

This is a repository copy of Omicron BA.1-containing mRNA-1273 boosters compared with the original COVID-19 vaccine in the UK: a randomised, observer-blind, active-controlled trial.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/201207/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Lee, I.T., Cosgrove, C.A., Moore, P. et al. (63 more authors) (2023) Omicron BA.1containing mRNA-1273 boosters compared with the original COVID-19 vaccine in the UK: a randomised, observer-blind, active-controlled trial. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 23 (9). pp. 1007-1019. ISSN 1473-3099

https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(23)00295-5

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



1 Omicron-BA.1-containing mRNA-1273 boosters compared with the original vaccine in the

2 United Kingdom: a randomised, double-blind, active-control trial

- 3 Ivan T. Lee, MD^{1,*}, Catherine A. Cosgrove, PhD², Patrick Moore, MRCGP³, Claire Bethune,
- 4 MBBChir⁴, Rhiannon Nally, FRCGP⁵, Marcin Bula, MBBS⁶, Philip A. Kalra, MD⁷, Rebecca
- 5 Clark, MBChB⁸, Paul I. Dargan, MBBS⁹, Marta Boffito, PhD¹⁰, Ray Sheridan, MRCP¹¹, Ed
- 6 Moran, PhD¹², Thomas C. Darton, DPhil¹³, Fiona Burns, PhD¹⁴, Dinesh Saralaya, MD¹⁵,
- 7 Christopher J. A. Duncan, DPhil¹⁶, Patrick J. Lillie, PhD¹⁷, Alberto San Francisco Ramos,
- 8 FRCPath², Eva P. Galiza, MBBS², Paul T. Heath, FRCPCH², Bethany Girard, PhD¹, Christy
- 9 Parker, BS¹, Dondi Rust, BS¹, Shraddha Mehta, MS¹, Elizabeth de Windt, MPH¹, Andrea
- 10 Sutherland, MD¹, Joanne E. Tomassini, PhD¹, Frank J. Dutko, PhD¹, Spyros Chalkias, MD¹,
- 11 Weiping Deng, PhD¹, Xing Chen, ScD¹, Jing Feng, MS¹, LaRee Tracy, PhD¹, Honghong Zhou,
- 12 PhD¹, Jacqueline M. Miller, MD¹ and Rituparna Das, MD¹ on behalf of the Study Investigators^{\dagger}
- ¹Moderna, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; ²Vaccine Institute, Centre for Neonatal and
- 14 Paediatric Infection, St George's University of London, London, UK; ³The Adam Practice,
- 15 Poole, Dorset, UK, and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton,
- 16 UK; ⁴University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust, Plymouth, UK; ⁵Wansford and Kings Cliffe
- 17 Practice, Wansford, UK; ⁶University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; ⁷Salford Royal Hospital,
- 18 Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK; ⁸Layton Medical Centre,
- 19 Blackpool, UK; ⁹Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's College London, London,
- 20 UK; ¹⁰Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and Imperial College London,
- 21 London, UK; ¹¹Royal Devon University Hospital, Exeter, UK; ¹² Southmead Hospital, Bristol
- 22 UK; ¹³Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield,
- 23 Sheffield, UK; ¹⁴Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust University and University College
- London, London, UK; ¹⁵National Institute for Health Research Patient Recruitment Centre and
 Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK; ¹⁶Translational and Clinical
- 25 Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK; ¹⁰ Translational and Clinica 26 Research Institute, Newcastle University and NIHR Newcastle Clinical Research Facility, The
- Research Institute, Newcastle University and NIHR Newcastle Clinical Research Facility, 11
 Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ¹⁷Hull
- 27 Newcastie upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastie upon Tyne, UK, H 28 University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Castle Hill Hospital, Cottingham, UK
- 29 †A list of the Study Investigators is provided in the Supplementary Appendix
- 30 *Corresponding author:
- 31 Ivan T. Lee, M.D., Ph.D.
- 32 Moderna, Inc., 200 Technology Square, Cambridge, MA 02139
- 33 Ivan.Lee@ModernaTx.com
- 34

35 Abstract 300/300

36 Background

37 The Omicron-BA.1-bivalent booster is used globally. This large randomised, active-controlled

38 trial compares the safety and immunogenicity of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent

39 boosters with the original mRNA-1273 vaccine.

40 Methods

41 In this ongoing, phase 3 trial in the United Kingdom (28 sites), individuals ≥ 16 years who had

42 previously received two injections of any authorized/approved coronavirus disease 2019

43 (COVID-19) vaccine with/without an mRNA-vaccine booster, were randomised to receive 50-µg

44 Omicron-BA.1-monovalent or -bivalent vaccines or 50-µg mRNA-1273 administered as

45 boosters. Primary objectives were safety and immunogenicity including prespecified non-

46 inferiority and superiority of booster-immune responses.

47 Findings

48 Between February 16–March 24, 2022, 724 participants were randomised and received

49 Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (n=366) or mRNA-1273 (n=357); between April 2–June 17, 2022,

50 2824 were randomised and received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (n=1418) or mRNA-1273 (n=1395)

as second boosters. Median durations (months) between the most recent COVID-19 vaccine and

52 study boosters were similar for Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (4.0) and mRNA-1273 (4.1), and

53 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (5.5) and mRNA-1273 (5.4) boosters. Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -

54 bivalent boosters elicited superior neutralizing antibody geometric mean concentrations (GMC)

against Omicron-BA.1 variant with GMC-ratios (99% CI) of 1.68 (1.45–1.95) and 1.53

56 (1.41-1.67) at day 29 post-boost in participants without prior SARS-CoV-2-infection. Both

57 boosters induced non-inferior ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) immune responses with GMCs

58 ((95% CI) that were similar for the bivalent	(2987.2 [2814.9-3169.9] versus mRNA-	1273
20 (/ / / · · · ·	, that were similar for the orvarence		

- 59 (2911.3 [2750.9-3081.0]) and lower for the monovalent (2699.7 [2431.3-2997.7] versus 3020.6
- 60 [2776.5-3286.2) boosters with GMC-ratios of 1.05 (99% CI 0.96-1.15) and 0.82 (95% CI 0.74-
- 61 0.91) respectively. Results were comparable regardless of prior SARS-CoV-2-infection status.
- 62 Incidences of solicited adverse reactions with Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (91.3% [335/367
- 63 participants]) and Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (90.4% [1285/1421 participants]) boosters were
- 64 similar to those observed previously for mRNA-1273 with no new safety concerns identified and
- 65 no occurrences of fatal adverse events.

66 Interpretation

- 67 Omicron-containing booster vaccines generated superior immunogenicity against BA.1 and
- 68 comparable immunogenicity against the original strain with no new safety concerns.

69 Funding: Moderna, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

- 70 (EudraCT: 2022-000063-51; ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT05249829)
- 71

72 **Research in Context**

73 Evidence before this study

74 Variant-containing bivalent booster vaccines comprised of mRNAs encoding the original severe 75 acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and Omicron BA.1 or BA.4/BA.5 have 76 been deployed globally to prevent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the 77 continuous emergence of Omicron variants. In prior non-randomised, open-label clinical studies, 78 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (mRNA-1273.214) and Omicron-BA.4/BA.5-bivalent (mRNA-79 1273.222) vaccines demonstrated superior immune responses compared to the original vaccine 80 which supported authorization of the boosters; however, large randomised, active-controlled 81 studies of the contemporaneous administration of the bivalent and original mRNA-1273 vaccine 82 boosters had not been undertaken. We searched PubMed for research articles in English 83 published between June 2022 and January 2023, using the terms COVID-19 booster vaccines, 84 SARS-CoV-2 variants, randomised clinical trials and observational real-world effectiveness 85 studies. In addition to previous studies describing the immune responses of mRNA-1273 86 vaccines and variant-containing boosters against SARS-CoV-2 variants, we identified prior 87 publications describing the immune responses of other monovalent and bivalent COVID-19 88 vaccines including BNT-162b, AZD1222 and Ad26.COV2, against SAR-CoV-2 variants. Our 89 search also identified one randomised phase 3 trial describing the immune responses of 90 monovalent- and bivalent-omicron-BA.1-containing BNT-162b boosters against SARS-CoV-2 91 variants as well as several real-world studies demonstrating the effectiveness of booster vaccines 92 against SARS-CoV-2 variants.

