



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Modelling the embodied carbon cost of UK domestic building construction: Today to 2050*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/194223/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Drewniok, MP orcid.org/0000-0003-1491-3401, Dunant, CF, Allwood, JM et al. (2 more authors) (2023) Modelling the embodied carbon cost of UK domestic building construction: Today to 2050. *Ecological Economics*, 205. 107725. ISSN 0921-8009

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2022.107725>

© 2022, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Modelling the embodied carbon cost of UK domestic building construction: Today to 2050

Michał P. Drewniok^a, Cyrille F. Dunant^c, Julian M. Allwood^c, Tim Ibell^b, Will Hawkins^b

^a*School of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds*

^b*Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, UK*

^c*Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK*

Abstract

The construction of new domestic properties contributes 2% of UK territorial greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The UK government aims to increase construction of new homes in England by almost a third, to 300,000 per year by the mid-2020s, whilst simultaneously reducing emissions in line with its net zero 2050 commitment. In this paper, for the first time, the upfront embodied carbon cost of constructing domestic properties in the UK by 2050 is quantified. A bottom-up analysis modelling seven domestic building typologies was used, with the material use for each based on current UK practice. Possible interventions to reduce the embodied carbon cost are then analysed. The results show that maintaining today's levels of construction will use the remaining 2050 carbon budget apportioned to house building (160 MtCO_{2e}) by 2036, and cause a substantial increase in domestic floor area per capita. However, construction could reduce and cease entirely by 2035 without reducing today's living floor area per capita (37.5m²), resulting in a substantially reduced cumulative embodied carbon of 88 MtCO_{2e} by 2050. Increasing living floor area per capita to the EU average of 40.5 m², can be achieved within the carbon budget and with zero emissions by 2050. In contrast, increasing house building to government targets will result in double the cumulative emissions than the budget allows.

A number of carbon reduction interventions were then investigated. It was found that of to 75% embodied carbon savings can be achieved by simultaneously changing the typology share, increasing material efficiency, increasing conversion from non-residential buildings and increasing the use of timber for structural purposes.

Keywords: embodied carbon cost, embodied carbon budget, UK domestic building stock, mitigation strategies, building materials

28 1. Introduction

29 The UK has some of the world’s most ambitious greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets. In 2009, it
30 became the first major economy to commit to a ‘net zero’ 2050 target [1]. In April 2021, the UK announced
31 the world’s most ambitious climate change target, cutting emissions by 78% by 2035 compared to 1990
32 levels, including international aviation and shipping emissions [2] (Figure 1).

33 Of current UK annual territorial GHG emissions (454 MtCO_{2e}), one fifth are caused by building
34 operation [3], 90% of which are from domestic buildings. Direct emissions needed to construct new
35 buildings in the UK in 2018 was estimated between 17.0 [4] and 18.5 MtCO_{2e} [5], with domestic buildings
36 accounting for 9.4 and 8.9 MtCO_{2e} respectively. This includes material extraction, manufacturing and
37 production, construction activities and distribution of materials - known as “cradle-to-handover emissions”
38 in BS EN 15643-1:2010 [6] or “upfront embodied carbon” in a recent report on terminology in carbon
39 assessments [7].

40 As building move towards net-zero operational energy, the proportion of embodied carbon will approach
41 100% total emissions [8, 9], so it is crucial to minimise embodied carbon over the building life to achieve
42 net zero UK construction in 2050 [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. For this reason, in 2021 a proposal was launched
43 advocating for Building Regulations to mandate reporting of embodied carbon [15]. This initiative was
44 mainly driven by the construction industry. Just before the 26th UN Climate Change Conference of the
45 Parties (COP26) in Glasgow, the UK Government recognised the importance in reporting on embodied
46 carbon in buildings and infrastructure, and committed to explore a maximum level of embodied carbon for
47 new buildings in the future [16].

48 In 2018, there were approximately 28.7 million dwellings in the UK, with an overall floor area
49 of approximately 2.6 billion m² [17]. Historically, there has been a clear correlation between the UK
50 population change and the change in domestic building stock [17, 18]. Based on anticipated population
51 trends, we can predict that the domestic building stock will grow 11% to reach almost 2.9 billion m²
52 by 2050 (Figure 1). The delivery of these buildings is directly related to emissions from materials and
53 construction processes. If these emissions remain as they are today, the share of emissions from domestic
54 building construction will increase to 50% of the total UK emissions allowed by the Climate Change
55 Committee’s recommended “Balanced Net Zero” Pathway in 2045 (19 MtCO_{2e}) [19], referred to in this
56 paper as the “Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway”.

57 Existing UK Roadmaps which aim for net-zero by 2050 rely heavily on technologies that are not
58 commercially ready, such as carbon capture and storage (CCS). For example, according to “The Sixth
59 Carbon Budget - The UK’s path to Net Zero” published by the Climate Change Committee in December
60 2020 [19], a fifth of current emissions will be removed in 2050 using underdeveloped technologies.




Figure 1: UK historical and the Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway (left axis) [19] and UK and floor area of dwellings predictions (right axis) [17, 18]

61 Therefore, a holistic strategy and policy along the supply chain which can place resource efficiency at the
 62 heart of industrial strategy is crucial in achieving decarbonisation targets [20]. Possible resource efficiency
 63 strategies in domestic buildings may include increased structural efficiency, technological change, increased
 64 conversion from non-domestic stock, or even approaches aimed at reducing total demand.

65 Current studies on the decarbonisation of the built environment have primarily focused on existing
 66 building stocks at building, precinct and city levels [21, 22, 23, 24]. Some studies conducted at the national
 67 level are static analyses that do not allow assessments or comparisons over time [25, 26, 27].

