



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Effects of aquatic exercise on appetitive responses in adolescents with obesity: An exploratory study*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/197935/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Miguet, M, Pereira, B, Beaulieu, K [orcid.org/0000-0001-8926-6953](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8926-6953) et al. (7 more authors) (2023) Effects of aquatic exercise on appetitive responses in adolescents with obesity: An exploratory study. *Appetite*, 185. 106540. ISSN 0195-6663

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2023.106540>

---

© 2023, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1  
2 **Effects of aquatic exercise on appetitive responses in adolescents with**  
3 **obesity: An exploratory study.**  
4  
5

6 **Miguet M<sup>1,2</sup>, Pereira B<sup>3</sup>, Beaulieu K<sup>4</sup>, Finlayson G<sup>4</sup>, Matlosz P<sup>5</sup>, Cardenoux C<sup>6</sup>, Boirie Y<sup>7,8</sup>, Duclos**  
7 **M<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Thivel D<sup>1</sup>, Metz L<sup>1</sup>**  
8  
9  
10

11 <sup>1</sup> Clermont Auvergne University, EA 3533, Laboratory of the Metabolic Adaptations to Exercise under Physiological and  
12 Pathological Conditions (AME2P), CRNH Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France,

13 <sup>2</sup> Department of Surgical Sciences, Functional Pharmacology and Neuroscience, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

14 <sup>3</sup> Unit of Biostatistics (DRCI), Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital, Clermont-Ferrand, France,

15 <sup>4</sup> Appetite Control & Energy Balance Research Group, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of  
16 Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, UK,

17 <sup>5</sup>Institute of Physical Culture Sciences, Medical College, University of Rzeszów, [35-959](#) Rzeszów, Poland.

18 <sup>6</sup>Youth Medical Center, Romagnat 63540, France

19 <sup>7</sup>Diet and Musculoskeletal Health Team, CRNH, INRA, University of Clermont Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France,

20 <sup>8</sup>Department of Human Nutrition, Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital, G. Montpied Hospital, Clermont-Ferrand, France,

21 <sup>9</sup>Department of Sport Medicine and Functional Explorations, University Teaching Hospital of Clermont-Ferrand,  
22  
23  
24

25 **Running title:** Aquatic exercise, appetite and pediatric obesity  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 **Corresponding Author:**

31 Metz Lore-PhD

32 Clermont Auvergne University, UPR 3533, Laboratory of the Metabolic Adaptations to Exercise under  
33 Physiological and Pathological Conditions (AME2P), BP 80026, F-63171 Aubière cedex, France

34 [lore.metz@uca.fr](mailto:lore.metz@uca.fr)  
35  
36

37 **Abstract**

38 Aquatic exercise has been suggested as a beneficial modality to improve weight loss, cardiorespiratory  
39 fitness and quality of life in adolescents with obesity; however, its impact on appetite control in youth  
40 remains unknown. The aim of this preliminary study was to examine the effect of an acute aquatic  
41 exercise session on energy intake (EI), appetite feelings and food reward in adolescents with obesity.  
42 Twelve adolescents with obesity (12-16 years, Tanner stage 3-5, 9 males) randomly completed two  
43 conditions: i) control (CON); ii) aquatic exercise session (AQUA). One hour before lunch, the  
44 adolescents stayed at rest outside the water in a quiet room for 45 minutes on CON while they performed  
45 a 45-minute aquatic exercise session on AQUA. *Ad libitum* EI and macronutrients were assessed at  
46 lunch and dinner, subjective appetite feelings taken at regular intervals, and food reward measured  
47 before and after lunch. Paired T-test showed that EI was not different between CON and AQUA at lunch  
48 ( $1333\pm 484$  kcal vs  $1409\pm 593$  kcal;  $p=0.162$ ) and dinner ( $528\pm 218$  kcal vs  $513\pm 204$  kcal;  $p=0.206$ ). Total  
49 daily *ad libitum* EI was significantly higher on AQUA ( $1922\pm 649$  kcal) compared with CON ( $1861\pm 685$   
50 kcal;  $p=0.044$ ) but accounting for the exercise-induced energy expenditure, relative energy intake did  
51 not differ ( $2263\pm 732$  kcal vs  $2117\pm 744$  kcal,  $p=0.304$ ). None of the appetite feelings (hunger, fullness,  
52 prospective food consumption and desire to eat) and food reward dimensions were significantly different  
53 between conditions. These preliminary and exploratory results suggest that an acute aquatic-exercise  
54 session might not induce energy compensatory responses in adolescents with obesity.

55

56 **Keywords:** Pediatric Obesity; Exercise; Appetite; Aquatic exercise

57

## 58 **1. Introduction**

59 The development and implementation of effective weight loss programs should include the prescription  
60 of adapted and appropriate physical activity interventions that optimize and maintain the beneficial  
61 effects of dietary restrictions. Although acute physical exercise is primarily and mainly considered as a  
62 way to increase energy expenditure, some appetitive compensatory responses (including EI, appetite  
63 feelings and food reward) have been suggested, which might then have subsequent effects on energy  
64 balance (Thivel et al., 2021).

65 In children and adolescents, most of the available studies examining the effect of exercise on subsequent  
66 appetite and energy intake (EI) used cycling or running modalities, mainly demonstrating a transient  
67 anorexigenic effect in youth with obesity but not healthy-weight, when performed at higher intensities  
68 (Imbeault et al., 1997; Thivel et al., 2014). However, it seems important to question the effect of different  
69 exercise modalities on appetite control to better understand the energetic impact of youth's daily  
70 physical activities but also to improve the efficacy of our weight management strategies that need to  
71 include different types of exercise. While some well-conducted studies have assessed the impact of  
72 specific sports and modalities such as netball or rugby on appetite and EI in youth, they mainly  
73 concerned normal weight participants (Nemet et al., 2010).

74 Nemet et al. compared aerobic, resistance and swimming exercises on subsequent EI in 6 to 10 year-old  
75 children with both healthy-weight and overweight (Nemet et al., 2010). Their results indicate a decrease  
76 in subsequent food intake after 45 minutes of resistance type exercise only in healthy weight children,  
77 with, in contrast, an increase after the swimming session in kids with overweight (Nemet et al., 2010).

78 Although these last results remain so far the only ones assessing the potential effects of immersed  
79 exercise (swimming) on subsequent EI in youth, further studies need to be conducted, not only  
80 considering classical swimming but other aquatic exercise modalities that seem more prone to be  
81 included into weight loss interventions. Indeed, game- and movement-based aquatic exercise training  
82 have been shown to improve weight loss (Irandoost et al., 2021; Lopera et al., 2016), physical fitness  
83 (Lopera et al., 2016), quality of life as well as respiratory functions in youth with obesity (Irandoost et  
84 al., 2021) while lowering the rate of perceived exertion (Yaghoubi et al., 2019) and increasing the  
85 adolescents' rate of adherence when compared to land-based interventions (Lopera et al., 2016).

