



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Increased Amazon carbon emissions mainly from decline in law enforcement*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/202354/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Gatti, L.V., Cunha, C.L., Marani, L. et al. (27 more authors) (2023) Increased Amazon carbon emissions mainly from decline in law enforcement. *Nature*. ISSN 0028-0836

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06390-0>

---

This item is protected by copyright. This is an author produced version of an article published in *Nature*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Increased Amazon carbon emissions mainly from decline in law enforcement**

2

3 Luciana V. Gatti<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Camilla L. Cunha<sup>1</sup>, Luciano Marani<sup>1</sup>, Henrique L. G. Cassol<sup>1</sup>, Cassiano  
4 Gustavo Messias<sup>1</sup>, Egidio Arai<sup>1</sup>, Luciana Soler<sup>1</sup>, Claudio Almeida<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Setzer<sup>1</sup>, Luiz E. O.  
5 C. Aragão<sup>1</sup>, Luana S. Basso<sup>1</sup>, Lucas Gatti Domingues<sup>2,3</sup>, A. Scott Denning<sup>4</sup>, John B. Miller<sup>5</sup>,  
6 Manuel Gloor<sup>6</sup>, Caio S. C. Correia<sup>1,2</sup>, Graciela Tejada<sup>1</sup>, Raiane A. L. Neves<sup>1</sup>, Raoni Rajao<sup>7</sup>,  
7 Felipe Nunes<sup>7</sup>, Britaldo S.S. Filho<sup>7</sup>, Jair Schmitt<sup>7</sup>, Carlos Nobre<sup>8</sup>, Sergio M. Corrêa<sup>9</sup>, Alber H.  
8 Sanches<sup>1</sup>, Liana Anderson<sup>10</sup>, Celso Von Randow<sup>1</sup>, Stephane P. Crispim<sup>1</sup>, Francine M. da Silva<sup>1</sup>,  
9 Guilherme B.M. Machado<sup>1</sup>

10

11 <sup>1</sup>General Coordination of Earth Science (CGCT), National Institute for Space Research (INPE),  
12 São José dos Campos, Brazil.

13 <sup>2</sup>Nuclear and Energy Research Institute (IPEN), São Paulo, Brazil.

14 <sup>3</sup>National Isotope Centre, GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand

15 <sup>4</sup>Colorado State University; CO, USA

16 <sup>5</sup>Global Monitoring Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),  
17 Boulder, CO, USA

18 <sup>6</sup>University of Leeds, School of Geography, Leeds, UK;

19 <sup>7</sup>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

20 <sup>8</sup>USP – University of São Paulo, IEA, São Paulo, Brazil;

21 <sup>9</sup>Rio de Janeiro State University (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil;

22 <sup>10</sup>CEMADEN, São Jose dos Campos, Brazil;

23 \*luciana.gatti@inpe.br or lvgatti@gmail.com

24

25

## 26 **Summary**

27 The Amazon Forest carbon sink is declining mainly as a result of land use and climate change<sup>1-</sup>  
28 <sup>4</sup>. Here we investigate how changes in law enforcement of environmental protection policies may  
29 have affected the Amazonian carbon balance between 2010-2018 compared to 2019 and 2020,  
30 based on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> vertical profiles<sup>5,6</sup>, deforestation<sup>7</sup> and fire data<sup>8</sup>, and infraction notices  
31 related to illegal deforestation<sup>9</sup>. We estimate that Amazonia carbon emissions increased from  
32  $0.24 \pm 0.08$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup> 2010-18 mean to  $0.44 \pm 0.10$  in 2019 and  $0.52 \pm 0.10$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 ( $\pm$   
33 uncertainty). The observed increase in deforestation were 82% and 77% (94% accuracy) and  
34 burned area of 14% and 42% in 2019 and 2020 compared to the 2010-2018 mean, respectively.  
35 We find that the number of notifications of infractions against flora decreased by 30% and 54%  
36 and fines paid by 74% and 89% in 2019 and 2020, respectively. Carbon losses during 2019-20  
37 were comparable to the record warm El Nino (2015-16) without an extreme drought event.  
38 Statistical tests show that the observed differences between 2010-18 mean and 2019-20 are  
39 unlikely to have arisen by chance. The changes in Amazonia's carbon budget during 2019-20  
40 were mainly due to western Amazonia becoming a carbon source. Our results suggest that a  
41 decline in law enforcement led to increases in deforestation, biomass burning and forest  
42 degradation which increased carbon emissions and enhanced drying and warming of the Amazon  
43 forests.

44

## 45 **Introduction**

46 Amazonia hosts the largest tropical forest on the planet and has proven to be an important carbon  
47 sink in the recent past<sup>1-3</sup>. This carbon sink is declining, mainly due to increased tree mortality<sup>1</sup>  
48 as a result of deforestation and climate change<sup>4</sup>. The Amazon Forest represents around 50% of  
49 the global tropical rainforest and contains about 90 Pg C in above and below ground vegetation  
50 biomass<sup>10,11</sup>, which can be quickly released and thus result in substantial positive feedback on

51 global climate<sup>12</sup>. Furthermore, deforestation and forest degradation reduce the forest's capability  
52 to act as a carbon sink<sup>1-3,13</sup>.

53 In the Amazon the relationships between ecosystem carbon and water cycles and climate are  
54 complex. Several studies have estimated that evapotranspiration is responsible for up to 50% of  
55 water recirculation in Amazonian precipitation. Hydrologically, Amazonia is one of the three  
56 main air upwelling regions in the tropics and rainfall in the whole basin averages about 2,200  
57 mm per year<sup>14</sup>. Large-scale human disturbance alters these ecosystem-climate interactions. In the  
58 last 40 to 50 years, human impact has increasingly affected Amazonia, resulting in a forest loss  
59 of around 18%, of which 14% has been converted mainly to agricultural land (89% pastures and  
60 10% crops)<sup>3</sup>.

61 It has been widely reported that illegal deforestation rose 80% since 2019<sup>7</sup>, compared to the 2010-  
62 18 period as a result of changes in law enforcement policy. We analysed how these changes affect  
63 the Amazonian carbon balance and how they are linked to deforestation and fire feedbacks.  
64 Forest removal reduces evapotranspiration and rainfall while increasing temperature<sup>15-18</sup>.  
65 Additionally, regional deforestation followed by fires and selective logging causes degradation  
66 of adjacent forests, increasing vulnerability to fires<sup>13</sup>. Regional and global warming are  
67 synergistic and mutually reinforcing.

68 We compared the mean Amazonian carbon balance over 9 years (2010-18)<sup>4</sup> with the subsequent  
69 two years (2019 and 2020). In this recent period, there has been an intense reduction in public  
70 policies to control deforestation<sup>19</sup>. We used deforestation data analysis map to georeferenced  
71 carbon sources (limited to the Brazilian Amazon – PRODES), as well as fire spots incidence  
72 (Pan-Amazônia) and burned area, in addition to other parameters (see methods) to understand  
73 the main factors responsible for converting the Amazonia into a carbon source.

74

75 **Atmospheric carbon vertical profiles**

76 We performed 742 vertical profiles (VPs) from 2010 to 2020, using small aircraft over four  
77 Amazon sites, representing large upwind regions (Extended Data Fig. 1), where the VPs reflect  
78 the result of all carbon sources and sinks between the Brazilian Atlantic coast and the VP sites<sup>4</sup>.  
79 As in past studies, the VP sites were SAN (northeast region: 2.86° S 54.95° W), ALF (southeast  
80 region: 8.80° S 56.75° W), RBA (southwest region: 9.38° S 67.62° W), and in the northwest  
81 region TAB (northwest region: 5.96° S 70.06° W); from 2013 in TEF (3.39° S 65.6° W)<sup>4</sup>. The  
82 sampling frequency was approximately 2 times per month in each location, from 4.4 km height  
83 (a.s.l.) to close to the surface, and usually carried out between 12:00 and 13:00 local time. The  
84 CO<sub>2</sub> and CO samples were analysed at INPE's LaGEE (Greenhouse Gas Laboratory), in São Jose  
85 dos Campos.

86 To construct annual mean vertical profile enhancements ( $\Delta$ VP) for each site (Extended Data Fig.  
87 2), we subtracted the background concentration (bkg) for each flask (height), from each VP, and  
88 then calculated the monthly mean enhancement per height and per year. This study extends  
89 results and analysis of  $\Delta$ VP for the years 2019 and 2020. We present the weighted mean all-  
90 Amazonia vertical profile (Figure 1) based on regions of influence for each site per year, which  
91 represents an advance over the previous study<sup>4</sup> (see methods). The  $\Delta$ VP are a large scale indicator  
92 of ecosystem functioning and strongly related to the carbon budget. In Figure 1 we present the  
93 Amazonian annual mean  $\Delta$ VP from 2010 to 2020, comparing the years 2019 and 2020 to the  
94 previous 2010-18 mean. We observed net positive CO<sub>2</sub> contribution to the atmosphere for the  
95  $\Delta$ VP mean 2010-18 of 0.24 ppm. This indicates that Amazonia is a carbon source to the  
96 atmosphere, including all natural and anthropogenic processes of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and absorptions.  
97 This result is a direct indication of the regional source in the global carbon budget, though there  
98 are well known discrepancies from many studies using different methodologies (bottom-up, top-  
99 down techniques, and a wide variety of global, regional and inversion models)<sup>1-4,13,20-24</sup>.

100 Comparing the Amazonian mean  $\Delta VP$  in 2019 and 2020 with the mean for 2010-18, we observed  
101 an increase of 50% and 142%, respectively. This strong and rapid increase in concentration  
102 gradient represents a similarly strong increase in total carbon emissions and coincides with strong  
103 increases in deforestation. According to PRODES<sup>7</sup>, deforestation in the studied area<sup>4</sup> (black line  
104 indicating the area delimitation in Figure 2, but limited to the Brazilian Amazon) increased by  
105 82% and 77% (94% accuracy) for the years 2019 and 2020 compared with the mean for 2010-18  
106 (Figure 2, Extended data Fig. 3a). For the same period and comparison, considering the whole  
107 Amazonia, burned area retrieved by MODIS (collection 6, see methods) increased 14% in 2019  
108 and 42% in 2020 (Extended data Table 1). Fire spots from INPE<sup>8</sup> were used to map fire  
109 distribution in Amazonia and were underestimated compared with burned area (see methods).  
110 Fire spots increased 3% in 2019 and 22% in 2020 relative to the previous period (Extended Data  
111 Fig. 3c & 4a). There was a 693% increase in wood exports<sup>25</sup> and a 58% increase corn and 68%  
112 soybean plantation area<sup>26</sup> in 2019-2020, compared with 2010-18 (the soy moratorium is still in  
113 force). The cattle population increased by 13% in the Amazonia<sup>27</sup> and decreased by 4% in the  
114 Brazilian territory excluding the Amazonia (Extended Data Fig. 5a,b), indicating the  
115 deforestation drivers. See methods for the methodology details and uncertainty.

