

This is a repository copy of The Importance of Language in Clinical Communication and Potential Impact on Empathy.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/197551/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Trott, E orcid.org/0000-0002-6187-1462 and Watson, A (2022) The Importance of Language in Clinical Communication and Potential Impact on Empathy. Medical Science Educator, 32. pp. 1567-1568. ISSN 2156-8650

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-022-01664-0

© 2022, The Author(s) . This is an author produced version of an article published in Medical Science Educator. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



The importance of language in clinical communication and potential impact

on empathy

Authors: Emma Trott¹ Alastair Watson^{2,3,4,5}

¹ School of English, University of Leeds, UK

²Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, UK

³NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton, UK

⁴University of Birmingham Medical School, Birmingham, UK

⁵University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

#Corresponding author: a.s.watson@soton.ac.uk

Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, UK

Funding: There is no funding to report for this work.

Key words: Communication skills; language; medical education; empathy

Abstract

Clinical communication is fundamental in allowing clinicians and students to empathise with patients. Understanding barriers to empathising with patients is key to delivering patient-centred care. The importance of language choice needs to be considered in relation to patient experience and effective clinician-patient communication.

Dear Editor,

We read the article by Chhabra et al. with great interest. This article used a qualitative approach to identify perceived barriers to medical students developing empathy during medical school ¹. We found noteworthy the authors' findings that empathy improved throughout medical training, which, as they noted, contrasts with previous reports of medical students' empathy diminishing throughout their studies ². Such research attributes medical education-induced loss of empathy to the development of self-protection mechanisms to protect against negative effects of difficult clinical experiences ². Chhabra et al. raised interesting themes including those in relation to workplace and institutional culture and individual student characteristics, which are perceived to impact a student's ability to empathise. These merit further interrogation and we second the authors' call for research to identify and address barriers to empathy. This could facilitate the development of new and innovative targeted educational approaches to support students in empathising with patients ¹.

Although the medical students in Chhabra et al.'s study considered challenges non-native English speaking students may find in expressing empathy towards patients¹, the impact of language and communication more broadly was not discussed. Effective doctor-patient communication is fundamental to high quality patient-centred care, facilitating shared decision making, developing positive healthcare provider (HCP)-patient relationships and foregrounding understanding of patients' expectations, perceptions and needs. Such good communication is key in empathising with patients. Patients reporting good communication more frequently feel satisfied with care, trust their HCP and adhere to management plans, and improved outcomes have been reported ^{3,4}. Despite these benefits, communication failures are commonplace and are likely to be underestimated. A survey of 807 patients and 700 surgeons found that 75% of the surgeons believed they had communicated with the patient satisfactorily, while only 21% of the patients perceived this to be the case ⁵. In addition to worse patient outcomes, poor HCP-patient communication is associated with lower clinician job satisfaction and higher risk of stress and burnout ^{6,7}. Developing the skills to build rapport, communicate and empathise with patients is thus essential in clinical training.

One area often neglected in communication skills training is consideration of language choice. Although the necessity of mirroring a patient's health literacy is frequently taught, and research has explored the effects of battle metaphors in medicine, the impact of negative phrasing is rarely discussed. Doctors commonly teach medical students to ask: "Do you suffer from any medical conditions?". Negatively framed terms such as "heart failure", "limb salvage", "medication failed to work", "poorly controlled", "patient is complaining of ..." and "patient denies" are also used throughout healthcare. The impact of this type of language on HCPs' mindsets and patients' engagement with care, perceptions of disease and coping with illness is not known, but it may stifle empathy and perpetuate stigma. Research to understand this is essential and could significantly benefit medical communication skills training and, ultimately, empathy and patient-centred care in the future.

Statements

Author contributions

Emma Trott and Alastair Watson both substantially contributed to the conception of this work, drafted and revised the manuscript, approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Ethical Approval

No ethical approval was required for this work.

Competing Interests

Emma Trott and Alastair Watson both confirm that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Chhabra N, Chhabra S, Archer E. Medical Students' Perspectives on the Factors Affecting Empathy Development During Their Undergraduate Training. *Medical Science Educator*. 2022;32(1):79-89.
- 2. Igde FA, Sahin MK. Changes in Empathy during Medical Education: An Example from Turkey. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2017;33(5):1177-1181.
- 3. Street RL, Makoul G, Arora NK, Epstein RM. How does communication heal? Pathways linking clinician—patient communication to health outcomes. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2009;74(3):295-301.
- 4. Ha JF, Longnecker N. Doctor-patient communication: a review. *Ochsner J.* 2010;10(1):38-43.
- 5. Tongue JR, Epps HR, Forese LL. Communication skills for patient-centered care: research-based, easily learned techniques for medical interviews that benefit orthopaedic surgeons and their patients. *JBJS*. 2005;87(3):652-658.
- 6. Bredart A, Bouleuc C, Dolbeault S. Doctor-patient communication and satisfaction with care in oncology. *Current opinion in oncology*. 2005;17(4):351-354.
- 7. Robbins R, Butler M, Schoenthaler A. Provider burnout and patient-provider communication in the context of hypertension care. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2019;102(8):1452-1459.