



This is a repository copy of *Randomised comparison of online interviews versus face-to-face interviews to value health states*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/197013/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Peasgood, T., Bourke, M., Devlin, N. et al. (3 more authors) (2023) Randomised comparison of online interviews versus face-to-face interviews to value health states. *Social Science and Medicine*, 323. 115818. ISSN 0277-9536

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2023.115818>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 2

Table S.1: Sociodemographic characteristics of those who attended, did not accept, did not attend and did not complete their interviews by mode

Number of individuals	F2F (n=total randomised to F2F)								Online (n=total randomised to Online)								p value (chi2)
	Attended (n)	Attended (%)	Non-acceptance (n)	Non-acceptance (%)	Non-attendance (n)	Non-attendance (%)	Non-completion (n)	Non-completion (%)	Attended (n)	Attended (%)	Non-acceptance (n)	Non-acceptance (%)	Non-attendance (n)	Non-attendance (%)	Non-completion (n)	Non-completion (%)	
Age group																	
18-34	47	70.15	10	14.93	10	14.93	0	0	62	77.5	0	0	18	22.5	0	0	0.001
35-49	60	61.86	24	24.74	13	13.4	0	0	59	83.1	1	1.41	10	14.8	1	1.41	0
50-64	51	63.75	20	25	9	11.25	0	0	50	78.12	1	1.56	12	20.31	0	0	0
65+	33	70.21	9	19.15	5	10.64	0	0	43	79.63	3	11.88	12	11.88	1	0.99	0.158
Gender																	
Male	78	69.03	21	18.58	14	12.39	0	0	98	85.22	0	0	15	13.04	2	0.88	0
Female	113	63.84	41	23.16	23	12.99	0	0	115	75.16	5	3.27	33	21.57	0	0	0
Other/not to say	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.157
Highest educational level																	
University - Postgraduate	35	76.09	10	21.74	1	2.17	0	0	31	86.11	1	2.78	4	11.11	0	0	0.016
University - Undergraduate	57	67.86	18	21.43	9	10.71	0	0	40	85.11	0	0	7	14.89	0	0	0.003

Tafe/College (Diploma/Certificate)	61	61	19	19	20	20	0	0	85	75.22	2	1.77	24	21.24	2	1.77	0
Professional Qualification	10	62.5	3	18.75	3	18.75	0	0	18	90	1	5	1	5	0	0	0.143
School	28	62.22	13	28.89	4	8.89	0	0	40	78.43	1	1.96	10	19.61	0	0	0.001
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	100	0	0	.
Weekly Income level																	
Under 500	14	63.64	5	22.73	3	13.64	0	0	12	70.59	0	0	5	29.41	0	0	0.078
\$500-\$900	44	68.75	12	18.75	8	12.5	0	0	40	72.73	0	0	13	23.64	2	3.64	0.002
\$1000-\$1701	41	55.41	21	28.38	12	16.22	0	0	48	80	2	3.33	10	16.67	0	0	0.001
\$1702-\$2499	48	71.64	10	14.93	9	13.43	0	0	45	83.33	0	0	9	16.67	0	0	0.012
\$2500 +	32	72.73	7	15.91	5	11.36	0	0	45	86.54	1	1.92	6	11.54	0	0	0.046
Prefer not to say	12	60	8	40	0	0	0	0	24	77.42	2	6.45	5	9.8	0	0	0.005
Participant Region																	
Greater Melbourne	127	59.35	55	25.7	32	14.95	0	0	172	80.37	3	1.4	37	17.29	2	0.93	0
Regional Vic	64	83.12	8	10.39	5	6.49	0	0	42	76.36	2	3.64	11	20	0	0	0.099
Total Attended	191	65.64							214	79.55							<0.001
Total Non- acceptance			63	21.65							5	1.86					<0.001
Total Non- attendance					37	12.71							48	17.84			0.091
Total Incomplete							0	0							2	.74	0.141

Table S.2: Logistic Regressions of interview acceptance and attendance once accepted

