



This is a repository copy of *Evaluation of laser cladding as an in-situ repair method on rail steel*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/194812/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Tomlinson, K., Fletcher, D.I. and Lewis, R. orcid.org/0000-0002-4300-0540 (2023)

Evaluation of laser cladding as an in-situ repair method on rail steel. *Tribology International*, 180. 108210. ISSN 0301-679X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2022.108210>

---

© 2022 The Authors. This accepted manuscript version is available under a Creative Commons Attribution CC BY licence. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# Evaluation of laser cladding as an in-situ repair method on rail steel

K. Tomlinson, D. I. Fletcher, R. Lewis

The University of Sheffield, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sheffield, UK

Corresponding author: Katherine Tomlinson, [kate.tomlinson@sheffield.ac.uk](mailto:kate.tomlinson@sheffield.ac.uk)

## Abstract

Laser clad coatings have been considered as an in-situ repair method to extend the lifespan of rail. Building on previous research which predominantly focuses on the application of such coatings on full sections of rail this study considers a representative size and geometry of a scaled repair site with the additional consideration of the interface between parent rail and repair at the surface. To enable the evaluation of in-situ repairs a set of experiments were designed to assess multiple repair sites in single tests. Rolling-sliding twin-disc tests were conducted using bespoke rail discs manufactured from standard R260 grade rail steel with six wire eroded slots of varying sizes filled with three different candidate cladding materials, Stellite 6, MSS and R260 powder. The evolution of the surface was monitored through visual observation every 5,000 cycles during the tests, the discs were then sectioned to assess the integrity of the repair and effect of rolling contact loading. During the tests the repair material underwent plastic flow in the direction of traction, experiencing material flow alongside the parent rail steel. The success of laser clad coating as a repair is shown to be dependent on selecting a material which tends to strain by similar amounts to the parent material, making it less vulnerable to crack initiation points forming at the trailing edge where the parent rail may otherwise flow over the repair.

## Keywords

Laser cladding, Rail repair, In-situ, Rail-wheel tribology, Rolling contact fatigue

## 1. Introduction

The lifespan of conventional grades of rail steel is limited by wear and rolling contact fatigue (RCF) which can be costly to repair or replace. The locations of rail which experience high traffic or dynamic loads may be more prone to wear and RCF. They often require repair as an intervention before deformation goes too far, becomes dangerous and requires rail replacement. Such repairs are regularly performed using weld repair, however weld repairs can have their own problems and are susceptible to crack initiation. The initiation and growth of cracks in weld repairs was researched by Jun et al. [1], [2] and Lennart Josefson [3], they both found that residual stress influenced the rate of crack growth. They suggest that the possible causes of failure in weld-repaired rail could be

1 from defects with the weld material like porosity in the weld, lamellar line cracks or a  
2 reduction in material hardness, or the changes in microstructure, chemical composition  
3 associated with the heat process. Other issues may occur from the thermal process  
4 involved in welding or improper pre-heating of the rail. Problems arising from this may  
5 include weld breaks, hot tears, porosity and the creation of a heat affected zone.. Additive  
6 manufacturing of premium rail materials with higher yield points which are more resistant  
7 to plastic damage has been shown in laboratory tests to have the potential to increase the  
8 life of rails across the network [4]–[9], as has the application to switch blades in light rail  
9 [10]. It is hypothesised that additive manufacturing with laser clad coatings could be  
10 utilised as an in-situ method to repair damaged rails as the targeted repair area would  
11 experience less impact from the heat process than from a weld repair as the laser is  
12 localised and controlled.

13  
14 The laser clad coating method is at a stage of development where optimised parameters  
15 such as pre-heat, laser power and flow rate can be readily achieved by specialist laser  
16 cladding operators for full components, however, testing of laser clad coatings for repairs  
17 is limited. The Welding Institute (TWI) have reported using a 2 kW CO2 laser with their  
18 ‘Trumpf DMD 505 laser deposition system’ to create a crack free deposition [11] on full  
19 rail components. No pre-heat was applied to the substrate rail, laser power was reportedly  
20 1340 kW, head speed 600 mm/min and powder flow 0.28 g/min. The laser clad process  
21 parameters have not yet been optimised for repairs and it has been previously shown that  
22 non-optimal parameters can cause porosity, voids or cracks within the coating [12]. The  
23 reduced area of the repair and more complex geometry present different challenges, the  
24 transition from clad repair to parent rail will create interfaces which could be points of  
25 weakness and these are investigated within this study through scaled down tests.