86 Yet uninvestigated in children and youth, some recent studies conducted in adults have compared post-  
87 exercise EI and appetite after an acute cycling bout performed either immersed or land based. Ueda et  
88 al. (Ueda et al., 2018) for instance asked healthy weight men to cycle for 30 minutes at 50% of their  
89 maximal aerobic capacities once land-based and once immersed (34°C water), showing lower hunger  
90 sensations in response to the water-based trial, without any difference in absolute post-exercise EI (Ueda  
91 et al., 2018). Our team recently confirmed this absence of difference between a 30-min moderate  
92 intensity land- *versus* aqua-cycling exercise in healthy lean women, pointing however to a lower relative  
93 EI after the immersed session due to a higher induced energy expenditure (Metz, Isacco, Fearnbach, et  
94 al., 2021). Water temperature also seems to be an important parameter to take into account. Indeed,  
95 White et al. had shown that the energy intake after an exercise performed in cold water was higher than  
96 in a condition with temperate water (White et al., 2005). Importantly, proposing a variety of different  
97 exercise modalities seems of importance to improve the adhesion of patients when it comes to weight  
98 management interventions (O'Malley et al., 2017).

99 While aquatic-based activities seems appropriate for individuals wishing to begin physical activity due  
100 to the non-weight bearing properties of immersion, data are missing regarding their potentially induced  
101 appetitive compensatory responses in youth with obesity. In that context, the aim of this preliminary  
102 exploratory study was to examine the effects of an acute immersed exercise session on subsequent EI,  
103 appetite feelings and food reward, in adolescents with obesity. We hypothesized that aquatic-based  
104 exercise would induce a significant increase in energy expenditure, a decrease in appetite after exercise  
105 and no food compensation at the subsequent meal.

106

## 107 **2. Methods**

108 **2.1 Subjects.** Fifteen adolescents (aged 12-16 years; Tanner stage 3-5, 9 males) with obesity defined by  
109 BMI and according to cut-off point proposed by Cole and al (Cole et al., 2000) (mean BMI z-score  
110  $2.2\pm 0.5$ ; BMI percentile  $98.0\pm 2.2$ ), were recruited from the local Pediatric Obesity Center (CMI,  
111 Romagnat, France) and participated in this exploratory study. To be included, adolescents had to be free  
112 of any medication that could interact with the protocol, be able to engage in physical activities, and had  
113 to take part in less than 2 hours of physical activity per week (according to the International Physical

114 Activity Questionnaire – IPAQ)(Craig et al., 2003). The adolescents were asked to complete the Dutch  
115 Eating Behavior Questionnaire (Brunault et al., 2015), in order to exclude children with high cognitive  
116 restraint, as cognitive restriction has been shown to potentially affect post-exercise EI in youth with  
117 obesity (Miguet et al., 2019). This work was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and  
118 received an ethical agreement from official authorities (CPP Sud Est VI: AU1178). All adolescents and  
119 their legal representative(s) received information sheets and signed consent forms as requested by the  
120 national ethical authorities

121 **2.2 Experimental design.** This study was a randomized crossover trial with participants acting as their  
122 own controls. After a medical examination conducted by a pediatrician to confirm the eligibility of the  
123 adolescents, their body composition was assessed by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and they  
124 performed a maximal aerobic test. The adolescents then randomly completed the two following  
125 experimental sessions at least 7 days apart: i) control (CON); ii) aquatic exercise (AQUA). At 8:00 am  
126 the adolescents consumed a standardized calibrated breakfast (500kcal) respecting the recommendations  
127 for their age. Lunch and dinner meals were served *ad libitum* used a buffet –type meal. EI was assessed  
128 at lunch and dinner, subjective appetite sensations taken at regular intervals throughout the day, and  
129 food reward measured immediately before and after lunch.

130

131 **2.3 Anthropometric and body measurements.** Body mass was measured using a digital scale and height  
132 was obtained with a standard wall-mounted stadiometer. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body  
133 mass (kg) divided by height squared ( $m^2$ ). Body composition (fat mass and fat-free mass) was assessed  
134 by a DXA following standardized procedures (QDR4500A scanner, Hologic, Waltham, MA, USA).  
135 These measurements were obtained during the preliminary visit by a trained technician.

136 **2.4 Aerobic capacity.** After the participants were sitting quietly for 10 min, a measurement of resting  
137 metabolic rate was recorded by indirect calorimetry for 15 minutes. Then, they completed a maximal  
138 incremental cycling test supervised by a specialized medical investigator from the Department of Sport  
139 Medicine, Functional and Respiratory Rehabilitation (Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital)  
140 (Rowland, 1993). The initial power was set at 30 W for the girls and 40 W for the boys for 3 min,

141 following by an increase of 15 W every min. Cardiac electrical activity was monitored (Ultima  
142 Series<sup>TM</sup>; Saint Paul, MN, USA), and the test was coupled with heart rate (HR) and respiratory  
143 exchanges ( $\text{VO}_2$  and  $\text{VCO}_2$ ) were measured throughout the test. Adolescents were encouraged by the  
144 experimenters to perform a maximum effort. Criteria to reaching  $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$  were maximal HR ( $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$ ) >  
145 90% of theoretical  $\text{HR}_{\text{max}}$  ( $210 - 0.65 \times \text{age}$ ), respiratory exchange ratio ( $\text{VCO}_2/\text{VO}_2$ ) above 1.1 or/and  
146 a plateau of  $\text{VO}_2$  (Rowland, 1993).  $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$  was defined as the mean of  $\text{VO}_2$  during the last 30 seconds  
147 before the exercise was stopped.

## 148 **2.5 Experimental conditions**

149 *Control condition (CON)*: between 11:00 to 11:45 am, the participants remained seated on a comfortable  
150 chair (30 minutes) in a quiet room. They were not allowed to talk, read, watch TV or to complete any  
151 intellectual tasks. The energy expenditure of this resting period was then estimated based on the  
152 previously performed laboratory-based measurement of the adolescents resting energy expenditure.

153 *Aquatic session (AQUA)*: between 11:00 to 11:45 am, all the participants were able to touch the bottom  
154 of the swimming pool and didn't need any floating belt. Swimming pool temperature was 28-29°C. The  
155 participants performed an aquatic-exercise session corresponding to: 10min warm-up (stretching and  
156 walking in the swimming pool), 30min aerobic exercise (stationary running, cross-country skiing,  
157 jumping jack, jump, leg curl, knee-jogging narrow, side-step, kicks, squat jump, rocking horse), 5min  
158 cool-down (stretching). The aquatic session was supervised by a qualified swimming instructor. During  
159 the whole session, the adolescents had to wear immersed-specific heart rate monitors (Polar, V800  
160 Kempele, Finland) with a target set around 70% of their peak HR. The exercise-induced energy  
161 expenditure (EE) was estimated afterwards based on the results obtained during the maximal oxygen  
162 uptake evaluation.

