

Review



Characterising the Features of 381 Clinical Studies Evaluating Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for Pain Relief: A Secondary Analysis of the Meta-TENS Study to Improve Future Research

Mark I. Johnson ^{1,*}, Carole A. Paley ^{1,2}, Priscilla G. Wittkopf ¹, Matthew R. Mulvey ³ and Gareth Jones ¹

- ¹ Centre for Pain Research, School of Health, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds LS1 3HE, UK; c.paley@leedsbeckett.ac.uk (C.A.P.); p.wittkopft@leedsbeckett.ac.uk (P.G.W.); g.j.jones@leedsbeckett.ac.uk (G.J.)
- ² Research & Development Department, Airedale NHS Foundation Trust, Steeton, Keighley BD20 6TD, UK
- ³ Academic Unit of Primary and Palliative Care, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9NL, UK; m.r.mulvey@leeds.ac.uk
- * Correspondence: m.johnson@leedsbeckett.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-113-812-30-83

Abstract: Background and Objectives: Characterising the features of methodologies, clinical attributes and intervention protocols, of studies is valuable to advise directions for research and practice. This article reports the findings of a secondary analysis of the features from studies screened as part of a large systematic review of TENS (the meta-TENS study). Materials and Methods: A descriptive analysis was performed on information associated with methodology, sample populations and intervention protocols from 381 randomised controlled trials (24,532 participants) evaluating TENS delivered at a strong comfortable intensity at the painful site in adults with pain, irrespective of diagnosis. Results: Studies were conducted in 43 countries commonly using parallel group design (n = 334) and one comparator group (n = 231). Mean \pm standard deviation (SD) study sample size $(64.05 \pm 58.29 \text{ participants})$ and TENS group size $(27.67 \pm 21.90 \text{ participants})$ were small, with only 13 of 381 studies having 100 participants or more in the TENS group. Most TENS interventions were 'high frequency' (>10 pps, n = 276) and using 100 Hz (109/353 reports that stated a pulse frequency value). Of 476 comparator groups, 54.2% were active treatments (i.e., analgesic medication(s), exercise, manual therapies and electrophysical agents). Of 202 placebo comparator groups, 155 used a TENS device that did not deliver currents. At least 216 of 383 study groups were able to access other treatments whilst receiving TENS. Only 136 out of 381 reports included a statement about adverse events. Conclusions: Clinical studies on TENS are dominated by small parallel group evaluations of high frequency TENS that are often contaminated by concurrent treatment(s). Study reports tended focus on physiological and clinical implications rather than the veracity of methodology and findings. Previously published criteria for designing and reporting TENS studies were neglected and this should be corrected in future research using insights gleaned from this analysis.

Keywords: transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS); pain; pain management; therapeutic neuromodulation; secondary analysis

1. Introduction

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) is used to alleviate the intensity of pain and involves the delivery of pulsed electrical currents across the skin to stimulate peripheral nerves. Physiological research demonstrates that TENS reduces activity and excitability of central projection neurons reducing nociceptive input to the brain and modulating pain experience [1–4]. TENS has been used globally for symptomatic relief of pain since the 1970s and TENS equipment is available without prescription in many countries [5]. TENS treatment is usually self-administered as often as is needed with minimal risk of



Citation: Johnson, M.I.; Paley, C.A.; Wittkopf, P.G.; Mulvey, M.R.; Jones, G. Characterising the Features of 381 Clinical Studies Evaluating Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for Pain Relief: A Secondary Analysis of the Meta-TENS Study to Improve Future Research. *Medicina* **2022**, *58*, 803. https://doi.org/10.3390/ medicina58060803

Academic Editor: Vida Demarin

Received: 18 May 2022 Accepted: 10 June 2022 Published: 14 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). adverse effects or toxicity. TENS equipment and clinical support is inexpensive, and health economic analyses suggest TENS lowers costs for persistent pain [6], chronic low back pain [7,8] and knee osteoarthritis [9]. It is not possible to predict with certainty who is likely to respond to TENS, although a 30-min TENS treatment has been shown to forecast the likelihood of longer-term outcome in women with fibromyalgia [10].

The debate about the effectiveness of TENS is long-standing, despite a wealth of published research studies spanning over five decades [11]. The consequence is inconsistency in clinical recommendations about prescribing TENS in the U.K. National Health Service (NHS), or coverage by private healthcare insurance in the Unites States. Recently, we published a systematic review and meta-analysis of 381 studies that found moderate certainty evidence that strong non-painful TENS lowered pain intensity when compared with placebo (i.e., the Meta-TENS study [12]).

There have been no analyses of the features of clinical studies on TENS. An investigation of trends, strengths, weaknesses and gaps in study methodologies, including TENS intervention protocols would be valuable to inform directions for future research and clinical practice. The purpose of this article is to report a secondary analysis of the characteristics of studies that was not reported in our original systematic review and meta-analysis of TENS (the Meta-TENS study) [12].

2. Methods

The Meta-TENS study was registered on PROSPERO (CRD42019125054), published as a protocol in 2019 [13] and in the primary report of the findings in 2022 [12]. Readers are referred to these publications for methodological detail and findings of the Meta-TENS study. Ethical approval for the Meta-TENS study was given by Leeds Beckett University (Application Ref: 78097). Here we provide the methodology used in our secondary analysis of study characteristics beyond that provided in our primary report.

Electronic databases were searched from inception to 17 May 2020 for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating TENS at the site of pain versus placebo, no treatment, or other treatments in adults experiencing pain regardless of diagnosis. Two independent reviewers extracted a variety of information from study reports including:

- Methodological characteristics of studies (e.g., overall risk of bias, study group study size, concurrent use of other treatments).
- Pain characteristics (e.g., duration (acute, chronic), medical diagnosis (pain condition), mechanistic descriptor (nociceptive, neuropathic), physiological system (musculoskeletal, visceral, somatosensory).
- Intervention characteristics (e.g., high-frequency TENS, low-frequency TENS, types of placebos, types of comparator treatments).

One reviewer (MIJ) performed descriptive analyses by plotting bar charts and histograms and reported summary measures as frequency counts, means or medians as appropriate.

3. Results

3.1. Observations: Searching

There has been a steady rise in the rate of publication of studies on the efficacy of TENS since the 1970s as reflected in a search of Pubmed.gov conducted on 31 March 2022 (Figure 1).

In our Meta-TENS study [12], a total of 381 studies with 383 distinct populations and 24,532 participants were eligible for inclusion [14–394] (see our primary report for details [12], including studies awaiting classification [395–413] and studies excluded at full text screening with reasons [414–621]). It was noteworthy that an additional 36 studies were found and met our inclusion criteria between the initial search during July 2019 and the updated search on 17 May 2020. This demonstrates the high publication rate of studies on TENS, although only one of these additional studies had a study group sample size of at least 50 participants.



Figure 1. Number of records identified by searching PubMed.gov for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of TENS and pain: conducted on 31 March 2022. Search String: (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation) AND (pain) Filters: Randomized Controlled Trial ("transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation" (MeSH Terms) OR ("transcutaneous" (All Fields) AND "electric" (All Fields) AND "nerve" (All Fields) AND "stimulation" (All Fields)) OR "transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation" (All Fields) OR ("transcutaneous" (All Fields) AND "nerve" (All Fields) OR ("transcutaneous" (All Fields) OR "transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation" (All Fields) OR ("transcutaneous electrical" (All Fields) AND "nerve" (All Fields)) OR "transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation" (All Fields)) OR "transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation" (All Fields)) OR "transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation" (All Fields)) AND ("pain" (MeSH Terms) OR "pain" (All Fields))) AND (randomized controlled trial (Filter)). Note: These records have not been screened against eligibility criteria and will over-estimate the actual number of RCTs.

3.2. Observations: The Screening Process

3.2.1. Few Instances of Multiple Records (Secondary Reports)

There were five instances of multiple records (secondary reports) of one study:

- A primary report of 70 participants with follow-up data at 1 year by Cherian et al. [79]; secondary reports of data of the first 23 participants [622] and 36 participants (presumably including the first 23 patients) [623] at 3 months.
- A primary report by Chesterton et al. [80] of TENS added to usual care for tennis elbow; secondary report of an economic evaluation by Lewis et al. [624].
- A primary report by Escortell-Mayor et al. [123] of TENS versus manual therapy for neck pain; additional Spanish language version equivalent [625].
- A primary report by Oosterhof et al. [267] of short-term outcome of TENS for various chronic pains; secondary reports of predictors of TENS outcome [626], long-term outcomes [627] and physiological mechanisms [628].
- A primary report by Pietrosimone et al. [281] on TENS for knee osteoarthritis and a secondary report of outcomes associated with knee kinematics and kinetics [629].

3.2.2. Few Instances of Multiple Samples within Study Reports

There were two instances of distinct samples in one study report:

- Chia et al. [81] performed independent evaluations of nulliparous and multiparous participants combined (n = 101) and nulliparous only (n = 20).
- Kayman-Kose et al. [184] performed distinct evaluations of caesarean section (n = 100) and vaginal delivery (n = 100).

In our systematic review, we managed this issue by categorising each report as having distinct sample populations within one study and analysed data from these samples separately, i.e., we identified 383 different samples from 381 studies.

3.2.3. No Instances of Duplication of Participants within Study Reports

Double counting of participant samples contributes to unit of analysis issues in metaanalyses. We did not detect any instances of data collected in published 'pilot' studies being included in 'full' study samples, although unclear reporting hindered our ability to detect duplication of participant data with certainty. For example, Lin et al. published a study of TENS for shoulder pain [217] and chronic shoulder tendonitis [218] but inspection of the reports suggested protocols and data were different. Thus, we considered these to be distinct study populations.

3.2.4. Few Instances of Inconsistencies of Extracted Data with Previous Meta-Analyses

There were few inconsistencies on study data extracted for our Meta-TENS study [12] and previously published meta-analyses that were included in an overview of systematic reviews [630]. There were occasional instances of double counting of groups in pooled data analyses in previous reviews [631–634] contributing to unit of analysis errors. We detected inclusion of data from the study by Bjersa and Andersson [56] that was area under the curve rather than 0–100 mm VAS in a meta-analysis by Zhou et al. [635]). These discrepancies did not affect conclusions of the previously published reviews.

3.3. Features of Excluded Studies

The main reasons that studies did not meet eligibility criteria for our Meta-TENS study is provided in Figure 2. Many reports stated that a study evaluated TENS, although the intervention violated our criteria for standard TENS or was applied to an inappropriate body site. It was not possible to isolate TENS effects from concurrent treatment in at least 17 studies.





3.4. Not Standard Electrical Characteristics

At least 90 studies used interventions that were not 'standard TENS' (i.e., type of stimulating device and accessories and electrical characteristics of stimulation): Codetron (acupuncture-like stimulation); auto-targeted neurostimulation; frequency rhythmic electrical modulation; H-wave therapy; high-voltage pulsed direct current; interferential current therapy; InterX (non-invasive interactive neurostimulation); microcurrent electrical stimulation; neuromuscular electrical stimulation; supraorbital transcutaneous stimulation; transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation; transcutaneous spinal electroanalgesia; and 5 KHz sine wave currents. Previous systematic reviews on TENS had considered some of these techniques as 'TENS' despite the electrical characteristics of currents deviating from those defined as standard TENS [630]. For example, Itoh et al. claimed to evaluate TENS for osteoarthritis of the knee [480] and for non-specific low back pain [481] yet devices were delivering interferential currents "... a single-channel portable TENS unit (model HVF3000, OMRON Healthcare Co Ltd., Japan), which sends between two electrodes a premixed amplitude-modulated frequency of 122 Hz (beat frequency) generated by two medium frequency sinusoidal waves of 4.0 and 4.122 kHz (feed frequency)" [481] p. 23. Both studies were included in systematic reviews on TENS [636,637].

3.5. Inappropriate Body Site

At least 20 studies applied TENS to acupuncture points that were not close to the painful site, commonly using transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation (TEAS, TAES) and 'dense-disperse' currents alternating between 2 pps and 100 pps. Some studies administered transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation before surgery to manage post-surgical pain. Often details about transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation treatment protocols were unclear. Four studies were excluded for delivering TENS intravaginal [423,527,528] or intra-oral [519].

3.6. Features of Included Studies

The characteristics of the included studies are summarised in the primary report of the Meta-TENS study [12].

3.7. Study Design

Studies were conducted in 43 countries with Turkey, the United States, Brazil, the United Kingdom and Sweden being commonest. The majority of studies were parallelgroup, pragmatic (clinical) rather than exploratory (mechanistic) and did not estimate sample size a priori (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Country of origin of the study; Parallel group or cross-over study design; Tending toward pragmatic or explanatory in focus; Report contained statement that a sample size had been estimated a priori.

There were 381 studies, 383 population samples and 24,532 participants. Study sample size was 64.05 ± 58.29 participants (mean \pm standard deviation (SD); maximum = 607 [63],

minimum = 5 (370)) and TENS group size was 27.67 ± 21.90 participants (n = 10,596 participants, maximum = 144 [63]; minimum = 5 participants [26,93,101,370,382]). There were 13 studies with 100 or more participants in the TENS group (Figure 4), yet there was extractable data for only two studies (labour pain [352] and fibromyalgia [96]). There were 341 studies with less than 50 participants in the TENS group.





3.8. Types of Pain

There were similar proportions of studies evaluating acute pain and chronic pain, and only a small proportion of studies evaluating both acute and chronic pain (Figure 5).





The commonest pain condition according to nomenclature used by study authors was post operative pain, followed by non-specific musculoskeletal pains and osteoarthritis (Figure 6). Challenges arose categorising pain condition because reports lacked detail about presenting features such as the presence of neuropathic elements, e.g., post-operative pain and post-stroke pain may present as primarily musculoskeletal, neuropathic or both. We created an operational aide memoire to improve the consistency of categorising pain conditions (medical diagnoses).



Figure 6. Pain diagnosis categorised as stated by the study authors. Others represents n = 1 sample for each of the following: adhesive capsulitis, intercostobrachial pain, plantar fasciitis, haemophilia, ischemia, orchialgia, orofacial pain, pancreatitis, chronic breast cancer treatment pain, pressure ulcers, renal colic and trigeminal neuralgia.

3.9. Treatment Comparators

3.9.1. Most Studies Had No More Than Two Comparator Groups

Approximately two-thirds of studies had one comparison groups and one-third had two comparison groups (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Summary of number of comparator groups.

3.9.2. Similar Proportions of Placebo or Active Treatment Comparators

Our definitions for comparison groups were as follows:

- Placebo: an inactive intervention that looks the same as, and is given in the same way as, active TENS or active treatment (e.g., drug pill).
- No treatment: participants did not receive any 'active treatment', including background or rescue medication or treatment.
- Standard of care: intervention(s) that study authors stated to be routine, common or standard care or practice.
- Other treatment(s): treatment not previously categorised as standard of care (SoC).

There were 476 comparators in 381 studies, 54.2% were an active treatment (i.e., a SoC (26.7%) or other treatment (27.5%)) and 42.4% were a placebo (Figure 8). Thirty-seven studies evaluated low versus high frequency TENS.



Figure 8. Types of comparator groups.

The majority of placebo comparators used a TENS device with a dead battery or with modified circuitry so that there was 0 mA current (Figure 9). Other placebo TENS interventions used TENS devices that delivered currents for less than one minute after which current amplitude declined to 0 mA, or long interpulse intervals claimed to be unlikely to produce physiological effects. Some placebo interventions administered TENS below the threshold for sensory detection or to locations unrelated to the painful site.



Figure 9. Types of placebo comparators: SDT = sensory detection threshold; sham site = sites unrelated to pain.

3.10. Many Instances of Contamination from Concurrent Treatment

At least 216 of the 383 study samples were able to use other treatments whilst receiving TENS. Commonly, analgesic medication and/or exercise was combination treatment that was added as part of the study design or ongoing clinical care not considered to be part of study design. Often participants could access other treatments as background or rescue interventions in studies claiming that TENS was delivered as a sole treatment. Some studies monitored and/or standardised rescue medication but monitoring concurrent treatment was inadequate. Thus, unequal contamination from concurrent treatment between intervention groups could not be discounted. Improved monitoring and reporting of concurrent treatment are needed in future studies.

3.11. Features of TENS Intervention

3.11.1. Location of TENS and Pain

The majority of TENS interventions were located at the painful site; acupuncture points at the painful site were occasionally chosen [84,152,169,258,363,389,391] (Figure 10). TENS was not administered at the painful site if there was heightened sensitivity and/or poor integrity of the skin associated with painful diabetic neuropathy (TENS administered to the back-dermatomal [66,370]); and for phantom limb pain (TENS administered to the contralateral limb [355]). There were two reports with an unclear statement of TENS location [179,255] and two reports not stating TENS location; in both instances, information within reports confirmed that TENS was delivered at the painful site [171,323].





3.11.2. Intensity of TENS

The majority of reports stated that TENS was delivered above the sensory detection threshold and at a strong non-painful intensity (Figure 11). The intensity of TENS was not reported for 34 samples and unclear for 7 samples, although it was possible to establish that the intensity of TENS was above sensory detection threshold from other information in reports (e.g., reporting of mA or statements in the main text).



Figure 11. Intensity of TENS.

3.11.3. Electrical Characteristics of TENS—Pulse Frequency

Most reports provided sufficient detail to confirm that the electrical characteristics of TENS were consistent with that available from a standard TENS device (i.e., pulsed currents, frequency ≤ 250 pulses per second (pps), pulse width (duration) $\leq 500 \ \mu s$ and amplitude $\leq 60 \ mA$ (peak-to-peak), Figure 12a). Extracting specific information about electrical characteristics was challenging with 9 reports not stating TENS parameters and 11 reports providing unclear information (other information such as device model was used to confirm that characteristics were consistent with standard TENS.



Figure 12. (**a**) Electrical characteristics of TENS; (**b**) type of TENS: * Using a continuous pulse pattern. ** Either unclear or prn.

Terms used to describe TENS technique were inconsistent and included conventional, acupuncture-like, brief intense, high- and low-frequency and acu-TENS. There is inconsistency in units of measure to describe pulse frequency with Hertz (Hz) used most frequently and pulses per second used occasionally. We will use Hz if study authors stated Hz in their study report and pulses per second (pps) when referring to our categorisation of pulse frequency.

We categorised the majority of TENS interventions as high-frequency TENS (>10 pps) and a small minority of 35 samples receiving low-frequency TENS (<10 pps and/or <10 trains of pulses (bursts) per second, Figure 12b). Often reports describing low-frequency stimulation did not differentiate bursts per second from pulses per second making it difficult to establish whether low-frequency pulses or low-frequency bursts of high-frequency pulses were used.

It was less common for reports to state the pattern (mode) of pulse delivery; we presumed high-frequency currents were administered using a continuous pattern of pulse

delivery when pulse pattern statements were absent and confirmed this by checking the design of the device. There were 17 samples that received pulse patterns alternating (or switching) between burst and continuous patterns and 9 samples that received alternating (switching) between low and high-frequency pulses (Figure 13a). Ten samples received modulating pulse frequency between upper and lower boundaries, two samples received random pulse frequency and six samples received various pulse frequencies.



Figure 13. (a) Pattern (mode) of pulse delivery of TENS: * We were able to infer that a continuous pattern of pulse delivery was used to deliver high frequency currents in instances where the pattern of pulse delivery was not clearly stated in the study report. ** We were able to infer that a low frequency bursts (trains) of high frequency pulses were used in instances where the pattern of pulse delivery was not clearly stated in the study report; (b) frequency of pulse delivery of TENS: * Including instances where modulated or alterating pulse frequencies were used or frequencies were prn.

Of the 353 reports that stated pulse frequency, 109 stated that 100 Hz was used and 11 stated that TENS was delivered at frequencies below 5 Hz (Figure 13b). A large proportion of reports stated numerous pulse frequencies than included situations where participants received instructions to adjust frequency as needed, or TENS was delivered using modulating or alternating frequencies. It was often unclear whether frequencies were static throughout treatment or whether participants could adjust frequency according to need.

3.11.4. Adequacy of TENS Intervention

There were 336 samples where the report clearly provided details about electrical characteristics, body site of TENS and the intensity of stimulation above sensory detection threshold, and 47 samples where this was not the case.

Regimens included treatments that delivered as a single dose or multiple doses. The shortest duration treatment was a few minutes (e.g., post-partum contraction pain [264], dysmenorrhea [265], surgical abortion [286] or laparoscopic surgery [287], procedural pain (e.g., carboxytherapy) [310]) to as long as needed (e.g., home treatment self-administered prn). One study advised participants to self-administer TENS for chronic pain until they no longer required TENS or until the study ended at 2 years [255].

3.12. Features of Outcome Measures

There were 352 studies that collected pain intensity data as the primary outcome and 29 studies that collected pain intensity data as a secondary outcome. The choice of other outcome measures depended on the clinical condition under investigation, e.g., WOMAC would only be selected in studies using population samples with osteoarthritis whereas analgesic consumption could be used for any study population. Analgesic consumption (127 studies) was most common. Other common outcome measures were 52 studies using range of motion, 26 studies using the McGill Pain Questionnaire, 23 studies using blunt pressure pain via algometry, 14 studies using WOMAC, 12 studies using Quality of Life and 8 studies using the Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire.

We explored outcome data collected as the last measurement during TENS or the first measurement post-TENS. There were 91/202 studies (92/203 samples, 4841 participants) with extractable pain intensity (continuous) data and 3 of these studies were crossover studies [96,248,293]. Only two of these samples had 100 participants or more in the primary TENS group (Thomas et al. [352]—labour pain and Dailey et al. [96]—fibromyalgia); and nine samples had at least 50 participants in the primary TENS group with extractable data.

We detected two instances where study reports described outcomes in favour of TENS, yet data analyses suggested otherwise [184,225]. It appeared in both instances that the inconsistencies were transcriptional errors. For the study by Kayman-Kose et al. [184], the inconsistency was for vaginal delivery but the caesarean section data. For the study by Luchesa et al. [225]), crossing checking data with a systematic review [638] established that that TENS data had been ascribed to the placebo group and vice versa.

Of the 127 evaluations of TENS versus a SoC intervention, 71 were versus medication (pharmacological), 40 versus exercise/manual therapy, 3 versus medication combined with exercise/manual therapy and 13 studies were not exercise/physiotherapy or pharmacological or were unclear. There were 61 studies (61 samples, 3155 participants) with extractable data. Of the 131 evaluations with other treatment not categorised as SoC, the commonest comparators were interferential therapy, other electrotherapies and manual therapies. There were 67 studies and 131 samples (3327 participants) that had extractable data, although this included duplicate data from some primary TENS groups.

3.13. Features of Risk of Bias Assessment

No studies had low risk of bias (RoB) across all 9 RoB items and only 3/381 studies were assessed as having a low RoB across 8 of the 9 items [95,294,362] (Figure 14). Many study reports were sparce on methodological operational details and were categorised as unclear RoB. Insufficient numbers on TENS participants were a serious problem, with 341 out of 381 studies having a high RoB due to having less than 50 participants in the TENS trial arm. No studies met criteria for low RoB (\geq 200 participants in the TENS group).



Figure 14. Scores of overall low risk of bias for the 381 studies.

Reasons for high or unclear risk of bias across the nine items were:

- Reports stated participants were randomised to intervention groups but did not specify whether randomisation was constrained or unconstrained or provided operational details of randomisation (e.g., coin toss, random number sequence generation) or allocation concealment (e.g., sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes or containers, centrally controlled procedures).
- Partial or unclear reporting meant that it was not possible to determine with certainty whether all participants completed the study.
- Only partial descriptions for analyses of outcome measures leading to the possibility of overestimation or underestimation of treatment effects (Selective Reporting (Reporting Bias)).
- Inadequate descriptions for methods of blinding of participants, personnel or assessor.
- Inadequate sample sizes.
- Absence of calculation used to estimate study sample size.

It was notable that only 130 described adequate methods of blinding of assessors (i.e., low risk of detection bias) and only 94 described adequate blinding procedures for participants and 48 for personnel (i.e., low risk of performance bias). Few studies assessed blinding leakage or the plausibility and credibility of interventions, especially whether active and placebo TENS devices were considered to be 'functioning correctly' [96,221,282,294]. High quality design, delivery and success of blinding of placebo TENS interventions was observed in studies by Dailey et al. [95,96,294]. There were 129 reports that claimed to have estimated sample size and those that included a calculation often estimated study sample size rather than study group sample size. A summary of individual study RoB judgements for individual studies is provided in Figure 15.