26  
27 Laser cladding was proposed as a possible method for extending the life of railway wheel  
28 surfaces by Niederhauser and Karlsson in 2004 [7] at Chalmers University of  
29 Technology, Sweden. This paper references a 1998 patent by Johan Lennart Olofsson  
30 [13], however there are no supporting research papers from this inventor at the time. Since  
31 then the method for additively manufacturing laser clad coatings to rail steels has been  
32 developed, to consider the material choice [4]–[6], [8], [14]–[18], the laser parameters  
33 [19] and the effect of thermal processing [3], [18], [20]. Laser cladding was suggested as  
34 a potential alternative to weld repairs by Mortzavian [21] and Hernandez [22], there are  
35 three papers which report having conducted experiments on laser clad repairs for rail  
36 [23]–[25], but none of which have tested the repairs in rolling-sliding conditions  
37 experienced in a wheel-rail contact. Seo et al. [23] conducted twin-disc tests with partial  
38 cladding specimens using three candidate laser cladding materials, Stellite 21, Hastalloy

1 C and Inconel 625. They found that the specimens experienced wear at the boundary with  
2 dense microstructure due to plastic deformation at the contact surface. Xie et al. [24] also  
3 investigated the wear and rolling contact fatigue of laser clad repair sites in twin-disc tests  
4 using five different candidate stainless powders 304, 314, 2Cr13, 316L and 434L. The  
5 wear rate of the rail was seen to improve, however, fatigue cracks were observed within  
6 the clad coating and more severely at the boundary of clad repair and parent rail on both  
7 the leading and trailing edge.

8  
9 Nellian et al. [25] investigated laser clad coatings as a repair method for premium head  
10 hardened R350HT grade rail steel. The candidate laser clad coating material chosen was  
11 Stellite 6 which was applied to create a strong metallurgical bond at the interface without  
12 any porosity or voids present. The heat affected zone was seen to have a higher level of  
13 hardness than the clad coating or substrate rail due to the formation of martensite during  
14 the laser cladding process. Ball-on-disc tribometer testing was used to observe a reduction  
15 in wear of almost 50% in the Stellite 6 coating compared with the unclad rail, although  
16 this method does not account for the rolling-sliding conditions experienced in wheel-rail  
17 contact.

18  
19 Within the testing of laser clad coatings by Lewis et al. [5] for the purpose of improving  
20 performance of rail, reducing wear and RCF, R260 grade rail steel was used as a clad  
21 material. They showed in twin-disc testing that the magnitude and depth of plastic  
22 deformation in the clad coating is comparable to the unclad rail disc. They represented a  
23 repair of a damaged rail by applying a laser clad R260 grade material onto a R260 grade  
24 disc. This was, however, applied as a full complete circumferential coating to test the  
25 material itself. This study builds on the success seen with the like for like material but  
26 considers the size and geometry of a scaled repair site with the additional consideration  
27 of the interface between parent rail and repair at the surface. Further research, however,  
28 is required on the RCF behaviour of R260 laser clad coating. It is proposed that if a laser  
29 clad repair material and the parent rail tend to strain by similar amounts under load it  
30 would be beneficial and is therefore tested as a repair in this paper.

31  
32 Laser cladding offers a potential method of in-situ application on targeted areas which  
33 are more susceptible to damage. It is therefore hypothesised that additive manufacturing  
34 with laser clad coatings could be utilised as an in-situ method to repair damaged rails,  
35 rather than removing them from track. The targeted repair area would experience less  
36 impact from the heat process than from a weld repair as the laser application can be  
37 localised and controlled. The results of twin-disc testing with bespoke repaired rail discs  
38 presented within this paper provide an assessment of three candidate repair materials. The  
39 findings can be used to guide the suitability of in-situ laser clad repairs on rail steels and

inform the required mechanical properties required when optimising the laser process parameters.

## 2. Experimental methodology

### 2.1. Test apparatus

To enable the evaluation of in-situ repairs, a set of experiments was designed to assess multiple repair sites in single twin-disc tests using bespoke rail discs. Twin-disc testing was conducted on an adapted TE 72 Two Roller Machine made by Phoenix Tribology, now referred to as SUROS 2, which is designed for the study of traction, wear and rolling contact fatigue under pure rolling or rolling-sliding conditions in dry or lubricated conditions, an image of SUROS 2 is shown in Figure 1a.




Figure 1: Twin-disc apparatus: a. Aerial schematic of twin-disc test machine; SUROS 2 used to replicate rail-wheel contact in the laboratory. b. Sketch of the repair disc with the repair numbers, materials and width of each repair site.

SUROS 2 has two AC vector motors which are each connected to the test assembly by a timing pulley. The rail and wheel discs are brought into contact and the load is applied horizontally. The connected computer runs the test through the programmed parameters in COMPEND 2000 and slip is created by maintaining a constant speed in the rail disc (approximately 375 rpm) and the wheel disc running at the speed required to generate the programmed slip level, shown in Equation 1.

$$S(\%) = \frac{200(R_r V_r - R_w V_w)}{R_r V_r + R_w V_w}$$


Equation 1

where  $R_r$  and  $R_w$  are the radii of the rail and wheel disc respectively (mm) and  $V_r$  and  $V_w$  are the number of revolutions of the rail and wheel discs respectively.