163 **2.6 Energy intake.** During the two experimental sessions, adolescents received their breakfast at 8:00  
164 am. Lunch and dinner meals were served *ad libitum* using buffet-type meals at 12:00 and 18:30,  
165 respectively. The content of the buffet was determined using a food preference and habits questionnaire  
166 completed by participants during the inclusion visit. Top rated items and liked items but not usually  
167 consumed were excluded to limit overconsumption and occasional eating. Meals were prepared in the

168 experimental kitchen and eaten in a dedicated dining room. The experimenters weighed the food items  
169 before and after the meal. This methodology was previously validated and used in previous studies  
170 (Thivel et al., 2016). Importantly, the adolescents were not informed about the main purpose of the study  
171 and that their EI was weighed. The ANSES nutritional composition table was used to calculate the EI  
172 and macronutrient ingestion (quantity and proportion) ("Ciquil Table", ANSES 2020). Total relative  
173 energy intake (REI) and REI at lunch were calculated according to the following formula as previously  
174 used in several studies (Masurier et al., 2018; Miguët et al., 2018)  $REI (kcal) = EI (kcal) - EE$  of the  
175 condition (kcal), using the exercise-induced EE for AQUA and based on the adolescents resting  
176 metabolic rate for CON (for the same duration as exercise for each adolescent).

177 **2.7 Subjective appetite sensations.** Appetite sensations were measured with non-graduated visual  
178 analogue scales (VAS) of 150 millimeters (Drapeau et al., 2005). Participants reported their hunger,  
179 fullness, desire to eat (DTE), and prospective food consumption (PFC) before and after each meal during  
180 the day, before and after the exercise bout (AQUA) or corresponding rest period in CON, and 30 min  
181 and 60 min after lunch.

182 **2.8 Food preferences and food reward.** Participants completed the Leeds Food Preference  
183 Questionnaire 30 min before and after lunch. This questionnaire was developed and validated to measure  
184 the different components of food reward, liking and wanting (Finlayson, King, and Blundell 2007).  
185 Subjects were asked to answer questions about images of food divided in four categories: i) savoury and  
186 high-fat food; ii) savoury and low-fat food; iii) sweet and high-fat food and; iv) sweet and low-fat food.  
187 The measurement of explicit liking and wanting was performed using a VAS (100 millimeters) to answer  
188 the following questions: i) "How pleasant would it be to taste this food now?" (explicit liking) and; ii)  
189 "How much do you want to eat this food now?" (explicit wanting). Then, a "forced choice" between  
190 two food images allowed to measure food preferences (food choice). Frequency and speed of image  
191 selection were registered and enabled to measure implicit wanting. We obtained 2 scores, the "fat bias"  
192 and the "sweet bias", for each food reward component. The fat bias score was calculated by subtracting  
193 low-fat scores from high-fat scores, and the sweet bias score was obtained by subtracting savoury scores



194 from sweet scores. If the score is above 0 for the fat bias or the sweet bias, there is a greater preference  
195 for high-fat food and sweet food, respectively (Oustric et al., 2021).

196 **2.9 Statistical analysis.** The sample size estimation was calculated according (i) to differences  
197 reported in the literature (White et al., 2005) and (ii) to effect-size bounds recommended by  
198 Cohen's (Cohen, 1988) : small (ES: 0.2), medium (ES: 0.5) and large (ES: 0.8, “grossly  
199 perceptible and therefore large”). Power calculation based on previous work (White et al., 2005)  
200 suggested that a sample size of 11 participants would allow detection of at least 40% difference  
201 in energy intake between exercise conditions with a standard deviation of 40%, a probability of  
202 0.05, and a beta level of 0.80. Continuous data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).  
203 Area under the curve (AUC) for subjective appetite sensations for lunch (Lunch+60min AUC) and the  
204 day (Total AUC) were calculated using the trapezoidal method. The assumption of normality was  
205 assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The comparisons between conditions (CON and AQUA) were  
206 carried out using random-effects models for cross-over designs considering the following effects: i)  
207 condition, period, sequence, and their interaction as fixed effects and; ii) participant as random-effect to  
208 model between and within subject variability. The normality of residuals estimated from these models  
209 was analyzed as aforementioned. When appropriate, a logarithmic transformation was applied to assess  
210 the normality of dependent variables. Spearman correlations were performed between continuous  
211 variables (EI, delta EI [CON EI – AQUA EI], FM%, FFM kg, body mass and BMI). The statistical  
212 analyses were performed using Stata software version 15 (StataCorp, College Station, US). Statistical  
213 tests were two-sided with the type I error set at 5%.

### 214 **3. Results**

215 Of the 15 initially enrolled adolescents, complete data were obtained for 12 of them. Mean body mass  
216 was  $98.3 \pm 11.6$  kg, BMI was  $35.9 \pm 3.3$  kg.m<sup>2</sup>. Fat-free mass was  $60.3 \pm 16.5$  kg and fat mass was  $36.4$   
217  $\pm 4.6$  %. The adolescents had a mean  $VO_{2\text{ peak}}$  of  $2.2 \pm 0.4$  L.min<sup>-1</sup> and performed the 45-minute aquatic  
218 exercise at  $68 \pm 8\%$  of their maximal hear rate. The resting energy expenditure in CON was  $74 \pm 11$

219 kcal. The absolute energy expended during this exercise session was estimated at  $298 \pm 53$  kcal and the  
220 net energy expenditure (Absolute EE-Resting EE) were  $224 \pm 35$  kcal.

221

### 222 ***3.1 Energy Intake and Relative Energy Intake***

223 Full detailed results regarding EI and REI are presented in Table 1. Food intake at the *ad libitum* lunch  
224 test meal was not different between CON ( $1333 \pm 484$  kcal) and AQUA ( $1409 \pm 593$  kcal;  $p=0.162$ ) (ES:  
225 0.14). Similarly, EI at the *ad libitum* dinner test meal was not different between conditions (CON:  
226  $528 \pm 218$  kcal and AQUA:  $513 \pm 204$  kcal;  $p=0.206$ , ES:0.07). Total daily *ad libitum* EI was however  
227 significantly higher on AQUA ( $1922 \pm 649$  kcal) compared with CON ( $1861 \pm 685$  kcal;  $p=0.044$ )  
228 (ES:0.09). No significant difference was found for both lunch and total daily REI between conditions  
229 ( $p=0.206$  and  $p=0.310$  respectively). There was no significant correlation between the delta EI [CON EI  
230 lunch – AQUA EI lunch] and body mass ( $p= 0.813$ ;  $r^2=-0.089$ ), BMI ( $p= 0.417$ ;  $r^2=0.298$ ), FM% ( $p=$   
231  $0.359$ ;  $r^2=0.333$ ) and FFM (kg) ( $p= 0.547$ ;  $r^2=-0.223$ ). Similarly, there was no significant correlation  
232 between the delta EI [CON total daily EI – AQUA total daily EI] and body mass ( $p= 0.914$ ;  $r^2=0.041$ ),  
233 BMI ( $p= 0.651$ ;  $r^2=0.169$ ), FM% ( $p= 0.904$ ;  $r^2=0.045$ ) and FFM (kg) ( $p= 0.961$ ;  $r^2=-0.018$ ).