68 Only a few studies have explored mitigation strategies on a national level. For the the residential
 69 building stock in the U.S., Hu [28] found that, while the progressive scenario produces a carbon emission
 70 reduction of 42%, it still exceeds the carbon budget for a 1.5°C pathway. They found that single-family
 71 detached houses (SDs) are the top contributor to embodied carbon in the U.S., and small multifamily
 72 houses are the most carbon-intense. They suggest questioning the need for building new SDs, and their
 73 appropriate size. They indicate that the focus should be on creating a regulatory environment that can
 74 promote less carbon-intense materials but also replacing embodied carbon-intensive buildings with low-
 75 embodied carbon materials. They also found that exterior walls contribute the most carbon emissions across
 76 all building types. Mitigation pathways for Australia’s built environment was studied by Allen et al. [29],

77 who found it possible to reduce total emissions towards net-zero by 2050 under suitable policy settings.
78 With ambitious policies and rigorous life-cycle and lifetime management, it would even be possible to
79 achieve a net-negative emissions by 2050 by accounting for a carbon sequestration in timber buildings at a
80 large scale. However, they conclude that there is no ‘silver bullet’ to reach net-zero, so a combination of
81 measures are required.

82 Serrenho et al. [30] have predicted the embodied and operational emissions in the English housing
83 stock to 2050 and found that progress in the use of low carbon materials in construction and the deployment
84 of zero-operational carbon buildings at scale would not be enough to deliver a reduction of emissions of
85 the scale. To achieve the goals they propose to improve building standards for both new and pre-existing
86 construction, and reduce the demand for floor area per capita by promoting flexible design of buildings,
87 house sharing or telecommuting. Embodied carbon in this study was limited only to one structural system
88 – masonry cavity walls with concrete blocks and reinforced concrete flat slabs. This wall technology is
89 used in approximately 80% of new one and two family new houses, as well as only in low rise residential
90 buildings [4], and the most common material used to construct floors in these buildings is timber followed
91 by precast concrete slabs (e.g. Hollowcore), rather than flat slabs [31, 4]. The embodied carbon was also
92 limited to cradle-to-gate emissions (only material extraction, manufacturing and production of materials),
93 excluding the delivery of materials and construction processes. Serrenho et al. [30] did not analyse
94 conversion from non-domestic stock as well as resource efficiency strategies and therefore this analysis
95 does not include variety of possible intervention to meet Net UK Zero targets in construction.

96 Drewniok et al. [4] analysed the embodied carbon of current (2018) UK construction, including the
97 superstructure, substructure, façade, doors, windows and wall finishes of domestic and non-domestic
98 buildings. This is, however, only a snap-shot for 2018 and does not model future predictions. According to
99 Drewniok et al. [4], 66% of upfront embodied emissions in current UK construction arise from materials that
100 use cement as a binder. These are considered hard to decarbonise, since around 50–60% of their production
101 emissions are from the chemical decomposition of the raw materials [32], where emissions reductions
102 techniques, such as alternative fuels and energy efficiency are not effective. Shanks et al. [33] calculated
103 that the UK domestic building sector consumed approximately 4.6 Mt out of 13.0 Mt of cementitious
104 materials in 2014, delivering approximately 177,000 new builds [17]. Since then, cement consumption
105 in the UK has increased by 2.2 Mt, reaching 15.2 Mt with 250,000 new builds [17]¹. Drewniok et al [4]
106 found that in 2018, 4.6 Mt out of 11.7 Mt cement alone was used to deliver new domestic properties.

107 No studies modelling embodied carbon by 2050 to deliver domestic properties in the UK have been

¹England - scaled up by population

108 previously done.

109 If, as described in the Climate Change Committee’s “The Sixth Carbon Budget” [19], the Government’s
110 goal is to achieve near-zero emissions for all cement production by 2040 [19] without highly optimistic
111 CCS technology, then bulk cement production as we know today should stop by this date (currently 80%
112 of cement sales in the UK is from domestic production [34]). On this assumption, current practice does not
113 allow to reach zero carbon construction by 2050, and thus the UK concrete industry’s GHG emissions are
114 likely to exceed Government targets, or require considerable carbon sequestration [35].

115 Since 2016, annual net additions of dwellings in England has increased by 12% reaching 236,000,
116 whereas new build completions increased by 17% to 209,000 [17]. Following The National Housing
117 Federation (NHF) and Crisis from Heriot-Watt University that identified “*a need for 340,000 homes each*
118 *year to 2031*” in England [36], to deliver these buildings material consumption needs to double compared
119 to 2016. In 2015, the UK Government set out an ambition to deliver 1 million net additions to the housing
120 stock by the end of 2020 in England. Since 2019 the ambition of the Government is to deliver 300,000
121 new homes (net additions) a year by the mid-2020s [37]. The main driver was to reduce affordability
122 pressures for low and middle incomes and first time buyers [38]. This commitment appears to be in direct
123 contradiction to carbon reduction goals [30], although questions remain over whether this quantity of home
124 can be delivered with reduced emissions.

125 In this paper, for the first time, an embodied carbon analysis of UK domestic building construction to
126 2050 is conducted, based on a bottom-up analysis of material use in UK construction for 2018 presented
127 by Drewniok et al. [4]. Various scenarios are explored, including technology variations, typology share,
128 demolition rates as well as total construction rates (and the associated floor area per capita). This paper also
129 investigates the consequences of permanent occupation of vacant and second homes on upfront embodied
130 carbon, and increases in conversion from office, agricultural, storage and light industrial to residential flats.
131 For each scenario, the cumulative carbon costs is compared to the UK’s carbon budget to 2050.

132 **2. Background**

133 *2.1. The existing UK domestic building stock*

134 Over the last 50 years, England has represented 83-84% of the total UK domestic building stock,
135 precisely the same proportion as population [17, 18]. Taking advantage of this correlation, when data for
136 the UK is unavailable, this study scales statistics for England, England and Wales, or Great Britain by
137 population to cover the UK where necessary.

138 The average usable floor area out of 28.7m dwellings [17] in the UK is 94m², 3m² higher than in
139 2008. The English Housing Survey (EHS) [17] distinguishes seven main domestic property typologies, the




Figure 2: English and UK housing stock 1970 – 2019 – left, population of England and UK – right [17, 18]

140 relative proportions of which are included in Table 1, along with average floor areas and the share of net
 141 annual additions. The EHS distinguishes low rise flats as having up to six storeys, and high rise over six.

Table 1: Average floor area in m² per domestic property typology in England, the share in the domestic building stock and the share of annual additions.