93 Added value of this study

94 Previous non-randomised, open-label clinical studies supported the authorization of the

95 Omicron-BA.1- and Omicron-BA.4/BA.5-bivalent vaccines on the basis of improved

96 immunogenicity compared with the original mRNA-1273 vaccine in rapid response to variant

97 surges. Studies that further address whether vaccines modified to approximate circulating

98 variants provide clinical benefit are needed. This is the first large (>3300 participants)

99 randomised, observer-blind, active-controlled, phase 3 trial that compares the safety and

100 immunogenicity of the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and Omicron-BA.1-bivalent booster vaccines

101 head-to-head with the original mRNA-1273 booster. The boosters were evaluated in individuals

102 aged ≥ 16 years in the United Kingdom who had previously received two injections of any

103 authorized/approved COVID-19 primary series vaccine, with or without an mRNA-based 104 booster (third) dose. Interim results of the study show that all boosters were well-tolerated, 105 consistent with prior studies of the mRNA-1273 vaccine, and no new safety concerns were 106 identified. Both Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent vaccines administered as second 107 booster (fourth) doses elicited immune responses that were superior against the Omicron-BA.1 108 variant and non-inferior against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) compared with mRNA-1273 at 109 28 days post-booster doses. Additionally, the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent booster induced 110 seroresponses as well as binding antibody levels that were higher across Omicron BA.1, SARS-111 CoV-2 (D614G), and alpha, delta, and gamma variants compared to mRNA-1273 28 days post-112 booster doses; whereas, the seroresponses and binding antibody responses of the Omicron-BA.1-113 monovalent booster against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) and alpha, gamma, and delta 114 variants were similar compared to mRNA-1273, suggesting a potentially narrower immune 115 response. While the study was not powered to evaluate booster effectiveness, in an exploratory 116 analysis, lower but statistically nonsignificant COVID-19 incidence rates were observed with the 117 Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent versus mRNA-1273 boosters for the Omicron BA.2 118 isolate and for the bivalent vaccine against BA.4 isolates, but not for BA.5 with either booster. 119 No Omicron-BA.1 cases were observed in the study. The reduced incidence rates driven by 120 lower incidence rates for the antigenically-similar Omicron BA.2 in both the Omicron-BA.1-121 monovalent and -bivalent group supports the importance of more closely matching sequence to 122 the circulating variant in booster vaccines. These results extend the evidence for the clinical 123 benefit of variant-containing boosters in a randomised, active-controlled study compared to the 124 original vaccine booster.

125 Implications of all the available evidence

126 Variant-containing boosters have demonstrated an increased breath of immune responses against 127 SARS-CoV-2 variants in clinical studies and effectiveness in preventing severe COVID-19 and 128 hospitalizations in real-world observational studies. Our data support a more robust immune 129 response generated by variant-containing vaccines and also suggest that booster vaccines 130 modified to more closely match circulating variants may provide improved clinical benefit. 131 Given the continuous evolution and emergence of antigenically divergent SARS-CoV-2 variants, 132 it is imperative to remain vigilant in monitoring the neutralization ability and effectiveness of 133 COVID-19 vaccines. Ensuring that practices and systems are in place to readily adapt vaccines,

- 134 if needed, in response to the emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variant waves is also a matter of
- 135 public health importance. Additional studies of the safety, durability, and effectiveness of
- 136 variant-containing booster vaccines may help to better inform future vaccination strategies
- 137 against this pathogen.
- 138
- 139
- 140
- 141

142 Introduction

143 Variant-containing bivalent booster vaccines comprised of mRNAs encoding the original 144 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and Omicron BA.1 or 145 BA.4/BA.5 have been deployed to prevent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the continuous evolution and emergence of SARS-CoV-2-Omicron variants.¹⁻⁶ Prior non-146 147 randomised, open-label studies of Omicron-BA.1-bivalent mRNA-1273.214 and Omicron-148 BA.4/BA.5-bivalent mRNA-1273.222 booster vaccines demonstrated superior neutralizing 149 antibody (nAb) responses against Omicron BA.1 and BA.4/BA.5, respectively, versus mRNA-1273 with no new safety concerns,¹⁻³ supporting authorization of the vaccines in rapid response 150 to variant surges.^{4,5} The Omicron-BA.1-bivalent booster was approved in August 2022 in the 151 152 United Kingdom (UK) and has subsequently been authorized by the European Medicines 153 Agency, the Swissmedic, and Health Canada amongst other regulatory agencies, and remains 154 widely used around the world. Recent real-world data suggest that the BA.1 and BA.4/5-155 containing bivalent mRNA-boosters provide additional protection against COVID-19 compared 156 with the original booster vaccine administered ≤ 6 months previously.⁷⁻⁹ However, randomised, 157 active-controlled studies of the contemporaneous administration of the Omicron-containing and 158 original vaccine had not been undertaken. 159 Here, we describe interim safety and immunogenicity results from a large, phase 3

randomised, observer-blind, active-controlled clinical trial that compares 50- μ g of Omicron-BA.1 bivalent (25- μ g ancestral SARS-CoV-2, 25- μ g Omicron-BA.1 spike mRNAs) and Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (Omicron-BA.1 spike mRNA) booster vaccines with 50- μ g of the original mRNA-1273 booster in individuals aged ≥ 16 years in the UK. Incidence rates of COVID-19 post-booster are also summarized.

165 Methods

166 Study Design

167 This large, phase 2/3 (designated phase 3, >3000 participants),¹⁰ two-part, randomised, observer-

- 168 blind, active-controlled, multicenter (28 sites) trial evaluated the immunogenicity and safety of
- 169 50-µg Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (part 1) and 50-µg Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (part 2) booster
- 170 vaccines compared with 50-µg mRNA-1273 in medically-stable individuals aged \geq 16 years in
- 171 the UK (EudraCT;2022-000063-51) (Appendix, page 12). The trial was initiated in February of
- 172 2022 with a BA.1 monovalent booster vaccine in response to the emergence of the omicron
- 173 variant. Thereafter, due to the rapid evolution of omicron sublineages, the enrollment of the

174 Omicron-BA.1-monovalent portion of the trial (part 1) was stopped to expedite enrollment of an

175 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine (part 2), which was hypothesized to induce better cross-

176 protection.

The study was conducted in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation
of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, Good Clinical
Practice guidelines. The Derby Research Ethics Committee approved the protocol and consent
forms. All participants provided written informed consent.

181 **Participants**

182 Eligible participants included those who previously received two injections of any

183 authorized/approved COVID-19 primary series vaccine, including mixed regimens, with or

184 without an mRNA-based booster as the third dose in the series \geq 90 days prior. Participants who

185 had previously received two injections of a COVID-19 vaccine as a primary series were eligible

186 to receive Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vaccine, Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine, or mRNA-1273

187 as first booster (third) doses. Participants who previously received a primary series and an

188 mRNA COVID-19 first booster (third) dose were eligible to receive a study vaccine as a second 189 booster (fourth) dose. In part 1, one participant received Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vaccine as a 190 third dose and in part 2, four and seven participants received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and 191 mRNA-1273 vaccines respectively as third doses. In part 1, 366 participants received Omicron-192 BA.1-monovalent and 357 received mRNA-1273 vaccines as second boosters, and in part 2, 193 1418 participants received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine and 1395 received mRNA-1273 194 vaccine as second booster doses. Those with histories of positive SARS-CoV-2-infection (≤90 195 days of screening) were ineligible for the study. Additional inclusion/exclusion criteria and 196 details of study oversight, design and conduct are available in the Appendix, pages 4-10 and 197 online study protocol.

198 Randomisation and masking

199

Participants were randomised 1:1 to receive a single dose of either 50-µg of Omicron-BA.1-200 monovalent or 50-µg of mRNA-1273 (active control) in part 1 and to receive a single dose of 201 either 50-µg of Omicron-BA.1-bivalent or 50-µg of mRNA-1273 (active control) in part 2. In 202 both parts, randomisation was stratified by age groups (16 to <65 years or \geq 65 years) and 203 number of booster doses received (to receive study vaccine as the second [fourth] booster dose or 204 study vaccine as the first [third] booster dose). At least 90% of participants were prespecified to 205 receive study vaccine as the fourth dose, as this was the booster dose anticipated to be received 206 by the general population.

207 Randomisation was performed using an interactive response technology (Appendix, page 208 6). Enrollment was observer-blinded to treatment assignment. Dose preparation, administration, 209 and accountability was performed by designated site personnel who did not participate in any 210 clinical study evaluations and were responsible for only the management, documentation,

211 accountability, preparation, and administration of study vaccine. The unblinded site personnel

212 prepared the dose out of view of participants and blinded site personnel, and did not reveal the

213 identity of the study vaccine except in case of emergency. Blinding sleeves were applied to the

214 contents of syringes and labels. Laboratory personnel responsible for immunogenicity testing

215 were blinded to the treatment assignment of the samples tested throughout the study.

216 Procedures

217 Monovalent-mRNA-1273 and -Omicron-BA.1 vaccines contain single mRNAs (50-µg) encoding

218 the prefusion-stabilized spike-glycoprotein of ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan-Hu-1) or

219 Omicron-BA.1 variant, respectively. Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine (50-µg) contains two

220 mRNAs (1:1, 25-µg each) encoding the prefusion-stabilized spike-glycoprotein of ancestral

221 SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan-Hu-1) and Omicron-BA.1 variant. The Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and

the active comparator mRNA-1273 vaccines were administered at volumes of 0.25 mL, and the

223 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine at 0.5 ml. All vaccines (50-µg) were administered via deltoid 224 intramuscular injection.