234

### 235 ***3.2 Macronutrient intake***

236 Regarding protein intake, both in absolute and in percentage of the total ingested energy, there was no  
237 difference between conditions at lunch and dinner. Only the total daily intake of protein in absolute was  
238 found significantly lower on AQUA ( $108 \pm 44$  g) compared with CON ( $125 \pm 49$  g;  $p=0.020$ ) (ES:0.37).  
239 While lunch and total daily absolute and relative fat intakes did not differ between conditions, it was  
240 lower at dinner on the AQUA day in both absolute (CON:  $9 \pm 6$  g, AQUA:  $5 \pm 2$  g;  $p=0.018$ , ES:0.15) and  
241 relative (CON:  $14.3 \pm 6.5$  %, AQUA:  $9.8 \pm 1.6$  %;  $p=0.007$ ; ES:0.42). The absolute intakes of CHO at  
242 lunch and total daily were found significantly lower on CON compared to AQUA ( $p=0.0001$ ; ES:0.23  
243 and  $p=0.001$ ; ES:0.33 respectively). The relative intake of CHO at dinner was significantly lower on  
244 CON compared with AQUA ( $p=0.0001$ ; ES:1.02) with a tendency for the total daily relative intake  
245 ( $p=0.053$ ; ES:0.70). Full results are detailed in Table 2.

246

### 247 **3.3 Subjective appetite feelings and food reward**

248 As detailed in Table 3, except fasting hunger and PFC that were found significantly higher on AQUA  
249 (129±28 mm and 126±26 mm, respectively) compared with CON (99±35 mm and 78±56 mm,  
250 respectively) (p=0.003, ES:0.90 and p=0.015; ES:1.09) respectively), no significant differences were  
251 observed between conditions.

252 Regarding food reward, none of its sub-components was found significantly different between  
253 conditions as displayed in Table 4.

254

### 255 **4. Discussion**

256 To our knowledge, the present work investigated for the first time the effects of an acute aquatic-based  
257 exercise session on EI, appetite feelings and food reward in adolescents with obesity. According to our  
258 results, *ad libitum* REI at both lunch and dinner (and total daily REI) were not impacted by the 45-  
259 minute aquatic exercise session. However, daily EI during the aquatic session was significantly higher  
260 than during the control session. When considering the EI at the meal that directly followed the aquatic  
261 exercise, our results discord with previously published ones (Nemet et al., 2010; Thackray et al., 2020).  
262 Indeed, Nemet et al. (2010) showed an increase in subsequent food intake after a 45-minute swimming  
263 session in 6-10 year-old overweight youth (Nemet et al., 2010).

264

265 More recently, Thackray et al. also showed contradictive results, highlighting a greater food intake after  
266 an hour of swimming in healthy adults (Thackray et al., 2020). However, our results align with the  
267 absence of an immediate increased intake observed by King and colleagues in healthy lean adults despite  
268 higher subsequent appetite feelings (after 60 minutes of swimming) (King et al., 2011). While these last  
269 studies used classical swimming exercise, the session performed in the present work relied on water  
270 fitness exercises, which might have different appetitive implications as observed when using land-based  
271 exercises whose modalities (e.i. cycling vs. running) have been shown to induce different subsequent  
272 appetitive responses (for review see Schubert et al., 2013). Therefore, our results seem close to those we  
273 have previously reported, where we did not detect any subsequent EI differences between rest and land-  
274 based fitness and aqua-cycling sessions (Metz, Isacco, Fearnbach, et al., 2021).

275

276 Interestingly, while land-based moderate-to-high intensity exercise performed an hour before a meal has  
277 been shown to reduce subsequent food intake in adolescents with obesity, our results seem to indicate  
278 that this effect might not exist while exercising immersed. Indeed, although the 45-minute aquatic  
279 session was performed at moderate-to-high intensity (mean heart rate  $68 \pm 8\%$  of maximal heart rate),  
280 the adolescents' EI was not modified. These results might suggest the potential role played by the  
281 mechanical load of body mass on post-exercise appetitive responses when exercising on land (especially  
282 during weight-bearing activities such as running and resistance training), which is reduced when  
283 immersed due to water density and Archimedes' Law stating that liquid exerts a buoyant force that allow  
284 an immersed body to float. This indeed recalls the results from Miguët et al. (2018) who showed a  
285 negative association between BMI, body mass, fat-free mass and fat mass and post land-based exercise  
286 EI in adolescents with obesity, already suggesting the potential importance of the mechanical load on  
287 appetitive responses to exercise in this population. This is reinforced here by the absence of correlation  
288 between these anthropometric and body composition variables and the adolescents' post-exercise intake.  
289 The relationship between mechanical load, body mass and food intake has been explored in preclinical  
290 studies (Bake et al., 2021; Jansson et al., 2018) and seems to suggest that artificially increasing loading  
291 results in reducing food intake, and conversely. Results from non-weight bearing activity such as  
292 swimming (Nemet et al., 2010; Thackray et al., 2020), which increase subsequent energy intake, as well  
293 as the increase in total energy intake observed here in response to a water aerobics session, are consistent  
294 with the concept of an inverse relationship between mechanical load and post-exercise food intake. This  
295 relationship between mechanical load and food intake remains poorly understood in humans and the  
296 aquatic environment could allow a more accurate assessment of the effect of a decrease in mechanical  
297 load. However, beyond the decrease in apparent weight resulting from buoyancy, other properties of  
298 water could impact these appetitive responses.

299 Importantly, other characteristics that are specific to immersed exercise, such as temperature, might  
300 have influenced our results. Indeed, while in adults cold temperatures (below  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) have been shown  
301 to increase subsequent food intake (Crabtree & Blannin, 2015; Shorten et al., 2009; White et al., 2005)  
302 we have recently shown that there was no difference in EI between cold ( $18\text{-}20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and tempered ( $28^{\circ}\text{C}$ )

303 water during a cycling session (Metz, Isacco, Beaulieu, et al., 2021). Since our aquatic session was  
304 performed in a therapeutic pool, the temperature was set between 28 and 31°C, which might then  
305 contribute to explain our results.