Such or Subgroup	Mean	TENS	Tetal	P	acebo	Total	Moint	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Differ	ence	Risk of Bias	
Barbarisi, et al., 2010	25.11	0.92	9	39	1.19	8	0.1%	-12.50 [-17.39, -7.61]	4 IV, Railuoin, 95	70 CI	• ? ? ? • • • • •	•
Cipriano, et al., 2014	10	5	20	80	30	18	0.9%	-3.27 [-4.28, -2.27]			22220220	•
lora, et al., 2006 lokenek: et al., 2019	33.3	12.49	39	82.6	14.3	34	1.1%	-3.20 [-3.91, -2.50] -3.11 [-3.78, -2.44]			20000222	ä.
auretti, et al., 2015	20	10	20	70	20	20	1.0%	-3.10 [-4.05, -2.15]				ē.
Ekim et al., 2008 Bertalanffy, et al., 2005	47.2	5.6 8	10	65.3 77	6.3 11	9 33	0.7%	-2.91 [-4.29, -1.54] -2.85 [-3.57, -2.14]				3
okuda, et al., 2014	5.9	6.5	16	23.8	5.9	16	0.9%	-2.81 [-3.82, -1.80]			•••••	ē.
Shahoei, et al., 2017 Ibmed. et al., 2010	49	25	30	97 66 1	5.9	30	1.1%	-2.61 [-3.31, -1.91]				2
Barker, et al., 2006	32.4	18	29	66.2	11.2	33	1.1%	-2.26 [-2.91, -1.61]				ĕ
ang, et al., 2007	59	6 10.7	30	79	11	33	1.1%	-2.20 [-2.83, -1.57]				2
(im, et al., 2012	19	12	50	48	15	50	1.2%	-2.12 [-2.61, -1.63]			22222022	ĕ
Dailey, et al., 2013 (1)	40	4 4 2 2	41	47	4	41	1.2%	-1.73 [-2.24, -1.22]				2
aez-Suarez, et al., 2018	62	14	21	47.0	19.0	21	1.1%	-1.58 [-2.28, -0.88]				ĕ
Desantana, et al., 2009	43	15.3	23	66.5	14.7	21	1.1%	-1.54 [-2.22, -0.86]				2
haalarpour, et al., 2008 Cheing & Luk, 2005	17	17	10	46	4.2	- 54 - 9	0.9%	-1.50 [-2.55, -0.45]				ĕ
(hang et al., 2020a	17	3	10	31	12.6	10	0.9%	-1.46 [-2.48, -0.45]			22022020	•
imer-Cuenca, et al., 2011 Sadala. et al., 2018	26.5	24.7	28	61.9 56.8	23.2	30	1.2%	-1.46 [-2.03, -0.88] -1.45 [-2.05, -0.86]			2 2 2 2 2 2 9 2 9	ě.
De Oliverira et al., 2012	30	16.4	5	54	13.6	5	0.7%	-1.44 [-2.92, 0.04]			• ? ? ? • • ? •	•
'ark, et al., 2015 Riet al. 2015	21.4	15 9.1	48	45	25	50 26	1.2%	-1.44 [-1.88, -0.99] -1.41 [-2.02, -0.80]				ä
opuz, et al., 2004	37.3	16.2	15	59.1	13.7	12	1.0%	-1.40 [-2.25, -0.54]				ě.
Celik, et al., 2013 Ordon: 1987	38.8	25	17	67.7 54.8	14.2	16 25	1.1%	-1.38 [-2.14, -0.61] -1.35 [-1.97, -0.73]			22022020	2
uchesa, et al., 2009	5	6	15	21	15.4	15	1.0%	-1.33 [-2.13, -0.53]			22222220	ĕ.
Cipriano, et al., 2008	20	7.4	23	30	7.4	22	1.1%	-1.33 [-1.98, -0.68]			?????????	2
Da Silva, et al., 2015	1	0.795	21	4	3.18	21	1.1%	-1.27 [-1.94, -0.60]				ē
fahure, et al., 2017 Germon Koso, et al., 2014 (2)	36	21	15	58 27.4	12	15	1.0%	-1.25 [-2.04, -0.46]				2
ison, et al., 2017	23.2	31.4	46	53.1	19.9	46	1.2%	-1.13 [-1.57, -0.69]				ŏ
iu, et al., 1985	39.3	17.9	15	65.3	26.6	15	1.1%	-1.12 [-1.89, -0.34]			????????	2
zuschien, et al., 1987 Emmiler, et al., 2008	30 24	11.25	20	49	20.25	20	1.0%	-1.10 [-1.77, -0.43]				ĕ
breu, et al., 2010	68	23	10	88	10	10	1.0%	-1.08 [-2.03, -0.13]			• ? ? ? ? • ? •	2
Pitandra, et al., 2010 Pitandui, et al., 2014	17.2	21.9	30	38.8	20.8	30	1.2%	-1.06 [-1.61, -0.52] -0.97 [-1.89, -0.05]				
ʻilmaz et al., 2019	7.3	9.8	26	20	15.7	26	1.2%	-0.96 [-1.53, -0.38]				ē.
iminisaman et al., 2020 Sub-et al., 2015	26.6 18.7	5.4 7.46	30 24	31.2	4.8	30 23	1.2%	-0.89 [-1.42, -0.36] -0.88 [-1.48, -0.28]				3
Oncel, et al., 2002	24	13	25	39	20	25	1.2%	-0.88 [-1.46, -0.29]			22	ē.
Elboim et al., 2020 Jeinbhours, et al., 1987	41.7	19.2	23	61.2 40.7	25	18	1.1%	-0.87 [-1.52, -0.22]			• ? • ? • ? • ? • •	2
lakariaee et al., 2019	31.8	20.4	40	47.5	16.5	40	1.2%	-0.84 [-1.30, -0.38]			******	ĕ
Domaille & Reeves, 1997	30.33	8.14	31	47	28.14	29	1.2%	-0.81 [-1.33, -0.28]			? • ? ? ? ? ? ? •	2
fansuri, et al., 2019	26.67	22.57	15	45.33	26.15	15	1.1%	-0.74 [-1.49, 0.00]				ĕ
Bjersa, et al., 2015 ikor et al. 2001	10	13	15	23	21	13	1.1%	-0.74 [-1.51, 0.04]			? • ? ? ? • ? • ? •	2
/italii & Oleg, 2014	39.5	17	11	52.5	18.6	10	1.0%	-0.70 [-1.59, 0.19]			22220220	ĕ
Varfield, et al., 1985	48.3	20.1	12	64.2	24.6	12	1.0%	-0.68 [-1.51, 0.14]			??	2
ujii-Abe et al., 2019	22.1	12.8	11	30.3	11.2	11	1.0%	-0.66 [-1.52, 0.21]			2 2 2 2 2 9 9 2 6	ĕ
Shimoura, et al., 2019	5.1	8	25	11.4	10.9	25	1.2%	-0.65 [-1.22, -0.08]				2
Sezen, et al., 2017	36.9	7.2	43	39.0	10.1	44	1.2%	-0.58 [-1.00, -0.15]			2 2 2 2 2 8 2 8	ĕ
iu, et al., 2017	48.2	17.7	22	55.8	12.6	22	1.1%	-0.49 [-1.09, 0.11]				2
Frimmer, 1992 Salli, et al., 2015	22	28 16	20	35 29	29	20	1.1%	-0.45 [-1.08, 0.18] -0.41 [-0.87, 0.05]	_		20000022	
erreira, et al., 2011	18	18	15	25	18	15	1.1%	-0.38 [-1.10, 0.34]	+			•
Rakel & Frantz, 2003 (3) Dailev et al., 2020	42	33.45	103	53	37.3	33	1.2%	-0.36 [-0.85, 0.12] -0.35 [-0.63, -0.07]	_			ă.
Varke, et al., 2004	28.25	36.5	5	40.33	19.4	3	0.7%	-0.33 [-1.78, 1.12]				ē.
Hruby, et al., 2006 Robinson, et al., 2001	35 38.2	28.8	48	43.7	30.6	49	1.2%	-0.29 [-0.69, 0.11] -0.27 [-1.10, 0.56]			2	2
lamza, et al., 1999	25	23	25	31	25	25	1.2%	-0.25 [-0.80, 0.31]	-+		•••••	ē.
fachin, et al., 1988 foore & Shurman, 1997 (4)	13.47	13.72	15	16.29	13.65	15	1.1%	-0.20 [-0.92, 0.52]			? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? •	2
Cuschieri, et al., 1985	25	21.8	53	28	21.8	53	1.2%	-0.14 [-0.52, 0.24]	+		22222922	ĕ
forster, et al., 1994 Shimoji et al., 2007	9.8	28.1	15 0	13.7	31.9	15	1.1%	-0.13 [-0.84, 0.59]			? ? ! ? ! ? ! ? ! ?	2
Fraff-Radford, et al., 1989	28.3	18.06	12	30.2	15.92	12	1.0%	-0.11 [-0.91, 0.69]			22000200	ĕ
filmazer, et al., 2012	54.6	32.1	33	57.5	30.5	32	1.2%	-0.09 [-0.58, 0.40]	1		?????????	2
homas, et al., 1988	33	31.1	131	35	33.8	144	1.3%	-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18]	+		22202222	ē
Presser, et al., 2000	47	38.34	30	49	27.39	30	1.2%	-0.06 [-0.57, 0.45]	+		??????	•
nani, 2015 Tucker, et al., 2015	22.4	11.3 56	35	22.8	10.2	31 35	1.2%	-0.02 [-0.52, 0.45]	Ŧ			5
lono, et al., 2015	80	20	54	80	20	54	1.2%	0.00 [-0.38, 0.38]	+		??.?????????????	•
Aachado et al., 2019 ee et al. 2015	47 55.6	25 9.2	22	46 54.4	12.9	22	1.1%	0.04 [-0.55, 0.63]	—			ä.
itamaz, et al., 2012	54.7	24.1	37	50.4	20.3	37	1.2%	0.19 [-0.27, 0.65]	+-		? • • • • • • • •	ě.
Silva, et al., 2012 Beckwée, et al., 2018	22.5	25.1	21	20	12.5	21	1.1%	0.20 [-0.40, 0.81]	T			2
Siqueira et al., 2019	2.92	6.6	13	0.7	1.6	14	1.1%	0.46 [-0.31, 1.22]	+		? ? 	é
Kofotolis, et al., 2008 Korropa Koco, et al., 2014 (6)	22	4	23	20	4	21	1.1%	0.49 [-0.11, 1.09]	T			2
wymannose, et al., 2014 (5)	10.0	3.6	00	7.6		50	1.270	0.00 [0.47, 1.29]				Ĩ.,
iotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.64; Chl² Fest for overall effect: Z = 10.49 (= 735.5 P < 0.00	8, df = 9 1001)	2426 1 (P < I	0.00001); I* = 88	2415 3%	100.0%	-0.96 [-1.14, -0.78]	-4 -2 0 Favours TENS Favo	2 4 Jurs Placebo		
iootnotes 1) *Crossover 2) *Cesarian delivery sample 3) *Crossover 4) *Crossover 5) *Vaginal delivery sample									Risk of bias legend (A) Random sequence; (B) Allocation concealm (C) Blinding of participar (D) Blinding of personne (F) Incomplete outcome (G) Selective reporting (r (H) Sample size	generation (se ent (selection l nts el assessment (data (attrition l reporting bias)	lection bias) blas) detection bias) blas)	
									(I) Sample Size Calculat	tion		

Figure 15. TENS versus placebo for pain intensity (continuous data) as standardised mean difference (SMD), including individual risk of bias (RoB) judgements. Green + circles = low RoB, Yellow ? circles = unclear RoB, Red circles = high RoB, * = calls attention to the footnote: \blacktriangleleft = SMD and 95% confidence intervals lie outside the range of the horizontal axis -12.5 (-17.39, -7.61): \blacklozenge = Overall measure of effect, the lateral points indicate confidence intervals for this estimate. The sequence of the in-figure reference citations from top to bottom are [43], [86], [249], [166], [201], [115], [52], [356], [321], [19], [44], [198], [104], [187], [95], [186], [39], [105], [176], [75], [393], [30], [310], [101], [277], [53], [358], [71], [268], [225], [85], [200], [94], [230], [184], [221], [222], [92], [118], [16], [73], [285], [386], [31], [346], [266], [116], [258], [392], [112], [135], [237], [57], [213], [377], [381], [54], [140], [325], [56], [320], [223], [152], [141], [132], [293], [96], [382], [168], [305], [156], [229], [248], [91], [139], [324], [149], [387], [311], [352], [289], [173], [364], [60], [228], [206], [36], [329], [48], [332], [192] and [184].

3.14. Features of Adverse Events

Generally, reporting of evaluation and reporting of adverse events was inadequate with only 136 out of 381 reports including a statement of adverse events (Figure 16).



Figure 16. Tally of adverse event statements.

Evaluation of adverse events was neglected and when present, methodology and reporting were inadequate. Reports failed to distinguish adverse events associated with study conditions (e.g., resulting from interventions or measurement procedures) or a general worsening of a medical condition (e.g., natural fluctuation of symptoms). Ninety reports acknowledged no adverse events associated with TENS. Forty-six reports acknowledged an adverse event in the TENS group although often it was not possible to determine whether adverse events in the TENS group were directly attributable to TENS. TENS-related adverse events were irritation, soreness or tenderness of the skin that were mild and infrequent. Some reports inappropriately ascribed TENS discomfort or worsening pain as an adverse event was deemed serious *"There was a possible relationship between the treatment and spontaneous abortion. A 36-year-old woman had a spontaneous abortion that occurred 21 days after BTX-A injection and electrical stimulation."* [318] p. 414.

4. Discussion

Our descriptive analysis of studies evaluating the efficacy of TENS provides a visual synopsis of key features of TENS studies. TENS literature is characterised by small, parallel group studies conducted in over 40 countries that evaluate high-frequency TENS at a strong non-painful intensity located at the painful area compared with placebo, analgesic medication(s), exercise, manual therapies and electrophysical agents. There were very few instances of duplicate publications or inconsistencies between data within study reports and that were extracted for meta-analyses.

The main weakness of studies is inadequate sample sizes and the escalating rate of inadequately powered studies on TENS is troubling. Ioannidis raised concern about the proliferation of the publication of useless and meaningless research associated with inadequate sample sizes, "Most clinical research therefore fails to be useful not because of its findings but because of its design" [639] p. 1. No studies aligned with criteria for undertaking TENS studies based on previous work by Bennett et al. [640] and Sluka et al. [641], and in doing so, the design and execution of TENS would markedly improve. In addition, study reports should focus much more on the robustness of methods, data and analyses than the

physiological and clinical plausibility of findings. In future, authors should make better use of supplemental material to overcome restrictions in manuscript word counts.

4.1. Considerations for Future TENS Studies Adequate Sample Size

Inadequate sample size is the most serious shortcoming in TENS studies. Calls for large multicentre studies date back to the 1970s and have been a consistent conclusion of systematic reviews (see Johnson 2021 for review [11]). Readers should be mindful of apparently large study sample sizes that are distributed across multiple intervention groups, seriously compromising statistical power and the likelihood of detecting a true effect [642]. Excessive use of comparison groups and outcome measures complicate interpretation of findings. We discourage the use of multiple intervention groups at the expense of group sample size in future studies.

4.2. Reframing Blinding of TENS

Performance bias is central to debates about the quality of studies on TENS. Strategies to judge blinding of participants and therapists have varied in previous reviews with some reviewers arguing that TENS studies always have a high RoB because blinding participants to TENS sensations is not possible as participants will know whether they are receiving an active or placebo intervention. We counter-argue that whether participants are uncertain about the intervention 'functioning properly' is the crucial factor, as this creates the belief that a placebo intervention may be a credible and therefore potentially active treatment. Pre-study briefings can be used to generate uncertainty in participants and personnel about whether a device needs to generate a sensation to be functioning properly and a TENS device that has been adapted so that there is no current output can be used [643,644]. This was the case for many of the studies included in this review. However, at present there is a paucity of studies that evaluate the outcome of blinding.

4.3. Managing Contamination from Concurrent Treatment

There were many instances of concurrent treatment and inadequate monitoring and/or reporting of concurrent treatment. Contamination from concurrent treatment is an issue in clinical trials [645]. In pain studies, differential adjustment of concurrent treatment (analgesics) between groups may generate comparable pain intensity outcomes [646] and undervalue the extent of beneficial effects [640]. Improved monitoring and reporting of concurrent treatment are needed in future studies.

4.4. Evaluating Adverse Events

Most TENS studies focus on benefits, and it was rare for adverse effects to be prespecified as an outcome. Commonly, adverse event data were captured by ad hoc observation resulting in a high risk of selective reporting bias. This is not unique to TENS [647]. In future, methodologies to obtain data for adverse events should be formalised with clear criteria to ascribe the occurrence and seriousness of adverse events.

4.5. Reframing Outcomes

There were similar proportions of studies evaluating acute pain and chronic pain; the commonest evaluations were for post-operative pain with a paucity of studies with extractable data for prevalent chronic pain conditions, including non-specific neck and/or back pain, osteoarthritis and neuropathic pain. At present, there is inconsistency in the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines in the United Kingdom where TENS is recommended as an addition to primary treatment for osteoarthritis [648] and rheumatoid arthritis [649], but not recommended for non-specific chronic low back pain [650], chronic pain [651] and intrapartum care (labour pain) [652].

Our Meta-TENS study found moderate-certainty evidence of pain relief *irrespective* of *diagnosis* [12].

We argued that pathological indicators that underpin medical diagnoses (pain conditions) may be of little relevance for treatments that alleviate pain using soothing sensations (e.g., TENS, warmth, cooling, touch). This is because the complex nature of the lived experience of pain results from much more than pathological indicators that may or may not be generating nociceptive input—everything matters for pain. Pain is a warning signal to protect tissue and is not always a dependable monitor of tissue damage (status), i.e., hurt does not always mean harm. Thus, we suggest that it may be more appropriate to select such treatments based on the quality of pain sensation.

Our descriptive analysis found few instances of studies allowing participants to select outcomes that were important to them. In clinical practice, TENS is selected following a holistic evaluation of a person's lived experience of pain, irrespective of medical diagnosis, and in line with a biopsychosocial self-management framework. Long-term users of TENS report using it to relieve sensations of pain and muscle spasm, thus reducing the negative impact of an 'overprotective brain' by enhancing function, sleep, psychological well-being and medication reduction [653–655]. TENS can promote activities of daily living and quality of life when used in combination with pain education, lifestyle adjustments, and movement and psychological-based interventions. Thus, outcome measures should document immediate relief of pain and function 'in-the-moment' during TENS, and longerterm effects over a prolonged course of TENS treatment. Careful consideration should be given to techniques used to measure follow-up effects after a course of treatment because, for example, a participant may stop using TENS because pain has resolved or because of lack of benefit or intolerable adverse events.

Research supports advice to personalise TENS treatment to personal need using systematic trial and error to find electrical characteristics and electrode positions that maximise benefit and minimise problems [654]. Gladwell et al. argue that future TENS studies need to be designed to align potential benefits of using TENS with patient reported outcome measures (PROMS) using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [656]. This should be underpinned by foundational research to improve evaluations of TENS [657].

4.6. Reframing the Active Ingredient of TENS

The TENS study literature is contaminated by TENS-like interventions using nonstandard currents or techniques including interferential therapy, transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation or microcurrent and therefore it is important to distinguish TENS from TENS-like interventions including administering TENS to acupuncture points remote to the site of pain. The eligibility criteria for our review were optimised to improve the likelihood of beneficial effects from TENS and were based on a pragmatic assumption that the effects of TENS are optimal when a standard TENS device administers strong non-painful TENS sensation close to the painful site. This is consistent with the physiological rationale of TENS to selectively stimulate non-noxious low threshold cutaneous peripheral afferents because this has been shown to reduce activity in nociceptive transmission cells in the central nervous system [2–5,658]. Studies using animal models of nociceptive processing suggest that central neuropharmacological actions of TENS are influenced by the pulse current frequency [659], yet this may not translate into clinically predictable outcomes in humans [660]. We argue that searching for optimal TENS parameters for specific pain conditions should be abandoned [11].

Our descriptive analysis revealed that the vast majority of TENS interventions were administered using high-frequency currents (>10 pps). However, reporting on electrical characteristics of TENS used in studies needs to be improved. Many reports did not state the pattern (mode) of pulse delivery, which is necessary to differentiate bursts per second and pulses per second when referring to low-frequency TENS. Likewise, the terms 'alternating', 'varying' and 'modulating' were not accompanied with sufficient detail to ascertain the precise nature of currents such as frequencies that switch between upper and lower boundaries at a single time point (i.e., 6 s at 10 pps and 6 s at 100 pps) or over a period of time (e.g., 6 s linear increase in frequency from 10 pps to 100 pps).

In most instances, TENS settings were determined by the researcher with insufficient information to ascertain whether settings could subsequently be adjusted by participants according to need. In most instances, the variability in treatment regimens reflected clinical context, e.g., a single five-minute dose during procedural pains to regular 30–60 min doses as needed over a period of weeks. This level of detail is important to ascertain exactly how TENS was administered throughout a study and whether participants adhered to clinical practice guidelines.

Much debate has focused around optimal and appropriate electrical characteristics of TENS. We have argued that differential neurophysiological and pharmacological pulse frequency effects of TENS observed in animal models of nociception may not moderate clinical outcome, i.e., frequency-dependent physiological effects do not translate to clinically meaningful outcomes. We speculate that the critical factor for success of TENS is the 'comfortability' of the sensory experience of TENS as this will 'soothe' the intensity and quality of and reduce perceived bodily threat, akin to rubbing, warming or cooling the skin for pain relief. One advantage of TENS is that electrical characteristics can be adjusted to alter the quality of sensations such as pulsate and paraesthesiae, and such moment-tomoment adjustment may be beneficial to combat the dynamic nature of pain.

It may be prudent to consider the 'active ingredient' of TENS as a pleasant bodily-TENS sensation irrespective of the electrical characteristics needed to achieve this. It is likely that electrical characteristics of TENS need to be regularly adjusted within and between treatment sessions to maintain this sensory comfortability on a moment-to-moment basis. Studies exploring the lived experiences of successful TENS users are few [653,654] and more are needed.

4.7. Monitoring Adherence and TENS Usage Patterns

Most study measurements obtained for in-patient populations reflected real-world situations where TENS was administered and outcome was measured during routine care, e.g., for procedural or post-surgical pain. However, this was apparent for out-patient populations self-administering TENS at home. Often participants were required to attend a 'study visit' to the clinic or laboratory to measure TENS effects at that one instance in time, occasionally supported with pain diary data. There was a paucity of formal monitoring of the pattern of TENS usage or whether participants had adhered to instructions for use in out-patient and in-patient settings. The use of sophisticated TENS devices that record patterns of treatment should be encouraged in future studies. Likewise, there is an urgent need for TENS education packages to support the intricate behaviours and choices facing TENS users so that they can optimise TENS benefits both in clinical practice and research studies [657].

4.8. Consideration of Novel Study Designs

The majority of TENS studies were parallel group randomised controlled trials conducted in hospital settings and using short-term outcomes. Two-thirds of the studies were pragmatic (clinical) rather than explanatory (mechanistic) with a large proportion of evaluations for post-operative pain and chronic musculoskeletal pain (e.g., back pain and osteoarthritis). The paucity of large studies with long-term courses of treatment and long-term follow-up for common chronic pain conditions should be addressed in future research.

There were no enriched enrolment randomised withdrawal studies. Previously, we have argued the need for a large multicentred enriched enrolment randomised withdrawal study that includes an observational 'run-in' phase of at least two weeks to enable participants to tailor treatment to their needs (i.e., optimise treatment and troubleshoot problems) and facilitate the assessment of adverse effects [11]. Group sample sizes should be 200 participants or more to ensure adequate statistical power [11,642], although we

suspect the effect size estimate of such a study would be of a similar magnitude to that reported in our Meta-TENS study (i.e., pain intensity was lower for TENS versus placebo with a standardised mean difference of -0.96 (95% CI -1.14 to -0.78; 91 RCTs, 92 samples, n = 4841 [12]).

There is a need for real-world data so that educational packages can be developed to support patients to self-administer TENS and to integrate TENS within public health service settings [655,657]. Research is also needed to determine appropriate, contextualised TENS patient-reported outcomes [656].

5. Conclusions

The analyses offer insights into the factors influencing the fidelity of studies on TENS and offers avenues to improve the direction and design of future research. The conduct and publication of small-sized studies claiming to evaluate efficacy should be discouraged, as should new systematic reviews without the inclusion of large studies that are likely to change outcome or improve the level of certainty of evidence. Our descriptive analysis should be considered alongside the moderate-certainty evidence that TENS alleviates 'pain-in-the-moment' from our Meta-TENS study [12].

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation: M.I.J.; data curation: M.I.J., P.G.W. and C.A.P. (G.J. crosschecking); formal analysis: M.I.J., P.G.W., C.A.P., M.R.M. and G.J.; funding acquisition: M.I.J.; investigation: M.I.J., P.G.W., C.A.P., M.R.M. and G.J.; methodology (protocol development): M.I.J., P.G.W., C.A.P. and G.J.; project administration: M.I.J.; resources: M.I.J.; software: M.I.J. and M.R.M.; supervision: M.I.J.; validation: M.I.J.; visualisation: M.I.J.; writing—original draft: M.I.J.; writing—review and editing: M.I.J., P.G.W., C.A.P., G.J. and M.R.M. All authors had access to the data and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) Consumer Healthcare, Nyon, Switzerland provided funding for the study (Investigator Sponsored Study grant, award/grant number N/A). The funder had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical approval for the review was granted by Leeds Beckett University (Application Ref: 78097).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available as yet due to the investigating team continuing to undertake secondary analyses.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form and declare the following: In the previous 5 years, Mark I. Johnson's employer has received income for expert consultancy activities from GlaxoSmithKline, TENSCare, and LifeCare Ltd. that lie outside of the submitted work. Mark I. Johnson declares book royalties from Oxford University Press. Carole A. Paley, Gareth Jones, Mathew R. Mulvey and Priscilla G. Wittkopf declare no conflicts of interests. There was no patient or public involvement in any aspect of this study or its write-up.

References

- 1. Johnson, M. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS). Research to Support Clinical Practice; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2014.
- Garrison, D.W.; Foreman, R.D. Decreased activity of spontaneous and noxiously evoked dorsal horn cells during transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). *Pain* 1994, *58*, 309–315. [CrossRef]
- 3. Garrison, D.W.; Foreman, R.D. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on spontaneous and noxiously evoked dorsal horn cell activity in cats with transected spinal cords. *Neurosci. Lett.* **1996**, *216*, 125–128. [CrossRef]
- Peng, W.W.; Tang, Z.Y.; Zhang, F.R.; Li, H.; Kong, Y.Z.; Iannetti, G.D.; Hu, L. Neurobiological mechanisms of TENS-induced analgesia. *Neuroimage* 2019, 195, 396–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 5. Johnson, M.I. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. In *Electrophysical Agents*, 13th ed.; Watson, T., Nussbaum, E.L., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 264–295.
- Chabal, C.; Fishbain, D.A.; Weaver, M.; Heine, L.W. Long-term transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) use: Impact on medication utilization and physical therapy costs. *Clin. J. Pain* 1998, 14, 66–73. [CrossRef]
- Pivec, R.; Stokes, M.; Chitnis, A.S.; Paulino, C.B.; Harwin, S.F.; Mont, M.A. Clinical and economic impact of TENS in patients with chronic low back pain: Analysis of a nationwide database. *Orthopedics* 2013, *36*, 922–928. [CrossRef]
- Pivec, R.; Minshall, M.E.; Mistry, J.B.; Chughtai, M.; Elmallah, R.K.; Mont, M.A. Decreased Opioid Utilization and Cost at One Year in Chronic Low Back Pain Patients Treated with Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation (TENS). Surg. Technol. Int. 2015, 27, 268–274.
- Woods, B.; Manca, A.; Weatherly, H.; Saramago, P.; Sideris, E.; Giannopoulou, C.; Rice, S.; Corbett, M.; Vickers, A.; Bowes, M.; et al. Cost-effectiveness of adjunct non-pharmacological interventions for osteoarthritis of the knee. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0172749. [CrossRef]
- Vance, C.G.; Zimmerman, M.B.; Dailey, D.L.; Rakel, B.A.; Geasland, K.M.; Chimenti, R.L.; Williams, J.M.; Golchha, M.; Crofford, L.J.; Sluka, K.A. Reduction in movement-evoked pain and fatigue during initial 30-minute TENS treatment predicts TENS responders in women with fibromyalgia. *Pain* 2020, *162*, 1545–1555. [CrossRef]
- 11. Johnson, M.I. Resolving Long-Standing Uncertainty about the Clinical Efficacy of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) to Relieve Pain: A Comprehensive Review of Factors Influencing Outcome. *Medicina* **2021**, *57*, 378. [CrossRef]
- Johnson, M.I.; Paley, C.A.; Jones, G.; Mulvey, M.R.; Wittkopf, P.G. Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for acute and chronic pain in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 381 studies (the meta-TENS study). BMJ Open 2022, 12, e051073. [CrossRef]
- Johnson, M.I.; Jones, G.; Paley, C.A.; Wittkopf, P.G. The clinical efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for acute and chronic pain: A protocol for a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (RCTs). *BMJ Open* 2019, *9*, e029999.
 [CrossRef]
- Abbasi, A.A.; Shehzad, M.; Hussain, A. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Encouragement by Way of the Adjunct to Non-Steroidal Unwilling-Inflammatory Medicines for Aching Management throughout Pleurodesis. *Indo Am. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2019, 6, 12943–12947. [CrossRef]
- 15. Abelson, K.; Langely, G.B.; Sheppeard, H. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in rheumatoid arthritis. *N. Z. Med. J.* **1983**, *96*, 156–158.
- 16. Abreu, E.A.; Santos, J.D.M.D.; Ventura, P.L. Efetividade da eletroestimulação nervosa transcutânea no alívio da dor durante o trabalho de parto: Um ensaio clínico controlado. *Rev. Dor* 2010, *11*, 313–318.
- Acedo, A.A.; Antunes, A.C.L.; dos Santos, A.B.; de Olveira, C.B.; dos Santos, C.T.; Colonezi, G.L.T.; Fontana, F.A.M.; Yukio Fukuda, T. Upper trapezius relaxation induced by tens and interferential current in computer users with chronic nonspecific neck discomfort: An electromyographic analysis. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil. 2015, 28, 19–24. [CrossRef]
- Adedoyin, R.A.; Olaogun, M.O.B.; Oyeyemi, A.L. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Interferential Current Combined with Exercise for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomised Controlled Trial. *Hong Kong Physiother. J.* 2005, 23, 13–19. [CrossRef]
- 19. Ahmed, M.T. Effect of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Postoperative Pain after Inguinal Hernia Repair: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Turk. Fiz. Tip Rehabil. Derg.* **2010**, *56*, 170–176. [CrossRef]
- Ahmed, G.M.; Maher, E.A.; Elnassag, B.A.E.M.R.; Sayed, H.M.; Kabbash, S.I. Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to decrease diabetic neuropathic pain. *Int. J. Ther. Rehabil.* 2020, 27, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 21. Alcidi, L.; Beneforti, E.; Maresca, M.; Santosuosso, U.; Zoppi, M. Low power radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation for the treatment of pain due to osteoarthritis of the knee. *Reumatismo* 2007, *59*, 140–145. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ali, J.; Yaffe, C.S.; Serrette, C. The effect of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation on postoperative pain and pulmonary function. *Surgery* **1981**, *89*, 507–512. [CrossRef]
- Alizade, M.H.; Ahmadizad, S. A comparison of exercise therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the treatment of chronic low back pain. World J. Sport Sci. 2009, 2, 43–47.
- 24. Allais, G.; De Lorenzo, C.; Quirico, P.E.; Lupi, G.; Airola, G.; Mana, O.; Benedetto, C. Non-pharmacological approaches to chronic headaches: Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, laser therapy and acupuncture in transformed migraine treatment. *Neurol. Sci.* 2003, 24 (Suppl. 2), S138–S142. [CrossRef]
- 25. Alm, W.; Gold, M.; Weil, L. Evaluation of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in podiatric surgery. J. Am. Podiatry Assoc. 1979, 69, 537–542.
- Al-Smadi, J.; Warke, K.; Wilson, I.; Cramp, A.; Noble, G.; Walsh, D.; Lowe-Strong, A. A pilot investigation of the hypoalgesic effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation upon low back pain in people with multiple sclerosis. *Clin. Rehabil.* 2003, 17, 742–749. [CrossRef]
- 27. Altay, F.; Durmus, D.; Cantürk, F. Effects of TENS on pain, disability, quality of life and depression in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Turk. J. Rheumatol.* 2010, 25, 116–121. [CrossRef]