225 Outcomes

226 The primary safety objective of both study parts was to evaluate the safety and reactogenicity of

booster doses of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent, Omicron-BA.1-bivalent, and mRNA-1273

vaccines. Part 1 immunogenicity objectives included non-inferiority (primary) and superiority

229 (key secondary) of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vaccine-elicited immune responses against

230 Omicron-BA.1 variant compared with mRNA-1273 when administered as booster doses at day

231 29 (Appendix, page 25-27). Secondary objectives include noninferiority of Omicron-BA.1-

232 monovalent vaccine-elicited immune responses against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 with the D614G

233 mutation (D614G) versus mRNA-1273 and seroresponses (SRR) at day 29.

234	Part 2 primary immunogenicity objectives included non-inferiority of Omicron-BA.1-
235	bivalent vaccine-elicited immune responses against Omicron BA.1 and ancestral SARS-CoV-2
236	(D614G), and superiority of Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine-elicited immune responses to
237	Omicron BA.1, at day 29 compared with the mRNA-1273 booster. Non-inferiority and
238	superiority in parts 1 and 2 were based on comparison of serum nAb for the monovalent and
239	bivalent-boosters versus mRNA-1273, respectively. Evaluation of the immunogenicity of the
240	Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent vaccines against other variants (alpha, delta and
241	gamma) based on binding antibody (bAb) geometric mean concentration (GMC) at day 29
242	versus mRNA-1273 were exploratory and secondary endpoints, respectively.
243	Incidences of symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2-infection post-booster
244	vaccination were secondary (part 2) and exploratory (part 1) objectives (Appendix, page 25).
245	Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection is based on the protocol-defined COVID-19 primary case
246	definition (Coronavirus Efficacy [COVE]) ^{4,5} (≥two systemic symptoms, ≥one respiratory
247	signs/symptoms and \geq one positive test for SARS-CoV-2 by reverse transcriptase-polymerase
248	chain reaction [RT-PCR]). Additionally, a secondary definition based on the Centers for Disease
249	Control and Prevention (CDC) Case Definition of COVID-19 ⁶ (≥one systemic symptoms; or
250	≥one respiratory signs/symptoms and a positive post-baseline RT-PCR test result).
251	Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection is defined as the absence of symptoms and infections by
252	RT-PCR or serology (bAb nucleocapsid protein [Roche Elecsys] negative at day 1 that become
253	positive post-baseline. Exploratory endpoints included incidences of isolated SARS-CoV-2
254	variants (BA.2, BA.4 and BA.5) in parts 1 and 2.
255	Safety assessments included solicited local and systemic adverse reactions (ARs)
256	recorded ≤seven days after booster vaccination; unsolicited adverse events (AEs) ≤28 days after

257	vaccination; and serious AEs (SAEs), medically-attended AEs (MAAEs), AEs leading to
258	withdrawal, and AEs of special interest (Appendix, page 28) from vaccination to study end.
259	Serum nAb concentrations against Omicron BA.1 and/or ancestral SARS-CoV-2
260	(D614G) were measured by a validated pseudovirus neutralization assay. ^{11,12} Levels of serum
261	bAb against Omicron BA.1, ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G), and other variants were assessed
262	using a validated SARS-CoV-2-specific spike-protein binding assay (Meso Scale Discovery).
263	Results for both assays are reported as antibody geometric mean concentrations (GMC) in
264	arbitrary units (AU)/mL. Immunogenicity assessment and assays are detailed in the Appendix,
265	page 8.
266	Active surveillance for COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2-infection were performed in both
267	study parts (Appendix, page 9). Illness visit at study sites were arranged as soon as possible and
268	within 72 hours for participants who tested positive/equivocal for SARS-CoV-2 by local RT-
269	PCR testing or using an authorized/approved lateral flow/rapid antigen if an RT-PCR SARS-
270	CoV-2 test was unavailable, or if there was uncertainty on the test result. At this visit, a
271	nasopharyngeal swab was collected to evaluate the presence of SARS-CoV-2 infection. SARS-
272	CoV-2-infection status is based on positive post-baseline bAb against SARS-CoV-2-
273	nucleocapsid (Roche Elecsys) or RT-PCR tests. SARS-CoV-2-variant sequences were obtained
274	by RT-PCR of nasopharyngeal swabs positive for SARS-CoV-2-infection ≥14 days after vaccine
275	administration on an ongoing, cumulative basis and were assessed through the data-cutoff date.
276	Statistical Analysis
277	Statistical analysis methods are detailed in the Appendix, pages 6-8 and analysis sets in the
278	Appendix, page 30. Safety was assessed in the safety set (all participants who received first or
279	second boosters) and solicited ARs in the solicited safety set. The per-protocol set for

280	immunogenicity (PPSI) consists of participants in the full analysis set who received the planned
281	booster dose, had pre-booster and day 29 antibody data and no major protocol deviations. The
282	primary immunogenicity objectives were assessed in the PPSI-SARS-CoV-2-negative set (PPSI-
283	negative). Incidences of SARS-CoV-2-infection were evaluated in the per-protocol set for
284	efficacy comprising all participants in the modified-intent-to-treat population who received the
285	planned study vaccination with no major protocol deviations. In both study parts,
286	immunogenicity was evaluated in those who received second booster (fourth) doses as
287	prespecified, and the efficacy analysis was restricted to participants who received second booster
288	(fourth) doses as the sample size of participants eligible for the per-protocol efficacy set of those
289	who received the first booster (third) doses was limited.
290	The GMCs with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), the geometric mean fold-rise (GMFR)
291	of post-booster/pre-booster GMCs with 95% CIs, SRRs with 95% CI (Clopper-Pearson), and
292	SRR differences with 95% CI (Miettinen-Nurminen) of nAb and bAb for Omicron-BA.1-
293	monovalent vaccine, Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine, and mRNA-1273 vaccine at day 29 are
294	provided. In part 1, the non-inferiority of nAb GMC against Omicron BA.1 and ancestral SARS-
295	CoV-2 (D614G), and superiority against Omicron BA.1 (dependent on demonstration of non-
296	inferiority) are based on GMC-ratios (GMRs) of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vaccine versus
297	mRNA-1273 boosters at day 29 estimated using an Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) model
298	(Appendix, pages 6-8). Part 2 primary immunogenicity objectives were evaluated using a
299	prespecified hypothesis-testing sequence (Appendix, page 14) that included non-inferiority of
300	Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine versus mRNA-1273 antibody responses against Omicron BA.1
301	and ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) based on day 29 GMRs, and testing of superiority against
302	Omicron BA.1 (day 29 GMR) if both non-inferiority objectives are met. Criteria for non-

303 inferiority of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vaccine and non-inferiority and superiority of 304 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine versus mRNA-1273 against Omicron BA.1 were considered met if the lower bounds of the 99% CI of the GMR from the ANCOVA models are ≥ 0.67 and >1 at 305 306 day 29, respectively, with 1.5 margins (2-sided alpha=0.01). Non-inferiority against ancestral 307 SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) was met if lower bounds of the 95% (Omicron-BA.1-monovalent) and 308 99% (Omicron-BA.1-bivalent) CIs of the GMR are ≥ 0.67 . Observed nAb GMC (95% CI) in all 309 participants regardless of SARS-CoV-2-infection status and those with evidence of prior SARS-310 CoV-2-infection in part 2 and in age subgroups (16-<65 years and \geq 65 years) in both parts 1 and 311 2 are provided. Binding antibody GMCs (95% CI) and GMRs (95% CI) against Omicron BA.1, 312 SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) and alpha, delta and gamma variants assessed by ANCOVA (parts 1 and 313 2) are summarized. 314 Percentages of participants with SARS-CoV-2-infection and COVID-19 events \geq 14 days

315 after randomisation are summarized. Incidence rates of COVID-19 cases (primary definition in COVE trial and CDC definition),¹³⁻¹⁵ SARS-CoV-2-infection regardless of symptoms and 316 317 asymptomatic infection adjusting for person-time with 95% CIs (Poisson distribution), as well as 318 cumulative event rates (Kaplan-Meier method) and relative vaccine efficacy (VE) (1-hazard ratio 319 [HR]) of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent vaccine versus mRNA-1273 (Cox 320 proportional hazards model) starting 14 days after randomisation, are provided; a statistical 321 comparison between arms was not performed. COVID-19 cases having variant sequences 322 (BA.2, BA.4, BA.5) were explored using a competing-risk method to analyze sublineage-specific 323 events, where competing events were not censored. The Fine-Gray proportional hazards model 324 for subdistribution of a competing-risk was used to estimate the hazard ratio and relative VE (1-HR).¹⁶ 325

All analyses were conducted using SAS Version 9.4 or higher.

327 Role of the funding source

328 The study sponsor, Moderna, Inc. funded the study and was involved in the study design as well329 as the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data.

