GEOMETRIC CONDITION FOR DEPENDENT CHOICE

A. KARAGILA^{*} and J. SCHILHAN

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK e-mails: karagila@math.huji.ac.il, j.schilhan@leeds.ac.uk

(Received January 23, 2023; revised September 27, 2023; accepted October 19, 2023)

Abstract. We provide a geometric condition which characterises when the Principle of Dependent Choice holds in a Fraenkel–Mostowski–Specker permutation model. This condition is a slight weakening of requiring the filter of groups to be closed under countable intersections. We show that this condition holds non-trivially in a new permutation model we call "the nowhere dense model" and we study its extensions to uncountable cardinals as well.

1. Introduction

Permutation models are models of set theory with atoms.¹ These models are used often to prove basic independence results related to the Axiom of Choice. The technique was originally developed by Fraenkel, improved upon by Mostowski by introducing the concept of supports, and the modern presentation is due to Specker using filters of subgroups.²

With these models it is relatively easy to construct models where the Axiom of Choice fails, and using a myriad of transfer theorems we can translate some of these results to the context of Zermelo–Fraenkel. Regardless of their intended use, permutation models are still an interesting way to exhibit the tension between symmetries and definablity on the one hand and the Axiom of Choice on the other.

We rarely want to violate the Axiom of Choice so much that it is become completely useless. Indeed, often times the goal is to preserve a fragment of the Axiom of Choice. One of the important fragments is the *Principle*

0236-5294/\$20.00 © 2024 The Author(s)

^{*} Corresponding author.

The authors were supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship $[{\rm MR}/{\rm T021705/2}].$ No data are associated with this paper.

Key words and phrases: permutation model, dependent choice, shift completeness,, .

Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 03E25, secondary 03E35.

¹ Traditionally either by weakening Extensionality (to allow "multiple empty sets") or Regularity (to allow Quine atoms, i.e. $x = \{x\}$).

 $^{^{2}}$ For a more detailed historical review see the remarks at the end of Chapter 4 in [3].

of Dependent Choice (DC), which has many equivalents and reformulations throughout mathematics (e.g. the Baire Category Theorem), and can be succinctly stated as "Every tree without maximal nodes has an infinite chain".

Reading the standard proof separating Dependent Choice from other principles (see [3, Chapter 8]) it is easy to conjecture a permutation model satisfies DC if and only if the filter of subgroups is countably complete. In this work we show that this is not quite the right notion, and we can in fact weaken the completeness to what we call "shift completeness" of the filter.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall the basics of permutation models, following [3]. The underlying theory we are working with is ZFA, i.e. ZF together with atoms. For simplicity we will also always assume that the collection of atoms is a set A. Models of this theory have a natural von Neumann like hierarchy where instead of starting with the empty set, we start with the set of atoms A and then successively build powersets and unions at limit steps. Whenever π is a permutation of A, π recursively extends via this hierarchy to the whole universe by stipulating that

$$\pi(x) = \{\pi(y) : y \in x\}.$$

Now let \mathcal{G} be a group of permutations of A. A filter of subgroups of \mathcal{G} is a non-empty set \mathcal{F} of subgroups of \mathcal{G} that is closed under supergroups and finite intersections. It is called *normal* if it is also closed under conjugation by elements of \mathcal{G} , i.e. for every $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{G}$, $\pi H \pi^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}$. We say that \mathcal{F} is κ -complete, for a cardinal κ , if whenever $\gamma < \kappa$ and $\{H_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \gamma\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then $\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} H_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}$.

In the context of some fixed \mathcal{G} and a normal filter \mathcal{F} of subroups of \mathcal{G} , we say that a set x is *symmetric* if there is a group $H \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\pi(x) = x$ for every $\pi \in H$. In other words, $\operatorname{sym}(x) := \{\pi \in \mathcal{G} : \pi(x) = x\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover we say that x is *hereditarily symmetric* if every element of the transitive closure $\operatorname{tcl}(\{x\} \cup x)$ is symmetric. The class of hereditarily symmetric sets is then denoted HS.

LEMMA 1 [3, Theorem 4.1]. $HS \subseteq V$ is a transitive model of ZFA.