- Alvarez-Arenal, A.; Junquera, L.M.; Fernandez, J.P.; Gonzalez, I.; Olay, S. Effect of occlusal splint and transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation on the signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in patients with bruxism. *J. Oral. Rehabil.* 2002, 29, 858–863. [CrossRef]
- Alves Silverio, K.C.; Brasolotto, A.G.; Thais Donalonso Siqueira, L.; Carneiro, C.G.; Fukushiro, A.P.; Roberto de Jesus Guirro, R. Effect of application of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and laryngeal manual therapy in dysphonic women: Clinical trial. J. Voice 2015, 29, 200–208. [CrossRef]
- Amer-Cuenca, J.J.; Goicoechea, C.; Girona-Lopez, A.; Andreu-Plaza, J.L.; Palao-Roman, R.; Martinez-Santa, G.; Lison, J.F. Pain relief by applying transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during unsedated colonoscopy: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Eur. J. Pain* 2011, *15*, 29–35. [CrossRef]
- AminiSaman, J.; Karimpour, H.; Hemmatpour, B.; Mohammadi, S.; Darvishi, S.; Kawyannejad, R. Effect of TENS on the Pain Intensity during Insertion of Needle in Patients Undergoing Spinal anesthesia: A Randomized Controlled Study. J. Acupunct. Meridian Stud. 2020, 13, 83–86. [CrossRef]
- 32. Angulo, D.L.; Colwell, C.W. Use of Postoperative TENS and Continuous Passive Motion Following Total Knee Replacement. J. Orthop. Sports Phys. **1990**, 11, 599–604. [CrossRef]
- Ardic, F.; Sarhus, M.; Topuz, O. Comparison of two different techniques of electrotherapy on myofascial pain. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil. 2002, 16, 11–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arvidsson, I.; Eriksson, E. Postoperative TENS pain relief after knee surgery: Objective evaluation. Orthopedics 1986, 9, 1346–1351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Asgari, Z.; Tavoli, Z.; Hosseini, R.; Nataj, M.; Tabatabaei, F.; Dehghanizadeh, F.; Haji-Amoo-Assar, H.; Sepidarkish, M.; Montazeri, A. A Comparative Study between Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Fentanyl to Relieve Shoulder Pain during Laparoscopic Gynecologic Surgery under Spinal Anesthesia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Pain Res. Manag.* 2018, 2018, 9715142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Atamaz, F.C.; Durmaz, B.; Baydar, M.; Demircioglu, O.Y.; Iyiyapici, A.; Kuran, B.; Oncel, S.; Sendur, O.F. Comparison of the efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, interferential currents, and shortwave diathermy in knee osteoarthritis: A double-blind, randomized, controlled, multicenter study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2012, 93, 748–756. [CrossRef]
- 37. Aydin, G.; Tomruk, S.; Keles, I.; Demir, S.O.; Orkun, S. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus baclofen in spasticity: Clinical and electrophysiologic comparison. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* **2005**, *84*, 584–592. [CrossRef]
- Azatcam, G.; Atalay, N.S.; Akkaya, N.; Sahin, F.; Aksoy, S.; Zincir, O.; Topuz, O. Comparison of effectiveness of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Kinesio Taping added to exercises in patients with myofascial pain syndrome. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* 2017, 30, 291–298. [CrossRef]
- Báez-Suárez, A.; Martín-Castillo, E.; García-Andújar, J.; García-Hernández, J.; Quintana-Montesdeoca, M.P.; Loro-Ferrer, J.F. Evaluation of different doses of transcutaneous nerve stimulation for pain relief during labour: A randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2018, 19, 652. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bai, H.Y.; Bai, H.Y.; Yang, Z.Q. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation therapy for the treatment of primary dysmenorrheal. *Medicine* **2017**, *96*, e7959. [CrossRef]
- 41. Baki, E.D.; Oz, G.; Kokulu, S.; Ulasli, A.M.; Ela, Y.; Sivaci, R.G.; Senay, H.; Dogan, G. Comparison of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Paravertebral Block for Postthoracotomy Pain Relief. *Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* **2015**, *63*, 514–518. [CrossRef]
- Ballegaard, S.; Christophersen, S.; Dawids, S.; Hesse, J.; Olsen, N. Acupuncture and transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in the treatment of pain associated with chronic pancreatitis. A randomized study. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 1985, 20, 1249–1254. [CrossRef]
- 43. Barbarisi, M.; Pace, M.C.; Passavanti, M.B.; Maisto, M.; Mazzariello, L.; Pota, V.; Aurilio, C. Pregabalin and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postherpetic neuralgia treatment. *Clin. J. Pain* **2010**, *26*, 567–572. [CrossRef]
- Barker, R.; Lang, T.; Steinlechner, B.; Mora, B.; Heigel, P.; Gauss, N.; Zimpfer, M.; Kober, A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as prehospital emergency interventional care: Treating acute pelvic pain in young women. *Neuromodulation* 2006, 9, 136–142. [CrossRef]
- 45. Barker, K.L.; Elliott, C.J.; Sackley, C.M.; Fairbank, J.C. Treatment of chronic back pain by sensory discrimination training. A Phase I RCT of a novel device (FairMed) vs. TENS. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **2008**, *9*, 97. [CrossRef]
- 46. Başkurt, Z.; Başkurt, F.; Özcan, A.; Yilmaz, Ö. The immediate effects of heat and TENS on pressure pain threshold and pain intensity in patients with Stage I shoulder impingement syndrome. *Pain Clin.* **2006**, *18*, 81–85. [CrossRef]
- 47. Bayindir, O.; Paker, T.; Akpinar, B.; Erenturk, S.; Askin, D.; Aytac, A. Use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the control of postoperative chest pain after cardiac surgery. *J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.* **1991**, *5*, 589–591. [CrossRef]
- Beckwée, D.; Bautmans, I.; Lefeber, N.; Lievens, P.; Scheerlinck, T.; Vaes, P. Effect of Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation on Pain after Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. J. Knee Surg. 2018, 31, 189–196. [CrossRef]
- Benedetti, F.; Amanzio, M.; Casadio, C.; Cavallo, A.; Cianci, R.; Giobbe, R.; Mancuso, M.; Ruffini, E.; Maggi, G. Control of postoperative pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after thoracic operations. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 1997, 63, 773–776. [CrossRef]
- 50. Bennett, M.I.; Johnson, M.I.; Brown, S.R.; Radford, H.; Brown, J.M.; Searle, R.D. Feasibility study of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for cancer bone pain. *J. Pain* **2010**, *11*, 351–359. [CrossRef]

- 51. Bergeron-Vezina, K.; Filion, C.; Couture, C.; Vallee, E.; Laroche, S.; Leonard, G. Adjusting Pulse Amplitude During Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Does Not Provide Greater Hypoalgesia. J. Altern. Complement. Med. 2018, 24, 262–267. [CrossRef]
- 52. Bertalanffy, A.; Kober, A.; Bertalanffy, P.; Gustorff, B.; Gore, O.; Adel, S.; Hoerauf, K. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces acute low back pain during emergency transport. *Acad. Emerg. Med.* **2005**, *12*, 607–611. [CrossRef]
- 53. Bi, X.; Lv, H.; Chen, B.L.; Li, X.; Wang, X.Q. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain in patients with spinal cord injury: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* **2015**, *27*, 23–25. [CrossRef]
- 54. Bilgili, A.; Cakir, T.; Dogan, S.K.; Ercalik, T.; Filiz, M.B.; Toraman, F. The effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the management of patients with complex regional pain syndrome: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled prospective study. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* **2016**, *29*, 661–671. [CrossRef]
- 55. Binder, P.; Gustafsson, A.; Uvnas-Moberg, K.; Nissen, E. Hi-TENS combined with PCA-morphine as post caesarean pain relief. *Midwifery* **2011**, 27, 547–552. [CrossRef]
- 56. Bjersa, K.; Andersson, T. High frequency TENS as a complement for pain relief in postoperative transition from epidural to general analgesia after pancreatic resection. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* **2014**, *20*, 5–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bjersa, K.; Jildenstaal, P.; Jakobsson, J.; Egardt, M.; Fagevik Olsen, M. Adjunct High Frequency Transcutaneous Electric Stimulation (TENS) for Postoperative Pain Management during Weaning from Epidural Analgesia Following Colon Surgery: Results from a Controlled Pilot Study. *Pain Manag. Nurs.* 2015, 16, 944–950. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bloodworth, D.M.; Nguyen, B.N.; Garver, W.; Moss, F.; Pedroza, C.; Tran, T.; Chiou-Tan, F.Y. Comparison of stochastic vs. conventional transcutaneous electrical stimulation for pain modulation in patients with electromyographically documented radiculopathy. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* 2004, *83*, 584–591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bolat, M.S.; Cinar, O.; Asci, R.; Buyukalpelli, R. A novel method for pain control: Infiltration free local anesthesia technique (INFLATE) for transrectal prostatic biopsy using transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* 2019, *51*, 2119–2126. [CrossRef]
- 60. Bono, F.; Salvino, D.; Mazza, M.R.; Curcio, M.; Trimboli, M.; Vescio, B.; Quattrone, A. The influence of ictal cutaneous allodynia on the response to occipital transcutaneous electrical stimulation in chronic migraine and chronic tension-type headache: A randomized, sham-controlled study. *Cephalalgia Int. J. Headache* **2015**, *35*, 389–398. [CrossRef]
- 61. Börjesson, M.; Eriksson, P.; Dellborg, M.; Eliasson, T.; Mannheimer, C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in unstable angina pectoris. *Coron. Artery Dis.* **1997**, *8*, 543–550.
- 62. Börjesson, M.; Pilhall, M.; Eliasson, T.; Norssell, H.; Mannheimer, C.; Rolny, P. Esophageal visceral pain sensitivity: Effects of TENS and correlation with manometric findings. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **1998**, *43*, 1621–1628. [CrossRef]
- 63. Borup, L.; Wurlitzer, W.; Hedegaard, M.; Kesmodel, U.S.; Hvidman, L. Acupuncture as pain relief during delivery: A randomized controlled trial. *Birth* **2009**, *36*, 5–12. [CrossRef]
- 64. Breit, R.; Van der Wall, H. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postoperative pain relief after total knee arthroplasty. *J. Arthroplast.* **2004**, *19*, 45–48. [CrossRef]
- 65. Buchmuller, A.; Navez, M.; Milletre-Bernardin, M.; Pouplin, S.; Presles, E.; Lanteri-Minet, M.; Tardy, B.; Laurent, B.; Camdessanche, J.P. Value of TENS for relief of chronic low back pain with or without radicular pain. *Eur. J. Pain* **2012**, *16*, 656–665. [CrossRef]
- 66. Bulut, M.; Özcan, A.; Çakan, T.; Mektas, M.; Çulha, C. The Comparison of Effectiveness of TENS and Placebo TENS in Peripheral Neuropathic Pain in Patients with Type II Diabetes Mellitus. *Turk. Klin. J. Med. Sci.* **2011**, *31*, 913–918. [CrossRef]
- 67. Bundsen, P.; Ericson, K.; Peterson, L.; Thiringer, K. Pain relief in labor by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. Testing of a modified stimulation technique and evaluation of the neurological and biochemical condition of the newborn infant. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **1982**, *61*, 129–136. [CrossRef]
- 68. Can, F.; Tandogan, R.; Yilmaz, I.; Dolunay, E.; Erden, Z. Rehabilitation of patellofemoral pain syndrome: TENS versus diadynamic current therapy for pain relief. *Pain Clin.* 2003, *15*, 61–68. [CrossRef]
- Casale, R.; Damiani, C.; Maestri, R.; Wells, C.D. Pain and electrophysiological parameters are improved by combined 830-1064 high-intensity LASER in symptomatic carpal tunnel syndrome versus Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation. A randomized controlled study. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2013, 49, 205–211.
- Cebi, A.-T. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain after impacted third molar surgery. *Med. Oral Patol. Oral Cir. Bucal* 2019, 24, E404–E408. [CrossRef]
- Celik, E.C.; Erhan, B.; Gunduz, B.; Lakse, E. The effect of low-frequency TENS in the treatment of neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2013, *51*, 334–337. [CrossRef]
- Cetin, N.; Aytar, A.; Atalay, A.; Akman, M. Comparing hot pack, short-wave diathermy, ultrasound, and TENS on isokinetic strength, pain, and functional status of women with osteoarthritic knees: A single-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2008, 87, 443–451. [CrossRef]
- 73. Chandra, A.; Banavaliker, J.N.; Das, P.K.; Hasti, S. Use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as an adjunctive to epidural analgesia in the management of acute thoracotomy pain. *Indian J. Anaesth.* **2010**, *54*, 116–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Cheing, G.L.; Hui_Chan, C.W. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: Nonparallel antinociceptive effects on chronic clinical pain and acute experimental pain. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **1999**, *80*, 305–312. [CrossRef]
- 75. Cheing, G.L.; Luk, M.L. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for neuropathic pain. J. Hand Surg. 2005, 30, 50–55. [CrossRef]
- Cheing, G.L.; Hui-Chan, C.W.; Chan, K.M. Does four weeks of TENS and/or isometric exercise produce cumulative reduction of osteoarthritic knee pain? *Clin. Rehabil.* 2002, 16, 749–760. [CrossRef]

- 77. Cheing, G.L.; Tsui, A.Y.; Lo, S.K.; Hui-Chan, C.W. Optimal stimulation duration of TENS in the management of osteoarthritic knee pain. J. Rehabil. Med. 2003, 35, 62–68. [CrossRef]
- Chellappa, D.; Thirupathy, M. Comparative efficacy of low-Level laser and TENS in the symptomatic relief of temporomandibular joint disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J. Dent. Res.* 2020, 31, 42–47. [CrossRef]
- Cherian, J.J.; Harrison, P.E.; Benjamin, S.A.; Bhave, A.; Harwin, S.F.; Mont, M.A. Do the Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Knee Osteoarthritis Pain and Function Last? J. Knee Surg. 2016, 29, 497–501. [CrossRef]
- 80. Chesterton, L.; Van Der Windt, D.A.; Sim, J.; Lewis, M.; Mallen, C.D.; Mason, E.; Hay, E. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the management of tennis elbow: A pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *Rheumatology* **2013**, *1*, i38.
- 81. Chia, Y.; Arulkumaran, S.; Chua, S.; Ratnam, S. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulator for pain relief in labour. *Asia Ocean. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **1990**, *16*, 145–151. [CrossRef]
- 82. Chiou, Y.F.; Yeh, M.L.; Wang, Y.J. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Acupuncture Points Improves Myofascial Pain, Moods, and Sleep Quality. *Rehabil. Nurs. J.* **2020**, *45*, 225–233. [CrossRef]
- Chitsaz, A.; Janghorbani, M.; Shaygannejad, V.; Ashtari, F.; Heshmatipour, M.; Freeman, J. Sensory complaints of the upper extremities in multiple sclerosis: Relative efficacy of nortriptyline and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Clin. J. Pain* 2009, 25, 281–285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chiu, T.T.; Hui-Chan, C.W.; Chein, G. A randomized clinical trial of TENS and exercise for patients with chronic neck pain. *Clin. Rehabil.* 2005, 19, 850–860. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cipriano, G., Jr.; de Camargo Carvalho, A.C.; Bernardelli, G.F.; Tayar Peres, P.A. Short-term transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after cardiac surgery: Effect on pain, pulmonary function and electrical muscle activity. *Interact. Cardiovasc. Thorac. Surg.* 2008, 7, 539–543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cipriano, G., Jr.; Neder, J.A.; Umpierre, D.; Arena, R.; Vieira, P.J.; Chiappa, A.M.; Ribeiro, J.P.; Chiappa, G.R. Sympathetic ganglion transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery improves femoral blood flow and exercise tolerance. J. Appl. Physiol. 2014, 117, 633–638. [CrossRef]
- 87. Coelho de Amorim, J.S.; Braz Rossetti, M.R.; Mendes Braga, N.L.H. Effects of manual therapy and electrotherapy on knee osteoarthritis. *ConScientiae Saude* 2014, 13, 11–20. [CrossRef]
- Cooperman, A.M.; Hall, B.; Mikalacki, K.; Hardy, R.; Sardar, E. Use of transcutaneous electrical stimulation in the control of postoperative pain. Am. J. Surg. 1977, 133, 185–187. [CrossRef]
- 89. Coyne, P.; MacMurren, M.; Izzo, T.; Kramer, T. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator for procedural pain associated with intravenous needlesticks. *J. Intraven. Nurs.* **1995**, *18*, 263–267.
- 90. Crompton, A.C.; Johnson, N.; Dudek, U.; Batra, N.; Tucker, A. Is transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation of any value during cervical laser treatment? *Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **1992**, *99*, 492–494. [CrossRef]
- Cuschieri, R.J.; Morran, C.G.; McArdle, C.S. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation for postoperative pain. Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl. 1985, 67, 127–129.
- 92. Cuschieri, R.J.; Morran, C.G.; Pollock, J.G. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation for ischaemic pain at rest. *Br. Med. J. (Clin. Res. Ed.)* **1987**, 295, 306. [CrossRef]
- Da Silva, T.F.G.; Suda, E.Y.; Marçulo, C.A.; Paes, F.H.S.; Pinheiro, G.T. Comparison of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and hydrotherapy effects on pain, flexibility and quality of life in patients with fibromyalgia. *Fisioter. Pesqui.* 2008, 15, 118–124.
- 94. Da Silva, M.P.; Liebano, R.E.; Rodrigues, V.A.; Abla, L.E.F.; Ferreira, L.M. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Pain Relief After Liposuction: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Aesthetic. Plast. Surg.* **2015**, *39*, 262–269. [CrossRef]
- 95. Dailey, D.L.; Rakel, B.A.; Vance, C.G.; Liebano, R.E.; Amrit, A.S.; Bush, H.M.; Lee, K.S.; Lee, J.E.; Sluka, K.A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces pain, fatigue and hyperalgesia while restoring central inhibition in primary fibromyalgia. *Pain* **2013**, *154*, 2554–2562. [CrossRef]
- 96. Dailey, D.L.; Vance, C.G.T.; Rakel, B.A.; Zimmerman, M.B.; Embree, J.; Merriwether, E.N.; Geasland, K.M.; Chimenti, R.; Williams, J.M.; Golchha, M.; et al. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Reduces Movement-Evoked Pain and Fatigue: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020, 72, 824–836. [CrossRef]
- 97. Davies, J.R. Ineffective transcutaneous nerve stimulation following epidural anaesthesia. Anaesthesia 1982, 37, 453–454. [CrossRef]
- 98. Dawood, M.Y.; Ramos, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for the treatment of primary dysmenorrhea: A randomized crossover comparison with placebo TENS and ibuprofen. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **1990**, *75*, 656–660.
- 99. De Angelis, C.; Perrone, G.; Santoro, G.; Nofroni, I.; Zichella, L. Suppression of pelvic pain during hysteroscopy with a transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation device. *Fertil. Steril.* 2003, *79*, 1422–1427. [CrossRef]
- 100. De Giorgi, I.; Castroflorio, T.; Sartoris, B.; Deregibus, A. The use of conventional transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in chronic facial myalgia patients. *Clin. Oral. Investig.* **2017**, *21*, 275–280. [CrossRef]
- De Oliveira, G.C.Q.; Silva, R.C.; de Almeida, J.F.; de Araajo, A.C.R.; Pitangui, C.R. High and low frequency TENS for primary dysmenorrhea: Preliminary study. *ConScientiae Saude* 2012, 11, 149–158. [CrossRef]
- Orange, F.A.D.; Amorim, M.M.R.D.; Lima, L. Uso da eletroestimulação transcutânea para alívio da dor durante o trabalho de parto em uma maternidade-escola: Ensaio clínico controlado. *Rev. Bras. Ginecol. Obs.* 2003, 25, 45–52. [CrossRef]
- De Sousa, L.; Gomes-Sponholz, F.A.; Nakano, A.M. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the relief of post-partum uterine contraction pain during breast-feeding: A randomized clinical trial. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 2014, 40, 1317–1323. [CrossRef]

- DeSantana, J.M.; Santana-Filho, V.J.; Guerra, D.R.; Sluka, K.A.; Gurgel, R.Q.; da Silva, W.M., Jr. Hypoalgesic effect of the transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation following inguinal herniorrhaphy: A randomized, controlled trial. *J. Pain* 2008, *9*, 623–629. [CrossRef]
- 105. DeSantana, J.; Sluka, K.; Rocha, L.G. High and low frequency TENS reduce postoperative pain intensity after laparoscopic tubal ligation: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin. J. Pain* 2009, 25, 12–28. [CrossRef]
- Dewan, A.; Sharma, R. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and interferential electrotherapy in adhesive capsulitis. *Pb J. Orthop.* 2011, 21, 64.
- 107. Deyo, R.; Walsh, N.; Martin, D.; Schoenfeld, L.; Ramamurthy, S. A controlled trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and exercise for chronic low back pain. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1990**, 322, 1627–1634. [CrossRef]
- 108. Dibenedetto, P.; Iona, L.G.; Zidarich, V. Clinical-evaluation of s-adenosyl-l-methionine versus transcutaneous electrical nervestimulation in primary fibromyalgia. *Curr. Ther. Res. Clin. Exp.* **1993**, *53*, 222–229. [CrossRef]
- 109. Dilekci, E.; Alpayci, M.; Bayram, K.B.; Bal, S.; Kocyigit, H.; Gurgan, A.; Kaplan, S. The efficacy of TENS in patients with lateral epicondylitis: A randomized controlled study. *Turk. Fiz. Tip Ve Rehabil. Derg.* **2016**, *62*, 297–302. (In Turkish) [CrossRef]
- Dissanayaka, T.D.; Pallegama, R.W.; Suraweera, H.J.; Johnson, M.I.; Kariyawasam, A.P. Comparison of the Effectiveness of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Interferential Therapy on the Upper Trapezius in Myofascial Pain Syndrome: A Randomized Controlled Study. Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr. 2016, 95, 663–672. [CrossRef]
- Dogu, B.; Yilmaz, F.; Karan, A.; Ergoz, E.; Kuran, B. Comparative the effectiveness of occlusal splint and TENS treatments on clinical findings and pain threshold of temporomandibular disorders secondary to bruxism. *Turk. Fiz. Tip Ve Rehabil. Derg.* 2009, 55, 1–7. (In Turkish)
- 112. Domaille, M.; Reeves, B. TENS and pain control after coronary artery bypass surgery. Physiotherapy 1997, 83, 510-516. [CrossRef]
- 113. Ebadi, S.; Ansari, N.; Ahadi, T.; Fallah, E.; Forogh, B. No immediate analgesic effect of diadynamic current in patients with nonspecific low back pain in comparison to TENS. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* **2018**, *22*, 693–699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ekblom, A.; Hansson, P. Thermal sensitivity is not changed by acute pain or afferent stimulation. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 1987, 50, 1216–1220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Ekim, A.; Armagan, O.; Oner, C. Efficiency of TENS treatment in hemiplegic shoulder pain: A placebo controlled study. *Agri Agri (Algoloji) Dern. Yayin. Organidir* 2008, 20, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- Elboim-Gabyzon, M.; Andrawus Najjar, S.; Shtarker, H. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on acute postoperative pain intensity and mobility after hip fracture: A double-blinded, randomized trial. *Clin. Interv. Aging* 2019, 14, 1841–1850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Elserty, N.; Kattabei, O.; Elhafez, H. Effect of Fixed Versus Adjusted Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Amplitude on Chronic Mechanical Low Back Pain. J. Altern. Complement. Med. 2016, 22, 557–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Emmiler, M.; Solak, O.; Kocogullari, C.; Dundar, U.; Ayva, E.; Ela, Y.; Cekirdekci, A.; Kavuncu, V. Control of acute postoperative pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after open cardiac operations: A randomized placebo-controlled prospective study. *Heart Surg. Forum* 2008, *11*, E300-3. [CrossRef]
- Engen, D.J.; Carns, P.E.; Allen, M.S.; Bauer, B.A.; Loehrer, L.L.; Cha, S.S.; Chartrand, C.M.; Eggler, E.J.; Cutshall, S.M.; Wahner-Roedler, D.L. Evaluating efficacy and feasibility of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postoperative pain after video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery: A randomized pilot trial. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 2016, 23, 141–148. [CrossRef]
- 120. Erden, S.; Senol Celik, S. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on post-thoracotomy pain. *Contemp. Nurse* **2015**, 51, 163–170. [CrossRef]
- 121. Erdogan, M.; Erdogan, A.; Erbil, N.; Karakaya, H.; Demircan, A. Prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the effect of TENS on postthoracotomy pain and pulmonary function. *World J. Surg.* 2005, *29*, 1563–1570. [CrossRef]
- 122. Erkkola, R.; Pikkola, P.; Kanto, J. Transcutaneous nerve stimulation for pain relief during labour: A controlled study. *Ann. Chir. Gynaecol.* **1980**, *69*, 273–277.
- 123. Escortell-Mayor, E.; Riesgo-Fuertes, R.; Garrido-Elustondo, S.; Asunsolo-Del Barco, A.; Diaz-Pulido, B.; Blanco-Diaz, M.; Bejerano-Alvarez, E. Primary care randomized clinical trial: Manual therapy effectiveness in comparison with TENS in patients with neck pain. *Man. Ther.* 2011, 16, 66–73. [CrossRef]
- 124. Esteban Gonzalez, P.; Novoa, N.M.; Varela, G. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Reduces Post-Thoractomy Ipsilateral Shoulder Pain. A Prospective Randomized Study. *Arch. Bronconeumol.* **2015**, *51*, 621–626. [CrossRef]
- 125. Eyigor, S.; Karapolat, H.; Ibisoglu, U.; Durmaz, B. Does transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or therapeutic ultrasound increase the effectiveness of exercise for knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled study. *Agri Agri (Algoloji) Dern. Yayin. Organidir* 2008, 20, 32–40.
- 126. Eyigor, C.; Eyigor, S.; Kivilcim Korkmaz, O. Are intra-articular corticosteroid injections better than conventional TENS in treatment of rotator cuff tendinitis in the short run? A randomized study. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2010**, *46*, 315–324.
- Facci, L.M.; Nowotny, J.P.; Tormem, F.; Trevisani, V.F. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and interferential currents (IFC) in patients with nonspecific chronic low back pain: Randomized clinical trial. *Sao Paulo Med. J.* 2011, 129, 206–216. [CrossRef]
- Farahani, D.M.; Tavallaie, S.A.; Ahmadi, K.; Ashtiani, A.F. Comparison of Neurofeedback and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Efficacy on Treatment of Primary Headaches: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Iran. Red Crescent Med. J.* 2014, 16, e17799. [CrossRef]