	(1)	(2)
VARIABLES	Predictors of Acceptance	Predictors of Attendance Sample Only
	OR	OR
Mode Face-to-Face	0.060***	1.065
	(0.000)	(0.802)
Age 35 to 49	0.396**	1.208
	(0.041)	(0.574)
Age 50 to 64	0.344**	1.260
	(0.025)	(0.493)
Age 65 plus	0.434	1.843
	(0.114)	(0.138)
Education - Undergraduate Degree	1.182	0.496
	(0.719)	(0.200)
Education - TAFE/Diploma	1.693	0.278**
	(0.249)	(0.012)
Education - Professional Qual	1.143	0.513
	(0.847)	(0.354)
Education - School	1.055	0.331**
	(0.915)	(0.048)
Gender - Female	0.547*	0.743
	(0.061)	(0.268)
Weekly Income - \$1702 - \$2499	1.111	0.706
	(0.842)	(0.414)
Weekly Income- \$1000-\$1701	0.447*	0.643
	(0.091)	(0.290)
Weekly Income \$500-\$999	0.895	0.663

	(0.833)	(0.340)
Weekly Income <\$500	0.730	0.485
	(0.636)	(0.188)
Weekly Incomes Withheld	0.247**	1.201
	(0.020)	(0.760)
Participant Location Regional VIC	3.253***	1.739*
	(0.003)	(0.078)
Constant	174.260***	14.261***
	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	558	491
p value in parentheses		
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1		

Table S.3: Participant reported understanding by mode

	F2F N(%)					Online N(%)					Chi 2 p-value
	Agree 1	2	3	4	Disagree 5	Agree 1	2	3	4	Disagree 5	
It was easy to understand the questions I was asked	135(71.1)	37 (19.5)	11 (5.8)	3 (1.6)	4 (2.1)	164 (77.0)	34 (16.0)	8 (3.8)	4 (1.9)	3 (1.4)	0.664
I found it easy to tell the difference between the lives I was asked to think about	120 (63.2)	49 (25.8)	17 (8.9)	2 (1.1)	2 (1.1)	123 (57.7)	66 (31.0)	16 (7.5)	5 (2.3)	3 (1.4)	0.598
I found it difficult to decide on the exact points where Life A and Life B were about the same	56 (29.5)	55 (28.9)	25 (13.2)	13 (6.8)	41 (21.6)	71 (33.3)	65 (30.5)	30 (14.1)	13 (6.1)	34 (16.0)	0.661

Table S.4: Participant understanding and feedback by mode

	F2F (N)					Online (N)					Chi 2 p-value
	Agr 1	2	3	4	Dis 5	Agr 1	2	3	4	Dis 5	
I found the interview straightforward	135	40	8	5	2	168	34	10	1	0	0.104
I think the interview worked well	173	11	4	0	2	193	15	5	0	0	0.473
I got bored during the interview	2	8	1	3	176	1	8	3	12	189	0.207
I think the interviewer was clear and approachable	183	7	0	0	0	207	5	1	0	0	0.472
I could hear the interviewer clearly	183	5	1	0	1	209	3	1	0	0	0.59
I think the visual display during the interview was appropriate	167	12	5	3	3	197	13	1	2	0	0.131
I had technical issues e.g. internet connection, sound	3	7	6	0	174	3	10	5	8	187	0.099
I think the instructions during the interview were clear	168	13	7	1	1	192	16	4	0	1	0.658
I felt comfortable and safe during the interview	187	2	1	0	0	208	4	1	0	0	0.79
I felt comfortable and safe in the interview location (on site only)	186	2	0	1	1	211	2	0	0	0	0.518
I would have preferred not to travel to the interview (on site only)	42	12	47	5	84	0	0	0	0	0	
I felt safe travelling to the interview (on-site only)	176	8	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	

Table S.5: Interviewer reported participant engagement and understanding

	F2F	Online	Total	(p-value) Two sample test of proportions
“How well do you think the respondent understood and carried out the TTO exercise during the interview?”				
Understood and performed exercises easily	142	147	289	0.2029
Some problems but seemed to understand the exercises in the end	40	56	96	0.2178
Doubtful whether the respondent understood the exercises	8	10	18	0.8142
Chi2 = 1.6682 p=0.434				
“In terms of effort and concentration, which one of the following statements best describes the way the respondent undertook the TTO exercise?”				
Concentrated very hard and put a great deal of effort into it	154	156	310	0.0631
Concentrated fairly hard and put some effort into it	28	42	42	0.1876
Didn’t concentrate very hard and put little effort into it	5	9	9	0.3831
Concentrated at the beginning but lost interest/concentration before reaching the end	3	6	6	0.4012
Chi2 = 3.6550 p=0.301				

