This is a repository copy of Measurement of electroweak $Z(v v)$ yjj production and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $s V=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/200626/
Version: Published Version

## Article:

Aad, G., Abbott, B., Abbott, D.C. et al. (2908 more authors) (2023) Measurement of electroweak $Z(v V)$ yjj production and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\mathrm{s} V=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2023. 82.
https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06(2023)082

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Measurement of electroweak $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ production and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in $p p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector 

## The ATLAS collaboration

E-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

AbSTRACT: The electroweak production of $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma$ in association with two jets is studied in a regime with a photon of high transverse momentum above 150 GeV using proton-proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV at the Large Hadron Collider. The analysis uses a data sample with an integrated luminosity of $139 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ collected by the ATLAS detector during the 2015-2018 LHC data-taking period. This process is an important probe of the electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism in the Standard Model and is sensitive to quartic gauge boson couplings via vector-boson scattering. The fiducial $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ cross section for electroweak production is measured to be $0.77_{-0.30}^{+0.34} \mathrm{fb}$ and is consistent with the Standard Model prediction. Evidence of electroweak $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ production is found with an observed significance of $3.2 \sigma$ for the background-only hypothesis, compared with an expected significance of $3.7 \sigma$. The combination of this result with the previously published ATLAS observation of electroweak $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ production yields an observed (expected) signal significance of $6.3 \sigma(6.6 \sigma)$. Limits on anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings are obtained in the framework of effective field theory with dimension- 8 operators.
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## 1 Introduction

The scattering of two vector bosons (VBS), $V V \rightarrow V V$ with $V=W / Z / \gamma$, is an important process to probe the nature of electroweak (EWK) symmetry breaking in the Standard Model (SM). The presence of the Higgs field in the SM prevents the divergence of VBS amplitudes at high energies and violation of unitarity at the TeV scale. The non-Abelian structure of gauge interactions in the electroweak sector of the Standard Model results in a rich variety of VBS processes, with unique opportunities to probe physics beyond the SM (BSM). VBS processes are sensitive to SM quartic gauge couplings (QGCs) and also to possible anomalous QGCs (aQGCs) [1-3].

Neutral QGCs are absent in the SM at tree level, but they can be induced by BSM physics, allowing new processes at high energy scales. The vector-boson scattering $Z \gamma$ process and a similar process exploiting the $Z Z$ final state are the only processes sensitive to the neutral quartic gauge couplings, with the former having the larger expected cross section. Such processes can be studied through measurements of the electroweak production of two vector bosons and two jets ( $V V j j$ ).


Figure 1. Feynman diagrams of electroweak $Z \gamma j j$ production involving the VBS subprocess (top left) or non-VBS subprocesses (top right) and of QCD $Z \gamma j j$ production with gluon exchange (bottom left) or the s-channel $g g-q q$ process (bottom right).

The $Z \gamma j j$ final states are produced mainly through a combination of strong and electroweak interactions in proton-proton $(p p)$ collisions. The signal in this study is of the order $\alpha_{\text {EWK }}^{4}$ at tree level, where $\alpha_{\text {EWK }}$ is the electroweak coupling constant. In the following, such processes are referred to as $Z \gamma j j$ EWK. The VBS process is an inseparable part of the gauge-invariant ensemble of $Z \gamma j j$ electroweak processes [4]. The main background in this study is of order $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \alpha_{\text {EWK }}^{2}$ at tree level, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) strong coupling constant. In the following, such processes are referred to as $Z \gamma j j$ QCD. Example Feynman diagrams of the aforementioned processes are given in figure 1.

This paper presents a measurement of electroweak production in the $Z \gamma j j$ final state, where the $Z$ boson decays into $\nu \bar{\nu}$. This choice is motivated by the fact that the $Z$ boson branching ratio into neutrinos is larger than the branching ratio into charged leptons; also, the background is under better control than in the hadronic decay channel. The analysis uses $p p$ collision data recorded between 2015 and 2018 by the ATLAS detector [5] during Run 2 at the LHC. Based on this measurement, a search for aQGCs is performed. Anomalous couplings produce deviations from the SM prediction that grow with increasing momentum transfer between the incoming partons. Hence, this analysis exploits a region of high momentum transfer by requiring a photon of transverse momentum larger than 150 GeV .

The high energy and luminosity of the LHC allow rare VBS processes to be studied in detail. In particular, the observation of the $Z(\ell \bar{\ell}) \gamma j j$ channel has been reported [6]. Moreover, the observation of $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ has been reported [7] in a low-energy phase-space region orthogonal to that presented in this paper. While the low-energy phase space gives negligible sensitivity for the aQGC search, it can be combined with the current high-energy
analysis to increase the overall sensitivity to the SM electroweak $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ process. Other $V V j j$ EWK processes, including $Z Z j j$ [8], $W \gamma j j$ [9], same-sign $W W j j$ [10], $W^{ \pm} Z j j$ [11], and photon-induced $W^{+} W^{-} j j$ [12], have also been observed.

## 2 Experimental set-up

The ATLAS detector [5] is a multi-purpose particle detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry and coverage of nearly the entire solid angle. ${ }^{1}$ It consists of an inner tracking detector (ID) surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T axial magnetic field, electromagnetic (ECAL) and hadronic (HCAL) calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer (MS).

The ID is used for precise measurements of charged-particle tracks. It is composed of two silicon detectors covering the pseudorapidity range $|\eta|<2.5$ : a pixel detector (including the insertable B-layer [13, 14]) and a silicon microstrip tracker, surrounded by a straw-tube transition radiation tracker (TRT) with an acceptance of $|\eta|<2.0$, which also contributes to electron identification.

The ECAL is composed of high-granularity lead/liquid-argon (LAr) calorimeters in the region $|\eta|<3.2$ and copper/LAr calorimeters in the region $3.2<|\eta|<4.9$. It plays a crucial role in photon identification, since photons are identified as narrow isolated showers in the ECAL. The HCAL consists of a steel/scintillator-tile calorimeter within $|\eta|<1.7$ and two copper/LAr and tungsten/LAr forward calorimeters within $1.7<|\eta|<4.9$. The fine segmentation of the ATLAS calorimeter system allows efficient separation of jets from isolated prompt photons.

The MS comprises three large superconducting toroids, each having eight coils, as well as trigger and high-precision tracking chamber systems that cover the regions $|\eta|<2.4$ and $|\eta|<2.7$, respectively.

The ATLAS trigger system [15] has two levels, a hardware-based first-level trigger and a software-based high-level trigger (HLT). The trigger system selects events from the 40 MHz LHC proton bunch crossings at a rate of about 1 kHz .

An extensive software suite [16] is used in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

## 3 Data and simulation

The analysis uses the data collected by the ATLAS experiment from LHC $p p$ collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ during 2015-2018 stable beam conditions, when all subdetectors were operational [17], corresponding to a total integrated luminosity of $139 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ [18, 19].

[^0]Simulated signal and background events were produced with various Monte Carlo (MC) event generators, processed through the full ATLAS detector simulation [20] using GEant4 [21], and then reconstructed with the same procedure as is used for data.

The effect of multiple $p p$ interactions in the same and neighbouring bunch crossings (referred to as pile-up) was modelled by overlaying the signal and background MC samples of simulated hard-scattering events with inelastic $p p$ events generated with PyTHIA 8.186 [22] using the NNPDF2.3LO set of parton distribution functions (PDF) [23] and the A3 set of tuned parameters [24].