116 After the revision of the Forest Code in 2012<sup>28</sup>, which granted a large amnesty to past deforesters,  
117 deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia has risen gradually culminating in 2021 with the highest  
118 annual rate since 2006<sup>7</sup>. This upsurge in deforestation rates along with higher carbon emissions  
119 coincides with a decline of federal environmental agencies in charge of law enforcement in the  
120 region, especially after 2018, when field notifications and judgments resulting in fines paid  
121 reached the lowest number on record over the last decade (Figure 3). From 2010 to 2018, an  
122 annual mean of 4734 infraction notices were filed in the Amazonia for violations against flora  
123 (mostly illegal deforestation). In 2019 notices fell to 3331 and in 2020 to 2193 representing a

124 reduction of 30% and 54%, respectively. In addition, the annual mean of judgments and the  
125 respective number of fines paid up to the subsequent year dropped by 74% and 89%, respectively.

126

### 127 **Environmental law enforcement**

128 Brazil's past success in curbing illegal deforestation in the Amazon has been credited to a  
129 combination of public and private policies<sup>29</sup>. Chief among them were the expansion of protected  
130 areas<sup>30</sup>, the implementation of the DETER<sup>7</sup> system providing near-real time monitoring of  
131 deforestation and strengthening of law enforcement under the Action Plan for the Prevention and  
132 Control of Deforestation in the Legal Amazonia (PPCDAm)<sup>31</sup>. A decline of 84% in deforestation  
133 rates took place from 2004 to 2012 (Extended Data Fig. 3a). However, the more stringent law  
134 enforcement produced a backlash. In 2012, rural lobbies pressed and succeeded to relax the  
135 Forest Code in the national congress, which granted amnesty for 58% of all illegal deforestation  
136 prior to 2008 and suspended the collection of environmental fines, in addition to providing 20  
137 years for landowners to comply with Forest Code rules<sup>28</sup>. Illegal loggers, miners and land  
138 grabbers intensified their actions, encouraged by the action limitation of IBAMA employees to  
139 seize and/or destroy the equipment of the offenders<sup>32</sup>. The resulting sense of impunity, in addition  
140 to attempts to roll back conservation gains, has increasingly influenced the rise of deforestation  
141 since 2012 and its acceleration from 2018 onwards<sup>19</sup>. In 2019, the annual deforestation rate  
142 reached 10,129 km<sup>2</sup>, the highest since 2008 (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b) raising international  
143 concerns. The increase in deforestation was stimulated by the public stance of the Brazilian  
144 government against forest law enforcement and the environmental agencies themselves, which  
145 the ex-president Bolsonaro called as “industry of fines”<sup>32</sup>.

146 The past decline in deforestation rates in the Amazonia was the consequence of a wide variety of  
147 actions and policies, such as fines, embargoes, arrests and destruction of equipment, but also  
148 initiatives as soybean moratorium, during the first phases of the PPCDAm<sup>33–35</sup>. From 2004 to

149 2008, the average annual number of infraction notices for crimes against the flora, mostly  
150 deforestation but also other forms of native vegetation suppression, increased by 36%, whereas  
151 the average annual deforestation rate fell by 18% in relation to that of the previous period (2000-  
152 2003). In the following years, satellite-based refinements to detect offenders and characterize  
153 environmental damages – both crucial to effective environmental inspection and accountability  
154 – were implemented through geotechnologies developed by the National Institute of Space  
155 Research (INPE). From 2004 to 2011, over 52 thousand fines were issued alongside sanctions  
156 directed to decapitalize offenders such as embargoes and seizures in the Legal Amazonia.  
157 After the revision of the Forest Code in 2012, driven by economic factors such as commodity  
158 prices and exchange rates that affected the profitability of agricultural exports, there was a slow  
159 return to increased deforestation<sup>33</sup>. From 2012 to 2018, 32.3 thousand fines were applied,  
160 however, the total deforestation during this period was 44,057 km<sup>2</sup> (Figure 3). In phase IV of  
161 PPCDAm, which should take place between 2016-2020, the strategy to avoid deforestation  
162 should be through “market instruments”, including programs such as payment for ecosystem  
163 services (PES)<sup>36</sup>. As a result, deforestation rates in 2019-20 increased by 80% compared to the  
164 2010-18 period, accelerating the slow growth trend started in the previous decade. During this  
165 period there was a 50% reduction in fines and the dismantling of environmental policies<sup>37</sup>  
166 (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b). The decrease in fines took place in the ten municipalities with the  
167 highest deforestation rates between 2019 and 2020, as a consequence of the removal of the federal  
168 environmental inspection strategy focused on priority municipalities, which was successful in  
169 previous years (Supplementary Fig. 1).

170

### 171 **The Impacts on Amazonian Carbon Fluxes**

172 We compared the changes in Amazonia carbon flux and balance during the years 2019 and 2020  
173 related to the mean from 2010 to 2018 as reported in the previous study<sup>4</sup>. We calculated total



174 carbon flux ( $FC_{Total}$ ) using a column budget technique (see methods).  $FC_{Total}$  is the sum of all  
175 natural and anthropogenic carbon sink and sources between the coast and aircraft vertical profiles  
176 sites<sup>4</sup>. Using identical methods, CO was used to determine the fraction of  $FC_{Total}$  arising from  
177 biomass burning ( $FC_{Fire}$ ), where we used a mean ratio CO:CO<sub>2</sub> specific for each site (see  
178 methods). The residual between total carbon and fire flux is designated Net Biome Exchange  
179 (NBE). The  $FC_{NBE}$  includes photosynthesis, respiration, decomposition and other non-fire  
180 anthropogenic emissions. Decomposition can come from natural process but also from land use  
181 change and degradation<sup>38</sup> (all emissions following fire). From 2010 to 2018 the mean  $FC_{Total}$  was  
182  $0.09 \pm 0.03 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  ( $\pm$  all results show uncertainty calculated by Monte Carlo error  
183 propagation, see methods), equivalent to  $0.25 \pm 0.08 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ , considering Amazonian area of  
184  $7,256,362 \text{ km}^2$ . In 2019 the calculated  $FC_{Total}$  indicated an enhancement of 89% in total carbon  
185 emissions ( $0.17 \pm 0.04 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ;  $0.44 \pm 0.10 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ ) and in 2020 a greater increase of 122%  
186 ( $0.20 \pm 0.04 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ;  $0.52 \pm 0.10 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ ) relative to the 2010-18 mean (Figure 4a,b).

187 The statistical tests to compare the differences between the two periods of 2010-18 (9 years) and  
188 2019-20 (2 years) showed the difference with 95% CI (Welch *t-test*  $p=0.024$ ). Considering the  
189 uncertainties for the means, these differences are not so clear, but it is unlikely that the observed  
190 differences in the means have arisen by chance. The statistical significance of this inference is  
191 modest due to the relatively short time period for the perturbed state of the system. Furthermore,  
192 considering during the period 2010-18 there were 2 extreme drought events (2010, 2015/2016),  
193 and removing these 2 drought events, the mean became  $0.11 \pm 0.09 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ , and comparing with  
194 2019-20 ( $0.44 \pm 0.10 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ ;  $0.52 \pm 0.10 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ ) the anomaly becomes even more evident ( $p=$   
195  $0.003$ ). Applying the statistical tests in the western Amazonia (region 2, see Extended Data Fig.  
196 6), the post-2018 period shows similar results as whole Amazonia ( $p=0.049$ ;  $p=0.007$ ,  
197 respectively), showing that the most important changes in the Amazon occur on the western side.

198 Statistical tests are summarized in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

199 Amazonia total carbon emissions in 2019 and 2020 were comparable to carbon losses during the  
200 extreme El Niño event of 2015/16 (Figure 4b), during which the rate of growth of atmospheric  
201 CO<sub>2</sub> was one of the highest ever measured<sup>39-41</sup>. In 2019, climatological conditions do not explain  
202 the increases in deforestation by 82%, in burned area by 14%, and in carbon emissions by 89%,  
203 since the observed precipitation and temperature were within the variability for the period 2010-  
204 18 (Extended data Fig. 7b) and during wet season, a weak El Niño (maximum +0.7 indices  
205 /warm) was observed (Extended data Fig. 7a). In 2020 during the dry season a moderate La Niña  
206 (maximum -1.3 /cold)<sup>42</sup> was observed and also in the anomaly precipitation by INMET  
207 (Extended Data Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 2). The resultant of 122% increase in carbon  
208 emissions in 2020 is the combination of increases of 77% in deforestation and 42% in burned  
209 area, and a 12% reduction in the annual precipitation. The reduction was mainly during wet  
210 season (January, February and March loss of 26%) and the temperature in the same period  
211 increased by 0.6°C (Extended data Table 1 and Extended data Fig. 7b). Precipitation reduction  
212 during the wet season impacts carbon emissions mainly in the dry season, when water availability  
213 for the forest is lower. Figure 2a, b and c present the strong increase in deforestation in 2019 and  
214 2020 in some Brazilian Amazonia regions. Figure 4 (CF<sub>Total</sub>) and Extended Data Fig. 8 (FC<sub>Fire</sub>  
215 and NBE) show the seasonality and interannual variability in carbon emissions, where Fig. 4b  
216 shows the similar magnitude in carbon emissions for 2019 and 2020, but without the extreme  
217 drought conditions (Extended data Table 1 and Extended data Fig. 7b). Seasonal carbon fluxes  
218 integrated across Amazonia show that the increase happens mainly during the dry season in both  
219 years (Fig. 4a) from July to November.