## 2.2. Test Specimens

The experimental design and results of tests conducted to assess the integrity, surface evolution and rolling contact effect of laser clad repairs are presented here. The rail discs were manufactured from a cylinder of 0.62% carbon steel, representative of R260 commonly found in service across the UK rail network, with six slots wire eroded from the circumference which varied in dimension to assess a combination of shallow, medium and deep repairs. Following previous success in laser clad coating on rail steel, three candidate cladding materials were selected, i) MSS low carbon alloy with 14.64% chromium, ii) R260 grade rail steel with 0.62% carbon steel which is the same as the parent rail steel and iii) Stellite 6 which is cobalt based. The candidate materials were laser clad using the one-step powder injection method described in Lewis et al. [4] with the same optimal parameters used in work by Lewis et al. [5]. The laser process parameters are controlled to avoid the formation of martensite in the HAZ as investigated by Lai et al. [26]. The repair sites are labelled 1 to 6 and the corresponding laser clad material and maximum width of the repair sites are shown in Figure 1b. The test discs have a 47 mm diameter and 10 mm wide running band. The wheel discs were manufactured from ER8 grade steel with  $\leq 0.56$  (% by mass) carbon content and a specification of 258-296 HB hardness [27].

An unused sample of material including repairs was sectioned using standard metallographic methods to reveal each individual repair. The repair samples were then etched with 2% Nital (98% Industrial Methylated Spirit (IMS) mixed with 2% nitric acid) to reveal the repair within the parent rail, optical micrographs of each of the repair sites are presented in Figure 2.



*Figure 2: Optical micrographs of the leading and trailing edge of the laser clad repairs, a) R260 repair, b) MSS repair and c) Stellite 6 repair*

In repair site 1 (Figure 2a), a fine grain heat affected zone can be seen between the R260 repair and the unaffected substrate rail, it is seen to have a bond of high integrity with no visible flaws at the interface. The MSS repairs (Figure 2b) in sites 2, 3 and 5, appear to have been optimally deposited without inclusions. A small fine grain heat affected zone can be seen between the MSS repair and the unaffected substrate rail. The interfaces of the MSS repair sites to the parent rail are seen to have a good metallurgical bond with mechanical mixing apparent. The Stellite 6 repairs (Figure 2c) in sites 4 and 6 are also seen to have a good metallurgical bond. Large porosity can be seen in the clad repair of repair site 6, indicating the process parameters were not optimal for the Stellite 6 powder. This is due to the process parameters being optimised for larger applications and have not

yet been revised for small repair sites which have a smaller area for heat dissipation and hence a faster cooling rate.

The rail disc surface appeared smooth pre-testing and the repairs could not be seen along the running band. Surface observations and measurements were conducted using an optical (non-contact) Alicona PortableRL Infinite Focus microscope. The average surface roughness measurement Ra was 0.36 $\mu$ m across the entire disc. Within the R260 repair area this was slightly higher at 0.41 $\mu$ m, within the MSS repairs it was 0.33 $\mu$ m and within the Stellite 6 repairs it was 0.39 $\mu$ m.

### 2.3. Test Approach

A system was set up with distilled water, gravity fed through a pipe and clamped over the wheel disc to allow RCF testing in water lubricated conditions to enable investigations into crack initiation and propagation. The rail and wheel disc were both cleaned in an ultrasonic isopropanol bath for 2 minutes before and after testing.

Twin-disc tests were run in dry (unlubricated) conditions with a contact pressure of 1500 MPa at -1% slip, for 30,000 contact cycles, enough to reach steady state wear in the parent R260 grade rail steel [27], [28], to assess the surface evolution of the repairs. Twin-disc tests were also run in a combination of initial dry cycles to generate crack initiation followed by water lubricated conditions to assess RCF crack propagation within the repairs and surrounding material. These were also conducted at 1500MPa, -1% slip with an initial 500 dry cycles to generate deformation within the parent R260 grade rail steel and crack initiation at the surface [29], followed by water lubricated cycles with water dropped onto the wheel disc at a rate of 1 drip per second, to ensure that a film of water was maintained at the contact of the discs given the speed of testing. Table 1 shows the summary of the test plan.

Test	Dry cycles	Water lubricated cycles	Water drop rate	$p_0$ (MPa)	Slip (%)
Dry	30,000	-	-	1500	-1
RCF short	500	5,000	1 per second	1500	-1
RCF long	500	15,000	1 per second	1500	-1

Table 1: Summary of twin-disc test plan for laser clad repair discs.