The signal $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK events, including samples with non-zero aQGC parameters as well as interference between $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK and QCD production and background $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ EWK and $t \bar{t} \gamma j j$ events, were generated using MadGraph5__AMC@NLO at leading order (LO) in both QCD and QED, interfaced to the PyThiA 8 [25] parton shower model. The decays of bottom and charm hadrons were simulated using the EvtGEn [26] program. Non-zero aQGC samples were generated for linear and quadratic BSM terms of the process amplitude for each effective field theory (EFT) operator considered.

For the signal $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK sample, the next-to-leading-order (NLO) QCD corrections were produced with VBFNLO 2.7.1 [27], taking into account the $m_{j j}$ dependence for consequent reweighting of MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO result; the average value of the corrections is close to 1 . The scale variations from VBFNLO for the NLO QCD process are used instead of those from the LO MadGraph5__aMC@NLO sample. EWK samples with an alternative parton shower model are obtained using Herwig 7.13 [28, 29] instead of Pythia 8 and used for the evaluation of that systematic uncertainty.

The $V \gamma$ and $V+$ jets QCD backgrounds, prompt single-photon $(\gamma j j)$ and multijet $(j j)$ events, including $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j, W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j, Z(\ell \bar{\ell}) \gamma j j, W(e \nu) j j, Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) j j$ and $Z(e \bar{e})$ production, were generated using the Sherpa [30] generator. Matrix elements at NLO and LO QCD accuracy were matched and merged with the SHERPA parton shower based on CataniSeymour dipole factorisation [31, 32] using the MEPS@NLO prescription [33-36]. The virtual QCD corrections for the matrix elements at NLO accuracy were provided by the OpenLoops library [37-39]. The samples using the NNPDF3.0nnLo [40] PDF set were normalised to the next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) prediction [41].

An alternative $Z \gamma j j$ QCD sample was generated using MADGraph5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3 [42] at NLO interfaced to the PYthia 8.212 parton shower model. The merging procedure in the event generation was performed using the FxFx scheme [43].

Single-top or single-anti-top s-channel production was modelled using the Powheg Box v2 [44-47] generator, which provides matrix elements at NLO in the strong coupling constant in the five-flavour scheme with the NNPDF3.0nLo [40] PDF set. The events were interfaced with Pythia 8 using the NNPDF2.3Lo PDF set. The decays of bottom and charm hadrons were simulated using the EvtGEn program.

The details of the matrix element generator, parton shower and parameter values (tune), PDF choice, and cross-section order for the processes mentioned above are listed in table 1.

| Physics process | Generator | Parton shower | Cross-section order in pQCD | Tune | PDF set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK, $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ EWK | MadGraph5_AMC@NLO 2.6.2 [48] | Pythia 8.235 | LO, $K$-factor to NLO | A14 [49] | NNPDF2.3LO |
| $Z \gamma j j$ interference, aQGC | MadGraph5_AMC@NLO 2.6.7 | Pythia 8.244 | LO | A14 | NNPDF2.3LO |
| Alternative $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK \& $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ EWK | MadGraph5_AMC@NLO 2.6.2 | Herwig 7.13 | LO | A14 | NNPDF2.3LO |
| $t \bar{t} \gamma j j$ | MadGraph5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3 | Pythia 8.212 | LO, $K$-factor to NLO | A14 | NNPDF2.3LO |
| Alternative $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ | MadGraph5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3 | Pythia 8.212 | NLO | A14 | NNPDF2.3LO |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j, W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j, Z(\ell \bar{\ell}) \gamma j j$ | Sherpa 2.2.2 | Sherpa 2.2.2 | NLO | default | NNPDF3.0nnLo [40] |
| $W(e \nu) j j, W(\tau \nu) j j, Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) j j, Z(e \bar{e})$ | Sherpa 2.2.1 | Sherpa 2.2.1 | NLO | default | NNPDF3.0nnlo |
| $\gamma j j$ | Sherpa 2.1 | Sherpa 2.1 | LO, $K$-factor to NLO | default | CT10NLO [50] |
| jj | Sherpa 2.1.1 | Sherpa 2.1.1 | LO | default | CT10nlo |
| $t j j, t \bar{t} j j$ | Powheg Box v2 | Pythia 8.230 | NLO | A14 | NNPDF3.0nLo, NNPDF2.3LO |

Table 1. Simulated signal and background event samples used in the analysis with the corresponding matrix element and parton shower generators, cross-section order in perturbative QCD (pQCD) used to normalise the event yield, underlying-event tune and PDF set. Where indicated the NLO cross section is obtained with $K$-factors.

## 4 Event reconstruction and selection

Candidate $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ events are selected by requiring the presence of a highly energetic photon, high missing transverse momentum and two jets. The lowest-threshold unprescaled single-photon trigger was chosen in order to select a high-energy phase-space region, which is sensitive to aQGC. This trigger requires a transverse energy $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>140 \mathrm{GeV}$ and applies a 'loose' photon identification criterion [51]. The trigger efficiency for the photon candidates reconstructed offline and passing the 'tight' identification selection is more than $98.5 \%$ [52].

### 4.1 Object reconstruction

Photons are reconstructed [53] from clusters of energy deposited in the ECAL and selected to pass $|\eta|<2.37$ and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>150 \mathrm{GeV}$ requirements. Clusters that are matched to one or two tracks originating from a conversion vertex are classified as converted photon candidates, whereas clusters without a matching track or reconstructed conversion vertex in the ID are classified as unconverted photon candidates. Electron candidates are reconstructed [53] from ECAL energy clusters and matched to a track reconstructed in the ID. They are required to have $|\eta|<2.47$ and transverse momentum $p_{\mathrm{T}}>7 \mathrm{GeV}$. Both the photon and electron candidates must be outside of the calorimeter barrel/endcap transition region $(1.37<|\eta|<1.52)$.

Muons are reconstructed [54] from tracks in the MS matched to a corresponding track in the ID (referred to as 'combined muons'). The combined track is required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}}>7 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<2.7$. Electron and muon tracks are required to originate from the primary vertex. ${ }^{2}$ The transverse impact parameter significance ${ }^{3}$ is required to be less than 5 and 3 , respectively, for electrons and muons. The longitudinal impact parameter ${ }^{4}$ must be less than 0.5 mm for both the electrons and muons.

[^1]Photons and electrons are required to meet identification criteria based on their shower shapes in the ECAL, the amount of energy leaked into the hadronic calorimeter, and ID tracking information. The 'tight' photon identification criterion corresponding to passing all the requirements on shower shape variables is used in the analysis. The identification efficiency for the 'tight' photons is greater than $88 \%$ in Run 2 [53]. 'Loose' photons are selected in order to model jets misidentified as photons for the data-driven background estimation methods described in section 5. Electron candidates are required to satisfy the 'loose' electron identification criterion [53]. The 'medium' identification requirement is used for muon candidates [54].

Photons must satisfy the 'tight' isolation criterion [53], thereby fulfilling two requirements. Firstly, the sum of the transverse energies (at the electromagnetic energy scale) of positive-energy topological clusters located within a distance $\Delta R=0.4$ of the photon candidate must be less than $0.022 \cdot E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}+2.45 \mathrm{GeV}$. Secondly, the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the tracks located within a distance $\Delta R=0.2$ of the photon candidate must be less than $0.05 \cdot E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$. The 'loose' isolation requirement is imposed on electrons and muons [53, 54].

To suppress the beam-induced background [55], the $z$-axis coordinate pointed to by the photon candidate is required to be less than 250 mm from the identified primary vertex.