220 To increase the number of samples for the statistical analysis about the differences between the  
221 period 2010-18 and 2019-20, we considered the monthly mean total carbon flux from July to  
222 November for all years, since the anomaly appears during this period (Fig. 4a, Supplementary  
223 Table 1 and 2). Considering all years (45 samples) the anomaly was significant for the whole

224 Amazonia and for the western region by the Welch *t-test* ( $p= 0.018$ ,  $p= 0.022$ , respectively), and  
225 also by Tukey test, Wilcoxon test and Kruskal Wallis test (see Supplementary Table 2).

226 Fire emissions calculated by our method ( $FC_{\text{Fire}}$ ) show a mean 2010-18 emission rate of  
227  $0.15\pm 0.01 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  ( $0.40\pm 0.03 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ ) with 8% and 4% increases during 2019 and 2020,  
228 respectively (Extended Figure 8a,c). The larger increases in total carbon emissions across  
229 Amazonia during these years come mainly from NBE, where the mean 2010-18 ( $FC_{\text{NBE}}$ ) was  
230  $-0.06\pm 0.03 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  ( $-0.15\pm 0.09 \text{ PgC y}^{-1}$ ), in 2019 was  $+0.01\pm 0.04 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and 2020 was  
231  $+0.05\pm 0.04 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , representing near carbon neutrality for forest (excluding fire) for the last  
232 2 years of this time series. As we are using a fixed CO:CO<sub>2</sub> ratio for each site and we know that  
233 the driest forest will be more flammable, we need to consider the possibility that a fraction of fire  
234 emissions may also have been incorporated into the NBE, as we observe its variability from  
235 month by month and year by year, depending on climate conditions<sup>4</sup>. Uncertainties and  
236 variability in CO:CO<sub>2</sub> ratios used to calculate  $FC_{\text{Fire}}$  may help explain the discrepancy between  
237 the near-absence of  $FC_{\text{Fire}}$  anomalies in the 2019-2020 period and the clear anomalies in fire hot  
238 spots and burned area. The fact that NBE represents the largest increase indicates that the forest  
239 carbon sink was lower than the emissions from natural and anthropogenic process (deforestation  
240 and degradation). Regardless of whether it is enhanced respiration, decomposition or fire  
241 associated with deforestation and degradation, our  $FC_{\text{Total}}$  results show that Amazonia is emitting  
242 more carbon, amplifying the consequence of global climate<sup>4</sup>.

243 The impacts in the four studied sub-regions on the carbon fluxes were related to the increase in  
244 deforestation. At SAN, in the northeast, the region 36% deforested until 2018, showed increases  
245 in deforestation of 67% and 45% in 2019 and 2020, respectively, relative to the 2010-2018  
246 period, where we observed reduction of 42% in precipitation during the wet season peak of  
247 January, February and March (JFM) of 2019 and an annual increase of 78% in  $FC_{\text{Total}}$  emissions.  
248 In 2020, there was less impact in precipitation resulting in similar carbon emissions to the 2010-

249 18 mean. In the southeast region (ALF) historically 29% deforested, increases in deforestation  
250 of 80% and 87% were observed in 2019 and 2020, respectively. Burned area decreased 34% in  
251 2019 and  $FC_{Total}$  was similar to the mean of 2010-18, but increased 53% in 2020. The southwest  
252 region (RBA), historically 17% deforested, was nearly carbon neutral during the period 2010-18,  
253 and continued to be in 2019, but in 2020 total carbon emissions ( $FC_{Total}$ ) were positive.  
254 Deforestation increased 81% in 2019 and 76% in 2020 relative to 2010-18, and burned area  
255 decreased in 2019. Precipitation was 41% less during the wet season of JFM and temperature  
256 warmed by 0.8°C. These represent increases in climate stress to the forest. The least human-  
257 impacted northwest region (TAB\_TEF), currently 15% deforested, exhibited a near neutral  
258 carbon budget for the period 2010-18, but in 2019 became a carbon source with  $FC_{Total}$  increasing  
259 more than tenfold and fivefold in 2020. The main reason was that NBE became a carbon source.  
260 In 2019 and 2020, deforestation increased by 95% and 73% relative to the previous period with  
261 reductions of 23% in precipitation during JFM in 2019 and 42% during 2020, and temperature  
262 also increased by 0.5°C for the same period. The detailed analyses for each of the four sites  
263 related to the fluxes (Total, NBE and Fire), climatological conditions and changes in the 2019  
264 and 2020 compared with 2010-18 period are presented in Supplementary Information 1 and  
265 Extended Data Table 1. A summary figure is presented in Extended Data Fig. 9. Seasonal  
266 variability of studied parameters and carbon fluxes and  $\Delta VP$  for the 4 sites are presented in  
267 Supplementary Fig. 3 and Extended Data Fig. 2, respectively.

268 Deforestation and global warming have been accompanied by reduced precipitation and warmer  
269 temperatures that have made the dry season drier, hotter, and longer<sup>4</sup>. This shift promotes stress  
270 conditions in the forest<sup>18</sup>. These conditions imply a strong stress for the trees, providing an  
271 imbalance between photosynthesis and respiration, increasing the flammability of the trees,  
272 which produces an intensification of degradation in these regions, as fire penetrates into  
273 remaining forests areas. This process appears to have intensified since 2018, when deforestation

274 increased by 80% and, as a consequence of the reduction of public policies, we observed a 50%  
275 reduction in fines. We estimate that carbon emissions doubled in the years 2019 and 2020,  
276 compared to the previous study (2010-18)<sup>4</sup>, as a consequence of these changes, but in 2020 also  
277 due to a climatic stress condition during the wet season peak (26% lower precipitation and 0.6  
278 °C higher temperature) which could also represent an additional cause of carbon emission.

279 To evaluate changes in Amazonia carbon emissions over the 11-year time series (Figure 4), we  
280 split them into two five-year groups: 2010-14 and 2016-20. Comparing the two periods for the  
281 entire Amazonia, we observe a 50% increase in total carbon emissions ( $FC_{Total}$   $0.21 \pm 0.09$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup>  
282 <sup>1</sup> and  $0.31 \pm 0.08$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup>, for 2010-14 and 2016-20, respectively), and a 31% reduction in carbon  
283 sink ( $FC_{NBE}$   $-0.15 \pm 0.10$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup> and  $-0.10 \pm 0.09$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and an increase of 16% in  
284 fire emissions ( $FC_{Fire}$   $0.36 \pm 0.04$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.42 \pm 0.04$  PgC y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). This increase in  
285 the last 5 years demonstrates the importance of public policies to prevent deforestation,  
286 degradation and fire. Zero deforestation in the Amazonia and forest restoration will be very  
287 important to reduce this climate stress on the forest, which is amplified by global climate change,  
288 resulting in a decrease in carbon sink ability, as well as impact on the water cycle.

289

## 290 References

- 291 1. Brien, R. J. W. *et al.* Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature* **519**, 344–  
292 348 (2015).
- 293 2. Phillips, O. L. & Brien, R. J. W. Carbon uptake by mature Amazon forests has  
294 mitigated Amazon nations' carbon emissions. *Carbon Balance and Management* **12**, 1  
295 (2017).
- 296 3. Hubau, W. *et al.* Asynchronous carbon sink saturation in African and Amazonian  
297 tropical forests. *Nature* **579**, 80–87 (2020).

- 298 4. Gatti, L. V. *et al.* Amazonia as a carbon source linked to deforestation and climate  
299 change. *Nature* **595**, 388–393 (2021).
- 300 5. Gatti, Luciana V; Correa, Caio C S; Domingues, Lucas G; Miller, John B; Gloor,  
301 Manuel; Martinewski, Alexandre; Basso, Luana Santamaria; Santana, Ricardo; Crispim,  
302 Stephane P; Marani, Luciano; Neves, R. L. CO<sub>2</sub> Vertical Profiles on Four Sites over  
303 Amazon from 2010 to 2018. *PANGAEA* (2021) doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.926834.
- 304 6. Gatti, Luciana V; Correa, Caio C S; Domingues, Lucas G; Marani, Luciano; Meireles,  
305 Francine; Crispim, Stephane P; Neves, Raiane Lopes; Baggio, Guilherme; Santana,  
306 Ricardo; Da Costa, W. R. CO<sub>2</sub> and CO Vertical Profiles on Four Sites over Amazon  
307 from 2019 to 2020. *PANGAEA* (2023) doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.949643.
- 308 7. PRODES. Amazon Deforestation Monitoring Project (PRODES / INPE).  
309 <http://www.obt.inpe.br/OBT/assuntos/programas/amazonia/prodes> (2022).
- 310 8. BDQueimadas. INPE Biomass burning Program.  
311 <https://queimadas.dgi.inpe.br/queimadas/bdqueimadas> (2022).
- 312 9. Portal, B. O. D. IBAMA field inspection and judgments data between 2010 and 2020.  
313 [https://dados.gov.br/dados/conjuntos-dados/julgamentos-de-auto-de-infracao-realizado-](https://dados.gov.br/dados/conjuntos-dados/julgamentos-de-auto-de-infracao-realizado-no-ambito-do-ibama)  
314 [no-ambito-do-ibama](https://dados.gov.br/dados/conjuntos-dados/julgamentos-de-auto-de-infracao-realizado-no-ambito-do-ibama).
- 315 10. Saatchi, S., Houghton, R. A., dosmSantos Alvalá, R. C., Soares, J. V. & Yu, Y.  
316 Distribution of aboveground live biomass in the Amazon basin. *Global Change Biology*  
317 **13**, 816–837 (2007).
- 318 11. Malhi, Y. *et al.* Chapter 6: Biogeochemical Cycles in the Amazon. in *Amazon*  
319 *Assessment Report 2021* (UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN),  
320 2021). doi:10.55161/TAKR3454.

