

This is a repository copy of *Protein-specific signal peptides for mammalian vector engineering*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/202935/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

O'Neill, P. orcid.org/0009-0009-4453-2635, Mistry, R.K., Brown, A.J. et al. (1 more author) (2023) Protein-specific signal peptides for mammalian vector engineering. ACS Synthetic Biology, 12 (8). pp. 2339-2352. ISSN 2161-5063

https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.3c00157

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Protein-Specific Signal Peptides for Mammalian Vector Engineering

Published as part of the ACS Synthetic Biology virtual special issue "AI for Synthetic Biology".

Pamela O'Neill, Rajesh K. Mistry, Adam J. Brown, and David C. James*

Cite This: ACS Synth. Biol. 2023, 12, 2339-2352



ACCESS Metrics & More Article Recommendations s Supporting Information Signal peptide curation Multi-molecule experimental validatio Generic signal pentide idenitification ABSTRACT: Expression of recombinant proteins in mammalian cell factories relies on synthetic assemblies of genetic parts to 2 optimally control flux through the product biosynthetic pathway. In comparison to other genetic part-types, there is a relative paucity of characterized signal peptide components, particularly for mammalian cell contexts. In this study, we describe a toolkit of signal Protein specific signal peptide s peptide elements, created using bioinformatics-led and synthetic

design approaches, that can be utilized to enhance production of biopharmaceutical proteins in Chinese hamster ovary cell factories. We demonstrate, for the first time in a mammalian cell context, that machine learning can be used to predict how discrete signal peptide elements will perform when utilized to drive endoplasmic reticulum



(ER) translocation of specific single chain protein products. For more complex molecular formats, such as multichain monoclonal antibodies, we describe how a combination of in silico and targeted design rule-based in vitro testing can be employed to rapidly identify product-specific signal peptide solutions from minimal screening spaces. The utility of this technology is validated by deriving vector designs that increase product titers \geq 1.8×, compared to standard industry systems, for a range of products, including a difficult-to-express monoclonal antibody. The availability of a vastly expanded toolbox of characterized signal peptide parts, combined with streamlined in silico/in vitro testing processes, will permit efficient expression vector re-design to maximize titers of both simple and complex protein products.

KEYWORDS: translocation, signal peptide, recombinant protein, mammalian

1. INTRODUCTION

Recombinant proteins are the principal molecular format of biopharmaceutical products, where Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells are a dominant cell factory utilized for their biomanufacturing. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are the most common product-type in development, representing 53.5% of all biopharmaceutical approvals between 2018 and 2022.¹ Over the past two decades, significant titer increases have been achieved via cell,² vector,³ process,⁴ and media⁵ engineering. Despite significant advances in biomanufacturing system outputs, new technologies are critically required to enhance production of increasingly complex product formats, such as fusion proteins and tri-specific mAbs.⁶ These proteins are commonly referred to as "difficult-to-express" owing to low product yields, where process optimization is time and costintensive.

Advances in the synthetic biology field, particularly in DNA sequence engineering, have significantly expanded opportunities to improve CHO cell expression vector design.¹⁰ Although some vector components have been widely studied, with associated availability of DNA part libraries,¹¹ mammalian signal peptides remain relatively unexplored. As all recombinant protein products are secreted from the host cell factory, they have an absolute requirement to be paired with an appropriate signal peptide, a short N-terminal amino acid sequence that facilitates co-translational translocation of nascent polypeptides into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER).^{12,13} Although signal peptides adhere to a generic three-domain structure, comprising a basic N-domain, a hydrophobic H-domain, and a slightly polar C-domain,¹⁴ the sequence features underpinning their performance are relatively poorly understood. Recent studies have begun to elucidate mechanistic design rules that govern whether a signal peptide will generally encode low or high ER translocation rates;¹⁵ however, (i) this work is predominantly in bacterial systems,^{16,17} and (ii) there is a paucity of information regarding context-specific functionality, whereby individual signal peptide performance is highly variable dependent on the partner-protein used.

Received: March 14, 2023 Published: July 24, 2023





Table 1. Origin and Amino Acid Composition of 37 Signal Peptides Used to Construct ScfV, ETE, and DTE mAb Expression Plasmids^a

