



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/201415/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Sutherland, G.A., Pidgeon, J.P., Lee, H.K.H. et al. (2023) Twisted carotenoids do not support efficient intramolecular singlet fission in the orange carotenoid protein. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 14 (26). pp. 6135-6142. ISSN: 1948-7185

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcllett.3c01139>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Twisted Carotenoids Do Not Support Efficient Intramolecular Singlet Fission in the Orange Carotenoid Protein

George A. Sutherland,¹ James P. Pidgeon,¹ Harrison Ka Hin Lee, Matthew S. Proctor, Andrew Hitchcock, Shuangqing Wang, Dimitri Chekulaev, Wing Chung Tsoi, Matthew P. Johnson, C. Neil Hunter, and Jenny Clark*



Cite This: *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2023, 14, 6135–6142



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Singlet exciton fission is the spin-allowed generation of two triplet electronic excited states from a singlet state. Intramolecular singlet fission has been suggested to occur on individual carotenoid molecules within protein complexes provided that the conjugated backbone is twisted out of plane. However, this hypothesis has been forwarded only in protein complexes containing multiple carotenoids and bacteriochlorophylls in close contact. To test the hypothesis on twisted carotenoids in a “minimal” one-carotenoid system, we study the orange carotenoid protein (OCP). OCP exists in two forms: in its orange form (OCPo), the single bound carotenoid is twisted, whereas in its red form (OCPr), the carotenoid is planar. To enable room-temperature spectroscopy on canthaxanthin-binding OCPo and OCPr without laser-induced photoconversion, we trap them in a trehalose glass. Using transient absorption spectroscopy, we show that there is no evidence of long-lived triplet generation through intramolecular singlet fission despite the canthaxanthin twist in OCPo.



Singlet exciton fission (SF) is the conversion of a spin-0 singlet exciton¹ (or excited singlet state) into a pair of spin-1 triplet excitons.^{2–5} This multiexciton generation process has been studied over the past decade primarily because of its promise to improve solar cell efficiency;^{6–10} one high-energy photon creates two low-energy excited states, which could be harvested by conventional photovoltaic devices in a process minimizing energetic losses due to thermalization. SF has other potential applications for nonlinear optics,^{11–13} organic light-emitting diodes,¹⁴ or even quantum technologies^{15–18} by taking advantage of the virtue that a single photon creates a pair of spin-entangled quantum states. However, despite promising results,^{19,20} practical applications have yet to be realized, in part due to the limited library of materials that undergo SF, none of which is yet ideal.^{4,7}

In the search for other SF materials, the polyenes, “class III” SF materials according to Smith and Michl’s categorization,² form an intriguing materials class. In these materials, the lowest-lying singlet excited state (S_1) has dominant triplet-pair character, denoted ¹(TT) (see refs 3, 21, and 22), and thus demonstrates negligible one-photon absorption from the ground state. S_1 is instead accessed by internal conversion following excitation to the strongly absorbing S_2 state.

This SF class includes conjugated polymers such as polydiacetylene,^{23–25} poly(alkylthiophylenevinylene),^{26–28} a new generation of donor–acceptor singlet fission polymers,^{29–32} quinoidal thiophenes,^{33–37} carbene-based diradicals,³⁸ and antiaromatic core-structured molecules.^{39,40} The polyene family also includes the carotenoids, a large class of

over 1000 naturally occurring molecules,^{41,42} represented here by canthaxanthin (CAN), which forms the subject of this work (see structure in Figure 1a).

In comparison with better-studied “class I” SF materials,^{2,46–49} mostly based on molecules such as pentacene^{50,51} or tetracene,^{52–55} SF in polyenes is less well understood. This is attributable in part to their complex manifold of low-lying triplet-pair states^{56–58} and strong vibronic coupling^{59,60} and also partly due to the sensitivity of the photophysics to conjugation length and molecular geometry. In polyenes, the lowest-lying ¹(TT) state that makes up the dominant contribution of S_1 ^{21,22,61,62} contains tightly bound triplets that are unlikely to easily separate into free triplets⁶³ without additional energy.⁵⁶

Indeed, while intramolecular singlet fission (iSF) has been observed in a variety of long-chain polyenes in solution,^{23–32} unlike the recently designed “class I” iSF systems,^{47–49,64} the triplet pairs in polyenes decay rapidly (ps–ns) to the S_0 ground state.³ Even in carotenoid aggregates, where intermolecular SF occurs between neighboring chromophores,^{65–70} the majority of triplet excited states decay to S_0 surprisingly quickly (within

Received: April 26, 2023

Accepted: June 22, 2023

Published: June 26, 2023






Figure 1. The orange carotenoid protein (OCP) photoswitches from orange (OCPo) to red (OCPr) forms with different carotenoid conformations. The OCP studied here binds a single CAN carotenoid whose skeletal structure is shown in (a). The bound CAN conformation depends on the OCP form, as shown in (b): when CAN is bound in OCPo (green, data from X-ray diffraction structure, PDB entry 4XB5^{43,44}), it has a twisted conformation; when bound in an OCPr N-terminal homologue (blue, data from X-ray diffraction structure of red carotenoid protein (RCP), PDB entry 4XB4^{43,45}), it is planar. (c) In solution, OCPo converts to OCPr under white-light illumination ($1600 \mu\text{mol}$ of photons $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), resulting in a change in color (see the inset) and absorbance spectrum (main panel). The spectra were taken in 1 min intervals under constant white-light illumination. In the dark, OCPr converts back to OCPo (see Figure S1). The optical path length for solution measurements was 1 mm.

a nanosecond).^{3,65,70} In isolated carotenoids in solution, the dominant deactivation channel from the photoexcited S_2 state is internal conversion to S_1 . To our knowledge, there is no evidence that isolated carotenoids in solution demonstrate iSF.