1 The repair sites of laser clad coatings within the rail disc, create points of material  
2 interface between the parent rail and clad repair at twelve points per rotation. The novelty  
3 of running twin-disc tests with a discontinuous surface material required caution within  
4 the RCF tests, as it was assumed that the material interface could provide crack initiation  
5 sites. The initial RCF test, therefore, was visually inspected for signs of RCF, in the form  
6 of a 'speckled' surface or visible material loss, after the first 5,000 wet cycles. The clad  
7 R260 repair had some visible RCF speckles and hence the test was stopped to examine  
8 this. The test was repeated and extended to generate further RCF in the other repairs as  
9 the only visible surface RCF in the first RCF test was in the R260 repair. The second test  
10 ran for 500 dry cycles followed by 15,000 wet cycles with water dripped at a rate of one  
11 drop per second again. The second test was stopped after 15,000 cycles as the visible  
12 material loss had advanced from the level seen in the first test and any further large  
13 material loss could have resulted in dynamic loading. The parent R260 grade rail steel  
14 would expect to see surface RCF as early as 7,000 cycles [30].  
15

### 16 **3. Results**

#### 17 **3.1. Twin-disc test results: Surface evolution**

18 The surface evolution of each disc was monitored through visual observation every 5,000  
19 cycles. The surface roughness measurements were distorted by wear flakes and material  
20 flow at the surface repair interface and it was decided that visual observations provided a  
21 more accurate way to monitor the surface evolution. The progress of visual surface  
22 observations during the unlubricated tests can be seen in Figure 3a. Material flow at the  
23 interface of the repairs and parent rail can be seen from 5,000 cycles. The progress of  
24 visual surface observations during the water lubricated tests can be seen in Figure 3b. The  
25 appearance of the repair rail disc was smooth after testing with the repair sites visible on  
26 the surface.  
27  
28




*Figure 3: Visual observation of the surface on the repair disc. a) Material flow of parent rail over repair after 30,000 dry cycles and b) Pitting on the surface of a repair after 500 dry cycles and 15,000 water lubricated cycles.*

### 3.1.1. Hardness results

The average hardness of the repairs on the vertical-longitudinal cross section was measured before and after testing using a Durascan micro-hardness tester, with a 0.2 kg load, the results of which are shown in Figure 4. Following testing the rail discs were sectioned and the 6 repairs removed for analysis. The repair sample was prepared using the method described in section 2.2. The pre-test hardness of the R260 clad repair is higher than pre-test R260 grade rail steel and is more in line with a work hardened rail [28]. This is potentially beneficial for a repair which would be applied to service rail and would provide continuity of hardness at the surface. The hardness of the R260 clad repair reduced slightly after the unlubricated test to 533 Hv0.2 and to an average of 401 Hv0.2 after lubricated RCF testing. This is contrary to the results of the R260 grade rail steel tested in [28] and it appears that R260 applied as a laser clad repair does not work harden and the effective shear yield stress reduces under cyclic loading. It is further expected that the hardness of the R260 pre-testing and after the dry test was inflated due to the inclusion of the transition into the heat affected zone due to the difficulties of identifying the repair location in like for like material, whereas after the water lubricated tests, the repair was easier to locate.


The MSS repairs generally work hardened after cyclic loading, with the exception of repair 3 after the dry unlubricated test. The extent to which the MSS hardened varied between repairs. The small dimensions of repair 2 and repair 5 presented difficulties to taking measurements which were clear of the surrounding parent rail material. The Stellite 6 repairs marginally reduced in hardness after the unlubricated test. After the lubricated RCF tests the hardness increased with the larger repair 4 having the higher increase. The Stellite 6 repairs had the highest hardness of all the candidate repair materials after testing.



*Figure 4: Average hardness and standard deviation of the repairs after each test measured on the longitudinal sub-surface cross-section using micro indentation with a 0.2 kg load.*


### 3.1.2. Unlubricated test results

Following the unlubricated test, the rail disc was sectioned, and the 6 repairs removed for analysis. The repair sample was prepared using the methods described in section 2.2, the vertical-longitudinal cross sections were then observed with optical microscopy. Optical micrographs of R260 repair site 1 are shown in Figure 5 in which material flow at the surface can be seen on the interface with the heat affected zone, and the interface of the heat affect zone and substrate rail on the leading edge. Plastic shear strain was observed down to 140µm below the surface in the middle of the repair site.




*Figure 5: R260 repair 1: Optical micrograph showing material flow in the R260 repair and surrounding heat affected zone.*

Optical micrographs of MSS repair 5 are shown in Figure 6. Material flow is observed on the leading edge of MSS repairs, with the clad repair being elongated along the surface and the trailing edge has an area of deformation compared with the untested repair with material swept over in the direction of traction.



*Figure 6: Optical micrographs of MSS Repair 5 after 30,000 unlubricated cycles with material flow in the leading edge of MSS repair and surrounding heat affected zone, and material deformation in the trailing edge.*

Optical micrographs of Stellite 6 repair 4 are shown in Figure 7. Repair 4 is a deep repair and material flow is observed on the leading edge, with the clad repair being elongated along the surface and the trailing edge has an area of deformation. There was evidence of material flow in the leading edge of repair 6 and a subsurface crack could be seen at the repair interface of the trailing edge to a depth of around 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , with material swept in the direction of traction.