Table S.6: Interview preference and reasons, by mode

	F2F (mean)	Online	Total

“If you had a choice would you have chosen to be interviewed online or in person?”			
Online interview	52	99	151
One site in person interview	44	7	51
Don't mind	94	107	201
Prefer not to say	0	0	0
Chi2 = 42.1344 p<0.001*			
“Why would you prefer to be interviewed online?” (if above answer was online)			
More convenient	47	96	143
Would feel most at ease being interviewed that way	8	22	30
No cost of travel involved	19	50	69
No time of travel involved	39	77	116
Concerns about COVID-19	9	24	33
Other	6	6	12
“Why would you prefer to be interviewed onsite?” (if above answer was onsite)			
More convenient	12	2	14
Would feel most at ease being interviewed that way	37	4	41

Concerns about the technology involved	8	1	9
Don't like to spend too much time online	2	2	4
Concerns over privacy	1	0	1
Other	6	3	9
Where are you taking this interview?			
Interview in person at home	0	0	0
Interview in person in a university room	97	0	97
Interview in person in a hired room (not university)	93	0	93
Online at home	0	93	93
Online but not at home	0	17	17
Specified option: friend's house	0	0	0
Specified option:			
Is there anyone else in the same room as you?	NA	10	
How long did it take you to get to the interview today?	30.11 mins*		NA

*Average for on-site attendees

Table S.7: Logistic regressions for mode of administration preference for online relative to face-to-face (respondents stating they had no preference are removed)

VARIABLES	Preferred Online Odds Ratio
Mode Face-to-Face (f2f)	0.038*** (0.000)
Interviewer 2	1.443 (0.531)
Interviewer 3	0.900(0.856)
Interviewer 4	0.479 (0.250)
Age 35 to 49	1.613 (0.433)
Age 50 to 64	0.315** (0.042)
Age 65+	0.842 (0.809)
Female	1.613 (0.269)
VAS (from the EQ-5D-5L)	1.013 (0.435)
Experienced Serious Illness Personally	0.555 (0.184)
Experienced serious illness caring for others	1.653 (0.321)
Experienced serious illness in your family	1.647 (0.340)
Married	0.505 (0.159)
Being a parent to a child U18	2.335 (0.131)
Employed	4.624*** (0.004)
Constant	3.224 (0.431)
Observations	202
P value in parentheses	
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1	

Table S.8: Modal and clustering of values by health state and by mode of administration

Health state & values	F2F	F2F	Online	Online	P-value Chi square where appropriate
	Number of values	%	Number of Values	%	
21111					
Modal value	126	66.67	135	63.08	0.452
Min/Max Value (min,max)	0,1		0,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	126	66.67	135	63.08	0.452
Value of 0.5	2	1.06	1	0.47	0.491
Value of 0	2	1.06	0	0	0.131
Value of -0.5	0	0	0	0	.
Value of -1	0	0	0	0	.
11211					
Modal value	101	53.44	110	51.4	0.683
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	101	53.44	110	51.4	0.683
Value of 0.5	5	2.65	6	2.8	0.922
Value of 0	0	0	1	0.25	0.347
Value of -0.5	0	0	0	0	.
Value of -1	0		1	0.47	0.347
12112					
Modal value	89	47.09	92	42.99	0.409
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		

Value of 1 (non-trader)	89	47.09	92	42.99	0.409
Value of 0.5	11	5.82	7	3.27	0.216
Value of 0	0	0	0	0	.
Value of -0.5	0	0	0	0	.
Value of -1	1	0.53	1	0.47	0.93
23152					
Modal value	24	12.7	33	15.42	0.434
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	10	5.29	13	6.07	0.735
Value of 0.5	27	14.29	29	13.55	0.832
Value of 0	15	7.91	12	5.61	0.351
Value of -0.5	5	2.65	10	4.67	0.283
Value of -1	24	12.7	33	15.42	0.434
21345					
Modal value	34	17.99	35	16.36	0.664
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	11	5.82	10	4.67	0.605
Value of 0.5	14	7.41	23	10.75	0.247
Value of 0	16	8.47	20	9.35	0.757
Value of -0.5	10	5.29	13	6.07	0.735
Value of -1	34	17.99	35	16.36	0.664
34244					
Modal value	41	21.69	46	21.5	0.962
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		