Typology	Average floor area			Typology share in domestic building stock [% share by number]	Typology share of annual additions ¹ [% share by number]
	2008 [m ²]	2018 [m ²]	Change [%]		
End-terrace	83	89	6%	10%	17%
Mid-terrace	82	88	6%	18%	17%
Semi-detached	92	97	5%	25%	31%
Detached	147	149	1%	17%	14%
Bungalow	76	77	1%	9%	2%
Converted flat	69	66	-5%	4%	10%
Purpose built flat, low rise	56	7	4%	15%	8%
Purpose built flat, high rise	59	61	3%	2%	1%

¹ 5 years average (2013-2018)

142 **2.2. Annual domestic building completion, conversions and demolitions**

143 Terraced houses have the largest share in annual additions to the domestic building stock followed by
 144 semi-detached houses and low rise purpose built flats. The lowest share in annual additions are high rise
 145 flats (Table 1).

146 Between 2012 and 2019, net annual additions in the UK increased from 144,000 to 250,000, and the
 147 number of properties that changed in use from office, agricultural, storage and light industrial to residential
 148 (conversion) increased from 22,000 to 42,000. Since 2006, demolition decreased from 26,000 to 9,000

149 (Figure 3), which is the lowest reported in this period. Serrenho et al. [30] assessed the average lifetime
 150 for dwellings in England at 52 years. Due to very low demolition rate, the life expectancy of domestic
 151 buildings in the UK is increasing over the time.




Figure 3: Annual net additions to the UK domestic building stock (England - scaled up by population to UK) [17]

152 2.3. Vacant buildings and second homes

153 According to the Live Tables on dwelling stocks published by the Ministry of Housing, Communities
 154 & Local Government (MHCLG), there were 634,000 vacant dwellings in England in 2018. These include
 155 long-term empty homes which have been unoccupied and substantially unfurnished for over six months, as
 156 well as dwellings undergoing major structural repairs for up to 12 months [39]. Scaling this by population,
 157 we can expect approximately 750,000 vacant dwellings currently in the UK, representing 2.7% of the UK
 158 building stock (Figure 4). This figure has varied between 700-930,000 over the last 15 years.

159 Second homes are defined as properties used by family/friends as holiday home, let to others as a
 160 holiday let, or for occupation while working away from home [17]. In 2018, 772,000 households in
 161 England owned a total of 783,000 second homes, of which 495,000 were in the UK. Scaling this figure by
 162 population, we estimate 588,000 second homes in the UK in 2018: 2% of the of the UK domestic building
 163 stock (Figure 4). The main reasons for having second homes are “to use as a holiday home or weekend
 164 cottage”, with a share of 39%, and “as a long-term investment and/or source of income”, with a 35% share
 165 [17].




Figure 4: The share of vacant dwellings and second homes in the UK domestic building stock [17].

166 *2.4. Floor area per capita*

167 Floor area per capita can be calculated using various methodologies. One approach is to divide the
 168 total dwelling stock floor area by population. In this case, the total floor area can be found by multiplying
 169 all dwellings by the average floor area per dwelling. This methodology was used by Serrenho et al. [30],
 170 who found that the average floor area of domestic buildings per capita in England in 2013 was 38.8 m².
 171 Jiang et al. [40] used the same method and found similar results. Another approach is to assess the occupied
 172 floorspace (living floor area, excluding vacant properties). Using this approach, Gleeson [41] found that
 173 between 1996 and 2018 the floorspace per person in England rose from 34.8 m² to 38.1 m², with very little
 174 change in the last decade. The main focus was on the space available per person, so it was less meaningful
 175 to include space in vacant homes in this measure. Therefore, the average floorspace per person in an area
 176 or among a particular group was calculated as the average space per (occupied) dwelling divided by the
 177 average household size.

178 The differences in these two approaches are presented in Figure 5. This figure also highlights
 179 the actual (living) floor area that excludes both vacant and second homes, which was 37.5m² per capita in
 180 2018. This approach is adopted in this paper for further analysis.

181 **3. Methodology**

182 *3.1. Materials and upfront embodied carbon to deliver domestic properties based on current UK practice*

183 The material intensity to deliver domestic buildings in the UK in 2018 was taken from Drewniok et al. [4].
 184 This study modelled the building typologies listed in the English Housing Survey (EHS) [17] (Table 1)
 185 using a bottom-up approach, and therefore represents current housing trends. The height of the analysed
 186 single family houses (except bungalows) was assumed as 2 storeys. The identified properties had either 2,
 187 3 or 4 bedrooms (Table 2 and Supporting Information, SI, Section 3 [42]).




Figure 5: UK average residential internal floor area. Building stock, vacant properties and second homes are shown according to [17], population [18]. The floor area of domestic stock was calculated based on the average floor area of seven different typologies for England and scaled by population to the UK. The floor area of vacant and second homes was calculated using the number of properties and average floor area of English domestic building stock and scaled up by population to UK figures. The data for second homes in 2011 and 2014-2017 was unavailable - for these years values were chosen to match to the UK building stock trend.

* long-term empty homes which have been unoccupied and substantially unfurnished for over six months as well as dwellings undergoing major structural repairs for up to 12 months.

** properties used by family/friends as holiday home, let to others as a holiday let, for occupation while working away from home[17].

Table 2: Model buildings used for analysis by Drewbiok et al. [4]

Typology	Code	Model buildings	Floor area (GIA) m ²
End-terrace	E-T	3 bedroom	79
Mid-terrace	M-T	3 bedroom	79
Semi-detached	S-D	3 bedroom	94
Detached	D	4 bedroom	132
Bungalow	B	3 bedroom	76
Converted flats	C-F	2 bedroom	62
Purpose built flat low rise up to 4 storeys	LRF<4	2 bedroom	62
Purpose built flat low rise up to 6 storeys	4≤LRF≤6	2 bedroom	62
Purpose built flat high rise up to 10 storeys	7≤HRF≤10	2 bedroom	62
Purpose built flat high rise above 10 storeys	HRF>10	2 bedroom	62

188 For each case study, based on the layout, the material intensity of the substructure, structure, roof,
 189 partitions, cladding, walls and ceiling finishes (e.g. plaster), windows and doors was calculated. In this
 190 study MEP was not included. For conversion from office, agricultural, storage and light industrial to
 191 residential flats, it was assumed that foundations and upper floors are reused, the structural system (load
 192 bearing walls, frame) is reused at 50% and all other elements are replaced with new. The overall material
 193 intensity for the modelled typologies, including waste from construction processes, represent weighted
 194 averages of commonly used construction materials and technologies in the UK (SI, Section 3, Table 3.1).