Nevertheless, similarly to recent reports that torsion or twisting along a molecular backbone can allow both rapid iSF and formation of long-lived triplets in “class I” SF materials,^{48,64} iSF along a single *twisted* carotenoid chain to produce long-lived (μs) triplets has been suggested to occur in some photosynthetic light-harvesting complexes (LHCs).^{71–75} In these systems, the protein binds the carotenoid, so that it is constrained in a twisted geometry. This twist reportedly stabilizes a triplet at either end of the molecule.^{71,73}

This hypothesis was initially proposed to explain the presence of SF in the light-harvesting antenna (LH1) from *Rhodospirillum rubrum* because of the large intermolecular distances between neighboring carotenoids ($>10 \text{ \AA}$).⁷¹ More recently, Yu et al.⁷³ observed a correlation between the presence of SF and the so-called ν_4 resonance Raman peak ($\sim 980 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) in LHCs (LH1-RC and LH2) from *Thermochromatium tepidum* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.1. The intensity of ν_4 is related to carotenoid backbone twisting,^{73,76} so this finding led to the conclusion that backbone twisting of the carotenoid is the “structural determinant” that enables iSF.⁷³

To test the hypothesis that SF can occur along a single twisted carotenoid chain, we examined a protein that binds a single carotenoid: the orange carotenoid protein (OCP). In OCP the protein exists in two forms, orange (OCPo) and red (OCPr), with the carotenoid in either a twisted or planar conformation, respectively (see Figure 1). By studying both forms with the protein fixed in a trehalose–sucrose glass, we demonstrate that a twisted backbone is not sufficient to enable iSF in a protein-bound carotenoid. In light of recent work understanding magnetic field effects (MFEs) in SF systems,^{77,78} we also discuss published reports of MFEs in

LHCs from purple bacteria^{79–84} and find that the reported MFEs are also inconsistent with iSF. Overall, we conclude that iSF is not supported on carotenoids bound to the OCP and is unlikely to occur in LHCs.

In this study, the OCP was produced in *Escherichia coli* by virtue of a dual plasmid system comprising pET28a with the *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 OCP gene (slr1963) and pAC-CANTH_{Hi}, which provides near-100% accumulation of CAN.⁸⁵ Carotenoid-containing protein was isolated according to the method described in Supporting Information (SI) section S1.1.

In solution, upon illumination with white light, the dark-adapted OCPo form undergoes a conformational switch to the OCPr form, with a concomitant red shift of its steady-state absorbance spectrum due to the effective conjugation length extension of the bound carotenoid^{76,86–88} (see Figure 1c). The change is reversible, with back-conversion from OCPr to OCPo occurring in the dark (see Figure S1).

Previously published X-ray diffraction structures by Leverenz, Sutter, and co-workers⁴³ show that the conjugated backbone of the bound carotenoid is twisted out of the plane of conjugation in OCPo (PDB entry 4XB5⁴⁴), while in OCPr N-terminal domain homologues such as red carotenoid protein (RCP) it is relatively planar (PDB entry 4XB4⁴⁵). The difference between the two conformations of CAN is depicted in Figure 1b using data from X-ray diffraction structures.⁴³ The different protein conformations containing a twisted and nontwisted form of CAN provide an uncomplicated model system to study the role of carotenoid geometry on iSF.

To avoid the problems associated with using spectroscopy to probe a light-activated conformational switch, we prevent the conformational change by trapping the protein in either its OCPo or OCPr conformation in a trehalose–sucrose glass as previously described.⁷⁰ This glass matrix prevents OCPo \rightleftharpoons OCPr conversion, as demonstrated in Figure 2a,b, and allows us to probe each conformation in isolation at room temperature without altering its conformation or photo-physics.⁸⁹

To confirm the twisted/planar conformations of CAN in the OCPo/OCPr glass films, we turn to resonance Raman spectroscopy. As described above,^{73,76} the presence of a so-called ν_4 peak at $\sim 980 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the resonance Raman spectrum of carotenoids (due to out-of-plane C–H wagging modes⁹⁰) is generally associated with a backbone twist of the carotenoid.^{73,76} Figure 2c shows the resonance Raman spectra of OCPo (blue) and OCPr (green). Consistent with previous measurements on an echinenone-binding OCP,⁷⁶ we observe a larger twist-induced ν_4 peak in OCPo than in OCPr, confirming that the native geometry is maintained in trehalose-encapsulated OCPo and OCPr.

Having established that the CAN backbone is more twisted in OCPo than in OCPr, we test the suggestion that such a twist is the determinant for iSF reactivity.^{72,73,75} Picosecond transient absorption spectra and dynamics are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Global lifetime analysis of the data is shown in Figures S5 and S6, but simply from inspection of the raw data in Figure 3 we see that all spectral features in both OCPo (green) and OCPr (blue) decay to $<1\%$ of the initial population within 30 ps. Importantly, we observe no obvious formation of SF-generated triplets. Instead, both OCPo and OCPr broadly demonstrate the expected isolated carotenoid behavior characterized by rapid internal conversion from S_2 to S_1 (as evidenced by the instrument-limited decay of




Figure 2. OCPo and OCPPr trapped in trehalose glass films. The steady-state absorbance spectra of the OCPo film (a) were taken in 1 min intervals under constant white-light illumination ($1600 \mu\text{mol of photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-2}$), and the spectra of the OCPPr film (b) were taken in 1 min intervals at 22°C in darkness. No changes in spectra were observed over 900 min. (c) The resonance Raman spectra of OCPo (green) and OCPPr (blue) films in trehalose glass show vibrational peaks typical of carotenoids, labeled following convention. The spectra show a significant difference in the intensity of the ν_4 vibrational peak between OCPo and OCPPr and a shift of the ν_1 peak. The Raman measurements were performed by using a 532 nm laser. Data are averages of two successive scans and normalized to the peak ν_1 intensity.




Figure 3. Transient absorption spectra of CAN-binding OCPo (a) and OCPPr (b) trapped in trehalose films. The spectral time slices have been averaged between the times indicated in the figure and are consistent with an $S_2 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ decay scheme in both cases, with no discernible long-lived features (see also global lifetime analysis of the data in SI section S4.2). The films were excited with 532 nm, 5 kHz, ~ 100 fs, and $200 \mu\text{J cm}^{-2}$ pump pulses.

an excited-state absorption (ESA) in the near-infrared region) and subsequent decay of S_1 -like states to the ground state.




Figure 4. Transient absorption dynamics of CAN-binding OCPo (a) and OCPPr (b) in trehalose glass. The dynamics have been averaged between the wavelengths indicated in the legend and demonstrate that no discernible long-lived features are seen. The films were excited with 532 nm, 5 kHz, ~ 100 fs, and $200 \mu\text{J cm}^{-2}$ pulses. Note that the plots have a linear time axis up to 1 ps and subsequently logarithmic up to 40 ps.