We will say that HS is the *permutation model* obtained from \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{F} .

DEFINITION 2. Let \mathcal{F} be a normal filter of subgroups of \mathcal{G} . We say that \mathcal{F} is *shift complete* if for any decreasing sequence $\langle H_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in \mathcal{F} , there are $\pi_n \in \mathcal{G}$, for $n \in \omega$, such that for every $n \in \omega$, $\pi_n \in K_n$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \in \mathcal{F}$, where K_n is defined as $(\pi_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_0) H_n(\pi_0^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{n-1}^{-1})$.

To improve the readability, we will always use K_n to denote the shifted sequence and we will use σ_n to denote the composition $\pi_{n-1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_0$. Assuming DC, it is true that \mathcal{F} is shift complete if and only if it has a basis which is shift complete (requiring that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n$ contains a basis element, in this case). This is similar to the case of normality, although we need to rely on a modicum of choice since we need to choose infinitely many basis elements at once.

DEFINITION 3. Let A be a collection of atoms, let \mathcal{G} be a group of permutations of A and \mathcal{F} be a normal filter of subgroups of \mathcal{G} . Then the *essential* subfilter of \mathcal{F} is the filter generated by $\{\operatorname{sym}(x) : x \in \mathsf{HS}\}$.

It is easy to see that the essential subfilter is itself normal and produces the same permutation model, and in typical constructions \mathcal{F} is itself already essential.

PROPOSITION 4. Assuming DC holds in V, if \mathcal{F} is shift complete, then the essential subfilter is shift complete.

PROOF. Let $\langle H_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a sequence in the essential subfilter, and choose $x_n \in \mathsf{HS}$ such that $\operatorname{sym}(x_n) = H_n$ for every $n \in \omega$. Using the shift completeness of \mathcal{F} , there is $\langle \pi_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

Define $y_n = \sigma_n(x_n)$, then we have that $\operatorname{sym}(y_n) = \sigma_n \operatorname{sym}(x_n)\sigma_n^{-1} = K_n$. Therefore $\operatorname{sym}(\langle y_n : n \in \omega \rangle) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n, \langle y_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in \mathsf{HS}$, and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n$ is in the essential subfilter, as wanted. \Box

3. Dependent Choice is essentially shift completeness

MAIN THEOREM. Assume DC holds in V and let \mathcal{F} be a normal filter of subgroups of \mathcal{G} . Then the following are equivalent:

(1) The essential subfilter of \mathcal{F} is shift complete.

(2) $HS \models DC$.

PROOF. (1) implies (2): Let $(T, \leq) \in \mathsf{HS}$ be a tree without a maximal element. Since DC holds in V, there is an increasing sequence $\langle s_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in T. Let $H_0 := \operatorname{sym}(T, \leq)$ and $H_{n+1} := \operatorname{sym}(T, \leq, \langle s_i : i \leq n \rangle)$ for every $n \in \omega$. Then each H_n is in the essential subfilter of \mathcal{F} and they form a decreasing sequence. According to (1), there are $\pi_n \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $K := \bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \in \mathcal{F}$. Further let $t_n := \sigma_n(s_n)$, for every $n \in \omega$. Then $t_n \in \mathsf{HS}$ and

$$\operatorname{sym}(t_n) = \operatorname{sym}(\sigma_n(s_n)) = \sigma_n \operatorname{sym}(s_n) \sigma_n^{-1} \supseteq \sigma_n H_{n+1} \sigma_n^{-1} = K_{n+1} \supseteq K.$$

Moreover, as $(s_n, s_{n+1}) \in \leq$ and $\sigma_{n+1} \in H_0 = \text{sym}(\leq)$, we have that

$$\sigma_{n+1}(s_n, s_{n+1}) = (\sigma_{n+1}(s_n), \sigma_{n+1}(s_{n+1}))$$
$$= (\pi_{n+1} \circ \sigma_n(s_n), \sigma_{n+1}(s_{n+1})) = (\pi_{n+1}(t_n), t_{n+1}) = (t_n, t_{n+1}) \in \le.$$

Acta Mathematica Hungarica 172, 2024

The last equality follows since $\pi_{n+1} \in K_{n+1} \subseteq \text{sym}(t_n)$. Thus $\langle t_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ is increasing in T and $\langle t_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in \mathsf{HS}$.