195 The upfront embodied carbon in Drewniok at al. [4] is defined as the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
 196 associated with materials and construction processes up to practical completion (cradle-to-handover,
 197 Modules A1-A5, [43, 44, 7]), and are presented here in Figure 6. All upfront carbon coefficients are listed
 198 in the SI, Section 12, Table 32.




Figure 6: Upfront carbon per m² of GIA for average UK domestic building typologies by end use (top) and material (bottom), for 2018 [4].

199 Drewniok at al. [4] found that the upfront embodied carbon to deliver approximately 250,000 new
 200 builds and 37,000 converted properties in 2018 in the UK was almost 9.5 MtCO_{2e}. 20% of these emissions
 201 were associated with substructure (foundations), 20% with external finishes (of which 95% was in the
 202 cavity wall outer skin), 16% with ground floors, 14% with load bearing walls, 9% with partitions and
 203 6% with roofs. The most carbon intensive materials were ready mix concrete 22%, concrete blocks 17%,
 204 bricks 16%, and steel rebar for concrete 11%. Cementitious structural materials including concrete, precast
 205 concrete, concrete blocks, (excluding steel reinforcement) in total 44% of the upfront embodied carbon,
 206 whereas timber was only 3%.

207 *3.2. Future domestic building predictions*

208 Based on a projected UK population increase of 10% to 74 million by 2050 [18], the building stock
 209 might be expected to increase accordingly to 2.9bn m² (Figure 7), if a constant floor area per capita 39.3 m²
 210 (living floor area 37.5 m²) as found in Section 2.4, Figure 5 is maintained. This approach was used by
 211 Serrenho et al. [30] to describe baseline for their analysis. Nevertheless, the pace of change of domestic
 212 building stock may vary depending on on the amount of new property completions, demolition rates, and
 213 the number of conversions from non-domestic properties. Serrenho et al. [30] considered changes in
 214 new completions and demolition rates, and modelled an increase in floor area to 50.4 m² and decrease to
 215 27.1 m² compared to 38.8 m² in 2013. For comparison, the average residential floor area in Europe is
 216 approximately 40 m² per capita [45], and is similar in most other OECD member countries [40]).




Figure 7: Domestic building stock prediction by floor area. Domestic building stock pre-2018 according to [17, 18], pre-2018 and post-2018 population prediction according to [18]

217 Based on the upfront domestic building embodied carbon found by Drewniak et al. [4], this study
 218 analyses future carbon emissions in two parts:

219 **Part 1 - Demand side impacts** Including assessment of the impact of the annual net additions and changes
 220 in living space per capita, maintaining the same construction practices as today.

221 **Part 2 - Supply side impacts** Including assessment of the impact of the demolition rate, the share of
 222 sub-typologies, the share of technologies and the change in the number of conversions.

223 *3.3. Part 1 - The impact of net additions and living space per capita*

224 This section investigates four options differing in annual net additions and living floor area per capita.
 225 These include: increasing net additions to government targets of 300,000 per year in England [37] (355,000

226 in the UK), and maintaining this to 2050 (Option I); maintaining current 2018 net addition levels (Option
 227 II); a reduction of net additions to zero by 2050 (Option III); and finally a scenario which gives a similar
 228 floor area per capita in 2050 to that of 2018 (Option IV). These are summarised in Table 3.

229 In each case, the same 2018 demolition rate, share of sub-typologies and share of technologies are
 230 assumed. The analysis also accounts for decarbonisation of key construction materials, per unit produced,
 231 based on literature evidence. The reduction rates are summarised in Table 5, and consider Carbon Capture
 232 and Storage (CCS) technologies and the use of hydrogen as being unlikely, due to their current lack of
 233 development at significant scale.

234

Table 3: Change in net additions and floor area per capita - Part 1

Options	Living floor area per capita in 2050 [m ²]	Net additions	Demolition rate	Technology share
Option I	48.0	increase to 355,000 ¹ by 2025 and constant by 2050	Constant 5 year average Table 4	current practice
Option II	45.0	constant, 2018 level until 2050	Constant 5 year average	current practice
Option III	40.5	a reduction to 0 by 2050	Constant 5 year average Table 4	current practice
Option IV	37.5	adjusted to get assumed living floor area per capita	Constant 5 year average Table 4	current practice

¹ follows the UK Government plan to deliver 300,000 new homes a year (net additions) by the mid-2020s. in England [37] - this number scaled up to cover the UK

Table 4: Share of net additions - average for five years from 2013-2018 [17]

	share of net additions by number	share of net additions by floor area used for demolitions
E-T	16.6%	16.5%
M-T	16.9%	16.7%
S-D	28.5%	31.1%
D	8.9%	14.9%
B	2.1%	1.8%
CF	14.7%	10.9%
LR<6	9.3%	6.1%
4≤LRF≤6	2.3%	1.5%
7≤HRF≤10	0.5%	0.3%
HRF>10	0.1%	0.0%

235 *3.4. Part 2 - The impact of demolition rate, typology and technology share, and conversions*

236 This section tests the effects of demolition rates, typology and technology share, and conversion
 237 rates to find interventions that yield the greatest embodied carbon savings. Constant 2020 level of net

Table 5: Material carbon reduction by 2050

Material	Carbon reduction by 2050	Scope	Source
Cementitious materials	36%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ efficiency in concrete production (electrification) ○ savings in cement and binders ○ savings in clinker production ○ de-carbonisation of electricity 	[46]
Steel	36%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ change to bioenergy ○ electrification ○ change the technology performance, ○ shift to other fuel shift 	[47]
Aluminium	76%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ zero carbon electricity ○ increase energy efficiency ○ 100% scrap collection ○ direct emissions reduction 	[48]
Timber	47%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ grid decarbonisation 	[49]
Plasterboard	16%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ grid decarbonisation 	[49]
PVC (plastic)	31%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ new processes (ready to use only) 	[50]

238 additions was assumed (business as usual, Option II included in **Part 1**). Five different Scenarios were
 239 considered (Table 6). The *Higher* scenario includes a significant increase in the demolition of vacant
 240 domestic buildings, which is now permitted by the General Permitted Development approved in August
 241 2020 (England) (Amendment) (No. 3) Order 2020 [51].