306

307 As previously showed after both land-based exercise in adolescents with obesity (Nemet et al., 2010)  
308 and immersed exercise in healthy adults (Thackray et al., 2020), the REI at lunch appeared to be lower  
309 in AQUA compared to CON by ~100 kcal, showing the beneficial effect of the exercise-induced energy  
310 expenditure on overall energy balance. However, while total daily EI was slightly higher in AQUA  
311 compared with CON, this beneficial effect on REI disappeared throughout the overall day despite a 150-  
312 kcal difference between the two conditions. This absence of significant reduction of the mean total daily  
313 REI on the exercise day can be explained by the modest sample size and the large heterogeneity usually  
314 observed in such studies, as illustrated by a variation of EI between the two conditions at lunch and total  
315 ranging from -622 kcal to +469 kcal and from -555kcal to +363 kcal, respectively. It is however  
316 important to highlight that the lunch, dinner and total EI of the adolescents on CON are highly correlated  
317 with their intake on AQUA, suggesting that the aquatic session had a quite homogenous and coherent  
318 effect (not adding an inter-individual variability to the between-conditions energy intake modifications).  
319 Although the results related to macronutrient intake remain difficult to interpret due to our modest  
320 sample size and to the relatively small (despite significant) observed changes, it can be noticed that the  
321 higher total EI on AQUA seems to be attributable to a clear significant increase in carbohydrate. Further  
322 studies are still needed to better understand the effect of acute exercise on subsequent macronutrient  
323 intake responses, the available literature showing a high heterogeneity of results so far.

324

325 Regarding appetite feelings, hunger, fullness, prospective food consumption or desire to eat were not  
326 different between the resting and the aquatic-exercise session. These results are in line with the actual  
327 literature examining the effect of acute exercise on appetite sensations in adolescents with obesity (Fillon  
328 et al., 2020; Miguet et al., 2018; Pélissier et al., 2022) as well as with the limited data regarding immersed  
329 exercise in adults (Metz, Isacco, Miguet, et al., 2021; Thackray et al., 2020). Indeed, studies using aqua  
330 cycling (Metz, Isacco, Beaulieu, et al., 2021; Metz, Isacco, Fearnbach, et al., 2021; Metz, Isacco, Miguet,

331 et al., 2021) or classical swimming (Thackray et al., 2020) also did not observe any impact on appetite  
332 feelings in healthy adults. Similarly, in line with Thackray et al. (2020) who report the only food reward-  
333 related results in response to a swimming session, none of the food reward dimensions were modified  
334 in response to the aquatic-session. Since studies remain few and contradictory regarding the effect of  
335 acute exercise on food reward in adolescents with obesity, further research is needed.

336

337 The results of this exploratory work have to be interpreted in light of some limitations. Although the  
338 relatively modest sample size composes the main limitation, it remains in the range of previously  
339 published studies assessing the effect of exercise on appetite control in youth with obesity (Masurier et  
340 al., 2018; Miguet et al., 2018; Thivel et al., 2014). Secondly, although the use of specific water-based  
341 heart rate monitors is a strength of this work, the evaluation of energy expenditure rests on an indirect  
342 estimation, which might limit our results. In line with previous study (Metz, Isacco, Fearnbach, et al.,  
343 2021), we decided no immersion as a control condition because being rested immersed in water lacks  
344 of coherent meaning in the practical application. The lack of evaluation of the adolescents' perceived  
345 exertion would have been of particular interest since some authors have shown an impact of this rate of  
346 perceived exertion on the appetitive response after aquatic exercise in youth (Fearnbach et al., 2017). The potential role  
347 of perceived exertion on the appetitive response after aquatic exercise in patients with obesity should be  
348 considered in future studies although a recent review suggests that there is no difference between land  
349 and aquatic environments for this parameter for healthy people (Andrade et al., 2022). The short-term  
350 evaluation of the adolescents' appetitive responses could also be considered as a limitation since some  
351 evidence points towards potential longer effects, possibly up to 72 hours after the exercise (Rocha et al.,  
352 2015). Moreover, lunch and dinner were served *ad libitum* using buffet-type meals which facilitate  
353 excessive energy intake. In the present study, we observed that participants ingested considerably more  
354 food at lunch and had self-regulation at dinner. Very few studies are available in the literature to discuss  
355 this question and further investigations are needed. Finally, the present exploration relies on an acute  
356 bout of immersed exercise and longer studies should be conducted to examine the potential appetitive  
357 adaptations to a repeated exposure.

358

359 In conclusion, the present exploratory study suggests that an acute aquatic-exercise session does not  
360 induce compensatory appetitive responses in adolescents with obesity, which might be due to some  
361 specific adaptations related to immersion. These results suggest that water aerobic exercise has a place  
362 as part of weight loss physical activity interventions whenever possible. Indeed, beyond the health  
363 benefits of physical activity, it seems essential to vary the modalities proposed to encourage the  
364 adherence of the patients with obesity in a regular practice. This might of particular importance when it  
365 comes to kids suffering from musculoskeletal pain and difficulties, in order to maintain them in a regular  
366 physical activity program targeting energy balance. Although this aligns with the actual literature  
367 regarding the effect of immersed exercise on subsequent appetitive responses in adults, these results  
368 remain preliminary. Larger as well as chronic explorations should be conducted in adolescents with  
369 obesity to better understand the potential beneficial effects of aquatic-exercise for the treatment of  
370 pediatric obesity.

371

### 372 **Acknowledgements**

373 We are grateful to all of the adolescents that participated in the study, and we thank the Center Médical  
374 Infantile de Romagnat for their generous support.

375

### 376 **Author contributions**

377 MM, ML, TD, MD, BY: Conceptualization; CC, MM, ML, DM, BY: Data curation, Investigation; PB,  
378 DM, FG, BK, MM, ML, TD: Formal analysis; MM, MP, ML, TD,: Methodology, Project  
379 administration; ML, TD, BK, FG: Writing; ML,TD, MM, BK: Review, Editing.

380

### 381 **Conflict of interest**

382 We have no conflict of interest disclose.

### 383 **Funding sources**

384 This work has been supported by the Challenge 3 Mobility of the CAP2025 I-Site project of UCA as  
385 well as by the Health in Motion International Research Chaire of the UCA foundation and the French  
386 University Institute IUF.