Jets are reconstructed from topological clusters in the calorimeters using the anti- $k_{t}$ algorithm $[56,57]$ with a radius parameter of $R=0.4$ and are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$. Jets are fully calibrated using the jet energy scale derived from 13 TeV data and simulation [58] and corrected for pile-up effects [59]. To suppress jets originating from pile-up, jets with $|\eta|<2.5$ and $20<p_{\mathrm{T}}<120 \mathrm{GeV}$ must satisfy the 'medium' identification requirement placed on the jet vertex tagger (JVT) output [60]. The forward pile-up jet vertex tagger (fJVT) [61], which can be applied to jets with $2.5<|\eta|<4.5$ and $20<p_{\mathrm{T}}<120 \mathrm{GeV}$, causes marginal changes, so it is not performed. The selected jets are required to have $p_{\mathrm{T}}>50 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|\eta|<4.5$.

The missing transverse momentum $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ is computed as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of candidate leptons with $p_{\mathrm{T}}>7 \mathrm{GeV}$, photons with $p_{\mathrm{T}}>10 \mathrm{GeV}$, jets with $p_{\mathrm{T}}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$, and tracks from the primary vertex not associated with any physics objects (the 'soft term') [62]. The quantity $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ is defined as the magnitude of $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and is used as a measure of the total transverse momentum of particles not registered by the detector.

Possible double counting of contributions from reconstructed particles is avoided by applying an ambiguity resolution procedure. The objects are removed in the following order: first, an electron lying within a $\Delta R=0.1$ cone around a muon, then a selected jet without any JVT requirement lying within $\Delta R=0.3$ of a photon, muon, or electron, and, finally, a photon lying within $\Delta R=0.4$ of either a muon or an electron.

### 4.2 Region definitions

The $Z \gamma$ inclusive region requires events with exactly one 'tight' isolated photon with transverse energy $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>150 \mathrm{GeV}$ and at least two jets. Selected events must also have


Figure 2. Definition of the regions used in the analysis.
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}>120 \mathrm{GeV}$ and calorimeter-measured $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ significance ${ }^{5}$ greater than 12 . An angular separation between both the leading (highest- $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) and subleading (second-highest- $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) jets $j_{1,2}$ and $\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ of $\left|\Delta \phi\left(j_{1 / 2}, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right)\right|>0.3$ is also required. These criteria reduce the number of background events characterised by $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ arising from instrumental sources or poorly reconstructed physics objects (fake $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ ), mainly due to the $\gamma j j$ process. A lepton veto, which discards events with any electron or muon candidate, is applied to reduce the contribution from $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ and $Z(\ell \bar{\ell}) \gamma j j$ backgrounds. An azimuthal angle requirement $\left|\Delta \phi\left(\gamma, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right)\right|>0.4$ significantly decreases the $W(e \nu) j j$ background. Finally, a restriction on the soft term of $\vec{p}_{T}^{\text {miss }}$ reconstructed from ID tracks, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {SoftTerm }}<16 \mathrm{GeV}$, is effective against most backgrounds.

The $W \gamma$ control region (CR) requires events with at least one lepton. All other selection criteria are the same as for the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region.

The $Z \gamma$ inclusive region is divided into three subregions as shown in figure 2. The signal region (SR) is required to have $m_{j j}>300 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\gamma$-centrality ${ }^{6}<0.6$, where $m_{j j}$ is defined as the invariant mass of the two jets with the highest values of $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ in the event. The $Z \gamma$ QCD CR 1 requires events with $m_{j j}<300 \mathrm{GeV}$; it is used to estimate the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD background yield. The $Z \gamma$ QCD CR 2 has the same selection criteria as the SR but requires events with $\gamma$-centrality $>0.6$; it is used to check for possible $m_{j j}$ mismodelling. The values of the requirements are chosen to maximise the number of events and the purity of the targeted process in each region.

[^2]
## 5 Background estimation

The main background for the $Z \gamma j j$ EWK process is QCD production of $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$. This background constitutes $36 \%$ of the total predicted event yield in the SR. ${ }^{7}$ It is estimated from a simultaneous fit of the MC distributions to data in the control regions described in section 4 . The fit procedure is detailed in section 7 .

Other well-modelled backgrounds $[9,64,65]$ arise from $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD and EWK production, and production of $t \bar{t} \gamma j j$ with semileptonic or fully leptonic decays. They contribute $25 \%, 7 \%$ and $6 \%$ to the total predicted event yield in the SR repectively. Their distribution shapes are taken from MC predictions and their normalisation is obtained from the simultaneous fit. Varying the ratio of $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ to $t \bar{t} \gamma j j$ contributions has negligible impact on the fit result.

The minor background from $Z(\ell \bar{\ell}) \gamma j j$ production is estimated from MC simulation and without normalisation via the fit (it is less than $1 \%$ of the predicted event yield in the $\mathrm{SR})$. In all these processes except $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$, the leptons are either not reconstructed or they are $\tau$-leptons which decay into hadrons.

Background processes with object misidentification or incorrect energy measurement are not well modelled by the MC simulation, and so they are estimated from data. There are three such background processes: $e \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification, $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ mismeasurement, and $j \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification. They respectively contribute $6 \%, 5.5 \%$ and $2 \%$ to the total predicted event yield in the SR. These backgrounds are included in the simultaneous fit, with the normalisation estimated from data.

The sources of the $e \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification background are mainly processes with a $W$ boson decaying leptonically, which are $W(e \nu) j j, t j j$, and $t \bar{t} j j$ production. At high energies, such misidentification mainly occurs when a prompt electron is mistaken for a prompt photon, e.g. if an electron's track is not reconstructed in the ID. The $e \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification rate $\left(f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}\right)$ is estimated from data using a variation of the tag-and-probe method (e.g. in ref. [66]), in which it is assumed that e $e \gamma$ pairs with invariant mass near the $Z$ boson mass contain an electron or positron misidentified as a photon. The probe photon is selected in the same way as the SR photon, while the probe electron is selected with a method that is as close as possible to the selection of the SR photon. In this case, $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$ can be estimated as the ratio of the number of events containing $e \gamma$ to the number containing $e^{+} e^{-}$ tag-and-probe pairs in the $Z$-peak region after subtraction of the Drell-Yan background. The subtraction is performed using extrapolation of an exponential polynomial fit of the Drell-Yan background outside the $Z$-peak region. The measured $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$ ranges from $2 \%$ to $6.8 \%$ depending on photon $\eta$ and $E_{\mathrm{T}}$. The systematic uncertainty of the $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$ estimate ranges from $3.7 \%$ to $16 \%$. It has three contributions, which are, listed in decreasing order of magnitude, the uncertainty from a check of the method's validity in $Z(e e)$ simulation, the uncertainty from the fit to estimate the background under the $Z$ peak, and the choice of $Z$-peak region. The statistical uncertainty of $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$ ranges from $2.5 \%$ to $6.3 \%$. The measured value of $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$ is then used to estimate the background yield. The corresponding

[^3]$W(e \nu) j j$-enriched regions are built in data for the $W \gamma \mathrm{CR}$, the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region, the $Z \gamma$ QCD CR 1 and CR 2, and the SR, with a probe electron selected instead of a photon. The resulting event yields or distributions are multiplied by $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$, taking into account $\eta$ and $E_{T}$ dependencies. The total systematic uncertainty of the background estimate includes systematic and statistical uncertainties of the $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$ estimate and also the impurity of the probe-electron control region. According to MC simulations, the contamination consists of events with fake electrons from $j \rightarrow e$ misidentification and varies from less than $1 \%$ to $2 \%$ depending on the region; these values are taken as the systematic uncertainties. The total systematic uncertainty of the $e \rightarrow \gamma$ background estimate varies from $4.7 \%$ to $7.4 \%$.