E9 MLSRSLLČLALAWVARVGA Protein disulphide isomerase (PDIA1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER and plasma membrane E10 MEFSCLALLPGVALLLASARLAAA Protein disulphide isomerase A3 (PDIA3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E11 MEFMVAALLLLACAVRA EPI (HSP031) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E13 MEFMVAALLLLACAVRA EPI (HSPA3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E14 MELLUSGLLAVALA Serpinh I N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E14 MELLUSGLLAVALA Clusterin (LUU) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E15 MIRISGRMKVLFAAALIVGSVVFLIJLDGESVA Pettidydpolj somerase B (PIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E16 MAATVRRQRPRRILCWTIXAVILADILAIS Hyposia upregulated protein 1 (HYOU1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMWTLAARAWPLCGLLIAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing ritaximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritaximab native LC X1 MWWRLWVUTISLIJLAUSV N-terminal signal peptide expressing ritaximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritaximab native LC X3 MEFGUSVFEVALFERSAYS Serum albumin preproportion N-terminal signal peptide expressing ritaximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: Ritaximab natritaximab natritaximab native LC ²	Signal peptide	Amino acid sequence	Signal peptide origin
Instruction Instruction Control	E1	MAPFASLASGILLLLSLITSSKA	Metalloproteinase inhibitor 1 (TIMP1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: secreted
 MQELRGILLCILLAAAVPTTP MQELRGILLCILLAAAVPTTP Dickbopf-chaled protein 5 (DKX) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the rytosol and secreted MGKSERWCVLPSVLASERA Complement C 11 (C15) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the rytosol and secreted Complement C 11 (C15) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the rytosol and secreted MGKSELLALWAVAKGA Chalepain Z (TTSZ) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the rulesus, FIR, Gelg, and secreted MGKSELLALWAVAKGA Protein doublinde isomerase A3 (PDLA) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the FIR MREVILLASCULLAVARA BBY (HSRA) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the FIR MREVILLASCULAVARA BBY (HSRA) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the FIR MREVILLAVEALAVARA BBY (HSRA) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the FIR MREVILLAVEALATIVOS VFELLPORTSVA BIS MLERGRNAKCVEANALIVOS VFELLPORTSVA BIS MLERGRNAKCVEANALIVOS VFELLPORTSVA BIS MLERGRNAKCVEANALIVOS VFELLPORTSVA BIS MLERGRNAKCVEANALIVOS VFELLPORTSVA BIS MUKMSVERLAVATIVATIVALADILALS Protein doublinde segmensing fluximab LC in CHO K1, Published name: intuminal signal peptide. Protein location: the FIR MKMGVRILAARAWPLOGLILAALIGOVCA Neterminal signal peptide expressing rituamab LC in CHO K1, Published name: intuminal native LC MKMGVRILAARAWPLOGULAALAGOVCA Neterminal signal peptide expressing rituamab LC in CHO K1, Published name: intuminal native LC MKMGVRILAARAWPLOGULAARA Neterminal signal peptide expressing rituamab LC in CHO K1, Published name: intuminal native LC MKMGVRILAARAWPLOGULAARA Neterminal signal peptide expressing rituamab LC in CHO K1, Published name: intumin nati	E2	MLLGPGHTLSAPALALAVTLTLLVRSASP	
15 MRXYASTILAMAGGNS 605 cache rhosomal protein P2 (RPI29) Nerminas. Protein location: the rytuod and secreted 16 MGKSPERWCTUPESVIASTRA Complement C 1s (C15) Nerminal signal peptide. Protein location: the nucleus, ER, Golg, and secreted 17 MASSGNVQQPRIATIALIVAGAARA Cathepian Z (CT52) Nerminal signal peptide. Protein location: the nucleus, ER, Golg, and secreted 18 MRXRUQ_CUPELIVEALITALEA Nucleobinin Z (NUCG2) Nerminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 18 MRXFVAGA Potetin disalphide-isomerase (PDIA) Nerminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 19 MRSLLALAWCVARA Endoplasmin (HSF9001) Nerminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 19 MRILLASCULATIVANA Endoplasmin (HSF9001) Nerminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 19 MRSLLASFCLAVAIA Septihi Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 19 MRSLLASFCLAVAIA Septihi Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 19 MRSLLASFCLAVAIA Peptid-Protein location: the TR 19 MRSLLASFCLAVAIA Peptid-Protein location: the TR 19 MRILLASGRNAKULFAAALIVGSVFLLIPCIFYXA Peptid-Protein location: the TR 19 MAGKVELAARAWPLOGLIAALADUAGVA Peptid-Protein location: the TR 10 MRSKILLASKYANANIASG Nerminal signal peptide expressing faturation in the TR 11 MRGKYELAARAWPLOGLIAALAAC Ne	E3	MLLSVPLLLGLLGLAAA	
66 MCKSPEAWCULFSVLASESA Complement C 1s (C1S) N derminal signal peptide. Protein location: the secreted 67 MASSGSVQQPRLVLIMULAGAARA Cathepsin Z (CTSZ) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the machaus, ER, Golg, and secret 68 MUKWRIQLQYCFLIJVECMLTALEA Nucleobiania 2 (NUCEA) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER and plasma membrane 69 MLRSSLLCLALMOVANUGA Protein disulphide-isomerase A3 (PDIA3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 610 MREVUNULICCULTIFGVIAA Bird/ISASD N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 611 MKUNULICCULTIFGVIAA Bird/ISASD N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 613 MKSLLASPCLLAVAIA Bird/ISASD N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 614 MKILLCYGLILTWONGMVLG Closterin (CLU) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 615 MLRSGRNMKVLFAAALUGSVFLLIPGEVA Peptidylprob/I somerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 616 MAATVRRQPRRULCWTLAALUSULAA N-terminal signal peptide corporating signal peptide. Protein location: the ER 617 MMKGVRLAAAMVFCGLILAALGGCCA Peptidylprob/Isomerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide area: sprite. Protein location: the ER 618 MLRSVLLAAAMAY N-terminal signal peptide corporating second peptide. Protein location: the ER 714 MWWRLWVLLLLLLAAGARA N-terminal signal peptide corporating SEAP in CHO -S. P	E4	MQELRGILLCLLLAAAVPTTP	Dickkopf-related protein 3 (DKK3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: secreted
F7 MASSGSVQQPRIVILMINIAGARARA Carbepis Z (CTS2) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the hysonem F8 MRWKIIQLQYCELLVPCMLTALEA Nucleobina-2 (NUCB2) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the R and plasma membrane F10 MRSSCLALLPGVALLLASARUAAA Protein doublpide-isomerase (PDIAI) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F11 MRVFNUVUCIC/CVLITFGVFA Endoplasmin (HSP9081) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F13 MRSVKILASPCILAVAIA BiP (HSSA) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F14 MKULLCVGLILTWONGWYLG Clasterin (CLU) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F14 MKULLCVGLILTWONGWYLG Clasterin (CLU) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F15 MASTYRRQPRRLLCVTIVAULADLLAS Peptidylprobyl isomerase B (PPIB) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F15 MASTYRRQPRRLLCVTIVAULADLLAS Hyposia sepsidued protein 1 (HYOUI) Neterninal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER F16 MASTYRRQPRRLLCVTIVAULADLLAS Neterninal signal peptide expressing transmite H in CHO SL Netholead name: intuinab native FC F2 MWWRLWWULLILLLUWLAAAAA Neterninal signal peptide expressing avaain, humina, nitaan, and their CHO KI Netholead name: intuinab native FC F3 MKWVTRSLFLASAVIMSKG Neterninal signal peptide expressing ava	E5	MRYVASYLLAALGGNS	60S acidic ribosomal protein P2 (RPLP2) N-terminus. Protein location: the cytosol and secreted
Bits MRWRIUQ.QYCELUY-CMLTALEA Necleobain.2 (NUCC2) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER of plasma membrane B9 MLSRSLLCLALAWVARVGA Protein displade isomerase (PDIAI) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER and plasma membrane B10 MRFSCLALIPCVALLIASARLAA Protein displade isomerase A3 (PDIA) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B11 MRVLWVLGLCCVLLTFGFVRA Endoplasmin (HSP90B1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B13 MRSILLASCILLAVAR Bit (HSPAS) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B14 MKINLXCVCLLACUA Serpida1 N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B15 MKINLXCVCLAALLINGSVVLEAALUVGSVVELLPG/SVA Peptidy/prob/i somerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide cortein location: the ER B15 MARVRQBR/RRLUCHALLINGSVCLAAALUVGSVVELLPG/GVA Delticly-diphosphonligosaccharide protein glycotamsferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide cortex in the R B15 MKMGVRLAAARWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA N-terminal signal peptide expressing tritamina the Cin CHO K1. Published name: SP11 ¹⁰ X1 MWWRLWWLLILLILLAVATARVIS N-terminal signal peptide expressing tritamina the Cin CHO K1. Published name: Trubinab native IC X2 MGWSJLLEJAAAGWPL CGLLAALGGVCA N-terminal signal peptide expressing tratimination antrue IC	E6	MGKSPEAWCIVLFSVLASFSA	Complement C 1s (C1S) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: secreted
E9 MLSRSLLČLALAWVARVGA Protein disulphide isomerase (PDIA1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER and plasma membrane E10 MEFSCLALLPGVALLLASARLAAA Protein disulphide isomerase A3 (PDIA3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E11 MEFMVAALLLLACAVRA EPI (HSP031) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E13 MEFMVAALLLLACAVRA EPI (HSPA3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E14 MELLUSGLLAVALA Serpinh I N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E14 MELLUSGLLAVALA Clusterin (LUU) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E15 MIRISGRMKVLFAAALIVGSVVFLIJLDGESVA Pettidydpolj somerase B (PIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E16 MAATVRRQRPRRILCWTIXAVILADILAIS Hyposia upregulated protein 1 (HYOU1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMWTLAARAWPLCGLLIAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing ritaximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritaximab native LC X1 MWWRLWVUTISLIJLAUSV N-terminal signal peptide expressing ritaximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritaximab native LC X3 MEFGUSVFEVALFERSAYS Serum albumin preproportion N-terminal signal peptide expressing ritaximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: Ritaximab natritaximab natritaximab native LC ²	E7	MASSGSVQQPRLVLLMLVLAGAARA	Cathepsin Z (CTSZ) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the lysosome
membrane Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instregrame Instructin Instructin<	E8	MRWKIIQLQYCFLLVPCMLTALEA	Nucleobinin-2 (NUCB2) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the nucleus, ER, Golgi, and secreted
HI MEVLWVLGLCCVLLTFGFVRA Endoplasmin (HSP90B1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B12 MESLILASTCLLAVALA Serpinih N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B13 MESLILASTCLLAVALA Serpinih N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B14 MILLILASTCLLAVALA Serpinih N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B15 MILRISGRNMKUE#AALDUGSUVPLILIPGEWA Peptidylprolyl isonerase B (PHB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B16 MAATVRRQPRERLICUVTIVAVLIADUALS Poptidylprolyl isonerase B (PHB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER B17 MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLAALGGVCA Dolchyl-diplosphologeacchardle protein glycotransferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO KI. Published name: rituximab native HC X1 MWWRLWWLLILLILLWALAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO KI. Published name: rituximab native HC X3 MFQUJUSFLLISASVMSRG N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO KI. Published name: rituximab native HC X4 MEEGLSWVFLVALERGVQC H-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO KI. Published name: minabas nature CH X5 MKMVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin perporportein M-terminal signal peptide expressing round antibody HC and LC and an merima signal peptide expressing Gausia luciferase in an unspecified CHO hA	E9	MLSRSLLCLALAWVARVGA	
E12 MKFPMVAAALLILLCAVRA BP (HSPAS) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER, cytoplasm, and the cell surface E13 MKSLLLASFCLLAVALA Scrpinhl N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER, cytoplasm, chromat granules, and secreted E14 MKILLCGULTUPNOKUVLG Clusterin (LUI) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E15 MLRISCRNIKUTAAALIVGSVVFLLPCPVA Peptidehpoly isomerase B (PHB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E16 MAATVRRQBPRRLICCWTLVAVLADLIALS Hyposia upregulated protein 1 (HYOUI) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLAAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing fuximab HC in CHO K1. Published name: riturinah native HC X1 MWWRLWWLLLLLUAVAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing riturimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: riturinah native HC X3 MDFQVQUSFLIASASVIMSKG N-terminal signal peptide expressing riturimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: riturinah native LC ² X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC H7 ²¹ X5 MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a mater fastion protein in CHO K1, and CLAN A and Exploshed name: riturinah channe: riturinah trais signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO b X6 MKLLVVRLUVLMFWIPASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing gaussi luciferase in	E10	MRFSCLALLPGVALLLASARLAAA	Protein disulphide-isomerase A3 (PDIA3) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER
E13 MRSLLLASPCLLAVALA Serpinh1 N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E14 MKILLLCVGLLTWDNGMVLG Clusterin (CLU) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E15 MLRISCRNIKVLFAAALI/UGSVVFLLPGFYXA Peptidylproble jonorase B (PIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E16 MAATVRRQPRRLLAUCTVLXVLLALLAS Poptidylproble protein 1 (HYOUI) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLAALGGVCA Dolichyl-diplosphooligosaccharide protein glycotransferase (DOOST) N-terminal signal peptide expressing futurimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritrainab native LC ² X1 MWWRLWWLLILLLUWATRVLS N-terminal signal peptide expressing inturimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritrainab native LC ² X3 MDFQQUSFLLIASKNINSKG N-terminal signal peptide expressing inturimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: ritrainab native LC ² X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing inturimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: HT X5 MKUPVRLIVLMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing indub ritR2 antibody in CHO DG44 and E coil W3110. Published name: MT X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gausia luciferase in an unspecified CHO bit name: ndg2 X7 MNILLILTFVAAAVA Human: trypsinogen: 2 ⁺ X8 MGSAALLLWVNLLVMFWIPAASA <	E11	MRVLWVLGLCCVLLTFGFVRA	Endoplasmin (HSP90B1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER
E14 MKILLLCVGLLLTWDNGMVLG Clasterin (CLU) Netwinia signal peptide. Protein location: the ER, eytosol, nucleus, cytoplasm, chroma granules, and secreted E15 MLRISGRNMKVLFAAALVGSVVFLLDPGVAPA Peptidylprobl isomerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E16 MAATVRRQRPRRLCWTLVAVLLADLALS Hypoxia upregulated protein 1 (HTOU1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMCVRLAARAWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA Dichryl-diphophologosaccharide protein gycotransferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituamab HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituamab native HC X1 MWWRLWVHLILLILLUVLALAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituamab HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituamab native HC X3 MGWSLLFLSASVIMSRG N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituamab HC in CHO K1. Published name: SP1 ¹⁰ X4 MEFGLSVVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing and the main rituama native LC ² X4 MKUVTHISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproportein N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gausia luciferase in CHO DC44 and CHO AA8. Published name: HT ² X5 MKUVTLLVALFWFWIPASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gausia luciferase in an unspecified CHO ht X6 MKLPVRLLVLLMFWIPASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gausia luciferase in an unspecified CHO ht X8 MCSAALLWVVLLLWFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gausia luciferas	E12	MKFPMVAAALLLLCAVRA	BiP (HSPA5) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER, cytoplasm, and the cell surface
E15 MLRISGRNMKVLFAAALIVGSVVFLLE/GSVA Peptidylprolyl isomerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E16 MAATVRRQR/RRALLCWTLVAVLLADLLAS Peptidylprolyl isomerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA Dolichyl-diphosphoolgosaccharide protein glycotransferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER X1 MWWRLWVLLILLLLAALGAVA N-terminal signal peptide expressing StAP in C HO K1. Published name: rituximab native HC X2 MGWSLLLFLVAVATRVLS N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, humira, situxan, and remicade HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native HC X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, humira, situxan, and remicade HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native HC X5 MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a mo X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG4+ and E. coli W3110. Published name: rSA ³ X7 MNLLLITFVAAAVA Human trypsinogen-2 N-terminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG4+ and E. coli W3110. Published name: rSA ³ X8 MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRA N-terminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG4+ and E. coli W3110. Published name: rtrypsinogen-2 X10	E13	MRSLLLASFCLLAVALA	Serpinh1 N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER
E16 MAATVRRQBPRRLLCWTLVAVLLADLLALS Hypoxia upregulated protein 1 (HYOU1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER E17 MKMCVRLAARAWPLCGLLAALGGVCA Dolichyl-liphosphooligosacharide protein glycotransferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER X1 MWWRLWWLLLLLLILWLALAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing situationab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native LC ² X3 MDFQVQIISFLLIASSVIMSRG N-terminal signal peptide expressing intuxinab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native LC ² X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, humira, rituxan, and remicade HC in CHO K1. Published name: f1 X5 MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a not fusion protein in CHO K1, and Gaussi luciferase in CHO DG44 and CHO AA8. Published name: f1 X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA Human trypsinogen-2. N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: expressing GP and a model schw-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: endgk X9 MTRLTVLALLAGLASSRA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in a unspecified CHO h Published name: endge X10 MWWRLWWLLLLLUWPMVWA/AA Synthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44. Published name: endge X10 MWWRLWWLLLLLLUWPMVWA/AA Synthetically designed	E14	MKILLLCVGLLLTWDNGMVLG	Clusterin (CLU) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER, cytosol, nucleus, cytoplasm, chromaffin granules, and secreted
 E17 MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA E17 MKMGVRLARAWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA Dolichyl-diphosphoolgosaccharide protein glycotransferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide capressing SEAP in CHO-S. Published name: rituimab native HC X1 MWWRLWWLLLLLLLWVATRVLS X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC X4 terminal signal peptide expressing rituimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituimab native HC X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC X4 terminal signal peptide expressing rituimab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituimab native HC X5 MKWVTFISLLFESSAYS X5 MKWVTFISLLFESSAYS X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA X10 MKLVVTLLUVLMFWIPAASA X4 merminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: Typsinogen-2 N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h X7 MNLLLLTFVAAAVA Y4 Human trypsinogen-2 N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h X10 MWWRLWWLLLULLWWPSSRA X10 MWWRLWWLLLULLWWPSSRA X11 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSS X11 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASS X12 MDMRVPAQLIGLLLLWLSGARC X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X15 MPLLLLPLLWAGALA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X15 MPLLLLPLLWAGALA X15 MPLLLLPLWAGALA X16 MPLLLLPLWAGALA X17 MTLLVLLVLVVVVLGGYASSA X18 Synthetically designed X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA X15 MPLLLLPLWAGALA X15 MPLLLLPLWAGALA X16 MPLLLLPLWAGALA X17 MTLLVLLVVVVVVVLGGYASSA	E15	MLRISGRNMKVLFAAALIVGSVVFLLLPGPSVA	Peptidylprolyl isomerase B (PPIB) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER
X1 MWWRLWWILLILILILUWAIAAAA N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP in CHO-S. Published name: SSP1 ¹⁰ X2 MGWSLILIFLVAVATRVLS N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native HC X3 MDFQVQIJSFLIJSASVIMSRG N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native HC X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: H7 ² X6 MKWVTFISLIFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a mn fasion protein in CHO K1, rund Caussia luciferase in CHO DC44 and CHO AAR Published name: ASA ³ X6 MKLPVRLIVLMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: MSA ³ X7 MNLLILITFVAAAVA Human trypsinogen-2 ^{-1/4} CHO CO44 and CHO AAR Published name: mgk X8 MGSAALLLWVILLWVPSSRA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: mgk X9 MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44. Published name: mgk X10 MWWRLWWLLLLLLWVYAVA/A Synthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing CAUS and CHO AGA ALL Published name: SS ³⁻¹ X11 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSS N-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antib	E16	MAATVRRQRPRRLLCWTLVAVLLADLLALS	Hypoxia upregulated protein 1 (HYOU1) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER
X2 MGWSLILLELVAVATEVLS N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native LC ²³ X4 MDFQVQIISFLLSASVINSRG N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, humira, rituxan, and remicade HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native LC ²³ X5 MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein in CHO K1, and Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44 and CHO AA8. Published name: B ¹ X6 MKLPVRLLVLIMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: B ¹ X7 MNLLLILTFVAAAVA Human trypsinogen-2 ^{1,4} And CHO AA8. Published name: migking apptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: migking apptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: migking apptide expressing CFP and a model acft-V-F in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: migking apptide expressing CFP and a model acft-V-F in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: migking apptide expressing CFP and a model acft-V-F in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: migking apptide expressing CFP and a model acft-V-F in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: migking apptide expressing and cHO -S. Published name: migking appride expressing and CHO -S. Published name: Start - J-siomerase in HER293 and CHO -S. Published name: Start - J-siomerase in HER293 and CHO -S. Published name: Start - CHO CHI - Published name: Start - J-siomerase in HER293 and CHO -S. Published name: Start - J-siomerase in HER293 and CHO -S. Published name: start - CHO DG44 and coli W3110. Published name: start - Si ³ X11 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSS N-termininal signal peptide expre	E17	MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA	Dolichyl-diphosphooligosaccharide protein glycotransferase (DDOST) N-terminal signal peptide. Protein location: the ER
X3 MDFQVQIISFLLISASVIMSRG N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native LC ²¹ X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: HT ²¹ X5 MKWVTFISLLFLESSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a mode fision protein in CHO K1, and Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44 and CHO AA8. Published name: HT ²¹ X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: thysinogen-2 ¹⁰ X8 MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRA N-terminal signal peptide derived from CHO composed of a modified Ig kappa chain V-III region MOPC63-like precursor with the last 4 annino acids take from azurocidin preproprotein. this signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: Hg MOWWRLWWLLLLLLWVPMVWA/AA X9 MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRA N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia peptide expressing SEAP, IFN32, IL-25, selerostin, minnecan, a prostaglandin-H2 <i>D</i> -isomerase in HEK293 and CHO -S. Published name: Second M3336 X10 MWWRLWWLLLLLLWFWWWA/AA Synthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing sustin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L2 ¹⁴ X11 MKLPVRLUVLMFWIPASSS N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L2 ¹⁴ X13 MKVVLFALICLA	X1	MWWRLWWLLLLLLLWLALAAAA	N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP in CHO–S. Published name: SSP1 ¹⁰
X4 MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, humira, rituxan, and remicade HC in CHO K1. Published na H7 ²¹ X5 MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a mc fusion protein in CHO K1, and Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: ASA ² X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA N-terminal signal peptide expressing auti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: ASA ² X7 MNLLLILTFVAAAVA Human trypsinogen-2 ^{2,4} X8 MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRA N-terminal signal peptide derived from CHO composed of a modified Ig kappa chain V-III region MOPC63-like precursor with the last 4 amino acids taken from azurocidin preproprotein. this signal peptide was expressing GPP and a model scPv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: Igk model fusion protein, GPP and a model scPv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: Eff X10 MWWRLWWLLLLLLLWPMVWA/AA Synthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibodies HCs and LCs and model fusion protein, GPP and a model scPv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: Eff X11 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSS N-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 fab in CHO DG44 and coli W310. Published name: Eff X12 MDMRVPAQLLGLLLWLSGARC N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L2 ²⁴ X13 MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAQPAMA	X2	MGWSLILLFLVAVATRVLS	N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab HC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native HC ²¹
X5 MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a mod fusion protein in CHO K1, and Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: ASA***********************************	X3	MDFQVQIISFLLISASVIMSRG	N-terminal signal peptide expressing rituximab LC in CHO K1. Published name: rituximab native LC^{21}
fusion protein in CHO K1, and Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44 and CHO AA8. Published name: B' X6 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA X7 MNLLLILTFVAAAVA Y7 MNLLLILTFVAAAVA Human trypsinogen-2 N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: trypsinogen-2 ³⁴ X8 MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRA X9 MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRA X9 MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRA X10 MWWRLWWLLLLLLLLUWPMVWA/AA Synthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP, IFN32, IL-25, sclerostin, mimecan, a prostaglandin-H2 p-isomerase in HEK293 and CHO-S. Published name: Se3 ³² X11 MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSS X12 MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARC X13 MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAQPAMA X14 MGVKVLFALICLAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICLAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICLAVAEA X14 MGVKVLFALICLAVAEA X15 MPLLLLLVLWGALA X16 N-terminal signal peptide expressing vastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L2 ⁴⁴ X14 MGVKVLFALICLAVAEA X15 MPLLLLLVLWFAGALA X14 MGVKVLFALICLAVAEA X15 MPLLLLLVLWAGA	X4	MEFGLSWVFLVALFRGVQC	N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, humira, rituxan, and remicade HC in CHO K1. Published name: $\rm H7^{21}$
X7MNLLLILTFVAAAVAHuman trypsinogen-2 N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO h Published name: trypsinogen-2**X8MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRAHuman trypsinogen-2**X9MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRAN-terminal signal peptide derived from CHO composed of a modified Ig kappa chain V-III region MOPC63-like precursor with the last 4 amino acids taken from azurocidin preproprotein. this signal peptide was expressing GPP and a model scPv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: EVX10MWWRLWWLLLLLLUWPMVWA/AASynthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP, IFN22, IL-25, sclerostim, mimecan, a prostaglandm-1E D-isomerase in HEK293 and CHO-S. Published name: seccon (N33356)X11MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSSN-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and coli W3110. Published name: L2**X12MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARCN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L2**X14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAN-terminal anal peptide expressing gavastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L2**X13MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAQPAMAN-terminal aignal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and unspecified CHO host. Published name: CD33**X14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAN-terminal CD33 signal peptide expressing SEAP in HEK293. this signal peptide is referred to as the indu standard for CHO hosts. Published name: CD33**X15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALASynthetically designedX2MATATLLAVLLLLLUGAAASynthetically designedX3MRARALLVVLLULLUKGAGAGSynthetically design	X5	MKWVTFISLLFLFSSAYS	Serum albumin preproprotein N-terminal signal peptide expressing model antibody HC and LC and a model fusion protein in CHO K1, and Gaussia luciferase in CHO DG44 and CHO AA8. Published name: B ^{18,19}
Published name:trysinogen 2 ²⁴ CLCX8MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRAN-terminal signal peptide derived from CHO composed of a modified Ig kappa chain V–III region MOPC63-like precursor with the last 4 amino acids taken from azurocidin preproprotein. this signal peptide was expressing GFP and a model scFv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: mlgkX9MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRAN-terminal azurocidin preproprotein signal peptide expressing two model antibodies HCs and LCs and model fusion protein, GFP and a model scFv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: ElsX10MWWRLWWLLLLLLLWPMVWA/AASynthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP, IFNá2, IL-25, selerostin, mimecan, a prostaglandin-H2 D-isomerase in HEK293 and CHO-S. Published name: secrecon 10,20,26X11MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSSN-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and coit W3110. Published name: SSS ²⁻³ X12MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARCN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in Cl K1. Published name: L2 ²⁺¹ X14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAN-terminal native G. princeps signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and unspecified CHO host. Published name: CD33 ^{2/0} X13MRRALLAVLLLLUVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLUVGAGAGASynthetically designedS3MRRALLVVLVVLVLGGASAASynthetically designedS4MPGCGALLLULVLGGASAASynthetically designedS4MPGCAALLLUVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLLVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedS4<	X6	MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPAASA	N-terminal signal peptide expressing anti-HER2 antibody in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: ASA ²³
MOPC63-like precursor with the last 4 amino acids taken from azurocidin preproprotein. this signal peptide was expressing GP and a model scFv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: mlgkX9MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRAN-terminal azurocidin preproprotein signal peptide expressing two model antibodies HCs and LCs and model fusion protein, GFP and a model scFv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: E ¹⁹ X10MWWRLWWLLLLLLLLUWPMVWA/AASynthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP, JFN32, IL-25, sclerostin, mimecan, a prostaglandin-H2 p-isomerase in HEK293 and CHO-S. Published name: secrecon ^{10,20,26} X11MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSSN-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and coli W3110. Published name: SSS ²³ X12MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARCN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in C K1. Published name: L12 ²¹ X13MKYLLPTAAAGLLLAAQPAMAN-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and unspecified CHO host. Published name: CD33 ²⁰ X15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAN-terminal native G. princeps signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and unspecified CHO host. Published name: CD33 ²⁰ S1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGAGAGASynthetically designedS3MTTTTVLLLLVVLVGVASSASynthetically designedS4MPCPGAALLLLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedS4MTTTTVLLLLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedS4MTTTTVLLLLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedS4MTTTVVLLLLVVLAGLTSGASynt	X7	MNLLLILTFVAAAVA	Human trypsinogen-2 N-terminal signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in an unspecified CHO host. Published name: trypsinogen-2 ²⁴
X9MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRAN-terminal azurocidin preproprotein signal peptide expressing two model antibodies HCs and LCs and model fusion protein, GPP and a model scFv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: E19X10MWWRLWWLLLLLLLWPMVWA/AASynthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP, IFNá2, IL-25, sclerostin, numecan, a prostaglandin-H2 D-isomerase in HEK293 and CHO-S. Published name: secrecon ^{10,20,26} X11MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSSN-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and coli W3110. Published name: SSS ^{2,3} X12MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARCN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in CL 	X8	MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRA	
YI1MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSSN-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and coli W3110. Published name: SSS23X12MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARCN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in Cl K1. Published name: L124X13MKYLLPTAAAGLLLAAQPAMAN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin and humira light and HCs in Cl K1. Published name: L124X14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAN-terminal native G. princeps signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and unspecified CHO host. Published name: cD3320X15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAN-terminal CD33 signal peptide expressing SEAP in HEK293. this signal peptide is referred to as the indu standard for CHO hosts. Published name: CD3320S1MRARALLAVLLLLLLVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGSAGGASynthetically designedS3MRARALLVVLVLVVLLQVASSASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLVLVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLVVLVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X9	MTRLTVLALLAGLLASSRA	N-terminal azurocidin preproprotein signal peptide expressing two model antibodies HCs and LCs and a model fusion protein, GFP and a model scFv-Fc in CHO K1 and CHO DG44. Published name: E ^{19,25}
X11MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSSN-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and coli W3110. Published name: SSS23X12MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARCN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in CL K1. Published name: L124X13MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAAQPAMAN-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin and humira light and HCs in CL K1. Published name: L124X14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAN-terminal native G. princeps signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and unspecified CHO host. Published name: native G18,19X15MPLLLLLPLWAGALAN-terminal CD33 signal peptide expressing SEAP in HEK293. this signal peptide is referred to as the indu standard for CHO hosts. Published name: CD3320S1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGAGAGASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLLVLGGSAASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLLVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X10	MWWRLWWLLLLLLLWPMVWA/AA	Synthetically designed N-terminal signal peptide expressing SEAP, IFNá2, IL-25, sclerostin, mimecan, and prostaglandin-H2 D-isomerase in HEK293 and CHO–S. Published name: secrecon ^{10,20,26}
K1. Published name: L121X13MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAAQPAMAX14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAX14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAX15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAX15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAS1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAAS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGIAAAAS3MRARALLVVLVLVVLVLQGAGGAS4MPGPGAALLLLLLVVLVLVVLGVASSAS5MTTTTVLLLLVLGLGSAAS5MTTTTVLLLLVLVLAGLTSGACMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X11	MKLPVRLLVLMFWIPASSS	N-terminal signal peptide expressing an anti-HER2 antibody and an anti-HER2 Fab in CHO DG44 and E. coli W3110. Published name: $\rm SSS^{23}$
K1. Published name: L221X14MGVKVLFALICIAVAEAX15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAX15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAX16MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAAS1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAAS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGSAGGAS3MRARALLVVLVLVVLVLQAGASAS4MPGPGAALLLLLVVLQAGASAS5MTTTTVLLLLVLGLGSAAS5MTTTTVLLLLVLAGLTSGACMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X12	MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLSGARC	N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin, and humira light and HCs in CHO K1. Published name: L1 ²¹
X15MPLLLLLPLLWAGALAN-terminal CD33 signal peptide expressing SEAP in HEK293. this signal peptide is referred to as the indu standard for CHO hosts. Published name: CD33 20S1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGSAGGASynthetically designedS3MRARALLVVLVLVVLLQVASSASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLLVLGIGSAASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLLVLVLGLGSAASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X13	MKYLLPTAAAGLLLLAAQPAMA	N-terminal signal peptide expressing avastin, rituxan, remicade, herceptin and humira light and HCs in CHO K1. Published name: L2 ²¹
X15MPLLLLPLLWAGALAN-terminal CD33 signal peptide expressing SEAP in HEK293. this signal peptide is referred to as the indu standard for CHO hosts. Published name: CD3320S1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGSAGGASynthetically designedS3MRARALLVVLVLVLVLUGVASSASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLVLGGAGASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLVVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X14	MGVKVLFALICIAVAEA	N-terminal native G. princeps signal peptide expressing Gaussia luciferase in CHO K1, CHO AA8, and an unspecified CHO host. Published name: native G ^{18,19}
S1MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAASynthetically designedS2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGSAGGASynthetically designedS3MRARALLVVLVLVVLLGVASSASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLVLGLGSAASynthetically designedS5MTTTVLLLLVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	X15	MPLLLLLPLLWAGALA	N-terminal CD33 signal peptide expressing SEAP in HEK293. this signal peptide is referred to as the industry
S2MATATLLAVLLLLLVGSAGGASynthetically designedS3MRARALLVVLVLVLVLUGVASSASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLVLGLGSAASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLLVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127	S1	MRARALLAVLLLLLVGIAAAA	
S3MRARALLVVLVLVVLLGVASSASynthetically designedS4MPGPGAALLLLLVLGLGSAASynthetically designedS5MTTTTVLLLLVLVVLAGLTSGASynthetically designedCMGWSCIILFLVATATGVHSN-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 127			, , , ,
S4 MPGPGAALLLLLLVLIGLGSAA Synthetically designed S5 MTTTVLLLVVVLAGLTSGA Synthetically designed C MGWSCIILFLVATATGVHS N-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 1 ²⁷			
S5 MTTTTVLLLLVLVVLAGLTSGA Synthetically designed C MGWSCIILFLVATATGVHS N-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 1 ²⁷			
C MGWSCIILFLVATATGVHS N-terminal murine HC signal peptide. AstraZeneca CLD leader sequence. Published name: Sig 1 ²⁷			
"Signal peptide "C" is used as an industrially relevant standard reference signal peptide (ISC). E = CHO homologous, X = experimentally verifi			