(2) implies (1): Let $\langle H_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be in the essential subfilter. Using DC in V, for every $n \in \omega$, let $x_n \in \mathsf{HS}$ be such that, without loss of generality, $\operatorname{sym}(x_n) = H_{n+1}$.

Define $T := \{\pi(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle) : \pi \in H_0, n \in \omega\}$. Since $H_0 \subseteq \operatorname{sym}(T)$ we have that $T \in \mathsf{HS}$, and as a tree ordered by inclusion T has no maximal elements. Thus, by (2), there is a branch $\langle t_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in \mathsf{HS}$ in T. Again applying DC in V, we find τ_n such that $\langle t_i : i \leq n \rangle = \tau_n(\langle x_i : i \leq n \rangle)$ for every n. Let $\pi_0 = \tau_0$ and for each $n \geq 1$, let $\pi_n = \tau_n \circ \tau_{n-1}^{-1}$ and observe that $\pi_n \circ \cdots \circ \pi_0 = \tau_n$. We claim that using $\langle \pi_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ is a shifting sequence for $\langle H_n : n \in \omega \rangle$. We let $K_0 = H_0$ and $K_n := \tau_{n-1} H_n \tau_{n-1}^{-1}$, in anticipation that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

CLAIM 5. For every $n \in \omega, \pi_n \in K_n$.

PROOF. For n = 0 this is trivial, as $K_0 = H_0$ and $\pi_0 = \tau_0 \in H_0$. For $n \ge 1$, since $K_n = \tau_{n-1} H_n \tau_{n-1}^{-1} \supseteq \operatorname{sym}(\tau_{n-1}(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle))$, it suffices to show that π_n fixes $\tau_{n-1}(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle)$. This is true since

$$\pi_n(\tau_{n-1}(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle)) = \tau_n(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle) \subseteq \tau_n(\langle x_i : i \le n \rangle) = \langle t_i : i \le n \rangle.$$

As $\tau_n(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle)$ has length n,

$$\tau_n(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle) = \langle t_i : i < n \rangle = \tau_{n-1}(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle). \quad \Box$$

Let $K := \operatorname{sym}(\langle t_n : n \in \omega \rangle) \cap K_0.$

CLAIM 6. $K \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \omega} K_n$.

PROOF. Let $\pi \in K$ and $n \in \omega$ be arbitrary. Then $\pi \in K_0$ and for $n \ge 1$, since $K_n = \tau_{n-1} H_n \tau_{n-1}^{-1} \supseteq \operatorname{sym}(\tau_{n-1}(\langle x_i : i < n \rangle))$, it suffices to show that π fixes $\tau_{n-1}(x_{n-1})$. This is similar to the previous claim. \Box

Since K is in the essential subfilter of \mathcal{F} , this completes the proof. \Box

4. Nowhere dense model

We consider the rational numbers, \mathbb{Q} , with their linear order as our structure. The group \mathcal{G} is the group of order automorphisms (i.e. order preserving bijections). For any subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, we let $\operatorname{fix}(E) := \{\pi \in \mathcal{G} : \pi \mid E = \operatorname{id}\}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the filter generated by $\{\operatorname{fix}(E) : E \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \text{ is nowhere dense}\}$. It is not hard to see that any singleton is nowhere dense, so the filter is certainly not countably complete.

We claim that \mathcal{F} is shift complete. Let E_n be an increasing sequence of nowhere dense subsets of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$. Let $\langle I_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ enumerate all open intervals of \mathbb{Q} . We will recursively define automorphisms π_n and non-empty intervals $J_n \subseteq I_n$ such that

(1) $\pi_n \in \operatorname{fix}(\sigma_n {}^{"}E_n) = \sigma_n \operatorname{fix}(E_n)\sigma_n^{-1}$ and (2) $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n {}^{"}E_n \cap \bigcup_{n \in \omega} J_n = \emptyset.$

LEMMA 7. Let E be a nowhere dense set and $J = \bigcup_{i < n} (a_i - \varepsilon_i, b_i + \varepsilon_i)$ be a disjoint union of open intervals for some $\varepsilon_i > 0$. There is an automorphism, $\pi \in \text{fix}(\mathbb{Q} \setminus J)$, and $\pi^* E \cap (a_i - \frac{\varepsilon_i}{4}, b_i + \frac{\varepsilon_i}{4}) = \emptyset$ for i < n.