The mismeasured $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ in $\gamma+$ jets production occurs when there is an incorrect measurement of the jet energy or when some of the jets are not reconstructed in the event. To estimate this background, a two-dimensional sideband (ABCD) method (e.g. see ref. [67]) based on the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ significance and $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {SoftTerm }}$ discriminating variables is used. Region A corresponds to the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region. Orthogonal control regions B, C, and D are built by inverting either of the analysis selections on $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ significance or $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {Softerm }}$, or both. The discriminating variables are chosen to ensure that the correlation between them is small and to minimise leakage of signal events into the control regions. In this case, the relation between the numbers of background events in the ABCD regions is $N_{\mathrm{A}} / N_{\mathrm{B}}=N_{\mathrm{C}} / N_{\mathrm{D}}$. The background of $\gamma+$ jets in the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region is estimated using the yields observed in the $B, C$, and $D$ regions in the data, where contamination with non- $\gamma+$ jets events is removed using either MC simulation or data-driven estimation. The correlation factor ${ }^{8}$ is estimated from $\gamma+$ jets MC events and is $1.09 \pm 0.18$; a value of 1 would indicate an absence of correlation. The statistical uncertainty of the fake- $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ background is assessed by independently varying the non $-\gamma+$ jets backgrounds in the control regions by $\pm 1 \sigma$. It results in a $44 \%$ uncertainty in this background estimate for the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region. The total systematic uncertainty is $32 \%$. The dominant contribution (31\%) is obtained by varying the correlation factor by its uncertainty. To obtain estimates of this background in the signal and control regions of the analysis (the $Z \gamma$ QCD CRs and $W \gamma \mathrm{CR}$ ), the distributions of $m_{j j}$ and $\gamma$-centrality from the $\gamma+$ jets MC events are used. They are found to be in agreement with data within the uncertainties, after subtraction of other backgrounds. The $\gamma+$ jets MC events are used to model the shape of this background in the fit for the observable used for the cross-section extraction.

Background from $j \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification arises mainly from $Z$ boson production where the $Z$ boson decays into a neutrino-antineutrino pair and from multijet production combined with fake $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$. This background is also estimated using the ABCD method, with A region corresponding to the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region. The discriminating variables are those used in the photon isolation and ECAL shower-shape identification criteria. To construct the orthogonal regions, the SR requirement for the photon to satisfy the 'tight' identification criteria is replaced by a 'non-tight' requirement for the C and D regions, and the ECAL-based isolation criterion is inverted in the B and D regions. The 'non-tight'

[^4]photon candidate must fail at least one of the two shower shape selections [68] $w_{\mathrm{s} 3},{ }^{9}$ and $F_{\text {side }},{ }^{10}$ which are associated with the strip layer of the ECAL. These are chosen instead of other 'non-tight' variables because of their lower correlation with isolation variables used in the construction of the regions. In addition, the correlation factor obtained from $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) j j$ inclusive MC simulation and the one obtained from data agree best when using this 'non-tight' definition. The statistical uncertainty of the $j \rightarrow \gamma$ background is obtained in the same way as for the $\gamma+$ jets background. The largest uncertainty in the background estimate is $52 \%$ for the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region. The dominant systematic uncertainty comes from variations of the control region definition ${ }^{11}$ and is $18 \%$, while the total is $19 \%$. The $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD MC sample is used as a template for the background in the fit and for the extrapolation to the signal and control regions of the analysis (these are the $Z \gamma \mathrm{QCD}$ control regions and $W \gamma$ control region). The reason why the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD MC sample is used instead of the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) j j$ inclusive MC sample is that far fewer events are selected from the latter; the distributions from these MC samples agree within their uncertainties.

Another background, due to pile-up, arises when the photon and $Z$ boson are produced in different $p p$ collisions in the same LHC bunch crossing. This background is estimated from the distribution of the longitudinal separation between the reconstructed primary vertex and the reconstructed coordinate of a photon's origin. Only converted photons are used since they have better $z$-coordinate resolution. This contribution has no significant effect on the overall background shape and is considered only as a systematic normalisation uncertainty of $1.9 \%$ in the fit.

## 6 Systematic uncertainties

Experimental sources of systematic uncertainty include uncertainties in the energy scale and resolution of jets, photons and electrons, in the scale and resolution of the muon momentum, and in the missing transverse momentum. Additional contributors to the experimental uncertainty are the uncertainties in the scale factors used to reproduce the trigger, reconstruction, identification and isolation efficiencies, and pile-up conditions measured in data. The uncertainty in the combined 2015-2018 integrated luminosity is $1.7 \%$ [18], obtained using the LUCID-2 detector [19] for the primary luminosity measurements.

The theoretical systematic uncertainties considered in this analysis are related to higher-order QCD corrections and our choice of PDF and value of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_{s}$. The uncertainties due to higher-order QCD corrections are estimated by varying the renormalisation and factorisation scales by factors of one-half and two, and
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Figure 3. These graphs indicate the effect of the main theory uncertainties, which are associated with the renormalisation and factorisation scales (dashed cyan), underlying event and parton showering (UE+PS) or generator choice (dash-dotted red), alternative PDF sets (dotted orange), combined NNPDF set variation and $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ uncertainty (loosely dash-dotted green). These are shown in the signal region for (a) the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK process and (b) the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD process. The BDT classifier response was remapped into equal width bins for better representation. The uncertainty band corresponds to the uncertainty due to the limited number of MC events.
ignoring the combinations that differ by a factor of four. The uncertainties due to the PDF and $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ choice are estimated using the PDF4LHC prescription [69]. Additionally, a global modelling uncertainty was evaluated using an alternative MC generator either for the matrix element generation, underlying event and parton showering or for only the last two of these. The alternative PDF sets and MC generators are described in section 3.

The signal selection uses a boosted decision tree (BDT). The signal-to-background ratio is expected to increase at high values of the BDT classifier as is described in section 7. The effect of the theoretical systematic uncertainties on the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK and $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD processes, versus BDT classifier response, is shown in figure 3.

The yield of the interference between the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK signal and QCD background is estimated to be small ( $5.8 \%$ of the total signal yield in the SR ) so it is not included as a part of the electroweak signal in the fit. Instead, the signal is represented by the pure electroweak process, and the directly generated interference contribution is taken as an extra signal uncertainty.

Additional uncertainties related to data-driven background estimates are also considered. Systematic uncertainties are assigned to the normalisation of the backgrounds from $e \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification, $j \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentification, incorrect $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ measurement, and the
combination of a $Z$ boson and a photon from different $p p$ collisions (pile-up background), with values corresponding to those described in section 5 .

Mismodelling of the $m_{j j}$ distribution is observed in the $Z \gamma$ QCD CR 2 (see figure 4(b), where the prediction-to-data ratio has $\chi^{2} / N_{\mathrm{df}}=8.2 / 7$ and a $p$-value $=0.31$ ) and could have a similar impact in the SR. Since the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j \mathrm{QCD}$ and $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j \mathrm{QCD}$ processes are the dominant ones in this region (contributing $45 \%$ and $31 \%$ of the total predicted event yield, respectively) and the $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD process is shown to be accurately modelled in the $W \gamma$ CR (see figure 4(c)), the mismodelling is attributed to the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD process. Due to the similarities between these two processes (being produced by the same MC generator and having final-state kinematical properties that differ only because of the final-state boson) and the fact that the $W \gamma$ CR has data in all of the $m_{j j}$ and $\gamma$-centrality spectra bins, the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD modelling in the SR was checked with the $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD process in the analogous part of the $W \gamma \mathrm{CR}$. This validates the modeling of $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j \mathrm{QCD}$ in the SR to the level of agreement between the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j \mathrm{QCD}$ and $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j \mathrm{QCD}$ processes, and to the level of agreement between the $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD process and the data. The discrepancies are used to assign two systematic uncertainties to the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j \mathrm{QCD}$ contribution in the SR, resulting in $15 \%$ and $23 \%$ relative uncertainties in the total event yield, respectively.