330

331 **Results**

- Between February 16–March 24, 2022 and April 2–June 17, 2022, 724 participants were
- 333 randomised and received Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vaccine (n=366) or mRNA-1273 (n=357),
- and 2,824 participants were randomised and received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine (n=1418)
- 335 or mRNA-1273 vaccine (n=1395) as second booster doses in parts 1 and 2, respectively (figure

1). The mean age of participants was 57 years (standard deviations 12.9 and 13.2 and 12.5 and

- 337 12.8) in the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent versus mRNA-1273 [part 1] and Omicron-BA.1-
- bivalent versus mRNA-1273 [part 2]) groups respectively (table 1), and ~34% (127/367 and
- 339 124/357, and 477/1422 and 469/1402) were \geq 65 year of age. In both study parts, ~50% of the
- 340 participants in the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (200/367) and mRNA-1273 (202/357) part 1
- 341 groups and in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (695/1422) and mRNA-1273 (694/1402) part 2 groups
- 342 were female, and the majority were white (~94-96%) in those groups respectively (353, 335,
- 343 1346 and 1312). Baseline characteristics were similar in PPSI-negative primary immunogenicity
- analysis set (appendix, page 31). Proportions of participants with prior SARS-CoV-2-infection
- 345 pre-booster were 12.8% (47/367) and 12.0% (43/357) in the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and
- 346 mRNA-1273 arms, and 22.6% (322/1422) and 26.0% (364/1402) in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent
- and mRNA-1273 arms, respectively. Median duration times (months [interquartile range])
- 348 between the most recent COVID-19 vaccine and study boosters were similar in the Omicron-

349	BA.1-monovalent (4.0 [3.6-4.7]) and mRNA-1273 (4.1 [3.5-4.7]) and in the Omicron-BA.1-
350	bivalent (5.5 [4.8-6.2]) and mRNA-1273 (5.4 [4.8-6.2]) arms. Most participants received
351	Vaxzevria (52% (374/724) and 63% (1779/2824)) or Comirnaty (46% [334/724] and 34%
352	[965/2824]) as primary COVID-19 vaccinations, and Comirnaty (81% [586/724] and 77%
353	[2178/2824]) as first boosters in parts 1 and 2, respectively (table 1 and Appendix, page 15).
354	In the part 1 primary immunogenicity analysis in participants with no evidence of SARS-
355	CoV-2-infection (PPSI-negative), baseline nAb GMCs were comparable in the Omicron-BA.1-
356	monovalent and mRNA-1273 groups. The observed nAb GMCs (95% CI) against Omicron
357	BA.1 were higher at day 29 following the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (537.7 [478.2-604.6])
358	compared to the mRNA-1273 (307.4 [279.5-338.2]) booster (table 2, Appendix, page 16). The
359	ANCOVA-modeled GMR (99% CI) at day 29 was 1.68 (1.45-1.95) for Omicron-BA.1-
360	monovalent versus mRNA-1273 booster, meeting pre-specified criterion for non-inferiority
361	(lower bound of CI \ge 0.67). Additionally, the key secondary endpoint of superiority of the
362	immune responses against Omicron BA.1 for Omicron-BA.1-monovalent compared to mRNA-
363	1273 was demonstrated (lower bound of CI >1). The observed ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G)
364	GMCs (95% CI) were similar for Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (2699.7 [2431.3-2997.7] and
365	mRNA-1273 (3020·6 [2776·5-3286·2]) at day 29 with a GMR (95% CI) of 0·82 (0·74–0·91)
366	that met criteria for non-inferiority.
367	In part 2 participants in the primary analysis PPSI-negative set, pre-booster baselines
368	were similar for the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and mRNA-1273 groups. The observed GMCs for
369	the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (466.8 [438.0-497.4]) booster were higher than those of mRNA-
370	1273 (311.8 [293.8-330.9]) with a GMR (99% CI) of 1.53 (1.41–1.67) which met prespecified
371	criteria for both non-inferiority and superiority. For the co-primary endpoint of the Omicron-

372 BA.1-bivalent versus mRNA-1273 antibody response against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) at

373 day 29, GMCs were 2987.2 (2814.9-3169.9) and 2911.3 (2750.9-3081.0), respectively, with a

374 GMR (99% CI) of 1.05 (0.96-1.15) meeting the non-inferiority criterion.

- The SRRs ([number/participants assessed]; 95% CI) against Omicron BA.1 were 82.7%
- 376 (220/266; 77.6–87.1%) and 55.7% (151/271; 49.6–61.7%) and against ancestral SARS-CoV-2
- 377 (614G) were 43.1% (115/267; 37.1–49.2%) and 59.0% (160/271; 52.9–65.0%) at day 29 after
- 378 the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and mRNA-1273 boosters, respectively, with SRR (95% CI)

differences of 27.0 (19.4-34.3) for Omicron-BA.1 and -16.0 (-24.2-7.5) for ancestral SARS-

380 CoV-2 (D614G) versus mRNA-1273 (table 2). Following the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and

381 mRNA-1273 boosters at day 29, respectively, SRRs (95% CI) were 84.5% (813/962;

382 82.1–86.7%) and 70.6% (631/894; 67.5–73.6%) for Omicron BA.1 and 70.9% (670/945;

383 67.9–73.8%) and 68.5% (600/876; 65.3–71.6%) for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) with SRR

differences (95% CI) of 13.9 (10.2-17.7) for Omicron-BA.1 and of 2.4 (-1.8-6.6) for ancestral

- 385 SAR-CoV-2 (D614G) versus mRNA-1273.
- 386 In all participants regardless of SARS-CoV-2-infection status and only those with

387 evidence of prior SARS-CoV-2-infection, GMCs were also higher following the Omicron-BA.1-

388 bivalent than mRNA-1273 boosters against both Omicron BA.1 and ancestral SARS-CoV-2

389 (D614G) (Appendix, pages 17 and 33). Neutralizing antibodies were also higher against

390 Omicron BA.1 in both younger and older participants for Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and

391 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent versus mRNA-1273 boosters, and were similar against SARS-CoV-2

392 (D614G) for the boosters (Appendix, pages 18 and 34).

393 Spike bAb GMCs were higher against Omicron BA.1 after the Omicron-BA.1-

monovalent than mRNA-1273 booster at day 29 (GMR 1.18 [95% CI, 1.05-1.32]) and were

similar against ancestral SARS-CoV-2, and alpha, gamma, and delta variants with GMRs (95% CI) ranging from 0.91 (0.84-0.99) to 1.00 (0.92-1.08) for both boosters (Appendix, pages 19 and 35). The bAb GMCs were higher after the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent versus the mRNA-1273 booster across Omicron BA.1, ancestral SARS-CoV-2, and alpha, gamma, and delta variants (Appendix, pages 20 and 36) with GMRs (95% CI) ranging from 1.04 (0.98-1.10) to 1.13(1.06-1.21).

The median (interquartile range) safety follow-up times were 156 (148–161) days for
both Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and mRNA-1273 in part 1, and 102 (91–114) days for both
Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and mRNA-1273 in part 2. The safety data for the Omicron-BA.1monovalent were similar to those of Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and are provided in the Appendix,
pages 11, 21 and 37-39).

406 In part 2, the frequencies of solicited local (83.5% [1187/1421] and 89.8% [1256/1398]) 407 and systemic (70.2% [997/1421] and 75.3% [1052/1398]) ARs \leq 7 days post-booster dose were 408 comparable for the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and mRNA-1273 groups, respectively (figure 2, 409 Appendix, page 37). The most commonly reported ARs were pain, fatigue, and headache. Most 410 reactions were grades 1-2. Grade 3 events were similar across study arms, and no grade 4 events 411 were reported. Frequencies of any unsolicited AEs reported ≤ 28 days after the booster dose were 412 also comparable in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (31.5% [448/1422]) and mRNA-1273 (29.7% 413 [417/1402]) groups (Appendix, page 39). The incidences of AEs considered related to study 414 vaccine by the investigators were 4.9% (69/1422) and 5.1% (71/1402) in the Omicron-BA.1-415 bivalent and mRNA-1273 groups, respectively. Related MAAEs occurred in 6 (0.4%) of 1422 416 participants and 7 (0.5%) of 1402 participants in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and mRNA-1273 417 recipients, respectively. None of the AEs led to study discontinuation. Serious AEs occurred in 6