1 peptide "C ai pep and S = synthetically designed.

Previous work in CHO cells has identified bottlenecks in the secretory pathway as a limiting factor in production yields. $^{18-20}$ Multiple studies have determined that the rate of ER translocation is a critical control parameter in the product biosynthetic pathway, where utilization of novel signal peptides has been shown to significantly enhance the titer of a wide

range of recombinant proteins.²¹ Although screening small panels of signal peptide parts typically identifies a component that permits increased system output, as compared to common industry-used sequences, protein-partner specificity necessitates trial and error screening, which is intractable in timesensitive applications such as biopharmaceutical cell line

2340

development processes. The introduction of predictive tools based on machine learning (ML) and deep learning, such as SignalP,²² have provided a streamlined approach to identifying and selecting signal peptides which are likely to facilitate correct peptide cleavage. Moreover, ML approaches have recently been employed to create tools that can create¹⁶ and select¹⁷ signal peptides to function within specific proteinpartner contexts. However, such tools are not available for mammalian cell contexts, nor have they been described for situations where multiple polypeptide chains need to be simultaneously expressed, as is the case for mAb LC and HC molecules.

In this study, we have employed three distinct design routes to create a library of signal peptide components for use in CHO cell vector engineering. We validated the utility of this toolbox, the largest panel of signal peptides ever designed and tested in CHO cell systems, by using it to identify parts that facilitated significant titer increases (compared to standard industrial components) for a range of protein products. Critically, we also describe in silico ML-based and design rule-based in vitro screening methods that substantially reduce the testing required to identify product-specific signal peptides for both simple single-chain molecules and complex multichain proteins. This technology can be applied to rapidly derive synthetic signal peptide-protein partner assemblies that optimize ER translocation rates to enhance outputs from biopharmaceutical manufacturing systems.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Creating a Synthetic Signal Peptide Toolkit for Mammalian Host Cell Expression Vector Engineering. A library of 37 signal peptides was designed, containing 17 CHO homologous, 15 experimentally-verified, and 5 synthetically designed signal peptides (Table 1). The purpose of these subgroups was to assess a broad range of signal peptides and their impact on transient protein expression in a CHO-K1 derived host. Although synthetic constructs have the potential to move significantly beyond the performance of naturally evolved sequences,^{3,11} the design rules underpinning signal peptide functionality are relatively poorly-understood, resulting in poor predictability of synthetic element activity. Accordingly, preference was given to experimentally verified sequences and CHO homologous signal peptides, based on the hypothesis that endogenous parts may exhibit optimized interactions with the CHO cell factory translocation machinery.

CHO homologous signal peptides were selected from an inhouse RNASeq dataset that profiled the transcriptome of a mAb producing CHO-S cell line. Proteomic datasets were not utilized due to the relatively low coverage typically obtained in CHO cell proteomic studies, which would have significantly restricted the design space. This dataset was first ranked by mRNA abundance (FPKM) then filtered using SignalP4.1 to only show proteins predicted to contain an N-terminal signal peptide. The 17 signal peptide-containing proteins with highest mRNA abundance were selected for in vitro testing, based on the hypothesis that very highly expressed genes may encode signal peptides that facilitate a relatively high translocation rate. It is of note that 11 of the 17 signal peptides taken from this dataset are present in the ER, with three of these 11 proteins also being secreted from the cell. Of the remaining six signal peptides, four (E1, E4, E5, and E6) are taken from secreted native proteins and two (E2 and E7) from native proteins that

are only located in the plasma membrane and the lysosome, respectively. Signal peptides of particular interest from the CHO homologous group were those taken from ER chaperone proteins (E11: endoplasmin and E12: BiP), proteins involved in ER protein processing (E9: PDIA1, E10: PDIA3, E15: PPIB, and E17: DDOST), and proteins which are stress-induced (E16: HYOU1) as these pathways are upregulated in recombinant protein production.²⁸ It was therefore hypothesized that the signal peptides from these proteins may be preferentially recognized and imported into the ER in protein-producing CHO cells.

Literature-mined signal peptides were selected based on the criteria that the signal peptide facilitated increased expression of at least one recombinant protein, in comparison to a control, in a CHO cell host. Following a comprehensive search of published studies testing signal peptide functionality in CHO cells, a total of 15 discrete signal peptide sequences were identified that met this selection criteria. Although all of these constructs have the ability to drive high levels of ER translocation in a CHO cell context, due to protein partner specificity, we did not hypothesize that all of these sequences would perform well when combined with our recombinant product testing panel.

Synthetic signal peptides were created according to design rules that we previously applied when generating a genetic component assembly toolkit for CHO cells. Specifically, as higher-level signal peptide sequence features are poorly understood, we used a simple domain-based design to create novel sequences with defined N-, H-, and C-regions. A database of experimentally verified mammalian signal peptides were extracted from signalpeptide.de before separating each sequence into constituent domains. The average size of each domain was calculated (N = 5AA, H = 12AA, and C = 5AA), and synthetic domain sequences were then created in silico as detailed in Section 4.1. Briefly, experimentally-verified signal peptide domains were analyzed to identify conserved amino acids in each region, which were then randomly assembled to create thousands of unique configurations. Additional design constraints were placed on synthetic C-domain creation, applying rules from the literature that have been shown to enhance/facilitate the functionality of this region.^{14,29,30} Combining synthetic domain sequences in all possible permutations resulted in a library of > 1×10^{12} signal peptide constructs. Levinshtein distancing analysis was performed to identify sequences with highest heterogeneity (i.e., to find discrete points within the design space), resulting in 1.18×10^6 signal peptides. These sequences were analyzed using SignalP, where 0.03% were predicted to be functional signal peptides (D score >0.7). We note that as SignalP is trained using endogenous sequences, and synthetic constructs which move significantly beyond the natural design space therefore have an increased chance of being designated as non-functional signal peptides. To maximize the chances of identifying highperforming synthetic constructs, a panel of five sequences with D scores >0.7 were selected for in vitro testing.

SignalP demonstrates a eukaryotic cleavage precision score of between 0.795 and 0.914 for cleavage predictions \pm 0AA – \pm 3AA. Accordingly, previous experimental analyses (covering a range of signal peptides and polypeptides) clearly demonstrate the accuracy of cleavage site prediction by SignalP.³¹ Moreover, previous analyses of mAbs with different signal peptides expressed by CHO cells, both in this laboratory (data not shown) and published by others,²¹ have shown that

Research Article



Figure 1. Choice of signal peptide significantly impacts production of single chain recombinant proteins. Expression constructs (a total of 111 unique constructs) each encoding one of 37 mammalian signal peptides (Table 1) with one of three recombinant single chain molecules (A, B, and C) were independently transfected into CHO-K1 cells followed by measurement of secreted recombinant protein titer after 5-day culture. (A) ETE IgG1 mAb LC, (B) DTE IgG1 mAb LC, and (C) ScFv fusion protein. Data were normalized with respect to the mean volumetric titer observed on transfection of the respective recombinant protein construct harboring a control murine Ig HC signal peptide—ISC (MGWSCIILFLVA-TATGVHS,²⁷ dotted line). Signal peptides are divided into three groups, E (CHO homologous, blue), X (literature-mined, gold), and S (synthetic, green); Table 1. NE denotes no measured expression. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation derived from three independent transfections, each performed in duplicate. Statistical significance is defined as $p \le 0.05$ (* = $p \le 0.05$, ** = $p \le 0.01$, and *** = $p \le 0.001$).