Table 6: Impact of different interventions on upfront embodied carbon by 2050

Scenario	Variables	Notes
Higher	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ current demolition rate ○ demolition of all vacant properties by 2050 ○ current practice 	current demolition (12,000 / year, 1.1 million m ² /year) demolition of all 753,300 properties with floor area of 69.3m m ² by 2050
Baseline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ current demolition rate ○ current practice 	equivalent to the Option II from a Table 3 demolition of 12,000 (approx. 1.1 million m ²) properties per year
Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ reduction of demolition to zero by 2050 ○ occupy of all vacant buildings by 2050 ○ current practice 	demolition from 12,000 (approx. 1.1 million m ²) to zero by 2050, use of all vacant buildings by 2050 (2018, 753,324 buildings, approx. 69.3 million m ²)
Lower	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ reduction of demolition to zero by 2050 ○ change in typology share ○ current practice 	demolition as in Low scenario, reduce the share of one and two family houses by a half, increase conversions by 70%*, increase a share of low rise residential by a factor of three and increase a share of high rise residential properties by nine times
Lowest	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ reduction of demolition to zero by 2050 ○ change in typology share ○ change in technology ○ increase in efficiency 	demolition and typology share as in Lower scenario, this option assumes increase in use of timber for structural purposes in one and two family houses, low rise residential buildings as well as conversions.

*Scenario Lower includes simulation where by 2050 approximately 80 million m² of office, industrial and other buildings floor area will be converted for domestic purposes (35% of 2018 office buildings floor area, 10% industrial and 10% other buildings [52]). This makes approximately 2.7 million m²/y by 2050, 70% increase compared to 2018.

242 4. Results

243 4.1. Part 1 - Demand side impacts

244 Figure 8 (top) presents the change in living floor area per capita in the UK and number of net additions
245 for the four options in Table 4. The bottom part of Figure 8 shows the annual upfront embodied carbon
246 cost to deliver these, with and without decarbonisation of material production (solid line and dashed line,
247 respectively). The graph also includes the total UK emissions under the Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway
248 [19] (red dashed line), which reaches zero by 2050.

249 Maintaining the current demolition rate, reducing net additions to zero by 2050 (Option III) still results
250 in a greater living floor area per capita than 2018, increasing by 2 m² to 40.5 m², close to the current EU
251 average. Taking into account material carbon reduction, this option would be responsible for 3% share of
252 The Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway in 2045 (green lines on Figure 8), with a cumulative upfront embodied
253 carbon of 160 MtCO_{2e} by 2050.

254 If the 2018 living floor area per capita is maintained in 2050 (37.5 m²) and having current rates of
255 demolition, then new additions must decline to zero by 2035, with carbon emissions following accordingly
256 (Option IV, grey lines). In this case, the cumulative upfront embodied carbon by 2050, including material
257 carbon reduction, drops by almost a half, to 88.4 MtCO_{2e}.

258 Maintaining 2018 net additions to 2050, with current demolition rates (Option II, orange line), increases
259 the living floor area per capita to 45 m², today's average residential floor area in Germany [40]. Given
260 the reduction in material carbon footprint, it will have 18% share of The Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway
261 (orange lines on Figure 8) with a cumulative upfront embodied carbon by 2050 of 250 MtCO_{2e}.

262 Following the UK Government plan to deliver 300,000 homes a year by the mid-2020s in England
263 (355,000 in the UK), and maintaining this to 2050 (Option I, dark red line), increased the living floor area
264 by 10 m² in 2050 to 48 m², the current average for Nordic countries [45]. In this scenario, in 2045 the
265 emissions to deliver domestic buildings will reach 25% of the Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway (including
266 material carbon reduction). The cumulative upfront embodied carbon by 2050 will double compared to
267 Option III, reaching (320 MtCO_{2e}).

268 If all today's vacant buildings are occupied, the living floor area will increase by 1 m², and by another
269 0.6 m² if all second homes located in the UK are used for long term occupation (ignoring any upfront
270 embodied carbon cost this may incur). This means that for the lowest upfront embodied carbon option,
271 Option III and Option IV, the living floor area in 2050 could reach 42.1 and 39.1 m², respectively.

272 4.2. Part 2 - Supply side impacts

273 Figure 9 shows the impact of supply-side interventions, as summarised in Table 6, which each feature
274 Option II for their underlying demand baseline (business as usual, a constant rate of house building).





Figure 8: Options to deliver domestic properties by 2050. Top - living floor area in the UK and number of net additions for each option, bottom - upfront carbon for analysed options. Living floor area in the UK excludes vacant a properties and second homes.

275 Analysis include material decarbonisation strategies included in Table 5. Material carbon reduction
276 strategies allow the business as usual (Baseline, orange line) to reduce embodied carbon by 28% compared
277 to 2018 with 250 MtCO_{2e} of cumulative upfront embodied carbon by 2050, and a share of 18% of the
278 Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway in 2045.

279 We currently have a low annual residential demolition rate, so the embodied carbon reduction from less
280 demolition is around 2%. The interventions that can bring up to 50% of embodied carbon reduction are:

- 281 • change of typology share with an increase in the share of low and high rise residential properties,
282 and an increase in conversion from non-domestic buildings – *Lower scenario*, or
- 283 • occupy all vacant buildings by 2050 – *Low scenario*

284 For *Lower scenario* and *Low scenario* we could expect 220 MtCO_{2e} of cumulative upfront embodied
285 carbon by 2050 and a share of 15% of the Balanced UK Net Zero Pathway in 2045. For both these scenarios
286 (*Lower scenario* and *Low scenario*), savings could reach 63% if by 2050 all second homes are used for
287 living purposes.

