

This is a repository copy of *Exploring the pathways from the power of food to food cravings in a sample of Brazilian young adults*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/194009/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Paiva, J.B., Carvalho-Ferreira, J.P., Penati, M.P. et al. (3 more authors) (2023) Exploring the pathways from the power of food to food cravings in a sample of Brazilian young adults. Appetite, 181. 106381. ISSN 0195-6663

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2022.106381

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 1 Exploring the pathways from the Power of Food to food cravings in a sample of

2 Brazilian young adults

3

- 4 Juliana Beatriz Paiva ^{Multidisciplinary Food and Health Laboratory (LABMAS), School of Applied Sciences, State}
- 5 University of Campinas (UNICAMP) Brazil.
- Joana Pereira Carvalho-Ferreira <sup>Multidisciplinary Food and Health Laboratory (LABMAS), School of Applied
 Sciences, State University of Campinas (UNICAMP) Brazil.
 </sup>
- 8 Mariana Perecin Penati <sup>Multidisciplinary Food and Health Laboratory (LABMAS), School of Applied Sciences, State
 9 University of Campinas (UNICAMP) Brazil.
 </sup>
- 10 Nicola J. Buckland Psychology Department. University of Sheffield UK.
- 11 Michelle Dalton School of Psychology and Therapeutic Studies. Leeds Trinity University UK.
- 12 Diogo Thimoteo da Cunha¹ Multidisciplinary Food and Health Laboratory (LABMAS), School of Applied Sciences,
- 13 State University of Campinas (UNICAMP) Brazil.

14

- 15 Address: 1300 Pedro Zaccaria St, Jd Santa Luzia, Limeira, São Paulo, Brazil, Zip Code
- 16 13484-350; E-mail: diogo.cunha@fca.unicamp.br; +55 (19) 3701-6732
- 17 Declarations of interest: none

18

19 Abstract

20 The present study has three main objectives: a) to analyse, for the first time, the factor structure of the Control of Eating Questionnaire (CoEQ) in a Brazilian sample; b) to 21 22 explore, through in-depth interviews, motivators and consequences of food cravings 23 among participants with high scores on the CoEQ; and c) to analyse whether and how 24 the power of food is related to food cravings. The study involved 335 young adults aged 25 18-30 years, balanced for sex. The CoEQ and the Power of Food Scale (PFS) were used 26 in an online survey. The CoEQ and PFS were subjected to confirmatory factor analysis. 27 Semi-structured interviews were also conducted with a subsample (n=20) with high 28 CoEQ scores. The Socratic questioning method was used for the interviews. The 29 interviews were transcribed and analysed according to thematic content analysis. The 30 PFS and the CoEQ showed adequate factor structure with reliable factors. The results of the qualitative analysis showed that both food availability and seeking relief from 31 32 stressors serve as motives for food cravings. Concern for health and weight gain were 33 cited as consequences of cravings, as was seeking distraction to cope with these cravings. It was found that the PFS aggregate factor was a significant influencing factor 34 35 for craving control (β = 0.604; p < 0.001), craving for savoury (β = 0.382; p < 0.001), craving for sweet (β = 0.414; p < 0.001) and positive mood (β = -0.198; p < 0.001). The 36 37 findings suggest that the relationship between food cravings and the power of food is 38 significant in today's obesogenic environment.

39

40 Keywords: eating behaviours; palatable foods; disordered eating; qualitative research;

- 41 structural equation modelling.
- 42

¹ Corresponding author

43 1 Introduction

44 High food availability and exposure to food cues in the environment are a risk 45 factor for increased food consumption and weight gain in susceptible individuals (Boswell & Kober, 2016). In an obesogenic environment, where the availability of highly 46 palatable foods is ubiquitous, food choices and intake are often motivated by hedonistic 47 48 rather than homeostatic reasons (Mankad & Gokhale, 2021), such as a strong desire to eat (Hallam, Boswell, et al., 2016). Food cravings refer to an urge for a particular food or 49 group of foods, which is manifested by intense and intrusive thoughts alongside a strong 50 urge to consume. This feeling is often accompanied by a sense of lack of control and 51 52 anticipation of pleasure (Taylor, 2019). Increased food cravings have been associated with higher intake of palatable foods (Massicotte et al., 2019). The presence of palatable 53 54 food can trigger a cue-induced craving or even a state craving if the food is not physically present but easily accessible (Hallam, Boswell, et al., 2016). With this in mind, 55 psychometric tools have been developed to help researchers and practitioners assess 56 individual variability in hedonic hunger aspects and food cravings, such as the Control of 57 Eating Questionnaire (CoEQ) and the Power of Food Scale (PFS). 58

59 The CoEQ was validated by Dalton et al., (2015) as a scale that measures the 60 frequency, intensity, specificity, and behaviour associated with food cravings by 61 providing information about appetite and affective state. The scale was used in a crosssectional analysis conducted by Smithson & Hill (2017) to examine the frequency and 62 nature of intense cravings in individuals who participated in weight management 63 64 programmes. The researchers concluded that feeling control over food was associated 65 with greater weight loss, suggesting that craving behaviour influences weight 66 management. Similar results were also observed by Dalton et al. (2017).

