiology. 2011;22(1):128; author reply 128.
- Booth A, Clarke M, Dooley G, et al. The nuts and bolts of PROSPERO: an international prospective register of systematic reviews. *Syst Rev.* 2012;1(1):1–9.
- 12. Hupe M. EndNote X9. J Electron Resour Med Libr. 2019;16(3–4):117–119.
- Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev.* 2016;5(1):1–10.
- Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2012;65(9):934–939.
- 15. Chakravarty D, Gao J, Phillips S, et al. OncoKB: a precision oncology knowledge base. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2017;1:1–16.
- Cotto KC, Wagner AH, Feng Y-Y, et al. DGldb 30: a redesign and expansion of the drug–gene interaction database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(D1):D1068–D1073.
- Li D, Huang Y, Cai L, et al. Genomic landscape of metastatic lung adenocarcinomas from large-scale clinical sequencing. *Neoplasia*. 2021;23(12):1204–1212.
- Song Z, Yang L, Zhou Z, et al. Genomic profiles and tumor immune microenvironment of primary lung carcinoma and brain oligo-metastasis. *Cell Death Dis.* 2021;12(1):106.
- Wu H, Ning J, Li Z, et al. Osimertinib as induction therapy for oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer with EGFR mutation: a case report. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2022;11(4):686–696.

- Akinboro O, Larkins E, Pai-Scherf LH, et al. FDA approval summary: pembrolizumab, atezolizumab, and cemiplimab-rwlc as single agents for first-line treatment of advanced/metastatic PD-L1–high NSCLC. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2022;28(11):2221–2228.
- Dearden S, Stevens J, Wu YL, Blowers D. Mutation incidence and coincidence in non small-cell lung cancer: meta-analyses by ethnicity and histology (mutMap). *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24(9):2371–2376.
- Ali S, Górska Z, Duchnowska R, Jassem J. Molecular profiles of brain metastases: a focus on heterogeneity. *Cancers*. 2021;13(11):2645.
- Wakeling AE, Guy SP, Woodburn JR, et al. ZD1839 (Iressa) an orally active inhibitor of epidermal growth factor signaling with potential for cancer therapy. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(20):5749–5754.
- Shepherd FA, Rodrigues Pereira J, Ciuleanu T, et al. Erlotinib in previously treated non–small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(2):123–132.
- Li D, Ambrogio L, Shimamura T, et al. BIBW2992, an irreversible EGFR/ HER2 inhibitor highly effective in preclinical lung cancer models. *Oncogene*. 2008;27(34):4702–4711.
- Engelman JA, Zejnullahu K, Gale C-M, et al. PF00299804, an irreversible pan-ERBB inhibitor, is effective in lung cancer models with EGFR and ERBB2 mutations that are resistant to gefitinib. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(24):11924–11932.
- 27. Mok TS, Wu Y-L, Ahn M-J, et al. Osimertinib or platinum–pemetrexed in EGFR T790M–positive lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(7):629–640.
- Sakamoto H, Tsukaguchi T, Hiroshima S, et al. CH5424802, a selective ALK inhibitor capable of blocking the resistant gatekeeper mutant. *Cancer Cell*. 2011;19(5):679–690.
- Takamori S, Toyokawa G, Okamoto I, et al. Clinical significance of PD-L1 expression in brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2018;38(1):553–557.
- Buttitta F, Navicella A, Rossetti R, Felicioni L, Marchetti A. Liquid biopsy: new challenges in the era of immunotherapy and precision oncology NGS and the other faces of molecular biology. In: *Liquid Biopsy.* Cambridge, MA: Academic Press;2023:47–62.
- Sankey EW, Tsvankin V, Grabowski MM, et al. Operative and perioperative considerations in the management of brain metastasis. *Cancer Med.* 2019;8(16):6809–6831.
- Aljohani HM, Aittaleb M, Furgason JM, et al. Genetic mutations associated with lung cancer metastasis to the brain. *Mutagenesis*. 2018;33(2):137–145.
- Balak MN, Gong Y, Riely GJ, et al. Novel D761Y and common secondary T790M mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor–mutant lung adenocarcinomas with acquired resistance to kinase inhibitors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(21):6494–6501.
- Bekar A, Cecener G, Tunca B, et al. Investigation of mutations and expression of the FHIT gene in Turkish patients with brain metastases derived from non-small cell lung cancer. *Tumori.* 2007;93(6):604–607.
- Brastianos PK, Carter SL, Santagata S, et al. Genomic characterization of brain metastases reveals branched evolution and potential therapeutic targets. *Cancer Discov.* 2015;5(11):1164–1177.
- Calles A, Sholl LM, Rodig SJ, et al. Immunohistochemical loss of LKB1 is a biomarker for more aggressive biology in KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma LKB1 in KRAS-mutant NSCLC. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21(12):2851–2860.
- Chai RC, Liu X, Pang B, et al. Recurrent PTPRZ1-MET fusion and a high occurrence rate of MET exon 14 skipping in brain metastases. *Cancer Sci.* 2021;113:796–801.
- Cheok SK, Narayan A, Arnal-Estape A, et al. Tumor DNA mutations from intraparenchymal brain metastases are detectable in CSF. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2021;5:163–172.
- Clay TD, Do H, Sundararajan V, et al. The clinical relevance of pathologic subtypes in metastatic lung adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2014;9(5):654–663.