- 321 12. Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Spall, S. A. & Totterdell, I. J. Acceleration of  
322 global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. *Nature* **408**,  
323 184–187 (2000).
- 324 13. Aragão, L. E. O. C. *et al.* 21st Century drought-related fires counteract the decline of  
325 Amazon deforestation carbon emissions. *Nature Communications* **9**, (2018).
- 326 14. Nobre C, Encalada A, Anderson E, Roca Alcazar FH, Bustamante M, Mena C, Peña-  
327 Claros M, Poveda G, Rodriguez JP, Saleska S, Trumbore S, Val AL, Villa Nova L,  
328 Abramovay R, Alencar A, Rodríguez Alzza C, Armenteras D, Artaxo P, Athayde S,  
329 Barretto Filho HT, Ba, Z.-R. G. (Eds). *Amazon Assessment Report 2021*. (UN  
330 Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN), 2021). doi:10.55161/RWSX6527.
- 331 15. Costa, M. H. & Pires, G. F. Effects of Amazon and Central Brazil deforestation  
332 scenarios on the duration of the dry season in the arc of deforestation. *International*  
333 *Journal of Climatology* **30**, 1970–1979 (2010).
- 334 16. Costa, M. H. *et al.* Chapter 7: Biogeophysical Cycles: Water Recycling, Climate  
335 Regulation. in *Amazon Assessment Report 2021* (UN Sustainable Development Solutions  
336 Network (SDSN), 2021). doi:10.55161/KKHX1998.
- 337 17. Leite-Filho, A. T., Costa, M. H. & Fu, R. The southern Amazon rainy season: The role  
338 of deforestation and its interactions with large-scale mechanisms. *International Journal*  
339 *of Climatology* **40**, 2328–2341 (2020).
- 340 18. Leite-Filho, A. T., Soares-Filho, B. S., Davis, J. L., Abrahão, G. M. & Börner, J.  
341 Deforestation reduces rainfall and agricultural revenues in the Brazilian Amazon. *Nature*  
342 *Communications* **12**, 2591 (2021).
- 343 19. Alencar A., Silvestrini R., Gomes J. & S. G. Amazon in flames: the new and alarming  
344 level of deforestation in the Amazon. *Technical Note IPAM - Amazon Environmental*

- 345 *Research Institute* <https://ipam.org.br/bibliotecas/amazon-in-flames-9-the-new-and->  
346 [alarming-level-of-deforestation-in-the-amazon/](https://ipam.org.br/bibliotecas/amazon-in-flames-9-the-new-and-) (2022).
- 347 20. Gatti, L. V *et al.* Cross Chapter 1: The Amazon Carbon Budget. in *Amazon Assessment*  
348 *Report 2021* (UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN), 2021).  
349 doi:10.55161/VNBV7494.
- 350 21. Silva Junior, C. H. L. *et al.* Persistent collapse of biomass in Amazonian forest edges  
351 following deforestation leads to unaccounted carbon losses. *Science Advances* **6**,  
352 eaaz8360 (2020).
- 353 22. Aguiar, A. P. D. *et al.* Land use change emission scenarios: anticipating a forest  
354 transition process in the Brazilian Amazon. *Global Change Biology* **22**, 1821–1840  
355 (2016).
- 356 23. Assis, T. O. *et al.* CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from forest degradation in Brazilian Amazon.  
357 *Environmental Research Letters* **15**, 104035 (2020).
- 358 24. Feng, L. *et al.* Consistent regional fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> inferred from GOSAT proxy  
359 XCH<sub>4</sub>:XCO<sub>2</sub> retrievals, 2010–20. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **17**, 4781–4797  
360 (2017).
- 361 25. MDIC, B. G.-. Comexstat. *wood exportation* <http://comexstat.mdic.gov.br/pt/comex-vis>.
- 362 26. IBGE Statistics- Brazilian Institute of. Agriculture, Livestock and others.  
363 [https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/economicas/agricultura-e-pecuaria/9117-producao-](https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/economicas/agricultura-e-pecuaria/9117-producao-agricola-municipal-culturas-temporarias-e-permanentes.html?t=series-historicas)  
364 [agricola-municipal-culturas-temporarias-e-permanentes.html?t=series-historicas](https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/economicas/agricultura-e-pecuaria/9117-producao-agricola-municipal-culturas-temporarias-e-permanentes.html?t=series-historicas) (2022).
- 365 27. Geography, I. S.-B. I. of. Cattle. <https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/tabela/3939#resultado>.
- 366 28. Soares-Filho, B. *et al.* Cracking Brazil’s Forest Code. *Science* **344**, 363–364 (2014).
- 367 29. Nepstad, D. *et al.* Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions  
368 in beef and soy supply chains. *Science* **344**, 1118–1123 (2014).



- 369 30. Soares-Filho, B. *et al.* Role of Brazilian Amazon protected areas in climate change  
370 mitigation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 10821–10826 (2010).
- 371 31. MMA GOV BR. PPCDAm. [http://redd.mma.gov.br/pt/acompanhamento-e-a-analise-de-](http://redd.mma.gov.br/pt/acompanhamento-e-a-analise-de-impacto-das-politicas-publicas/ppcdam)  
372 [impacto-das-politicas-publicas/ppcdam](http://redd.mma.gov.br/pt/acompanhamento-e-a-analise-de-impacto-das-politicas-publicas/ppcdam).
- 373 32. Spring, J. & Eisenhammer, S. Exclusive: As fires race through Amazon, Brazil's  
374 Bolsonaro weakens environment agency. *Reuters* (2019).
- 375 33. West, T. A. P. & Fearnside, P. M. Brazil's conservation reform and the reduction of  
376 deforestation in Amazonia. *Land Use Policy* **100**, 105072 (2021).
- 377 34. Hargrave, J. & Kis-Katos, K. Economic Causes of Deforestation in the Brazilian  
378 Amazon: A Panel Data Analysis for the 2000s. *Environmental and Resource Economics*  
379 **54**, 471–494 (2013).
- 380 35. Börner, J., Kis-Katos, K., Hargrave, J. & König, K. Post-Crackdown Effectiveness of  
381 Field-Based Forest Law Enforcement in the Brazilian Amazon. *PLOS ONE* **10**,  
382 e0121544 (2015).
- 383 36. Soares-Filho, B. *et al.* Brazil's Market for Trading Forest Certificates. *PLOS ONE* **11**,  
384 e0152311 (2016).
- 385 37. Barbosa, L. G., Alves, M. A. S. & Grelle, C. E. V. Actions against sustainability:  
386 Dismantling of the environmental policies in Brazil. *Land Use Policy* **104**, 105384  
387 (2021).
- 388 38. Aragão, L. E. O. C. *et al.* Environmental change and the carbon balance of Amazonian  
389 forests. *Biological Reviews* **89**, 913–931 (2014).
- 390 39. Global Monitoring Laboratory. Trends in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide.  
391 [https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/gl\\_gr.html](https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/gl_gr.html).

- 392 40. Gloor, E. *et al.* Tropical land carbon cycle responses to 2015/16 El Niño as recorded by  
393 atmospheric greenhouse gas and remote sensing data. *Philosophical Transactions of the*  
394 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **373**, 20170302 (2018).
- 395 41. Withey, K. *et al.* Quantifying immediate carbon emissions from El Niño-mediated  
396 wildfires in humid tropical forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*  
397 *Biological Sciences* **373**, 20170312 (2018).
- 398 42. Weather, N. O. and A. A. (NOAA) / N. W. S. / C. &. Oceanic Niño Index (ONI).  
399 [https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/ensostuff/ONI\\_v5.php](https://origin.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensostuff/ONI_v5.php)  
400 (2022).

401

402 **Figure 1 | Amazonia Annual Mean Vertical Profiles.** Amazonia annual means vertical profile  
403 for each year (2010-2020), constructed from vertical profile monthly mean (each height was  
404 subtracted by the background) producing ( $\Delta VP$ ). 2015 and 2016 are not plotted because of  
405 missing data at some sites. The mean for each height using the 4 sites is reproduced by the same  
406 methodology used for the Amazonia mean flux, separating Amazonia in 3 regions (see methods  
407 and Extended data Fig. 6a). The thick black line represents the 2010-2018 Amazonia mean  
408 vertical profiles, the thick red line the 2019 mean and blue thick line the 2020 mean.  $\Delta VP$  annual  
409 mean for each site and each year are show in Extended Data Fig 2.

410

411 **Figure 2 | Amazon deforestation map.** Deforestation area ( $\text{km}^2$ ) maps limited to the Brazilian  
412 Amazonia in grid cells of  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ , from PRODES<sup>7</sup>. Mean deforestation area per grid cell  
413 between 2010-18 (left); Absolute deforested area in 2019 (centre); Absolute deforested area in  
414 2020 (right). Deforestation maps are given in grid cells were the increment (left) or the absolute  
415 deforested area (centre, right), are composed by polygons higher than  $0.0625 \text{ km}^2$ , and are shown  
416 in deforested  $\text{km}^2$  per grid cell.

417 **Figure 3 | Environmental law enforcement and accountability for crimes against the**  
418 **Amazon Forest.** a) number of infractions against flora issued by IBAMA and deforestation alerts  
419 by INPE in support of IBAMA's environmental field operations (Deter-Modis and Deter-B). b)  
420 number of administrative judgments of infraction notices against flora and the number of fines

421 paid by the following year from the judgment (see methods). Monetary values were adjusted for  
422 inflation and converted to USD using a rate of R\$ 5 (Brazilian Reais) per U.S.\$ 1.

423  
424 **Figure 4 | Amazonia carbon flux 2010-20.** a) Seasonal Amazonia total carbon flux ( $FC_{Total}$ ).  
425 Black line for 2010-18 mean, where grey bands denote the standard deviation of the monthly  
426 mean. Red line shows the seasonal  $FC_{Total}$  for 2019 and blue line for 2020. b) Annual mean  
427 Amazonia total carbon flux blue bar and the ONI classification in the background showing El  
428 Niño and La Niña<sup>42</sup> (see Extended Data Fig. 7a and methods).

429  
430 **Acknowledgements** This work was funded by many projects from the long term measurements:  
431 State of Sao Paulo Science Foundation - FAPESP (16/02018-2, 11/51841-0, 08/58120-3,  
432 18/14006-4, 18/14423-4, 18/18493-7, 19/21789-8, 11/17914-0), UK Environmental Research  
433 Council (NERC) AMAZONICA project (NE/F005806/1), NASA grants (11-CMS11-0025,  
434 NRMJ1000-17-00431), 7FP EU (283080), MCTI/CNPq (2013), CNPq (134878/2009-4), ERC /  
435 Horizon 2020 (649087) coordinated by Wouter Peters. We thank numerous people at  
436 NOAA/GML who provided advice and technical support for air sampling and measurements in  
437 Brazil and the pilots and technical team at aircraft sites who collected the air samples. We thank  
438 J. F. Mueller for providing modelled biogenic CO fluxes.