The PFS was developed by Lowe *et al.*, (2009) and was initially introduced as a scale to analyse parameters related to hedonic hunger, which is characterised by preoccupation with, and consumption of, food for pleasure in the absence of physical hunger (Horwath et al., 2020; Mankad & Gokhale, 2021). The scale assesses selfreported motivation to eat palatable foods, especially in environments where food is constantly available considering three domains: food present, food tasted, and food available (Espel-Huynh et al., 2018; Ulker et al., 2021). In a sample of older adults living with obesity those who scored higher on the PFS were more likely to have increased
cravings for palatable foods (Rejeski et al., 2012). This indicates a possible link between
motivation to consume palatable foods and food cravings.

77 Of note, both the CoEQ and PFS do not analyse actual food consumption but 78 possible anticipatory factors for it. Nonetheless, the context differs from the parameters of physiological hunger, as this increases in intensity due to the absence of food (Dalton 79 80 et al., 2017) and can be satisfied with any type of food, whereas intense cravings are usually satisfied with specific foods (Meule, 2020). Therefore, this connection between 81 82 control over the power of food and food cravings is quite logical, but thus far, little research has been conducted. While the PFS and CoEQ scales used in the present study 83 measure similar traits, such as motivation to eat (Espel-Huynh et al., 2018; Dalton et al., 84 2015), they differ. The PFS analyses preoccupation with eating in the absence of physical 85 86 hunger (Horwath et al., 2020) and the CoEQ assesses the intensity of craving for palatable foods and the level of control an individual perceives themselves to have over 87 those cravings (Dalton et al., 2015). Therefore, it remains unknown whether the 88 89 domains of PFS and CoEQ are related. Is the motivation to eat palatable foods closely related to the control of cravings? How does the power of food correlates the craving 90 91 for sweet and savoury foods differently?

To better answer such questions, special attention should be paid to the role of 92 93 sex in research on eating behaviour. Women are at greater risk of obesity, body image 94 problems, and having food cravings than men (Hallam, Boswell, et al., 2016; Macedo & 95 Diez-Garcia, 2014; Rodgers et al., 2015). The type of food craved, the level and frequency 96 of cravings, and the ability to regulate food cravings are thought to be key aspects that 97 differentiate men and women (Hallam, Boswell, et al., 2016). Given that cravings vary in 98 men and women, it is important that research on food cravings includes both men and 99 women.

100 In this research we were interested in understanding the environmental 101 determinants of cravings or the triggers related to the presence of palatable foods in the 102 environment. Most research to date in the field has used quantitative data. Research 103 with qualitative or mixed methods approach are scarce in the field. However, qualitative 104 data can contribute to a deeper understanding of the quantitative information, explain 105 the results obtained and give meaning to the numbers (Connelly, 2009). Such in-depth information also offers value when investigating psychometric scale criterion validity.
Therefore, in an effort to better understand eating motivations in an urban
environment, using a mixed-methods approach, the present study had three main
objectives: a) to analyse, for the first time, the factor structure of the CoEQ in a sample
of Brazilian adults; b) to explore, through in-depth interviews, motivators and
consequences of food cravings among participants with high scores on the CoEQ and c)
to analyse whether and how the power of food is related to food cravings.

113

114 2 Methods

115 We carried out a mixed methods approach for this study, employing a quantitative approach in the first step and a qualitative approach in the second step, i.e. 116 117 a sequential explanatory design (Bartholomew & Brown, 2012). There are different definitions and cutoffs to determine adolescence and young adults in Brazil (Fiorini et 118 119 al., 2017). In this study, young adults were defined as individuals between the ages of 120 18 and 30. They were invited to participate through social media calls. Participation was 121 voluntary, and all participants gave free and informed consent. The study methods were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Estadual de Campinas 122 123 (CAEE: 40026320.3.0000.5404).