Value of 1 (non-trader)	8	4.23	4	1.87	0.164
Value of 0.5	13	6.88	21	9.81	0.29
Value of 0	15	7.94	19	8.88	0.734
Value of -0.5	0	0	2	0.93	0.889
Value of -1	41	21.69	46	21.5	0.962
43514					
Modal value	23	12.17	34	15.89	0.285
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	10	5.29	8	3.74	0.451
Value of 0.5	27	14.29	23	10.75	0.282
Value of 0	18	9.52	15	7.01	0.358
Value of -0.5	8	4.76	11	5.14	0.861
Value of -1	23	12.17	34	15.89	0.285
55424					
Modal value	39	20.63	51	23.83	0.442
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	5	2.65	4	1.87	0.599
Value of 0.5	15	7.94	21	9.81	0.51
Value of 0	21	11.11	26	12.15	0.746
Value of -0.5	13	6.88	17	7.94	0.684
Value of -1	39	20.63	51	23.83	0.442
44553					
Modal value	57	30.16	54	25.23	
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		

Value of 1 (non-trader)	3	1.59	3	1.4	0.878
Value of 0.5	12	6.35	13	6.07	0.013
Value of 0	17	8.99	23	10.75	0.557
Value of -0.5	10	5.29	28	13.08	0.93
Value of -1	57	30.16	54	25.23	0.269
55555					
Modal value	85	44.97	76	35.51	0.053
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	1	0.53	3	1.4	0.378
Value of 0.5	8	4.23	4	1.87	0.164
Value of 0	13	6.88	20	9.35	0.367
Value of -0.5	11	5.82	20	9.35	0.185
Value of -1	85	44.97	76	35.51	0.053
All States					
Modal value	535	16.67	521	18.38	0.08
Min/Max Value (min,max)	-1,1		-1,1		
Value of 1 (non-trader)	535	16.67	521	18.38	0.08
Value of 0.5	190	6.7	217	6.76	0.928
Value of 0	164	5.78	196	6.11	0.599
Value of -0.5	113	3.99	157	4.89	0.089
Value of -1	418	14.74	445	13.86	0.328

Table S.9: Proportion of problematic responder types by mode

Problematic responder type	No. of individuals F2F (%)	No. of individuals Online (%)	p-value (Chi squared test)
Individual's value all 10 health states same value	0	0	N/A
Individual reports fewer than 5 distinct values	22 (11.58)	20(9.39)	0.473
Individual reports utility of -1, -0.5, 0, 0.5 or 1 for all 10 health states	22 (11.58)	23 (10.80)	0.804
Individual reports no negative value	43 (22.63)	37(17.37)	0.186
Individual gives only integer values for T (No use of half-year increments in TTO)	67 (35.26)	72(33.80)	0.758
Individual gives utility of zero in at least 2 health states with no utility below zero	4(2.11)	3(1.41)	0.593

Table S.10: Mean number of moves completed per health state and overall, by mode

No of moves	F2F		Online		Difference in mean number of moves	P-value (t test of mean)
	Mean number of moves	SD	Mean number of moves	SD		
21111	6.80423	3.91652	6.22430	4.22781	0.57993	0.1557
11212	6.84127	3.43731	6.01402	3.75353	0.82725	0.0222
12112	6.41799	3.34535	6.28972	3.71397	0.12827	0.7172
23152	6.46561	3.39511	6.42523	3.37507	0.04037	0.9049
21345	6.49206	3.01171	6.41589	3.90136	0.07618	0.8281
34244	6.69312	3.01609	6.50467	2.93873	0.18845	0.5261
43514	6.43915	4.15431	6.37851	3.62460	0.06065	0.8757
55424	6.58201	3.58185	6.64486	3.43894	-0.06285	0.8576
44553	7.25397	3.14187	7.31308	4.24044	-0.05912	0.8751
55555	8.16931	3.13065	8.11215	3.72487	0.05716	0.8686
All states	6.81587	3.46211	6.63224	3.75149	0.18363	0.1080