*Figure 7: Stellite 6 repair 4 after 30,000 dry cycles. Optical micrograph of material flow in the leading edge and material deformation in the trailing edge.*

### 3.1.3. Water lubricated test results

After both the short and long RCF tests, the surface of the repairs within the discs were imaged using an optical (non-contact) Alicona PortableRL Infinite Focus microscope. The rail disc was again sectioned and the 6 repairs removed for analysis. Figure 8a shows the surface images and optical micrographs of R260 repair 1 after the short RCF test and the below surface RCF damage is apparent. A significant network of cracks can be seen to a depth of 810  $\mu\text{m}$  below the contact surface. The leading edge of the repair (Figure 8b) was intact with no signs of RCF, however material flow at shallow levels was identified, comparable to the unlubricated tested R260 repair. After the long RCF test there were no obvious signs of RCF below the surface and the trailing edge appeared to remain with a strong bond at the interface. The leading edge of the R260 repair had less material flow than the previous tests. It is expected that a small imperfection or inclusion was present at the surface of the repair site in the short RCF test that suffered the excessive RCF crack. This indicates that optimal process parameters would be vital to avoiding initiation of cracks.




Figure 8: R260 Repair 1: a) and b) Following short RCF test of 500 dry cycles followed by 5,000 water lubricated cycles.

The surface images and scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of MSS repairs 3 following the short and long RCF tests are shown in Figure 9. The surface image and SEM micrographs of repair 3 can be seen in Figure 9a following the short RCF test. The trailing edge of repair 3 after the short RCF test can be seen with material flow on the surface and a subsurface RCF crack at the interface resemble those seen in repair 2, yet deeper at around 29  $\mu\text{m}$  below the surface. Similarly, the leading edge is intact with minimal material flow and no signs of RCF as also seen for repair 2. After the long RCF test



(Figure 9b), material flow on the surface interface and a small subsurface RCF crack to a depth of 5  $\mu\text{m}$  below the surface can be observed. A small hollow on the surface of the leading edge can be seen, and a fragment of around 62  $\mu\text{m}$  wide and 26  $\mu\text{m}$  deep of the MSS repair can be seen spalling from the surface after sectioning.




Figure 9: MSS repair 3 following a) short RCF test and b) long RCF tests.

The surface of Stellite 6 repair 4 after the short RCF test can be seen in Figure 10a, with some material flow seen at the interface on the leading edge. When examining the cross section of the trailing edge with SEM, subsurface material deformation and surface damage is observed on the trailing edge of the repair. The leading edge of repair 4 has elongation of the repair at the surface with material flow in the direction of traction. After the long RCF test the surface of the trailing edge of repair 4 has less material flow than in the shorter RCF test, believed to be due to a non-optimal laser parameter defect within the clad of the test specimen in the shorter test.

The leading edge of Stellite 6 repair 6 after the short RCF test is shown in Figure 10b, the interface is seen to be intact with no material flow or RCF evident. Surface damage at the trailing edge of the repair interface of repair 6 can be seen and the SEM image shows subsurface material deformation with material loss shown within the parent R260 rail steel at the interface of the repair. The leading edge of repair 6 has a small amount of material flow at the surface but no signs of RCF at the interface.




Figure 10: Stellite 6 a) repair 4 following short RCF test and b) repair 6 following short RCF test.



#### 4. Discussion

The three candidate materials tested here for the purpose of in-situ laser clad repairs had varying success. The R260 repair had a large heat affected zone and the hardness of the clad R260 was also much higher than standard R260 grade steel. These characteristics are likely to have been as a result of the pre-heat and duration of cooling which is likely to have been faster in a reduced area. The same extent of heat affected zone was observed by Seo et al. [23] in partial clad specimens.

Inclusions or porosity were identified in some of the MSS and Stellite 6 repairs, which is assumed to be caused by the feed rate or head speed of the laser cladding process or the geometry of the repair channel. When sectioned the medium depth MSS repair 3 had evidence of inclusions observed in the sample subjected to the unlubricated test and the sample subjected to the longer RCF test, resulting in material spalling from the surface. The two shallow MSS repairs had no signs of porosity or inclusions, suggesting that the laser process parameters were optimal for a cladding closer to the surface. Both of the Stellite 6 repair sites had inclusions or porosity. After sectioning it was observed that the deep Stellite 6 repair 4 had a large surface cavity and porosity within the repair following the longer RCF test. The medium Stellite 6 repair 6 was seen to have inclusions in the untested repair sample. Following the short RCF test repair 6 was seen to be moving away from the parent rail at the trailing edge and a cavity was seen to be forming at the interface. After the longer RCF test repair 6 had moved away from the parent rail interface and a large subsurface cavity had formed. Inclusions from non-optimal process parameters are assumed to be responsible for this subsurface weakness at the interface. Where inclusions are present within the repair, away from the surface interface, materials tolerated these defects well, with no RCF cracks propagating from them. Further work is to be conducted to assess the tolerance of inclusions within laser clad coatings deposited with imperfect process parameters.