## 7 Signal extraction procedure and results

A BDT classifier created with the TMVA [70] package is used to separate the signal from the background processes described in section 5. It is trained in the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region. Due to the low number of events in the $Z \gamma$ inclusive region, the $\gamma+$ jets, $Z(\ell \bar{\ell}) \gamma$ and $Z j$, and multijet ( $j j$ ) backgrounds are not used to train the classifier. In order to utilise the full set of simulated events, two classifiers are created and trained on two statistically independent samples. The classifier trained on one sample is applied to the other, and the final response distribution is built by combining the responses of these two classifiers. The following variables are used to create the classifier: $m_{j j}, \Delta y\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right), E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}, p_{\mathrm{T}}$-balance, ${ }^{12}$ $\eta\left(j_{2}\right), p_{\mathrm{T}}\left(j_{1}\right), \eta(\gamma), p_{\mathrm{T}}$-balance (reduced), ${ }^{13} N_{\text {jets }}, \sin \left(\left|\Delta \varphi\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) / 2\right|\right)$ and $\Delta y\left(j_{1}, \gamma\right)$. This is the smallest set of variables that gives the classifier sufficient separation power. Although the $\gamma$-centrality provides as much separation power as $m_{j j}$ or $\Delta y\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)$, it was not used in the classifier because this allows the creation of an independent $Z \gamma$ QCD CR 2 that was used to check the modelling of the $m_{j j}$ distribution.

To extract the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK cross section, a binned maximum-likelihood fit [71] is performed using the BDT classifier response distribution in the SR and the $m_{j j}$ distributions in the $Z \gamma$ QCD CRs 1 and 2 and the $W \gamma$ CR; this combination uses 31 bins. Three free parameters are introduced in the combined fit: a signal strength parameter, $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{EWK}}$, and two normalisation factors for the main background sources. The first, $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{QCD}}$, is used to scale the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD process yield, while $\mu_{W \gamma}$ is used to scale the yields of the $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD, $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ EWK and $t \bar{t} \gamma j j$ processes because of their similar final states.

[^6]Each source of systematic uncertainty is implemented in the likelihood function as a nuisance parameter (NP) with a Gaussian constraint. All instrumental uncertainties, as well as the pile-up background uncertainty, are treated as correlated between the processes and regions. Almost all of the other uncertainties are treated as correlated between regions. The exceptions are the scale uncertainties for all of the processes and the modelling uncertainties of the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD and $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD processes. All scale uncertainties are uncorrelated between the regions. The modelling uncertainties are separated into two groups: one correlated between all of the CRs and one for the SR. This conservative approach avoids unnecessary constraints upon systematic uncertainties coming from the differences in the MC generators.

To account for the effect of the limited size of the simulated samples, an uncertainty with a Poissonian constraint is introduced for bins with a relative statistical uncertainty higher than $5 \%$. The signal strength, background normalisation coefficients and yields for all of the processes are estimated in the fit to the observed data in the signal and control regions.

The observed significance is estimated by setting $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{EWK}}=0$ and performing a background-only fit to the data in all of the regions so as to determine the probability of rejecting the background-only hypothesis. In this approach uncertainties that only affect the signal process (i.e. theoretical uncertainties and the $Z(\nu \bar{n} \bar{u}) j j$ EWK/QCD interference) have no effect on the significance calculation. The expected significance is estimated by fitting the artificial Asimov dataset in the same way. Such a dataset is constructed by modifying the predicted values with the normalisation coefficients and NPs obtained in the fit in the CRs while assuming no signal is present [72].

The signal strength is measured to be:

$$
\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{EWK}}=0.78_{-0.23}^{+0.25} \text { (stat.) }{ }_{-0.17}^{+0.21} \text { (syst.). }
$$

The observed (expected) significance of the result is $3.2 \sigma$ (3.7 $)$. The $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{QCD}}$ and $\mu_{W \gamma}$ normalisation coefficients are measured to be $1.21_{-0.31}^{+0.37}$ and $1.02_{-0.17}^{+0.22}$, respectively, signifying agreement with the predicted yields within the uncertainties.

The predicted fiducial cross section is computed in the phase space defined in table 2 . The definition of the fiducial phase space closely follows the detector-level selections, using photons, electrons, muons, $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ and jets at the particle level. These stable final-state particles (with proper decay length $c \tau>10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) are produced in the hard scatter; this includes those that are the products of hadronisation. Thus they are reconstructed in simulation, prior to their interactions with the detector. The leptons used in the veto are reconstructed at the particle level, with a correction for fully recovered final-state radiation applied. No requirement is placed on the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ significance or $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {SoftTerm }}$ due to the complexity of defining these variables at particle level; however, the detector-level $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ requirement is applied to the particle-level $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$, which corresponds to the $E_{\mathrm{T}}$ of the dineutrino system. All the other kinematic selection requirements are the same as those at detector level in section 4.2. The fiducial region selection efficiency is $33 \%$. The fiducial cross section was predicted with MadGraph5_aMC@NLO (interfaced with Pythia) at leading order, with next-to-leading-order QCD corrections and scale uncertainties computed with

| Selections | Cut value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ | $>120 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ | $>150 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| Number of isolated photons | $N_{\gamma}=1$ |
| Photon isolation | $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {cone40 }}<0.022 p_{\mathrm{T}}+2.45 \mathrm{GeV}, p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {cone } 20} / p_{\mathrm{T}}<0.05$ |
| Number of jets | $N_{\text {jets }} \geq 2$ with $p_{\mathrm{T}}>50 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| Overlap removal | $\Delta R(\gamma$, jet $)>0.3$ |
| Lepton veto | $N_{e}=0, N_{\mu}=0$ |
| $\left\|\Delta \phi\left(\gamma, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right)\right\|$ | $>0.4$ |
| $\left\|\Delta \phi\left(j_{1}, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right)\right\|$ | $>0.3$ |
| $\left\|\Delta \phi\left(j_{2}, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right)\right\|$ | $>0.3$ |
| $m_{j j}$ | $>300 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $\gamma-$ centrality | $<0.6$ |

Table 2. Fiducial region definition.

VBFNLO. Its value is

$$
\left.\sigma_{Z \gamma \mathrm{EWK}}^{\mathrm{pred}}=0.98 \pm 0.02 \text { (stat. }\right) \pm 0.09(\text { scale }) \pm 0.02(\mathrm{PDF}) \mathrm{fb}
$$

Combined with the measured signal strength, it results in an observed fiducial cross section of

$$
\left.\sigma_{Z \gamma \mathrm{EWK}}=0.77_{-0.30}^{+0.34} \mathrm{fb}=0.77_{-0.23}^{+0.25} \text { (stat. }\right)_{-0.18}^{+0.22} \text { (syst.) } \mathrm{fb} .
$$

Table 3 shows the observed and expected event yields of the signal and backgrounds in the SR and CRs after the fit is performed. The post-fit $m_{j j}$ and BDT classifier response distributions are shown in figure 4 , and the summary plot for all of the regions is shown in figure 5.

The breakdown of the impact of groups of systematic uncertainties on the cross-section measurement is shown in table 4, with the theoretical uncertainties of the electroweak signal and the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD background having the largest impact.