439  
440 **Author Contributions** LVG, MG, JM conceived the basin-wide measurement program and  
441 approach; LVG wrote the paper; all co-authors participated in many scientific meetings to  
442 produce and interpreted the data, commented and review the manuscript; LGD, AS, LSB, HC,  
443 GT, LM, LVG contributed with region of influence study; HC, EA, CLC, LM, LVG, LSB, SMC  
444 contributed with climate data weighted studies; CGM, LS, CA, AS, GT contributed with  
445 deforestation and fire spots analysis, LGD, CC, SC, RL, FMS, GBMM contributed with GHG  
446 concentration analysis; RR, FN, BSSF, JS contributed with law enforcement analysis, SB, JM,  
447 LVG contribute with estimate of the biogenic CO.

448

449 **Author Information** Reprints and permissions information is available at  
450 [npg.nature.com/reprints](http://npg.nature.com/reprints) and permissions. The authors declare no competing financial interests.  
451 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to LVG ([lvgatti@gmail.com](mailto:lvgatti@gmail.com) or  
452 [luciana.gatti@inpe.br](mailto:luciana.gatti@inpe.br)).

453

454 **Additional Information** Extended Data and Supplementary Information is available for this  
455 paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to [luciana.gatti@inpe.br](mailto:luciana.gatti@inpe.br).

456

457 **Data Availability** The CO<sub>2</sub> VP data that support the findings of this study are available from  
458 PANGAEA Data Archiving, at <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.926834> for data from 2010-  
459 18 and for data 2019 and 2020 it is available at <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.949643>.

460

## 461 **Methods**

462

463 **Sites, air sampling and analysis** Here we are reporting the results from measurements at the  
464 four Amazonian aircraft vertical profile sites of the CARBAM project (SAN: 2.86° S 54.95° W;  
465 ALF: 8.80° S 56.75° W; RBA: 9.38° S 67.62° W; in 2010-2012 for TAB: 5.96° S 70.06° W; and  
466 since 2013 for TEF; 3.39° S 65.6° W) for 2019 and 2020, in addition to the measurements  
467 between 2010 and 2018 detailed at Gatti et al.<sup>4</sup>. Our samples were done typically twice per month,  
468 resulting in approximately 742 vertical profiles over these 11 years, in a descending spiral profile  
469 from 4,420 m to 300 m above sea level (a.s.l.). In 2015 the data collection flights were stopped  
470 in April at all sites, returning in November at RBA. In 2016, profiles were performed only at  
471 RBA and ALF. The VPs were usually taken between 12:00 and 13:00 local time. Air samples  
472 were analysed by a non-dispersive infrared analyser for CO<sub>2</sub> and by gas chromatography with

473 HgO reduction detection for CO. The detailed analytical and sampling methods were presented  
474 in previous studies<sup>4,43</sup>. We defined the Amazon study area similarly to Gatti et al.<sup>4</sup>, according to  
475 subregions from Eva et al.<sup>44</sup> and biomes from Olson et al.<sup>45</sup>, where the studied area in the  
476 Amazonia was determined considering forest ecosystems sub-regions: Amazônia stricto sensu,  
477 Guianas, Andes and Gurupi, with a total area of 7,256,362 km<sup>2</sup><sup>4</sup>.

478  
479 **Annual Mean Vertical Profiles** The annual mean  $\Delta VP$  for each site was calculated starting  
480 with individual profiles where for each altitude (sampled flask) the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was  
481 subtracted from the correspondent background (bkg), then averaging first to monthly and later to  
482 annual mean by height (Extended Data Fig. 2). To calculate the annual mean Amazonia vertical  
483 profile, we apply the same method used to obtain the mean Amazonia flux. To scale for the whole  
484 Amazonia, we separated Amazonia in 3 regions (Extended Data Fig. 6a). To compose the  $\Delta VP$   
485 Region 1 (SAN + ALF) the weighted mean concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> minus bkg was produced for  
486 each height, proportional to the respective areas. To compose the  $\Delta VP$  Region 2 (RBA + TAB:  
487 for the years 2010 to 2012; RBA + TEF: for the years 2013 to 2018), it was reproduced the same  
488 procedure used for Region 1. And for Region 3, the remain Amazonia area, not covered by the  
489 vertical profile's regions of influence, were used the same concentrations minus bkg from Region  
490 2. To compose the  $\Delta VP$  for Amazonia it was produced the weighted mean for each height  $\Delta CO_2$   
491 concentration considering the 3 regions and producing the weighted mean.

492  
493 **Carbon fluxes estimation** We used a column budget technique to estimate carbon total fluxes,  
494 which consists of the difference between CO<sub>2</sub> mole fraction measured in the vertical profile and  
495 the estimated background mole fraction ( $\Delta CO_2$ ) considering the travel time of air parcels along  
496 the trajectory from the coast to the site (eq. M1), following the methodology in Miller et al.<sup>46</sup>,  
497 Gatti et al.<sup>47</sup>, D'Amelio et al.<sup>48</sup>, Gatti et al.<sup>43</sup>, Basso et al.,<sup>49,50</sup> and Gatti et al.<sup>4</sup>.

498 
$$F_x = \int_{z=0(agl)}^{4.4km(asl)} \frac{\Delta X}{t(z)} dz$$
 M1

499 To apply in eq. M1 we converted mole fractions [ $\mu\text{mol CO}_2$  (mol dry air)<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. ppm] to  
500 concentrations (mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-3</sup>) using the density of air, where temperature (T) and pressure (P)  
501 were measured during the vertical profiles or and for situations where weren't, it were calculated  
502 T, P using the equation derived for temperature and pressure based in all measured T and P  
503 relating to height for each site<sup>4</sup>. To estimate the travel time t of air-masses from the coast to each  
504 sample site, we used back-trajectories for each altitude of the vertical profile, where 13-day  
505 backward trajectories are derived from the online version of the HYSPLIT model<sup>51,52</sup>.

506 Our background mole fraction estimates were calculated according to the methodology described  
507 by Domingues et al.<sup>53</sup>, using the geographical position of each air-mass back-trajectory when it  
508 intersects two virtual limits: 1) a latitude limit, from the Equator southwards at 30° W, and 2) a  
509 line from the Equator to the NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory (NOAA/GML) observation  
510 site at Ragged Point, Barbados (RPB). Based on the atmospheric air circulation pattern over  
511 Amazonia we could relate the position where an air mass crosses the virtual line with the  
512 concentrations measured at remote sites in the Atlantic—RPB, Ascension Island, UK (ASC) and  
513 Cape Point, South Africa (CPT)—from NOAA/GML to determine the background<sup>53</sup>.

514 Carbon fire fluxes were estimated based on eq. M2, where FCO is the total CO flux and is  
515 calculated identically to CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes according to eq. M1; and to isolate the CO from biomass  
516 burning process, we subtract the 'natural' CO flux from the total CO flux. FCO<sub>natural</sub>, arising from  
517 direct soil CO emissions, and mainly CO from oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs),  
518 such as isoprene that is emitted from the forest according to the methodology described at Gatti  
519 et al.<sup>4</sup>. We also used fire emission ratios calculated by site (CO:CO<sub>2</sub>, in units of parts per billion-  
520 ppb CO per ppm CO<sub>2</sub>) from measured CO concentrations from clearly identifiable plumes in the  
521 VPs during the dry season (ALF CO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 53.4 ± 9.9 (2σ variability); SAN CO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 55.5 ±  
522 14.7; RBA CO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 73.2 ± 15.1; and TAB\_TEF CO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 71.6 ± 17.2 ppbCO : ppmCO<sub>2</sub><sup>4</sup>.

523 NBE represents the result of emissions and uptake from all processes in the influenced area for  
524 a specific VP, monthly and annual mean, excluding fire C emissions (NBE = total – fire).

$$525 \quad FC_{\text{Fire}} = R_{\text{CO}_2:\text{CO}} (FC_{\text{CO}} - FC_{\text{CO}}^{\text{Natural}}) \quad \text{M2}$$

526 To scale for the whole Amazonia carbon fluxes was applied the same procedure as for Amazonia  
527  $\Delta$ VP and described in eq. 3 and 4.

$$528 \quad FC_{\text{region1}} = \frac{(FC_{\text{SAN}} * \text{Area}_{\text{SAN}}) + (FC_{\text{ALF}} * \text{Area}_{\text{ALF}})}{\text{Area}_{\text{SAN}} + \text{Area}_{\text{ALF}}} \quad \text{M3}$$

$$529 \quad \text{Balance}_{\text{Am.}} = (FC_{\text{reg.1}} * \text{Area}_{\text{reg.1}}) + (FC_{\text{reg.2}} * \text{Area}_{\text{reg.2-reg.1}}) + (FC_{\text{reg.3}} * \text{Area}_{\text{reg.3}}) \quad \text{M4}$$

530

531 **Fluxes Uncertainty Analysis by Monte Carlo error propagation** We estimated our fluxes  
532 uncertainties by error propagation using Monte Carlo randomization running 1000 iterations. The  
533 considered uncertainty for each step of flux calculation (M1) were: CO<sub>2</sub> measurements  
534 uncertainty by our analytical system is around 0.03 ppm. For background (BKG) uncertainties  
535 we considered that mole fraction uncertainties from ASC, CPT and RPB come from the standard  
536 deviation of the residuals to fit CO<sub>2</sub> smooth curve, according to Gatti et al.<sup>4,43</sup>. We assumed  
537 uncertainties of back-trajectory travel times are normally distributed with a standard deviation of  
538  $\sigma = 0.2$  days for SAN,  $\sigma = 0.6$  days for RBA and TAB, and  $\sigma = 0.4$  for ALF and TEF. In addition,  
539 to calculate the carbon fire emissions and NBE, it was considered the uncertainty from CO:CO<sub>2</sub>  
540 ratio and CO fluxes. For fluxes from fire, we used the standard deviation of emission ratios at  
541 each site and account for the CO flux uncertainties (estimated as for the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes), and  
542 considered the uncertainty in natural CO emission of 25%.