418	(0.4%) of 1422 participants in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and 5 $(0.4%)$ of 1402 participants in
419	the mRNA-1273 groups; none were considered by the investigator to be related to study vaccine
420	among Omicron-BA.1-bivalent recipients. One related SAE (multiple pulmonary emboli)
421	occurred in the mRNA-1273 arm.
422	As of the interim analysis data-cutoff date, no fatal AEs occurred. After the interim
423	analysis data-cutoff date, two deaths (sudden unexpected death in epilepsy, sudden cardiac death
424	due to arrhythmia) were observed in the mRNA-1273 arm of part 2 and were determined by the
425	investigators to be unrelated to the study vaccine.
426	The total overall person-years at the data-cutoff date were $113 \cdot 3$ and $111 \cdot 8$ in the
427	Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and mRNA-1273 arms, and 249.6 and 233.3 in the Omicron-BA.1-
428	bivalent and mRNA-1273 arms, respectively, per the primary case definition for COVID-19. As
429	a secondary objective in part 2, incidence rates/1,000 person-years (95% CI) of COVID-19
430	(COVE primary case definition) ^{13,14} \geq 14 days after randomisation were 633.0 (538.1–739.7) for
431	Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and 711.6 (607.5-828.5) for mRNA-1273 (figure 3, Appendix, page
432	40). Incidence rates/1,000 person-years (95% CI) were 739.2 (635.7-854.8) and 755.4
433	$(647 \cdot 6 - 876 \cdot 0)$ for COVID-19 (CDC definition), ¹⁵ and for overall SARS-CoV-2-infection were
434	1010.5 (887.5-1145.9) and 1099.1 (966.5-1244.7) in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and mRNA-
435	1273 arms, respectively. Part 1 incidence rates of COVID-19 \geq 14 days after randomisation for
436	the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent booster, an exploratory objective, were generally similar to those
437	of part 2 (figure 3, Appendix, pages 11 and 40). The relative VEs (95% CI) based on a
438	proportional hazards model in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and -monovalent groups compared to
439	mRNA-1273 were 11.4% (-10.2–28.7%) and 13.5% (-17.8–36.5%), respectively (Appendix,

440 page 41). No cases of RT-PCR-diagnosed severe cases and COVID-19-related hospitalization441 were reported.

442	The majority of the 850 available variant sequences obtained by RT-PCR from
443	nasopharyngeal swabs positive for SARS-CoV-2-infection (February-September 2022) in the
444	study were of the Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 lineages which predominated during June and July of
445	2022 (Appendix, page 22). In parts 1 and 2, a total of 162 and 324 COVID-19 cases occurred
446	\geq 14 post-randomisation to data-cutoff date, respectively. Variant sequences were detected in
447	68/76 (89.5%) COVID-19 cases in the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent, 80/86 (93.0%) in the
448	mRNA-1273, 135/158 (85·4%) in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and 154/166 (92·8%) in the
449	mRNA-1273 arms (Appendix, page 42). In part 1, the majority of the cases in the Omicron-
450	BA.1-monovalent and mRNA-1273 groups, respectively, were of the BA.2 (43% [29/68] and
451	58% [46/80]) and BA.5 (49% [33/68] and 34% [27/80]) Omicron lineages and 9% [6/68 and
452	7/80] were BA.4 in both arms. The majority of part 2 cases were BA.5 (70% [94/135] and 60%
453	[93/154]), 13% [18/135] and 19% [29/154] were BA.4, and 17% [23/135] and 21% [32/154]
454	were BA.2 Omicron lineages in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and mRNA-1273 groups,
455	respectively. There were no BA.1 cases detected in either part of the trial.
456	An exploratory analysis of COVID-19 cases having BA.2, BA.4 or BA.5 sublineage
457	sequences, using the Fine-Gray proportional hazards model for subdistribution of a competing
458	risk, ¹⁶ showed non-statistically significant lower incidence rates for the BA.2 and BA.4
459	sublineages in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent arm versus mRNA-1273. A numerically lower
460	incidence rate was also observed for the BA.2 sublineage in the Omicron-BA.1 monovalent arm
461	versus mRNA-1273; this observation was less clear for the BA.4 sublineage due to the limited
462	sample size in part 1. Incidence rates were similar for the BA.5 sublineage across study arms

463	(Appendix, pages 22 and 23). Relative VE estimates (95% CI) were 37.7% (0.9-60.9%), 13.3%
464	(-157.7-70.8%) and -24.5% (-106.8-25.1%) for Omicron-BA.1-monovalent versus mRNA-
465	1273 and were 32.6% (-15.1–60.5%), 41.6% (-5.1–67.5%), and 4.4% (-27.2–28.2%) for
466	Omicron-BA.1-bivalent versus mRNA-1273 for the BA.2, BA.4, and BA.5 sublineages,
467	respectively (Appendix, page 41). A sensitivity analysis of non-BA.5 variant sublineages
468	resulted in relative VEs of 35.0% and 37.3% for the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent
469	boosters versus mRNA-1273, respectively with corresponding 95% CIs excluding zero
470	(0.4-57.6% and 6.9-57.8%).

472 Discussion

473 This is the first large randomised, observer-blind, active-controlled, trial comparing variant-

474 containing mRNA-1273 booster vaccines head-to-head with the original mRNA-1273 vaccine.

475 In the trial, the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent (mRNA-1273.214) vaccine elicited nAb responses that

476 were superior against Omicron BA.1 and non-inferior against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G),

477 and bAb responses that were higher across Omicron BA.1, SARS-CoV-2, and alpha, delta, and

478 gamma variants compared to mRNA-1273 28 days post-booster doses, consistent with previous

479 studies.^{1-3,17} The Omicron-BA.1-monovalent (mRNA-1273.529) booster also elicited superior

480 nAb responses against Omicron BA.1 versus mRNA-1273; however, the ancestral SARS-CoV-2

481 (D614G) nAb, bAb and SRRs as well as alpha, gamma, and delta variant bAb responses were

482 similar compared to mRNA-1273, suggesting a more restricted immune response with

483 monovalent variant-containing boosters.^{18,19}

484 In previous open-label studies of the omicron-BA.1-bivalent (mRNA-1273.214) vaccine 485 administered as second boosters to those who had received a primary series and a first booster

486 dose of mRNA-1273, nAb responses against omicron variants at day 29 and day 91 post-booster doses were superior to those of mRNA-1273.^{1,3} Differences in absolute GMC values observed in 487 488 this trial compared with those studies comparing Omicron-BA.1-bivalent versus mRNA-1273 489 may be attributed to the distinct trial design, the first of its size to evaluate participants who 490 received mixed primary COVID-19 vaccination regimens including non-mRNA-based vaccines, as well as disparate laboratories and immunoassays utilized.^{1,3} Of note, lower SRRs in this trial 491 492 are likely due to measurement of changes in antibody levels from pre-booster to day 29 rather 493 than changes from pre-primary series levels assessed in other studies.^{1,3} Nonetheless, the 494 Omicron-BA.1-bivalent booster elicited antibody responses that were superior against Omicron 495 BA.1 and non-inferior against ancestral SARS-CoV-2.

496 Emerging observational data suggest a clinical benefit of bivalent booster vaccines in preventing COVID-19 against emergent variants beyond Omicron BA.1.^{7-9,20} In this trial, both 497 498 the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent boosters showed numerically lower COVID-19 499 incidence rates compared with mRNA-1273. In an exploratory analysis, COVID-19 incidence 500 rates were non-statistically significantly lower with the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent 501 versus mRNA-1273 boosters for the Omicron BA.2 isolate and for the bivalent vaccine against 502 the BA.4 isolate, but not for BA.5 with either booster, although small sample sizes limit 503 interpretation. Omicron BA.4- and BA.5-variants have identical spike-protein sequences and 504 neutralization results have been typically reported together for both sublineages (BA.4/BA.5) in the same assay.^{1-3,21,22} Potential factors explaining the different estimated VEs between the 505 506 Omicron BA.4- and BA.5-sublineages include increased BA.5 viral fitness compared to other 507 sublineages (including BA.4), the later emergence of BA.5 relative to time of study vaccination, 508 and differences in relative VE that may not be detectable given the limited follow-up time in this

509 interim analysis. Overall, the post-booster COVID-19 incidence rates suggest a clinical benefit of 510 the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine, especially against variants (e.g. BA.2) that are antigenically 511 closer to the variant sublineage contained in the vaccine. In line with this notion, a previous 512 study showed that the Omicron-BA.4/BA.5-bivalent booster elicited cross-neutralization against 513 more recent divergent variants not contained in the vaccine (BQ.1.1, XBB.1 and XBB.1.5) in a 514 small subset of participants, irrespective of prior SARS-CoV-2-infection status, although the antibody titers for these variants were lower compared to BA.4/BA.5.² Further monitoring of 515 516 vaccine effectiveness in real-world studies in parallel with assessing the cross-neutralization 517 ability of previously authorized vaccines is needed, given the continual evolution of divergent 518 variants that can confer antibody escape leading to re-infections and COVID-19.²¹⁻²³

The incidences of solicited ARs with Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and Omicron-BA.1bivalent vaccines were similar to those of mRNA-1273 observed in prior studies.¹⁻³ No new safety concerns were identified in this interim analysis, and the safety data presented here, together with the previously reported longer-term safety follow-up on the Omicron-BA.1 bivalent booster,¹⁻³ extend the body of safety information available for Omicron-containing bivalent vaccines.