124

125 2.1 First step - Quantitative approach

126 *2.1.1 - Sample*

127 Data were collected online via Qualtrics from 11th October to 16th November 128 2021. The survey was announced via social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram and email) 129 and aimed to reach a minimum of 300 participants (150 men and 150 women for 130 balanced groups). According to Kyriazos (Kyriazos, 2018), a sample > 200 would be 131 appropriate for confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), as most factors have more than three indicators. A sample of 300 is recommended for multivariate analysis (Kyriazos, 2018). 132 133 Participants answered the PFS and CoEQ, in this order, in addition to a socioeconomic 134 questionnaire that included questions on sex, age, city and state they live in, whether 135 they were studying or have a degree in any area of health and education level, weight (kg), height (m), email and mobile phone number. 136

To avoid bias, the recruitment advert stated that the objective was to assess general eating behaviour, and did not include information about the study objective (i.e., food craving assessment). Adults aged 18 to 30 years (both sexes) who were Brazilians living in Brazil were included. Participants with monotonous responses (standard deviation equal zero for any questionnaire) (n=1); incomplete responses in PFS and CoEQ (n=7) were excluded.

143

144 2.1.2 Measures - CoEQ and PFS

The CoEQ questionnaire consists of 21 indicators of food cravings and mood, and 145 the participants are asked to answer regarding their experience over the last seven days 146 147 (Dalton et al., 2015). The results are given according to four factors: craving control, 148 craving for sweet, craving for savoury and positive mood. Four items are not included in the subscales; items 1 and 2 provide information on general feelings about appetite, and 149 150 items 20 and 21 provide information on the person's degree of control over resisting a 151 particular food they identify as being difficult to control their consumption of. Item 20 152 is an open-ended question that allows the participant to specify the food item they are 153 craving. The questions of each indicator are answered using visual analogue scales 154 ranging from 0 to 100 mm, and one item allows the participant to specify a particular food (Dalton et al., 2017). The score for each factor is the mean of the indicators. For 155 156 Positive Mood, the score for item 6 is inverted; for Craving Control, the higher the value, the lower the craving control. The CoEQ indicators and scales was translated for Brazilian 157 158 Portuguese by two researchers with a PhD in the field Nutrition and Psychology.

159 The PFS is a scale consisting of 15 indicators. It is divided into 3 factors: food 160 available (food that is available in the environment but not physically present); food present (reactions to a food that is physically present but not yet experienced); and food 161 162 tasted (reactions to a food that is physically present and being experienced for the first time) (Lowe et al., 2009). The indicators are rated on a scale from '1 - I strongly disagree' 163 to '5 - I strongly agree' (Lowe et al., 2009). The PFS has already been used for the 164 165 Brazilian population (Paiva et al., 2022), with a slight adaptation of the Portuguese 166 version. The higher the total score, the more strongly the person responds to the food environment (Cappelleri et al., 2009). 167

5

169 2.1.2 Data analysis

For data analysis, first, the theoretical distributions of the variables were 170 analysed using means, variances, skewness, kurtosis and the histogram of the 171 172 distribution. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (with Lillefors correction) was used to check the normality of the data. CoEQ and PFS were subjected to confirmatory factor analysis 173 174 (CFA). Although it is a validated instrument already in use in Brazil, we decided to conduct the CFA in the PFS to ensure the quality of the constructs. CFA was performed 175 176 following the original structure of CoEQ (Dalton et al., 2015) and PFS (Cappelleri et al., 2009) using robust maximum likelihood (ML) and diagonally weighted least squares 177 178 (DWLS), respectively. The differences between the CFA methods are due to the difference in the scales of both questionnaires, count (COeQ) and ordinal (PFS). The chi-179 square value (χ^2 with p < 0.05), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA < 180 0.08), comparative fit index (CFI > 0.90), standardised root mean square residual (SRMR 181 182 < 0.08), Tucker–Lewis index (TLI > 0.90), and goodness-of-fit index (GFI > 0.90) were used 183 to check model fit (Kline, 2016). Due to poor fit of CoEQ original structure in the CFA, an 184 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was conducted for this questionnaire. Valid items were extracted using maximum likelihood. The number of factors to be retained was made 185 186 using the eigenvalue criteria. EFA was performed with Promax rotation, allowing possible positive correlation among the CoEQ factors. The RMSEA (<0.08), CFI (> 0.90) 187 and TLI (>0.90) were used to check the goodness of fit (Brown, 2006). The Kaiser-188 Meyer–Olkin (KMO >0.70) and Bartlett's tests (p < 0.05) were used to check sampling 189 190 adequacy. Groups (man x women) were compared using Student's t-test and Cohen's d 191 for effect sizes. These analyses were performed using JASP 0.16.1.