543 To calculate the uncertainty for each vertical profile flux, from 1000 iterations using Monte Carlo  
544 randomization error propagation. To produce the monthly mean flux, taking into account the  
545 variability between the fluxes within the same month, we applied the pooled standard deviation  
546 to each month throughout the year to account the uncertainty of each monthly mean within the

547 annual mean flux. For the whole period of 9 and 2 years we used quadratic mean as eq. M5<sup>54</sup>,  
548 where n is the number of years.

$$549 \quad \bar{x}_q = \sqrt{\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}} \quad \text{M5}$$

550 Additional source of uncertainty is the sampling height limitation to 4.4 km. Along the way of  
551 air masses trajectory that can vary from 2 to 9 days mean time until to the sampling sites,  
552 convective process can represent loss of carbon sources and sink surface contributions.  
553 Comparing the background concentration and the top of vertical profiles is one way to verify the  
554 possible loss of information. Supplementary Fig. 4 shows the seasonal dispersion along the time  
555 series for the differences between the top of VP (>3.8 to 4.4 km) and the background. According  
556 to the method we use, the flux is obtained by the difference between of the measured CO<sub>2</sub>  
557 concentration in the VP and the background concentration and considering the travel time in the  
558 integration. Observing the Supplementary Fig. 4 it is clear that during the dry season is the period  
559 in which the loss of information is larger to positive (VP > bkg), because during burning season  
560 (peak of dry season) the top of VP starts with higher CO<sub>2</sub> and CO concentration due to convective  
561 processes promoted by biomass burning.

562 Another possible source of uncertainty is related to moisture in the samples. NOAA/GML have  
563 found that CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is artificially reduced when air samples with high water vapor (>  
564 1.7%) are pressurized in PFP flasks to 2.7 bar, as a result of condensation<sup>55</sup>. A preliminary study  
565 using vertical profiles near Manaus (Amazonas state) compared PFP samples measured for CO<sub>2</sub>  
566 at LAGEE to onboard measurements from a trace gas flight analyser (Picarro model G2401-m)  
567 and found depletions in PFP CO<sub>2</sub> nearly similar to those from the Baier et al study. This influence  
568 is likely greater near the surface, as humidity increases at lower altitudes. Thus, the true CO<sub>2</sub>  
569 below the boundary layer (~1.2km from surface) may be higher than measured, which means  
570 that current fluxes may be underestimated. However, this effect will be present in both periods  
571 (2010-18 and 2019-2020), not affecting their comparison.



572

573 **Statistical Analysis in Total Carbon Fluxes** We applied parametric (Welch *t-test* and Tukey  
574 test) and non-parametric (Wilcoxon test and Kruskal-Wallis test) tests to compare and determine  
575 whether the annual and monthly total fluxes for 2019-2020 are significantly different from the  
576 2010-2018 total fluxes period in the Amazonia. We also applied the statistical tests separating  
577 Amazonia in Region 1 and Region 2 (Extended Data Figure 6), since we observed new regions  
578 with intense deforestation in Region 2. First, we verified the normal distribution and the number  
579 of samples to decide the recommended test to be used in the statistical analysis, then before the  
580 test of comparison (parametric or non-parametric) we verified the distribution of the variable  
581 (Supplementary Table 1). Before to be applied the test of comparison (parametric or non-  
582 parametric) it was necessary to verify the distribution of the variable, for this we applied the  
583 Shapiro-Wilk (*shapiro.test*), Anderson-Darling (*ad.test*) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (*ks.test*) tests.  
584 Monthly and annual fluxes for all years from 2010 to 2020 were evaluated, considering and  
585 removing the drought years (2010, 2015 and 2016). It was also considered only the monthly  
586 fluxes from July to November of the time series, with and without the drought years. The normal  
587 distribution of the total flux was confirmed in all cases in which the null hypothesis was accepted,  
588 that is, when  $p\text{-value} > 0.05$ . For all cases whose normal distribution was confirmed, the variance  
589 test was performed to ensure that the variance was equal for all samples ( $p\text{-value} > 0.05$ ,  
590 Supplementary Table 2). Satisfied these two conditions, the parametric tests were performed. All  
591 test results were obtained with 95% of confidence, as shown in Supplementary Table 1 and 2.

592

593 **Missing data imputation** The *missForest* algorithm was applied to fill in the missing data for  
594 total and Fire C monthly fluxes at ALF, SAN, RBA and TAB\_TEF sites, which occurred due to  
595 sampling and laboratory logistics issues. This non-parametric missing value imputation  
596 algorithm is based on the random forest methodology<sup>56,57</sup> and was implemented in R language<sup>58</sup>

597 using the *missForest* package<sup>59</sup>. The known monthly data were used to adjust the *missForest*  
598 parameters (number of iterations, number of trees, number of variables randomly sampled in  
599 each division and others) for each site. Monthly variables (temperature, precipitation, burned  
600 area, EVI, GRACE and VPD) were used in the imputation method for total C flux ( $FC_{\text{Total}}$ ) and  
601 fire C flux ( $FC_{\text{Fire}}$ )<sup>4</sup>. These calculations were performed 1000 times, and the results are  
602 incorporated in the mean values for the missing months (Supplementary Fig. 5). The normalized  
603 RMSE was less than 0.0045 for all sites and fluxes. The RMSE values were 0.0041, 0.0060,  
604 0.0027 and 0.0021  $\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$  for total fluxes and 0.0008, 0.0019, 0.0004 and 0.0001  $\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$   
605 for fire fluxes in ALF, SAN, RBA and TAB\_TEF, respectively. NBE missing data was obtained  
606 subtracting the Fire C fluxes from the Total C Fluxes. These RMSE values were used in the  
607 uncertainty calculation for the months with missing fluxes.

608

609 **Regions of influence** We define regions of influence as those areas covered by the density of  
610 back-trajectories integrated over all vertical profiles and altitudes (up to 3500 m) for each site  
611 integrated on an annual (Extended data Fig. 1) and a quarterly basis (Supplementary Fig. 6)<sup>4,60</sup>.  
612 Here we used the same regions of influence from Gatti et al.<sup>4</sup>, for the period between 2010-18,  
613 and were calculated new areas for 2019 and 2020, which were estimated using Hysplit trajectory  
614 model<sup>52,61</sup> to calculate individual back-trajectories for each sample for each vertical profile and  
615 all flights between 2010 and 2018 at a resolution of 1 hour using  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  Global Data Assimilation  
616 System (GDAS) meteorological data. For each site, all the back-trajectories in a quarter (January-  
617 March, April-June, July-September, October-December) or annually were binned, and the  
618 number of instances (at hourly resolution) that the back-trajectories passed over a  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  grid cell  
619 was counted to determine the trajectory density in each grid cell up to an altitude of 3,500 m  
620 a.s.l.. In the annual regions of influence were excluded the grid cells with the lowest 2.5%  
621 trajectory density distribution. The mean annual regions of influence were determined by

622 averaging the nine annual regions of influence for each site, by the sum of the number of points  
623 (frequency) within each grid cell integrating all vertical profiles in the year and then averaging  
624 all nine years<sup>60</sup>.

625  
626 **Precipitation, temperature, GRACE, EVI, burned area and VPD data** We used the quarterly  
627 regions of influence maps as spatial weighting functions for all studied parameters to determine  
628 how each parameter influenced the carbon flux, following Gatti et al.<sup>4</sup>

629 We used the databased GPCP ([http://eagle1.umd.edu/GPCP\\_ICDR/GPCP\\_Monthly.html](http://eagle1.umd.edu/GPCP_ICDR/GPCP_Monthly.html)),  
630 version 1.3 for precipitation analysis (described by Huffman et al.<sup>62</sup>), which contains daily data  
631 since 1996 with a resolution of 1° × 1° latitude–longitude.

632 For temperature we used 2-m temperatures from ERA-5 that are monthly means of daily means  
633 since 1959 and were used with a resolution of 0.25° × 0.25° latitude–longitude, obtained from  
634 the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF;  
635 <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-single-levels-monthly-means>  
636 ?tab=overview)<sup>63</sup>.

637 We used the gridded monthly global water storage/height anomalies (equivalent water thickness)  
638 relative to a time-mean, derived from GRACE (Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment) and  
639 GRACE-FO and processed at JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) using the Mascon approach  
640 (Version2/RL06), with 0.5° × 0.5° resolution<sup>64,65</sup>.

641 The VPD product is a measure of the indirect vapour pressure deficit in kPa (resolution of 2.5  
642 arc-minute) of monthly means of temperature and humidity, provided by Climatic Research Unit  
643 (CRU) CRU Ts4.0<sup>66</sup>. The dataset was resampled to a 1°x1° spatial resolution using the monthly  
644 mean.

645 Evaluation of burned area was obtained from the Moderate Resolution Imaging  
646 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Collection 6 MCD64A1 burned area product<sup>67</sup>. The related

647 uncertainty estimated as 4-5.5%<sup>68</sup>. Collection 6 provides monthly tiles of burned area with 500  
648 m spatial resolution over the globe. The algorithm uses several parameters for detecting burned  
649 area from the Terra and Aqua satellite products, including daily active fire (MOD14A1 and Aqua  
650 MYD14A1), daily surface reflectance (MOD09GHK and MYD09GHK), and annual land cover  
651 (MCD12Q1)<sup>69-71</sup>. The burned area product was resampled to 1x1° spatial resolution.

652 The Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) is a vegetation index that aims to highlight the fraction of  
653 photosynthetically active radiation (fPAR) from terrestrial vegetation targets. In general, high  
654 positive values show a higher proportion of fPAR, and therefore, greater biomass. The EVI  
655 product used was the MANVI: MODIS multiangle implementation of atmospheric correction  
656 (MAIAC) nadir-solar adjusted vegetation indices for South America, generated by in spatial  
657 resolution of 1 km and temporal resolution of 16 days<sup>72</sup>.

658  
659 **Validation of temperature data** The ERA5 was validated using thirty-five automatic  
660 meteorological field stations for temperature data from the INMET (National Institute of  
661 Meteorology, Brazil), covering the period between 1979 and 2018, respectively. In our study, the  
662 least-squares regression analysis was carried out by using the ERA5 data as the dependent  
663 variable and the automatic meteorological field stations as the independent variable. The ERA5  
664 dataset explained 49 to 98% of the temperature variability captured by the automatic  
665 meteorological field stations. The RMSE varied  $\pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $\pm 1.84^{\circ}\text{C}$  (see Supplementary Fig. 7).