Neuro-Oncology

dvances

14

- De Martino L, Errico ME, Ruotolo S, et al. Pediatric lung adenocarcinoma presenting with brain metastasis: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2018;12(1):243.
- Facchinetti F, Bozzetti F, Gnetti L, et al. Wide and cystic brain metastases reveal RET-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancers. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2019;3:1–7.
- Ferguson SD, Zheng S, Xiu J, et al. Profiles of brain metastases: prioritization of therapeutic targets. *Int J Cancer.* 2018;143(11):3019–3026.
- 43. Fu Z, Zhu G, Wang L, et al. Case report: a pregnant woman diagnosed as ALK-rearrangement lung large cell neuroendocrine cancer with brain metastasis. *Front Oncol.* 2022;12:823813.
- Fukumura K, Malgulwar PB, Fischer GM, et al. Multi-omic molecular profiling reveals potentially targetable abnormalities shared across multiple histologies of brain metastasis. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2021;141(2):303–321.
- Gautschi O, Menon R, Bertrand M, Murer C, Diebold J. Capmatinib and osimertinib combination therapy for EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2020;15(1):e13–e15.
- 46. Gow CH, Chang YL, Hsu YC, et al. Comparison of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations between primary and corresponding metastatic tumors in tyrosine kinase inhibitor-naive non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol. 2009;20(4):696–702.
- Gow CH, Liao WY, Liu YN, Shih JY. Discordant HER2 Exon 20 mutation status determines a differential sensitivity to afatinib. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2015;10(7):e58–e60.
- Hadfield MJ, Turshudzhyan A, Shalaby K, Reddy A. Response with pembrolizumab in a patient with EGFR mutated non-small cell lung cancer harbouring insertion mutations in V834L and L858R. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2022;28(3):717–721.
- Harada G, Santini FC, Canedo FSNA, et al. Successful osimertinib rechallenge following drug-induced pneumonitis after previous anti-PDL1 exposure. *Ecancermedicalscience*. 2019;13:970.
- Hermans BCM, Derks JL, Groen HJM, et al. Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma with a solitary brain metastasis and low Ki-67: a unique subtype. *Endocr Connect.* 2019;8(12):1600–1606.
- Huang CC, Chen HK, Rau KM. Comparison of the mutation status of EGFR and KRAS on pulmonary adenocarcinoma and corresponding brain metastasis. *Virchows Arch.* 2012;461(1 Suppl 1):S73–SS4.
- Illei PB, Maleki Z, Lin MT. EGFR/KRAS/BRAF mutation analysis of metastatic lung adenocarcinoma in spinal fluid. J Am Soc Cytopathol. 2013;2(1 Suppl 1):S17–S18.
- Ito N, Masuda T, Ooka I, et al. First-line osimertinib treatment in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma with coexisting epidermal growth factor receptor G719S and de novo T790M mutations. *Thorac Cancer*. 2022;13(5):771–774.
- Jafri SHR, Blanco A, Labdi BA, Guo S. Response to erlotinib in metastatic lung adenocarcinoma with a rare double epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2015;10(9 SUPPL. 2):S410.
- Jiang T, Fang Z, Tang S, et al. Mutational landscape and evolutionary pattern of liver and brain metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. *Journal of Thorac Oncol.* 2021;16(2):237–249.
- Jiang T, Yan Y, Zhou K, et al. Characterization of evolution trajectory and immune profiling of brain metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. *npj Precis Oncol.* 2021;5(1):6.
- Jing W, Wang H, Kong L, Yu J, Zhu H. Great efficacy of bevacizumab plus erlotinib for leptomeningeal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer with initially positive EGFR mutation: a case report. *Cancer Biol Therapy*. 2018;19(12):1073–1077.
- Kamila W-K, Michał S, Paulina J, et al. EGFR activating mutations detected by different PCR techniques in Caucasian NSCLC patients with CNS metastases. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. 2013;30:1063–1071.