PROOF. For each i < n we define an automorphism

$$\tau_i \in \operatorname{fix}(\mathbb{Q} \setminus (a_i - \varepsilon_i, b + \varepsilon_i))$$

and take π to be the composition of these automorphisms, since the intervals are disjoint this composition is commutative. For readability let us omit *i* from the subscript, as we are working on each of the intervals separately.

Since *E* is nowhere dense, we can find $(c,d) \subseteq (a,b)$ such that $E \cap (c,d) = \emptyset$. Let τ be an automorphism of $(a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon)$ such that $\tau(a) = a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, $\tau(c) = a - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $\tau(d) = b + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, and $\tau(b) = b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then $\tau^{"}E \cap (a - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, b + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}) = \emptyset$, as wanted. \Box

Pick $J_0 = (a_0, b_0) \subseteq I_0$ such that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$,

$$(a_0 - \varepsilon_0, b_0 + \varepsilon_0) \cap E_0 = \varnothing.$$

Since E_1 is nowhere dense we can apply the lemma to obtain $\pi_0 \in \text{fix}(E_0)$ such that $\pi_0 \,{}^{\omega}E_1 \cap (a_0 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}, b_0 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that we have defined $J_i = (a_i, b_i)$ and π_i for i < n such that for some $\varepsilon_i > 0$ we have $(a_i - \varepsilon_i, b_i + \varepsilon_i) \cap \sigma_n {}^{*}E_n = \emptyset$. It is important to note that the sequence of ε_i may be taken to be different at each step. We want to find $J_n = (a_n, b_n) \subseteq I_n$ such that for some ε_n we have $(a_n - \varepsilon_n, b_n + \varepsilon_n)$ is disjoint from $\sigma_n {}^{*}E_n$ and the previously chosen intervals, by perhaps shrinking the ε_i even more in order to apply the lemma to $J = \bigcup_{i \leq n} (a_i - \varepsilon_i, b_i + \varepsilon_i)$ and $\sigma_n {}^{*}E_{n+1}$. Certainly, since $\sigma_n {}^{*}E_n$ is nowhere dense that requirement is easy to fulfil; if we cannot fulfil the second requirement, then we can choose J_n to be contained in one of the J_i for i < n and we can apply the lemma. This ensures that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma_n {}^{*}E_n \cap \bigcup_{n \in \omega} J_n = \emptyset$ as wanted.

5. Generalised versions of Dependent Choice and shift completeness

We can generalise DC to higher cardinals in the following way. We say that a tree T is κ -closed if every chain of order type $< \kappa$ has an upper bound. Then DC_{κ} states that every κ -closed tree has a chain of order type κ or a maximal element. It is not hard to verify, in this formulation, that if $\lambda < \kappa$,

38

then DC_{κ} implies DC_{λ} holds as well. We write $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ to denote DC_{λ} holds for all $\lambda < \kappa$. In the case where $\kappa = \lambda^+$ this is just DC_{λ} , and if κ is singular, then $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ implies DC_{κ} . However, for inaccessible cardinals $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ is indeed weaker than DC_{κ} .

DEFINITION 8. Let δ be an infinite ordinal. Then we say that \mathcal{F} is δ -shift complete if for any sequence $\langle H_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma \rangle$ with $\gamma < \delta$ in \mathcal{F} , there are $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}$, for $\alpha < \gamma$, such that

- (1) $\bigcap_{\alpha \leq \gamma} K_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $K_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}$,
- (2) for every $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, $\sigma_{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} \in K_{\alpha}$.

It is not hard to see that shift-complete as we previously defined is $\omega + 1$ -shift complete.