Therefore, a twist along the carotenoid backbone is not sufficient to enable iSF.

The lack of iSF in the protein-twisted carotenoid in OCPo appears to question the currently accepted hypothesis that the determinant for iSF in carotenoids is a twist along its backbone.⁷³ Indeed, while the carotenoid environments in OCP and photosynthetic complexes are very different, the carotenoids in OCPo and LHCs seem to demonstrate similar backbone twists (see Figure S3 and the SI text for further comparison).⁷³ Therefore, the lack of iSF in OCPo suggests that it would be worth revisiting the mechanism of SF in purple bacterial LHCs, particularly considering recent work on the nature of intermediate triplet-pair states involved in SF, as probed by magnetic-field-dependent measurements.^{77,78} We therefore return to the original studies of SF in these LHC systems and discuss them in light of this recent work.

Singlet fission in LHCs was first observed in a series of experiments that probed their magnetic-field-dependent fluorescence.^{79–81} Representative data for oxidized cells from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.1 from ref 79 are reproduced in Figure 5; similar behavior has been reported for whole cells and isolated LHCs from several strains of purple bacte-




Figure 5. Magnetic field effect (MFE) of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. Data were taken from ref 79. The data are plotted as the normalized change in fluorescence, $\Delta F/F$ (detected at 890 nm), as a function of the magnetic field strength upon 515 nm excitation in oxidized cells of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.1, with 1 mM $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ added. $A_{850-960} = 0.35$; optical path length = 2 mm.

ria.^{79–81,84} The shape of the magnetic field effect (MFE) in Figure 5, with an initial dip in fluorescence as the field increases from 0 to 40 mT and then a rise in fluorescence to saturation beyond 100 mT, is a characteristic signature of SF.

This behavior is very well described by the kinetic model of SF by Johnson and Merrifield,^{51,91–94} published in the 1960s and 1970s. Recent work^{77,78} shows that this low-field Merrifield-type MFE behavior can only be observed when the intertriplet exchange interaction J is negligible or, more precisely, when $J \ll D$,⁹⁵ where D is the intratriplet dipolar zero-field splitting parameter. In carotenoids, and indeed most organic chromophores, D is relatively small, on the order of 4–10 μeV .^{96,97} If J increases beyond D , the MFE has a different behavior, showing dips in fluorescence at much higher field strengths.^{77,78,98,99} Therefore, to determine whether SF along a single carotenoid chain is capable of producing the measured MFEs in LHCs, we must estimate the values of J and D .

Before doing so, we make several observations about the carotenoids involved in SF in LHCs of purple bacteria: (1) the $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ absorbance spectra of the carotenoids in light-harvesting antenna are similar to those of their all-*trans* forms in organic solvent and depend sensitively on the carotenoid conjugation length.^{74,100} A full break in conjugation along the chain would lead to a dramatic blue shift of the carotenoid absorption feature that is not observed. (2) The carotenoid $T_1 \rightarrow T_n$ excited-state absorption feature seen in transient absorption of LHCs^{71,72,74,75} is very similar to that seen in aggregated carotenoids of comparable conjugation lengths forming triplets by intermolecular SF.^{65–67,70} The $T_1 \rightarrow T_n$ feature is also sensitive to the carotenoid conjugation length,^{74,101,102} and a conjugation break along the chain would similarly lead to a blue shift that is not observed. (3) The dipolar D and E parameters of the SF-generated triplets in LHCs from transient electron parametric resonance (EPR) spectroscopy are similar to full-chain triplet D and E parameters rather than to their half-chain alternatives.⁸⁴ These observations suggest that the conjugation along the chain is not broken, even in the LHC antenna protein, and therefore that the triplets at either end of the chain maintain orbital overlap and, presumably, non-negligible J .

The exchange interaction, J , between triplets within a pair is equal to one-sixth of the energy difference between the pure singlet triplet pair, denoted $^1(\text{TT})$, and the pure quintet, $^5(\text{TT})$.³ In addition, to first approximation, the energy of $^5(\text{TT})$ is equal to twice the free triplet energy.^{3,56,103} In carotenoids, as described above, the lowest-energy singlet state (S_1) is predominantly a pure singlet $^1(\text{TT})$ state. Therefore, a comparison between twice the energy of a triplet on half a chain against the energy of S_1 on a full chain provides an indication of the exchange interaction.

Recent high-level density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) calculations of the Pariser–Parr–Pople–Peierls Hamiltonian⁵⁶ show that $2 \times E(T_1)$ for a half chain is higher in energy than S_1 ($\approx ^1(\text{TT})$) for a full chain at all conjugation lengths. This is supported by experimentally determined energies: for diphenylhexatriene with $N = 5$ conjugated double bonds, $2 \times E(T_1) = 3.02 \pm 0.1$ eV,^{101,104} while for spheroidene with twice the number of double bonds ($N = 10$), $E(S_1) = 1.77$ eV.¹⁰⁵ This would indicate an exchange interaction of $J = 0.2$ eV, which is orders of magnitude larger than the dipolar parameter $D \sim 4\text{--}10$ μeV .^{96,97} These energies indicate that the triplets within $^1(\text{TT})$ should be strongly exchange-coupled.

The triplets within a single carotenoid chain are therefore exchange-coupled ($J \gg D$) even in a protein that twists the carotenoid backbone,⁷³ as no breaks in conjugation along the carotenoid chain have been observed (i.e., no observable shifts in absorption spectra⁷⁴ or changes in dipolar D and E parameters⁸⁴). Therefore, MFEs such as those reproduced in Figure 5, that were the initial proof of SF in purple bacteria, cannot be explained with an intramolecular model of SF. We speculate that the mechanism for singlet fission in light-harvesting complexes must be intermolecular, possibly occurring via the neighboring bacteriochlorophyll a molecules in the protein complex.

We conclude that singlet fission (SF) to produce long-lived triplets does not occur along a single twisted carotenoid chain in the OCP and is unlikely to occur on a single carotenoid chain in purple bacterial light-harvesting complexes (LHCs), contrary to the current notion.^{71–75} We conclude this because (1) immobilized OCPo—an uncomplicated, minimal carotenoprotein—shows similar twisted carotenoid geometry to LHCs but shows no evidence of SF and (2) the MFEs that identified SF in purple bacteria are irreconcilable with iSF without a significant break in conjugation (which is not observed in LHCs). These findings therefore call into question the mechanism of SF observed in LHCs.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Data Availability Statement

Data are available on the University of Sheffield's repository, ORDA.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcllett.3c01139>.