>98% of mAb polypeptides are correctly processed—strongly aligning with a SignalP cleavage prediction. As this study is focused on the effect of signal peptide sequence on the recombinant protein production rate (and considering that the study reports the expression of 333 different signal peptide/ protein combinations), we concluded that measurement of cleavage efficiency in all cases was impractical and that to measure only a small number would be inconclusive (i.e., it cannot be concluded that a demonstration of correct cleavage for one signal peptide-protein combination infers that a different combination will be correctly processed). It is clear however that ultimately, use of a specific signal peptide-protein combination in a particular context such as biopharmaceutical production would require analytical confirmation of correct cleavage. 2.2. Rationally Designed Panel of Signal Peptides Permits Molecule-Specific Optimization of Recombinant Protein Production in Mammalian Cells. To evaluate the performance of our designed signal peptide panel, each signal peptide was tested in combination with three industrially relevant biopharmaceutical proteins, an ScFv fusion protein, an easy to express (ETE) mAb, and a difficult to express (DTE) mAb. The optimal ratio of mAb HC/LC protein expression is highly product-specific, and utilizing the same signal peptide for both chains is unlikely to permit maximal product titers. Accordingly, we first tested the signal peptide library in combination with the LC constructs alone (i.e., without co-expression of cognate HCs; LCs were chosen as they are secreted, permitting simple quantification). Vectors containing each signal peptide in combination with partner



Figure 2. Effect of signal peptide on recombinant protein production is molecule specific. Derived from the data shown in Figure 1, production of ETE mAb LC, DTE mAb LC, and ScFv fusion protein mediated by different signal peptides are generally not correlated (A-C). Signal peptides highlighted (E17, E1, and E14) show generic good performance. Grey dashed line represents quadrant separation. However, CHO endogenous signal peptides (E17, E14, E1, and E11) yielded maximum volumetric titers. Bars represent the mean recombinant protein titer across the three recombinant proteins tested for each signal peptide (figure d). Error bars represent the volumetric titer range across the three recombinant proteins tested for each signal peptide with respect to the respective recombinant protein ISC (dotted line).

product coding sequences (CDS) were transiently transfected into a CHO-K1 derived host cell line. Relative product titers were determined by ELISA (mAb LCs) or ValitaTitre (ScFv fusion) at the end of 5-day fed batch production processes. As shown in Figure 1, signal peptides within the toolbox exhibited variable performance, where for each product molecule the test elements enabled titers ranging from no expression (NE) to a \geq 1.8× increase, relative to an industrial standard control construct (ISC). The maximum titer increase facilitated was variable between each product, where the best performing signal peptides enhanced yields by 1.8-fold (X7), 2.5-fold (E1), and 2.7-fold (E17) for the ETE LC, DTE LC, and ScFv fusion product, respectively. In each case, at least six signal peptide elements were identified that out-performed the ISC. However, similar to previous studies^{20,21} the relative performance of each signal peptide was typically highly product-specific. Indeed, no signal peptide element facilitated titer increases across all three molecules, validating the use of a toolbox approach. Moreover, a pair-wise analysis of library function across all three test molecules showed that there were no significant correlations in signal peptide performance (Figure 2A-C). There is limited mechanistic understanding of the rules governing how a signal peptide performs when in combination with a specific partner protein sequence. Although LC sequences share significant similarities in amino acid composition and physiochemical properties, our data align with previous studies showing that the functionality of a discrete signal peptide is variable across

different mAb molecules.²¹ One notable difference in protein sequence between the LC molecules and the ScFv fusion protein is the presence of basic amino acid residues between position +1 and +10 in the latter. Basic amino acid residues have been shown to directly affect the function of different signal peptides dependent on their relative hydrophobicity and polarity.^{32,33} However, although general hypotheses can be made as to why a panel of signal peptides exhibits variable performance between two different molecules, the relative sequence features underpinning this are poorly understood.

Although it is intractable to identify a universal signal peptide sequence that performs optimally across a product portfolio, we hypothesized that it may be possible to significantly reduce the testing space required to select highperforming elements. The simplest classification within our signal peptide library is the method underpinning their design/ selection, i.e., experimentally verified, CHO homologous (identified via bioinformatics analysis), and synthetically designed. As shown in Figure 1, none of these design routes were generally superior, where each group contained a mixture of low-high performing elements across each molecule. Moreover, the high-performing constructs for each product (i.e., those permitting increased yields compared to the ISC) were not associated with a particular signal peptide type. We concluded that this validated our initial toolbox strategy to derive elements from various design pathways. This indicates that the signal peptide design (i.e., synthetically designed

elements) and selection (i.e., bioinformatics-derived) methods that we employed in this study should also be effective in other contexts (e.g., different cell-types).

It is perhaps surprising that constructs which have previously been experimentally verified as driving high levels of ER translocation did not generally exhibit more predictable function than our newly-identified elements (i.e., CHO homologous and synthetically designed signal peptides). This highlights a key advantage and disadvantage associated with signal peptide design/selection; namely that (i) the design space permits relatively simple identification of constructs that have enhanced performance compared to incumbent standards, but (ii) their functionality is typically highly contextspecific, dependent on the associated product molecule. Although the identification of multiple novel signal peptides that can be deployed to enhance product titers is a valuable resource, ideally the testing space would be minimized. Accordingly, we analyzed the dataset to determine if robust signal peptides could be identified that exhibited good performance across all single chain molecules. As shown in Figure 2, CHO homologous signal peptide E17 drove relatively high rates of ER translocation with all protein partners, facilitating 0.95-fold, 2.26-fold, and 2.61-fold increases in ETE IgG1 mAb LC, DTE IgG1 mAb LC, and the ScFv fusion protein, respectively, as compared to the ISC. This novel element, derived from the CHO DDOST protein could replace incumbent signal peptides (such as the ISC) in standard gene expression plasmids. Although testing with a higher number of protein partners is required to definitively show generically robust performance across a wide range of product types, our data suggest that it could be deployed in single-chain proteinproduction vectors to deliver either (i) significant titer increases or (ii) similar effects to the ISC.

2.3. Using Machine Learning to Identify High-Performance, Molecule-Specific Signal Peptide Solutions In Silico. Although a toolbox approach permits identification of optimal signal peptides for a given protein, testing a large number of component combinations is not desirable in time- (e.g., cell line development for biopharmaceutical protein production) and/or resource-limited contexts. Accordingly, we sought to develop a tool that could be utilized to screen signal peptide performance in silico, to minimize the required in vitro testing space while maximizing protein expression. Although model-based tools have been created that can predict signal peptide performance in protein-partner specific contexts, this has only been achieved in bacterial systems.¹⁷ Utilizing the data obtained from screening our signal peptide panel in combination with three different molecules (i.e., Figure 1), we attempted to build a model linking signal peptide performance to discrete protein sequence features. An XGBoosting (XGB) regression model was trained (Figure 3) to predict recombinant protein titers as a function of eleven discrete sequence features, where sequences were defined as the relevant signal peptide in combination with the first 50 amino acids of the partner protein.³³ The input variables utilized were isoelectric point (pI), dipeptide stability, flexibility, aliphatic index, Gibbs free energy (ΔG), grand average of hydropathicity index (GRAVY), and the percentage of glycine and proline residues in the signal peptide (GP %). This feature set was designed to cover both physical (e.g., stoichiometry) and physiochemical (e.g., hydrophobicity) protein properties, while also incorporating specific characteristics that have previously been shown



Figure 3. Schematic describing the creation of an XGBoost regression model for signal peptide selection in a molecular context. Using single chain molecule data described in Figure 1 an XGBoost regression model was trained to predict signal peptide rank in combination with the first 50 amino acids of its partner protein. Features based on seven protein parameters pI, dipeptide stability, flexibility, aliphatic index, Gibbs free energy (ΔG), grand average of hydropathicity index (GRAVY), and the percentage of glycine and proline residues in the signal peptide (GP %) were assigned to each signal peptide and its matching protein (a total of 114 combinations). All values were normalized using a min–max scale. Data were separated using a randomized 90–10% train-test split. The model was optimized using a hyperparameter optimization grid search and employed early stopping to avoid overfitting. Optimized model K-fold cross validation mean absolute error (MAE) is 0.149 (0.023 SD).

to effect signal peptide function (e.g., glycine/proline presence 34).

Hyperparameter optimization was done using a grid search approach, and early stopping was employed to avoid model overfitting (model parameters and feature generation is described in detail in Section 4.5). An optimized model, where 7/14 features had a significant impact on predicting signal peptide performance, was moderately accurate in predicting the activity of a withheld test dataset ($R^2 = 0.65$, Figure 4A). This represents the first regression model that can accurately explain the function of mammalian signal peptides across varying protein partners. K-fold cross validation MAE of the optimized model was 0.149 (0.02SD), an 8% decrease compared to the unoptimized model, confirming model robustness. We note that the predictive power of the model decreases as signal peptide activity (i.e., encoded ER translocation rate) increases. The Shapley additive explanation value for each training datapoint shows the relative impact of each sequence feature on model output (i.e., titer; Figure 4B). Signal peptide activity was determined to be a function of both physiochemical (GRAVY, pI, dipeptide stability, and ΔG) and stoichiometric (aliphatic index and flexibility) properties, where sequence pI had the greatest influence on construct activity. Although sequence pI correlates well with signal



Figure 4. Graphical representation of model fit and the importance of relative features. (A) Moderate correlation is seen between measured and predicted ranking of the withheld test dataset (orange marker). Train dataset $R^2 = 0.772$, *p*-value = 1.98×10^{-27} , and confidence interval set at 95% (blue markers, blue line). Test dataset $R^2 = 0.652$, *p*-value = 1.69×10^{-4} . (B) Individual feature importance SHAP values show input effects on model output. Positive SHAP values show a positive outcome, leading the model to predict a higher signal peptide ranking in its relative molecular context (high pI values result in higher ranking). Negative SHAP values show a negative outcome, leading the model to predict a lower signal peptide ranking in its relative molecular context (low pI values result in lower ranking). Each point represents one signal peptide in its molecular context. Features listed are isoelectric point (pI), dipeptide stability, flexibility, aliphatic index, Gibbs free energy (ΔG), grand average of hydropathicity index (GRAVY), and the percentage of glycine and proline residues in the signal peptide (GP %).

peptide activity in our model, it is unlikely to be a generically good predictor of element performance, which instead is determined by a complex interplay of multiple sequence features.

Our intended use of this model was to (i) rationally select purely synthetic signal peptides able to increase expression of a given recombinant protein and (ii) significantly reduce the number of signal peptide constructs required to be made and tested. With respect to the latter, for example, the data in Figure 1A–C (which largely results from the use of "natural" signal peptides) are derived from extensive informatic analyses to select context-relevant signal peptides—and the probability that another natural, signal peptide informatically-derived de novo would significantly increase the expression of a particular protein (e.g., over the ISC control) is approximately 30%.

Accordingly, a protein-specific synthetic signal peptide selection workflow was developed, which utilized the same synthetic signal peptide library described in Section 4.1, and incorporated the use of SignalP6.0 to select high probability signal peptide sequences for subsequent model processing (Figure 5A). We used the ScFv fusion protein experimental system (Figure 1C) to test the utility of this approach against the criteria indicated above.

A random sample of 40,000 synthetic elements was reduced to 8467, which adhered to SignalP6.0, defined correct cleavage and had a signal peptide probability of >0.7. Following sequence feature generation, the performance of each signal peptide was predicted using the developed model. Based on the model's moderate predictive power $(R^2 = 0.65)$, we rationalized that we could reduce the number of synthetic signal peptides to be tested to a minimal panel of three discrete peptides (Table 2), which were all hypothesized to yield ScFv fusion protein expression in the 95th percentile of all predicted synthetic signal peptides (Figure 5B). Model selected synthetic signal peptide-ScFv fusion constructs were evaluated in a 5-day fed batch transient production process. Of the three signal peptides chosen, two (NS1 and NS2) facilitated significantly enhanced titers in comparison to the ISC, where the best performing construct increased product yield by 1.95-fold. NS1 and NS2 also exhibited correlation between their predicted and measured activities, with acceptable prediction being defined as

falling within the 95% confidence interval of experimental activity (Figure 5C,D). In accordance with the model R^2 , two of the chosen predicted high activity synthetic elements performed as expected when expressing the ScFv fusion protein. Our data therefore confirm that model-based synthetic signal peptide selection is feasible, to reduce an impractical testing sample size substantially. Put in context, and in comparison with this model-based approach, the probability that any two additional informatically-derived signal peptides would both significantly increase expression of the test ScFv fusion protein (Figure 1C) is approximately 10%.