- 129. Farina, S.; Casarotto, M.; Benelle, M.; Tinazzi, M.; Fiaschi, A.; Goldoni, M.; Smania, N. A randomized controlled study on the effect of two different treatments (FREMS AND TENS) in myofascial pain syndrome. *Eura Med.* **2004**, *40*, 293–301.
- Fatima, N.; Sarfraz, N. Comparison of High Versus Low Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Pain Management After Caesarean Section: A Clinical Trial. Ann. King Edw. Med. Univ. Lahore Pak. 2019, 25, 1–6.
- 131. Ferraz, F.S.; Moreira, C.M.C. Electroanalgesia com utilização de TENS no pos-operatorio de cirurgia cardiaca. *Fisioter. Mov.* **2009**, 22, 133–139.
- 132. Ferreira, F.C.; Issy, A.M.; Sakata, R.K. Assessing the effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in post-thoracotomy analgesia. *Rev. Bras. Anestesiol.* 2011, *61*, 561–567. [CrossRef]
- 133. Ferreira, A.P.; Costa, D.R.; Oliveira, A.I.; Carvalho, E.A.; Conti, P.C.; Costa, Y.M.; Bonjardim, L.R. Short-term transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces pain and improves the masticatory muscle activity in temporomandibular disorder patients: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Appl. Oral Sci.* **2017**, *25*, 112–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. Finsen, V.; Persen, L.; Lovlien, M.; Veslegaard, E.K.; Simensen, M.; Gasvann, A.K.; Benum, P. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after major amputation. *J. Bone Jt. Surg. Br.* **1988**, *70*, 109–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Fiorelli, A.; Morgillo, F.; Milione, R.; Pace, M.C.; Passavanti, M.B.; Laperuta, P.; Aurilio, C.; Santini, M. Control of post-thoracotomy pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: Effect on serum cytokine levels, visual analogue scale, pulmonary function and medication. *Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg.* 2012, 41, 861–868. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Fodor-Sertl, B.; Miller, K.; Hohenfellner, B. (Transcutaneous electric nerve block in postoperative pain-therapy) [German]. Z. Fuer Phys. Med. Balneol. Med. Klimatol. 1990, 19, 132–137.
- 137. Forogh, B.; Aslanpour, H.; Fallah, E.; Babaei-Ghazani, A.; Ebadi, S. Adding high-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to the first phase of post anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction rehabilitation does not improve pain and function in young male athletes more than exercise alone: A randomized single-blind clinical trial. *Disabil. Rehabil.* **2019**, *41*, 514–522.
- 138. Forst, T.; Nguyen, M.; Forst, S.; Disselhoff, B.; Pohlmann, T.; Pfutzner, A. Impact of low frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on symptomatic diabetic neuropathy using the new Salutaris device. *Diabetes Nutr. Metab.* **2004**, *17*, 163–168.
- Forster, E.L.; Kramer, J.F.; Lucy, S.D.; Scudds, R.A.; Novick, R.J. Effect of TENS on pain, medications, and pulmonary function following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Chest* 1994, 106, 1343–1348. [CrossRef]
- Fujii-Abe, K.; Umino, M.; Kawahara, H.; Terada, C.; Satomura, K.; Fukayama, H. New method for postoperative pain relief using a combination of noxious and non-noxious stimuli after impacted wisdom tooth extraction. *J. Oral Sci.* 2019, 61, 364–369. [CrossRef]
- 141. Galli, T.T.; Chiavegato, L.D.; Liebano, R.E. Effects of TENS in living kidney donors submitted to open nephrectomy: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Eur. J. Pain* 2015, *19*, 67–76. [CrossRef]
- 142. Galloway, D.; Boyle, P.; Burns, H.; Davidson, P.; George, W. A clinical assessment of electroanalgesia following abdominal operations. *Surg. Gynecol. Obstet.* **1984**, *159*, 453–456. [CrossRef]
- 143. Garcia-Perez, S.; Garcia-Rios, M.C.; Perez-Marmol, J.M.; Tapia-Haro, R.M.; Albornoz-Cabello, M.; Valenza, M.C.; Aguilar-Ferrandiz, M.E. Effectiveness of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Energy in Older Adults: A Pilot Clinical Trial. *Adv. Ski. Wound Care* 2018, 31, 462–469. [CrossRef]
- Gerson, G.R.; Jones, R.B.; Luscombe, D.K. Studies on the concomitant use of carbamazepine and clomipramine for the relief of post-herpetic neuralgia. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 1977, 53 (Suppl. 4), 104–109.
- 145. Ghoname, E.A.; Craig, W.F.; White, P.F.; Ahmed, H.E.; Hamza, M.A.; Henderson, B.N.; Gajraj, N.M.; Huber, P.J.; Gatchel, R.J. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain: A randomized crossover study. *JAMA* **1999**, *281*, 818–823. [CrossRef]
- 146. Ghoname, E.S.A.; White, P.F.; Ahmed, H.E.; Hamza, M.A.; Craig, W.F.; Noe, C.E. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: An alternative to TENS in the management of sciatica. *Pain* **1999**, *83*, 193–199. [CrossRef]
- 147. Gilbert, J.; Geldhill, T.; Law, N.; George, C. Controlled trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for postoperative pain relief following inguinal herniorrhaphy. *Br. J. Surg.* **1986**, *73*, 749–751. [CrossRef]
- 148. Grabianska, E.; Lesniewicz, J.; Pieszynski, I.; Kostka, J. Comparison of the analgesic effect of interferential current (IFC) and TENS in patients with low back pain. *Wiad. Lek.* **2015**, *68*, 13–19.
- 149. Graff-Radford, S.; Reeves, J.; Baker, R.; Chiu, D. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on myofascial pain and trigger point sensitivity. *Pain* **1989**, *37*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 150. Grant, D.J.; Bishop_Miller, J.; Winchester, D.M.; Anderson, M.; Faulkner, S. A randomized comparative trial of acupuncture versus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for chronic back pain in the elderly. *Pain* **1999**, *82*, 9–13. [CrossRef]
- 151. Gregorini, C.; Cipriano, G., Jr.; De Aquino, L.M.; Rodrigues Branco, J.N.; Bernardelli, G.F. Short-duration transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the postoperative period of cardiac surgery. *Arq. Bras. Cardiol.* **2010**, *94*, 325–331, 345–351.
- 152. Grimmer, K. A controlled double blind study comparing the effects of strong burst mode TENS and High Rate TENS on painful osteoarthritic knees. *Aust. J. Physiother.* **1992**, *38*, 49–56. [CrossRef]
- 153. Gschiel, B.; Kager, H.; Pipam, W.; Weichart, K.; Likar, R. Analgesic efficacy of TENS therapy in patients with gonarthrosis A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Schmerz* 2010, 24, 494–500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gunay Ucurum, S.; Kaya, D.O.; Kayali, Y.; Askin, A.; Tekindal, M.A. Comparison of different electrotherapy methods and exercise therapy in shoulder impingement syndrome: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Acta Orthop. Traumatol. Turc.* 2018, 52, 249–255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 155. Guo, X.J.; Jia, J. Comparison of therapeutic effects on fibromyalgia syndrome between dermal-neurological electric stimulation and electric acupuncture. *Chin. J. Clin. Rehabil.* **2005**, *9*, 171–173.
- 156. Hamza, M.A.; White, P.F.; Ahmed, H.E.; Ghoname, E.A. Effect of the frequency of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the postoperative opioid analgesic requirement and recovery profile. *Anesthesiology* **1999**, *91*, 1232–1238. [CrossRef]
- 157. Hanfy, H.M.; El-Bigawy, A. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus acupressure in the treatment of primary dysmenorrhea. *Bull. Fac. Phys. Ther. Cairo Univ.* **2004**, *9*, 2.
- 158. Hansson, P.; Ekblom, A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) as compared to placebo TENS for the relief of acute oro-facial pain. *Pain* **1983**, *15*, 157–165. [CrossRef]
- 159. Hansson, P.; Ekblom, A.; Thomsson, M.; Fjellner, B. Influence of naloxone on relief of acute oro-facial pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) or vibration. *Pain* **1986**, *24*, 323–329. [CrossRef]
- 160. Hargreaves, A.; Lander, J. Use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postoperative pain. *Nurs. Res.* **1989**, *38*, 159–161. [CrossRef]
- Harrison, R.; Woods, T.; Shore, M.; Mathews, G.; Unwin, A. Pain relief in labour using transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). A TENS/TENS placebo controlled study in two parity groups. Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. 1986, 93, 739–746. [CrossRef]
- Hart, J.M.; Kuenze, C.M.; Pietrosimone, B.G.; Ingersoll, C.D. Quadriceps function in anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knees exercising with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and cryotherapy: A randomized controlled study. *Clin. Rehabil.* 2012, 26, 974–981. [CrossRef]
- Hazneci, B.; Tan, A.K.; Özdem, T.; Dinçer, K.; Kalyon, T.A. The effects of transcutaneous electroneurostimulation a ultrasound in the treatment of reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome. *Turk. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2005, 51, 83–89.
- Herrera-Lasso, I.; Mobarak, L.; Fernández-Dominguez, L.; Cardiel, M.H.; Alarcón-Segovia, D. Comparative Effectiveness of Packages of Treatment Including Ultrasound or Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Painful Shoulder Syndrome. *Physiotherapy* 1993, 79, 251–253. [CrossRef]
- 165. Hershman, M.C.W.; Swift, R.; Reilly, D.; Gompertz, H.; Wood, C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as adjunctive analgesia in patients undergoing abdominal procedures. *Surg. Res. Commun.* **1989**, *7*, 65–69.
- Hokenek, N.M.; Erdogan, M.O.; Hokenek, U.D.; Algin, A.; Tekyol, D.; Seyhan, A.U. Treatment of migraine attacks by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in emergency department: A randomize controlled trial. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* 2020, 39, 80–85. [CrossRef]
- 167. Hou, C.; Tsai, L.; Cheng, K.; Chung, K.; Hong, C. Immediate effects of various physical therapeutic modalities on cervical myofascial pain and trigger-point sensitivity. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2002**, *83*, 1406–1414. [CrossRef]
- 168. Hruby, G.; Ames, C.; Chen, C.; Yan, Y.; Sagar, J.; Baron, P.; Landman, J. Assessment of efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain management during office-based flexible cystoscopy. *Urology* **2006**, *67*, 914–917. [CrossRef]
- 169. Hsieh, R.L.; Lee, W.C. One-shot percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation vs. transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain: Comparison of therapeutic effects. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* **2002**, *81*, 838–843. [CrossRef]
- 170. Hsueh, T.C.; Cheng, P.T.; Kuan, T.S.; Hong, C.Z. The immediate effectiveness of electrical nerve stimulation and electrical muscle stimulation on myofascial trigger points. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* **1997**, *76*, 471–476. [CrossRef]
- 171. Hughes, S.; Dailey, P.; Partridge, C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for labour analgesia. *Anesth. Analg.* **1988**, *67*, S99. [CrossRef]
- 172. Husch, H.H.; Watte, G.; Zanon, M.; Pacini, G.S.; Birriel, D.; Carvalho, P.L.; Kessler, A.; Sbruzzi, G. Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Pain, Pulmonary Function, and Respiratory Muscle Strength After Posterolateral Thoracotomy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Lung* 2020, *198*, 345–353. [CrossRef]
- 173. Ilhanli, I. Conventional, Acupuncture-Like or Brief-Intense: Is There Any Difference Between TENS Modalities According to Outcomes of Chronic Low Back Pain with Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Clin. Med. Res.* **2015**, *4*, 143–150. [CrossRef]
- 174. Inal, E.E.; Eroglu, P.; Yucel, S.H.; Orhan, H. Which is the Appropriate Frequency of TENS in Managing Knee Osteoarthritis: High or Low Frequency? J. Clin. Anal. Med. 2016, 7, 339–344. [CrossRef]
- 175. Isik, M.; Ugur, M.; Yakisan, R.S.; Sari, T.; Yilmaz, N. Comparison of the effectiveness of medicinal leech and TENS therapy in the treatment of primary osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized controlled trial. Z. *Rheumatol.* **2017**, *76*, 798–805. [CrossRef]
- 176. Jaafarpour, M.; Khani, A.; Javadifar, N.; Taghinejad, H.; Mahmoudi, R.; Saadipour, K.H. The analgesic effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on caesarean under spinal anaesthesia. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* **2008**, *2*, 815–819.
- 177. Jamison, R.N.; Wan, L.; Edwards, R.R.; Mei, A.; Ross, E.L. Outcome of a High-Frequency Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulator (hfTENS) Device for Low Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Pain Pract.* **2019**, *19*, 466–475. [CrossRef]
- 178. Jarzem, P.; Harvey, E.; Arcaro, N.; Kaczorowski, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for short-term treatment of low back pain—randomized double blind crossover study of sham versus conventional TENS. J. Musculoskelet. Pain 2005, 13, 11–17. [CrossRef]
- 179. Jensen, J.E.; Conn, R.R.; Hazelrigg, G.; Hewett, J.E. The use of transcutaneous neural stimulation and isokinetic testing in arthroscopic knee surgery. *Am. J. Sports Med.* **1985**, *13*, 27–33. [CrossRef]
- 180. Jensen, H.; Zesler, R.; Christensen, T. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TNS) for painful osteoarthrosis of the knee. *Int. J. Rehabil. Res.* **1991**, *14*, 356–358. [CrossRef]
- 181. Jones, A.Y.M.; Hutchinson, R.C. A comparison of the analgesic effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and entonox. *Physiotherapy* **1991**, *77*, 526–530. [CrossRef]

- Kara, B.; Baskurt, F.; Acar, S.; Karadibak, D.; Ciftci, L.; Erbayraktar, S.; Gokmen, A.N. The effect of TENS on pain, function, depression, and analgesic consumption in the early postoperative period with spinal surgery patients. *Turk. Neurosurg.* 2011, 21, 618–624. [CrossRef]
- 183. Kararmaz, A.; Kaya, S.; Karaman, H.; Turhanoglu, S. Effect of the frequency of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on analgesia during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. *Urol. Res.* **2004**, *32*, 411–415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 184. Kayman-Kose, S.; Arioz, D.T.; Toktas, H.; Koken, G.; Kanat-Pektas, M.; Kose, M.; Yilmazer, M. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for pain control after vaginal delivery and cesarean section. J. Matern.-Fetal Neonatal. Med. Off. J. Eur. Assoc. Perinat. Med. Fed. Asia Ocean. Perinat. Soc. Int. Soc. Perinat. Obs. 2014, 27, 1572–1575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Keskin, E.A.; Onur, O.; Keskin, H.L.; Gumus, I.I.; Kafali, H.; Turhan, N. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation improves low back pain during pregnancy. *Gynecol. Obstet. Investig.* 2012, 74, 76–83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Kibar, S.; Konak, H.E.; Av, S.; Erdogan, B.D.; Evcik, D. The Effectiveness of Combined Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Interferential Current Therapy on Chronic Low Back Pain: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled Study. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil. Sci./Fiz. Tup Ve Rehabil. Bilimleri Derg. 2020, 23, 32–40. [CrossRef]
- 187. Kim, S.; Park, K.; Son, B.; Jeon, Y. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain during venous cannulation. *Curr. Ther. Res. Clin. Exp.* **2012**, *73*, 134–139. [CrossRef]
- 188. Kim, D.H.; Yoon, K.B.; Park, S.; Jin, T.E.; An, Y.J.; Schepis, E.A.; Yoon, D.M. Comparison of NSAID patch given as monotherapy and NSAID patch in combination with transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation, a heating pad, or topical capsaicin in the treatment of patients with myofascial pain syndrome of the upper trapezius: A pilot study. *Pain Med.* 2014, 15, 2128–2138. [CrossRef]
- Kirupa, K.; Divya Mary, S.M.; Vaishnavi, G.; Nithya Nisha, R.; Rennie Mercy, J.; Jaiganesh, G. A comparative study of ultrasound therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in reducing pain for temporomandibular joint disorder. *Drug Invent. Today* 2019, 12, 515–517.
- 190. Knobel, R.; Radünz, V.; Carraro, T.E.J.T. Use of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation for pain relief in labour: A possible way to care the child-bearer. *Texto Contexto-Enferm.* **2005**, *14*, 229–236. [CrossRef]
- Koca, I.; Boyaci, A.; Tutoglu, A.; Ucar, M.; Kocaturk, O. Assessment of the effectiveness of interferential current therapy and TENS in the management of carpal tunnel syndrome: A randomized controlled study. *Rheumatol. Int.* 2014, 34, 1639–1645. [CrossRef]
- 192. Kofotolis, N.D.; Vlachopoulos, S.P.; Kellis, E. Sequentially allocated clinical trial of rhythmic stabilization exercises and TENS in women with chronic low back pain. *Clin. Rehabil.* **2008**, *22*, 99–111. [CrossRef]
- Koke, A.J.; Schouten, J.S.; Lamerichs-Geelen, M.J.; Lipsch, J.S.; Waltje, E.M.; van Kleef, M.; Patijn, J. Pain reducing effect of three types of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in patients with chronic pain: A randomized crossover trial. *Pain* 2004, 108, 36–42. [CrossRef]
- 194. Korkmaz, O.; Capaci, K.; Eyigor, C.; Eyigor, S. Pulsed radiofrequency versus conventional transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in painful shoulder: A prospective, randomized study. *Clin. Rehabil.* **2010**, *24*, 1000–1008. [CrossRef]
- 195. Kumar, S.; Raje, A. Effect of progressive muscular relaxation exercises versus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on tension headache: A comparative study. *Hong Kong Physiother. J.* **2014**, *32*, 86–91. [CrossRef]
- Labrecque, M.; Nouwen, A.; Bergeron, M.; Rancourt, J.F. A randomized controlled trial of nonpharmacologic approaches for relief of low back pain during labor. J. Fam. Pract. 1999, 48, 259–263.
- 197. Laitinen, J.; Nuutinen, L. Failure of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and indomethacin to reduce opiate requirement following cholecystectomy. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* **1991**, *35*, 700–705. [CrossRef]
- 198. Lang, T.; Barker, R.; Steinlechner, B.; Gustorff, B.; Puskas, T.; Gore, O.; Kober, A. TENS relieves acute posttraumatic hip pain during emergency transport. *J. Trauma* 2007, *62*, 184–188. [CrossRef]
- 199. Langley, G.B.; Sheppeard, H.; Johnson, M.; Wigley, R.D. The analgesic effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and placebo in chronic pain patients. A double-blind non-crossover comparison. *Rheumatol. Int.* **1984**, *4*, 119–123. [CrossRef]
- Lauretti, G.R.; Chubaci, E.F.; Mattos, A.L. Efficacy of the use of two simultaneously TENS devices for fibromyalgia pain. *Rheumatol. Int.* 2013, 33, 2117–2122. [CrossRef]
- 201. Lauretti, G.R.; Oliveira, R.; Parada, F.; Mattos, A.L. The New Portable Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Device Was Efficacious in the Control of Primary Dysmenorrhea Cramp Pain. *Neuromodulation* **2015**, *18*, 522–527. [CrossRef]
- 202. Law, P.P.; Cheing, G.L. Optimal stimulation frequency of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on people with knee osteoarthritis. J. Rehabil. Med. 2004, 36, 220–225. [CrossRef]
- Law, P.P.W.; Cheing, G.L.Y.; Tsui, A.Y.Y. Does transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation improve the physical performance of people with knee osteoarthritis? J. Clin. Rheumatol. 2004, 10, 295–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leandri, M.; Parodi, C.; Corrieri, N.; Rigardo, S. Comparison of TENS treatments in hemiplegic shoulder pain. Scand. J. Rehabil. Med. 1990, 22, 69–71. [PubMed]
- 205. Lee, E.; Chung, I.; Lee, J.; Lam, P.; Chin, R. The role of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in management of labour in obstetric patients. *Asia Ocean. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **1990**, *16*, 247–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, C.H.; Lee, T.Y.; Her, J.S.; Liao, W.L.; Hsieh, C.L. Single-Blinded, Randomized Preliminary Study Evaluating the Effect of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Postoperative Pain in Patients with Colles' Fracture. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* 2015, 21, 754–758. [CrossRef]

- Lee, J.E.; Anderson, C.M.; Perkhounkova, Y.; Sleeuwenhoek, B.M.; Louison, R.R. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces resting pain in head and neck cancer patients: A randomized and placebo-controlled double-blind pilot study. *Cancer Nurs.* 2019, 42, 218–228. [CrossRef]
- 208. Leo, K.; Dostal, W.; Bossen, D.; Eldridge, V.; Fairchild, M.; Evans, R. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation characteristics on clinical pain. *Phys. Ther.* **1986**, *66*, 200–205. [CrossRef]
- Leonard, G.; Cloutier, C.; Marchand, S. Reduced Analgesic Effect of Acupuncture-like TENS but Not Conventional TENS in Opioid-Treated Patients. J. Pain 2011, 12, 213–221. [CrossRef]
- Lewers, D.; Clelland, J.; Jackson, J.; Varner, R.; Bergman, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the relief of primary dysmenorrhea. *Phys. Ther.* 1989, 69, 3–9. [CrossRef]
- 211. Lewis, D.; Lewis, B.; Sturrock, R. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in osteoarthrosis: A therapeutic alternative? *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **1984**, *43*, 47–49. [CrossRef]
- 212. Lewis, B.; Lewis, D.; Cumming, G. The comparative analgesic efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug for painful osteoarthritis. *Br. J. Rheumatol.* **1994**, *33*, 455–460. [CrossRef]
- Likar, R.; Molnar, M.; Pipam, W.; Koppert, W.; Quantschnigg, B.; Disselhoff, B.; Sittl, R. Postoperative transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in shoulder surgery (randomized, double blind, placebo controlled pilot trial). *Schmerz* 2001, 15, 158–163. [CrossRef]
- 214. Lim, A.T.; Edis, G.; Kranz, H.; Mendelson, G.; Selwood, T.; Scott, D.F. Postoperative pain control: Contribution of psychological factors and transcutaneous electrical stimulation. *Pain* **1983**, *17*, 179–188. [CrossRef]
- Lima, P.M.; Farias, R.T.; Carvalho, A.C.; da Silva, P.N.; Ferraz Filho, N.A.; de Brito, R.F. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Rev. Bras. Cir. Cardiovasc.* 2011, 26, 591–596. [CrossRef]
- 216. Limoges, M.F.; Rickabaugh, B. Evaluation of TENS during screening flexible sigmoidoscopy. *Gastroenterol. Nurs.* **2004**, 27, 61–68. [CrossRef]
- 217. Lin, M.L.; Luo, Y.J.; Hsu, Y.M.; Guo, C.H.; Lin, S.C.; Lin, K.Y.; Lin, S.H.; Chiu, H.W. IEEE Randomized controlled trial Comparing of Analgesic Effectiveness of TPRF and TENs in Clinical and Endocrinological Changes for Chronic Shoulder Pain. In Proceedings of the 2015 International Symposium on Bioelectronics and Bioinformatics (ISBB), Beijing, China, 14–17 October 2015; pp. 23–26.
- 218. Lin, M.-L.; Chiu, H.-W.; Shih, Z.-M.; Lee, P.-Y.; Li, P.-Z.; Guo, C.-H.; Luo, Y.-J.; Lin, S.-C.; Lin, K.-Y.; Hsu, Y.-M.; et al. Two Transcutaneous Stimulation Techniques in Shoulder Pain: Transcutaneous Pulsed Radiofrequency (TPRF) versus Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS): A Comparative Pilot Study. *Pain Res. Manag.* 2019, 2019, 2823401. [CrossRef]
- Linde, C.; Isacsson, G.; Jonsson, B. Outcome of 6-week treatment with transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation compared with splint on symptomatic temporomandibular joint disk displacement without reduction. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* 1995, 53, 92–98. [CrossRef]
- 220. Linn, S.L.; Granat, M.H.; Lees, K.R. Prevention of shoulder subluxation after stroke with electrical stimulation. *Stroke* 1999, 30, 963–968. [CrossRef]
- 221. Lison, J.F.; Amer-Cuenca, J.J.; Benavent-Caballer, V.; Bivia-Roig, G.; Piquer-Marti, S.; Marin-Buck, A. Transcutaneous Nerve Stimulation for Pain Relief During Office Hysteroscopy: A Randomized Controlled Trial In Reply. Obstet. Gynecol. 2017, 129, 1141. [CrossRef]
- 222. Liu, Y.; Liao, W.; Lien, I. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for post-thoracotomic pain. *Taiwan Yi Xue Hui Za Zhi. J. Formos. Med. Assoc.* **1985**, *84*, 801–809.
- Liu, Y.; Dong, Z.; Wang, R.; Ao, R.; Han, X.; Tang, W.; Yu, S. Migraine Prevention Using Different Frequencies of Transcutaneous Occipital Nerve Stimulation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Pain* 2017, *18*, 1006–1015. [CrossRef]
- 224. Lofgren, M.; Norrbrink, C. Pain relief in women with fibromyalgia: A cross-over study of superficial warmth stimulation and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *J. Rehabil. Med.* **2009**, *41*, 557–562. [CrossRef]
- 225. Luchesa, C.A.; Greca, F.H.; Guarita-Souza, L.C.; dos Santos, J.L.; Aquim, E.E. The role of electroanalgesia in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. *Rev. Bras. Cir. Cardiovasc.* 2009, 24, 391–396. [CrossRef]
- 226. Lundeberg, T. A comparative study of the pain alleviating effect of vibratory stimulation, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, electroacupuncture and placebo. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* **1984**, *12*, 72–79. [CrossRef]
- 227. Lundeberg, T.; Bondesson, L.; Lundstrom, V. Relief of primary dysmenorrhea by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **1985**, *64*, 491–497. [CrossRef]
- 228. Machado, A.F.P.; Perracini, M.R.; Rampazo, É.P.; Driusso, P.; Liebano, R.E. Effects of thermotherapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on patients with primary dysmenorrhea: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. *Complement. Ther. Med.* **2019**, *47*, 102188. [CrossRef]
- 229. Machin, D.; Lewith, G.T.; Wylson, S. Pain Measurement in Randomized Clinical Trials: A Comparison of Two Pain Scales. *Clin. J. Pain* **1988**, *4*, 161–168. [CrossRef]
- Mahure, S.A.; Rokito, A.S.; Kwon, Y.W. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postoperative pain relief after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: A prospective double-blinded randomized trial. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2017, 26, 1508–1513. [CrossRef]
- Manigandan, J.B.; Ganesh, G.S.; Pattnaik, M.; Mohanty, P. Effect of electrical stimulation to long head of biceps in reducing gleno humeral subluxation after stroke. *Neurorehabilitation* 2014, 34, 245–252. [CrossRef]
- 232. Mannheimer, C.; Carlsson, C. The analgesic effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TNS) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. A comparative study of different pulse pattern. *Pain* **1979**, *6*, 329–334. [CrossRef]