Table S.11: Comparisons of overall values to USA and Denmark valuation sets

	Overall		USA		Denmark		P-value (ANOVA)	P-value (Bartlett's Equal- Variances test)
	mean	SE	mean		SE	mean		
21111	0.948	0.006	0.945	0.013	0.962	0.006	0.3508	0
11212	0.917	0.008	0.907	0.025	0.91	0.013	0.8664	0
12112	0.888	0.011	0.918	0.025	0.903	0.018	0.4296	0.033
23152	0.132	0.033	0.165	0.082	0.228	0.062	0.4226	0.291
21345	0.012	0.034	0.059	0.078	0.009	0.063	0.6666	0.455
34244	-0.13	0.033	-0.041	0.074	0.018	0.061	0.0921	0.607
43514	0.097	0.032	0.132	0.077	0.257	0.052	0.0724	0.021
55424	-0.175	0.031	-0.176	0.071	0.092	0.057	0.0004	0.392
44553	-0.332	0.03	-0.225	0.071	-0.159	0.061	0.0242	0.742
55555	-0.562	0.026	-0.366	0.021	-0.48	0.017	0	0

Table S.12: Comparisons of overall values to UK sister study

	Face to Face				P-value (two sample t- test)	Online				P-value (two sample t-test)
	Australia (n=189)		UK (n=136)			Australia (n=214)		UK (N=224)		
	mean	SD	mean	SD		mean	SD	mean	SD	
21111	0.945	0.14	0.95	0.103	0.7241	0.951	0.097	0.934	0.115	0.0952
11212	0.921	0.136	0.911	0.15	0.5316	0.912	0.19	0.903	0.13	0.5617
12112	0.896	0.201	0.901	0.157	0.8091	0.881	0.225	0.892	0.141	0.5382
23152	0.128	0.653	0.177	0.605	0.4919	0.135	0.669	0.247	0.596	0.0647*
21345	-0.01	0.701	-0.024	0.604	0.851	0.032	0.653	0.084	0.614	0.3909
34244	-0.143	0.674	0.004	0.581	.0409**	-0.118	0.641	-0.007	0.597	0.0613*
43514	0.113	0.637	0.114	0.578	0.9884	0.083	0.648	0.196	0.575	0.054*
55424	-0.152	0.632	-0.083	0.594	0.3202	-0.195	0.614	-0.061	0.606	.0220**
44553	-0.333	0.627	-0.231	0.55	0.129	-0.331	0.587	-0.204	0.596	.0252**
55555	-0.577	0.534	-0.558	0.472	0.7401	-0.549	0.502	-0.439	0.532	.0267**

*significant at the 10% level **significant at the 5% level

Table S.13: Supplementary regressions for interactions between mode and interviewer, mode and age, mode and sex and mode and university degree overall and by state

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
VARIABLES	All States	All States	state 21111	state 11212	state 12112	state 23152	state 21345	state 34244	state 43514	state 55424	state 44553	state 55555
		Het										
Mode f2f	-0.062		-0.008	-0.042	-0.108	0.057	-0.154	-0.200	0.146	-0.097	0.008	-0.058
	(0.516)		(0.837)	(0.434)	(0.118)	(0.810)	(0.537)	(0.430)	(0.530)	(0.692)	(0.974)	(0.823)
Age 35 to 49	-0.019		-0.001	0.011	0.024	-0.009	-0.038	0.053	0.125	-0.076	-0.071	-0.143
	(0.737)		(0.972)	(0.720)	(0.555)	(0.950)	(0.796)	(0.720)	(0.356)	(0.594)	(0.628)	(0.344)
Age 50 to 64	-0.068		-0.006	-0.052	-0.022	0.183	-0.033	0.064	-0.015	-0.193	-0.130	-0.206
	(0.194)		(0.777)	(0.105)	(0.589)	(0.192)	(0.824)	(0.672)	(0.914)	(0.185)	(0.386)	(0.177)
Age 65 plus	-0.018		0.011	-0.021	-0.044	0.200	-0.045	0.231	0.005	0.004	0.044	-0.110
	(0.751)		(0.682)	(0.570)	(0.358)	(0.222)	(0.792)	(0.193)	(0.974)	(0.980)	(0.803)	(0.542)
Interviewer 2	0.054		0.002	0.004	-0.060	-0.004	-0.024	-0.070	0.066	0.059	0.077	0.248*
	(0.341)		(0.938)	(0.886)	(0.127)	(0.975)	(0.864)	(0.624)	(0.619)	(0.672)	(0.585)	(0.083)
Interviewer 3	0.117		-0.027	0.005	-0.043	0.397**	0.400**	0.445**	0.494**	0.439**	0.505**	0.478**
	(0.103)		(0.213)	(0.878)	(0.273)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Interviewer 4	0.159**		-0.002	0.001	-0.057	0.492**	0.426**	0.550**	0.433**	0.353**	0.516**	0.473**
	(0.015)		(0.920)	(0.970)	(0.194)	(0.001)	(0.008)	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.024)	(0.002)	(0.004)
Female	0.042		0.005	-0.022	-0.022	0.236**	0.062	0.076	0.090	0.166	0.081	0.127
	(0.301)		(0.753)	(0.331)	(0.462)	(0.021)	(0.565)	(0.490)	(0.370)	(0.117)	(0.454)	(0.251)
VAS(5L)	0.001		0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.000	0.001	0.003	0.002	-0.002