192

193 2.2 Second step - Qualitative approach

194 *2.2.1 Sample*

Only participants with high scores for craving for sweet or for savoury foods were invited (in the 4th quartile of the distribution, i.e. with a mean score of more than 68 for savoury and 71 for sweet foods) to participate in the individual in-depth interviews. For the analyses, four participant lists were created that took into account sexes (men/women) and high craving (sweet/savoury) for a purposeful sampling (Sandelowski, 1995). Participants were invited randomly until we reached the minimum number of participants of 20. The sample was chosen to create homogeneous groups,
i.e., five men with craving for sweets (MSW), five women with craving for sweets (WSW),
five men with craving for savoury (MSV), and five women with craving for savoury
(WSV). With the sample it was possible to reach saturation.

For this second step of the project, participants were contacted by telephone or e-mail (had previously agreed to be contacted) and were reminded about the study and were invited to an interview with a psychologist for more information. A total of 83 participants were contacted, and 20 agreed to participate.

209

210 2.2.2 Interview and analysis

The individual interviews were conducted online via Google Meet by a psychologist. Each interview lasted an average of 30 minutes. The Socratic questioning method was used (Paul & Elder, 2007). Participants answered nine open-ended questions based on four cores: origin, assumption, consequence, and evidence (Table 1). Some additional contextual questions could be included for clarity.

216

217 Table 1 – questions for the interview following the Socratic questioning method.

Core	Question
Warm up	What is your name and age? What is your favorite food? What is your job or study?
Origin	1) When in the presence of food cues (thinking, smell, visual cues) of tempting
	foods, what kind of thoughts and feelings do you have?
	2) Are there times when you are more likely to experience food cravings (e.g.,
	when hungry, tired etc.):
	3) Do you think your emotions (e.g., sad, happy etc.) affect your food cravings?
Assumptions	4) Why do you think it is so difficult to resist any food cravings?
Consequence	5) What is the consequence in your life of not resisting food cravings?
Coping strategies	6) What helps you to resist a food craving?
	7) Is it something that you think you are able to change? (food cravings)
Evidence	8) Can you provide an example when you had a food craving?

218

The qualitative approach aimed to explore three defined research questions: a) What motivates participans to have food cravings? b) What impact does food cravings have on participants' life? c) What coping strategies are used for food cravings? Before the questions began, a simple definition of food cravings was provided to participants, i.e. "Food craving is defined as an intense desire to eat a specific food" (Dalton et al., 2015). In order not to bias the answers, the questions were general.

The entire content of the in-depth interviews was transcribed and analysed according to Laurence Bardin's qualitative method of content analysis of the thematic type (Bardin, 1977). In this method, speeches are divided into meaning cores, originating nonprioristic categories. The principal researcher determined the category and was later reviewed independently by three other researchers for validation and grouping. A final discussion was made for consensus. The differences between men and women and between those who craved savoury and sweet foods were determined by the count of people in each group who presented the meaning core in their speech. The qualitative data were analysed using MAXQDA© software - VERBI GmbH 2018.

234

235 3.2 Third step – Modelling

Structural equation modelling with partial least squares (PLS-SEM) was chosen 236 to analyse the relationship between PFS and CoEQ. PLS-SEM minimises sample size 237 238 limitations, makes no distributional assumptions and is an appropriate approach to deal with second-order models (Van Riel et al., 2016). The hypotheses were specified a priori, 239 240 *i.e.*, before the data were collected. First, a 1st-order model was tested to examine the 241 individual effects of the PFS factors on the CoEQ factors. Since the results were 242 significant, a 2nd-order model was tested that included an aggregate power of food factor. In both models, all indicators validated in the previous CFA were included to form 243 244 the latent variables, i.e. food tasted, food present, food available for PFS and craving control, craving for sweet, craving for savoury and positive mood for CoEQ. The bias-245 246 corrected and accelerated bootstrap procedure with 5,000 samples was used to 247 estimate the t-statistics (significance: t > 1.96) and p values (significance: p < 0.05) of the 248 estimated loadings. The outer model (part of the model that describes the relationships 249 among the latent variables and their indicators) was assessed using the factor loadings 250 (> 0.40), the composite reliability (CR > 0.80) and the average of the variance extracted 251 (AVE > 0.40). The inner model (the part of the model that describes the relationships 252 among the latent variables) was assessed using the variance explanation of the endogenous constructs, effect sizes (f² > 0.10), and predictive relevance (Stone-Geisser's 253 254 Q² > 0.15). The values and indicators were used as suggested by Henseler et al. (Henseler 255 et al., 2009). The heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) of correlations was used to assess 256 discriminant validity (< 0.85) (Hair et al., 2016). Multicollinearity was assessed using variance inflation factor (VIF) values (< 3.3) (Henseler et al., 2015). The PLS-SEM was 257

conducted with SmartPLS v3.2.8 (SmartPLS GmbH. Boenningstedt - Germany) (Ringle et

259 al., 2015).