Limitations include that the present randomised trial, designed to evaluate Omicron BA.1-containing versus the original mRNA-1273 vaccine in response to the emergence of Omicron BA.1-variants, was initiated February 2022 with results available late November 2022 (August 4, 2022 data-cutoff date) and it was no longer feasible to randomise additional participants to more updated Omicron strains when Omicron-containing COVID-19 booster vaccines became authorized. The analysis of participants without previous SARS-CoV-2 infection may be affected by mild and/or asymptomatic infections that were potentially not

532	detected at study screening or post-randomization, although this distinction is becoming less
533	relevant as a large proportion of the population has been infected. ²⁴⁻²⁶ The trial was powered to
534	detect immunogenicity differences between the two vaccines but not COVID-19 event rates
535	which were subject to the evolving epidemiology of Omicron subvariants. While secondary and
536	exploratory analyses suggest that Omicron-containing boosters reduce COVID-19 incidence
537	rates versus the mRNA-1273, these results were not statistically significant. Further,
538	interpretation of cumulative COVID-19 event curve data is limited by low numbers of
539	participants at longer follow-up times. Additionally, the trial population was limited to
540	predominantly white participants, aged ≥ 16 years in the UK and did not include
541	immunocompromised individuals. Evaluation of the longer-term safety of the variant-containing
542	boosters and durability of the immune response is ongoing in the trial.
543	In conclusion, the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent and -bivalent boosters elicited superior
544	nAb responses against Omicron BA.1 with numerically lower incidences of COVID-19
545	compared to the original mRNA-1273 booster in a head-to-head comparison. The data suggest a
546	clinical benefit in protection against COVID-19 with variant-containing vaccines that more
547	closely match the circulating variant and that modified-monovalent boosters may have more
548	limited immune responses than modified-bivalent boosters. Given the continuous emergence of
549	SARS-CoV-2 variants, it remains important to continue monitoring the neutralization ability as
550	well as vaccine effectiveness of COVID-19 boosters towards planning updates of vaccines
551	containing variant modifications that more closely match circulating strains. Due to the rapid
552	emergence of viral subvariants, the interval between identifying an epidemiologically-dominant
553	strain and developing modified variant-containing boosters will not permit adequate time to

- 554 conduct large-scale randomised controlled trials like this one. Thus, decision-making may need
- 555 to rely on both epidemiological data and prior studies of variant-containing vaccines.

559 **Contributors**

- 560 ITL, CAC, ASFR, PTH, SC, JF, LT, HZ, JMM, and RD contributed to the design of the study.
- 561 ITL, CAC, CP, DR, SM, EdW, AS, JMM, and RD contributed to study oversight. ITL, CAG,
- 562 PM, CB, RN, MB, PAK, RC, PID, MB, RS, EM, TCD, FB, DS, CJAD, PL, ASFR, EG, PTH,
- 563 EdW, and AS contributed to data collection and data cleaning. JF, LT, and HZ conducted
- statistical analyses and verified all the data. BG was responsible for immunogenicity assays and
- variant sequencing, and WD, XC, LT, and HZ for variant sequencing-related data and statistical
- analyses. ITL, BG, EdW, AS, JET, SC, WD, XC, JF, LY, HZ, JMM, and RD interpreted the data
- 567 and results. ITL, JET, and LT drafted the manuscript with contributions from SC, HZ, JMM, and
- 568 RD, and FJD provided editorial support and development of data displays. All the authors 569 contributed to the review and editing of the manuscript and approved the final version for
- submission to the journal. The authors vouch for the completeness and accuracy of the data and
- 571 for the fidelity of the study to the protocol.
- 572

573 Data Sharing Statement

- 574 As the trial is ongoing, access to patient-level data and supporting clinical documents by
- 575 qualified external researchers may be available upon reasonable request and subject to review
- 576 once the trial is complete.
- 577

578 **Declaration of Interests**

- 579 PM reports being a speaker/advisor and/or research grants from GSK, Sanofi, Novavax,
- 580 Moderna, MSD, Janssen, Medicago, and AstraZeneca; PK reports being a speaker/advisor/travel
- 581 grants and/or research grants from GSK, Pfizer, Pharmacosmos, Astellas, Vifor, Astra Zeneca,
- 582 Bayer, Unicyte, Evotec, Fresenius, and Otsuka; PD reports research grants for COVID-19
- research studies from Moderna, Astra Zeneca, Janssen, and Atea; MBoffito reports being an
- advisor/speaker for GSK, Atea, ViiV, MSD, Janssen, Gilead, Cipla, Mylan, Roche and has
- 585 received research grants from ViiV, MSD, Janssen, Gilead, Novavax, Valneva, and Moderna; FB
- 586 reports receiving speaker fees and a research grant to institution from Gilead Sciences Ltd; CD 587 reports receiving Grants from Wellcome Trust and MRC; DC reports receiving research grants to
- institution from Gilead Sciences Ltd, ViiV Healthcare, Moderna, Janssen, GSK, and Novavax;
- 589 ASR received research grants (to my Organisation) from Pfizer, Novavax, Valneva, Moderna,
- 590 and Janssen; PH reports being a speaker/advisor and/or research grants from Pfizer, Novavax,
- 591 Valneva, Moderna, and Janssen. CG, CB, RN, MBula, RC, RS, EM, TD, DS, PL and EG report
- 592 no conflicts. ITL, SC, BG, CP, DR, SM, EW, AS, WD, XC, LT, HZ, JM, and RD are employees
- of Moderna, Inc. and hold stock/stock options in the company. JET and FJD are Moderna
- 594 contractors.
- 595

596 Acknowledgments

- 597 We thank the study participants and the team of investigators, staff, and colleagues involved in
- this study for their contributions and dedication; members of the Data Safety and Monitoring
- 599 Board (Drs. Margaret Johnson [chair], Katrina Pollock, and Chrissie Jones). We thank PPD
- 600 laboratories for performing neutralization assays and the Meso Scale Discovery multiplex
- 601 binding antibody assays, and Stephanie Lussier,PhD of Moderna, Inc. for statistical support.
- 602 Editorial support with an early version of the manuscript was provided by Emily Stackpole, PhD,
- and Shane Walton, PhD, of MEDiSTRAVA in accordance with Good Publication Practice

- (GPP3) guidelines, funded by Moderna, Inc., and under the direction of the authors. The study was funded by Moderna, Inc. 605 606

607 **References**

- 608
- 609 1. Chalkias S, Harper C, Vrbicky K, et al. A Bivalent Omicron-Containing Booster Vaccine
 610 against Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2022; **387**(14): 1279-91.
- 611 2. Chalkias S, Whatley J, Eder F, et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of Omicron BA.4/BA.5
 612 Bivalent Vaccine Against Covid-19. *medRxiv* 2022: 2022.12.11.22283166.
- 613 3. Chalkias S, Harper C, Vrbicky K, et al. Three-month Antibody Persistence of a Bivalent
- 614 Omicron-containing Booster Vaccine Against COVID-19 *PREPRINT* (Version 1) available at 615 *Research Square* 2022; https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2239682/v1.
- 616 4. FDA US. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: FDA Authorizes Moderna, Pfizer-BioNTech
- Bivalent COVID-19 Vaccines for Use as a Booster Dose. 2022. <u>https://www.fda.gov/news-</u>
 <u>events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-moderna-pfizer-</u>
 biontech-bivalent-covid-19-vaccines-use.
- 620 5. European Union. Spikevax (COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine) Product Information. 2022.
- 621 6. Organization. TAG-VE statement on Omicron sublineages BQ.1 and XBB. October 27, 622 2022. <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/27-10-2022-tag-ve-statement-on-omicron-sublineages-</u>
- 623 <u>bq.1-and-xbb</u>.
- 624 7. Link-Gelles R, Ciesla AA, Fleming-Dutra KE, et al. Effectiveness of Bivalent mRNA
- 625 Vaccines in Preventing Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection Increasing Community Access
- to Testing Program, United States, September–November 2022. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2022; **71**(48).
- 8. Surie D, DeCuir J, Zhu Y, et al. Early Estimates of Bivalent mRNA Vaccine
- 629 Effectiveness in Preventing COVID-19–Associated Hospitalization Among Immunocompetent
- 630 Adults Aged ≥65 Years IVY Network, 18 States, September 8–November 30, 2022. MMWR
- 631 Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022; 71: 1625-30.
- 632 9. Tenforde MW, Weber ZA, Natarajan K, al. e. Early Estimates of Bivalent mRNA
- 633 Vaccine Effectiveness in Preventing COVID-19–Associated Emergency Department or Urgent
- 634 Care Encounters and Hospitalizations Among Immunocompetent Adults VISION Network,
- 635 Nine States, September–November 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2022; **71**: 1616-24.
- 636 10. FDA. Clinical Research. 2018. <u>https://www.fda.gov/patients/drug-development-</u>
 637 process/step-3-clinical-research.
- 638 11. Bonhomme ME, Bonhomme CJ, Strelow L, et al. Robust validation and performance
- 639 comparison of immunogenicity assays assessing IgG and neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-640 2. *PLoS One* 2022; **17**(2): e0262922.
- Laboratories. PPD Laboratories Assays for COVID-19 Trials. https://www.ppd.com/wp content/uploads/2020/06/PPD-Laboratories-assays-for-COVID19.pdf.
- 643 13. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-
- 644 CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384**(5): 403-16.
- 645 14. El Sahly HM, Baden LR, Essink B, et al. Efficacy of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2
- Vaccine at Completion of Blinded Phase. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **385**(19): 1774-85.
- 647 15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Symptoms of COVID-19.
- 648 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html. 2021.
- 649 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html</u> (accessed
- 650 October 2021).
- 651 16. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A Proportional Hazards Model for the Subdistribution of a Competing
- Risk. Journal of the American Statistical Association 1999; **94**(446): 496-509.