Mammalian signal peptides were also selected based on model prediction; however, prediction error was greater when compared with the synthetic signal peptides chosen (Figure S1, Table S1). As discussed previously, the model's predictive power decreases with increasing signal peptide activity, which may account for two out of three mammalian signal peptides exhibiting unpredictable functionalities in vitro (NE1 and NE2). Though the model prediction threshold is theoretically limitless it is unreasonable to assume that this could translate to a biological system. In contrast to the predicted synthetic signal peptide choices, the predicted mammalian signal peptides fell outside of the known data limits of the model, further contributing to unpredictable functionalities in ScFv fusion protein expression (Figure S1B).

We did not apply sequence homology-restrictions when selecting the in vitro testing panel. Accordingly, the synthetic designed elements that were selected shared similarities in their amino acid compositions, for example, all having the same Ndomain sequence. Indeed, NS1 and NS2 had identical amino acid compositions arranged in different discrete orders. Five Hdomain amino acid rearrangements (position 6: A \rightarrow V, position 8: V \rightarrow L, and position 10–12: LVL \rightarrow VLA) are sufficient to increase NS2 activity in comparison to NS1. This highlights a limitation of the model, which does not consider relative amino acid order when generating sequence features. We hypothesize that future models, utilizing larger datasets, that are able to include amino acid order (particularly in the Hdomain) as an input parameter will have enhanced predictive power. However, despite only considering broader, overall sequence properties, we were able to build a model that



Figure 5. Functional performance of model derived synthetic signal peptides. Utilizing the model described in Figure 3, a minimal test set of three predicted high activity synthetic signal peptides were selected for ScFv fusion protein expression from a random sample of 40,000 elements (A). The three synthetic signal peptides were selected from the 95th percentile of 8467 predicted synthetic signal peptides (B). Two of the three model chosen synthetic signal peptides showed measured activity which fell into the 95% confidence interval of each prediction, denoted by orange lines (C). Test signal peptides described in Figure 4A defined the model prediction space, shaded in grey. The predicted activity of each synthetic signal peptide was directly compared to their measured activity counterpart, highlighting the acceptable predictability of two of the three synthetic elements in their ScFv fusion protein context (D). The ScFv fusion protein was independently transfected into CHO-K1 derived cells followed by measurement of secreted recombinant protein titer after 5-day culture. NS denotes new synthetic signal peptides; refer to Table 2. Experimental data were first normalized with respect to the mean volumetric titer observed on transfection of the ScFv fusion protein ISC then normalized with respect to the mean value of all predicted synthetic signal peptides is represented by the dotted line. Each measured activity bar (green) shows the mean \pm SD derived from three independent transfections, each performed in duplicate. An asterisk represents signal peptides which fall outside of the 95% confidence interval of model prediction.

substantially reduced the in vitro testing space required to identify a high-performing signal peptide for a specific proteinpartner. For single chain proteins, this can be utilized to either (i) significantly increase vector optimization studies by selecting a minimal subset from the original 37 component library and/or (ii) significantly increase the design space, permitting identification of context-specific high activity elements from large signal peptide databases (e.g., large synthetic libraries). To fully validate the utility of this approach, future studies will need to apply the model to a large panel of new protein-partner molecules.

2.4. Signal Peptide Engineering Significantly Enhances mAb Production Titers, Where Optimal Vector Designs are Highly Molecule-Specific. Having validated the performance of our signal peptide library to enhance expression of single chain molecules, we next evaluated its

Table 2. Model Directed Selection of Synthetic Signal
Peptides for In Vitro Testing with an ScFv Fusion Protein ^a

Signal peptide	Amino acid sequence
NS1	MRKKTALVVLVLLLLAPIGASG
NS2	MRKKTVLLVVLALLLAPIGASG
NS3	MRKKTLLLLAVLVVVLPSTSSS

^aSignal peptide "C" is used as an ISC; refer to Table 1. Synthetic signal peptides were taken from initial design space pool as described in Section 4.1.

utility to optimize production of more complex, multi-chain proteins. mAbs are the dominant class of biopharmaceutical products,^{1,35} where both the "ideal" HC/LC expression ratio and the optimal absolute expression level of each chain are highly molecule-specific.^{7,9} Accordingly, a universal signal peptide combination would not facilitate maximal titers across product portfolios, necessitating screening to identify mAbspecific solutions. However, even for 2-chain molecules, a fullfactorial analysis of all signal peptide combinations in our toolbox would entail 1369 permutations. Given that this screening burden would be intractable in most contexts, we concluded that a two-step optimization process would facilitate efficient derivation of optimal signal peptide combinations, where all 37 elements are first tested in association with the LC to identify parts that facilitate low, medium, and high rates of expression (LC preferred over HC as it secreted when expressed in isolation, permitting rapid titer quantification). Restricting the number of options for the LC expression

parameter to three experimentally-verified levels (while maintaining all 37 potential expression values for HC expression) a fractional factorial analysis of all part combinations requires 111 unique permutations, reducing the testing space by > 90%.

Using data from our screen of signal peptides in association with two discrete LC molecules (Figure 1), we designated appropriate parts as driving high (maximum fold change in titer relative to the ISC), medium (equivalent expression to the ISC) or low (0.8-fold titer compared to the ISC) activity components. A single element facilitating each discrete expression level was selected for the ETE (X7 > E17 > X4)and DTE (E1 > X8 > E3) LCs. These components were utilized to drive ER translocation of the LC, where HC translocation rate was controlled by one of the 37 elements from the larger signal peptide library (i.e., 111 part combinations for each mAb). Given that it is common industrial practice to utilize different signal peptides for each protein chain, for each mAb we used a reference dual control system (RDCS) comprising the ISC (driving HC translocation) in combination with an element of equivalent experimentally-verified strength (E17-LC and X8-LC for the ETE and DTE products, respectively; Figure 1). HC and LC expression vectors were transiently co-transfected into CHO cells and relative product titers were determined by ValitaTitre after a 5-day fed batch production process (Figure 6A,C). Signal peptide assemblies resulted in diverse IgG1 titer outputs, ranging from 0.36-fold (LC/X7 and HC/E5) to



Figure 6. Choice of HC and LC signal peptide combinations significantly impacts both recombinant ETE IgG1 mAb and recombinant DTE IgG1 mAb production. Expression constructs (a total of 340 unique co-expression combinations) each encoding one of 37 mammalian signal peptides (Table 1) driving HC translocation with one of three recombinant mAb LC signal peptides (A,C) were independently transfected into CHO-K1 cells followed by measurement of secreted recombinant protein titer after 5-day culture. (A) ETE IgG1 mAb, LC signal peptides are X7 (high), E17 (mid), and X4 (low); refer to Figure 1A. (B) Maximized ETE IgG1 mAb recombinant protein volumetric titer distribution when one of 37 signal peptides expressing HC is combined with the optimal of three LC signal peptides expressing LC (X7, E17, and X4; refer to Table 1). (C) DTE IgG1 mAb, LC signal peptides are E1 (high), X8 (mid), and E3 (low); refer to Figure 1B. (D) Maximized DTE IgG1 mAb recombinant protein volumetric titer distribution when one of 37 signal peptides (Table 1) expressing HC is combined with the optimal of three LC signal peptides with respect to the mean volumetric titer observed on transfection of each mAb RDCS (ETE IgG1 mAb LC: MKMGVRLAARAWPLCGLLLAALGGVCA, DTE IgG1 mAb LC: MGSAALLLWVLLLWVPSSRA, and HC: MGWSCIILFLVATATGVHS) (dotted line). Signal peptides are divided into three groups, E (CHO homologous, ETE: dark blue bars and DTE: brown bars), X (literature-mined, ETE: blue bars and DTE: orange bars), and S (synthetic, ETE: grey-blue bars and DTE: yellow bars); Table 1. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation derived from three independent transfections, each performed in duplicate. Statistical significance is defined as $p \le 0.05$ (* = $p \le 0.05$, ** = $p \le 0.01$, and *** = $p \le 0.001$).



Figure 7. Optimal LC signal peptide pairings in the recombinant ETE IgG1 mAb and recombinant DTE IgG1 mAb identifies generic high performing HC signal peptides for recombinant protein production. Grouping ETE IgG1 mAb and DTE IgG1 mAb titers by the respective LC titer (refer to Figure 6) shows moderate positive correlation between the ETE and DTE mAbs (A–C). Highlighted signal peptides show high volumetric titers across all combinations of LC (E10, E17, and X3). Grey dashed line represents quadrant separation. Derived from the data shown in Figure 6, a variety of CHO endogenous, literature-mined, and synthetic signal peptides (E17, E10, and X3) yielded maximum volumetric titers (D). Bars represent the mean recombinant protein titer across two mAbs containing a mAb specific optimal LC signal peptide and one of 37 HC signal peptides (Figure 6). Data are normalized with respect to the RDCS (dotted line). Error bars represent the volumetric titer range across the optimal HC–LC signal peptide combination for the ETE IgG1 mAb and DTE IgG1 mAb tested for each HC signal peptide.

1.82-fold (X7:X3), and 0.1-fold (E1:E6) to 2.12-fold (E3:E10) for the ETE and DTE mAbs, respectively, as compared to the RDCS. The utility of the signal peptide toolkit-approach was validated by identification of least 26 element combinations that outperformed the RDCS for each mAb. Indeed, ~25% of part-assemblies tested facilitated significant increases in titer relative to the RDCS, suggesting that the testing space required to identify vector engineering solutions could be substantially reduced.

However, rules for designing smaller testing spaces are clearly molecule specific. For the ETE mAb, titers were generally enhanced by using the high strength signal peptide to control LC translocation. However, the inverse was true for the DTE mAb, where the low strength LC signal peptide outperformed the high and medium strength elements in the vast majority of vector designs tested, and was the optimal partner for 35/37 HC-signal peptides. This may be explained by the proteins having contrasting optimal LC/HC expression ratios,^{7,36} where enhancing DTE LC translocation rates may result in increased LC-aggregate formation.⁶ Alternatively, it could be a result of the proteins with varying molecular structures. The ETE IgG1 mAb contains a kappa (κ) LC whereas the DTE IgG1 mAb contains a lambda (λ) LC. Assembly of HC-LC intermediaries is slower in λ LC mAbs compared with that in κ LC mAbs due to differing relative

disulphide bond positioning.^{37,38} Accordingly, using a highstrength signal peptide to maximize ER translocation of the LC may result in dyssynchronous mAb assembly processes.

As shown in Figure 6B, D, there was a clear difference in the average performance of optimal LC/HC signal peptide combinations between the ETE and DTE mAbs. The median molecular titer of best-performing element assemblies (i.e., each HC signal peptide in combination with the LC signal peptide partner that facilitated highest product expression) was significantly higher for the DTE mAb (1.52-fold compared to the RDCS), compared to that for the ETE (1.29-fold), with a concomitant increase in the interquartile range. We therefore concluded that tailoring polypeptide ER translocation rates had a larger relative impact on DTE product expression. This is likely to be the case across product portfolios, as molecules that have been designated DTE typically have biosynthetic pathway rates that are dyssynchronous with cellular capacities, leading to induction of internal stress response pathways. Accordingly, for DTE products, it is likely that minimized signal peptide testing spaces can be used to identify productspecific vector solutions that significantly increase protein titers.