Experimental methods, including canthaxanthin-binding OCP production, OCPo and OCP_r in sugar glasses preparation, spectroscopic setups, and data analysis procedures; back-conversion of solution OCP_r to OCPo in the dark; comparison of the resonance Raman spectra of OCPo and OCP_r of this work against previously published spectra of purple bacterial LHCs; resonance Raman spectrum of blank trehalose; transient absorption pump spectrum; results of global lifetime analysis on the transient absorption data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Jenny Clark – Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0001-9664-967X; Phone: +44 (0)114 222 3526; Email: jenny.clark@sheffield.ac.uk

Authors

George A. Sutherland – Plants, Photosynthesis and Soil, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0002-6319-4637

James P. Pidgeon – Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0002-1509-5343

Harrison Ka Hin Lee – SPECIFIC, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea SA1 8EN, U.K.

Matthew S. Proctor – Plants, Photosynthesis and Soil, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K.

Andrew Hitchcock – *Plants, Photosynthesis and Soil, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K.*; orcid.org/0000-0001-6572-434X

Shuangqing Wang – *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, U.K.*

Dimitri Chekulaev – *Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7HF, U.K.*

Wing Chung Tsoi – *SPECIFIC, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea SA1 8EN, U.K.*; orcid.org/0000-0003-3836-5139

Matthew P. Johnson – *Plants, Photosynthesis and Soil, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K.*

C. Neil Hunter – *Plants, Photosynthesis and Soil, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, U.K.*; orcid.org/0000-0003-2533-9783

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcllett.3c01139>

Author Contributions

[†]G.A.S. and J.P.P. contributed equally. G.A.S. conceived the study. G.A.S., J.P.P., S.W. and J.C. designed the experiments. G.A.S., M.S.P. and A.H. prepared protein samples under the supervision of M.P.J. and C.N.H. Absorbance measurements were performed by G.A.S. Resonance Raman measurements were performed by H.K.H.L. under the supervision of W.C.T. Transient absorption was conducted by J.P.P. and S.W. within the Lord Porter Laser Facility, with D.C. providing facility management. J.P.P. and G.A.S. analyzed the data. J.P.P., G.A.S. and J.C. wrote the manuscript and prepared the figures with input from all authors.

Funding

G.A.S. and C.N.H. acknowledge ERC Synergy Grant 854126. J.P.P. thanks the EPSRC for support through a Doctoral Training Partnership Scholarship (EP/R513313/1). The authors thank the EPSRC for a Capital Equipment Award (EP/L022613/1 and EP/R042802/1), which funded the Lord Porter Laser facility used in this study. J.C., C.N.H., G.A.S., and S.W. thank the EPSRC for funding through EP/S002103/1 and EP/T012455/1. J.C. and S.W. also thank the EPSRC for funding through EP/N014022/1. M.S.P. and M.P.J. were supported by Leverhulme Trust Award RPG-2019-045. A.H. acknowledges The Royal Society (Award URF\R1\191548). H.K.H.L. and W.C.T. acknowledge the SPECIFIC Innovation and Knowledge Centre for providing financial support (Grant EP/N020863/1).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank James D. Shipp, Sayantan Bhattacharya, and David G. Bossanyi for assistance with transient absorption measurements.

REFERENCES

- (1) Bardeen, C. J. The Structure and Dynamics of Molecular Excitons. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2014**, *65*, 127–148.
- (2) Smith, M. B.; Michl, J. Recent Advances in Singlet Fission. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2013**, *64*, 361–386.
- (3) Musser, A. J.; Clark, J. Triplet-Pair States in Organic Semiconductors. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2019**, *70*, 323–351.
- (4) Ullrich, T.; Munz, D.; Guldi, D. M. Unconventional Singlet Fission Materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2021**, *50*, 3485–3518.

(5) Kim, H.; Zimmerman, P. M. Coupled Double Triplet State in Singlet Fission. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 30083–30094.

(6) Hanna, M. C.; Nozik, A. J. Solar Conversion Efficiency of Photovoltaic and Photoelectrolysis Cells with Carrier Multiplication Absorbers. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2006**, *100*, 074510.

(7) Rao, A.; Friend, R. H. Harnessing Singlet Exciton Fission to Break the Shockley–Queisser Limit. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2017**, *2*, 17063.

(8) Ehrler, B.; Yanai, N.; Nienhaus, L. Up- and Down-Conversion in Molecules and Materials. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *154*, 070401.

(9) Daiber, B.; van den Hoven, K.; Futscher, M. H.; Ehrler, B. Realistic Efficiency Limits for Singlet-Fission Silicon Solar Cells. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2021**, *6*, 2800–2808.

(10) Ehrler, B.; Ho-Baillie, A. W. Y.; Hutter, E. M.; Milić, J. V.; Tayebjee, M. J. Y.; Wilson, M. W. B. Scalable Ways to Break the Efficiency Limit of Single-Junction Solar Cells. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2022**, *120*, 010402.

(11) Liu, Y.; Zhang, C.; Wang, R.; Zhang, B.; Tan, Z.; Wang, X.; Xiao, M. Large Optical Nonlinearity Induced by Singlet Fission in Pentacene Films. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6222–6226.

(12) Zhao, M.; Liu, K.; Zhang, Y.-D.; Wang, Q.; Li, Z.-G.; Song, Y.-L.; Zhang, H.-L. Singlet Fission Induced Giant Optical Limiting Responses of Pentacene Derivatives. *Mater. Horiz.* **2015**, *2*, 619–624.

(13) Tonami, T.; Nagami, T.; Okada, K.; Yoshida, W.; Nakano, M. Singlet-Fission-Induced Enhancement of Third-Order Nonlinear Optical Properties of Pentacene Dimers. *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4*, 16181–16190.

(14) Nagata, R.; Nakanotani, H.; Potscavage, W. J.; Adachi, C. Exploiting Singlet Fission in Organic Light-Emitting Diodes. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1801484.

(15) Teichen, P. E.; Eaves, J. D. Collective Aspects of Singlet Fission in Molecular Crystals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *143*, 044118.