- 653 Winokur P, Gayed J, Fitz-Patrick D, et al. Bivalent Omicron BA.1–Adapted BNT162b2 17. 654 Booster in Adults Older than 55 Years. New England Journal of Medicine 2023; 388(3): 214-27. 655 Scheaffer SM, Lee D, Whitener B, et al. Bivalent SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines increase 18. 656 breadth of neutralization and protect against the BA.5 Omicron variant in mice. Nat Med 2023; **29**(1): 247-57. 657 658 Gagne M, Moliva JI, Foulds KE, et al. mRNA-1273 or mRNA-Omicron boost in 19. 659 vaccinated macaques elicits comparable B cell expansion, neutralizing antibodies and protection 660 against Omicron. bioRxiv 2022: 2022.02.03.479037. Lin D-Y, Xu Y, Gu Y, et al. Effectiveness of Vaccination and Previous Infection Against 661 20. 662 Omicron Infection and Severe Outcomes in Children Under 12 Years of Age. medRxiv 2023: 663 2023.01.18.23284739. 664 Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID). Overview of Variants in 21. 665 Countries. 2021. https://covariants.org/per-country. (accessed October 24, 2022. Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID). Overview of 666 22. Variants/Mutations. 2022. https://covariants.org/variants (accessed October 24, 2022. 667 Cao Y, Yisimayi A, Jian F, et al. BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 escape antibodies elicited by 668 23. 669 Omicron infection. Nature 2022; 608(7923): 593-602. 670 Follmann D, Janes HE, Buhule OD, et al. Antinucleocapsid Antibodies After SARS-24.
- 671 CoV-2 Infection in the Blinded Phase of the Randomized, Placebo-Controlled mRNA-1273
- 672 COVID-19 Vaccine Efficacy Clinical Trial. Ann Intern Med 2022; 175(9): 1258-65.
- 673 25. Bolotin S, Osman S, Hughes SL, et al. In Elimination Settings, Measles Antibodies Wane
- After Vaccination but Not After Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Infect Dis*2022; 226(7): 1127-39.
- 676 26. World Health Organization. Interim statement on hybrid immunity and increasing
- 677 population seroprevalence rates. 2022. <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/01-06-2022-interim-</u>
- 678 <u>statement-on-hybrid-immunity-and-increasing-population-seroprevalence-rates</u>.
- 679

682 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Eligible participants who previously received any COVID-19 primary-series

684 vaccination with or without a prior booster dose, were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to receive either

- a Omicron-BA.1-monovalent or a mRNA-1273 booster (part 1) or to receive either a Omicron-
- 686 BA.1-bivalent or a mRNA-1273 booster (part 2) in the safety set. The trial was initiated in
- 687 February 2022 with the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent booster vaccine in response to the
- 688 emergence of the Omicron variant; thereafter due to the rapid evolution of Omicron sublineages,
- 689 enrollment of the Omicron-BA.1-monovalent portion of the trial (part 1) was stopped to expedite
- 690 enrollment of an Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vaccine (part 2), which was hypothesized to induce

better cross-protection. Randomisation was stratified by age group (16 to <65 or ≥ 65 years) and

692 number of prior booster doses received (received study vaccine as the fourth dose or as the third

693 dose). Participants who received the second booster (fourth) dose as part of the study must have

694 previously received a mRNA vaccine as the first booster (third) dose of a COVID-19 vaccine.

695 Participants who received the first booster (third) dose may have previously received two

696 injections of an approved/authorized mRNA or non-mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. In part 1, *4

697 participants who received Omicron-BA.1-monovalent were included in the safety set but not in

the full analysis set; †1 participant received Omicron-BA.1-monovalent as a third dose and was

699 included in the safety set but excluded from the immunogenicity and efficacy analyses. §In part

700 2, 4 participants who received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent as a third dose and 7 participants who

received mRNA-1273 as a third dose were excluded from the immunogenicity and efficacy

analyses. The data-cutoff date was August 4, 2022.

Figure 2. Shown are the percentages of participants in whom solicited local or systemic adverse reactions occurred within 7 days after the booster dose in the solicited safety set (part 2, n=1421

in the Omicron-BA.1-bivalent and n=1398 in the mRNA-1273 groups) and includes those with
first and second booster doses.

Figure 3. Shown are the cumulative event rates of COVID-19 per the primary case definition of the COVE trial^{13,14} based on assessment starting 14 days after randomisation in the per-protocol efficacy population of parts 1 (Panel A) and 2 (Panel B). Tick marks indicate censored data. The incidence rate was defined as the number of events divided by number of participants at risk and adjusted by 1,000 person-years. Arrow denotes that as of the data-cutoff date for the interim analysis <50% of participants had follow-up beyond 150 days in part 1 and beyond 100 days in part 2.

714

716 Figure 1: Trial profile

A. Part 1



- 721 Figure 2: Solicited adverse reactions after receipt of Omicron-BA.1-Bivalent or mRNA-
- 722 1273 boosters, part 2 solicited safety set



- 725 Figure 3. Cumulative event rates of COVID-19 starting ≥14 days after randomisation
- 726 following receipt of Omicron-BA.1-monovalent, Omicron-BA.1-bivalent, or mRNA-1273
- 727 **boosters**







731	Table 1:	Demographics and	participant	characteristics.	, safety set
101			part tropparty		

	Part 1		Part 2	
Characteristics n (%)*	Omicron-BA.1- Monovalent 50 μg N=367	mRNA-1273 50 μg N=357	Omicron-BA.1- Bivalent 50 µg N=1422	mRNA-1273 50 μg N=1402
Age at Screening (yr)	E7.6 (10.0)	EZ 0 (10 0)	EZ 4 (10 E)	EZ 0 (10 8)
Mean (SD)	57.6 (12.9)	57.3 (13.2)	57.4 (12.5)	57.0 (12.8)
Age subgroup	240 (65.4)	233 (65.3)	945 (66.5)	933 (66.5)
≥65 years	127 (34.6)	124 (34.7)	477 (33·5)	469 (33·5)
Sex				
Male	167 (45.5)	155 (43.4)	727 (51.1)	708 (50.5)
Female	200 (54.5)	202 (56.6)	695 (48.9)	694 (49.5)
Race or ethnic group [†]				
White	353 (96-2)	335 (93.8)	1346 (94.7)	1312 (93.6)
Asian	10 (2.7)	10 (2.8)	6 (0·4) 31 (2.2)	6 (0·4) 41 (2.9)
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups	3 (0.8)	5 (1.4)	21 (1.5)	28 (2.0)
Other	1 (0.3)	5 (1.4)	4 (0.3)	7 (0.5)
Not reported, unknown or missing	0	2 (0.6)	14 (1.0)	8 (0.6)
Body mass index (kg/m2)				
Mean (SD)	27.7 (5.7)	28.3 (5.8)	27.7 (5.5)	27.6 (5.7)
Time from most recent COVID-19 vaccine to				
booster dose (months)				
Prior vaccination received (primary series)	4.0 (3.6-4.7)	4.1 (3.3-4.7)	5.5 (4.6-6.2)	5.4 (4.0-0.2)
Vaxzevria	196 (53-4)	178 (49-9)	907 (63-8)	872 (62.2)
Comirnaty	164 (44.7)	170 (47.6)	472 (33.2)	493 (35.2)
Spikevax	2 (0.5)	2 (0.6)	21 (1.5)	19 (1.4)
Jcovden	-	-	4 (0.3)	5 (0.4)
Mixed regimen/other	5 (1·3)	7 (2.0)	18 (1·3)	13 (0.9)
Prior first booster received				
Comirnaty	296 (80.9)	290 (81.2)	1110 (78.1)	1068 (76.6)
Spikevax Bro boostor BT BCB appay for SABS CoV 2	70 (19-1)	67 (18-8)	308 (21.7)	327 (23.5)
Negative	363 (98.9)	351 (98-3)	1302 (91-6)	1291 (92.1)
Positive	4 (1.1)	6 (1.7)	19 (1.3)	15 (1.1)
Missing	0	Û Û	101 (7.1)	96 (6.8)
Pre-booster antibody to SARS-CoV-2				
nucleocapsid¶				
Negative	319 (86-9)	318 (89-1)	1093 (76.9)	1034 (73.8)
Positive	44 (12.0)	37 (10-4)	313 (22.0)	357 (25.5)
Pre-booster SARS-CoV/2 status	4 (1.1)	∠ (0.0)	16 (1.1)	11 (0.8)
Negative	316 (86-1)	312 (87.4)	1004 (70.6)	957 (68-3)
Positive	47 (12.8)	43 (12.0)	322 (22.6)	364 (26.0)
Missing	4 (1.1)	2 (0.6)	96 (6.8)	81 (5.8)

SD=standard deviation. Percentages are based on the number of participants in the safety set. In part 1, one participant received Omicron-BA.1-monovalent as a third dose, 366 participants received Omicron-BA.1-monovalent as a fourth dose, and 357 participants received mRNA-1273 as a fourth dose. In part 2, four participants received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent as a third dose, 1418 received Omicron-BA.1-bivalent as a fourth dose, seven participants received mRNA-1273 as a third dose, and 1395 participants received mRNA-1273 as a fourth dose.