- Kandioler D, Dekan G, End A, et al. Molecular genetic differentiation between primary lung cancers and lung metastases of other tumors. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1996;111(4):827–832.
- Kim K-M, Lee S-H, Kim S-M, et al. Discordance of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation between brain metastasis and primary nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Brain Tumor Res Treat.* 2019;7(2):137–140.
- Kudo Y, Haymaker C, Zhang J, et al. Suppressed immune microenvironment and repertoire in brain metastases from patients with resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2019;30(9):1521–1530.
- Leclair N, Calafiore R, Wu Q, Wolansky L, Bulsara KR. Application of targeted genome sequencing to brain metastasis from non-small cell lung carcinoma: case report. *Neurochirurgie*. 2020;66(6):477–483.
- Lee HY, Kim JO, Kang JH. Comparison of next-generation sequencing results between brain metastasis and blood in NSCLC patients with acquired EGFR-TKI resistance other than T790M mutation. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2018;17(1 Suppl 1):A131–A131.
- Liao L, Ji X, Ge M, et al. Characterization of genetic alterations in brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer. *FEBS Open Bio.* 2018;8(9):1544–1552.
- Li L, Liu Z, Han R, et al. Genetic heterogeneity between paired primary and brain metastases in lung adenocarcinoma. *Clin Med Insights Oncol.* 2020;14:1179554920947335.
- Liu Z, Zheng M, Lei B, et al. Whole-exome sequencing identifies somatic mutations associated with lung cancer metastasis to the brain. *Ann Transl Med.* 2021;9(8):694.
- Luo D, Ye X, Hu Z, et al. EGFR mutation status and its impact on survival of Chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients with brain metastases. *Tumour Biol.* 2014;35:2437–2444.
- Ma C, Yang X, Xing W, et al. Detection of circulating tumor DNA from non-small cell lung cancer brain metastasis in cerebrospinal fluid samples. *Thorac Cancer*. 2020;11(3):588–593.
- Ma Y, Chen K, Yang Z, Guan M. Targeted sequencing reveals distinct pathogenic variants in Chinese patients with lung adenocarcinoma brain metastases. *Oncol Lett.* 2018;15(4):4503–4510.
- Martinez-Marti A, Felip E, Mancuso FM, et al. Genetic evolution to tyrosine kinase inhibitory therapy in patients with EGFR-mutated non-smallcell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2021;125(11):1561–1569.
- Martinez-Marti A, Felip E, Matito J, et al. Dual MET and ERBB inhibition overcomes intratumor plasticity in osimertinib-resistant-advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Ann Oncol.* 2017;28(10):2451–2457.
- Nayyar N, Shih D, Bihun I, et al. Genomic characterization of human brain metastases identifies novel drivers of lung adenocarcinoma progression. *Neuro-Oncology*. 2019;21(Suppl 6):vi111–vi111.
- Nicoś M, Krawczyk P, Mlak R, et al. The presence of HER2 exon 20 insertion in patients with central nervous system metastases from nonsmall lung cancer—a potential application in classification for therapy. *Pneumonol Alergol Pol.* 2013;81(4):294–297.
- Nicoś M, Krawczyk P, Powrozek T, et al. PIK3CA mutations detected in patients with central nervous system metastases of non-small cell lung cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2016;36(5):2243–2249.
- Ogata M, Shimizu T, Yokoi T, Nomura S. Spatial and temporal genetic heterogeneity of epidermal growth factor receptor gene status in a patient with non-small cell lung cancer: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2011;5:553.
- Patil T, Nie Y, Aisner DL, Camidge DR. Case report: significant clinical benefit from pemetrexed-based therapy in ROS1- and ALKrearranged lung cancer with adenosquamous histology. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:788245.
- 77. Powrózek T, Krawczyk P, Jarosz B, et al. The application of real-time PCR technique to detect rare cell clones with primary T790M substitution of EGFR gene in metastases of non-small cell lung cancer to central

nervous system in chemotherapy naive patients. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2014;20:945–951.