The interface between repair site and parent rail at the trailing edge was the most vulnerable part of the repairs as seen with areas of white etching layer (WEL) [31]. Hiensch et al. [32] found that stop/start section of laser cladding with a coating of different material properties to the substrate caused joins susceptible to crack initiation. The R260 repair had fewest defects at this interface, with the exception of the short RCF test which saw a substantial network of cracks develop. As the R260 repair did not develop any RCF cracks in the longer RCF test further testing is required to understand the level of crack resistance in this type of repair. The cracks on the trailing edge of the Stellite 6 and MSS repairs which are significantly harder than the parent rail are representative of the cracks seen around sites of WEL which is also an area which can have hardness of up to three times higher than the substrate rail [31], [33]–[36].

1 Plastic shear strain was observed in and below some of the repairs. The R260 repair  
2 experienced material flow in the repair, heat affected zone and parent rail as would be  
3 expected with the single material region. The material selection for non-continuous laser  
4 clad coating applications is seen to be important to the integrity of the repair. The clad  
5 material must be perfectly compatible in terms of not only plastic strain accumulation,  
6 but also Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio and thermal properties. For a repair this is  
7 more important than the extra wear resistant properties, which would actually have a  
8 negative effect as the surrounding parent rail wears faster.

10 The observed elongation of the repair material along the surface and plastic strain  
11 accumulation in the heat affected zone below the repair sites echoes that seen in the test  
12 in [28] in which a thin layer of MSS was laser clad to the R260 substrate rail and shear  
13 strain was accumulated in the heat affected zone. This emphasises the importance of  
14 coating or repair depth to ensure the peak stresses occur within the coating. The thin  
15 gradient to surface in the deep repair causing a lip of thin laser clad coating is therefore  
16 not an optimal design. This is an important result to support the future use of non-  
17 continuous laser clad coating application.

## 19 **5. Conclusions**

20 A series of tests have been designed and conducted to evaluate the integrity, surface  
21 evolution and RCF resistance for in-situ laser clad repairs with three candidate materials.  
22 The tests were run as standard twin-disc tests with the rail discs being manufactured with  
23 6 repairs around the disc surface. Laser clad coatings applied as an in-situ rail repair is a  
24 novel application and this is the first time a small repair has been manufactured and tested  
25 under cyclic loading in a scaled test. Laser clad coating process parameters have  
26 previously been optimised for larger sections of rail rather than small repair sites. The  
27 majority of repairs were clad to a good standard, there were a few that had inclusion or  
28 porosity although the repairs tolerated these well. To take the method forward the process  
29 parameters including the control of pre heating and cooling must be optimised to avoid  
30 porosity within the repair and surrounding rail material.

32 The R260 clad repair had a greater level of surface modification than the harder clad  
33 materials, however, this appeared comparable to standard R260 grade rail steel. The  
34 results further indicate that the geometry of the repairs should be carefully designed to  
35 avoid a thin lip towards the surface. This could be achieved by optimising the defined  
36 pocket shape to be machined out in preparation of the in-situ repair.

38 RCF cracks were found to most commonly occur on the trailing edge. During the tests  
39 the repair material was swept in the direction of traction, driven by the material flow of  
40 the parent rail. In many cases where a harder MSS or Stellite 6 repair was present the

1 R260 grade parent rail swept over the repair site on the surface at the interface. It was  
2 below this overlap of material that RCF cracks were most regularly found.

3  
4 For the purpose of a repair the laser clad coating material that appears to be the most  
5 promising is the R260. Being an identical material to the parent rail it has a comparable  
6 rate of plastic shear strain accumulation and is therefore less vulnerable to crack initiation  
7 points forming at the trailing edge where the parent rail may otherwise flow over the  
8 repair. Following the observation of the shallow repairs experiencing plastic strain  
9 accumulation within the heat affected zone and the subsequent elongation of laser clad  
10 repair along the surface, it is concluded that the depth of laser clad coating is important  
11 in its success.  
12

### 13 **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

14 The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research,  
15 authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### 16 **Funding**

17 The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research,  
18 authorship, and/or publication of this article: This project is funded through Industrial  
19 Case (Cooperative Awards in Science and Technology) studentship number 17100018  
20 with the EPSRC (Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council) National  
21 Productivity Fund and Network Rail Infrastructure Limited. For the purpose of open  
22 access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to any  
23 Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

### 24 **References**

- 25 [1] H. K. Jun, J. W. Seo, I. S. Jeon, S. H. Lee, and Y. S. Chang, 'Fracture and fatigue  
26 crack growth analyses on a weld-repaired railway rail', *Engineering Failure*  
27 *Analysis*, vol. 59, pp. 478–492, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.engfailanal.2015.11.014.  
28 [2] H.-K. Jun, D.-W. Kim, I.-S. Jeon, S.-H. Lee, and Y.-S. Chang, 'Investigation of  
29 residual stresses in a repair-welded rail head considering solid-state phase  
30 transformation', *Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures*,  
31 vol. 40, pp. 1059–1071, 2017, doi: 10.1111/ffe.12564.  
32 [3] B. L. Josefson and J. W. Ringsberg, 'Assessment of uncertainties in life prediction  
33 of fatigue crack initiation and propagation in welded rails', *International Journal*  
34 *of Fatigue*, vol. 31, no. 8–9, pp. 1413–1421, 2009, doi:  
35 10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2009.03.024.