260

261 **3. Results**

262 3.1 Sample

The sample comprised of 335 young adults and 54% females (Table 2). The mean age was 24 years old (standard deviation = 4.02). The overall mean self-reported BMI was 25.5; 6.1 kg/m², (women: 25.3; 7.3 kg/m²; men: 25.8; 4.4 kg/m²). There were no significant differences between sexes regarding age (p = 0.58), BMI (p = 0.53), and rates of obesity (17% women with obesity and 14% men with obesity, p = 0.47).

- 268
- 269

Table 2 - Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample (n=335).

Variables	N (%)
Age (years old)	
18-21	83 (24.7)
22-24	84 (25.1)
24-27	84 (25.1)
27-30	84 (25.1)
Sex	
Women	183 (54.6)
Men	152 (45.3)
Education level	
Completed primary education	4 (1.3)
Completed high school	26 (8.0)
Attending higher education	159 (49.1)
Completed higher education	71 (21.9)
Postgraduate	64 (19.7)
BMI classification	
Underweight (<18.4 kg/m²)	16 (5.2)
Without overweight or obesity (18.5 to 24.9 kg/m²)	150 (48.7)
Overweight (25.0 to 29.9 kg/m ²)	95 (30.8)
Obese (≥30.0 kg/m²)	47 (15.3)

270

271 3.2 CoEQ Confirmatory Factor Analysis

The original structure of CoEQ showed suboptimal fit in CFA: $\chi^2 = 1243.1$ (p < 0.001); RMSEA = 0.17; SRMR= 0.10; CFI = 0.91; TLI = 0.89; GFI = 0.98. Based on this poor fit, a EFA was performed for the COeQ. Three indicators (6, 7, and 15) were automatically excluded since they presented low factor loading (< 0.30). Indicators 1, 2 and 21 were not included, as described in the original model (Dalton et al., 2015). With this, a reasonable fit was observed for EFA: RMSEA = 0.08; CFI = 0.94; TLI = 0.90. The KMO (=0.82) and Bartlett's statistic (=2073.5; p<0.001) of EFA were adequate. Four well-
defined and reliable factors were found in CFA: craving control, craving for savory,
craving for sweet, and positive mood (Table 3). All four factors presented adequate CR

- and AVE, explaining 67% of the total variance, and were used in the subsequent analysis.
- 282 283

Table 3 – Control of Eating Questionnaire (CoEQ) indicators and factors

CoEQ indicators		Factor loadings
Craving control (CR= 0.897; AVE= 0.637)	-	-
9 - During the last 7 days how often have you had food cravings?	57.8; 27.3	0.762
10 - How strong have any food cravings been?	60.4; 25.2	0.863
11 - How difficult has it been to resist any food cravings?	54.4; 29.6	0.790
12 - How often have you eaten in response to food cravings?	56.8; 28.9	0.585
19 - Generally, how difficult has it been to control your eating?	59.8; 28.1	0.525
Craving for savoury (CR= 0.813; AVE= 0.593)	-	-
4 - How strong was your desire to eat savoury foods?	65.3; 28.7	0.390
16 - How often have you had cravings for dairy foods (cheese, yoghurt)?	39.9; 28.3	0.543
17 - How often have you had cravings for starchy foods (bread, pasta)?	51.2; 28.7	0.921
18 - How often have you had cravings for savoury foods (fries, crisps, burgers etc.)?	61.8; 27.8	0.340
Craving for sweet (CR= 0.909; AVE= 0.770)	-	-
3 - How strong was your desire to eat sweet foods?	64.2; 29.4	0.868
13 - How often have you had cravings for chocolate and chocolate flavoured foods?	60.0; 33.1	0.708
14 - How often have you had cravings for other sweet foods (cakes, pastries, biscuits, etc.)?	51.0; 32.1	0.659
Positive Mood (CR= 0.918; AVE= 0.849)	-	-
5 - How happy have you felt?	69.0; 23.6	0.860
8 - How contented have you felt?	69.5; 23.9	0.764
Not included items	-	-
1 - How hungry have you felt?*	55.4; 23.9	-
2 - How full have you felt?*	66.3; 21.9	-
6 - How anxious have you felt?	64.0; 28.7	-
7 - How alert have you felt?	50.3; 24.4	-
15 - How often have you had cravings for fruit or fruit juice?	45.1; 28.7	-
21 - How difficult was it to resist consuming this food in the last seven days?*	70.8; 25.8	

*These indicators were also not included in the original model. CR= composite reliability; AVE= average of the
 variance; SD= standard deviation.