387

## 388 5. References

389

- 390 Andrade, L. S., Botton, C. E., David, G. B., Pinto, S. S., Häfele, M. S., & Alberton, C. L. (2022).  
391 Cardiorespiratory Parameters Comparison Between Incremental Protocols Performed in  
392 Aquatic and Land Environments by Healthy Individuals: A Systematic Review and Meta-  
393 Analysis. *Sports Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-022-01687-y>
- 394 Bake, T., Peris-Sampedro, F., Wączek, Z., Ohlsson, C., Pálsdóttir, V., Jansson, J. O., & Dickson, S. L.  
395 (2021). The gravitostat protects diet-induced obese rats against fat accumulation and weight  
396 gain. *J Neuroendocrinol*, 33(8), e12997. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12997>
- 397 Brunault, P., Rabemampianina, I., Apfeldorfer, G., Ballon, N., Couet, C., Réveillère, C., . . . El-Hage, W.  
398 (2015). The Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire: Further psychometric validation and  
399 clinical implications of the French version in normal weight and obese persons. *Presse Med*,  
400 44(12 Pt 1), e363-372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lpm.2015.03.028>
- 401 Cole, T. J., Bellizzi, M. C., Flegal, K. M., & Dietz, W. H. (2000). Establishing a standard definition for  
402 child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ*, 320(7244), 1240-1243.  
403 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7244.1240>
- 404 Crabtree, D. R., & Blannin, A. K. (2015). Effects of exercise in the cold on Ghrelin, PYY, and food intake  
405 in overweight adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 47(1), 49-57.  
406 <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000391>
- 407 Craig, C. L., Marshall, A. L., Sjöström, M., Bauman, A. E., Booth, M. L., Ainsworth, B. E., . . . Oja, P.  
408 (2003). International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med  
409 Sci Sports Exerc*, 35(8), 1381-1395. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB>
- 410 Drapeau, V., Blundell, J., Therrien, F., Lawton, C., Richard, D., & Tremblay, A. (2005). Appetite  
411 sensations as a marker of overall intake. *Br J Nutr*, 93(2), 273-280.  
412 <https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn20041312>
- 413 Fearnbach, S. N., Masterson, T. D., Schlechter, H. A., Loken, E., Downs, D. S., Thivel, D., & Keller, K. L.  
414 (2017). Perceived Exertion during Exercise Is Associated with Children's Energy Intake. *Med  
415 Sci Sports Exerc*, 49(4), 785-792. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001165>
- 416 Fillon, A., Beaulieu, K., Miguet, M., Bailly, M., Finlayson, G., Julian, V., . . . Thivel, D. (2020). Delayed  
417 meal timing after exercise is associated with reduced appetite and energy intake in  
418 adolescents with obesity. *Pediatr Obes*, 15(9), e12651. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12651>
- 419 Imbeault, P., Saint-Pierre, S., Alméras, N., & Tremblay, A. (1997). Acute effects of exercise on energy  
420 intake and feeding behaviour. *Br J Nutr*, 77(4), 511-521.  
421 <https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn19970053>
- 422 Irandoust, K., Taheri, M., H'mida, C., Neto, G. R., Trabelsi, K., Ammar, A., . . . Knechtle, B. (2021).  
423 Exergaming and Aquatic Exercises Affect Lung Function and Weight Loss in Obese Children.  
424 *Int J Sports Med*, 42(6), 566-572. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1289-9307>
- 425 Jansson, J. O., Palsdottir, V., Hägg, D. A., Schéle, E., Dickson, S. L., Anesten, F., . . . Ohlsson, C. (2018).  
426 Body weight homeostat that regulates fat mass independently of leptin in rats and mice. *Proc  
427 Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 115(2), 427-432. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715687114>
- 428 King, J. A., Wasse, L. K., & Stensel, D. J. (2011). The acute effects of swimming on appetite, food  
429 intake, and plasma acylated ghrelin. *J Obes*, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/351628>



430 Lopera, C. A., da Silva, D. F., Bianchini, J. A., Locateli, J. C., Moreira, A. C., Dada, R. P., . . . Nardo, N.  
431 (2016). Effect of water- versus land-based exercise training as a component of a  
432 multidisciplinary intervention program for overweight and obese adolescents. *Physiol Behav*,  
433 *165*, 365-373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.08.019>

434 Masurier, J., Mathieu, M. E., Fearnbach, S. N., Cardenoux, C., Julian, V., Lambert, C., . . . Thivel, D.  
435 (2018). Effect of Exercise Duration on Subsequent Appetite and Energy Intake in Obese  
436 Adolescent Girls. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, *28*(6), 593-601.  
437 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2017-0352>

438 Metz, L., Isacco, L., Beaulieu, K., Fearnbach, S. N., Pereira, B., Thivel, D., & Duclos, M. (2021). Cold-  
439 Water Effects on Energy Balance in Healthy Women During Aqua-Cycling. *Int J Sport Nutr*  
440 *Exerc Metab*, *31*(3), 236-243. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2020-0177>

441 Metz, L., Isacco, L., Fearnbach, N., Pereira, B., Thivel, D., & Duclos, M. (2021). Energy Intake and  
442 Appetite Sensations Responses to Aquatic Cycling in Healthy Women: The WatHealth Study.  
443 *Nutrients*, *13*(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13041051>

444 Metz, L., Isacco, L., Miguët, M., Genin, P., Thivel, D., & Duclos, M. (2021). Comparing the Effects of  
445 Immersed Versus Land-Based High-Intensity Interval Cycling on Energy Intake, Appetite  
446 Sensations and Perceived Exertion Among Healthy Men. *Percept Mot Skills*, *128*(4), 1569-  
447 1585. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00315125211007345>

448 Miguët, M., Fillon, A., Khammassi, M., Masurier, J., Julian, V., Pereira, B., . . . Thivel, D. (2018).  
449 Appetite, energy intake and food reward responses to an acute High Intensity Interval  
450 Exercise in adolescents with obesity. *Physiol Behav*, *195*, 90-97.  
451 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.07.018>

452 Miguët, M., Masurier, J., Chaput, J. P., Pereira, B., Lambert, C., Dâmaso, A. R., . . . Thivel, D. (2019).  
453 Cognitive restriction accentuates the increased energy intake response to a 10-month  
454 multidisciplinary weight loss program in adolescents with obesity. *Appetite*, *134*, 125-134.  
455 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.12.015>

456 Nemet, D., Arieli, R., Meckel, Y., & Eliakim, A. (2010). Immediate post-exercise energy intake and  
457 macronutrient preferences in normal weight and overweight pre-pubertal children. *Int J*  
458 *Pediatr Obes*, *5*(3), 221-229. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17477160903311538>

459 O'Malley, G., Ring-Dimitriou, S., Nowicka, P., Vania, A., Frelut, M. L., Farpour-Lambert, N., . . . Thivel,  
460 D. (2017). Physical Activity and Physical Fitness in Pediatric Obesity: What are the First Steps  
461 for Clinicians? Expert Conclusion from the 2016 ECOG Workshop. *Int J Exerc Sci*, *10*(4), 487-  
462 496.

463 Oustric, P., Beaulieu, K., Casanova, N., O'Connor, D., Gibbons, C., Hopkins, M., . . . Finlayson, G.  
464 (2021). Food Liking but Not Wanting Decreases after Controlled Intermittent or Continuous  
465 Energy Restriction to  $\geq 5\%$  Weight Loss in Women with Overweight/Obesity. *Nutrients*, *13*(1).  
466 <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13010182>

467 Pélissier, L., Julian, V., Beaulieu, K., Siroux, J., Boscaro, A., Fillon, A., . . . Thivel, D. (2022). Effect of  
468 acute dietary- versus combined dietary and exercise-induced energy deficits on subsequent  
469 energy intake, appetite and food reward in adolescents with obesity. *Physiol Behav*, *244*,  
470 113650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2021.113650>

471 Rocha, J., Paxman, J., Dalton, C., Winter, E., & Broom, D. (2015). Effects of an acute bout of aerobic  
472 exercise on immediate and subsequent three-day food intake and energy expenditure in  
473 active and inactive pre-menopausal women taking oral contraceptives. *Appetite*, *89*, 183-  
474 191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.02.005>

475 Rowland, T. W. (1993). Does peak VO<sub>2</sub> reflect VO<sub>2</sub>max in children?: evidence from supramaximal  
476 testing. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *25*(6), 689-693.