- 1 [4] S. R. Lewis, R. Lewis, and D. I. Fletcher, 'Assessment of laser cladding as an  
2 option for repairing/enhancing rails', *Wear*, vol. 330–331, pp. 581–591, 2015, doi:  
3 10.1016/j.wear.2015.02.027.
- 4 [5] S. R. Lewis *et al.*, 'Improving rail wear and RCF performance using laser  
5 cladding', *Wear*, vol. 366–367, pp. 268–278, 2016, doi:  
6 10.1016/j.wear.2016.05.011.
- 7 [6] S. R. Lewis *et al.*, 'Full-scale testing of laser clad railway track; Case study –  
8 Testing for wear, bend fatigue and insulated block joint lipping integrity', *Wear*,  
9 vol. 376–377, pp. 1930–1937, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.wear.2017.02.023.
- 10 [7] S. Niederhauser and B. Karlsson, 'Fatigue behaviour of Co-Cr laser clad steel  
11 plates for railway applications', in *Wear*, 2005. doi: 10.1016/j.wear.2004.03.026.
- 12 [8] H. ming Guo, Q. Wang, W. jian Wang, J. Guo, Q. yue Liu, and M. hao Zhu,  
13 'Investigation on wear and damage performance of laser cladding Co-based alloy  
14 on single wheel or rail material', *Wear*, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.wear.2015.03.002.
- 15 [9] Q. Lai *et al.*, 'Investigation of a novel functionally graded material for the repair of  
16 premium hypereutectoid rails using laser cladding technology', *Composites Part*  
17 *B: Engineering*, vol. 130, pp. 174–191, 2017, doi:  
18 10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2017.07.089.
- 19 [10] P. Fasihi, R. Abrahams, P. Mutton, C. Qiu, and W. Yan, 'Using laser cladding to  
20 improve tribological properties of light rails for maintenance', presented at the  
21 12th International Conference on Contact Mechanics and Wear of Rail/Wheel  
22 Systems (CM2022), Melbourne, Australia, 2022.
- 23 [11] T. W. Institute, 'The Cladding of Rail Sections', 2019.
- 24 [12] K. Yildirimli, K. Tomlinson, D. Fletcher, and R. Lewis, 'Small-scale testing of rail  
25 laser cladding longevity , parameter tolerance and in-situ repairs in preparation for  
26 field implemetation', presented at the 12th International Conference on Contact  
27 Mechanics and Wear of Rail/Wheel Systems, Melbourne, Australia, 2022.
- 28 [13] J. L. Olofsson, 'Patent: Method for improving the properties of railway wheels',  
29 1998
- 30 [14] A. Clare, O. Oyelola, J. Folkes, and P. Farayibi, 'Laser cladding for railway repair  
31 and preventative maintenance', *Journal of Laser Applications*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp.  
32 032004–032004, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.2351/1.4710578.
- 33 [15] A. T. Clare, O. Oyelola, T. E. Abioye, and P. K. Farayibi, 'Laser cladding of rail  
34 steel with Co–Cr', *Surface Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 731–736, Nov. 2013,  
35 doi: 10.1179/1743294412Y.0000000075.
- 36 [16] W. J. Wang, J. Hu, J. Guo, Q. Y. Liu, and M. H. Zhu, 'Effect of laser cladding on  
37 wear and damage behaviors of heavy-haul wheel/rail materials', *Wear*, 2014, doi:  
38 10.1016/j.wear.2014.01.011.
- 39 [17] W. J. Wang, Z. K. Fu, X. Cao, J. Guo, Q. Y. Liu, and M. H. Zhu, 'The role of  
40 lanthanum oxide on wear and contact fatigue damage resistance of laser cladding