*Percentages may not total 100% due to rounding. RT-PCR: reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

[†] Race and ethnic group were reported by the participant.

§ Participants with <3 months duration between 3rd and 4th doses were excluded from the per-protocol sets

The Elecsys assay for binding antibody to SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid was used.

^IPre-booster SARS-CoV-2 status was positive if there was evidence of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, defined as positive binding antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid or positive RT-PCR assay at day 1; negative SARS-CoV-2 status was defined as negative binding antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid and a negative RT-PCR assay at day 1. The data-cutoff date was August 4, 2022

734 Table 2. Pseudovirus neutralizing antibodies against Omicron BA.1 or ancestral SARS-

735 CoV-2 (D614G) after receipt of Omicron-BA.1-Monovalent, Omicron-BA.1-Bivalent, or

736 mRNA-1273 second boosters administered to participants with no prior SARS-CoV-2-

737 infection

		Omicr	on BA.1		
	Part	1	Part 2		
	Omicron-BA.1- Monovalent 50 μg	mRNA-1273 50 μg	Omicron-BA.1 Bivalent 50 µg	mRNA-1273 50 μg	
	N=274	N=277	N=969	N=902	
Baseline (Day 1) n [*]	266	273	966	901	
Observed GMC (95% CI) †	71·2 (63·6-79·8)	67·6 (60·3-75·9)	50·9 (47·7-54·2)	52·1 (48·7-55·8)	
Day 29, n [*]	274	275	965	895	
Observed GMC (95% CI) †	537·7 (478·2-604·6)	307·4 (279·5-338·2)	466·8 (438·0-497·4)	311-8 (293-8-330-9)	
GMFR (95% CI) †	7.5 (6.8-8.2)	4.5 (4.1-4.9)	9.2 (8.7-9.7)	5.9 (5.6-6.3)	
Estimated GMC (99% CI) $^{\$}$	525·5 (472·0-585·0)	312·8 (281·4-347·7)	496·4 (339·1-726·6)	323·9 (221·2-474·2)	
GMR (99% CI) [§]	1.68 (1.45	5-1-95)	1.53 (1.41-1.67)		
Day 29 SRR n/N1,% [¶]	220/266, 82.7	151/271, 55.7	813/962, 84.5	631/894, 70.6	
(95% CI)∥	(77.6-87.1)	(49.6-61.7)	(82.1-86.7)	(67.5-73.6)	
Difference (95% CI) \ddagger	27.0 (19.4	-34·3)	13.9 (10.2-17.7)		
		Ancestra	al (D614G)		
	Part 1		Part 2 Omicron-BA 1		
	Monovalent 50 ug	mRNA-1273 50 μg	Bivalent 50 µg	mRNA-1273 50 μg	
	N=274	N=277	N=969	N=902	
Baseline (Day 1) n*	271	276	953	885	
Observed GMC (95% CI) †	731·7 (662·2-808·5)	634·3 (575·6-699·0)	501·6 (471·8-533·2)	518·1 (486·9-551·4)	
Day 29, n [*]	270	276	955	886	
Observed GMC (95% CI) †	2699·7 (2431·3-2997·7)	3020·6 (2776·5-3286·2)	2987·2 (2814·9-3169·9)	2911·3 (2750·9-3081·0)	
GIVIER (95% CI)	3.7 (3.4-4.0)	4.8 (4.4-5.2)	6.0 (2.6-6.3)	5.6 (5.3-6.0)	
Estimated GMC (95%/99% CI) [§]	3·7 (3·4-4·0) 2563·9 (2381·8-2760·0)	4·8 (4·4-5·2) 3127·5 (2907·4-3364·3)	6·0 (5·6-6·3) 3217·3 (2381·2-4347·1)	5.6 (5.3-6.0) 3069.5 (2271.5-4147.9)	
Estimated GMC (95%/99% CI) [§] GMR (99% CI) [§]	3·7 (3·4-4·0) 2563·9 (2381·8-2760·0) -	4·8 (4·4-5·2) 3127·5 (2907·4-3364·3)	6·0 (5·6-6·3) 3217·3 (2381·2-4347·1) 1·05 (0·9	5·6 (5·3-6·0) 3069·5 (2271·5-4147·9) 6-1·15)	
GMPR (95% CI) [§] GMR (99% CI) [§] GMR (95% CI) [§]	3·7 (3·4-4·0) 2563·9 (2381·8-2760·0) - 0·82 (0·74	4·8 (4·4-5·2) 3127·5 (2907·4-3364·3) I-0·91)	6·0 (5·6-6·3) 3217·3 (2381·2-4347·1) 1·05 (0·9	5-6 (5-3-6-0) 3069-5 (2271-5-4147-9) 6-1-15)	
GMR (95% CI) [§] GMR (99% CI) [§] GMR (95% CI) [§] Day 29 SRR n/N1, % [¶]	3.7 (3.4-4.0) 2563.9 (2381.8-2760.0) - 0.82 (0.74 115/267, 43.1	4.8 (4.4-5.2) 3127.5 (2907.4-3364.3) (-0.91) 160/271, 59.0	6·0 (5·6-6·3) 3217·3 (2381·2-4347·1) 1·05 (0·9 - 670/945, 70·9	5-6 (5-3-6-0) 3069-5 (2271-5-4147-9) 6-1-15) 600/876, 68-5	
GMFR (95% CI) [§] Estimated GMC (95%/99% CI) [§] GMR (99% CI) [§] GMR (95% CI) [§] Day 29 SRR n/N1, % [¶] (95% CI) [∥]	3.7 (3.4-4.0) 2563.9 (2381.8-2760.0) - 0.82 (0.74 115/267, 43.1 (37.1-49.2)	4-8 (4-4-5-2) 3127-5 (2907-4-3364-3) (-0-91) 160/271, 59-0 (52-9-65-0)	6.0 (5.6-6.3) 3217.3 (2381.2-4347.1) 1.05 (0.9 - - 670/945, 70.9 (67.9-73.8)	5-6 (5·3-6·0) 3069-5 (2271·5-4147·9) /6-1·15) 600/876, 68·5 (65·3-71·6)	

ANCOVA=analysis of covariance; CI=Confidence interval; GMC=geometric mean concentration; GMFR=geometric mean foldrise; GMR=geometric mean ratio of GMCs for Omicron-BA.1-monovalent vs mRNA-1273 or Omicron-BA.1-bivalent vs mRNA-1273; LLOQ=lower limit of quantification; LS=least squares; SRR=seroresponse rate; ULOQ=upper limit of quantification. Antibody values assessed by means of pseudovirus neutralizing antibody assay reported as <LLOQ (8 for Omicron BA.1; 10 for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [D614G]) were replaced by 0-5 times the LLOQ. Values >ULOQ (41,984 for Omicron BA.1; 4,505,600 for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [D614G]) were replaced by the ULOQ if actual values were not available. Included are participants with no evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection from baseline through day 29 (primary analysis set, PPSI-negative) who received second booster doses.

*Number of participants with non-missing data at the timepoint (baseline or post-baseline).

†95% CI is calculated based on the t-distribution of the log-transformed values or the difference in the log-transformed values for GMC value and GMFR, respectively, then back transformed to the original scale for presentation.

§The log-transformed antibody concentrations are analyzed using an ANCOVA model with the treatment variable as fixed effect, adjusting for age group (16 to <65, ≥65 years), most recent COVID-19 vaccination type (mRNA, viral vector), and pre-booster antibody concentration. The Least Squares means, difference of Least Squares means, and 95% CI (GMC and GMR part 1 for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [D614G]) and 99% CI (GMC and GMR parts 1 and 2 for Omicron BA.1 and part 2 for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [D614G]) are back transformed to the original scale for presentation.

¶SRR at a participant level is defined as a change from below the LLOQ to equal or above 4 x LLOQ, or at least a 4-fold rise if baseline is equal to or above the LLOQ. 95% CI is calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method. ‡95% CI is calculated using the Miettinen-Nurminen (score) confidence limits. ||Number of participants with non-missing data at baseline and the corresponding timepoint.