477 Schubert, M. M., Desbrow, B., Sabapathy, S., & Leveritt, M. (2013). Acute exercise and subsequent  
478 energy intake. A meta-analysis. *Appetite*, *63*, 92-104.  
479 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.12.010>

- 480 Shorten, A. L., Wallman, K. E., & Guelfi, K. J. (2009). Acute effect of environmental temperature  
481 during exercise on subsequent energy intake in active men. *Am J Clin Nutr*, *90*(5), 1215-1221.  
482 <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2009.28162>
- 483 Thackray, A. E., Willis, S. A., Sherry, A. P., Clayton, D. J., Broom, D. R., Demashkieh, M., . . . King, J. A.  
484 (2020). An acute bout of swimming increases post-exercise energy intake in young healthy  
485 men and women. *Appetite*, *154*, 104785. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2020.104785>
- 486 Thivel, D., Genin, P. M., Mathieu, M. E., Pereira, B., & Metz, L. (2016). Reproducibility of an in-  
487 laboratory test meal to assess ad libitum energy intake in adolescents with obesity. *Appetite*,  
488 *105*, 129-133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.05.028>
- 489 Thivel, D., Metz, L., Julian, V., Isacco, L., Verney, J., Ennequin, G., . . . King, J. A. (2021). Diet- but not  
490 exercise-induced iso-energetic deficit induces compensatory appetitive responses. *Eur J Clin*  
491 *Nutr*, *75*(10), 1425-1432. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-020-00853-7>
- 492 Thivel, D., Metz, L., Julien, A., Morio, B., & Duché, P. (2014). Obese but not lean adolescents  
493 spontaneously decrease energy intake after intensive exercise. *Physiol Behav*, *123*, 41-46.  
494 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.09.018>
- 495 Ueda, S. Y., Nakahara, H., Kawai, E., Usui, T., Tsuji, S., & Miyamoto, T. (2018). Effects of walking in  
496 water on gut hormone concentrations and appetite: comparison with walking on land.  
497 *Endocr Connect*, *7*(1), 97-106. <https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0323>
- 498 White, L. J., Dressendorfer, R. H., Holland, E., McCoy, S. C., & Ferguson, M. A. (2005). Increased  
499 caloric intake soon after exercise in cold water. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, *15*(1), 38-47.  
500 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.15.1.38>
- 501 Yaghoubi, M., Fink, P. W., Page, W. H., Heydari, A., & Shultz, S. P. (2019). Kinematic Comparison of  
502 Aquatic- and Land-Based Stationary Exercises in Overweight and Normal Weight Children.  
503 *Pediatr Exerc Sci*, *31*(3), 314-321. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2018-0188>

504

505

506

507 Table 1. Energy intake and relative energy intake, in response to aquatic exercise session (AQUA) or  
508 rest (CON) in adolescents with obesity.

|                         | <b>CON</b>         | <b>AQUA</b>        | <i>p</i> value | <b>Cohen's d</b>   |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
|                         | <b>Mean (± SD)</b> | <b>Mean (± SD)</b> |                | <b>Effect size</b> |
| <b>Lunch EI (kcal)</b>  | 1333 (± 484)       | 1409 (± 593)       | 0.162          | 0,14               |
| <b>Lunch REI (kcal)</b> | 1249 (± 524)       | 1147 (± 679)       | 0.061          | 0,17               |
| <b>Dinner EI (kcal)</b> | 528 (± 218)        | 513 (± 204)        | 0.206          | 0,07               |
| <b>Total EI (kcal)</b>  | 1861 (± 685)       | 1922 (± 649)       | <b>0.044</b>   | 0,09               |
| <b>Total REI (kcal)</b> | 1763 (± 732)       | 1617(± 744)        | 0.310          | 0,20               |

509 CON: control session; AQUA: Aquatic exercise session; EI: energy intake; REI: relative energy intake (EI-EE); SD: Standard Deviation.

510

511

512

513 Table 2. Absolute (grams) and relative (percentages) macronutrient consumption at each meal during  
 514 aquatic exercise session (AQUA) or rest (CON)

|                       | CON                  |                  | AQUA                 |                  | p value      |              | Cohen's d<br>Effect size |      |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|------|
|                       | Grams<br>Mean (± SD) | %<br>Mean (± SD) | Grams<br>Mean (± SD) | %<br>Mean (± SD) | Grams        | %            | Grams                    | %    |
| <b>Lunch protein</b>  | 75 (± 24)            | 22.8 (±2.4)      | 76 (± 36)            | 21.9 (± 3.6)     | 0.697        | 0.111        | 0.03                     | 0.29 |
| <b>Dinner protein</b> | 50 (± 32)            | 40.0 (± 29.0)    | 31 (± 17)            | 23.4 (± 6)       | 0.782        | 0.948        | 0.74                     | 0.79 |
| <b>Total protein</b>  | 125 (± 49)           | 27.4 (± 7.4)     | 108 (± 44)           | 22.6 (± 3.0)     | <b>0.020</b> | 0.994        | 0.37                     | 0.85 |
| <b>Lunch fat</b>      | 50 (± 18)            | 33.9 (± 5.0)     | 50 (± 21)            | 33.1 (± 6.8)     | 0.678        | 0.719        | 0                        | 0.13 |
| <b>Dinner fat</b>     | 9 (± 6)              | 14.3 (± 6.5)     | 5 (± 2)              | 9.8 (± 1.6)      | <b>0.018</b> | <b>0.007</b> | 0.89                     | 0.95 |
| <b>Total fat</b>      | 59 (± 20)            | 28.6 (± 2.7)     | 56 (± 21)            | 26.7 (± 5.7)     | 0.821        | 0.387        | 0.15                     | 0.42 |
| <b>Lunch CHO</b>      | 142 (± 62)           | 42.3 (± 6.0)     | 158 (± 76)           | 43.9 (± 9.8)     | <b>0.000</b> | 0.464        | 0.23                     | 0.19 |
| <b>Dinner CHO</b>     | 73 (± 22)            | 57.7 (± 10.9)    | 85 (± 30)            | 67.8 (± 8.7)     | 0.159        | <b>0.000</b> | 0.46                     | 1.02 |
| <b>Total CHO</b>      | 215 (± 82)           | 46.2 (± 3.9)     | 243 (± 87)           | 50.3 (± 7.2)     | <b>0.001</b> | <b>0.053</b> | 0.33                     | 0.70 |