(16) Bardeen, C. J. Time Dependent Correlations of Entangled States with Nondegenerate Branches and Possible Experimental Realization Using Singlet Fission. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151*, 124503.

(17) Marcus, M.; Barford, W. Triplet-Triplet Decoherence in Singlet Fission. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *102*, 035134.

(18) Smyser, K. E.; Eaves, J. D. Singlet Fission for Quantum Information and Quantum Computing: The Parallel JDE Model. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 18480.

(19) Einzinger, M.; Wu, T.; Kompalla, J. F.; Smith, H. L.; Perkinson, C. F.; Nienhaus, L.; Wieghold, S.; Congreve, D. N.; Kahn, A.; Bawendi, M. G.; Baldo, M. A. Sensitization of Silicon by Singlet Exciton Fission in Tetracene. *Nature* **2019**, *571*, 90–94.

(20) Daiber, B.; Maiti, S.; Ferro, S. M.; Bodin, J.; van den Boom, A. F. J.; Luxembourg, S. L.; Kinge, S.; Pujari, S. P.; Zuilhof, H.; Siebbeles, L. D. A.; Ehrler, B. Change in Tetracene Polymorphism Facilitates Triplet Transfer in Singlet Fission-Sensitized Silicon Solar Cells. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 8703–8709.

(21) Tavan, P.; Schulten, K. Electronic Excitations in Finite and Infinite Polyenes. *Phys. Rev. B* **1987**, *36*, 4337–4358.

(22) Schmidt, M.; Tavan, P. Electronic Excitations in Long Polyenes Revisited. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *136*, 124309.

(23) Kraabel, B.; Hulin, D.; Aslangul, C.; Lapersonne-Meyer, C.; Schott, M. Triplet Exciton Generation, Transport and Relaxation in Isolated Polydiacetylene Chains: Subpicosecond Pump-Probe Experiments. *Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *227*, 83–98.

(24) Pandya, R.; Gu, Q.; Cheminal, A.; Chen, R. Y.; Booker, E. P.; Soucek, R.; Schott, M.; Legrand, L.; Mathevet, F.; Greenham, N. C.; Barisien, T.; Musser, A. J.; Chin, A. W.; Rao, A. Optical Projection and Spatial Separation of Spin-Entangled Triplet Pairs from the S_1 ($2^1 A_g^-$) State of Pi-Conjugated Systems. *Chem* **2020**, *6*, 2826–2851.

(25) Lanzani, G.; Cerullo, G.; Zavelani-Rossi, M.; De Silvestri, S.; Comoretto, D.; Musso, G.; Dellepiane, G. Triplet-Exciton Generation Mechanism in a New Soluble (Red-Phase) Polydiacetylene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2001**, *87*, 187402.

(26) Musser, A. J.; Al-Hashimi, M.; Maiuri, M.; Brida, D.; Heeney, M.; Cerullo, G.; Friend, R. H.; Clark, J. Activated Singlet Exciton Fission in a Semiconducting Polymer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 12747–12754.