## 8 Combination with previous ATLAS measurement

To increase the sensitivity, the measurement of electroweak $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ production presented in this paper is combined with the measurement from the previously published ATLAS observation of this process [7]. The analyses are statistically independent because their phase-space regions are orthogonal in $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$. This analysis requires $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>150 \mathrm{GeV}$, while the previous ATLAS analysis requires $15<E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}<110 \mathrm{GeV}$.

The combined $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK signal strength and its significance are extracted from a simultaneous profile likelihood fit. The fit includes all signal and control regions of both analyses and all corresponding systematic uncertainties. Various correlation schemes of jet energy and theoretical QCD scale uncertainties were tested and found to have negligible effect on the combined result. The observed (expected) significance of the combined result

|  | $W \gamma$ CR | $Z_{\gamma}$ QCD CR 1 | $Z_{\gamma}$ QCD CR 2 | Signal region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK | $0.108 \pm 0.028$ | $11.0 \pm 4.3$ | $4.0 \pm 2.2$ | $37 \pm 14$ |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD | $1.04 \pm 0.46$ | $394 \pm 84$ | $143 \pm 32$ | $133 \pm 39$ |
| $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ QCD | $425 \pm 63$ | $237 \pm 71$ | $76 \pm 24$ | $91 \pm 30$ |
| $W(\ell \nu) \gamma j j$ EWK | $63 \pm 12$ | $14.3 \pm 2.7$ | $4.5 \pm 1.2$ | $24.6 \pm 4.9$ |
| $W(e \nu) j j, t j j, t \bar{t} j j$ | $39.8 \pm 2.5$ | $70.1 \pm 4.1$ | $17.9 \pm 1.3$ | $22.5 \pm 1.5$ |
| $t \bar{t} \gamma j j$ | $193 \pm 57$ | $57 \pm 20$ | $9.1 \pm 3.4$ | $21.3 \pm 7.6$ |
| $\gamma j j$ | $4.8 \pm 7.4$ | $52 \pm 36$ | $8 \pm 11$ | $20 \pm 17$ |
| $Z j, j j$ | $0.06 \pm 0.66$ | $20 \pm 14$ | $5.9 \pm 6.9$ | $6.6 \pm 7.8$ |
| $Z(\bar{\ell}) \gamma j j$ | $8.6 \pm 2.5$ | $6.8 \pm 2.0$ | $2.04 \pm 0.95$ | $2.2 \pm 1.3$ |
| Total | $735 \pm 30$ | $863 \pm 54$ | $271 \pm 25$ | $357 \pm 30$ |
| Data | 737 | 849 | 268 | 356 |

Table 3. Observed and expected event yields for the signal and all of the background processes considered in this analysis after the fit to the data in all of the regions. The uncertainty in the expected yield is the combination of statistical and systematic uncertainties obtained in the fit. The individual uncertainties can be correlated and do not necessarily add in quadrature to equal the total expected uncertainty.

| Source of uncertainty | $\Delta \sigma / \sigma[\%]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Experimental | $-3.2 /+3.4$ |
| Jets | $-0.3 /+1.7$ |
| Electrons and photons | $-0.4 /+0.5$ |
| Muons | $-1.8 /+2.2$ |
| $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ | $-1.7 /+3.2$ |
| Pile-up modelling | $-0.9 /+2.1$ |
| Trigger efficiency | $-1.2 /+2.6$ |
| Luminosity |  |
| Theory | $-0.6 /+2.6$ |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK/QCD interference | $/+12$ |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK process | $-15 /+16$ |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD process | $-5.3 /+7.7$ |
| Other processes | $-0.9 /+1.2$ |
| Other sources | $-1.2 /+2.6$ |
| Data-driven backgrounds | $-4.4 /+4.4$ |
| Pile-up background |  |
| $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ QCD $m_{j j}$ modelling |  |

Table 4. Impact of different components of the systematic uncertainty on the measured cross section, without taking into account the correlations. The impact is calculated by fixing the value of the corresponding nuisance parameters to the values obtained in the fit used to measure the cross section, performing the fit, estimating the signal strength uncertainty, subtracting its square from the square of the nominal uncertainty, and calculating the square root.


Figure 4. The $m_{j j}$ distributions for the (a) $Z \gamma \operatorname{QCD} \operatorname{CR} 1$, (b) $Z \gamma$ QCD CR 2, and (c) $W \gamma$ CR, and the BDT classifier response distribution for the (d) SR after the fit in all regions. The BDT classifier response was remapped into equal-width bins for better representation. The dashed line shows the total background distribution before the fit. The vertical error bars on the data points correspond to the data's statistical uncertainty. Overflows are included in the last bin. The lower panel shows the ratio of observed to expected event yields. The uncertainty band corresponds to the combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties obtained in the fit.


Figure 5. Summary of the yield for processes in all regions, after the fit over all regions. The dashed line shows the total background distribution before the fit. The vertical error bars on the data points correspond to the data's statistical uncertainty. The lower panel shows the ratio of observed to expected event yields. The uncertainty band corresponds to the combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties obtained in the fit.

|  | Value |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| POI | Current analysis | Ref. [7] | Combination |
| $\mu_{Z_{\gamma \text { EWK }}}$ | $0.78 \pm 0.33$ | $1.03 \pm 0.25$ | $0.96 \pm 0.19$ |
| $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{QCD}}$ | $1.21 \pm 0.37$ | $1.02 \pm 0.41$ | $1.17 \pm 0.27$ |
| $\mu_{W \gamma}$ | $1.02 \pm 0.22$ | $1.01 \pm 0.20$ | $1.01 \pm 0.13$ |

Table 5. Fitted POI values for this analysis, the previous ATLAS analysis, and their combination. The second and third columns present the values obtained in the individual analyses. The fourth column presents the values obtained in the combination.
with $\mu_{Z \gamma \text { EWK }}$ as the parameter of interest (POI) is $6.3 \sigma$ ( $6.6 \sigma$ ). The fitted values of the signal strength ( $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{EWK}}$ ) and background normalisations ( $\mu_{Z \gamma \mathrm{QCD}}$ and $\mu_{W \gamma}$ ) are listed in table 5.

The difference in $\mu_{Z \gamma E W K}$ for the two analyses in combination is due to the lower data statistics in the signal region of the current analysis. The difference in $\mu_{Z \gamma Q C D}$ for two analyses in combination is due to the different renormalisation and refactorisation scale correlation schemes used in the individual analyses. The most conservative correlation scheme that results in the highest expected uncertainty of the POI is used for both analyses to obtain the combination result.

The observed cross section using the combined signal strength is $9.2 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{fb}$. The fiducial region definition for this cross section is based on the one described in table 2 with the following changes: the photon isolation and $\gamma$-centrality requirements were removed and the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ threshold was lowered to 15 GeV . This new, larger fiducial region includes the fiducial regions of both analyses used in the combination. The predicted cross section extrapolated to this fiducial region using VBFNLO is $9.6 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{fb}$.

## 9 Limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings

The results presented in section 7 are used to set limits on anomalous QGCs via the VBS component of the measured electroweak process. New physics beyond the SM could induce anomalous QGCs, enhancing the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ electroweak production cross section and modifying the kinematic distributions of the final-state bosons.