288 The highest, 75%, savings was found if, in addition to changing the share of typologies and increasing
289 conversion, we increase the use of timber and increase material efficiency (*Lowest scenario*). The use
290 of timber frame structures are also beneficial as they are commonly used alongside natural insulation
291 systems such as hemp, cork and sheep's wool. Even with 75% upfront carbon reduction (180 MtCO_{2e} of
292 cumulative upfront embodied carbon by 2050), this scenario will still have 10% of The Balanced UK Net
293 Zero Pathway in 2045.

294 Keeping a constant 2018 demolition rate and replacing all vacant buildings with well-designed new
295 residential units (*Higher scenario*) – allowed by Country Planning Order 2020, No. 3) [51], in 2050 we
296 can expect similar upfront embodied carbon as in 2018, reaching 25% share of The Balanced UK Net Zero
297 Pathway by 2045 and with 390 MtCO_{2e} of cumulative upfront embodied carbon by 2050 (solid red line).

298 5. Discussion

299 It was found that upfront emissions increased by 2% to deliver domestic buildings in 2020, compared
300 to 2018 (from 9.4 MtCO_{2e} to 9.6 MtCO_{2e}).

301 Reaching absolute zero emissions in 2050 apportioned to house building (linear approximation from
302 2018 to 2050) we could “use” approximately 160 MtCO_{2e} (remaining upfront embodied carbon budget
303 from the supply of domestic buildings). Maintaining today's domestic buildings net additions, including
304 envisaged strategies for the decarbonisation of building materials, we will use this budget in 16 years,




Figure 9: Interventions to minimise upfront carbon for analysed scenarios.

305 by 2036. By changing the share of typologies, increasing conversion, increasing the use of timber and
 306 increasing material efficiency, we will use this budget by 2039.

307 This analysis suggests that it is not possible to eliminate emissions without the number of new additions
 308 to the building stock reaching zero. With a gradual reduction number of net additions from today, the 2050
 309 living floor area per capita will still increase by 2 m² to the current EU level. This will increase by a further
 310 1 m² if vacant buildings are occupied. This analysis was made assuming current demolition rates. Due to
 311 ongoing efforts to prolonging building life in the UK - refurbishments, conservation of existing buildings
 312 - it seems to be unlikely that the demolition of domestic buildings will increase significantly. A threat
 313 to this could be the General Permitted Development approved in August 2020 (England) (Amendment)
 314 (No. 3) Order 2020 [51]. The Order allows the demolition of vacant residential blocks and replacing these
 315 with well-designed new residential units. The Order also stipulates that builders no longer need a normal
 316 planning application to demolish and rebuild vacant and redundant residential and commercial buildings if
 317 they are rebuilt as homes. As shown in Figure 9, replacing vacant residential buildings combined with
 318 with the Government's goal to deliver 1 million net additions to the housing stock by the end of 2020s
 319 in England (355,000 in the UK) will result in spending the remaining upfront embodied carbon budget
 320 by 2030. The Government's justification for increasing new homes additions is to reduce affordability
 321 pressures especially "for the nurse, the teacher, and those on low and middle incomes, and particularly for

322 *those trying to get on the housing ladder for the first time*” [38]. Nevertheless, boosting supply and does
323 not offer a solution to the affordability crisis, since a shortage of housing did not contribute to house price
324 increases between 1996 and 2018 [53]. Raising the rate of supply will do little to bring prices down, and
325 will instead result in a growing number of vacant properties [53]. What is also important, more than a third
326 of second homes in the UK are for a long-term investment or source of income [17]. This increases the
327 price of domestic properties, both new and second-hand.

328 This analysis includes only the substructure, superstructure, partitions, finishing walls and ceilings
329 (plaster), windows and doors. The calculations do not include either mechanical, electrical and plumbing
330 services, external works or painting. Including these can increase the upfront carbon for different properties
331 by 10-15% [54] [55]. These aspects are the scope of authors future research. This study does not include
332 operational emissions from new and existing buildings. This aspect as well as housing needs without
333 transgressing national climate and biodiversity goals will be investigated further by the authors.

334 **6. Conclusions**

335 This paper analyses various future scenarios for delivering domestic properties in the UK by 2050,
336 and explores possible interventions to minimise upfront embodied carbon. The main conclusions are as
337 follows:

- 338 • The upfront embodied carbon (cradle-to-handover) of house building is set to become an increasingly
339 significant share of UK emissions if current construction rates continue.
- 340 • Maintaining today’s emissions, the remaining 2050 carbon budget apportioned to house building
341 will be spent in 16 years, by 2036.
- 342 • The current net rate of new domestic properties far exceeds population growth, so the living floor
343 area per capita is increasing.
- 344 • Even if rates of house building reduce to zero by 2050, the floor area per capita will still be greater
345 in 2050 than it is today.
- 346 • The impact of occupying vacant properties can bring up to 45% embodied carbon savings by 2050.
- 347 • The potential impact of changing housing typologies and increasing the number of conversions is
348 also quite significant, similar to occupying vacant properties.
- 349 • The impact of using the best currently available technology is very significant, and should be the
350 primary focus of policies to reduce embodied carbon in construction.

351 7. Supplementary information

352 Supplementary Information for this study is available from the University of Leeds at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5518/1176)
353 [org/10.5518/1176](https://doi.org/10.5518/1176).

354 8. Acknowledgements

355 This work was supported by EPSRC programme grant ‘UKFIRES’ Ref. EP/S019111/1; A part of this
356 study was supported by EPSRC grant ‘TransFIRE’ Ref. EP/V054627/1

357 9. Contribution

358 MPD the lead in writing the manuscript, conceptual ideas and proof outline, methodology, modeling.
359 All authors were engaged on the conceptual ideas. WH, CFD verified analytical methods, WH, CFD
360 interpretation of the results, proofreading of the manuscript, visualization, review & editing.