- (27) Lafalce, E.; Jiang, X.; Zhang, C. Generation and Recombination Kinetics of Optical Excitations in Poly(3-dodecylthiophenevinylene) with Controlled Regioregularity. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 13139–13148.
- (28) Musser, A. J.; Al-Hashimi, M.; Heeney, M.; Clark, J. Heavy-Atom Effects on Intramolecular Singlet Fission in a Conjugated Polymer. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *151*, 044902.
- (29) Busby, E.; Xia, J.; Wu, Q.; Low, J. Z.; Song, R.; Miller, J. R.; Zhu, X.-Y.; Campos, L. M.; Sfeir, M. Y. A Design Strategy for Intramolecular Singlet Fission Mediated by Charge-Transfer States in Donor-Acceptor Organic Materials. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *14*, 426–433.
- (30) Kasai, Y.; Tamai, Y.; Ohkita, H.; Bente, H.; Ito, S. Ultrafast Singlet Fission in a Push–Pull Low-Bandgap Polymer Film. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 15980–15983.
- (31) Fallon, K. J.; et al. Exploiting Excited-State Aromaticity To Design Highly Stable Singlet Fission Materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 13867–13876.
- (32) Huynh, U. N. V.; Basel, T. P.; Ehrenfreund, E.; Li, G.; Yang, Y.; Mazumdar, S.; Vardeny, Z. V. Transient Magnetophotoinduced Absorption Studies of Photoexcitations in π -Conjugated Donor-Acceptor Copolymers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, 017401.
- (33) Casado, J.; Ponce Ortiz, R.; López Navarrete, J. T. Quinoidal Oligothiophenes: New Properties Behind an Unconventional Electronic Structure. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 5672–5686.
- (34) Varnavski, O.; Abeyasinghe, N.; Aragón, J.; Serrano-Pérez, J. J.; Ortí, E.; López Navarrete, J. T.; Takimiya, K.; Casanova, D.; Casado, J.; Goodson, T. High Yield Ultrafast Intramolecular Singlet Exciton Fission in a Quinoidal Bithiophene. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 1375–1384.
- (35) Chien, A. D.; Molina, A. R.; Abeyasinghe, N.; Varnavski, O. P.; Goodson, T.; Zimmerman, P. M. Structure and Dynamics of the 1 (TT) State in a Quinoidal Bithiophene: Characterizing a Promising Intramolecular Singlet Fission Candidate. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 28258–28268.
- (36) Kim, H.; Keller, B.; Ho-Wu, R.; Abeyasinghe, N.; Vázquez, R. J.; Goodson, T.; Zimmerman, P. M. Enacting Two-Electron Transfer from a Double-Triplet State of Intramolecular Singlet Fission. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 7760–7763.
- (37) Kawata, S.; Pu, Y.-J.; Saito, A.; Kurashige, Y.; Beppu, T.; Katagiri, H.; Hada, M.; Kido, J. Singlet Fission of Non-Polycyclic Aromatic Molecules in Organic Photovoltaics. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 1585–1590.
- (38) Ullrich, T.; Pinter, P.; Messelberger, J.; Haines, P.; Kaur, R.; Hansmann, M. M.; Munz, D.; Guldi, D. M. Singlet Fission in Carbene-Derived Diradicaloids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 7906–7914.
- (39) Wu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Chen, J.; Zhang, G.; Yao, J.; Zhang, D.; Fu, H. Intramolecular Singlet Fission in an Antiaromatic Polycyclic Hydrocarbon. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 9400–9404.
- (40) Liu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Wang, L.; Wang, L.; Yao, J.; Fu, H. Efficient Triplet Pair Separation from Intramolecular Singlet Fission in Dibenzopentalene Derivatives. *Sci. China: Chem.* **2019**, *62*, 1037–1043.
- (41) Canniffe, D. P.; Hitchcock, A. In *Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry III*, 3rd ed.; Jez, J., Ed.; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2021; Vol. 2; pp 163–185.
- (42) Yabuzaki, J. Carotenoids Database: Structures, Chemical Fingerprints and Distribution among Organisms. *Database* **2017**, *2017*, bax004.
- (43) Leverenz, R. L.; Sutter, M.; Wilson, A.; Gupta, S.; Thurotte, A.; Bourcier de Carbon, C.; Petzold, C. J.; Ralston, C.; Perreau, F.; Kirilovsky, D.; Kerfeld, C. A. A 12 Å Carotenoid Translocation in a Photoswitch Associated with Cyanobacterial Photoprotection. *Science* **2015**, *348*, 1463–1466.
- (44) Kerfeld, C. A.; Sutter, M.; Leverenz, R. L. 4XB5: Structure of Orange Carotenoid Protein Binding Canthaxanthin. *Protein Data Bank*, 2014. DOI: 10.2210/pdb4XB5/pdb.
- (45) Kerfeld, C. A.; Sutter, M.; Leverenz, R. L. 4XB4: Structure of the N-Terminal Domain of OCP Binding Canthaxanthin. *Protein Data Bank*, 2014. DOI: 10.2210/pdb4XB4/pdb.
- (46) Yong, C. K.; et al. The Entangled Triplet Pair State in Acene and Heteroacene Materials. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15953.
- (47) Pun, A. B.; Asadpoorardarvish, A.; Kumarasamy, E.; Tayebjee, M. J. Y.; Niesner, D.; McCamey, D. R.; Sanders, S. N.; Campos, L. M.; Sfeir, M. Y. Ultra-Fast Intramolecular Singlet Fission to Persistent Multiexcitons by Molecular Design. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 821–828.
- (48) Korovina, N. V.; Chang, C. H.; Johnson, J. C. Spatial Separation of Triplet Excitons Drives Endothermic Singlet Fission. *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, *12*, 391–398.
- (49) Wang, Z.; Liu, H.; Xie, X.; Zhang, C.; Wang, R.; Chen, L.; Xu, Y.; Ma, H.; Fang, W.; Yao, Y.; Sang, H.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Xiao, M. Free-Triplet Generation with Improved Efficiency in Tetracene Oligomers Through Spatially Separated Triplet Pair States. *Nat. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 559–567.
- (50) Wilson, M. W. B.; Rao, A.; Clark, J.; Kumar, R. S. S.; Brida, D.; Cerullo, G.; Friend, R. H. Ultrafast Dynamics of Exciton Fission in Polycrystalline Pentacene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 11830–11833.
- (51) Bossanyi, D. G.; Matthiesen, M.; Wang, S.; Smith, J. A.; Kilbride, R. C.; Shipp, J. D.; Chekulaev, D.; Holland, E.; Anthony, J. E.; Zaumseil, J.; Musser, A. J.; Clark, J. Emissive Spin-0 Triplet-Pairs Are a Direct Product of Triplet–Triplet Annihilation in Pentacene Single Crystals and Anthradithiophene Films. *Nat. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 163–171.
- (52) Burdett, J. J.; Piland, G. B.; Bardeen, C. J. Magnetic Field Effects and the Role of Spin States in Singlet Fission. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *585*, 1–10.
- (53) Piland, G. B.; Bardeen, C. J. How Morphology Affects Singlet Fission in Crystalline Tetracene. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 1841–1846.
- (54) Tayebjee, M. J. Y.; Clady, R. G. C. R.; Schmidt, T. W. The Exciton Dynamics in Tetracene Thin Films. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 14797–14805.
- (55) Wilson, M. W. B.; Rao, A.; Johnson, K.; Gélinas, S.; di Pietro, R.; Clark, J.; Friend, R. H. Temperature-Independent Singlet Exciton Fission in Tetracene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16680–16688.
- (56) Valentine, D. J.; Manawadu, D.; Barford, W. Higher-Energy Triplet-Pair States in Polyenes and Their Role in Intramolecular Singlet Fission. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *102*, 125107.
- (57) Manawadu, D.; Valentine, D. J.; Marcus, M.; Barford, W. Singlet Triplet-Pair Production and Possible Singlet-Fission in Carotenoids. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *13*, 1344–1349.
- (58) Barford, W. Theory of the Dark State of Polyenes and Carotenoids. *Phys. Rev. B* **2022**, *106*, 035201.
- (59) Balevičius, V.; Abramavicius, D.; Polivka, T.; Galestian Pour, A.; Hauer, J. A Unified Picture of S* in Carotenoids. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 3347–3352.
- (60) Balevičius, V.; Wei, T.; Di Tommaso, D.; Abramavicius, D.; Hauer, J.; Polivka, T.; Duffy, C. D. P. The Full Dynamics of Energy Relaxation in Large Organic Molecules: From Photo-Excitation to Solvent Heating. *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 4792–4804.
- (61) Taffet, E. J.; Fassioli, F.; Toa, Z. S. D.; Beljonne, D.; Scholes, G. D. Uncovering Dark Multichromophoric States in Peridinin–Chlorophyll–Protein. *J. R. Soc., Interface.* **2020**, *17*, 20190736.
- (62) Barford, W.; Bursill, R. J.; Lavrentiev, M. Y. Density-Matrix Renormalization-Group Calculations of Excited States of Linear Polyenes. *Phys. Rev. B* **2001**, *63*, 195108.
- (63) Polak, D. W.; Musser, A. J.; Sutherland, G. A.; Auty, A.; Branchi, F.; Dzurnak, B.; Chidgey, J.; Cerullo, G.; Hunter, C. N.; Clark, J. Band-Edge Excitation of Carotenoids Removes S* Revealing Triplet-Pair Contributions to the S₁ Absorption Spectrum. *arXiv (Physics:Chemical Physics)*, January 15, 2019, 1901.04900, ver. 1. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04900> (accessed 2023-04-26).
- (64) Yablon, L. M.; Sanders, S. N.; Miyazaki, K.; Kumarasamy, E.; He, G.; Choi, B.; Ananth, N.; Sfeir, M. Y.; Campos, L. M. Singlet

Fission and Triplet Pair Recombination in Bipentacenes with a Twist. *Mater. Horiz.* **2022**, *9*, 462–470.