The relative impact of utilizing a discrete signal peptide to control HC ER translocation rate was moderately consistent across both (i) variable LC signal peptide partners encoding low-high ER translocation rates and (ii) the two different mAb molecules (Figure 7A-C). This is in contrast to the data observed when LCs were expressed in isolation, where relative signal peptide performance showed no correlation between different single chain proteins (Figure 2A-C). This indicates that the utility of signal peptide components for controlling HC expression rates is less context-specific, where discrete parts may display reasonably consistent performance across varying product and vector designs. Indeed, as shown in Figure 7D, when LC translocation rate is optimized, the performance of 37 signal peptides driving HC expression is highly consistent across the two different mAbs. This raises the possibility of utilizing universal signal peptides. For example, E10 and X3, which were the top two ranked HC signal peptide components for both products (elements ranked by ability to increase product titer relative to the RDCS). Future studies will test the performance of these elements across a wider panel of mAb products to evaluate if they can be used to generically enhance mAb titers irrespective of HC partner-context.

Ideally, the testing space would be refined in silico using an appropriate model to predict the effect of signal peptide combinations on expression of multi-chain proteins. However, although this was achieved for single chain molecules (Section 2.3), we did not anticipate it would be possible to utilize an XGB model to forward engineer optimal element assemblies that maximize mAb titers. Indeed, our data highlight the unpredictable parameters governing expression of multi-chain products, where optimal stoichiometric HC/LC expression ratios are dependent on a complex interplay between product assembly pathways and host-cell biosynthetic capacities. To confirm this, we applied our previously described model (trained using data from our single-chain protein expression screens) to retrospectively predict the ability of signal peptide combinations to enhance mAb titers. Sequence features were generated for signal peptides in association with LC and HC partners, where overall values for discrete element assemblies (e.g., E1-LC:E10-HC) were calculated as the mean of the two part-polypeptide combinations (e.g., pI = (pI of E1-LC + pI of E10-HC)/2). As expected, the model had poor predictive ability, only \sim 38% (14/37) and \sim 46% (17/37) of predictions were correct for the DTE and ETE products, respectively, where correct prediction was defined as being in the 95% confidence interval range of experimental results. Accordingly, we concluded that although multi-chain product testing spaces can be reduced by selecting a small number of LC signal peptides in silico, it is intractable to accurately predict the performance of complete signal peptide compositions, necessitating the in vitro testing of 10s of potential vector solutions.

3. CONCLUSIONS

We have created a panel of signal peptides that can be utilized to enhance expression of recombinant proteins in CHO cells, validating the utility of three distinct component design/ selection strategies that can be applied to other cellular contexts. As with previous studies in mammalian cell systems, we found that optimal signal peptide solutions were highly protein-specific. However, for all products tested we were able to derive vector designs that enhanced product titers by > 1.8fold, compared to standard industry technologies. Moreover, for single-chain products, we were able to build an XGB model that could guide selection of context-specific high-performing synthetic signal peptide elements. This model can be utilized to significantly reduce the screening space required to identify high performing, product-specific signal peptide solutions, representing for the first time that such a model has been developed for a mammalian cell context. Although in silico/in vitro screening is required to identify the optimal signal peptide element for a new single chain molecule, we identified a small number of constructs that exhibited robust performance across different protein partners. For time and/or cost sensitive applications, these "universal" signal peptides could be used as a generic expression vector component.

As expected, modeling techniques could not be applied to multi-chain mAb proteins, owing to unpredictable, moleculespecific optimal LC/HC expression ratios that are a function of internal cellular capacities and protein assembly dynamics. Indeed, we showed for the first time that specifically slowing down LC ER translocation rate can increase production of a DTE mAb. Despite this unpredictability, we were able to significantly reduce the vector testing space required to identify signal peptide combinations that increase product titers. Preselection of LC signal peptides that encoded low, medium, and high levels of ER translocation focused the testing space toward solutions that enhanced protein production. Accordingly, in this work we have presented novel signal peptide parts, with associated streamlined in silico and in vitro testing processes, that can be used to rapidly re-design expression vectors to improve production of both simple and complex protein products.

4. METHODS

4.1. Synthetic Signal Peptide Creation. A library of 1168 experimentally verified human and mouse signal peptides with an amino acid length of 15–30 were extracted from signalpeptide.de and used as building blocks for synthetic signal peptide creation. Each signal peptide was separated out into its constituent N-, H-, and C-domains. The first amino acid and final three amino acids were designated as minimal N-and C- domains, respectively. The H-domain was identified using a sliding window approach, where the first and last 6AA regions containing at least four hydrophobic amino acids (F, I, W, L, V, M, A, Y, and C) marked the beginning and end of the domain. The amino acid sequences either side of the identified H-domain were assumed to be in the N-domain or C-domain.

Domain boundaries were assigned according to the following rules:

- i. The N-domain must start with M and has a maximum length of ≤ 10 amino acids. It is of variable length.
- ii. The H-domain is composed of amino acid blocks of six where four of the six amino acids must be hydrophobic (F, I, W, L, V, M, A, Y, and C) and two must be nonhydrophobic. The maximum H-domain length is 12 amino acids, and the minimum H-domain length is 6 amino acids.
- iii. The C-domain is of variable length. It has a minimum length of 3 amino acids and a maximum length of ≤ 10 amino acids.

Where possible, signal peptide composition rules described in the literature were applied to each domain. Excluding basic domain separation, the definitions of each domain are limited with the C-domain being the most investigated. The synthetic N-domain was purely composed of conserved amino acids present in human and mouse signal peptide N-domains and always started with M. Amino acid conservation in the N- domain of the selected human and mouse signal peptides showed low amino acid preference. An arbitrary cut-off of ≥20% was applied resulting in synthetic N-domain amino acid selection being limited to K, T, A, G, S, P, and R residues at amino acid positions -21 to -18 (where the last signal peptide residue position is -1). The synthetic H-domain was composed of conserved amino acids present in \geq 60% human and mouse H-domain signal peptides (L, A, and V) with the exception of the last H-domain amino acid (position -6) which was limited to P or G residues.^{39,40} Previously published A-X-B, no P residues, and -3 and -1 literature defined Cdomain rules were applied to synthetic C-domain creation.^{14,29,30} These applied rules resulted in different amino acid choices at each C-domain position. All five C-domain positions could be composed of A, G, or S residues. At position -5 L, V, and I residues could also be present. At position -4 T or C residues could be additionally present and at positions -2and -1 C and T residues could be, respectively, present.

Domain amino acid permutations were done resulting in 2401 synthetic N-domains, 354,294 H-domains, and 1440 C-domains. N-, H-, and C-domain permutations resulted in 1.2×10^{12} synthetic signal peptides. To reduce this number 1% of the most different domains were chosen for synthetic signal peptide creation (24 N-domain options, 3542 H-domain options, and 14 C-domain options), giving a final synthetic signal peptide permutation number of 1.18×10^6 . Using a SignalP4.1 signal peptide probability (*D*-score) limit of ≥ 0.7 , five synthetic signal peptides were randomly selected for testing.⁴¹

4.2. Molecular Cloning for Recombinant Protein Vector Construction. Parental expression vectors containing the CDS of an ETE IgG1 mAb, a DTE IgG1 mAb and an ScFv fusion protein (AstraZeneca, UK) were used for constructing signal peptide varied plasmids for recombinant protein assays. For each mAb, separate HC and LC plasmids were provided. Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kits (New England Biolabs, UK) were used to insert one of 37 signal peptides directly upstream of each CDS, replacing the control murine Ig HC signal peptide (MGWSCIILFLVATATGVHS²⁷). Transfection-grade plasmid DNA was purified using the QIAGEN plasmid plus Midiprep kit (QIAGEN, USA).

4.3. Cell Culture and Transient Transfection. CHO-K1 derived host cells (AstraZeneca, UK) were maintained in CD CHO medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) supplemented with 6 mM L-glutamine. Cultures were maintained at 37° C under 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere with 140 rpm orbital shaking. Cells were routinely sub-cultured at a seeding density of 0.2 × 10^{6} cells mL⁻¹. Cell viability and concentration was measured using a VI-CELL viability analyzer (Beckman–Coulter, USA).

Cells were transiently transfected in a 96 well Amaxa Nucleofector System (Lonza, Switzerland) following the manufacturer's protocols. Transfected cells were cultured in 24 shallow-well plates (Corning, UK) containing CD CHO medium supplemented with 6 mM L-glutamine for 5 days at 37° C with 5% CO₂ at 240 rpm orbital shaking. Cultures were fed with a 1:1 Efficient Feed A (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and Efficient Feed B (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) on day 3. Transient transfections of both mAbs were done using separate HC and LC plasmids at 1:1.

4.4. Recombinant Protein Quantification. Cell culture medium was clarified by centrifugation. ETE mAb LC and DTE mAb LC were quantified using Kappa and Lambda

Human Immunoglobulin Free LC ELISAs (BioVendor, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol. Both IgG1 mAbs and ScFv fusion protein titers were quantified using ValitaTitre (ValitaCell, Ireland). ValitaTitre measurements were done in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. The commercially available purified kappa IgG1 mAb (Merck, Germany) and lambda IgG1 mAb (Merck, Germany) were used for quantification of the ETE IgG1 mAb and DTE IgG1 mAb, respectively. The purified ScFv fusion protein (AstraZeneca, UK) was used for quantification of the ScFv fusion protein. All assays were read using a SpectraMax iD5 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA).

4.5. Model Creation. The XGboost package was used for construction and training of the model proposed.⁴² Titers from recombinant single chain proteins were normalized using a min-max scalar. Each signal peptide was paired with the first 50 amino acids of its respective protein and assigned 7 protein parameter generated features (isoelectric point, dipeptide stability, flexibility, aliphatic index, GRAVY, ΔG , and signal peptide percentage of glycine and proline). Processed data were split into 90-10% train/test. Hyperparameter optimization resulted in the following XGB regression parameters: colsample bytree: 0.7; learning rate: 0.05; max depth: 3; min child weight: 1; n estimators: 100; objective: reg/ squarederror; subsample = 1. Early stopping was applied based on log loss validation (early stopping rounds = 5). K-fold cross validation parameters were as follows: number of splits = 5; number of repeats = 10. Mammalian experimental validation signal peptides were collected from UniProt using the following search term: annotation/(type/signal length:[10 to 30]) taxonomy: "Eukaryota [2759]" AND reviewed/yes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssynbio.3c00157.

Selection and functional performance of model-derived mammalian signal peptides in the expression of an ScFv fusion protein, and model-selected mammalian signal peptide amino acid sequences used in the expression of an ScFv fusion protein. (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

David C. James – Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, U.K.; SynGenSys Limited, Freeths LLP, Sheffield S1 2JE, U.K.; Phone: +441142227505; Email: d.c.james@sheffield.ac.uk

Authors

- Pamela O'Neill Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, U.K.; orcid.org/0009-0009-4453-2635
- Rajesh K. Mistry AstraZeneca, BioPharmaceutical Development, Cell Culture and Fermentation Sciences, Cambridge CB21 6GH, U.K.
- Adam J. Brown Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, U.K.; SynGenSys Limited, Freeths LLP, Sheffield S1 2JE, U.K.

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acssynbio.3c00157

ACS Synthetic Biology

Author Contributions

P.O performed all experiments, analyzed all data, and wrote the manuscript. R.M provided technical guidance and supervision. A.J.B. and D.C.J. supervised the project design and execution. All authors discussed and revised the content of the manuscript.