515 CON: control session; AQUA: Aquatic exercise session; CHO: Carbohydrate; SD: Standard Deviation.

516

517

518 Table 3: Appetite sensations during rest condition (CON) and exercise condition (AQUA)

|                                     | <b>CON</b><br><i>Mean (± SD)</i> | <b>AQUA</b><br><i>Mean (± SD)</i> | <i>p VALUES</i> | <i>Cohen's d</i><br><i>Effect size</i> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Hunger</b>                       |                                  |                                   |                 |  |
| Fasting                             | 99(±35)                          | 129(±28)                          | <b>0.003</b>    | 0.94                                   |
| Pre-exercise/rest                   | 24(±30)                          | 34(±35)                           | 0.178           | 0.30                                   |
| Post-exercise/rest                  | 110(±48)                         | 119(±35)                          | 0.360           | 0.21                                   |
| Pre-lunch                           | 143(±12)                         | 147(±7)                           | 0.086           | 0.40                                   |
| AUC 60min post lunch                | 55(±15)                          | 251(±597)                         | 0.312           | 0.46                                   |
| Pre-dinner                          | 125(±32)                         | 113(±48)                          | 0.465           | 0.29                                   |
| Daily AUC                           | 29173(±5710)                     | 31561(±12473)                     | 0.631           | 0.24                                   |
| <b>Fullness</b>                     |                                  |                                   |                 |  |
| Fasting                             | 13(±21)                          | 9(±1)                             | 0.063           | 0.26                                   |
| Pre-exercise/rest                   | 72(±60)                          | 76(±51)                           | 0.835           | 0.07                                   |
| Post-exercise/rest                  | 18(±37)                          | 11(±21)                           | 0.506           | 0.23                                   |
| Pre-lunch                           | 1(±0)                            | 2(±4)                             | 0.317           | 0.35                                   |
| AUC 60min post lunch                | 8420(±869)                       | 8405(±963)                        | 0.939           | 0.01                                   |
| Pre-dinner                          | 19(±37)                          | 12(±22)                           | 0.385           | 0.22                                   |
| Daily AUC                           | 51387(±16581)                    | 52137(±11492)                     | 0.823           | 0.05                                   |
| <b>Desire To Eat</b>                |                                  |                                   |                 |  |
| Fasting                             | 104(±39)                         | 129(±25)                          | 0.105           | 0.76                                   |
| Pre-exercise/rest                   | 56(±51)                          | 36(±45)                           | 0.345           | 0.41                                   |
| Post-exercise/rest                  | 112(±41)                         | 128(±27)                          | 0.087           | 0.46                                   |
| Pre-lunch                           | 140(±21)                         | 144(±9)                           | 0.349           | 0.24                                   |
| AUC 60min post lunch                | 53(±13)                          | 58(±15)                           | 0.303           | 0.35                                   |
| Pre-dinner                          | 128(±32)                         | 117(±38)                          | 0.452           | 0.31                                   |
| Daily AUC                           | 31586(±6478)                     | 32448(±12989)                     | 0.911           | 0.08                                   |
| <b>Prospective Food Consumption</b> |                                  |                                   |                 |  |
| Fasting                             | 78(±56)                          | 126(±26)                          | <b>0.015</b>    | 1.09                                   |
| Pre-exercise/rest                   | 43(±42)                          | 45(±48)                           | 0.873           | 0.04                                   |
| Post-exercise/rest                  | 105(±40)                         | 118(±32)                          | 0.481           | 0.35                                   |
| Pre-lunch                           | 135(±37)                         | 139(±12)                          | 0.735           | 0.14                                   |
| AUC 60min post lunch                | 116(±145)                        | 285(±697)                         | 0.517           | 0.33                                   |
| Pre-dinner                          | 122(±32)                         | 118(±31)                          | 0.624           | 0.12                                   |
| Daily AUC                           | 30014(±7337)                     | 32475(±11144)                     | 0.663           | 0.26                                   |

519 CON: control session; AQUA: Aquatic exercise session; SD: Standard Deviation; data are expressed in mm and mm/min for AUC; AUC:  
520 Area Under the Curve

521

522 Table 4: Relative preference, implicit wanting, explicit wanting and explicit liking for high vs low fat foods and sweet vs savory foods, between rest (CON) and  
 523 exercise condition (AQUA)  
 524

|                     |                   | CON         |               |              | AQUA         |              |              | p PRE | Cohen's d Effect size PRE | p POST | Cohen's d Effect size POST | p DELTA | Cohen's d Effect size DELTA |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------------------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
|                     |                   | PRE         | POST          | DELTA        | PRE          | POST         | DELTA        |       |                           |        |                            |         |                             |
| Relative preference | <b>Fat bias</b>   | 6.6(±9.1)   | 4.6(±9.1)     | -1.0(±6.2)   | 6.1 (± 9.9 ) | 3.6(±8.9)    | -1.2(±6.8)   | 0.189 | 0.05                      | 0.511  | 0.11                       | 0.611   | 0.03                        |
|                     | <b>Taste bias</b> | 2.5(±11.2)  | 6.9(±9.4)     | 5.2(±6.5)    | -0.8(± 11.1) | 6.6(±8.3)    | 7.7(±9.1)    | 0.795 | 0.29                      | 0.651  | 0.03                       | 0.252   | 0.31                        |
| Implicit wanting    | <b>Fat bias</b>   | 16.7(±31.2) | -13.1(±108.3) | -31.9(±90.9) | 15.3(±26.1)  | 3.1(±24.5)   | -6.8(±23.5)  | 0.574 | 0.04                      | 0.439  | 0.20                       | 0.313   | 0.37                        |
|                     | <b>Taste bias</b> | 0.6(±36.0)  | 13.8(±41.9)   | 16.3(±37.4)  | 6.4(±45.4)   | 55.1(±87.4 ) | 47.5(±113.0) | 0.300 | 0.14                      | 0.085  | 0.60                       | 0.380   | 0.37                        |
| Explicit wanting    | <b>Fat bias</b>   | 2.8(±19.7)  | 1.4(±8.4)     | -1.2(±20.4)  | 7.8(±11.3)   | 4.6(±10.7)   | -3.0(±12.5)  | 0.326 | 0.31                      | 0.105  | 0.33                       | 0.936   | 0.10                        |
|                     | <b>Taste bias</b> | 4.4(±20.5)  | 4.7(±13.5)    | 0.9(±16.8)   | 4.8(±12.2)   | 5.7(±14.9)   | 1.1(±7.1)    | 0.310 | 0.02                      | 0.422  | 0.07                       | 0.799   | 0.01                        |
| Explicit liking     | <b>Fat bias</b>   | 6.0 (±15.3) | 0.9(±9.6)     | -5.1(±18.3)  | 9.4(±16.7)   | 5.3(±10.3 )  | -3.7(±17.3)  | 0.189 | 0.21                      | 0.177  | 0.44                       | 0.849   | 0.07                        |
|                     | <b>Taste bias</b> | 1.4(±16.4)  | 3.3(±14.6)    | 2.3(±12.3)   | 6.1(±17.7)   | 7.2(±17.2 )  | 1.2(±16.0)   | 0.068 | 0.27                      | 0.462  | 0.24                       | 0.448   | 0.07                        |

525

526 CON: control session; AQUA: Aquatic exercise session; PRE: pre-lunch; POST: Post-lunch; SD: Standard Deviation

527

528