- 233. Mannheimer, J.; Whalen, E. The efficacy of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in dysmenorrhea. *Clin. J. Pain* **1985**, *1*, 75–83. [CrossRef]
- Mannheimer, C.; Lund, S.; Carlsson, C. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TNS) on joint pain in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand. J. Rheumatol.* 1978, 7, 13–16. [PubMed]
- 235. Mannheimer, C.; Carlsson, C.; Emanuelsson, H.; Vedin, A.; Waagstein, F.; Wilhelmsson, C. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in patients with severe angina pectoris. *Circulation* **1985**, *71*, 308–316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 236. Mansourian, A.; Pourshahidi, S.; Sadrzadeh-Afshar, M.S.; Ebrahimi, H. A Comparative Study of Low-Level Laser Therapy and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation as an Adjunct to Pharmaceutical Therapy for Myofascial Pain Dysfunction Syndrome: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Front. Dent.* 2019, *16*, 256–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 237. Mansuri, B.; Torabinezhad, F.; Jamshidi, A.A.; Dabirmoghadam, P.; Vasaghi-Gharamaleki, B.; Ghelichi, L. Application of High-Frequency Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Muscle Tension Dysphonia Patients With the Pain Complaint: The Immediate Effect. J. Voice 2019, 34, 657–666. [CrossRef]
- 238. Mansuri, B.; Torabinejhad, F.; Jamshidi, A.A.; Dabirmoghaddam, P.; Vasaghi-Gharamaleki, B.; Ghelichi, L. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Combined with Voice Therapy in Women With Muscle Tension Dysphonia. *J. Voice* 2020, *34*, 490.e11–490.e21. [CrossRef]
- Marchand, S.; Charest, J.; Li, J.; Chenard, J.R.; Lavignolle, B.; Laurencelle, L. Is TENS purely a placebo effect? A controlled study on chronic low back pain. *Pain* 1993, 54, 99–106.
- Mascarin, N.C.; Vancini, R.L.; Andrade, M.D.; Magalhaes, E.D.; de Lira, C.A.B.; Coimbra, I.B. Effects of kinesiotherapy, ultrasound and electrotherapy in management of bilateral knee osteoarthritis: Prospective clinical trial. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2012, 13, 182. [CrossRef]
- 241. McCallum, M.; Glynn, C.; Moore, R.; Lammer, P.; Phillips, A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the management of acute postoperative pain. *Br. J. Anaesth.* **1988**, *61*, 308–312. [CrossRef]
- 242. Melzack, R.; Vetere, P.; Finch, L. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain. A comparison of TENS and massage for pain and range of motion. *Phys. Ther.* **1983**, *63*, 489–493. [CrossRef]
- 243. Merrill, D. Clinical evaluation of FasTENS, an inexpensive, disposable transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator designed specifically for postoperative electroanalgesia. *Urology* **1989**, *33*, 27–30. [CrossRef]
- 244. Miller, L.; Mattison, P.; Paul, L.; Wood, L. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on spasticity in multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler.* 2007, *13*, 527–533. [CrossRef]
- 245. Milsom, I.; Hedner, N.; Mannheimer, C. A comparative study of the effect of high-intensity transcutaneous nerve stimulation and oral naproxen on intrauterine pressure and menstrual pain in patients with primary dysmenorrhea. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **1994**, *170*, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- 246. Moharic, M.; Marincek, C.; Vidmar, G.; Burger, H. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, pregabalin and their combination in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy: Effects on pain and quality of life. *Zdr. Vestn.-Slov. Med. J.* **2009**, *78*, 371–380.
- Mondal, P.; Biswas, M.; Saha, J.; Middhya, A.K. Effect of Trigger Point Injection vs. Ultrasonic Therapy vs. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Rehabilitation of Cervical and Peri-Scapular Myofascial Pain Syndrome—A Randomized Clinical Trial. J. Evol. Med. Dent. Sci. 2019, 8, 430–436. [CrossRef]
- Moore, S.; Shurman, J. Combined neuromuscular electrical stimulation and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treatment of chronic back pain: A double-blind, repeated measures comparison. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 1997, 78, 55–60. [CrossRef]
- 249. Mora, B.; Giorni, E.; Dobrovits, M.; Barker, R.; Lang, T.; Gore, C.; Kober, A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: An effective treatment for pain caused by renal colic in emergency care. *J. Urol.* **2006**, *175*, 1737–1741. [CrossRef]
- 250. Morgan, B.; Jones, A.R.; Mulcahy, K.A.; Finlay, D.B.; Collett, B. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) during distension shoulder arthrography: A controlled trial. *Pain* **1996**, *64*, 265–267. [CrossRef]
- 251. Møystad, A.; Krogstad, B.S.; Larheim, T.A. Transcutaneous nerve stimulation in a group of patients with rheumatic disease involving the temporomandibular joint. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* **1990**, *64*, 596–600. [CrossRef]
- 252. Murray, S.; Collins, P.D.; James, M.A. An investigation into the 'carry over' effect of neurostimulation in the treatment of angina pectoris. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* 2004, *58*, 669–674. [CrossRef]
- 253. Mutlu, B.; Paker, N.; Bugdayci, D.; Tekdos, D.; Kesiktas, N. Efficacy of supervised exercise combined with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in women with fibromyalgia: A prospective controlled study. *Rheumatol. Int.* 2013, 33, 649–655. [CrossRef]
- 254. Nabi, B.N.; Sedighinejad, A.; Haghighi, M.; Biazar, G.; Hashemi, M.; Haddadi, S.; Fathi, A. Comparison of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and pulsed radiofrequency sympathectomy for treating painful diabetic neuropathy. *Anesthesiol. Pain Med.* 2015, *5*, e29280.
- 255. Nash, T.; Williams, J.; Machin, D. TENS: Does the type of stimulus really matter? Pain Clin. 1990, 3, 161–168.
- Navarathnam, R.G.; Wang, I.Y.; Thomas, D.; Klineberg, P.L. Evaluation of the transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator for postoperative analgesia following cardiac surgery. *Anaesth. Intensive Care* 1984, 12, 345–350. [CrossRef]
- 257. Neary, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the relief of post-incisional surgical pain. AANA J. 1981, 49, 151–155.
- 258. Neighbours, L.E.; Clelland, J.; Jackson, J.R.; Bergman, J.; Orr, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief in primary dysmenorrhea. *Clin. J. Pain* **1987**, *3*, 17–22. [CrossRef]

- 259. Nesheim, B. The use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief during labour. A controlled clinical study. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol.* **1981**, *60*, 13–16. [CrossRef]
- 260. Neumark, J.; Pauser, G.; Scherzer, W. Pain relief in childbirth; an analysis of the analgesic effects of transcutaneous nerve stimulation (TNS), pethidine and placebos (author's transl). *Prakt. Anaesth.* **1978**, *13*, 13–20.
- Ng, M.; Leung, M.; Poon, D. The effects of electro-acupuncture and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on patients with painful osteoarthritic knees: A randomized controlled trial with follow-up evaluation. J. Altern. Complement. Med. 2003, 9, 641–649. [CrossRef]
- 262. Nordemar, R.; Thorner, C. Treatment of acute cervical pain—A comparative group study. Pain 1981, 10, 93–101. [CrossRef]
- Norrbrink, C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treatment of spinal cord injury neuropathic pain. J. Rehabil. Res. Dev. 2009, 46, 85–93. [CrossRef]
- Olsen, M.F.; Elden, H.; Janson, E.D.; Lilja, H.; Stener-Victorin, E. A comparison of high- versus low-intensity, high-frequency transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation for painful postpartum uterine contractions. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 2007, *86*, 310–314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fagevik Olsen, M.; Bjorndahl, S.; Stahl, A.; Borjesson, S.; Sundemo, A.; Gutke, A. Effects of high-intensity high-frequency transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in primary dysmenorrhea-a randomised cross-over pilot study. *Eur. J. Physiother.* 2019, 22, 248–252. [CrossRef]
- Oncel, M.; Sencan, S.; Yildiz, H.; Kurt, N. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain management in patients with uncomplicated minor rib fractures. Eur. J. Cardio-Thorac. Surg. Off. J. Eur. Assoc. Cardio-Thorac. Surg. 2002, 22, 13–17. [CrossRef]
- 267. Oosterhof, J.; De Boo, T.M.; Oostendorp, R.A.; Wilder-Smith, O.H.; Crul, B.J. Outcome of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in chronic pain: Short-term results of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *J. Headache Pain* 2006, 7, 196–205. [CrossRef]
- Ordog, G.J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus oral analgesic: A randomized double-blind controlled study in acute traumatic pain. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 1987, 5, 6–10. [CrossRef]
- Ozkaraoglu, D.K.; Tarakci, D.; Algun, Z.C. Comparison of two different electrotherapy methods in low back pain treatment. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil. 2020, 33, 193–199. [CrossRef]
- Ozkul, C.; Kilinc, M.; Yildirim, S.A.; Topcuoglu, E.Y.; Akyuz, M. Effects of visual illusion and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injury: A randomised controlled cross-over trial. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* 2015, 28, 709–719. [CrossRef]
- 271. Oztas, B.; Iyigun, E. The effects of two different electrical stimulation methods on the pain intensity of the patients who had undergone abdominal surgery with a midline incision: Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Contemp. Nurse* 2019, 55, 122–138. [CrossRef]
- Ozturk, N.K.; Baki, E.D.; Kavakli, A.S.; Sahin, A.S.; Ayoglu, R.U.; Karaveli, A.; Emmiler, M.; Inanoglu, K.; Karsli, B. Comparison of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Parasternal Block for Postoperative Pain Management after Cardiac Surgery. *Pain Res. Manag.* 2016, 2016, 4261949. [CrossRef]
- 273. Padma; Prasanna, A.; Urala. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and labor pain. Bahrain Med. Bull. 2000, 22, 13–17.
- 274. Paker, N.; Tekdos, D.; Kesiktas, N.; Soy, D. Comparison of the therapeutic efficacy of TENS versus intra-articular hyaluronic acid injection in patients with knee osteoarthritis: A prospective randomized study. *Adv. Ther.* 2006, 23, 342–353. [CrossRef]
- 275. Palmer, S.; Domaille, M.; Cramp, F.; Walsh, N.; Pollock, J.; Kirwan, J.; Johnson, M.I. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as an adjunct to education and exercise for knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2014, 66, 387–394. [CrossRef]
- 276. Pan, P.; Chou, C.; Chiou, H.; Ma, H.; Lee, H.; Chan, R. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for chronic calcific tendinitis of the shoulders: A functional and sonographic study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2003, 84, 988–993. [CrossRef]
- 277. Park, C.; Choi, J.B.; Lee, Y.S.; Chang, H.S.; Shin, C.S.; Kim, S.; Han, D.W. The effect of intra-operative transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on posterior neck pain following thyroidectomy. *Anaesthesia* 2015, 70, 434–439. [CrossRef]
- Patil, S.R.; Aileni, K.R. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus home exercise programme in management of temporomandibular joint disorder. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 2017, 11, ZC19–ZC22. [CrossRef]
- 279. Peacock, K.S.; Stoerkel, E.; Libretto, S.; Zhang, W.; Inman, A.; Schlicher, M.; Cowsar, J.D., Jr.; Eddie, D.; Walter, J. A randomized trial comparing the Tennant Biomodulator to transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and traditional Chinese acupuncture for the treatment of chronic pain in military service members. *Mil. Med. Res.* 2019, *6*, 37. [CrossRef]
- 280. Pietrosimone, B.G.; Hart, J.M.; Saliba, S.A.; Hertel, J.; Ingersoll, C.D. Immediate effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and focal knee joint cooling on quadriceps activation. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2009**, *41*, 1175–1181. [CrossRef]
- Pietrosimone, B.G.; Saliba, S.A.; Hart, J.M.; Hertel, J.; Kerrigan, D.C.; Ingersoll, C.D. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and therapeutic exercise on quadriceps activation in people with tibiofemoral osteoarthritis. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2011, 41, 4–12. [CrossRef]
- 282. Pietrosimone, B.; Luc-Harkey, B.A.; Harkey, M.S.; Davis-Wilson, H.C.; Pfeiffer, S.J.; Schwartz, T.A.; Nissman, D.; Padua, D.A.; Blackburn, J.T.; Spang, J.T. Using TENS to Enhance Therapeutic Exercise in Individuals with Knee Osteoarthritis. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2020, 52, 2086–2095. [CrossRef]
- Pike, P.M. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation. Its use in the management of postoperative pain. *Anaesthesia* 1978, 33, 165–171. [CrossRef]

- Pitangui, A.C.; de Sousa, L.; Gomes, F.A.; Ferreira, C.H.; Nakano, A.M. High-frequency TENS in post-episiotomy pain relief in primiparous puerpere: A randomized, controlled trial. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 2012, 38, 980–987. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 285. Pitangui, A.C.; Araujo, R.C.; Bezerra, M.J.; Ribeiro, C.O.; Nakano, A.M. Low and high-frequency TENS in post-episiotomy pain relief: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Braz. J. Phys. Ther.* **2014**, *18*, 72–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Platon, B.; Andrell, P.; Raner, C.; Rudolph, M.; Dvoretsky, A.; Mannheimer, C. High-frequency, high-intensity transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as treatment of pain after surgical abortion. *Pain* 2010, 148, 114–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Platon, B.; Mannheimer, C.; Andrell, P. Effects of high-frequency, high-intensity transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus intravenous opioids for pain relief after gynecologic laparoscopic surgery: A randomized controlled study. *Korean J. Anesthesiol.* 2018, 71, 149–156. [CrossRef]
- 288. Prabhakar, R.; Ramteke, G. Cervical Spinal Mobilization Versus TENS in the Management of Cervical Radiculopathy: A Comparative, Experimental, Randomized controlled trial. *Indian J. Physiother. Occup. Ther.* **2011**, *5*, 128–133.
- Presser, M.; Birkhan, J.; Adler, R.; Hanani, A.; Eisenberg, E. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) during epidural steroids injection: A randomized controlled trial. *Pain Clin.* 2000, 12, 77–80. [CrossRef]
- Rainov, N.G.; Heidecke, V.; Albertz, C.; Burkert, W. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for acute postoperative pain after spinal surgery. *Eur. J. Pain* 1994, 15, 44–49.
- 291. Rajfur, J.; Pasternok, M.; Rajfur, K.; Walewicz, K.; Fras, B.; Bolach, B.; Dymarek, R.; Rosinczuk, J.; Halski, T.; Taradaj, J. Efficacy of Selected Electrical Therapies on Chronic Low Back Pain: A Comparative Clinical Pilot Study. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2017, 23, 85–100. [CrossRef]
- 292. Rajpurohit, B.; Khatri, S.; Metgud, D.; Bagewadi, A. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and microcurrent electrical nerve stimulation in bruxism associated with masticatory muscle pain—A comparative study. *Indian J. Dent. Res.* 2010, 21, 104–106. [CrossRef]
- Rakel, B.; Frantz, R. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on postoperative pain with movement. *J. Pain* 2003, 4, 455–464. [CrossRef]
- 294. Rakel, B.A.; Zimmerman, M.B.; Geasland, K.; Embree, J.; Clark, C.R.; Noiseux, N.O.; Callaghan, J.J.; Herr, K.; Walsh, D.; Sluka, K.A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the control of pain during rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: A randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Pain* 2014, 155, 2599–2611. [CrossRef]
- 295. Ramanathan, D.; Saleh, A.; Klika, A.; Higuera, C.; Barsoum, W.J.S.T.I. The Use of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *Surg. Technol. Int.* **2017**, *30*, 425–434.
- 296. Ramos, L.A.V.; Callegari, B.; Franca, F.J.R.; Magalhaes, M.O.; Burke, T.N.; Carvalho e Silva, A.P.D.M.C.; Almeida, G.P.L.; Comachio, J.; Marques, A.P. Comparison Between Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Stabilization Exercises in Fatigue and Transversus Abdominis Activation in Patients with Lumbar Disk Herniation: A Randomized Study. J. Manip. Physiol. Ther. 2018, 41, 323–331. [CrossRef]
- Rani, P.; Kalyani, V.; Goyal, T.; Yadav, R.; Mishra, R. Effect of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Therapy on Pain and Functional Disability Level among Patients with Rotator Cuff Disease—A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int. J. Physiother.* 2020, 7, 7–13. [CrossRef]
- Ratajczak, B.; Hawrylak, A.; Demidas, A.; Kuciel-Lewandowska, J.; Boerner, E. Effectiveness of diadynamic currents and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in disc disease lumbar part of spine. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* 2011, 24, 155–159. [CrossRef]
- Rawat, B.; Genz, A.; Fache, J.S.; Ong, M.; Coldman, A.J.; Burhenne, H.J. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for analgesia during biliary lithotripsy. *Investig. Radiol.* 1991, 26, 866–869. [CrossRef]
- Renovato Franca, F.J.; Callegari, B.; Vidal Ramos, L.A.; Burke, T.N.; Magalhaes, M.O.; Comachio, J.; Moura Campos CarvalhoSilva, A.P.; Leao Almeida, G.P.; Marques, A.P. Motor Control Training Compared with Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Patients with Disc Herniation With Associated Radiculopathy A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2019, 98, 207–214. [CrossRef]
- Reuss, R.; Cronen, P.; Abplanalp, L. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain control after cholecystectomy: Lack of expected benefits. South. Med. J. 1988, 81, 1361–1363. [CrossRef]
- 302. Revadkar, M.T.; Bhojwani, T.M. Comparison of the Effectiveness of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (Tens) Vs. Interferential Therapy (Ift) for Relief of Pain in Primary Dysmenorrhea. *Int. J. Physiother* **2019**, *6*, 263–267. [CrossRef]
- 303. Ringel, G.; Taubert, K. Zur effektivitat der transkutanen elektrischen nervenstimulation bei migrane (The efficacy of transcutaneous nerve stimulation in migraine). Z. Physiother. **1991**, 43, 8–11. (In German)
- Robb, K.; Newham, D.; Williams, J. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation vs. Transcutaneous Spinal Electroanalgesia for Chronic Pain Associated with Breast Cancer Treatments. J. Pain Symptom Manag. 2007, 33, 410–419. [CrossRef]
- Robinson, R.; Darlow, S.; Wright, S.J.; Watters, C.; Carr, I.; Gadsby, G.; Mayberry, J. Is transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation an effective analgesia during colonoscopy? *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2001, 77, 445–446. [CrossRef]
- Roche, P.A.; Gijsbers, K.; Belch, J.J.; Forbes, C.D. Modification of haemophiliac haemorrhage pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Pain* 1985, 21, 43–48. [CrossRef]
- Rooney, S.M.; Jain, S.; Goldiner, P.L. Effect of transcutaneous nerve stimulation on postoperative pain after thoracotomy. *Anesth. Analg.* 1983, 62, 1010–1012. [CrossRef]

- 308. Rosenberg, M.; Curtis, L.; Bourke, D. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the relief of postoperative pain. *Pain* **1978**, *5*, 129–133. [CrossRef]
- Rutgers, M.; Van-Romunde, L.; Osman, P. A small randomised comparative trial of acupuncture verses transcutaneous electrical neurostimulation in postherpetic neuralgia. *Pain Clin.* 1988, 2, 87–89.
- Sadala, A.Y.; Machado, A.F.P.; Liebano, R.E. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain intensity during application of carboxytherapy in patients with cellulite: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 2018, 17, 1175–1181. [CrossRef]
- Sahin, N.; Albayrak, I.; Ugurlu, H. Effect of Different Transcutaneous Electrical Stimulation Modalities on Cervical Myofascial Pain Syndrome. J. Musculoskelet. Pain 2011, 19, 18–23. [CrossRef]
- 312. Samadzadeh, S.; Rezavand, N.; Yari, M.; Rezaei, M.; Faizmahdavi, H.; Hematti, M. Comparison of Entonox and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stim-ulation (TENS) in labor pain. *J. Med. Biomed. Sci.* **2017**, *6*, 11–16. [CrossRef]
- 313. Sangtong, K.; Chupinijrobkob, C.; Putthakumnerd, W.; Kuptniratsaikul, V. Does adding transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to therapeutic ultrasound affect pain or function in people with osteoarthritis of the knee? A randomized controlled trial. *Clin. Rehabil.* 2019, 33, 1197–1205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 314. Santamato, A.; Notarnicola, A.; Panza, F.; Ranieri, M.; Micello, M.F.; Manganotti, P.; Moretti, B.; Fortunato, F.; Filoni, S.; Fiore, P. SBOTE study: Extracorporeal shock wave therapy versus electrical stimulation after botulinum toxin type a injection for post-stroke spasticity-a prospective randomized trial. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 2013, *39*, 283–291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 315. Santana, L.S.; Gallo, R.B.; Ferreira, C.H.; Duarte, G.; Quintana, S.M.; Marcolin, A.C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) reduces pain and postpones the need for pharmacological analgesia during labour: A randomised trial. *J. Physiother.* 2016, 62, 29–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 316. Saranya, B.; Ahmed, J.; Shenoy, N.; Ongole, R.; Sujir, N.; Natarajan, S. Comparison of Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation (TENS) and Microcurrent Nerve Stimulation (MENS) in the Management of Masticatory Muscle Pain: A Comparative Study. *Pain Res. Manag.* 2019, 2019, 8291624. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sayilir, S.; Yildizgoren, M.T. The medium-term effects of diadynamic currents in chronic low back pain; TENS versus diadynamic currents: A randomised, follow-up study. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 2017, 29, 16–19. [CrossRef]
- Seo, H.G.; Bang, M.S.; Chung, S.G.; Jung, S.H.; Lee, S.-U. Effect of Electrical Stimulation on Botulinum Toxin A Therapy in Patients With Chronic Myofascial Pain Syndrome: A 16-Week Randomized Double-Blinded Study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2013, 94, 412–418. [CrossRef]
- Serry, Z.; Mossa, G.; Elhabashy, H.; Elsayed, S.; Elhadidy, R.; Azmy, R.; Mokhtar, A. Transcutaneous nerve stimulation versus aerobic exercise in diabetic neuropathy. *Egypt. J. Neurol. Psychiatry Neurosurg.* 2016, 53, 124–129. [CrossRef]
- Sezen, C.B.; Akboga, S.A.; Celik, A.; Kalafat, C.E.; Tastepe, A.I. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation effect on postoperative complications. *Asian Cardiovasc. Thorac. Ann.* 2017, 25, 276–280. [CrossRef]
- Shahoei, R.; Shahghebi, S.; Rezaei, M.; Naqshbandi, S. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the severity of labor pain among nulliparous women: A clinical trial. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 2017, 28, 176–180. [CrossRef]
- Shehab, D.; Adham, N. Comparative effectiveness of ultrasound and transcutaneous electrical stimulation in treatment of periarticular shoulder pain. *Physiother. Can.* 2000, 52, 208–214.
- Sherry, E.; Kitchener, P.; Smart, R. A prospective randomized controlled study of VAX-D and TENS for the treatment of chronic low back pain. *Neurol. Res.* 2001, 23, 780–784. [CrossRef]
- 324. Shimoji, K.; Takahashi, N.; Nishio, Y.; Koyanagi, M.; Aida, S. Pain relief by transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation with bidirectional modulated sine waves in patients with chronic back pain: A randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled study. *Neuromodulation* 2007, 10, 42–51. [CrossRef]
- 325. Shimoura, K.; Iijima, H.; Suzuki, Y.; Aoyama, T. Immediate Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Pain and Physical Performance in Individuals With Preradiographic Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 2019, 100, 300–306. [CrossRef]
- Shoukry, R.A.; Al-Ansary, A.M. Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for pain relief during Extracorporeal Shock-Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL). *Egypt. J. Anaesth.* 2019, 35, 65–70. [CrossRef]
- 327. Siemens, W.; Boehlke, C.; Bennett, M.I.; Offner, K.; Becker, G.; Gaertner, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for advanced cancer pain inpatients in specialist palliative care—A blinded, randomized, sham-controlled pilot cross-over trial. *Supportive Care Cancer* 2020, 28, 5323–5333. [CrossRef]
- 328. Sikiru, L.; Shmaila, H.; Muhammed, S.A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in the symptomatic management of chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome: A placebo-control randomized trial. *Int. Braz. J. Urol.* 2008, 34, 708–714.
- 329. Silva, M.B.; de Melo, P.R.; de Oliveira, N.M.; Crema, E.; Fernandes, L.F. Analgesic effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2012**, *91*, 652–657. [CrossRef]
- 330. Silva, J.G.; Santana, C.G.; Inocencio, K.R.; Orsini, M.; Machado, S.; Bergmann, A. Electrocortical Analysis of Patients with Intercostobrachial Pain Treated with TENS after Breast Cancer Surgery. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* **2014**, *26*, 349–353. [CrossRef]
- Sim, D.T. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation following cholecystectomy. *Physiotherapy* 1991, 77, 715–722. [CrossRef]

- 332. Siqueira, L.T.D.; Ribeiro, V.V.; Moreira, P.A.M.; Brasolotto, A.G.; de Jesus Guirro, R.R.; Alves Silverio, K.C. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nervous stimulation (TENS) associated with vocal therapy on musculoskeletal pain of women with behavioral dysphonia: A randomized, placebo-controlled double-blind clinical trial. *J. Commun. Disord.* **2019**, *82*, 105923. [CrossRef]
- Sloan, J.; Muwanga, C.; Waters, E.; Dove, A.; Dave, S. Multiple rib fractures: Transcutaneous nerve stimulation versus conventional analgesia. J. Trauma 1986, 26, 1120–1122. [CrossRef]
- Smania, N.; Corato, E.; Fiaschi, A.; Pietropoli, P.; Aglioti, S.M.; Tinazzi, M. Repetitive magnetic stimulation: A novel therapeutic approach for myofascial pain syndrome. J. Neurol. 2005, 252, 307–314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 335. Smedley, F.; Taube, M.; Wastell, C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief following inguinal hernia repair: A controlled trial. Eur. Surg. Res. Eur. Chir. Forschung. Rech. Chir. Eur. 1988, 20, 233–237. [CrossRef]
- 336. Smith, C.; Lewith, G.; Machin, D. Preliminary study to establish a controlled method of assessing transcutaneous nerve stimulation as a treatment for the pain caused by osteoarthritis of the knee. *Physiotherapy* **1983**, *69*, 266–268. [PubMed]
- Smith, C.M.; Guralnick, M.S.; Gelfand, M.M.; Jeans, M.E. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on postcesarean pain. *Pain* 1986, 27, 181–193. [CrossRef]
- Sodipo, J.; Adedeji, S.; Olumide, O. Postoperative pain relief by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). Am. J. Chin. Med. 1980, 8, 190–194. [CrossRef]
- Solak, O.; Turna, A.; Pekcolaklar, A.; Metin, M.; Sayar, A.; Gurses, A. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation for the treatment of postthoracotomy pain: A randomized prospective study. *Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2007, 55, 182–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Solak, O.; Emmiler, M.; Ela, Y.; Dundar, U.; Kocogullar, C.U.; Eren, N.; Gokce, I.Y.; Cekirdekci, A.; Kavuncu, V. Comparison of continuous and intermittent transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in postoperative pain management after coronary artery bypass graft ing: A randomized, placebo-controlled prospective study. *Heart Surg. Forum* 2009, 12, E266–E271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sonde, L.; Gip, C.; Fernaeus, S.E.; Nilsson, C.G.; Viitanen, M. Stimulation with low frequency (1.7 Hz) transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (low-tens) increases motor function of the post-stroke paretic arm. *Scand. J. Rehabil. Med.* 1998, 30, 95–99. [CrossRef]
- 342. Stepanovic, A.; Kolsek, M.; Kersnik, J.; Erculj, V. Prevention of post-herpetic neuralgia using transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.* 2015, 127, 369–374. [CrossRef]
- 343. Steptoe, P.; Bo, J.O. Pain-relieving effect of transcutaneous nerve stimulation during delivery. A study among primiparas. *Ugeskr. Laeger.* **1984**, *146*, 3186–3188.
- 344. Stratton, S.; Smith, M. Postoperative thoracotomy. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on forced vital capacity. *Phys. Ther.* **1980**, *60*, 45–47. [CrossRef]
- 345. Stubbing, J.F.; Jellicoe, J.A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after thoracotomy. Pain relief and peak expiratory flow rate—A trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Anaesthesia* **1988**, *43*, 296–298. [CrossRef]
- 346. Suh, H.R.; Kim, T.H.; Han, G.S. The Effects of High-Frequency Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Dental Professionals with Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders: A Single-Blind Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2015, 2015, 327486. [CrossRef]
- 347. Talbot, L.A.; Solomon, Z.; Webb, L.; Morrell, C.; Metter, E.J. Electrical Stimulation Therapies for Active Duty Military with Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome: A Randomized Trial. *Mil. Med.* **2020**, *185*, e963–e971. [CrossRef]
- 348. Tantawy, S.A.; Kamel, D.M.; Abdelbasset, W.K. Does transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduce pain and improve quality of life in patients with idiopathic chronic orchialgia? A randomized controlled trial. J. Pain Res. 2018, 11, 77–82. [CrossRef]
- Taylor, P.; Hallett, M.; Flaherty, L. Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Pain* 1981, 11, 233–240. [CrossRef]
- 350. Taylor, A.; West, B.; Simon, B.; Skelton, J.; Rowlingson, J. How effective is TENS for acute pain? *Am. J. Nurs.* **1983**, *83*, 1171–1174. [CrossRef]
- 351. Thakur, R.; Patidar, R. Comparative study of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) and Tramadol Hydrochloride for Pain Relief in Labour. *J. Obstet. Gynecol. India* 2004, *54*, 346.
- 352. Thomas, I.L.; Tyle, V.; Webster, J.; Neilson, A. An evaluation of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief in labour. *Aust. N. Z. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **1988**, *28*, 182–189. [CrossRef]
- 353. Thomas, M.; Lundeberg, T.; Bjork, G.; Lundstrom-Lindstedt, V. Pain and discomfort in primary dysmenorrhea is reduced by preemptive acupuncture or low frequency TENS. *Eur. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **1995**, *5*, 71–76.
- 354. Thorsteinsson, G.; Stonnington, H.H.; Stillwell, G.K.; Elveback, L.R. The placebo effect of transcutaneous electrical stimulation. *Pain* **1978**, *5*, 31–41. [CrossRef]
- 355. Tilak, M.; Isaac, S.A.; Fletcher, J.; Vasanthan, L.T.; Subbaiah, R.S.; Babu, A.; Bhide, R.; Tharion, G. Mirror Therapy and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Management of Phantom Limb Pain in Amputees—A Single Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial. *Physiother. Res. Int.* 2016, 21, 109–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 356. Tokuda, M.; Tabira, K.; Masuda, T.; Nishiwada, T.; Shomoto, K. Effect of modulated-frequency and modulated-intensity transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after abdominal surgery: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin. J. Pain* 2014, 30, 565–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 357. Tonella, R.M.; Araujo, S.; Da Silva, A.M.O. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the relief of pain related to physical therapy after abdominal surgery. *Rev. Bras. Anestesiol.* **2006**, *56*, 630–642. [PubMed]