286 287

Foods mentioned by participants in the 20th item of the CoEQ were classified based on the content of major nutrients or ingredients. Most of the participants (42.3%) cited food rich in sugar (simple carbohydrates) as craved food item, such as sweets, chocolate and some traditional Brazilian desserts (Table 4). Fat-rich foods were the second most cited, including some savoury foods such as fries, hamburger, and hot dogs.

Table 4 – Cited craved food on the open item of the CoEQ categorised into groups
 according to main nutrient content

Food group	Frequency (%)	Cited foods
Sweet foods rich in sugar	41.3	Sweets in general; chocolate, <i>açaí</i> *, candies, <i>brigadeiro</i> *, and ice-cream
Savoury foods rich in fat	22.5	Fries, hamburguer, penaut, hot-dogs, <i>coxinha</i> *, fried foods and pizza
Mixed	15.9	Cited foods from two or more different groups (e.g., stuffed bread with chocolate, pasta with cheese)
Starchy foods	13.4	Cake, bread, pasta, rice, and crackers
Dairy	2.5	Milk with chocolate, cheese, and cream-cheese
Sweet drinks	2.2	Soft drinks
Alchool	1.9	Beer, wine, and alchool in general
Other	0.3	Coffee and pepper sauce

*Açaí = Sorbet of açaí fruit with sugar and sorted candies and fruits as a topping; Brigadeiro = Creamy
 chocolate balls made of condensed milk, butter and chocolate; Coxinha = breaded and fried dough based snack filled with chicken.

299 300

A CFA for PFS was	performed based on Pa	iva et al. (2022). PFS presented
-------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

adequate fit: χ^2 = 234.48 (p < 0.001); RMSEA = 0.07; CFI = 0.98; TLI = 0.98; GFI = 0.98.

302 Three well-defined domains were observed with adequate CR and AVE (Table 5).

303

Table 5 - Mean values, standard deviation, and factor loadings of the Power Food Scale

305	(PFS)	ind	ica	tors

PFS indicators	Mean; SD* Facto loadin	
Food Available (CR= 0.900; AVE= 0.600)	-	-
PFS 1	2.97; 1.2	0.736
PFS 2	2.40; 1.1	0.698
PFS 5	2.65; 1.4	0.749
PFS 10	2.78; 1.4	0.745
PFS 11	2.24; 1.3	0.805
PFS 13	2.07; 1.2	0.894
Food Tasted (CR= 0.854; AVE= 0.540)	-	-
PFS 8	2.92; 1.3	0.788
PFS 9	3.33; 1.2	0.621
PFS 12	2.69; 1.2	0.799
PFS 14	3.29; 1.2	0.611
PFS 15	2.98; 1.4	0.657
Food Present (CR= 0.863; AVE= 0.614)	-	-
PFS 3	3.53; 1.1	0.649
PFS 4	3.21; 1.3	0.709
PFS 6	3.13; 1.3	0.837
PFS 7	2.81; 1.4	0.750

306 *5-point Likert scale; CR= composite reliability; AVE= average variance extracted; SD= standard deviation; #PFS is 307 copyrighted by Drexel University, copies of the PFS can be obtained by writing to Prof. Michael Lowe 308 <<u>lowe@drexel.edu</u>> 309

310 Several differences were observed between the sexes (Table 6). Women had 311 higher scores for the factors craving control, craving for sweets, food available, food 312 tasted, and food present power of food aggregated factor. Men showed higher scores 313 for positive mood. All significant differences showed medium to large effect size

314 (d>0.40).

315	Table 6 – Differences	between males and	females CoEQ and PFS scores.

Variable	Men (n=152)	p *	d	
	Mean; SD	Mean; SD		
CoEQ - Craving control	51.8; 22.2	62.9; 21.0	<0.001	0.51
CoEQ - Craving for savoury	54.9; 18.5	54.3; 20.0	0.767	0.03
CoEQ - Craving for sweet	47.5; 28.0	67.5; 24.0	<0.001	0.76
CoEQ - Positive mood	73.5; 20.4	65.8; 23.0	0.001	0.35
PFS – Food available	2.18; 0.82	2.80; 1.05	<0.001	0.65
PFS – Food tasted	2.81; 0.92	3.22; 0.95	<0.001	0.43
PFS – Food present	2.90; 0.93	3.40; 1.01	<0.001	0.51
PFS – Power of Food aggragated factor	2.58; 0.74	3.10; 0.86	<0.001	0.64

317

316 *Welch's t test; Bold values = significant difference with p < 0.05. CoEQ= Control of Eating Questionnaire; PFS= Power Food Scale; SD = Standard deviation

318

3.3 Qualitative approach 319

320 We interviewed 20 participants with high scores of food craving for sweet or savoury foods, with average BMI: 26.9; 6.47 kg/m² (range: 19.0 - 45.1kg/m²) and 321 average age: 24; 3.62 years. Ten participants were "without overweight or obesity", five 322 were with overweight and six were with obesity. No differences were found between 323 those who agreed and those who disagreed with the interview in terms of BMI, age, 324 craving control, craving for sweet and craving for savoury. Table 7 shows the process of 325 qualitative data analysis in which the meaning cores together generated categories for 326 327 each research question.