361 References

- 362 [1] The Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019, No. 1056. Legal Rule or Regulation, The
363 UK Government, 2019. <http://bit.ly/2uF3wJB>.
- 364 [2] Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy, Prime Minister’s Office, The Rt Hon Kwasi Kwarteng
365 MP, The Rt Hon Alok Sharma MP, and The Rt Hon Boris Johnson MP. UK enshrines new target in law to slash
366 emissions by 78% by 2035. <https://bit.ly/3lhUn0r>, 2021. Press Release.
- 367 [3] Final UK greenhouse gas emissions national statistics. Government document, BEIS, 2021. [http://bit.ly/](http://bit.ly/3id07rZ)
368 [3id07rZ](http://bit.ly/3id07rZ).
- 369 [4] Drewniok M.P., Cruz Azevedo J.M. and Dunant C.F., Allwood J.M., Cullen J.M., Ibell T., and Hawkins W.
370 Mapping material use and embodied carbon in UK construction. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling -*
371 *submitted 29/06/2022, preprint available under the link: <https://doi.org/10.31224/2441> or at SSRN:*
372 <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4153659>.
- 373 [5] Green A., Jonca A., Spurrier T., Pountney Ch., Giesekam J., Stelle K., et al. Net Zero Whole Life Carbon
374 Roadmap. Technical report, UKGBC, 2021. <https://bit.ly/3zQyyLB>.
- 375 [6] BS EN 15643:2010 - Sustainability of construction works. Sustainability assessment of buildings. General
376 framework, October 2010.
- 377 [7] Anderson J., Bagenal George C., Bowles L., Desai K., Drewniok M.P., Edwards M., J. Giesekam, Hamot M.,
378 Hopkins B., Smith M., Sturgis S., and Wyatt S. Improving Consistency in Whole Life Carbon Assessment and
379 Reporting, Carbon Definitions for the Built Environment, Buildings and Infrastructure. Report, WLCN, LETI,
380 RIBA, 2021. <https://bit.ly/3xWVh79>.
- 381 [8] Ibn-Mohammed T., Greenough R., Taylor S., Ozawa-Meida L., and Acquaye A. Operational vs. embodied
382 emissions in buildings—a review of current trends. *Energy and Buildings*, 66:232 – 245, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.07.026>.
- 384 [9] Moynihan M.C. and Allwood J.M. Utilization of structural steel in buildings. *Proc. R. Soc. A*,
385 470(2168):20140170, August 2014.

- 386 [10] Hammond G. and Jones C. Inventory of carbon & energy (ICE) version 2.0. *University of Bath*, 19:20, 2011.
- 387 [11] Pomponi F. and Moncaster A. Embodied carbon mitigation and reduction in the built environment – what does
388 the evidence say? 181, 2016-10-01.
- 389 [12] Akbarnezhad A. and Xiao J. Estimation and Minimization of Embodied Carbon of Buildings: A Review.
390 *Buildings*, 7(1):5, 2017-03.
- 391 [13] Pomponi F., Moncaster A., and De Wolf C. Furthering embodied carbon assessment in practice: Results of
392 an industry-academia collaborative research project. *Energy and Buildings*, 167:177–186, 2018. [10.1016/j.
393 enbuild.2018.02.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.02.052).
- 394 [14] Orr J., Drewniak M.P., Walker I., Ibell T., Copping A., and Emmitt S. Minimising energy in construction:
395 Practitioners’ views on material efficiency. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 140:125 – 136, 2018.
- 396 [15] Giesekam J., Arnold W., den Dekker T., Godefroy J., and Sturgis S. Part Z - A proposed amendment to UK
397 Building Regulations 2010. <http://bit.ly/3gwT1Qo>.
- 398 [16] Net Zero Strategy: Build Back Greener, 2021. [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/
399 net-zero-strategy](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/net-zero-strategy).
- 400 [17] English Housing Survey, Household Data. [data collection]. UK Data Service. SN: 8669. [http://doi.org/
401 10.5255/UKDA-SN-8669-1](http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-8669-1), accessed 2/02/2022.
- 402 [18] UN. Probabilistic Population Projections Rev. 1 based on the World Population Prospects 2019 rev. 1.
403 Report, United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2019. [http:
404 //population.un.org/wpp/](http://population.un.org/wpp/), accessed 3/02/2022.
- 405 [19] The Sixth Carbon Budget, The UK’s path to Net Zero. Report, Committee on Climate Change, 2020. [https:
406 //bit.ly/3DCmboC](https://bit.ly/3DCmboC), accessed 5/04/2022.
- 407 [20] Allwood J., Azevedo J., Clare A., Cleaver Ch., Cullen J.M., Dunant C.F., Fellin T., Hawkins W., Horrocks I.,
408 Horton P., et al. Absolute Zero: Delivering the UK’s climate change commitment with incremental changes to
409 today’s technologies, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.46075>.
- 410 [21] Huang B., Xing K., and Pullen S. Carbon assessment for urban precincts: Integrated model and case studies.
411 *Energy and Buildings*, 153:111–125, 2017.
- 412 [22] Chen G., Shan Y., Hu Y., Tong K., Wiedmann T., Ramaswami A., Guan D., Shi L., and Wang Y. Review on
413 city-level carbon accounting. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 53(10):5545–5558, 2019.
- 414 [23] Birgisdottir H., Moncaster A., Wiberg A.H., Chae C., Yokoyama K., Balouktsi M., Seo S., Oka T., Lützkendorf
415 T., and Malmqvist T. IEA EBC annex 57 ‘evaluation of embodied energy and CO_{2eq} for building construction’.
416 *Energy and Buildings*, 154:72–80, 2017.
- 417 [24] Xing K., Wiedmann T., Newton P., Huang B., and Pullen S. Development of low-carbon urban forms—concepts,
418 tools and scenario analysis. In *Decarbonising the built environment*, pages 227–244. Springer, 2019.
- 419 [25] Zhu W., Feng W., Li X., and Zhang Z. Analysis of the embodied carbon dioxide in the building sector: A case of
420 China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 269:122438, 2020.
- 421 [26] Huey T.S., Wiedmann T., Crawford R. H., and Xing K. Assessing embodied greenhouse gas emissions in the
422 built environment. In *Decarbonising the built environment*, pages 119–141. Springer, 2019.
- 423 [27] Yu M., Wiedmann T., Crawford R., and Tait C. The carbon footprint of Australia’s construction sector. *Procedia
424 engineering*, 180:211–220, 2017.
- 425 [28] Hu M. Embodied carbon emissions of the residential building stock in the united states and the effectiveness of
426 mitigation strategies. *Climate*, 10(10), 2022.