- 358. Topuz, O.; Ozfidan, E.; Ozgen, M.; Ardic, F. Efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and percutaneous neuromodulation therapy in chronic low back pain. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* **2004**, *17*, 127–133. [CrossRef]
- 359. Tosato, J.; Biasotto-Gonzalez, D.; Caria, P.J.F.P. Effect of massage therapy and of transcutaneous eletrical nerve stimulation on pain and electrotromyographic activity in patients with temporomandibular dysfunction. *Fisioter. Pesq.* 2007, 14, 21–26.
- 360. Treacy, K. Awareness/relaxation training and transcutaneous electrical neural stimulation in the treatment of bruxism. *J. Oral Rehabil.* **1999**, *26*, 280–287. [CrossRef]
- 361. Tsen, L.C.; Thomas, J.; Segal, S.; Datta, S.; Bader, A.M. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation does not augment combined spinal epidural labour analgesia. *Can. J. Anaesth.* 2000, 47, 38–42. [CrossRef]
- 362. Tsen, L.C.; Thomas, J.; Segal, S.; Datta, S.; Bader, A.M. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation does not augment epidural labor analgesia. *J. Clin. Anesth.* 2001, 13, 571–575. [CrossRef]
- 363. Tsukayama, H.; Yamashita, H.; Amagai, H.; Tanno, Y. Randomised controlled trial comparing the effectiveness of electroacupuncture and TENS for low back pain: A preliminary study for a pragmatic trial. *Acupunct. Med.* 2002, 20, 175–180. [CrossRef]
- 364. Tucker, D.L.; Rockett, M.; Hasan, M.; Poplar, S.; Rule, S.A. Does transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) alleviate the pain experienced during bone marrow sampling in addition to standard techniques? A randomised, double-blinded, controlled trial. J. Clin. Pathol. 2015, 68, 479–483. [CrossRef]
- 365. Tugay, N.; Akbayrak, T.; Demirturk, F.; Karakaya, I.C.; Kocaacar, O.; Tugay, U.; Karakaya, M.G. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and interferential current in primary dysmenorrhea. *Pain Med.* 2007, *8*, 295–300. [CrossRef]
- 366. Tulgar, M.; McGlone, F.; Bowsher, D.; Miles, J.B. Comparative effectiveness of different stimulation modes in relieving pain. Part I. A pilot study. *Pain* 1991, 47, 151–155. [CrossRef]
- 367. Tulgar, M.; McGlone, F.; Bowsher, D.; Miles, J.B. Comparative effectiveness of different stimulation modes in relieving pain. Part II. A double-blind controlled long-term clinical trial. *Pain* 1991, 47, 157–162. [CrossRef]
- Unterrainer, A.F.; Friedrich, C.; Krenn, M.H.; Piotrowski, W.P.; Golaszewski, S.M.; Hitzl, W. Postoperative and preincisional electrical nerve stimulation TENS reduce postoperative opioid requirement after major spinal surgery. *J. Neurosurg. Anesthesiol.* 2010, 22, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- Unterrainer, A.F.; Uebleis, F.X.; Gross, F.A.; Werner, G.G.; Krombholz, M.A.; Hitzl, W. TENS compared to opioids in postoperative analgesic therapy after major spinal surgery with regard to cognitive function. *Middle East J. Anesthesiol.* 2012, 21, 815–821.
- Upton, G.A.; Tinley, P.; Al-Aubaidy, H.; Crawford, R. The influence of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation parameters on the level of pain perceived by participants with painful diabetic neuropathy: A crossover study. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.* 2017, 11, 113–118. [CrossRef]
- 371. Vaidya, S.M. Sacroiliac joint mobilisation versus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pregnancy induced posterior pelvic pain-a randomised clinical trial. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* **2018**, *12*, YC04–YC07. [CrossRef]
- 372. Vaillancourt, S.; Coulombe-Lévêque, A.; Fradette, J.; Martel, S.; Naour, W.; da Silva, R.A.; Léonard, G. Combining transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation with therapeutic exercise to reduce pain in an elderly population: A pilot study. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 2019, 43, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- Valenza, M.C.; Torres-Sanchez, I.; Cabrera-Martos, I.; Valenza-Demet, G.; Cano-Cappellacci, M. Acute Effects of Contract-Relax Stretching vs. TENS in Young Subjects with Anterior Knee Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2016, 30, 2271–2278. [CrossRef]
- Van der Ploeg, J.; Vervest, H.; Liem, A.; Schagen van Leeuwen, J. Transcutaneous nerve stimulation (TENS) during the first stage of labour: A randomized clinical trial. *Pain* 1996, *68*, 75–78. [CrossRef]
- 375. Van der Spank, J.T.; Cambier, D.C.; De Paepe, H.M.; Danneels, L.A.; Witvrouw, E.E.; Beerens, L. Pain relief in labour by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2000**, *264*, 131–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 376. Vance, C.; Rakel, B.; Blodgett, N.; DeSantana, J.; Amendola, A.; Zimmerman, M.; Walsh, D.; Sluka, K. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain, pain sensitivity, and function in people with knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial. *Phys. Ther.* 2012, 92, 898–910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vitalii, C.; Oleg, P. The efficiency of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in association with gabapentin in the treatment of neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injury. *Rom. J. Neurol.* 2014, 13, 193–196.
- 378. Vrouva, S.; Batistaki, C.; Paraskevaidou, E.; Chanopoulos, K.; Kostopoulos, D.; Stamoulis, E.; Kostopanagiotou, G. Comparative study of pain relief in two non-pharmacological treatments in patients with partial rotator cuff tears: A randomized trial. *Anesthesiol. Pain Med.* 2019, 9, e88327. [CrossRef]
- Walker, R.; Morris, B.; Angulo, D.; Schneider, J.; Colwell, C. Postoperative use of continuous passive motion, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and continuous cooling pad following total knee arthroplasty. J. Arthroplast. 1991, 6, 151–156. [CrossRef]
- Wang, S.F.; Lee, J.P.; Hwa, H.L. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on primary dysmenorrhea. *Neuromodulation* 2009, 12, 302–309. [CrossRef]
- Warfield, C.; Stein, J.; Frank, H. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain after thoracotomy. *Ann. Thorac.* Surg. 1985, 39, 462–465. [CrossRef]
- 382. Warke, K.; Al-Smadi, J.; Baxter, G.D.; Walsh, D.M.; Lowe-Strong, A.S. A double blind, placebo controlled, randomised, clinical trial investigating the efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain in a multiple sclerosis population. *Mult. Scler.* 2004, 10, S107.

- Warke, K.; Al-Smadi, J.; Baxter, D.; Walsh, D.M.; Lowe-Strong, A.S. Efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic low-back pain in a multiple sclerosis population—A randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Clin. J. Pain* 2006, 22, 812–819. [CrossRef]
- 384. Yameen, F.; Shahbaz, N.N.; Hasan, Y.; Fauz, R.; Abdullah, M. Efficacy of transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and its different modes in patients with Trigeminal Neuralgia. J. Pak. Med. Assoc. 2011, 61, 437–439.
- 385. Yesil, H.; Hepguler, S.; Dundar, U.; Taravati, S.; Isleten, B. Does the Use of Electrotherapies Increase the Effectiveness of Neck Stabilization Exercises for Improving Pain, Disability, Mood, and Quality of Life in Chronic Neck Pain? *Spine* 2018, 43, E1174–E1183. [CrossRef]
- 386. Yilmaz, M.; Tarakci, D.; Tarakci, E. Comparison of high-intensity laser therapy and combination of ultrasound treatment and transcutaneous nerve stimulation on cervical pain associated with cervical disc herniation: A randomized trial. *Complement. Ther. Med.* 2020, 49, 102295. [CrossRef]
- Yilmazer, M.; Kose, S.; Arioz, D.T.; Koken, G.; Ozbulut, O. Efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief in women undergoing office endometrial biopsy. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2012, 285, 1059–1064. [CrossRef]
- Yokoyama, M.; Sun, X.; Oku, S.; Taga, N.; Sato, K.; Mizobuchi, S.; Takahashi, T.; Morita, K. Comparison of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for long-term pain relief in patients with chronic low back pain. Anesth. Analg. 2004, 98, 1552–1556. [CrossRef]
- Yoshimizu, M.; Teo, A.R.; Ando, M.; Kiyohara, K.; Kawamura, T. Relief of chronic shoulder and neck pain by electro-acupuncture and transcutaneous electrical nervous stimulation: A randomized crossover trial. *Med. Acupunct.* 2012, 24, 97–103. [CrossRef]
- Yuksel, M.; Ayas, S.; Cabioglu, M.T.; Yilmaz, D.; Cabioglu, C. Quantitative Data for Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Acupuncture Effectiveness in Treatment of Fibromyalgia Syndrome. *Evid.-Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2019, 2019, 9684649. [CrossRef]
- 391. Yurtkuran, M.; Kocagil, T. TENS, electroacupuncture and ice massage: Comparison of treatment for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Am. J. Acupunct.* **1999**, *27*, 133–140.
- Zakariaee, S.S.; Shahoei, R.; Nosab, L.H.; Moradi, G.; Farshbaf, M. The Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Post-Episiotomy Pain Severity in Primiparous Women: A Randomized, Controlled, Placebo Clinical Trial. *Galen Med. J.* 2019, 8, UNSP e1404. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Wang, L.; Wang, K.; Svensson, P. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on jaw movementevoked pain in patients with TMJ disc displacement without reduction and healthy controls. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* 2020, 78, 309–320. [CrossRef]
- 394. Zhou, M.; Li, F.; Lu, W.; Wu, J.; Pei, S. Efficiency of Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation and Transcutaneous Nerve Stimulation on Hemiplegic Shoulder Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 2018, 99, 1730–1739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aiyejusunle, C.B.; Kola-Korolo, T.A.; Ajiboye, O.A. Comparison of the effects of tens and sodium salicylate iontophoresis in the management of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Niger. Q. J. Hosp. Med.* 2007, 17, 30–34.
- 396. Chen, L.; Zhang, X.L.; Ding, H.; Tao, Y.Q.; Zhan, H.S. Comparative study on effects of manipulation treatment and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on patients with cervicogenic headache. J. Chin. Integr. Med. 2007, 5, 403–406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Houshyar, A.E.; Rezaie, H.H.; Jahani, Y.; Kazemi, M.; Monfared, S. Comparison of two methods of aromatherapy with lavender essence and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) on cesarean postoperative pain. *Iran. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Infertil.* 2015, 18, 6–12.
- 398. Kim, B.; Lohman, E.; Yim, J. Acupuncture-like Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Pain, Function, and Biochemical Inflammation After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Altern. Ther. Health Med.* **2020**, *27*, 28–34.
- Kumar, S.S.; Abdul Rahim, A. A study on effectiveness of conventional mode TENS on trismus. *Res. J. Pharm. Technol.* 2019, 12, 1193–1196. [CrossRef]
- Mehlhorn, G.; Beckmann, M.W.; Schild, R.L.; Binder, H. Analgesia of afterpains with transcutaneous nerve stimulation (TENS) vs. metamizole. A prospective, randomized placebo controlled double-blind study. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd*. 2005, 65, 266–271. [CrossRef]
- Pourmomeny, A.A.; Amini, M.; Safaei, H.; Hassanzadeh, A. The effect of electroanalgsia [sic] on pain relief in patient with diabetic neuropathy type II. *Iran. J. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2009, 11, 472.
- Renklitepe, N.; Dogan, N.; Kayhan, O.; Ozaras, N. Effects of different TENS electrode types in degenerative joint disease of the hands. *Fiz. Tedavi Rehabil. Derg.* 1995, 19, 204–208.
- 403. Sakai, T.; Tsutani, K.; Tsukayama, H. Multi center randomized controlled trial of acupuncture with electric stimulation and acupuncture-like transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for lumbago. *J. Jpn. Soc. Acupunct. Moxibust.* **2001**, *51*, 175–184.
- 404. Tokuda, M.; Tabira, K.; Masuda, T.; Nishiwada, T.; Shomoto, K. Effect of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation after Abdominal Surgery-A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Rigakuryoho Kagaku* 2013, 28, 415–421. [CrossRef]
- 405. Tunc, M.; Gunal, H.; Bilgili, T.; Ulus, F.; Tunc, H.; Savkilioglu, E. The effect of TENS on epidural patient controlled analgesia with tramadol for postthoracotomy pain relief. *Turk Anesteziyoloji Ve Reanimasyon* 2002, 30, 315–321.
- 406. Van, D.P.I.; Smits, A.; Verwer, J. Elektrodeplaatsing bij TENS: Een pilot-studie. Ned. Tijdschr. Fysioter. 1998, 108, 128–131.
- Wang, N.X.B.; Wei, X.; Li, M.; Xu, Y. A clinical controlled study of immediate analgesia effect of TENS on post-operative pain after total knee replacement. *Chin. J. Rehabil. Med.* 2005, 20, 188–190.

- 408. Xiao, H.; She, S.Z.; Xu, L.X. Effects of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation on the postoperative analgesia with PCEA and recovery after surgery [Chinese-simplified characters]. *Chin. J. Clin. Rehabil.* **2002**, *6*, 1784–1785.
- 409. Zati, A.; Fortuna, D.; Valent, A.; Pulvirenti, F.; Bilotta, T.W. Treatment of low back pain caused by intervertebral disk displacement: Comparison between high power laser, TENS and NSAIDs. *Med. Dello Sport* **2004**, *57*, 77–82.
- 410. Zheng, G.; Huang, X.; Zhao, X. Influence of neuromuscular electrical stimulation of musculi quadriceps femoris on motor function rehabilitation after total knee replacement. *Chin. J. Rehabil. Med.* **2011**, *26*, 1126–1130.
- 411. Zhang, Q.; Zhang, J.H.; Tong, P.J. Application of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to multimodal analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. *China J. Orthop. Traumatol.* **2014**, *27*, 283–286.
- Zhong, J.; Zhang, L. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for pregnancy of in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. Zhongguo Zhen Jiu—Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust. 2017, 37, 253–255. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, G.Y.; Zhou, G.S.; Jian-hong, J. Clinical observation on transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for treatment of functional dyspepsia. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu—Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust.* 2009, 29, 436–440.
- 414. Aguilar Ferrandiz, M.E.; Nijs, J.; Gidron, Y.; Roussel, N.; Vanderstraeten, R.; Van Dyck, D.; Huysmans, E.; De Kooning, M. Auto-Targeted Neurostimulation Is Not Superior to Placebo in Chronic Low Back Pain: A Fourfold Blind Randomized Clinical Trial. *Pain Physician* 2016, 19, E707–E719.
- 415. Albayrak, I.; Apiliogullari, S.; Dal, C.N.; Levendoglu, F.; Ozerbil, O.M. Efficacy of Pulsed Radiofrequency Therapy to Dorsal Root Ganglion Adding to TENS and Exercise for Persistent Pain after Total Knee Arthroplasty. J. Knee Surg. 2017, 30, 134–142. [CrossRef]
- Alhusaini, A.A.; Fallatah, S.; Melam, G.R.; Buragadda, S. Efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation combined with therapeutic exercise on hand function in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. *Somatosens. Mot. Res.* 2019, 36, 49–55. [CrossRef]
- 417. Altas, E.U.; Demirdal, U. The effect of physical therapy and rehabilitation modalities on sleep quality in patients with primary knee osteoarthritis: A single-blind, prospective, randomized-controlled study. *Turk. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2020**, *66*, 73–83. [CrossRef]
- 418. Al Zamil, M.; Kulikova, N.; Bezrukova, O.; Volkova, I.; Stahurlova, V. Comparative analysis between transcutaneous electroneurostimulation and acupuncture in treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **2019**, *26*, 729. [CrossRef]
- 419. Askin, A.; Savas, S.; Koyuncuoglu, H.R.; Baloglu, H.H.; Inci, M.F. Low dose high frequency ultrasound therapy for stellate ganglion blockade in complex regional pain syndrome type I: A randomised placebo controlled trial. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2014**, *7*, 5603–5611.
- 420. Atalay, C.; Yilmaz, K.B. The effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on postmastectomy skin flap necrosis. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2009, 117, 611–614. [CrossRef]
- 421. Augustinsson, L.; Bohlin, P.; Bundsen, P.; Carlsson, C.; Forssman, L.; Sjoberg, P.; Tyreman, N. Pain relief during delivery by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Pain* **1977**, *4*, 59–65. [CrossRef]
- 422. Avramidis, K.; Strike, P.W.; Taylor, P.N.; Swain, I.D. Effectiveness of electric stimulation of the vastus medialis muscle in the rehabilitation of patients after total knee arthroplasty. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2003**, *84*, 1850–1853. [CrossRef]
- Aydın, S.; Arıoğlu Aydın, Ç.; Batmaz, G.; Dansuk, R. Effect of vaginal electrical stimulation on female sexual functions: A randomized study. J. Sex. Med. 2015, 12, 463–469. [CrossRef]
- 424. Aydogan, S.; Er, U.; Ozlu, O. Effectiveness of preemptive analgesia using a frequency rhythmic electrical modulation system in patients having instrumented fusion for lumbar stenosis. *Asian Spine J.* **2014**, *8*, 190–196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 425. Ayyildiz, A.; Nuhoglu, B.; Huri, E.; Huri, M.; Gurdal, M.; Germiyanoglu, C. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS): Decreased of pain when extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. *Turk Uroloji Derg.* **2004**, *30*, 446–450.
- 426. Bai, W.Y.; Yang, Y.C.; Teng, X.F.; Wan, Y.X.; Wei, W.; Zhu, J.C. Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation on the Stress Response During Extubation After General Anesthesia in Elderly Patients Undergoing Elective Supratentorial Craniotomy: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Neurosurg. Anesthesiol.* 2018, 30, 337–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 427. Behm, D.G.; Colwell, E.M.; Power, G.M.J.; Ahmadi, H.; Behm, A.S.M.; Bishop, A.; Murph, C.; Pike, J.; McAssey, B.; Fraser, K.; et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation improves fatigue performance of the treated and contralateral knee extensors. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2019, 119, 2745–2755. [CrossRef]
- 428. Belmonte, R.; Tejero, M.; Ferrer, M.; Muniesa, J.M.; Duarte, E.; Cunillera, O.; Escalada, F. Efficacy of low-frequency low-intensity electrotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer-related lymphoedema: A cross-over randomized trial. *Clin. Rehabil.* **2012**, *26*, 607–618. [CrossRef]
- 429. Bouafif, L.; Ellouze, N. Design and evaluation of a modulated TENS stimulation in medical pain therapy. *Curr. Signal Transduct. Ther.* **2019**, *14*, 75–83. [CrossRef]
- 430. Bundsen, P.; Peterson, L.; Selstam, U. Pain relief in labor by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. A prospective matched study. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **1981**, *60*, 459–468. [CrossRef]
- 431. Burch, F.X.; Tarro, J.N.; Greenberg, J.J.; Carroll, W.J. Evaluating the benefits of patterned stimulation in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: A multi-center, randomized, single-blind, controlled study with an independent masked evaluator. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2008**, *16*, 865–872. [CrossRef]
- 432. Burssens, P.; Forsyth, R.; Steyaert, A.; Van Ovost, E.; Praet, M.; Verdonk, R. Influence of burst TENS stimulation on the healing of Achilles tendon suture in man. *Acta Orthop. Belg.* **2003**, *69*, 528–532.