The effect of new physics introduced by aQGCs can be realised using an EFT [73] linearly parameterised by an effective Lagrangian as:

$$
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{SM}}+\sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i}+\sum_{j} \frac{f_{j}}{\Lambda^{4}} \mathcal{O}_{j},
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{j}$ are dimension- 6 or dimension- 8 operators induced by integrating out the new degrees of freedom, while $c_{i}$ and $f_{j}$ represent the numerical coefficients that are meant to be derivable from a more complete high-energy theory. The $\Lambda$ term is a mass-dimension parameter associated with the energy scale of the new degrees of freedom that have been integrated out. The $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ VBS process is sensitive to anomalous quartic and triple gauge couplings. Since the latter are well constrained in diboson production [74], they are not explored in this paper. Among these higher-order operators, the dimension- 8 ones are the lowest-dimensional operators inducing only quartic gauge-boson couplings without triple gauge-boson vertices. The impact of higher-dimensional operators is expected to be suppressed by more powers of the cut-off scale, $\Lambda$. However the linear terms in the EFT coefficient of the process amplitude originating from the operators of some dimensions higher than eight, can be suppressed by the same or smaller power of $\Lambda$, compared with the quadratic term originating from eight-dimensional operators. Therefore, it is assumed that such contributions are suppressed by the dimensionless coupling constant, $f_{j}$. Moreover, higher-dimensional terms are currently not available and are thus not taken into account.

Two categories of dimension- 8 operators contribute to the couplings in the studied final state: $\mathcal{O}_{T X}(X=0-9)$, constructed from the field-strength tensor; and $\mathcal{O}_{M X}(X=0-7)$, constructed from both the Higgs $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}}$ doublet derivatives and the field strength. Seven operators are considered in this study, and the corresponding (Wilson) coefficients are: $f_{M 0} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{M 1} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{M 2} / \Lambda^{4}$, representing $f_{M X}$ couplings, and $f_{T 0} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 5} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 8} / \Lambda^{4}$ and $f_{T 9} / \Lambda^{4}$, representing all types of $f_{T X}$ couplings. The sensitivity of the $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK final state to these operators is competitive with other electroweak production modes. The last two of these couplings are unique and can be probed only by the neutral quartic vertices.

A clipping technique is introduced to preserve unitarity at very high parton centre-of-mass energies. Advantage of this unitarity restoring technique among the other ones is simplicity of application and further theoretical interpretation of the limits. The anomalous signal contribution is set to zero for $m_{Z \gamma}>E_{\mathrm{c}}$ (using particle-level information), where $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ is a cut-off scale that is a free parameter. The chosen $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ value is based on the unitarity bounds for a given limit value calculated from partial-wave unitarity constraints [75].

Simulated $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ EWK events with non-zero EFT dimension-8 operator coefficients were generated by MadGraph5_AMC@NLO using decomposition of the process amplitude. For the case of only one non-zero Wilson coefficient at a time, the squared amplitude

| Coefficient | Observed limit $\left[\mathrm{TeV}^{-4}\right]$ | Expected limit $\left[\mathrm{TeV}^{-4}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{T 0} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-9.4,8.4] \times 10^{-2}$ | $[-1.3,1.2] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{T 5} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-8.8,9.9] \times 10^{-2}$ | $[-1.2,1.3] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{T 8} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-5.9,5.9] \times 10^{-2}$ | $[-8.1,8.0] \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $f_{T 9} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-1.3,1.3] \times 10^{-1}$ | $[-1.7,1.7] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{M 0} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-4.6,4.6]$ | $[-6.2,6.2]$ |
| $f_{M 1} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-7.7,7.7]$ | $[-1.0,1.0] \times 10^{1}$ |
| $f_{M 2} / \Lambda^{4}$ | $[-1.9,1.9]$ | $[-2.6,2.6]$ |

Table 6. Observed and expected one-dimensional limits on dimension-8 aQGC coefficients. Limits are obtained by setting all aQGC coefficients except one to zero. Unitarity is not preserved.
is the following:

$$
|A|^{2}=\left|A_{\mathrm{SM}}+f_{j} A_{j}\right|^{2}=\left|A_{\mathrm{SM}}\right|^{2}+f_{j} 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{\mathrm{SM}} A_{j}^{*}\right)+f_{j}^{2}\left|A_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

where $\left|A_{\mathrm{SM}}+f_{j} A_{j}\right|^{2}$ stands for the total amplitude squared with non-zero EFT parameter $f_{j}, A_{\mathrm{SM}}$ is the Standard Model amplitude, $f_{j} 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{\mathrm{SM}} A_{j}^{*}\right)$ is the amplitude of the interference between the SM and the EFT operator (the linear term of the process amplitude) and $f_{j}{ }^{2}\left|A_{j}\right|^{2}$ is the pure EFT operator contribution (quadratic term of the process amplitude). Individual samples using only one term at a time (SM, linear or quadratic terms) were generated for each operator. To obtain the events at a given value of the EFT coefficient, the respective sample is multiplied by the appropriate value ( $f_{j}$ or $f_{j}^{2}$ ).

Limits on the dimension- 8 operator coefficients are calculated using test statistics based on the profile likelihood ratio. The likelihood function is constructed as a product of a Poisson distribution and a Gaussian constraint term with nuisance parameters representing the sources of systematic uncertainty. Data event and predicted yields for the limit-setting procedure are taken from the signal region with additional optimisation of the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ threshold using expected confidence intervals for the EFT coefficients. The sensitivity to the aQGC is the strongest at high $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$, as it can be seen in figure 6. Therefore, the constraints on the aQGC parameters come from a bin, constructed from the SR by the optimisation of additional $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ threshold and corrected for background normalisations from the backgroundonly fit. Observed and expected $95 \%$ CL intervals for the EFT coefficients are presented for two cases: when the clipping technique is not applied, and hence unitarity is not preserved, and when it is applied, and hence unitarity is preserved. The results of the fit for the first and second cases are summarised in tables 6 and 7, respectively. The constraints are either competitive with or more stringent than those previously published by CMS [6, 9, 76].

Illustrations of the limits' dependence on $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ are given in figures 7 and 8 . The regime in which $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ is less than 4 TeV is obtained with an $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ threshold of $600 \mathrm{GeV}(400 \mathrm{GeV})$ for $f_{T X}$ $\left(f_{M X}\right)$ couplings. The regime in which $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ exceeds 4 TeV is obtained with an $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ threshold of 900 GeV . The $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for unitarised limits are obtained from the $E_{\mathrm{c}}<4 \mathrm{TeV}$ regime by taking the point before the one where the limits' dependence crosses the unitarity bound. The infinity point in the figures indicates the limits obtained when the clipping technique is not applied, i.e. when $E_{\mathrm{c}}=\infty$. The $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ thresholds are chosen so that the analysis reaches


Figure 6. The $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$ distribution in the SR after the fit in the control regions. The red (green) line shows the expected number of events in the case of non-zero EFT coefficient $f_{T 0} / \Lambda^{4}\left(f_{M 0} / \Lambda^{4}\right)$ with the value shown in the legend. The vertical error bars on the data points correspond to the data statistical uncertainty. Overflows are included in the last bin. The lower panel shows the ratio of data to expected event yields. The uncertainty band corresponds to the combination of the MC statistical uncertainty and systematic uncertainties obtained in the fit.

| Coefficient | $E_{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{TeV}]$ | Observed limit $\left[\mathrm{TeV}^{-4}\right]$ | Expected limit $\left[\mathrm{TeV}^{-4}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{T 0} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 1.7 | $[-8.7,7.1] \times 10^{-1}$ | $[-8.9,7.3] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{T 5} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 2.4 | $[-3.4,4.2] \times 10^{-1}$ | $[-3.5,4.3] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{T 8} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 1.7 | $[-5.2,5.2] \times 10^{-1}$ | $[-5.3,5.3] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{T 9} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 1.9 | $[-7.9,7.9] \times 10^{-1}$ | $[-8.1,8.1] \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{M 0} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 0.7 | $[-1.6,1.6] \times 10^{2}$ | $[-1.5,1.5] \times 10^{2}$ |
| $f_{M 1} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 1.0 | $[-1.6,1.5] \times 10^{2}$ | $[-1.4,1.4] \times 10^{2}$ |
| $f_{M 2} / \Lambda^{4}$ | 1.0 | $[-3.3,3.2] \times 10^{1}$ | $[-3.0,3.0] \times 10^{1}$ |

Table 7. Observed and expected one-dimensional limits on dimension-8 aQGC coefficients in the region where unitarity is preserved. The cut-off scale $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ in the simulation is given for each parameter. Limits are obtained by setting all aQGC coefficients except one to zero.