(65) Musser, A. J.; Maiuri, M.; Brida, D.; Cerullo, G.; Friend, R. H.; Clark, J. The Nature of Singlet Exciton Fission in Carotenoid Aggregates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5130–5139.

(66) Zhang, D.; Tan, L.; Dong, J.; Yi, J.; Wang, P.; Zhang, J. Structure and Excitation Dynamics of β -Carotene Aggregates in Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide Micelle. *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.* **2018**, *34*, 643–648.

(67) Chang, H.-T.; Chang, Y.-Q.; Han, R.-M.; Wang, P.; Zhang, J.-P.; Skibsted, L. H. Singlet Fission Reaction of Light-Exposed β -Carotene Bound to Bovine Serum Albumin. A Novel Mechanism in Protection of Light-Exposed Tissue by Dietary Carotenoids. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 6058–6062.

(68) Wang, C.; Tauber, M. J. High-Yield Singlet Fission in a Zeaxanthin Aggregate Observed by Picosecond Resonance Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 13988–13991.

(69) Wang, C.; Schlamadinger, D. E.; Desai, V.; Tauber, M. J. Triplet Excitons of Carotenoids Formed by Singlet Fission in a Membrane. *ChemPhysChem* **2011**, *12*, 2891–2894.

(70) Sutherland, G. A.; Polak, D.; Swainsbury, D. J. K.; Wang, S.; Spano, F. C.; Auman, D. B.; Bossanyi, D. G.; Pidgeon, J. P.; Hitchcock, A.; Musser, A. J.; Anthony, J. E.; Dutton, P. L.; Clark, J.; Hunter, C. N. A Thermostable Protein Matrix for Spectroscopic Analysis of Organic Semiconductors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 13898–13907.

(71) Gradinaru, C. C.; Kennis, J. T. M.; Papagiannakis, E.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Cogdell, R. J.; Fleming, G. R.; Niederman, R. A.; van Grondelle, R. An Unusual Pathway of Excitation Energy Deactivation in Carotenoids: Singlet-to-Triplet Conversion on an Ultrafast Timescale in a Photosynthetic Antenna. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2001**, *98*, 2364–2369.

(72) Papagiannakis, E.; Kennis, J. T. M.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Cogdell, R. J.; van Grondelle, R. An Alternative Carotenoid-to-Bacteriochlorophyll Energy Transfer Pathway in Photosynthetic Light Harvesting. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2002**, *99*, 6017–6022.

(73) Yu, J.; Fu, L.-M.; Yu, L.-J.; Shi, Y.; Wang, P.; Wang-Otomo, Z.-Y.; Zhang, J.-P. Carotenoid Singlet Fission Reactions in Bacterial Light Harvesting Complexes As Revealed by Triplet Excitation Profiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 15984–15993.

(74) Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Swainsbury, D. J. K.; Martin, E. C.; Hunter, C. N.; Blankenship, R. E. Origin of the S* Excited State Feature of Carotenoids in Light-Harvesting Complex 1 from Purple Photosynthetic Bacteria. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2017**, *121*, 7571–7585.

(75) Zhang, Y.; Qi, C.-H.; Yamano, N.; Wang, P.; Yu, L.-J.; Wang-Otomo, Z.-Y.; Zhang, J.-P. Carotenoid Single-Molecular Singlet Fission and the Photoprotection of a Bacteriochlorophyll b-Type Core Light-Harvesting Antenna. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *13*, 3534–3541.

(76) Kish, E.; Pinto, M. M. M.; Kirilovsky, D.; Spezia, R.; Robert, B. Echinonone Vibrational Properties: From Solvents to the Orange Carotenoid Protein. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **2015**, *1847*, 1044–1054.

(77) Bayliss, S. L.; Weiss, L. R.; Rao, A.; Friend, R. H.; Chepelienskii, A. D.; Greenham, N. C. Spin Signatures of Exchange-Coupled Triplet Pairs Formed by Singlet Fission. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *94*, 045204.

(78) Bossanyi, D. G.; Sasaki, Y.; Wang, S.; Chekulaev, D.; Kimizuka, N.; Yanai, N.; Clark, J. Spin Statistics for Triplet–Triplet Annihilation Upconversion: Exchange Coupling, Intermolecular Orientation, and Reverse Intersystem Crossing. *JACS Au* **2021**, *1*, 2188–2201.

(79) Kingma, H.; van Grondelle, R.; Duysens, L. Magnetic-Field Effects in Photosynthetic Bacteria. I. Magnetic-Field-Induced Bacteriochlorophyll Emission Changes in the Reaction Center and the Antenna of *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, *Rhodospseudomonas sphaeroides* and *Prosthecochloris aestuarii*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **1985**, *808*, 363–382.

(80) Kingma, H.; van Grondelle, R.; Duysens, L. Magnetic-Field Effects in Photosynthetic Bacteria. II. Formation of Triplet States in the Reaction Center and the Antenna of *Rhodospirillum rubrum* and

Rhodospseudomonas sphaeroides. Magnetic-Field Effects. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **1985**, *808*, 383–399.

(81) Rademaker, H.; Hoff, A. J.; Van Grondelle, R.; Duysens, L. N. Carotenoid Triplet Yields in Normal and Deuterated *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **1980**, *592*, 240–257.

(82) Klenina, I. B.; Makhneva, Z. K.; Moskalenko, A. A.; Kuzmin, A. N.; Proskuryakov, I. I. Singlet-Triplet Excitation Fission in Light-Harvesting Complexes of Photosynthetic Bacteria and in Isolated Carotenoids. *Biophysics* **2013**, *58*, 43–50.

(83) Klenina, I. B.; Makhneva, Z. K.; Moskalenko, A. A.; Gudkov, N. D.; Bolshakov, M. A.; Pavlova, E. A.; Proskuryakov, I. I. Singlet-Triplet Fission of Carotenoid Excitation in Light-Harvesting LH2 Complexes of Purple Phototrophic Bacteria. *Biochemistry (Moscow)* **2014**, *79*, 235–241.