 Table 7 – Determined categories, meaning cores of interviews, number of occurrences
 328

and number of participants. 329

Category	Meaning core	Occurrence (number of quotes)	Men (n)	Women (n)	Craving for savoury (n)	Craving for sweet (n)
Question 1 – What i	motivates food craving?					
Negative feelings	Relief after a stressful day or situation	39	6	8	8	6
	Anxiety	23	6	6	4	8
	Idleness	12	3	5	4	4
	Being alone or accompanied	6	4	2	4	2
	Being on restrictive diet	4	1	3	3	1
	Disordered eating	4	0	2	1	1
Pleasure to eat	Immediate pleasure	10	4	4	3	5
	Break out of daily routine	11	5	0	4	1
Situational asports	Uncontrollable feeling of need	7	0	5	3	2
Situational aspects	Moments of celebration	4	1	2	1	2
	Menstrual cycle	3	0	2	0	2
Futa weat as sa	Food available (in the home)	15	3	7	3	7
External cues	External food advertisements	3	1	1	2	0

Question 2 - What	are the consequences of food cravings?					
Negative health	Health problems	13	6	5	5	6
aspects						
	Weight gain	10	3	5	4	4
Negative feelings	Feel guilty	5	0	5	3	2
	Loss of self esteem	3	1	1	1	1
	Frustration	2	1	1	1	1
	Loss of control (overconsumption)	8	2	3	3	2
No psychological	No consequence	4	4	0	2	2
or health aspects	Increased financial cost	4	2	0	0	2
Question 3 – What	are the coping strategies for food craving	gs?				
Health-related	Choose a healthier food instead	7	3	3	3	3
strategies	Practice physical activities	7	3	4	4	3
	Perceived healthy strategy	4	0	3	2	1
Environmental-	Look for distractions	6	1	4	4	1
related strategies	Adjust daily routine with different activities	10	3	3	4	2
	Avoid having the food available	6	2	3	3	2
Professional	Therapy	2	1	1	0	2
support	Medication	2	0	2	0	2
Negative feelings	Thinking about the negative effects on the body	2	1	1	1	1
No strategy	No strategy	3	2	1	1	2

330

331 The cited motivators for food cravings were 'negative feelings', 'situational aspects' and 'external cues'. All participants cited one or more negative feelings as the 332 reason for food cravings. Most participants (n=18) cited that cravings were triggered by 333 334 relief after a stressful day or situation. This is evident in the following quotes: 'When I 335 am too stressed or too sad, I always take it out on food (WSV5); sometimes it happens after a situation at work that hurts me, or sometimes after something at home with my 336 husband (WSW3); stress is a strong trigger (for food cravings) (MSV4). Anxiety was a 337 338 relevant motivator of cravings, cited by 12 participants, especially for those who had cravings for sweets (n=8). Some quotes clearly define the mechanisms of hedonic 339 340 hunger related to anxiety, e.g. 'Sometimes it's not even hunger, sometimes I am aware that it's not 'hunger', maybe it is... I do not know if it's boredom, idleness or even anxiety, 341 342 and I end up taking it out on food (MSW1); Also because of anxiety. I want to eat this 343 food so badly, so I want to anticipate everything so I can eat it soon (MSW2).' Another 344 relevant negative feeling was idleness, e.g. 'But when I am at home, more idle, I find it much harder to resist (MSW1).' 345

In contrast, some cravings were reported to be motivated by the pleasure to eat,
such as the pleasure for a highly palatable meal e.g. 'I like eating, right? My mouth

13

348 waters when I think of the sensations that eating can bring me, even if it's just a brief pleasure (MSV5)';' I do not know... so, what comes to my mind is that eating is very good. 349 350 I love to eat, okay, it's wonderful. And the better the food is, the harder it is for you to 351 control it if you feel it too intensely. Yes... I love to eat. (HSW4).' It is important to differentiate this meaning core from 'uncontrollable feeling of need'. On this second, 352 353 the craving is not limited to pleasure, but to a situation, e.g. after lunch - 'I like eating sweets, for example, after lunch I get a craving for sweets (WSW2), or even a guilty 354 355 conscience (e.g. 'sometimes I feel that I can not control it. But then I think I have it under 356 control and feel guilty about it (WSV4).' This motivator was particularly strong for women (n=5), and not cited by men. Men, on the other hand, seemed to be more 357 inclined to break out of the daily routine, such as eating tasty food on a Friday night or 358 359 at a happy hour. Finally some external cues were cited as motivators, specially in the context of having the food available. This is evidenced by quotes: 'I think I am one of 360 361 those people who do not stop eating until it ends (WSV3); If I do not see a certain food, I 362 do not have much need for it. But when I see it, I eat it. (MSW1); So in my mind it goes 363 on and on, you know? "You have it, you can go get it, it's within reach, go get it" (WSW5).' The food availability seemed to be more of a problem for those with craving for sweets 364 365 and women.