Figure 7. Evolution of the expected (red line) and observed (blue line) limits versus $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for $f_{T 0} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 5} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 8} / \Lambda^{4}$ and $f_{T 9} / \Lambda^{4}$. The unitarity bound is shown by the black line. The $E_{\mathrm{c}}<4 \mathrm{TeV}$ regime was obtained with $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>600 \mathrm{GeV}$. The $E_{\mathrm{c}}>4 \mathrm{TeV}$ regime was obtained with $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>900 \mathrm{GeV}$.
its highest sensitivity. They are different for the unitarised and non-unitarised cases, since the cut-off removes events with high $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}$.

## 10 Conclusion

A measurement of the fiducial cross section for electroweak production of $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ in the region of $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>150 \mathrm{GeV}$ is presented. Data from $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV} p p$ collisions at the LHC were collected with the ATLAS detector during 2015-2018 and correspond to an integrated luminosity of $139 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The dominant backgrounds come from QCD mediated $Z(\nu \bar{\nu}) \gamma j j$ and $W \gamma j j$ processes and these are evaluated using a simultaneous fit to data. Other significant backgrounds from $e \rightarrow \gamma$ and $j \rightarrow \gamma$ misidentifications and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ mismeasurement are evaluated using data-driven techniques. The measurement uses the invisible decay mode of the gauge boson, $Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$, and is performed in a fiducial phase space closely matching the detector acceptance.

The observed (expected) signal significance is $3.2 \sigma(3.7 \sigma)$, which corresponds to evidence for this process in the given phase space used in the measurement. It was measured using a binned likelihood fit over the BDT classifier distribution. The measured cross section is $0.77_{-0.30}^{+0.34} \mathrm{fb}$, which is in agreement with SM predictions at NLO in perturbative


Figure 8. Evolution of the expected (red line) and observed (blue line) limits versus $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for $f_{M 0} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{M 1} / \Lambda^{4}$ and $f_{M 2} / \Lambda^{4}$. The unitarity bound is shown by the black line. The $E_{\mathrm{c}}<4 \mathrm{TeV}$ regime was obtained with $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>400 \mathrm{GeV}$. The $E_{\mathrm{c}}>4 \mathrm{TeV}$ regime was obtained with $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}>900 \mathrm{GeV}$.

QCD. The cross sections and kinematics are quoted for the sum of the three neutrino flavours.

The results of this study are combined with those of the previously published ATLAS observation of this process to increase the sensitivity. This gives an observed (expected) signal significance of $6.3 \sigma(6.6 \sigma)$.

Having found no significant deviations from SM predictions, the data are used to set limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings. The limits are set on EFT dimension- 8 operators $f_{T 0} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 5} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 8} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 9} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{M 0} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{M 1} / \Lambda^{4}$ and $f_{M 2} / \Lambda^{4}$. These constraints are either competitive with or more stringent than those previously published by CMS. In particular, the constraints on the $f_{T 5} / \Lambda^{4}, f_{T 8} / \Lambda^{4}$ and $f_{T 9} / \Lambda^{4}$ operators are significantly stronger than results previously published by ATLAS and CMS, based on either the full Run 2 dataset of $139 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ or a partial dataset of $36 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$.
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* Deceased


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A right-handed coordinate system is used with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the $z$-axis along the beam pipe. The $x$-axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the $y$-axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates $(r, \phi)$ are used in the transverse plane, $\phi$ being the azimuthal angle around the $z$-axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle $\theta$ as $\eta=-\ln \tan (\theta / 2)$. The angular distance between two physics objects is measured in units of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^{2}+(\Delta \phi)^{2}}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The primary vertex is identified as the vertex with the highest scalar sum of the squared transverse momenta in the event.
    ${ }^{3}$ The transverse impact parameter significance is defined as $\left|d_{0}\right| / \sigma\left(d_{0}\right)$, where $d_{0}$ is the distance of closest approach of $e$ or $\mu$ to the primary vertex in the transverse plane with an uncertainty $\sigma\left(d_{0}\right)$.
    ${ }^{4}$ The longitudinal impact parameter is equal to $\left|z_{0} \cdot \sin \theta\right|$, where $z_{0}$ is the difference between the value of the $z$ coordinate of the point on the track at which $d_{0}$ is defined and the longitudinal position of the primary vertex.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5} E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$ significance is calculated as $\left|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}\right|^{2} /\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}\left(1-\rho_{\mathrm{LT}}^{2}\right)\right)$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the total variance in the direction longitudinal to the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}$, and $\rho_{\mathrm{LT}}$ is the correlation coefficient of the longitudinal (L) and transverse ( T ) measurements [63].
    ${ }^{6}$ Photon centrality relative to the two jets with the highest $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ values in the event is defined as $\gamma$ centrality $=\left|\frac{y(\gamma)-0.5\left[y\left(j_{1}\right)+y\left(j_{2}\right)\right]}{y\left(j_{1}\right)-y\left(j_{2}\right)}\right|$, where $y=0.5 \times \ln \left[\left(E+p_{z}\right) /\left(E-p_{z}\right)\right]$ is the rapidity of the objects $\left(p_{z}\right.$ is the $z$-component of the momentum of a particle).

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The indicated percentages of background contributions are calculated using the predicted event yield after the fit described in section 7 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ The correlation factor in MC is determined as $R=\frac{N_{A} \cdot N_{D}}{N_{B} \cdot N_{C}}$, where $N_{i}$ is the number of background events in the corresponfing control region. In absence of correlation $R=1$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Front lateral width (3 strips) measures the shower width along $\eta$ in the first layer of the electromagnetic calorimeter, using a total of three strip cells centred on the largest energy deposit. It is calculated as $w_{\mathrm{s} 3}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma E_{i}\left(i-i_{\max }\right)^{2}}{\Sigma E_{i}}}$. The index $i$ is the strip identification number, $i_{\max }$ identifies the strip cell with the most energy, and $E_{i}$ is the energy deposit in each strip cell.
    ${ }^{10}$ Front side energy ratio measures the lateral containment of the shower along the $\eta$ direction. It is calculated as $F_{\text {side }}=\frac{E( \pm 3)-E( \pm 1)}{E( \pm 1)}$, where $E( \pm n)$ is the energy in the $\pm n$ strip cells around the one with the most energy.
    ${ }^{11}$ Variations consist in the choice of non-tight identification criteria and the choice of the energy gap between regions with normal and inverted isolation criteria.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ The $p_{\mathrm{T}}$-balance $=\frac{\left|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}+\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}+\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{1}} \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{2}}\right|}{E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {miss }}+E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}+p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{1}}+p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{2}}}$.
    ${ }^{13}$ The $p_{\mathrm{T}}$-balance (reduced) $=\frac{\left|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}+\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{J_{1}}+\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{2}}\right|}{E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma}+p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{1}}+p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j_{2}}}$.