LEMMA 9. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter of subgroups of \mathcal{G} . If \mathcal{F} is $\gamma + 1$ -shift complete, then \mathcal{F} is $|\gamma|$ -complete. If \mathcal{F} is $\gamma + 2$ -shift complete, then \mathcal{F} is $|\gamma|^+$ -complete.

PROOF. For simplicity, we assume that $\gamma = |\gamma|$. To see that \mathcal{F} is γ -complete, let $\langle H_{\alpha} : \alpha < \beta \rangle$ be in \mathcal{F} , where $\beta < \gamma$. Next extend this sequence arbitrarily to $\langle H_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma \rangle$, for example by repeating \mathcal{G} after β . Let σ_{α} and K_{α} be as in the definition of shift complete, for each $\alpha \leq \gamma$. Then we have that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} K_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, for each $\alpha < \beta$, $\sigma_{\beta}\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} \in K_{\alpha}$, so

$$\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta} H_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \bigg(\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta} \sigma_{\beta} H_{\alpha} \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \bigg) \sigma_{\beta}$$
$$= \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \bigg(\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta} \sigma_{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} K_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \bigg) \sigma_{\beta} = \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \bigg(\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta} K_{\alpha} \bigg) \sigma_{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The proof in the case of $\gamma + 2$ -shift completeness is similar, but we can now use a sequence of length γ to begin with, thus proving that \mathcal{F} is γ^+ complete. \Box

We saw with the nowhere dense model that the above theorem is the best we can get, since it is possible to get a filter of groups that is $\omega + 1$ -shift complete, but not ω_1 -complete.

It is a standard observation that if \mathcal{F} is κ -complete and $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ holds in V, then $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ holds in HS. The proof of this observation actually shows more, it shows that HS is closed under γ -sequences for any $\gamma < \kappa$. Indeed, if $X = \{x_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \gamma\} \subseteq \mathsf{HS}$, then $\bigcap_{\alpha < \gamma} \operatorname{sym}(x_{\alpha}) = H \in \mathcal{F}$, and it is not hard to check that H fixes X pointwise, so $X \in \mathsf{HS}$.

THEOREM 1. Assuming DC_{κ} holds in V, if \mathcal{F} is $\kappa + 1$ -shift complete, then DC_{κ} holds in HS.

PROOF. Suppose that $(T, \leq) \in \mathsf{HS}$ is a κ -closed tree with no maximal elements. We have that T is κ -closed in V, since there is some $\gamma < \kappa$ and a chain in T of order type γ which is not in HS . But by the lemma above, \mathcal{F} is κ -complete, so this is impossible. Thus there is a branch $\langle s_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa \rangle \in V$ in T. Now we proceed exactly as in the proof of Main Theorem to get a shifted branch in HS . \Box

COROLLARY 10. Assuming $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ holds in V, if \mathcal{F} is κ -shift complete, then $\mathsf{DC}_{<\kappa}$ holds in HS.

6. Open questions

From the work of Blass in [1] and [2] we know that there is a complete characterisation of when the Boolean Prime Ideal theorem holds in a permutation model. The property used by Blass is called a "Ramsey filter", but upon deeper inspection it seems to have a strong finitary nature which is at odds with the infinitary nature of shift completeness.

QUESTION 11. Is there a natural example of a permutation model where the filter which is both Ramsey and shift complete?

It seems somewhat unlikely that $\kappa + 1$ -shift completeness will be equivalent to DC_{κ} holding in HS for uncountable κ . It seems reasonable to expect that HS might not be closed under γ -sequences for all $\gamma < \kappa$, but DC_{κ} still holds there.

QUESTION 12. What is the "correct" generalisation of shift completeness which does not imply κ -completeness?

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] A. Blass, Prime ideals yield almost maximal ideals, Fund. Math., 127 (1987), 57–66.
- [2] A. Blass, Partitions and permutation groups, in: Model Theoretic Methods in Finite Combinatorics, Contemp. Math., vol. 558, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence, RI, 2011), pp. 53–466.
- [3] T. J. Jech, *The Axiom of Choice*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 75, North-Holland Publishing Co. (Amsterdam–London), American Elsevier Publishing Co. (New York, 1973).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons

licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.