- 427 [29] Allen C., Oldfield P., Teh S.H., Wiedmann T., Langdon S., Yu M., and Yang J. Modelling ambitious climate
428 mitigation pathways for australia’s built environment. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 77:103554, 2022.
- 429 [30] Serrenho A.C., Drewniok M.P., Dunant C.F., and Allwood J.M. Testing the greenhouse gas emissions reduction
430 potential of alternative strategies for the English housing stock. 144:267–275, 2019-05-01.
- 431 [31] Nicol S., Beer C., and Scott C. *The Age and Construction of English Homes: A Guide to Ageing the English*
432 *Housing Stock*. BRE, 2014. <https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=4V96ngEACAAJ>.
- 433 [32] Van den Heede P. and De Belie N. Environmental impact and life cycle assessment (LCA) of traditional and
434 ‘green’ concretes: Literature review and theoretical calculations. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 34(4):431–
435 442, 2012.
- 436 [33] Shanks W., Dunant C.F., Drewniok M.P., Lupton R.C., Serrenho A., and Allwood J.M. How much cement can
437 we do without? Lessons from cement material flows in the UK. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 141:441
438 – 454, 2019.
- 439 [34] Annular Cementitious 2001 onwards. Mineral Product Asociacion (MPA). <https://bit.ly/3ztc2Xi>
440 accessed 5/04/2022.
- 441 [35] Mullholland A., Ackerman C., Astle P., Drewniok M.P., Dunster A., Hibbert A., Inman R., Kershaw R., Martin
442 B., McCague C., Price C., and Thompson G. Low Carbon Concrete Routemap - Setting the agenda for a path
443 to net zero. Technical report, Institution of Civil Engineers, The Green Construction Board, The Low Carbon
444 Concrete Group, 2022. <https://bit.ly/3ftesBA>.
- 445 [36] Bramley G. Housing supply requirements across Great Britain: for low-income households and homeless people.
446 *NHF and Crisis, London*, 2018.
- 447 [37] Wilson W., Barton C., and Smith L. Tackling the under-supply of housing in England. *Briefing paper*, 7671,
448 2022. <https://bit.ly/3FKdBak>, accessed 6/06/2022.
- 449 [38] Oral evidence: MHCLG Housing Prices, HC 830, 2018. <https://bit.ly/3AfKi9W>.
- 450 [39] Live tables on dwelling stock (including vacants). Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities and
451 Ministry of Housing, Communities Local Government, 2022. <http://bit.ly/3ECPacs>, accessed 2/02/2022.
- 452 [40] Yi J., Da Y., Shan H., Siyue G., Ying C., and Chen P. *China Building Energy Use 2016*. 12 2016.
- 453 [41] Gleeson J. An analysis of housing floorspace per person - Housing Research Note 6. Report, GLA Housing and
454 Land, 2019.
- 455 [42] Drewniok M.P., Cruz Azevedo J.M., Dunant C.F., and Hawkins W. Mapping material use and modelling the
456 embodied carbon in UK construction, Rev. 1 [Dataset]. University of Leeds, [https://doi.org/10.5518/](https://doi.org/10.5518/1176)
457 [1176](https://doi.org/10.5518/1176), 2022.
- 458 [43] BS EN 15978:2011 - Sustainability of construction works. Assessment of environmental performance of buildings.
459 Calculation method, 30 November 2011.
- 460 [44] BS EN 15804:2012+A1:2013 - Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core
461 rules for the product category of construction products, 30 November 2011.
- 462 [45] Pajakkala P. The living conditions in the Nordic countries are the world’s top class - why building of new
463 dwellings is still the most intensive in Europe, 2018. Available from <https://bit.ly/3jGSQAA>.
- 464 [46] Concrete future - The GGCA 2050 Cememt and Concrete Industry Roadmap for Net Zero Concrete, 2021.
465 Available from <https://bit.ly/2XvNLDi>.
- 466 [47] Iron and steel sector direct CO₂ emission reductions in the Sustainable Development Scenario by mitigation
467 strategy, 2019-2050. Available from <https://bit.ly/3G3pPs7>, 2020.

- 468 [48] Aluminium sector greenhouse gas pathways to 2050. International Aluminium Institute (IAI), 2021. Available
469 from <https://bit.ly/3n40Ryx>.
- 470 [49] Wood in Construction in the UK: An Analysis of Carbon Abatement Potential. Extended Summary. Published
471 as an annex to the Committee on Climate Change report "Biomass in a low-carbon economy". 2019. Available
472 from <http://bit.ly/3gD1CRJ>.
- 473 [50] Industrial transformation 2050: Pathways to net-zero emissions from EU Heavy Industry. Technical report,
474 University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership Cambridge, 2019. Available from <http://bit.ly/30Cmd1J>.
475
- 476 [51] The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) (Amendment) (No. 3) Order 2020.
477 Legal Rule or Regulation, The UK Government, 2020. <http://bit.ly/3UgYgBu>.
- 478 [52] Non-domestic rating: stock of properties including business floorspace. Valuation Office Agency, ONS, 2019.
479 <https://bit.ly/3SWK8gl>.
- 480 [53] Mulheirn I. Tackling the UK housing crisis: is supply the answer. *UK Collaborative Centre for Housing
481 Evidence*, 2019.
- 482 [54] Rodriguez B.X., Huang M., Lee H.W., Simonen K., and Ditto J. Mechanical, electrical, plumbing and tenant
483 improvements over the building lifetime: Estimating material quantities and embodied carbon for climate change
484 mitigation. *Energy and Buildings*, 226:110324, 2020.
- 485 [55] Hamot L. and Bagenal George C. Embodied carbon of building services equipment. Report, BREThust, 021.
486 Available from <http://bit.ly/3GKsIRv>.