- Carbonario, F.; Matsutani, L.; Yuan, S.; Marques, A. Effectiveness of high-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation at tender points as adjuvant therapy for patients with fibromyalgia. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2013, 49, 197–204.
- 434. Chao, A.-S.; Chao, A.; Wang, T.-H.; Chang, Y.-C.; Peng, H.-H.; Chang, S.-D.; Chao, A.; Chang, C.-J.; Lai, C.-H.; Wong, A.M.K. Pain relief by applying transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on acupuncture points during the first stage of labor: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Pain* 2007, 127, 214–220. [CrossRef]
- 435. Chee, E.; Walton, H. Treatment of trigger points with microamperage transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS)—(The Electro-Acuscope 80). J. Manip. Physiol. Ther. **1986**, *9*, 131–134.
- 436. Cheing, G.L.; Hui-Chan, C.W. Would the addition of TENS to exercise training produce better physical performance outcomes in people with knee osteoarthritis than either intervention alone? *Clin. Rehabil.* **2004**, *18*, 487–497. [CrossRef]
- Chen, W.-L.; Hsu, W.-C.; Lin, Y.-J.; Hsieh, L.-F. Comparison of Intra-articular Hyaluronic Acid Injections With Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation for the Management of Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2013, 94, 1482–1489. [CrossRef]
- Chen, M.Y.; Pu, Q.Q.; Liu, S.Y.; Jiang, Z.Y. Efficacy comparison of different stimulation therapies for periarthritis of shoulder. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu—Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust.* 2013, 33, 109–112.
- Chen, Y.; Wu, W.; Yao, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, Q.; Qiu, L. Transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation at Jiaji points reduce abdominal pain after colonoscopy: A randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 2015, *8*, 5972–5977.
- 440. Chen, Y.; Yao, Y.; Wu, Y.; Dai, D.; Zhao, Q.; Qiu, L. Transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation alleviates remifentanil-induced hyperalgesia in patients undergoing thyroidectomy: A randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2015**, *8*, 5781–5787.
- 441. Chen, Y.; Yang, Y.; Yao, Y.; Dai, D.; Qian, B.; Liu, P. Does transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation improve the quality of recovery after thyroidectomy? A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2015**, *8*, 13622–13627.
- 442. Chen, J.; Zhang, Y.; Li, X.; Wan, Y.; Ji, X.; Wang, W.; Kang, X.; Yan, W.; Fan, Z. Efficacy of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation combined with general anesthesia for sedation and postoperative analgesia in minimally invasive lung cancer surgery: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Thorac. Cancer* **2020**, *11*, 928–934. [CrossRef]
- 443. Cheng, R.S.S.; Pomeranz, B. Electrotheraphy of chronic musculoskeletal pain: Comparison of electroacupuncture and acupuncturelike transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Clin. J. Pain* **1986**, *2*, 143–149. [CrossRef]
- 444. Chiu, J.H.; Chen, W.S.; Chen, C.H.; Jiang, J.K.; Tang, G.J.; Lui, W.Y.; Lin, J.K. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief on patients undergoing hemorrhoidectomy: Prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Dis. Colon Rectum* 1999, 42, 180–185. [CrossRef]
- 445. Coletta, R.; Maggiolo, F.; Di Tizio, S. Etofenamate and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation treatment of painful spinal syndromes. *Int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Res.* **1988**, *8*, 295–298.
- 446. Conn, I.G.; Marshall, A.H.; Yadav, S.N.; Daly, J.C.; Jaffer, M. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation following appendicectomy: The placebo effect. *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl.* **1986**, *68*, 191–192.
- Cornell, P.; Lopez, A.; Malofsky, H. Pain reduction with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after foot surgery. J. Foot Surg. 1984, 23, 326–333.
- 448. Demidas, A.; Zarzycki, M. Touch and Pain Sensations in Diadynamic Current (DD) and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS): A Randomized Study. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2019, 2019, 9073073. [CrossRef]
- 449. Duzyj, C.M.; Simonds, A.; Jones, I.; Hill, J.M.; Khan, S.; Parrott, J.S. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to reduce pain and opioid use after cesarean: A pilot study. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2020**, 222, S190. [CrossRef]
- 450. Dodick, D.W.; Lipton, R.B.; Goadsby, P.J.; Tfelt-Hansen, P.; Ferrari, M.D.; Diener, H.; Almas, M.; Albert, K.S.; Parsons, B. Predictors of Migraine Headache Recurrence: A Pooled Analysis From the Eletriptan Database. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* 2008, 48, 184–193. [CrossRef]
- 451. Eidy, M.; Fazel, M.R.; Janzamini, M.; Haji Rezaei, M.; Moravveji, A.R. Preemptive Analgesic Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) on Postoperative Pain: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Iran. Red Crescent Med.* J. 2016, 18, e35050. [CrossRef]
- Ertzgaard, P.; Alwin, J.; Sorbo, A.; Lindgren, M.; Sandsjo, L. Evaluation of a self-administered transcutaneous electrical stimulation concept for the treatment of spasticity: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2018, 54, 507–517. [CrossRef]
- 453. Fagade, O.O.; Obilade, T.O. Therapeutic effect of TENS on post-IMF trismus and pain. Afr. J. Med. Med. Sci. 2003, 32, 391–394.
- 454. Fargas-Babjak, A.; Rooney, P.; Gerecz, E. Randomized trial of Codetron for pain control in osteoarthritis of the hip/knee. *Clin. J. Pain* **1989**, *5*, 137–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 455. Fargas-Babjak, A.M.; Pomeranz, B.; Rooney, P.J. Acupuncture-like stimulation with codetron for rehabilitation of patients with chronic pain syndrome and osteoarthritis. *Acupunct. Electrother. Res.* **1992**, *17*, 95–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 456. Fary, R.E.; Carroll, G.J.; Briffa, T.G.; Briffa, N.K. The effectiveness of pulsed electrical stimulation in the management of osteoarthritis of the knee: Results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, repeated-measures trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2011, 63, 1333–1342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 457. Fletcher-Smith, J.C.; Walker, D.M.; Allatt, K.; Sprigg, N.; James, M.; Ratib, S.; Boadu, J.; Richardson, C.; Pandyan, A.D. The ESCAPS study: A feasibility randomized controlled trial of early electrical stimulation to the wrist extensors and flexors to prevent post-stroke complications of pain and contractures in the paretic arm. *Clin. Rehabil.* 2019, 33, 1919–1930. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 458. Gadsby, G.; Franks, A.; Jarvis, P.; Dewhurst, F. Acupuncture-like transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation within palliative care: A pilot study. *Complement. Ther. Med.* **1997**, *5*, 13–18. [CrossRef]
- 459. Gao, Y.Q.; Jia, Q.; Xie, S.; Yin, L.W.; Xue, J.X.; Kou, L.H.; Xue, B.; Liu, J.L.; Shi, J.H. Clinical Trials for Thyroidectomy Under Acupuncture-aided Anesthesia by Using Electroacupuncture or Transcutaneous Acupoint Electrical Stimulation of Different Acupoints. *Zhen Ci Yan Jiu—Acupunct. Res.* 2017, 42, 332–337.
- 460. Garland, D.; Holt, P.; Harrington, J.T.; Caldwell, J.; Zizic, T.; Cholewczynski, J. A 3-month, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a highly optimized, capacitively coupled, pulsed electrical stimulator in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2007, 15, 630–637. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 461. Garaud, T.; Gervais, C.; Szekely, B.; Michel-Cherqui, M.; Dreyfus, J.-F.; Fischler, M.; Ertem, D.H. Randomized study of the impact of a therapeutic education program on patients suffering from chronic low-back pain who are treated with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Medicine* 2018, 97, e13782. [CrossRef]
- 462. Gaul, C.; Diener, H.C.; Silver, N.; Magis, D.; Reuter, U.; Andersson, A.; Liebler, E.J.; Straube, A. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for PREVention and Acute treatment of chronic cluster headache (PREVA): A randomised controlled study. *Cephalalgia Int. J. Headache* 2016, 36, 534–546. [CrossRef]
- 463. Geirsson, G.; Wang, Y.; Lindstrom, S.; Fall, M. Traditional acupuncture and electrical stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve. A trial in chronic interstitial cystitis. *Scand. J. Urol. Nephrol.* **1993**, 27, 67–70. [CrossRef]
- 464. Ghoname, E.A.; Craig, W.F.; White, P.F.; Ahmed, H.E.; Hamza, M.A.; Gajraj, N.M.; Vakharia, A.S.; Noe, C.E.; Stone, E.G. Effectiveness of PENS for lower back pain. *Integr. Med.* **1999**, 2, 19–21.
- 465. Gokce, A.H.; Gokce, F.S. Effects of bilateral transcutaneous tibial nerve stimulation on constipation severity in geriatric patients: A prospective clinical study. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* **2020**, *20*, 101–105. [CrossRef]
- 466. Gorodetskyi, I.G.; Gorodnichenko, A.I.; Tursin, P.S.; Reshetnyak, V.K.; Uskov, O.N. Non-invasive interactive neurostimulation in the post-operative recovery of patients with a trochanteric fracture of the femur. A randomised, controlled trial. *J. Bone Jt. Surg. Br.* 2007, *89*, 1488–1494. [CrossRef]
- Gottfried, A.; Adler, E.P.; Fernandez-Becker, N.; Clarke, J.O.; Habtezion, A.; Nguyen, L.A.B. Transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation improves symptoms, pain, and gastric emptying in patients with idiopathic gastroparesis. *Neurogastroent. Motil.* 2019, 156, S789–S790.
- 468. Govil, M.; Mukhopadhyay, N.; Holwerda, T.; Sluka, K.; Rakel, B.; Schutte, D.L. Effects of genotype on TENS effectiveness in controlling knee pain in persons with mild to moderate osteoarthritis. *Eur. J. Pain* **2020**, *24*, 398–412. [CrossRef]
- Gu, S.; Lang, H.; Gan, J.; Zheng, Z.; Zhao, F.; Tu, Q. Effect of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation on gastrointestinal function recovery after laparoscopic radical gastrectomy—A randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Integr. Med.* 2019, 26, 11–17. [CrossRef]
- Harrison, R.; Shore, M.; Woods, T.; Mathews, G.; Gardiner, J.; Unwin, A. A comparative study of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), entonox, pethidine + promazine and lumbar epidural for pain relief in labor. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 1987, *66*, 9–14. [CrossRef]
- 471. Herman, E.; Williams, R.; Stratford, P.; Fargas-Babjak, A.; Trott, M. A randomized controlled trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (CODETRON) to determine its benefits in a rehabilitation program for acute occupational low back pain. *Spine* 1994, 19, 561–568. [CrossRef]
- 472. Hedner, N.; Milsom, I.; Eliasson, T.; Mannheimer, C. TENS is effective in painful menstruation. Lakartidningen 1996, 93, 1219–1222.
- 473. Hettrick, H.H.; O'Brien, K.; Laznick, H.; Sanchez, J.; Gorga, D.; Nagler, W.; Yurt, R. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the management of burn pruritus: A pilot study. *J. Burn Care Rehabil.* **2004**, 25, 236–240. [CrossRef]
- 474. Hsieh, C.Y.J.; Phillips, R.B.; Adams, A.H.; Pope, M.H. First prize: Functional outcomes of low back pain: Comparison of four treatment groups in a randomized controlled trial. *J. Manip. Physiol. Ther.* **1992**, *15*, 4–9.
- 475. Huang, S.; Peng, W.; Tian, X.; Liang, H.; Jia, Z.; Lo, T.; He, M.; Feng, Y. Effects of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation at different frequencies on perioperative anesthetic dosage, recovery, complications, and prognosis in video-assisted thoracic surgical lobectomy: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. J. Anesth. 2017, 31, 58–65. [CrossRef]
- 476. Huang, W.; Yu, T.Y.; Long, W.F.; Xiao, J.B. Application of Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation Combined with Transversus Abdominis Plane Block to Enhanced Recovery After Surgery in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Colorectal Cancer Resection: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Zhen Ci Yan Jiu* 2018, 43, 611–615. [CrossRef]
- 477. Huang, Y.; Bian, W.W.; Hou, L.L. Effects of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation on pain of patients in expansion process of skin soft tissue dilator on forehead by water injection. *Zhonghua Shao Shang Za Zhi Chin. J. Burn.* **2019**, 35, 193–197. [CrossRef]
- 478. Ing, M.R.; Hellreich, P.D.; Johnson, D.W.; Chen, J.J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for chronic post-herpetic neuralgia. *Int. J. Dermatol.* **2015**, 54, 476–480. [CrossRef]
- 479. Issenman, J.; Nolan, M.; Rowley, J.; Hobby, R. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain control after spinal fusion with Harrington rods. A clinical report. *Phys. Ther.* **1985**, *65*, 1517–1520. [CrossRef]
- Itoh, K.; Hirota, S.; Katsumi, Y.; Ochi, H.; Kitakoji, H. A pilot study on using acupuncture and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) to treat knee osteoarthritis (OA). *Chin. Med.* 2008, *3*, 2. [CrossRef]
- Itoh, K.; Itoh, S.; Katsumi, Y.; Kitakoji, H. A pilot study on using acupuncture and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to treat chronic non-specific low back pain. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 2009, 15, 22–25. [CrossRef]

- 482. Jardem, P. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for non-acute low back pain: A randomized double-blind study of conventional, nu-waveform, acupuncture-type and sham therapies. In Proceedings of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 February 1997.
- 483. Jeans, M. Relief of chronic pain by brief, intense transcutaneous electrical stimulation—A double blind study. In Advances in Pain Research and Therapy; Bonica, J., Liebeskind, J., Albe-Fessard, D., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, NY, USA, 1979; Volume 3, pp. 601–606.
- 484. Jiang, L.; Yuan, D.L.; Li, M.; Liu, C.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Tan, G. Combination of flunarizine and transcutaneous supraorbital neurostimulation improves migraine prophylaxis. *Acta Neurol. Scand.* **2019**, 139, 276–283. [CrossRef]
- 485. Juárez-Albuixech, M.L.; Redondo-González, O.; Tello, I.; Collado-Vázquez, S.; Jiménez-Antona, C. Vojta Therapy versus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for lumbosciatica syndrome: A quasi-experimental pilot study. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 2020, 24, 39–46. [CrossRef]
- 486. Jünger, M.; Arnold, A.; Zuder, D.; Stahl, H.W.; Heising, S. Local therapy and treatment costs of chronic, venous leg ulcers with electrical stimulation (Dermapulse): A prospective, placebo controlled, double blind trial. *Wound Repair Regen.* 2008, 16, 480–487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 487. Kaplan, B.; Peled, Y.; Pardo, J.; Rabinerson, D.; Hirsh, M.; Ovadia, J.; Neri, A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) as a relief for dysmenorrhea. *Clin. Exp. Obstet. Gynecol.* **1994**, *21*, 87–90. [PubMed]
- Katz, J.; Melzack, R. Auricular transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) reduces phantom limb pain. *J. Pain Symptom Manag.* 1991, *6*, 73–83. [CrossRef]
- 489. Kempf, K.; Rohling, M.; Darwish, E.; Martin, S.; Jander, S.; Herdmann, J.; Stehr-Zirngibl, S. High-tone external muscle stimulation for the treatment of chronic sciatica—A randomized controlled crossover trial. *Open Pain J.* **2018**, *11*, 21–30. [CrossRef]
- Kho, H.; Eijk, R.; Kapteijns, W.; van Egmond, J. Acupuncture and transcutaneous stimulation analgesia in comparison with moderate-dose fentanyl anaesthesia in major surgery. Clinical efficacy and influence on recovery and morbidity. *Anaesthesia* 1991, 46, 129–135. [CrossRef]
- Kocyigit, F.; Akalin, E.; Gezer, N.S.; Orbay, O.; Kocyigit, A.; Ada, E. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of the effects of low-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on central pain modulation: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin. J. Pain* 2012, *28*, 581–588. [CrossRef]
- 492. Kolen, A.F.; de Nijs, R.N.J.; Wagemakers, F.M.; Meier, A.J.L.; Johnson, M.I. Effects of spatially targeted transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation using an electrode array that measures skin resistance on pain and mobility in patients with osteoarthritis in the knee: A randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2012, 153, 373–381. [CrossRef]
- 493. Kolu, E.; Buyukavci, R.; Akturk, S.; Eren, F.; Ersoy, Y. Comparison of high-intensity laser therapy and combination of transcutaneous nerve stimulation and ultrasound treatment in patients with chronic lumbar radiculopathy: A randomized single-blind study. *Pak. J. Med. Sci.* **2018**, *34*, 530–534.
- 494. Koo, C.C.; Lin, R.S.; Wang, T.G.; Tsauo, J.Y.; Yang, P.C.; Yen, C.T.; Biswal, S. Novel Noxipoint Therapy versus Conventional Physical Therapy for Chronic Neck and Shoulder Pain: Multicentre Randomised Controlled Trials. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 16342. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, D.; Alvaro, M.S.; Julka, I.S.; Marshall, H.J. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Effectiveness of electrotherapy and amitriptyline for symptomatic relief. *Diabetes Care* 1998, 21, 1322–1325. [CrossRef]
- 496. Kumar, D.; Marshall, H.J. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: Amelioration of pain with transcutaneous electrostimulation. *Diabetes Care* **1997**, 20, 1702–1705. [CrossRef]
- 497. Labrunée, M.; Boned, A.; Granger, R.; Bousquet, M.; Jordan, C.; Richard, L.; Garrigues, D.; Gremeaux, V.; Sénard, J.M.; Pathak, A.; et al. Improved Walking Claudication Distance with Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation: An Old Treatment with a New Indication in Patients with Peripheral Artery Disease. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2015, *94*, 941–949. [CrossRef]
- 498. Lan, F.; Ma, Y.H.; Xue, J.X.; Wang, T.L.; Ma, D.Q. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on acupoints reduces fentanyl requirement for postoperative pain relief after total hip arthroplasty in elderly patients. *Minerva Anestesiol.* 2012, 78, 887–895.
- Lanham, R.H., Jr.; Powell, S.; Hendrix, B.E. Efficacy of hypothermia and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in podiatric surgery. J. Foot Surg. 1984, 23, 152–158.
- 500. Lee, B.; Hong, S.H.; Kim, K.; Kang, W.C.; No, J.H.; Lee, J.R.; Jee, B.C.; Yang, E.J.; Cha, E.-J.; Kim, Y.B. Efficacy of the device combining high-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and thermotherapy for relieving primary dysmenorrhea: A randomized, single-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2015, 194, 58–63. [CrossRef]
- 501. Lee, J.C.; Lin, D.T.; Hong, C.Z. The effectiveness of simultaneous thermotherapy with ultrasound and electrotherapy with combined AC and DC current on the immediate pain relief of myofascial trigger points. *J. Musculoskelet. Pain* **1997**, *5*, 81–90. [CrossRef]
- 502. Lehmann, T.; Russell, D.; Spratt, K. The impact of patients with nonorganic physical findings on a controlled trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and electroacupuncture. *Spine* **1983**, *8*, 625–634. [CrossRef]
- Lehmann, T.; Russell, D.; Spratt, K.; Colby, H.; Liu, Y.; Fairchild, M.; Christensen, S. Efficacy of electroacupuncture and TENS in the rehabilitation of chronic low back pain patients. *Pain* 1986, 26, 277–290. [CrossRef]
- Lerma, K.; Goldthwaite, L.M.; Blumenthal, P.D.; Shaw, K.A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for pain control during first-trimester abortion: A single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Contraception* 2020, 101, 357. [CrossRef]

- 505. Li, M.; Xu, F.; Liu, M.; Li, Y.; Lin, L.; Chen, J. Promoting effects and autonomic mechanisms of transcutaneous electrical acustimulation on the postoperative recovery after caesarean sections. *Gastroenterology* **2019**, *156*, S-584–S-585. [CrossRef]
- 506. Lin, Y.; Yang, W.; Li, Y.; Li, Y.; Tong, Q.; Ma, W.; Li, Y.; Shen, W. Mechanism of acupoint transcutaneous electric stimulation on analgesic anesthesia in the patients undergoing general anesthesia anorectal operation. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu—Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust.* 2017, 37, 747–752. [CrossRef]
- 507. Liu, X.; Li, S.; Wang, B.; An, L.; Ren, X.; Wu, H. Intraoperative and postoperative anaesthetic and analgesic effect of multipoint transcutaneous electrical acupuncture stimulation combined with sufentanil anaesthesia in patients undergoing supratentorial craniotomy. *Acupunct. Med.* 2015, *33*, 270–276. [CrossRef]
- 508. Loeser, J.; Black, R.; Christman, A. Relief of pain by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *J. Neurosurg.* **1975**, *42*, 308–314. [CrossRef]
- 509. Lone, A.R.; Wafai, Z.A.; Buth, B.A.; Wani, T.A.; Koul, P.A.; Khan, S.H. Analgesic efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation compared with diclofenac sodium in osteo-arthritis of the knee. *Physiotherapy* **2003**, *89*, 478–485. [CrossRef]
- 510. Lorenzana, F.D. A randomized controlled trial of the efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) versus lidocaine in the relief of episiotomy pain. *Philipp. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **1999**, *23*, 135–142.
- 511. Lourenzi, V.D.G.C.M.; Jones, A.; Lourenzi, F.M.; Jennings, F.; Natour, J. Effectiveness of the transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in pain control of patients with acute low back pain: A randomized controlled trial. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2015**, *2*, 1322. [CrossRef]
- 512. Lv, Y.; He, H.; Xie, J.; Jin, W.; Shou, C.; Pan, Y.; Wang, L.; Mo, Y.; Dai, Q.; Geng, W.; et al. Effects of transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation combined with low-dose sufentanil pretreatment on the incidence and severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus: A randomized controlled trial. *Medicine* **2018**, *97*, e10969. [CrossRef]
- 513. Macdonald, A.J.R.; Coates, T.W. The discovery of transcutaneous spinal electroanalgesia and its relief of chronic pain. *Physiotherapy* **1995**, *81*, 653–661. [CrossRef]
- Malmir, K.; Ghotbi, N.; Mir, S.M.; Moradi, B. Comparing effects of cryotherapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on signs and symptoms of delayed onset muscle soreness in amateur athletes. *Open Pain J.* 2017, 10, 73–80. [CrossRef]
- 515. Maria Fernandez-Seguin, L.; Marcos Heredia-Rizo, A.; Antonio Diaz-Mancha, J.; Gonzalez-Garcia, P.; Ramos-Ortega, J.; Munuera-Martinez, P.V. Immediate and short-term radiological changes after combining static stretching and transcutaneous electrical stimulation in adults with cavus foot A randomized controlled trial. *Medicine* **2019**, *98*, e18018. [CrossRef]
- 516. Matsuse, H.; Segal, N.A.; Rabe, K.G.; Shiba, N. The Effect of Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation During Walking on Muscle Strength and Knee Pain in Obese Women With Knee Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 2020, 99, 56–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 517. McGough, J.J.; Sturm, A.; Cowen, J.; Tung, K.; Salgari, G.C.; Leuchter, A.F.; Cook, I.A.; Sugar, C.A.; Loo, S.K. Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled, Pilot Study of Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 2019, 58, 403–411.e403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 518. Meade, C.S.; Lukas, S.E.; McDonald, L.J.; Fitzmaurice, G.M.; Eldridge, J.A.; Merrill, N.; Weiss, R.D. A randomized trial of transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation as adjunctive treatment for opioid detoxification. *J. Subst. Abuse Treat.* 2010, 38, 12–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meechan, J.G.; Gowans, A.J.; Welbury, R.R. The use of patient-controlled transcutaneous electronic nerve stimulation (TENS) to decrease the discomfort of regional anaesthesia in dentistry: A randomised controlled clinical trial. *J. Dent.* 1998, 26, 417–420. [CrossRef]
- 520. Melzack, R. Prolonged relief of pain by brief, intense transcutaneous somatic stimulation. Pain 1975, 1, 357–373. [CrossRef]
- 521. Melzack, R.; Jeans, M.; Stratford, J.; Monks, R. Ice massage and transcutaneous electrical stimulation: Comparison of treatment for low-back pain. *Pain* **1980**, *9*, 209–217. [CrossRef]
- Mi, Z.; Gao, J.; Chen, X.; Ge, Y.; Lu, K. Effects of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation on quality of recovery during early period after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu—Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust.* 2018, 38, 256–260. [CrossRef]
- 523. Miller Jones, C.M. Transcutaneous nerve stimulation in labour. Anaesthesia 1980, 35, 372–375. [CrossRef]
- 524. Monaco, A.; Sgolastra, F.; Pietropaoli, D.; Giannoni, M.; Cattaneo, R. Comparison between sensory and motor transcutaneous electrical nervous stimulation on electromyographic and kinesiographic activity of patients with temporomandibular disorder: A controlled clinical trial. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. 2013, 14, 168. [CrossRef]
- 525. Mucuk, S.; Baser, M. Effects of noninvasive electroacupuncture on labour pain and duration. *J. Clin. Nurs.* **2014**, 23, 1603–1610. [CrossRef]
- 526. Mummolo, S.; Nota, A.; Tecco, S.; Caruso, S.; Marchetti, E.; Marzo, G.; Cutilli, T. Ultra-low-frequency transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (ULF-TENS) in subjects with craniofacial pain: A retrospective study. *Cranio* 2018, *38*, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 527. Murina, F.; Bianco, V.; Radici, G.; Felice, R.; Di Martino, M.; Nicolini, U. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to treat vestibulodynia: A randomised controlled trial. *BJOG—Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **2008**, *115*, 1165–1170. [CrossRef]
- 528. Murina, F.; Felice, R.; Di Francesco, S.; Oneda, S. Vaginal diazepam plus transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to treat vestibulodynia: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* **2018**, 228, 148–153. [CrossRef]
- 529. Mysliwiec, A.; Saulicz, E.; Kuszewski, M.; Kokosz, M.; Wolny, T. Assessment of the influence of Saunders traction and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on hand grip force in patients with neck pain. Ortop. Traumatol. Rehabil. 2011, 13, 37–44. [CrossRef]

- 530. Naeser, M.A.; Hahn, K.-A.K.; Lieberman, B.E.; Branco, K.F. Carpal tunnel syndrome pain treated with low-level laser and microamperes transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation: A controlled study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2002, *83*, 978–988. [CrossRef]
- 531. Nakano, J.; Ishii, K.; Fukushima, T.; Ishii, S.; Ueno, K.; Matsuura, E.; Hashizume, K.; Morishita, S.; Tanaka, K.; Kusuba, Y. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on physical symptoms in advanced cancer patients receiving palliative care. *Int. J. Rehabil. Res.* 2020, 43, 62–68. [CrossRef]
- Ngai, S.P.; Jones, A.Y.; Hui-Chan, C.W.; Ko, F.W.; Hui, D.S. Effect of 4 weeks of Acu-TENS on functional capacity and betaendorphin level in subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 2010, 173, 29–36. [CrossRef]
- 533. Noehren, B.; Dailey, D.L.; Rakel, B.A.; Vance, C.G.; Zimmerman, M.B.; Crofford, L.J.; Sluka, K.A. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain, function, and quality of life in fibromyalgia: A double-blind randomized clinical trial. *Phys. Ther.* 2015, 95, 129–140. [CrossRef]
- 534. Nourbakhsh, M.R.; Fearon, F.J. An alternative approach to treating lateral epicondylitis. A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded study. *Clin. Rehabil* 2008, 22, 601–609. [CrossRef]
- 535. Okonkwo, U.P.; Ibeneme, S.C.; Ihegihu, E.Y.; Egwuonwu, A.V.; Ezema, I.C.; Maruf, A.F.; Okoye, E.C.; Ibikunle, O.P.; Ezekwu, A.O. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the Management of Post-Injection Sciatic Pain in a non-randomized controlled clinical trial in Nnewi, Nigeria. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 2018, 18, 310. [CrossRef]
- 536. Oyibo, S.O.; Breislin, K.; Boulton, A.J.M. Electrical stimulation therapy through stocking electrodes for painful diabetic neuropathy: A double blind, controlled crossover study. *Diabet. Med.* **2004**, *21*, 940–944. [CrossRef]
- 537. Ozen, S.; Cosar, S.N.S.; Cabioglu, M.T.; Cetin, N. A Comparison of Physical Therapy Modalities Versus Acupuncture in the Treatment of Fibromyalgia Syndrome: A Pilot Study. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* **2019**, *25*, 296–304. [CrossRef]
- 538. Park, J.; Seo, D.; Choi, W.; Lee, S.W. The effects of exercise with TENS on spasticity, balance, and gait in patients with chronic stroke: A randomized controlled trial. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **2014**, *20*, 1890–1896.
- 539. Patel, J.I.; Kumar, B.N.P.; Ravish, V.N. Effect of mckenzie method with tens on lumbar radiculopathy—A randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Physiother.* **2016**, *3*, 94–99. [CrossRef]
- 540. Peng, T.; Li, X.T.; Zhou, S.F.; Xiong, Y.; Kang, Y.; Cheng, H.D. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on acupoints relieves labor pain: A non-randomized controlled study. *Chin. J. Integr. Med.* **2010**, *16*, 234–238. [CrossRef]
- Polat, C.S.; Dogan, A.; Ozcan, D.S.; Koseoglu, B.F.; Akselim, S.K.; Onat, S.S. The Effectiveness of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Knee Osteoarthritis with Neuropathic Pain Component: A Randomized Controlled Study. *Turk Osteoporoz Derg.-Turk. J. Osteoporos.* 2017, 23, 47–51. [CrossRef]
- 542. Pope, M.; Phillips, R.; Haugh, L.; Hsieh, C.; MacDonald, L.; Haldeman, S. A prospective randomized three-week trial of spinal manipulation, transcutaneous muscle stimulation, massage and corset in the treatment of subacute low back pain. *Spine* **1994**, *19*, 2571–2577. [CrossRef]
- 543. Pour, N.H.; Kaviani, M.; Razeghi, M. Comparison of effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and acupressure in decreasing labor pain in primiparous women. *Iran. J.Obstet. Gynecol. Infertil.* **2012**, *15*, 27–33.
- 544. Quinton, D.N.; Sloan, J.P.; Theakstone, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in acute hand infections. *J. Hand Surg. Br. Eur. Vol.* **1987**, *12*, 267–268. [CrossRef]
- 545. Radhakrishna, N.R.V.; Chouhan, R.S.; Singh, S.; Pandia, M.P. Effect of preoperative transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on intraoperative anesthetic drug consumption and pain scores in patients undergoing lumbar discectomy under general anesthesia. *Indian J. Pain* **2020**, *34*, 22–26.
- 546. Rapoport, A.M.; Bonner, J.H.; Lin, T.; Harris, D.; Gruper, Y.; Ironi, A.; Cowan, R.P. Remote electrical neuromodulation (REN) in the acute treatment of migraine: A comparison with usual care and acute migraine medications. *J. Headache Pain* **2019**, *20*, 83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 547. Razavi, M.; Jansen, G.B. Effects of acupuncture and placebo TENS in addition to exercise in treatment of rotator cuff tendinitis. *Clin. Rehabil.* **2004**, *18*, 872–878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 548. Reich, B.A. Non-invasive treatment of vascular and muscle contraction headache: A comparative longitudinal clinical study. *Headache* 1989, 29, 34–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reichstein, L.; Labrenz, S.; Ziegler, D.; Martin, S. Effective treatment of symptomatic diabetic polyneuropathy by high-frequency external muscle stimulation. *Diabetologia* 2005, 48, 824–828. [CrossRef]
- Rodriguez-Fernandez, A.L.; Garrido-Santofimia, V.; Geita-Rodriguez, J.; Fernandez-De-Las-Peas, C. Effects of burst-type transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on cervical range of motion and latent myofascial trigger point pain sensitivity. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2011, 92, 1353–1358. [CrossRef]
- 551. Rooney, S.M.; Jain, S.; McCormack, P.; Bains, M.S.; Martini, N.; Goldiner, P.L. A comparison of pulmonary function tests for postthoracotomy pain using cryoanalgesia and transcutaneous nerve stimulation. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* **1986**, *41*, 204–207. [CrossRef]
- 552. Roth, P.M.; Thrash, W.J. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for controlling pain associated with orthodontic tooth movement. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **1986**, *90*, 132–138. [CrossRef]
- 553. Santiesteban, A.J.; Burnham, T.L.; George, K.L. Primary spasmodic dysmenorrhea: The use of TENS on acupuncture points. *Am. J. Acupunct.* **1985**, *13*, 35–42.