666  
667 **Deforestation** The procedures to retrieve deforestation as a geographic data built by  
668 PRODES/INPE<sup>7,73,74</sup> based on historical series of Landsat images provides deforestation annual  
669 increments in the Brazilian Amazon. Detailed information of PRODES methodology is available  
670 and can be accessed<sup>74</sup>. The where the accuracy is 93.5%<sup>75</sup>. We adopted the data period between  
671 2010 and 2020. Using QGIS software it was generated a grid cell of  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$  for the entire

672 Brazilian Amazon which was filled with absolute values of deforested area of deforestation  
673 calculated for each cell and in each year of the series. The mean area of deforestation was  
674 calculated for the period within 2010-2018 inside each grid's cell. Absolute annual deforestation  
675 for 2019 and 2020 were also calculated with the same methodology. Both, the mean or the  
676 absolute values of deforestation were calculated in each study site of the measured VPs  
677 considering the sum of all cell values completely enclosed in each site.

678

679 **Fire spots** Fire spots in Pan-Amazonia between 2010 and 2020 and burned area in Brazil's  
680 Amazon were retrieved from INPE's "Queimadas" wildfire monitoring program<sup>8</sup>. The number  
681 of fire spots detected per year in the grid cells and the overall means were calculated for each  
682 study site using QGIS software. "Fire spots" refer to fire pixels detected in the daily afternoon  
683 images of the MODIS sensor on board the AQUA NASA satellite since 2002 using the  
684 "Collection 6" algorithm that provides world-wide coverage of active vegetation fires<sup>76-78</sup>. Fire  
685 spots represent an under sampling of the actual fire extent in the vegetation since the monitoring  
686 miss most understory low-temperature fires as well as those occurring under cloudy skies and  
687 between consecutive satellite overpasses. However, relying on a stable sensor and proven  
688 algorithms, the data is an excellent indicator of temporal and spatial tendencies of fire  
689 occurrences<sup>76</sup>. Counts of fire pixel are indicators that allow the comparison of occurrences for  
690 periods and areas of interest; since they are detected by satellites and thus limited by cloud cover,  
691 image acquisition time and dense tree canopy, they are not an absolute measure of the total fire  
692 impact. The INPE fire products use the same NASA active fire detection algorithms and source  
693 data<sup>79</sup>, adding a filter to remove fixed heat sources such as specific industries. Validation studies  
694 indicate less than 1 % of false detections in Amazonia<sup>8</sup> and 3% in Indonesia<sup>80</sup>. The procedures  
695 retrieved fire spots from Queimadas Project (INPE) between 2010 and 2020. The absolute  
696 number of fire spots registered per year between 2010 and 2020 was calculated in each study

697 site, using QGIS software. Also calculated were the mean values of fire spots in the period  
698 between 2010 and 2018 in each study site.

699

700 **Environmental law enforcement and accountability for illegal deforestation** We set up and  
701 systematized a comprehensive database for the Amazon encompassing all available records of  
702 infractions notices and administrative judgments between 2010 and 2020. IBAMA field  
703 inspection and judgments data between 2010 and 2020 were obtained from the Brazilian Open  
704 Data Portal<sup>9</sup>. We removed duplicate records by applying a composite primary key encompassing  
705 the columns "*seq\_auto\_infracao*", "*num\_auto*", "*ser\_auto*", "*cpf\_cnpj*", "*valor\_auto*",  
706 "*quant\_area*" and "*num\_processo*" and filtered data for the states of the Legal Amazon: Acre,  
707 Amapá, Amazonas, Pará, Rondônia, Roraima, Tocantins, and Mato Grosso and Maranhão. We  
708 used only infraction notices and fines related to crimes against the flora (basically illegal  
709 deforestation but also other forms of native vegetation suppression and associated crimes). The  
710 infraction notice informs citizens, companies, or institutions about committed acts violating  
711 administrative rules or the law, which are subject to penalties such as fines, seizures, and  
712 embargoes after due administrative judgments. The periods described in the text are related to  
713 the PPCDAm Phases I to IV<sup>31,81</sup>. The Brazilian government program for payment for ecosystem  
714 services (PES), not implemented can be found at MMA homepage<sup>81</sup>.

715

716 **Amazonia crops area, cattle production and wood exportation** We obtained and systematized  
717 the information about Amazonia crops (soybean and corn) area production, cattle production and  
718 wood exportation for the Amazon using available official data from Brazilian government.  
719 Harvest area of soybean and corn were obtained from IBGE (2022)<sup>26</sup>. Wood exportation data  
720 came from Ministry of Industry, Foreign Commerce and Services (MDIC, 2022)<sup>25</sup>. Cattle

721 production inside and outside Amazonia were produced by Amazon Deforestation Monitoring  
722 Project (PRODES/INPE, 2022)<sup>27</sup>.

723

- 724 43. Gatti, L. V. *et al.* Drought sensitivity of Amazonian carbon balance revealed by  
725 atmospheric measurements. *Nature* **506**, 76–80 (2014).
- 726 44. Eva, H. *et al.* *a Proposal for Defining the Geographical. A proposal for defining the*  
727 *geographical boundaries of Amazonia* (2005). doi:ISBN 9279000128
- 728 45. Olson, D. M. *et al.* Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth.  
729 *Bioscience* (2001). doi:[https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2)  
730 [3568\(2001\)051\[0933:TEOTWA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2)
- 731 46. Miller, J. B. *et al.* Airborne measurements indicate large methane emissions from the  
732 eastern Amazon basin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**, (2007).
- 733 47. Gatti, L. V. *et al.* Vertical profiles of CO<sub>2</sub> above eastern Amazonia suggest a net carbon  
734 flux to the atmosphere and balanced biosphere between 2000 and 2009. *Tellus, Ser. B*  
735 *Chem. Phys. Meteorol.* **62**, 581–594 (2010).
- 736 48. D’Amelio, M. T. S., Gatti, L. V., Miller, J. B. & Tans, P. Regional N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in  
737 Amazonia derived from aircraft vertical profiles. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **9**, 8785–8797  
738 (2009).
- 739 49. Basso, L. S. *et al.* Seasonality and interannual variability of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from the eastern  
740 Amazon Basin inferred from atmospheric mole fraction profiles. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*  
741 **121**, 168–184 (2016).
- 742 50. Basso, L. S. *et al.* Amazon methane budget derived from multi-year airborne  
743 observations highlights regional variations in emissions. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **2**, 246  
744 (2021).
- 745 51. Draxler, R. R. & Rolph, G. D. HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated  
746 Trajectory). *NOAA Air Resour. Lab. Coll. Park. MD* (2003).
- 747 52. Stein, A. F. *et al.* NOAA’s HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling  
748 System. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **96**, 2059–2077 (2015).
- 749 53. Domingues, L. G. *et al.* A new background method for greenhouse gases flux calculation  
750 based in back-trajectories over the Amazon. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. **11**, (2020).
- 751 54. quadratic mean,  $\bar{x}_q$ . in *The IUPAC Compendium of Chemical Terminology* (International  
752 Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), 2014). doi:10.1351/goldbook.Q04968
- 753 55. Baier, B. C. *et al.* Multispecies Assessment of Factors Influencing Regional CO<sub>2</sub> and

- 754 CH 4 Enhancements During the Winter 2017 ACT-America Campaign. *J. Geophys. Res.*  
755 *Atmos.* **125**, (2020).
- 756 56. Jiang, N. & Riley, M. L. Exploring the Utility of the Random Forest Method for  
757 Forecasting Ozone Pollution in SYDNEY. *J. Environ. Prot. Sustain. Dev.* **1**, 245–254  
758 (2015).
- 759 57. Stekhoven, D. J. & Buhlmann, P. MissForest--non-parametric missing value imputation  
760 for mixed-type data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 112–118 (2012).
- 761 58. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R: Foundation*  
762 *for Statistical Computing* (2020). Available at: <https://www.r-project.org>.
- 763 59. Stekhoven, D. J. missForest: Nonparametric Missing Value Imputation using Random  
764 Forest. R package version 1.4. (2013).
- 765 60. Cassol, H. L. G. *et al.* Determination of Region of Influence Obtained by Aircraft  
766 Vertical Profiles Using the Density of Trajectories from the HYSPLIT Model.  
767 *Atmosphere (Basel)*. **11**, 1073 (2020).
- 768 61. Rolph, G., Stein, A. & Stunder, B. Real-time Environmental Applications and Display  
769 sYstem: READY. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **95**, 210–228 (2017).
- 770 62. Huffman, G. J. *et al.* Global Precipitation at One-Degree Daily Resolution from  
771 Multisatellite Observations. *J. Hydrometeorol.* **2**, 36–50 (2001).
- 772 63. Hersbach, H. *et al.* The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **146**, 1999–2049  
773 (2020).
- 774 64. Watkins, M. M., Wiese, D. N., Yuan, D.-N., Boening, C. & Landerer, F. W. Improved  
775 methods for observing Earth’s time variable mass distribution with GRACE using  
776 spherical cap mascons. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* **120**, 2648–2671 (2015).
- 777 65. D. N. Wiese, D.-N. Yuan, C. Boening, F. W. Landerer, M. M. W. JPL GRACE Mascon  
778 Ocean, Ice, and Hydrology Equivalent Water Height RL06 CRI Filtered Version 02.  
779 (2022). doi:doi.org/10.5067/TEMSC-3JC62
- 780 66. Abatzoglou, J. T., Dobrowski, S. Z., Parks, S. A. & Hegewisch, K. C. TerraClimate, a  
781 high-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and climatic water balance from 1958–  
782 2015. *Sci. Data* **5**, 170191 (2018).
- 783 67. Giglio, L., Boschetti, L., Roy, D. P., Humber, M. L. & Justice, C. O. The Collection 6  
784 MODIS burned area mapping algorithm and product. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **217**, 72–85  
785 (2018).
- 786 68. Brennan, J., Gómez-Dans, J. L., Disney, M. & Lewis, P. Theoretical uncertainties for  
787 global satellite-derived burned area estimates. *Biogeosciences* **16**, 3147–3164 (2019).