	(0.277)		(0.291)	(0.110)	(0.227)	(0.887)	(0.798)	(0.931)	(0.578)	(0.244)	(0.402)	(0.520)
Parent or guardian of under 18	-0.075**		0.000	-0.025	-0.059**	-0.051	-0.142	-0.001	-0.121	-0.073	-0.103	-0.173*
	(0.040)		(0.979)	(0.226)	(0.024)	(0.562)	(0.130)	(0.990)	(0.166)	(0.427)	(0.281)	(0.078)
Employed	-0.000		-0.009	0.016	0.027	-0.000	0.002	-0.012	0.027	-0.045	-0.028	-0.086
	(0.995)		(0.559)	(0.455)	(0.320)	(0.998)	(0.985)	(0.908)	(0.776)	(0.647)	(0.784)	(0.407)
Education University degree	-0.022		0.011	-0.002	-0.036	-0.175*	-0.173	-0.243**	-0.020	-0.216**	-0.086	-0.129
	(0.612)		(0.529)	(0.930)	(0.233)	(0.094)	(0.118)	(0.031)	(0.843)	(0.047)	(0.445)	(0.255)
Education University degree * mode f2f	0.104*		0.028	0.006	0.031	0.321**	0.444**	0.454**	0.227	0.346**	0.206	0.290*
	(0.095)		(0.252)	(0.854)	(0.487)	(0.037)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.135)	(0.030)	(0.211)	(0.086)
Interviewer 2 * mode f2f	-0.052		-0.008	0.019	0.066	-0.273	-0.375*	-0.212	-0.241	-0.259	-0.312	-0.359*
	(0.534)		(0.793)	(0.678)	(0.250)	(0.161)	(0.069)	(0.308)	(0.211)	(0.199)	(0.131)	(0.087)
Interviewer 3 * mode f2f	-0.028		-0.017	0.001	0.065	-0.197	-0.215	-0.269	-0.376*	-0.290	-0.211	-0.107
	(0.754)		(0.588)	(0.978)	(0.260)	(0.319)	(0.306)	(0.207)	(0.055)	(0.160)	(0.322)	(0.625)
Interviewer 4 * mode f2f	-0.078		-0.021	-0.003	0.026	-0.383*	-0.588**	-0.331	-0.452**	-0.378	-0.234	-0.269
	(0.382)		(0.556)	(0.950)	(0.694)	(0.090)	(0.014)	(0.176)	(0.043)	(0.106)	(0.339)	(0.278)
Female * mode f2f	-0.062		-0.041*	-0.010	0.009	-0.008	0.139	0.007	-0.171	-0.221	-0.038	-0.001
	(0.290)		(0.092)	(0.766)	(0.833)	(0.959)	(0.381)	(0.964)	(0.250)	(0.158)	(0.811)	(0.993)
Age 35 to 49 * mode f2f	0.073		0.034	0.003	-0.008	0.029	0.148	0.242	-0.110	0.161	0.198	0.339
	(0.331)		(0.280)	(0.953)	(0.891)	(0.885)	(0.478)	(0.253)	(0.573)	(0.431)	(0.350)	(0.121)
Age 50 to 64 * mode f2f	0.137*		0.055	0.069	0.079	-0.094	0.352	0.362	0.151	0.567**	0.311	0.209

Table S.14 – reasons offered for non-acceptance and non-attendance of interviews

Reason	Face-to-face	Online	Total
COVID-19 fears	3	0	3
No reason given	29	47	76
Refused	21	1	22
Carer responsibility	4	0	4
Computer issues	0	2	2
Disability	2	0	2
Distance	21	0	21
Holiday	1	0	1
No longer interested	0	1	1
Sick	8	1	9
Unspecified emergency	0	1	1
Work	10	1	11