- 554. Sari, Z.; Aydoğdu, O.; Demirbüken, İ.; Yurdalan, S.U.; Polat, M.G. A Better Way to Decrease Knee Swelling in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis: A Single-Blind Randomised Controlled Trial. *Pain Res. Manag.* **2019**, 2019, 8514808. [CrossRef]
- 555. Schuster, G.D.; Infante, M.C. Pain relief after low back surgery: The efficacy of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. *Pain* **1980**, *8*, 299–302. [CrossRef]
- 556. Schoenen, J.; Jensen, R.H.; Lantéri-Minet, M.; Láinez, M.J.A.; Gaul, C.; Goodman, A.M.; Caparso, A.; May, A. Stimulation of the sphenopalatine ganglion (SPG) for cluster headache treatment. Pathway CH-1: A randomized, sham-controlled study. *Cephalalgia Int. J. Headache* 2013, 33, 816–830. [CrossRef]
- 557. Schomburg, F.; Carter-Baker, S. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for postlaparotomy pain. *Phys. Ther.* **1983**, *63*, 188–193. [CrossRef]
- 558. Selfe, T.K.; Bourguignon, C.; Taylor, A.G. Effects of noninvasive interactive neurostimulation on symptoms of osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized, sham-controlled pilot study. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* 2008, 14, 1075–1081. [CrossRef]
- 559. Shirazi, Z.R.; Shafaee, R.; Abbasi, L. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on joint position sense in patients with knee joint osteoarthritis. *Physiother. Theory Pract.* **2014**, *30*, 495–499. [CrossRef]
- 560. Silberstein, S.D.; Mechtler, L.L.; Kudrow, D.B.; Calhoun, A.H.; McClure, C.; Saper, J.R.; Liebler, E.J.; Rubenstein Engel, E.; Tepper, S.J.; Group, O.B.O.T.A.S. Non-Invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation for the ACute Treatment of Cluster Headache: Findings From the Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled ACT1 Study. *Headache* 2016, 56, 1317–1332. [CrossRef]
- 561. Silberstein, S.D.; Calhoun, A.H.; Lipton, R.B.; Grosberg, B.M.; Cady, R.K.; Dorlas, S.; Simmons, K.A.; Mullin, C.; Liebler, E.J.; Goadsby, P.J.; et al. Chronic migraine headache prevention with noninvasive vagus nerve stimulation: The EVENT study. *Neurology* 2016, 87, 529–538. [CrossRef]
- 562. Simon, C.B.; Riley, J.L., 3rd; Fillingim, R.B.; Bishop, M.D.; George, S.Z. Age Group Comparisons of TENS Response Among Individuals With Chronic Axial Low Back Pain. *J. Pain* **2015**, *16*, 1268–1279. [CrossRef]
- 563. Simpson, K.H.; Ward, J. A randomized, double-blind, crossover study of the use of transcutaneous spinal electroanalgesia in patients with pain from chronic critical limb ischemia. J. Pain Symptom Manag. 2004, 28, 511–516. [CrossRef]
- 564. Solomon, S.; Guglielmo, K.M. Treatment of headache by transcutaneous electrical stimulation. Headache 1985, 25, 12–15. [CrossRef]
- 565. Solomon, S.; Elkind, A.; Freitag, F.; Gallagher, R.M.; Moore, K.; Swerdlow, B.; Malkin, S. Safety and effectiveness of cranial electrotherapy in the treatment of tension headache. *Headache* **1989**, *29*, 445–450. [CrossRef]
- 566. Sonde, L.; Kalimo, H.; Fernaeus, S.E.; Viitanen, M. Low TENS treatment on post-stroke paretic arm: A three-year follow-up. *Clin. Rehabil.* **2000**, *14*, 14–19. [CrossRef]
- 567. Stralka, S.W.; Jackson, J.A.; Lewis, A.R. Treatment of hand and wrist pain. A randomized clinical trial of high voltage pulsed, direct current built into a wrist splint. *AAOHN J.* **1998**, *46*, 233–236. [CrossRef]
- 568. Strayhorn, G. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and postoperative use of narcotic analgesics. *J. Natl. Med. Assoc.* **1983**, 75, 811–816.
- 569. Sun, K.; Xing, T.; Zhang, F.; Liu, Y.; Li, W.; Zhou, Z.; Fang, L.; Yu, L.; Yan, M. Perioperative Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation for Postoperative Pain Relief Following Laparoscopic Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Clin. J. Pain* 2017, 33, 340–347. [CrossRef]
- 570. Sunshine, W.; Field, T.M.; Quintino, O.; Fierro, K.; Kuhn, C.; Burman, I.; Schanberg, S. Fibromyalgia benefits from massage therapy and transcutaneous electrical stimulation. *J. Clin. Rheumatol. Pract. Rep. Rheum. Musculoskelet. Dis.* **1996**, *2*, 18–22. [CrossRef]
- Takla, M.K.N. Low-frequency high-intensity versus medium-frequency low-intensity combined therapy in the management of active myofascial trigger points: A randomized controlled trial. *Physiother. Res. Int.* 2018, 23, e1737. [CrossRef]
- 572. Takla, M.K.N.; Rezk-Allah, S.S. Immediate Effects of Simultaneous Application of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Ultrasound Phonophoresis on Active Myofascial Trigger Points: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* **2018**, *97*, 332–338. [CrossRef]
- 573. Thiese, M.S.; Hughes, M.; Biggs, J. Electrical stimulation for chronic non-specific low back pain in a working-age population: A 12-week double blinded randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2013, 14, 117. [CrossRef]
- 574. Thompson, J.W.; Bower, S.; Tyrer, S.P. A double blind randomised controlled clinical trial on the effect of transcutaneous spinal electroanalgesia (TSE) on low back pain. *Eur. J. Pain* **2008**, *12*, 371–377. [CrossRef]
- 575. Tok, F.; Aydemir, K.; Peker, F.; Safaz, I.; Taskaynatan, M.A.; Ozgul, A. The effects of electrical stimulation combined with continuous passive motion versus isometric exercise on symptoms, functional capacity, quality of life and balance in knee osteoarthritis: Randomized clinical trial. *Rheumatol. Int.* **2011**, *31*, 177–181. [CrossRef]
- 576. Tousignant-Laflamme, Y.; Laroche, C.; Beaulieu, C.; Bouchard, A.J.; Boucher, S.; Michaud-Létourneau, M. A randomized trial to determine the duration of analgesia following a 15- and a 30-minute application of acupuncture-like TENS on patients with chronic low back pain. *Physiother. Theory Pract.* 2017, 33, 361–369. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 577. Tu, Q.; Gan, J.; Shi, J.; Yu, H.; He, S.; Zhang, J. Effect of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation on postoperative analgesia after ureteroscopic lithotripsy: A randomized controlled trial. *Urolithiasis* **2019**, *47*, 279–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 578. Vance, C.G.; Chimenti, R.L.; Dailey, D.L.; Hadlandsmyth, K.; Zimmerman, M.B.; Geasland, K.M.; Williams, J.M.; Merriwether, E.N.; Alemo Munters, L.; Rakel, B.A.; et al. Development of a method to maximize the transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation intensity in women with fibromyalgia. *J. Pain Res.* 2018, 11, 2269–2278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 579. VanderArk, G.D.; McGrath, K.A. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation in treatment of postoperative pain. *Am. J. Surg.* **1975**, *130*, 338–340. [CrossRef]
- 580. Vincenti, E.; Cervellin, A.; Mega, M. Comparative study between patients treated with transcutaneous electric stimulation and controls during labour. *Clin. Exp. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **1982**, *9*, 95–97.
- 581. Vinterberg, H.; Donde, R.; Andersen, R.B. Transcutaneous nerve-stimulation for relief of pain in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ugeskr. Laeger.* **1978**, *140*, 1149–1150.
- Wang, W.; George, S.; Wilimas, J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation treatment of sickle cell pain crises. *Acta Haematol.* 1988, 80, 99–102. [CrossRef]
- 583. Wang, B.; Tang, J.; White, P.F.; Naruse, R.; Sloninsky, A.; Kariger, R.; Gold, J.; Wender, R.H. Effect of the intensity of transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation on the postoperative analgesic requirement. *Anesth. Analg.* **1997**, *85*, 406–413.
- Wang, B.; Xiong, X.; Li, W. Study on Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) Applied to Acupoints for Relieving Labor Pain. J. Int. J. Clin. Acupunct. 2007, 16, 7.
- 585. Wang, Z.L.; Chen, L.F.; Zhu, W.M. Observation on the transient analgesic effect of abdominal acupuncture TENS on pain of neck, shoulder, loin and legs. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu—Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust.* **2007**, *27*, 657–659.
- 586. Wang, K.; Svensson, P.; Arendt-Nielsen, L. Effect of acupuncture-like electrical stimulation on chronic tension-type headache: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin. J. Pain* 2007, 23, 316–322. [CrossRef]
- 587. Wang, J.L.; Ren, Q.S.; Shen, C.C.; Xie, W.X.; Zheng, R.X.; Ni, J.W. Effect of transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation on blood bioactive compounds involving cerebral injury during craniotomy. *Zhen Ci Yan Jiu* 2008, 33, 26–30.
- 588. Wang, Z.X. Clinical observation on electroacupuncture at acupoints for treatment of senile radical sciatica. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu*—*Chin. Acupunct. Moxibust.* **2009**, *29*, 126–128.
- 589. Wang, H.; Xie, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Xu, N.; Zhong, H.; Dong, H.; Liu, L.; Jiang, T.; Wang, Q.; Xiong, L. Transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation reduces intra-operative remifentanil consumption and alleviates postoperative side-effects in patients undergoing sinusotomy: A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 2014, *112*, 1075–1082. [CrossRef]
- Ward, A.R.; Lucas-Toumbourou, S.; McCarthy, B. A comparison of the analgesic efficacy of medium-frequency alternating current and TENS. *Physiotherapy* 2009, 95, 280–288. [CrossRef]
- 591. Wattrisse, G.; Leroy, B.; Dufossez, F.; Bui Huu Tai, R. Transcutaneous electric stimulation of the brain: A comparative study of the effects of its combination with peridural anesthesia using bupivacaine-fentanyl during obstetrical analgesia. *Cah. Anesthesiol.* 1993, 41, 489–495.
- 592. Weng, C.; Shu, S.; Chen, C. The evaluation of two modulated frequency modes of acupuncture-like TENS on the treatment of tennis elbow pain. *Biomed. Eng. Appl. Basis Comm.* 2005, 17, 236–242. [CrossRef]
- 593. Whitehair, V.C.; Chae, J.; Hisel, T.; Wilson, R.D. The effect of electrical stimulation on impairment of the painful post-stroke shoulder. *Top. Stroke Rehabil.* 2019, 26, 544–547. [CrossRef]
- 594. Wieselmann-Penkner, K.; Janda, M.; Lorenzoni, M.; Polansky, R. A comparison of the muscular relaxation effect of TENS and EMG-biofeedback in patients with bruxism. *J. Oral Rehabil.* **2001**, *28*, 849–853. [CrossRef]
- 595. Williams, A.E.; Miller, M.M.; Bartley, E.J.; McCabe, K.M.; Kerr, K.L.; Rhudy, J.L. Impairment of Inhibition of Trigeminal Nociception via Conditioned Pain Modulation in Persons with Migraine Headaches. *Pain Med.* 2019, 20, 1600–1610. [CrossRef]
- 596. Williams, K.M. Is TENS a Feasible Non-Pharmacologic Adjunctive Treatment for Post-operative Orthopedic Pain? Pain Manag. Nurs. 2020, 21, 219. [CrossRef]
- 597. Wilson, C.M.; Stanczak, J.F. Palliative Pain Management Using Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS). *Rehabil.* Oncol. 2020, 38, E1–E6. [CrossRef]
- 598. Wong, R.K.; Jones, G.W.; Sagar, S.M.; Babjak, A.F.; Whelan, T. A Phase I–II study in the use of acupuncture-like transcutaneous nerve stimulation in the treatment of radiation-induced xerostomia in head-and-neck cancer patients treated with radical radiotherapy. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2003, *57*, 472–480. [CrossRef]
- 599. Wong, R.K.; James, J.L.; Sagar, S.; Wyatt, G.; Nguyen-Tan, P.F.; Singh, A.K.; Lukaszczyk, B.; Cardinale, F.; Yeh, A.M.; Berk, L. Phase 2 results from Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Study 0537: A phase 2/3 study comparing acupuncture-like transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus pilocarpine in treating early radiation-induced xerostomia. *Cancer* 2012, 118, 4244–4252. [CrossRef]
- 600. Wu, L.L.; Su, C.H.; Liu, C.F. Effects of noninvasive electroacupuncture at Hegu (LI4) and Sanyinjiao (SP6) acupoints on dysmenorrhea: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* **2012**, *18*, 137–142. [CrossRef]
- 601. Xie, J.; Chen, L.H.; Ning, Z.Y.; Zhang, C.Y.; Chen, H.; Chen, Z.; Meng, Z.Q.; Zhu, X.Y. Effect of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation combined with palonosetron on chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: A single-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Chin. J. Cancer* 2017, *36*, 6. [CrossRef]
- 602. Xu, G.; Feng, Y.; Tang, W.Z.; Lv, Z.W. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in combination with cobalamin injection for postherpetic neuralgia: A single-center randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* 2014, 93, 287–298. [CrossRef]
- Yarnitsky, D.; Volokh, L.; Ironi, A.; Weller, B.; Shor, M.; Shifrin, A.; Granovsky, Y. Nonpainful remote electrical stimulation alleviates episodic migraine pain. *Neurology* 2017, 88, 1250–1255. [CrossRef]
- 604. Yang, G.; Su, Y.X.; Guo, Y.X. Acupuncture like transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (Tens) on knee osteoarthritis (KOA) with low pain: A pilot study. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med. 2017, 10, 1059–1065.

- 605. Yang, Y.; Yim, J.; Choi, W.; Lee, S. Improving slow-transit constipation with transcutaneous electrical stimulation in women: A randomized, comparative study. *Women Health* **2017**, *57*, 494–507. [CrossRef]
- 606. Yao, Y.; Zhao, Q.; Gong, C.; Wu, Y.; Chen, Y.; Qiu, L.; Wu, X.; Chen, Y. Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation Improves the Postoperative Quality of Recovery and Analgesia after Gynecological Laparoscopic Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Evid.-Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2015, 2015, 324360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 607. Yarnitsky, D.; Dodick, D.W.; Grosberg, B.M.; Burstein, R.; Ironi, A.; Harris, D.; Lin, T.; Silberstein, S.D. Remote Electrical Neuromodulation (REN) Relieves Acute Migraine: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter Trial. *Headache J. Head Face Pain* 2019, *59*, 1240–1252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 608. Yeh, M.L.; Chung, Y.C.; Chen, K.M.; Tsou, M.Y.; Chen, H.H. Acupoint electrical stimulation reduces acute postoperative pain in surgical patients with patient-controlled analgesia: A randomized controlled study. *Altern. Ther. Health Med.* 2010, 16, 10–18. [PubMed]
- Yeh, M.-L.; Chung, Y.-C.; Hsu, L.-C.; Hung, S.-H. Effect of transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation on posthemorrhoidectomy-associated pain, anxiety, and heart rate variability: A randomized-controlled study. *Clin. Nurs. Res.* 2018, 27, 450–466. [CrossRef]
- Yip, Y.B.; Sonny Tse, H.M.; Wu, K.K. An experimental study comparing the effects of combined transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation and electromagnetic millimeter waves for spinal pain in Hong Kong. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 2007, 13, 4–14. [CrossRef]
- Youssef, T.; Youssef, M.; Thabet, W.; Lotfy, A.; Shaat, R.; Abd-Elrazek, E.; Farid, M. Randomized clinical trial of transcutaneous electrical posterior tibial nerve stimulation versus lateral internal sphincterotomy for treatment of chronic anal fissure. *Int. J. Surg.* 2015, 22, 143–148. [CrossRef]
- 612. Yu, X.; Zhang, F.; Chen, B. The effect of TEAS on the quality of early recovery in patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic surgery: A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Trials* **2020**, *21*, 43. [CrossRef]
- 613. Zeb, A.; Arsh, A.; Bahadur, S.; Ilyas, S.M. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in management of neuropathic pain in patients with post traumatic incomplete spinal cord injuries. *Pak. J. Med. Sci.* 2018, 34, 1177–1180. [CrossRef]
- Zhan, W.; Tian, W. Addition of transcutaneous electric acupoint stimulation to transverse abdominis plane block for postoperative analgesia in abdominal surgery: A randomized controlled trial. *Eur. J. Integr. Med.* 2020, 35, 101087. [CrossRef]
- 615. Zhang, Q.; Gao, Z.; Wang, H.; Ma, L.; Guo, F.; Zhong, H.; Xiong, L.; Wang, Q. The effect of pre-treatment with transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation on the quality of recovery after ambulatory breast surgery: A prospective, randomised controlled trial. *Anaesthesia* 2014, *69*, 832–839. [CrossRef]
- 616. Zhang, H.; Lei, C.; Zhang, T.Y.; Hou, L.H.; Wang, Q.; Dong, H.L.; Han, J.G.; Xiong, L.Z. Randomized controlled trial of TEAS with different acupoints combination on opioids consumption in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2016**, *9*, 23060–23071.
- 617. Zhang, C.; Xiao, Z.; Zhang, X.; Guo, L.; Sun, W.; Tai, C.; Jiang, Z.; Liu, Y. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of somatic afferent nerves in the foot relieved symptoms related to postoperative bladder spasms. *BMC Urol.* **2017**, *17*, 58. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.Y.; Fu, Y.; Zhang, X.D.; Ding, J.X.; Hua, K.Q. The effect of transcutaneous electrical stimulation treatment in combination with intraoperative nerve staining on sexual function after radical hysterectomy: A pilot study. *Eur. J. Gynaecol. Oncol.* 2020, 41, 188–191. [CrossRef]
- 619. Zhao, W.; Wang, C.; Li, Z.; Chen, L.; Li, J.; Cui, W.; Ding, S.; Xi, Q.; Wang, F.; Jia, F.; et al. Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation to treat muscle spasticity following brain injury: A double-blinded, multicenter, randomized controlled trial. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0116976. [CrossRef]
- 620. Zhou, D.; Hu, B.; He, S.; Li, X.; Gong, H.; Li, F.; Wang, Q. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation accelerates the recovery of gastrointestinal function after cesarean section: A randomized controlled trial. *Evid.-Based Complement. Med.* **2018**, 2018, 7341920. [CrossRef]
- 621. Zizic, T.M.; Hoffman, K.C.; Holt, P.A.; Hungerford, D.S.; O'Dell, J.R.; Jacobs, M.A.; Lewis, C.G.; Deal, C.L.; Caldwell, J.R.; Cholewczynski, J.G.; et al. The treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee with pulsed electrical stimulation. *J. Rheumatol.* **1995**, *22*, 1757–1761.
- 622. Cherian, J.J.; Kapadia, B.H.; Bhave, A.; McElroy, M.J.; Cherian, C.; Harwin, S.F.; Mont, M.A. Use of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Device in Early Osteoarthritis of the Knee. *J. Knee Surg.* 2015, *28*, 321–327. [CrossRef]
- 623. Cherian, J.J.; Kapadia, B.H.; McElroy, M.J.; Johnson, A.J.; Bhave, A.; Harwin, S.F.; Mont, M.A. Knee Osteoarthritis: Does Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Work? *Orthopedics* **2016**, *39*, e180–e186. [CrossRef]
- 624. Lewis, M.; Chesterton, L.S.; Sim, J.; Mallen, C.D.; Hay, E.M.; Van Der Windt, D.A.; Griffiths, U.K. An economic evaluation of TENS in addition to usual primary care management for the treatment of tennis elbow: Results from the TATE randomized controlled trial. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0135460. [CrossRef]
- 625. Escortell Mayor, E.; Lebrijo Perez, G.; Perez Martin, Y.; Asunsolo del Barco, A.; Riesgo Fuertes, R.; Saa Requejo, C. Randomized clinical trial for primary care patients with neck pain: Manual therapy versus electrical stimulation. *Aten. Primaria/Soc. Esp. Med. Fam. Comunitaria* **2008**, 40, 337–343.
- 626. Oosterhof, J.; Samwel, H.J.; de Boo, T.M.; Wilder-Smith, O.H.; Oostendorp, R.A.; Crul, B.J. Predicting outcome of TENS in chronic pain: A prospective, randomized, placebo controlled trial. *Pain* **2008**, *136*, 11–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 627. Oosterhof, J.; Wilder-Smith, O.H.; de Boo, T.; Oostendorp, R.A.; Crul, B.J. The Long-Term Outcome of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment for Patients with Chronic Pain: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Pain Pract.* 2012, 12, 513–522. [CrossRef]
- Oosterhof, J.; Wilder-Smith, O.H.; Oostendorp, R.A.; Crul, B.J. Different mechanisms for the short-term effects of real versus sham transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) in patients with chronic pain: A pilot study. *J. Pain Palliat. Care Pharmacother.* 2012, 26, 5–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 629. Pietrosimone, B.G.; Saliba, S.A.; Hart, J.M.; Hertel, J.; Kerrigan, D.C.; Ingersoll, C.D. Effects of disinhibitory transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and therapeutic exercise on sagittal plane peak knee kinematics and kinetics in people with knee osteoarthritis during gait: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin. Rehabil.* **2010**, *24*, 1091–1101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 630. Paley, C.A.; Wittkopf, P.G.; Jones, G.; Johnson, M.I. Does TENS Reduce the Intensity of Acute and Chronic Pain? A Comprehensive Appraisal of the Characteristics and Outcomes of 169 Reviews and 49 Meta-Analyses. *Medicina* **2021**, *57*, 1060. [CrossRef]
- 631. Johnson, M.; Martinson, M. Efficacy of electrical nerve stimulation for chronic musculoskeletal pain: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pain* 2007, 130, 157–165. [CrossRef]
- 632. Sawant, A.; Dadurka, K.; Overend, T.; Kremenchutzky, M. Systematic review of efficacy of TENS for management of central pain in people with multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler. Relat. Disord.* **2015**, *4*, 219–227. [CrossRef]
- 633. Kroeling, P.; Gross, A.; Goldsmith, C.H.; Burnie, S.J.; Haines, T.; Graham, N.; Brant, A. Electrotherapy for neck pain. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2013**. [CrossRef]
- 634. Zhu, J.; Xu, Q.; Zou, R.; Wu, W.; Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Ji, F.; Zheng, Z.; Zheng, M. Distal acupoint stimulation versus peri-incisional stimulation for postoperative pain in open abdominal surgery: A systematic review and implications for clinical practice. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 2019, 19, 192. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, J.; Dan, Y.; Yixian, Y.; Lyu, M.; Zhong, J.; Wang, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, L. Efficacy of Transcutaneous Electronic Nerve Stimulation in Postoperative Analgesia After Pulmonary Surgery A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2020, 99, 241–249. [CrossRef]
- 636. Rutjes, A.W.; Nuesch, E.; Sterchi, R.; Kalichman, L.; Hendriks, E.; Osiri, M.; Brosseau, L.; Reichenbach, S.; Juni, P. Transcutaneous electrostimulation for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2009, CD002823. [CrossRef]
- 637. Wu, L.C.; Weng, P.W.; Chen, C.H.; Huang, Y.Y.; Tsuang, Y.H.; Chiang, C.J. Literature Review and Meta-Analysis of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Treating Chronic Back Pain. *Reg. Anesth. Pain Med.* **2018**, *43*, 425–433. [CrossRef]
- Sbruzzi, G.; Silveira, S.A.; Silva, D.V.; Coronel, C.C.; Plentz, R.D. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after thoracic surgery: Systematic review and meta-analysis of 11 randomized trials. *Rev. Bras. Cir. Cardiovasc. Orgao Of. Soc. Bras. Cir. Cardiovasc.* 2012, 27, 75–87. [CrossRef]
- 639. Ioannidis, J.P. Why Most Clinical Research Is Not Useful. PLoS Med. 2016, 13, e1002049. [CrossRef]
- 640. Bennett, M.I.; Hughes, N.; Johnson, M.I. Methodological quality in randomised controlled trials of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation for pain: Low fidelity may explain negative findings. *Pain* **2011**, *152*, 1226–1232. [CrossRef]
- 641. Sluka, K.A.; Bjordal, J.M.; Marchand, S.; Rakel, B.A. What Makes Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Work? Making Sense of the Mixed Results in the Clinical Literature. *Phys. Ther.* **2013**, *93*, 1397–1402. [CrossRef]
- Moore, R.A.; Gavaghan, D.; Tramer, M.R.; Collins, S.L.; McQuay, H.J. Size is everything—Large amounts of information are needed to overcome random effects in estimating direction and magnitude of treatment effects. *Pain* 1998, 78, 209–216. [CrossRef]
- 643. Rakel, B.; Cooper, N.; Adams, H.J.; Messer, B.R.; Frey Law, L.A.; Dannen, D.R.; Miller, C.A.; Polehna, A.C.; Ruggle, R.C.; Vance, C.G.; et al. A new transient sham TENS device allows for investigator blinding while delivering a true placebo treatment. *J. Pain* 2010, *11*, 230–238. [CrossRef]
- 644. Deyo, R.A.; Walsh, N.E.; Schoenfeld, L.S.; Ramamurthy, S. Can trials of physical treatments be blinded? The example of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for chronic pain. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil./Assoc. Acad. Physiatr.* **1990**, *69*, 6–10. [CrossRef]
- 645. Grovle, L.; Hasvik, E.; Haugen, A.J. Rescue and concomitant analgesics in placebo-controlled trials of pharmacotherapy for neuropathic pain and low back pain. *Pain* **2020**, *161*, 3–10. [CrossRef]
- Bjordal, J.M.; Johnson, M.I.; Ljunggreen, A.E. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) can reduce postoperative analgesic consumption. A meta-analysis with assessment of optimal treatment parameters for postoperative pain. *Eur. J. Pain* 2003, 7, 181–188. [CrossRef]
- 647. Hunsinger, M.; Smith, S.M.; Rothstein, D.; McKeown, A.; Parkhurst, M.; Hertz, S.; Katz, N.P.; Lin, A.H.; McDermott, M.P.; Rappaport, B.A.; et al. Adverse event reporting in nonpharmacologic, noninterventional pain clinical trials: ACTTION systematic review. *Pain* **2014**, 155, 2253–2262. [CrossRef]
- 648. NICE. Osteoarthritis: Care and Management. Available online: http://nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177 (accessed on 29 August 2019).
- 649. NICE. Rheumatoid Arthritis in Adults. Available online: www.nice.org.uk/NG100 (accessed on 17 October 2019).
- 650. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Low Back Pain and Sciatica in Over 16s: Assessment and Management. Clinical Guideline [NG59];* National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE): London, UK, 2016; pp. 1–18.
- 651. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Chronic Pain (Primary and Secondary) in Over 16s: Assessment of All Chronic Pain and Management of Chronic Primary Pain (NG193);* National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE): London, UK, 2021; pp. 1–36.

- 652. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. NICE Clinical Guideline 55 Intrapartum Care: Care of Healthy Women and Their Babies during Childbirth; NICE: London, UK, 2007; pp. 1–65.
- 653. Gladwell, P.W.; Badlan, K.; Cramp, F.; Palmer, S. Direct and Indirect Benefits Reported by Users of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: Qualitative Exploration Using Patient Interviews. *Phys. Ther.* 2015, 95, 1518–1528. [CrossRef]
- 654. Gladwell, P.W.; Badlan, K.; Cramp, F.; Palmer, S. Problems, Solutions, and Strategies Reported by Users of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: Qualitative Exploration Using Patient Interviews. *Phys. Ther.* 2016, 96, 1039–1048. [CrossRef]
- 655. Gozani, S.N.; Ferree, T.C.; Moynihan, M.; Kong, X. Impact of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on sleep in chronic low back pain: A real-world retrospective cohort study. *J. Pain Res.* **2019**, *12*, 743–752. [CrossRef]
- 656. Gladwell, P.W.; Cramp, F.; Palmer, S. Matching the perceived benefits of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for chronic musculoskeletal pain against Patient Reported Outcome Measures using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). *Physiotherapy* **2020**, *106*, 128–135. [CrossRef]
- 657. Gladwell, P.W.; Cramp, F.; Palmer, S. Foundational Research Could Improve Future Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Evaluations. *Medicina* **2022**, *58*, 149. [CrossRef]
- 658. Sluka, K.; Walsh, D. Chapter 8: Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Interferential Therapy. In *Mechanisms and Management of Pain for the Physical Therapist*, 2nd ed.; IASP Press: Philidelphia, PA, USA, 2016; pp. 203–224.
- 659. Sluka, K.A.; Vance, C.G.; Lisi, T.L. High-frequency, but not low-frequency, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces aspartate and glutamate release in the spinal cord dorsal horn. *J. Neurochem.* **2005**, *95*, 1794–1801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 660. Johnson, M.I.; Ashton, C.H.; Thompson, J.W. An in-depth study of long-term users of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). Implications for clinical use of TENS. *Pain* **1991**, *44*, 221–229. [CrossRef]