- 788 69. Vermote, E. F., El Saleous, N. Z. & Justice, C. O. Atmospheric correction of MODIS  
789 data in the visible to middle infrared: first results. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **83**, 97–111  
790 (2002).
- 791 70. Justice, C. . *et al.* An overview of MODIS Land data processing and product status.  
792 *Remote Sens. Environ.* **83**, 3–15 (2002).
- 793 71. Friedl, M. A. *et al.* MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and  
794 characterization of new datasets. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **114**, 168–182 (2010).
- 795 72. Dalagnol, R; Wagner, FH; Galvão, LS; Oliveira, LE; Aragao, C. *The MANVI product:*  
796 *MODIS (MAIAC) nadir-solar adjusted vegetation indices (EVI and NDVI) for South*  
797 *America.* (2019). doi:10.5281/zenodo.3159488
- 798 73. Almeida, C. T., Oliveira-Júnior, J. F., Delgado, R. C., Cubo, P. & Ramos, M. C.  
799 Spatiotemporal rainfall and temperature trends throughout the Brazilian Legal Amazon,  
800 1973-2013. *Int. J. Climatol.* **37**, 2013–2026 (2017).
- 801 74. Almeida, C. A., Maurano, L. E. P., Valeriano, D. D. M., Camara, G., Vinhas, L., Gomes,  
802 A. R., Monteiro, A. M. V., Souza, A. A. A., Renno, C. D., Silva, D. E., Adami, M.,  
803 Escada, M. I. S., Mota, M., Kampel, S. A. Methodology for Forest Monitoring used in  
804 PRODES and DETER projects. *INPE, version: 2021-01-26. IBI:*  
805 *<8JMKD3MGP3W34R/443H3RE>* (2021). Available at:  
806 <http://urlib.net/rep/8JMKD3MGP3W34R/443H3RE>. (Accessed: 25th April 2021)
- 807 75. Maurano, L. E. P., Escada, M. I. S. & Renno, C. D. Padrões espaciais de desmatamento e  
808 a estimativa da exatidão dos mapas do PRODES para Amazônia Legal Brasileira.  
809 *Ciência Florest.* **29**, 1763–1775 (2019).
- 810 76. Wooster, M. J. *et al.* Satellite remote sensing of active fires: History and current status,  
811 applications and future requirements. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **267**, 112694 (2021).
- 812 77. Setzer, A.W.; Ferreira, N.J.; Morelli, F. Cap. 01, Queimadas e Incêndios Florestais:  
813 Mediante Monitoramento Orbital. in *Programa Queimadas do INPE* (2021).
- 814 78. Implementation, F. M. and M. GOFC/GOLD Global Observation of Forest Cover/Global  
815 Observation of Landcover Dynamics Fire Programme. Available at:  
816 <https://gofcgold.org/>.
- 817 79. FIRMS-NASA. Fire Information for Resource Management System. *FIRMS-NASA*
- 818 80. Sofan, P., Yulianto, F. & Sakti, A. D. Characteristics of False-Positive Active Fires for  
819 Biomass Burning Monitoring in Indonesia from VIIRS Data and Local Geo-Features.  
820 *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Information* **11**, 601 (2022).
- 821 81. MMA. Balanço de execução: PPCDAm e PPCerrado 2016-2020”. (2020). Available at:

822 [http://combateaodesmatamento.mma.gov.br/images/Doc\\_ComissaoExecutiva/Balano-](http://combateaodesmatamento.mma.gov.br/images/Doc_ComissaoExecutiva/Balano-)  
823 [PPCDAm-e-PPCerrado\\_2019\\_aprovado.pdf](http://combateaodesmatamento.mma.gov.br/images/Doc_ComissaoExecutiva/Balano-PPCDAm-e-PPCerrado_2019_aprovado.pdf).

824

825 **Extended Data Fig. 1 | Regions of Influence.** Annual mean regions of influence based on back  
826 trajectories density, calculated by Hysplit trajectory model for each flask, on each vertical profile  
827 along all studied years (2010 to 2018) for the sites SAN (2.9° S 55.0° W), RBA: 9.4° S 67.6° W;  
828 2010-2012 for TAB: 6.0° S 70.1° W; and from 2013 for TEF; 3.4° S 65.6° W) (see Methods).

829

830 **Extended Data Fig. 2 | Annual mean  $\Delta$ VPs per site.** Annual mean  $\Delta$ VPs for each site ALF,  
831 RBA, SAN and TAB\_TEF for the time series (2010–2020), constructed from the VP year mean,  
832 where the background was subtracted from each height, each flask (see methods). The black thick  
833 line represent the 2010-2018 Amazonia mean vertical profiles, the red thick line 2019 mean and  
834 blue thick line 2020 mean.

835

836 **Extended Data Fig. 3 | Amazonia's Deforestation and fire spots time series.** a) Deforestation  
837 limited to the Brazilian Amazonia classified as Legal Amazon (km<sup>2</sup>) by PRODES / INPE<sup>7</sup> since  
838 2000 to 2020; b) Annual infraction notices without geographic coordinates (gray bar) and with  
839 geographic coordinates (orange bar), blue line represents the embargoes and green line represents  
840 seizures, applied by IBAMA for crimes against flora at Legal Amazonia; c) Fire spots limited to  
841 the Brazilian Amazonia classified as Biome Amazonia by BD Queimadas/ INPE<sup>8</sup> since 2000 to  
842 2020.

843

844 **Extended Data Fig. 4 | Spatial fire spot distribution.** Fire spots in Pan-Amazonia are given in  
845 grid cells 0.25°x0.25° and were retrieved from INPE's "Queimadas" wildfire monitoring  
846 program<sup>8</sup>. a) 2019 anomaly compared with the mean fire spot per grid between 2010-18; b) 2020  
847 anomaly compared with the mean fire spot per grid between 2010-18. c) Fire spots detected at

848 Amazonas state from 2010-20. Black line mean 2010-18, grey band denotes the standard  
849 deviation of the monthly mean, red line the 2019 monthly mean, blue line the 2020 monthly  
850 mean. **d)** Fire spots detected at Roraima state from 2010-20.

851  
852 **Extended Data Fig. 5 | Amazonia crops area, cattle and wood exportation.** Increase  
853 replacement of the forest by soybean, corn, beef, wood commerce as a consequence of  
854 deforestation. **a)** Evolution of harvested area of soybean (black line), corn (dashed line)<sup>26</sup>, and  
855 wood exportation (blue line)<sup>25</sup>. **b)** Cattle production evolution inside (black line) and outside  
856 Amazonia, i.e. in others Brazilian states (blue line)<sup>27</sup>. (a) and (b) were built using official data  
857 from Brazilian government.

858  
859 **Extended Data Fig. 6 | Annual mean carbon Fluxes  $FC_{Total}$ , NBE and  $FC_{Fire}$ .** **a)** Separation  
860 of three different areas inside the Amazon Mask (7,256,362 km<sup>2</sup>, purple line) using mean annual  
861 influence regions of all years (2010 to 2018). Region 1: Combined ALF and SAN regions of  
862 Influence, Region 2: Combined RBA and TAB (2010-12) and TEF (2013-18) to compose regions  
863 of Influence 2 and excluding Region 1 for the quantification and composing Amazonia  $\Delta VP$ ;  
864 Region 3: the remaining area outside regions 1 and 2 and inside the purple line. **b)** The annual  
865 mean carbon fluxes total ( $FC_{Total}$ ), net biome Exchange (NBE) and fire ( $FC_{Fire}$ ) were calculated  
866 according to the regional distribution shown on the map a).

867  
868 **Extended Data Fig. 7 | El Nino / La Nina episodes (ONI) and seasonal precipitation and**  
869 **temperature.** **a)** Warm (red) and cold (blue) periods based on a threshold of +/- 0.5oC for the  
870 Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) [3 month running mean of ERSST.v5 SST anomalies in the Niño 3.4  
871 region (5oN-5oS, 120o-170oW)], based on 30-years base periods updated every 5 years<sup>42</sup>. **b)**  
872 Seasonal monthly Amazon mean precipitation mean 2010-18 (solid light blue line), temperature

873 (solid brown line). Grey bar is the standard deviation for the monthly means 2010-18 and dashed  
874 line for P and T 2019 and dotted line for P and T 2020.

875  
876 **Extended Data Fig. 8 | Amazonia carbon Fire and NBE flux 2010-20.** a) Monthly means for  
877 Amazonia Fire carbon flux ( $FC_{\text{Fire}}$ ). Black line for 2010-18 mean, where grey bands denote the  
878 standard deviation of the monthly mean. Red line 2019 and blue line 2020. b) Annual mean  
879 Amazonia total carbon flux (see methods).

880  
881 **Extended Data Fig. 9 | Amazonia results overview.** Summary of Total carbon flux (white  
882 box), Fire carbon flux (red box), Net Biome Exchange (green box) and deforestation per site  
883 (orange box). The boxes are all related to the mean 2010-18 and 2019 pink arrow and 2020 blue  
884 arrow for all fluxes ( $\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) and deforestation ( $\text{km}^2$ ).

885  
886 **Extended Data Table 1 | Summary results for all sites.** Summary for the 4 sites and for the  
887 whole Amazonia presenting the results for total carbon flux ( $FC_{\text{Total}}$ ), fire carbon flux ( $FC_{\text{Fire}}$ ),  
888 net biome exchange ( $FC_{\text{NBE}}$ ), deforestation (\*only for Brazilian Amazon), Fire spots, Burned  
889 area, annual accumulated precipitation, wet season peak mean precipitation (months January,  
890 February and March: JFM), dry season peak mean precipitation (months August, September,  
891 October: ASO), annual temperature, wet season peak mean temperature (JFM) and dry season  
892 peak mean temperature (ASO). For each site and parameters are presented the mean for the years  
893 2010-2018, the mean for 2019 and 2020. Considering the 11-year time series, the results of the  
894 first 5 years mean (2010-2014) and the last 5 years mean (2016-2020) to observe the trends in  
895 changes for carbon flux, on the climatological parameters, deforestation, burned area and fire  
896 spots. For the four sites the parameters are weighted mean based on region of influence, and for  
897 the whole Amazonia the parameter's mean are absolute.