- Preusser M, Berghoff AS, Koller R, et al. Spectrum of gene mutations detected by next generation exome sequencing in brain metastases of lung adenocarcinoma. *Eur J Cancer*. 2015;51(13):1803–1811.
- Rau KM, Chen HK, Shiu LY, et al. Discordance of mutation statuses of epidermal growth factor receptor and K-ras between primary adenocarcinoma of lung and brain metastasis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2016;17(4):524.
- Sakakibara-Konishi J, Kitai H, Ikezawa Y, et al. Response to crizotinib re-administration after progression on lorlatinib in a patient with ALKrearranged non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer.* 2019;20(5):e55 5–e559.
- Saunus JM, Quinn MCJ, Patch AM, et al. Integrated genomic and transcriptomic analysis of human brain metastases identifies alterations of potential clinical significance. *J Pathol.* 2015;237(3):363–378.
- Schaettler M, Richters M, Wang A, et al. Characterization of the genomic and immunologic diversity of malignant brain tumors through multisector analysis. *Cancer Discov.* 2022;12:154–171.
- Schlegel U, Rosenfeld MR, Volkenandt M, et al. p53 gene mutations in primary lung tumors are conserved in brain metastases. *J Neurooncol.* 1992;14:93–100.
- 84. Shan C-G, Wang H, Lin T, et al. A non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient with leptomeningeal metastasis harboring rare epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations G719S and L861Q benefited from doubling dosage of osimertinib: a case report. *Ann Palliat Med.* 2021;10:5897–5901.
- Stein MK, Pandey M, Xiu J, et al. Tumor mutational burden is site specific in non-small-cell lung cancer and is highest in lung adenocarcinoma brain metastases. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2019;3:1–13.
- Stella G, Benvenuti S, Balderacchi A, et al. Scatter factors receptors met and ron mutations in brain metastases from non-small-cell lung cancer. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017;195:A7540.
- Sun M, Behrens C, Feng L, et al. HER family receptor abnormalities in lung cancer brain metastases and corresponding primary tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(15):4829–4837.
- Tafe LJ, Maung KK, Weinstein SJ, Tsongalis GJ, Dragnev KH. Detection of rare clinically actionable mutations in NSCLC metastatic to the brain by targeted next generation sequencing. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2015;10(9 SUPPL. 2):S310.

- 89. Talreja V, Noronha V, Joshi A, Patil V, Prabhash K. An exceptional response to olaparib in relapsed and refractory BRCA2 mutated non-small cell lung cancer in hereditary breast-ovarian cancer syndrome. *South Asian J Cancer*. 2020;9(1):6–7.
- Tseng LH, De Marchi F, Pallavajjalla A, et al. Clinical validation of discordant trunk driver mutations in paired primary and metastatic lung cancer specimens. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2019;152(5):570–581.
- Vassella E, Kashani E, Zens P, et al. Mutational profiles of primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma and paired brain metastases disclose the importance of KRAS mutations. *Eur J Cancer*. 2021;159:227–236.
- Villaruz LC, Socinski MA, Derrick V, et al. Enrichment of MET amplification and KRAS mutations in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) brain metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2014;90(5 Suppl 1):S35–S36.
- 93. Wang H, Ou Q, Li D, et al. Genes associated with increased brain metastasis risk in non-small cell lung cancer: comprehensive genomic profiling of 61 resected brain metastases versus primary non-small cell lung cancer (Guangdong Association Study of Thoracic Oncology 1036). *Cancer.* 2019;125(20):3535–3544.
- Wang W, Xu C, Zhuang W, et al. EGFR-RAD51 fusion variant in lung adenocarcinoma and response to erlotinib: a case report. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2018;13(10 Supplement):S906.
- 95. Wu PF, Huang WC, Yang JCH, et al. Phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (pIGF1R) is a poor prognostic factor in brain metastases from lung adenocarcinomas. *J Neurooncol.* 2013;115(1):61–70.
- 96. Xu Y, Huang Z, Yu X, Chen K, Fan Y. Integrated genomic and DNA methylation analysis of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases. *Mol Brain*. 2021;14(1):176.
- **97.** Yan J, Zhou X, Pan D. A case of one lung adenocarcinoma patient harboring a novel FAM179A-ALK (F1, A19) rearrangement responding to lorlatinib treatment. *Lung Cancer*. 2020;147:26–29.
- **98.** Yang J, Zhou P, Yu M, Zhang Y. Case report: high-level met amplification as a resistance mechanism of ROS1-tyrosine kinase inhibitors in ROS1-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:645224.
- Zhou Y, Reynolds JP, Jakubowski MA, et al. Next generation sequencing of NSCLC in EBUS-FNA vs corresponding FFPE samples. *Lab Investig.* 2016;96(Suppl 1):125A.
- 100. Zhu W, Huang J, Higgs BW, et al. Integrative analyses of multi-omics sequencing data to guide treatment decisions in a patient with double malignancy. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74(19 Suppl 1):4280.

<u>Neuro-Oncology</u>

Advances