Funding

This project was funded by BBSRC and AstraZeneca, UK.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES

(1) Walsh, G.; Walsh, E. Biopharmaceutical benchmarks 2022. Nat. Biotechnol. 2022, 40, 1722–1760.

(2) Wurm, F. M. Production of recombinant protein therapeutics in cultivated mammalian cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2004**, *22*, 1393–1398.

(3) Johari, Y. B.; Brown, A. J.; Alves, C. S.; Zhou, Y.; Wright, C. M.; Estes, S. D.; Kshirsagar, R.; James, D. C. CHO genome mining for synthetic promoter design. *J. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *294*, 1–13.

(4) Hung, F.; Deng, L.; Ravnikar, P.; Condon, R.; Li, B.; Do, L.; Saha, D.; Tsao, Y. S.; Merchant, A.; Liu, Z.; Shi, S. mRNA stability and antibody production in CHO cells: improvement through gene optimization. *Biotechnol. J.* **2010**, *5*, 393–401.

(5) Bollin, F.; Dechavanne, V.; Chevalet, L. Design of Experiment in CHO and HEK transient transfection condition optimization. *Protein Expression Purif.* **2011**, *78*, 61–68.

(6) Kaneyoshi, K.; Kuroda, K.; Uchiyama, K.; Onitsuka, M.; Yamano-Adachi, N.; Koga, Y.; Omasa, T. Secretion analysis of intracellular "difficult-to-express" immunoglobulin G (IgG) in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. *Cytotechnology* **2019**, *71*, 305– 316.

(7) Pybus, L. P.; James, D. C.; Dean, G.; Slidel, T.; Hardman, C.; Smith, A.; Daramola, O.; Field, R. Predicting the expression of recombinant monoclonal antibodies in Chinese hamster ovary cells based on sequence features of the CDR3 domain. *Biotechnol. Prog.* **2014**, *30*, 188–197.

(8) Okumura, T.; Masuda, K.; Watanabe, K.; Miyadai, K.; Nonaka, K.; Yabuta, M.; Omasa, T. Efficient enrichment of high-producing recombinant Chinese hamster ovary cells for monoclonal antibody by flow cytometry. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **2015**, *120*, 340–346.

(9) Mathias, S.; Wippermann, A.; Raab, N.; Zeh, N.; Handrick, R.; Gorr, I.; Schulz, P.; Fischer, S.; Gamer, M.; Otte, K. Unraveling what makes a monoclonal antibody difficult-to-express: From intracellular accumulation to incomplete folding and degradation via ERAD. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2020**, *117*, 5–16.

(10) Brown, A. J.; Gibson, S. J.; Hatton, D.; Arnall, C. L.; James, D. C. Whole synthetic pathway engineering of recombinant protein production. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2019**, *116*, 375–387.

(11) Brown, A. J.; Sweeney, B.; Mainwaring, D. O.; James, D. C. Synthetic promoters for CHO cell engineering. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2014**, *111*, 1638–1647.

(12) Costa, E. A.; Subramanian, K.; Nunnari, J.; Weissman, J. S. Defining the physiological role of SRP in protein-targeting efficiency and specificity. *Science* **2018**, *359*, 689–692.

(13) Liaci, A. M.; Förster, F. Take Me Home, Protein Roads: Structural Insights into Signal Peptide Interactions during ER Translocation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 11871.

(14) von Heijne, G. The signal peptide. J. Membr. Biol. 1990, 115, 195-201.

(15) Ling, W.-L.; Su, C. T.-T.; Lua, W.-H.; Poh, J.-J.; Ng, Y.-L.; Wipat, A.; Gan, S. K.-E. Essentially Leading Antibody Production: An Investigation of Amino Acids, Myeloma, and Natural V-Region Signal Peptides in Producing Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab Variants. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, 604318.

(16) Wu, Z.; Yang, K. K.; Liszka, M. J.; Lee, A.; Batzilla, A.; Wernick, D.; Weiner, D. P.; Arnold, F. H. Signal Peptides Generated by

Attention-Based Neural Networks. ACS Synth. Biol. 2020, 9, 2154–2161.

(17) Grasso, S.; Dabene, V.; Hendriks, M. M. W. B.; Zwartjens, P.; Pellaux, R.; Held, M.; Panke, S.; van Dijl, J. M.; Meyer, A.; van Rij, T. Signal Peptide Efficiency: From High-Throughput Data to Prediction and Explanation. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **2023**, *12*, 390–404.

(18) Knappskog, S.; Ravneberg, H.; Gjerdrum, C.; Trö β e, C.; Stern, B.; Pryme, I. F. The level of synthesis and secretion of Gaussia princeps luciferase in transfected CHO cells is heavily dependent on the choice of signal peptide. *J. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *128*, 705–715.

(19) Kober, L.; Zehe, C.; Bode, J. Optimized signal peptides for the development of high expressing CHO cell lines. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2013**, *110*, 1164–1173.

(20) Güler-Gane, G.; Kidd, S.; Sridharan, S.; Vaughan, T. J.; Wilkinson, T. C. I.; Tigue, N. J. Overcoming the Refractory Expression of Secreted Recombinant Proteins in Mammalian Cells through Modification of the Signal Peptide and Adjacent Amino Acids. *PLoS One* **2016**, *11*, No. e0155340.

(21) Haryadi, R.; Ho, S.; Kok, Y. J.; Pu, H. X.; Zheng, L.; Pereira, N. A.; Li, B.; Bi, X.; Goh, L. T.; Yang, Y.; Song, Z. Optimization of heavy chain and light chain signal peptides for high level expression of therapeutic antibodies in CHO cells. *PLoS One* **2015**, *10*, No. e0116878.

(22) Teufel, F.; Almagro Armenteros, J. J.; Johansen, A. R.; Gíslason, M. H.; Pihl, S. I.; Tsirigos, K. D.; Winther, O.; Brunak, S.; von Heijne, G.; Nielsen, H. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *40*, 1023–1025.

(23) Nagano, R.; Masuda, K. Establishment of a signal peptide with cross-species compatibility for functional antibody expression in both Escherichia coli and Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2014, 447, 655–659.

(24) Stern, B.; Olsen, L.; Tröße, C.; Ravneberg, H.; Pryme, I. Improving mammalian cell factories: The selection of signal peptide has a major impact on recombinant protein synthesis and secretion in mammalian cells. *Trends Cell Mol. Biol.* **2007**, *2*, 1.

(25) Attallah, C.; Etcheverrigaray, M.; Kratje, R.; Oggero, M. A highly efficient modified human serum albumin signal peptide to secrete proteins in cells derived from different mammalian species. *Protein Expression Purif.* **2017**, *132*, 27–33.

(26) Barash, S.; Wang, W.; Shi, Y. Human secretory signal peptide description by hidden Markov model and generation of a strong artificial signal peptide for secreted protein expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2002**, *294*, 835–842.

(27) Gibson, S. J.; Bond, N. J.; Milne, S.; Lewis, A.; Sheriff, A.; Pettman, G.; Pradhan, R.; Higazi, D. R.; Hatton, D. N-terminal or signal peptide sequence engineering prevents truncation of human monoclonal antibody light chains. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2017, 114, 1970–1977.

(28) Prashad, K.; Mehra, S. Dynamics of unfolded protein response in recombinant CHO cells. *Cytotechnology* **2015**, *67*, 237–254.

(29) Perlman, D.; Halvorson, H. O. A putative signal peptidase recognition site and sequence in eukaryotic and prokaryotic signal peptides. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1983**, *167*, 391–409.

(30) Choo, K. H.; Ranganathan, S. Flanking signal and mature peptide residues influence signal peptide cleavage. *BMC Bioinf.* 2008, *9*, S15.

(31) Almagro Armenteros, J. J.; Tsirigos, K. D.; Sønderby, C. K.; Petersen, T. N.; Winther, O.; Brunak, S.; von Heijne, G.; Nielsen, H. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *37*, 420–423.

(32) Conti, B. J.; Devaraneni, P. K.; Yang, Z.; David, L. L.; Skach, W. R. Cotranslational Stabilization of Sec62/63 within the ER Sec61 Translocon Is Controlled by Distinct Substrate-Driven Translocation Events. *Mol. Cell* **2015**, *58*, 269–283.

(33) Ziska, A.; Tatzelt, J.; Dudek, J.; Paton, A. W.; Paton, J. C.; Zimmermann, R.; Haßdenteufel, S. The signal peptide plus a cluster of positive charges in prion protein dictate chaperone-mediated Sec61 channel gating. *Biol. Open* **2019**, *8*, bio040691. (34) Nguyen, D.; Stutz, R.; Schorr, S.; Lang, S.; Pfeffer, S.; Freeze, H. H.; Förster, F.; Helms, V.; Dudek, J.; Zimmermann, R. Proteomics reveals signal peptide features determining the client specificity in human TRAP-dependent ER protein import. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3765.

(35) Moorkens, E.; Meuwissen, N.; Huys, I.; Declerck, P.; Vulto, A. G.; Simoens, S. The market of biopharmaceutical medicines: A snapshot of a diverse industrial landscape. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *8*, 314.

(36) Cartwright, J. F.; Arnall, C. L.; Patel, Y. D.; Barber, N. O. W.; Lovelady, C. S.; Rosignoli, G.; Harris, C. L.; Dunn, S.; Field, R. P.; Dean, G.; Daramola, O.; Gibson, S. J.; Peden, A. A.; Brown, A. J.; Hatton, D.; James, D. C. A platform for context-specific genetic engineering of recombinant protein production by CHO cells. *J. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *312*, 11–22.

(37) Hong, J.; Lee, A.; Han, H.; Kim, J. Structural characterization of immunoglobulin G using time-dependent disulfide bond reduction. *Anal. Biochem.* **2009**, *384*, 368–370.

(38) Liu, H.; Chumsae, C.; Gaza-Bulseco, G.; Hurkmans, K.; Radziejewski, C. H. Ranking the susceptibility of disulfide bonds in human IgG1 antibodies by reduction, differential alkylation, and LC–MS analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **2010**, *82*, 5219–5226.

(39) SP Sansom, M.; Weinstein, H. Hinges, swivels and switches: the role of prolines in signalling via transmembrane alpha-helices. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2000**, *21*, 445–451.

(40) Thomas, R.; Vostrikov, V. V.; Greathouse, D. V.; Koeppe, R. E., 2nd Influence of proline upon the folding and geometry of the WALP19 transmembrane peptide. *Biochemistry* **2009**, *48*, 11883–11891.

(41) Petersen, T. N.; Brunak, S.; von Heijne, G.; Nielsen, H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat. Methods* **2011**, *8*, 785–786.

(42) Chen, T.; Guestrin, C. XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System.*Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*; Association for Computing Machinery: San Francisco, California, USA, 2016; pp 785–794.

Recommended by ACS

DeCOIL: Optimization of Degenerate Codon Libraries for Machine Learning-Assisted Protein Engineering

Jason Yang, Frances H. Arnold, et al. JULY 31, 2023 ACS SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

READ 🗹

Engineering Biological Nanopore Approaches toward Protein Sequencing

Xiaojun Wei, Chang Liu, et al. JULY 25, 2023 ACS NANO

READ 🗹

A Genetic Programming Approach to Engineering MRI Reporter Genes

Alexander R. Bricco, Assaf A. Gilad, *et al.* MARCH 22, 2023 ACS SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

READ 🗹

Deep Mutational Scanning of an Oxygen-Independent Fluorescent Protein CreiLOV for Comprehensive Profiling of Mutational and Epistatic Effects

Yongcan Chen, Tong Si, et al. APRIL 17, 2023 ACS SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

READ 🗹

Get More Suggestions >