(84) Gryaznov, A. A.; Klenina, I. B.; Makhneva, Z. K.; Moskalenko, A. A.; Proskuryakov, I. I. The Singlet–Triplet Fission of Carotenoid Excitation in Light-Harvesting Complexes from *Thermochromatium tepidum*. *Biophysics* **2019**, *64*, 847–852.

(85) Cunningham, F. X.; Gantt, E. A Portfolio of Plasmids for Identification and Analysis of Carotenoid Pathway Enzymes: *Adonis aestivalis* as a Case Study. *Photosynth. Res.* **2007**, *92*, 245–259.

(86) Wilson, A.; Punginelli, C.; Gall, A.; Bonetti, C.; Alexandre, M.; Routaboul, J.-M.; Kerfeld, C. A.; van Grondelle, R.; Robert, B.; Kennis, J. T. M.; Kirilovsky, D. A Photoactive Carotenoid Protein Acting as Light Intensity Sensor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2008**, *105*, 12075–12080.

(87) Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Liu, H.; Blankenship, R. E. Excited State Properties of 3'-Hydroxyechinenone in Solvents and in the Orange Carotenoid Protein from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2014**, *118*, 6141–6149.

(88) Bondanza, M.; Cupellini, L.; Faccioli, P.; Mennucci, B. Molecular Mechanisms of Activation in the Orange Carotenoid Protein Revealed by Molecular Dynamics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 21829–21841.

(89) Kurashov, V.; Gorka, M.; Milanovsky, G. E.; Johnson, T. W.; Cherepanov, D. A.; Semenov, A. Y.; Golbeck, J. H. Critical Evaluation of Electron Transfer Kinetics in P700–FA/FB, P700–FX, and P700–A1 Photosystem I Core Complexes in Liquid and in Trehalose Glass. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* **2018**, *1859*, 1288–1301.

(90) Saito, S.; Tasumi, M. Normal-Coordinate Analysis of Retinal Isomers and Assignments of Raman and Infrared Bands. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **1983**, *14*, 236–245.

(91) Merrifield, R. E. Diffusion and Mutual Annihilation of Triplet Excitons in Organic Crystals. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1968**, *1*, 129–135.

(92) Groff, R. P.; Avakian, P.; Merrifield, R. E. Coexistence of Exciton Fission and Fusion in Tetracene Crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* **1970**, *1*, 815–817.

(93) Piland, G. B.; Burdett, J. J.; Kurunthu, D.; Bardeen, C. J. Magnetic Field Effects on Singlet Fission and Fluorescence Decay Dynamics in Amorphous Rubrene. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 1224–1236.

(94) Tapping, P. C.; Huang, D. M. Comment on “Magnetic Field Effects on Singlet Fission and Fluorescence Decay Dynamics in Amorphous Rubrene”. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 25151–25157.

(95) Benk, H.; Sixl, H. Theory of Two Coupled Triplet States. *Mol. Phys.* **1981**, *42*, 779–801.

(96) Frick, J.; Schütz, J. U. V.; Wolf, H. C.; Kothe, G. First Detection of the (Nonphosphorescent) Triplet State in Single Crystals of β -Carotene. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1990**, *183*, 269–272.

(97) Teki, Y.; von Schütz, J.; Wachtel, H.; Weiss, V.; Wolf, H. Triplet Excitons in Diphenylbutadiene and Diphenylhexatriene Single Crystals by Zero-Field Delayed Fluorescence ODMR. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1994**, *225*, 124–130.

(98) Bayliss, S. L.; et al. Site-Selective Measurement of Coupled Spin Pairs in an Organic Semiconductor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115*, 5077–5082.

(99) Ishikawa, K.; Yago, T.; Wakasa, M. Exploring the Structure of an Exchange-Coupled Triplet Pair Generated by Singlet Fission in Crystalline Diphenylhexatriene: Anisotropic Magnetic Field Effects on

Fluorescence in High Fields. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 22264–22272.

(100) Chynwat, V.; Frank, H. A. The Application of the Energy Gap Law to the S_1 Energies and Dynamics of Carotenoids. *Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *194*, 237–244.

(101) Bensasson, R.; Land, E. J.; Maudinas, B. Triplet States of Carotenoids from Photosynthetic Bacteria Studied by Nanosecond Ultraviolet and Electron Pulse Irradiation. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1976**, *23*, 189–193.

(102) Bensasson, R.; Dawe, E. A.; Long, D. A.; Land, E. J. Singlet \rightarrow Triplet Intersystem Crossing Quantum Yields of Photosynthetic and Related Polyenes. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1* **1977**, *73*, 1319–1325.

(103) Kollmar, C. Electronic Structure of Diradical and Dicarbene Intermediates in Short-chain Polydiacetylene Oligomers. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 7210–7228.

(104) Chattopadhyay, S. K.; Das, P. K.; Hug, G. L. Photoprocesses in Diphenylpolyenes. 2. Excited-State Interactions with Stable Free Radicals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 6205–6210.

(105) Fujii, R.; Onaka, K.; Kuki, M.; Koyama, Y.; Watanabe, Y. The $2A_g^-$ Energies of All-Trans-Neurosporene and Spheroidene as Determined by Fluorescence Spectroscopy. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *288*, 847–853.

Recommended by ACS

Stages of OCP–FRP Interactions in the Regulation of Photoprotection in Cyanobacteria, Part 2: Small-Angle Neutron Scattering with Partial Deuteration

Maksym Golub, Jörg Pieper, *et al.*

FEBRUARY 23, 2023
THE JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY B

READ 

Infrared Signatures of Phycobilins within the Phycocyanin 645 Complex

Partha Pratim Roy, Graham R. Fleming, *et al.*

MAY 16, 2023
THE JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY B

READ 

Mechanism of Absorption Wavelength Shift Depending on the Protonation State of the Acrylate Group in Chlorophyll *c*

Masaki Tsujimura, Keisuke Saito, *et al.*

JANUARY 06, 2023
THE JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY B

READ 

Unifying Perspective of the Ultrafast Photodynamics of Orange Carotenoid Proteins from *Synechocystis*: Peril of High-Power Excitation, Existence of Different S^* States, a...

Stanisław Niziński, Michel Sliwa, *et al.*

APRIL 25, 2022
JACS AU

READ 

Get More Suggestions >