- 1 Fe-based alloy coating under oil lubrication condition', *Tribology International*,  
2 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.triboint.2015.10.017.
- 3 [18] Z. K. Fu, H. H. Ding, W. J. Wang, Q. Y. Liu, J. Guo, and M. H. Zhu,  
4 'Investigation on microstructure and wear characteristic of laser cladding Fe-based  
5 alloy on wheel/rail materials', *Wear*, vol. 330–331, pp. 592–599, May 2015, doi:  
6 10.1016/j.wear.2015.02.053.
- 7 [19] A. A. Siddiqui and A. K. Dubey, 'Recent trends in laser cladding and surface  
8 alloying', *Optics and Laser Technology*, vol. 134, pp. 106619–106619, Feb. 2021,  
9 doi: 10.1016/j.optlastec.2020.106619.
- 10 [20] S. Niederhauser and B. Karlsson, 'Mechanical properties of laser clad steel',  
11 *Material Science and Technology*, vol. 19, 2003.
- 12 [21] E. Mortazavian, Z. Wang, and H. Teng, 'Repair of light rail track through  
13 restoration of the worn part of the railhead using submerged arc welding process',  
14 *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 107, no. 7–8,  
15 pp. 3315–3332, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00170-020-05208-x.
- 16 [22] F. C. Robles Hernández, A. O. Okonkwo, V. Kadekar, T. Metz, and N. Badi,  
17 'Laser cladding: The alternative for field thermite welds life extension', *Materials*  
18 *and Design*, vol. 111, pp. 165–173, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2016.08.061.
- 19 [23] J. W. Seo, J. –C Kim, S. J. Kwon, and H. K. Jun, 'Effects of Laser Cladding for  
20 Repairing and Improving Wear of Rails', *International Journal of Precision*  
21 *Engineering and Manufacturing*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1207–1217, 2019, doi:  
22 10.1007/s12541-019-00115-y.
- 23 [24] T. Xie *et al.*, 'Investigation on the Rolling Contact Fatigue Behaviors of Different  
24 Laser Cladding Materials on the Damaged Rail', *Journal of Tribology*, vol. 143,  
25 pp. 51108–51109, 2021, doi: 10.1115/1.4050690.
- 26 [25] A. S. Nellian, K. E. Tan, H. J. Hoh, J. H. L. Pang, I. Christian, and S. Y. Chua,  
27 'Microstructure and Wear Performance Assessment of Laser Cladded Rail Steel  
28 for Service Life Extension at Sharp-Radius Curves', in *2018 International*  
29 *Conference on Intelligent Rail Transportation, ICIRT 2018*, Feb. 2019. doi:  
30 10.1109/ICIRT.2018.8641613.
- 31 [26] Q. Lai *et al.*, 'Influences of depositing materials, processing parameters and  
32 heating conditions on material characteristics of laser-cladded hypereutectoid  
33 rails', *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 263, pp. 1–20, 2019, doi:  
34 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2018.07.035.
- 35 [27] P. Lu, S. R. Lewis, S. Fretwell-Smith, D. L. Engelberg, D. I. Fletcher, and R.  
36 Lewis, 'Laser cladding of rail; the effects of depositing material on lower rail  
37 grades', *Wear*, vol. 438–439, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.wear.2019.203045.
- 38 [28] K. Tomlinson, D. Fletcher, and R. Lewis, 'Measuring material plastic response to  
39 cyclic loading in modern rail steels from a minimal number of twin-disc tests',  
40 2021, doi: 10.1177/0954409721993615.

- 1 [29] W. R. Tyfour, J. H. Beynon, and A. Kapoor, 'Deterioration of rolling contact  
2 fatigue life of pearlitic rail steel due to dry-wet rolling-sliding line contact', *Wear*,  
3 vol. 197, no. 1–2, pp. 255–265, 1996, doi: 10.1016/0043-1648(96)06978-5.
- 4 [30] D. I. Fletcher, F. J. Franklin, and A. Kapoor, 'Image analysis to reveal crack  
5 development using a computer simulation of wear and rolling contact fatigue.',  
6 *Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures*, vol. 26, pp. 957–  
7 967, 2003, doi: 10.1046/j.1460-2695.2003.00696.x.
- 8 [31] Q. Lian *et al.*, 'Crack propagation behavior in white etching layer on rail steel  
9 surface', *Engineering Failure Analysis*, vol. 104, pp. 816–829, 2019, doi:  
10 10.1016/J.ENGFAILANAL.2019.06.067.
- 11 [32] E. J. M. Hiensch, F. J. Franklin, and J. C. O. Nielsen, 'Prevention of RCF damage  
12 in curved track through development of the Infra-star two-material rail', *Fatigue  
13 and Fracture of engineering materials and structures*, vol. 26, 2003.
- 14 [33] L. Xin, V. Markine, and I. Shevtsov, 'Analysis of the effect of repair  
15 welding/grinding on the performance of railway crossings using field  
16 measurements and finite element modeling', *Proceedings of the Institution of  
17 Mechanical Engineers, Part F: Journal of Rail and Rapid Transit*, vol. 232, no. 3,  
18 pp. 798–815, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0954409717693960.
- 19 [34] R. I. Carroll and J. H. Beynon, 'Rolling contact fatigue of white etching layer: Part  
20 1. Crack morphology', *Wear*, vol. 262, no. 9–10, pp. 1253–1266, 2007, doi:  
21 10.1016/j.wear.2007.01.003.
- 22 [35] O. Vargolici *et al.*, 'Influence of the initial surface state of bodies in contact on the  
23 formation of white etching layers under dry sliding conditions', *Wear*, vol. 366–  
24 367, pp. 209–216, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.wear.2016.06.023.
- 25 [36] A. Al-Juboori *et al.*, 'Squat formation and the occurrence of two distinct classes of  
26 white etching layer on the surface of rail steel', *International Journal of Fatigue*,  
27 vol. 104, pp. 52–60, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2017.07.005.
- 28