When asked about the consequences of cravings, participants mentioned many 366 367 negative health aspects and negative feelings. Many health problems were mentioned, 368 many of which were related to weight gain or poorer nutrition, e.g.: 'I think there are 369 consequences, especially for health. Cravings do not lead to better health, because you 370 always want to eat something fatter, something more calorific and everything else... You 371 may be satisfying yourself at the moment, but it's not good for your body, right?' 372 (MSW1). Despite researchers' efforts to separate independent quotes, there is a clear 373 link between cravings with health problems, body weight and some negative feelings. 374 For example participants cited how gaining weight was bad for their esteem and health 375 e.g., I have always been a chubby person, but at times when my cravings for food are 376 stronger, I usually gain weight, and this eventually affects relationships with others due 377 to some insecurity (MSW5); I get fat, and wow. my self-esteem drops, and then I think "oh, I am ugly" (MSW1). 378

379 In contrast, women showed feelings of guilt related to uncontrolled consumption and not specifically due to weight gain, e.g.: 'when I was in a very difficult moment, I 380 knew it was not right, but I had to do it, so I blamed myself at the same time that I knew 381 I wanted to, and then a very big feeling of guilt came. And that then got in the way so I 382 felt... felt a bit insecure and still do (WSW5); 'The thought that's 100% in my head is "you 383 384 should not eat that' (WSV2); 'Ah, sometimes I feel bad... I feel heavy sometimes when I eat these things in excess because we feel right... so sometimes I feel a bit guilty 385 386 (WSW2).' Still on the topic of negative feelings many participants cited the loss of 387 control, i.e. the craving leading to a overconsumption. According to the speeches, the loss of control eventually leads to other consequences such as guilt, e.g. 'It's not 1 388 packet, it's 2 packets (of sweet biscuits). I wanted to be one of those people who can eat 389 390 2 to 3 biscuits, but I do not know how to do that (MSW1); But sometimes I can not, so I eat more than one... and then I feel bad because I can not control myself (WSV5); I eat a 391 bit more to increase serotonin and then I think, "Why did I eat so much?" (MSW1)'.Some 392 393 menn did not report any consequences of food cravings, while all women cited one or 394 more negative consequences.

Different meaning cores and categories were observed regarding coping 395 396 strategies. For example, some participants referred to physical activity, e.g.: 'One thing that helps me is physical activity, because it helps me with my anxiety. And I think a little 397 about the issue of satiety. Both of these things together help me not to want to eat, 398 399 because I feel less anxious and more full (WSW1); For example, sometimes I want to live 400 healthier, you know, and then when I exercise I kind of remember that and think, "No, I 401 do not need that," and then my cravings decrease and at the same time my stress is 402 reduced (WSV5); I do not know ... maybe I'll look for another activity, like a bike ride or 403 something... the urge will go away (MSV1). Some participants reported trying to choose 404 a healthier food instead of a highly palatable food e.g., 'Sometimes you can cheat with 405 other foods, am I right? Sometimes I put grapes in the freezer, which makes them 406 sweeter and I eat them (WSW4); That does not always work, but I try to make better 407 choices in that context. So I do not know if I eat 1 or one and a half between 3 chocolate bars (WSV4); If I really do not want to eat anything because I want to stick to the diet, I 408 409 try to find an alternative with honey, a sweetener or something else (MSW3)'. Some men also referred to drinking water to distract themselves and fill their stomach e.g. 'I drink *a lot of water to make it feel like my stomach is full* (MSW3).'

Having an organized, or busy, daily-routine was cited coping strategy for food 412 cravings, evidenced by these quotes: 'When I was working, I had a lot to do, my day was 413 414 very busy, my schedule was very regulated. So I had a proper time to eat, to do everything 415 properly (MSW1); A routine, for example, when I am busy, when I am doing other things... my attention is on other things than eating (WSV4); For example, when I am 416 very busy during the day, I do not think about it (about eating) (MSW1).' Finally, some 417 418 participants cited professional support or no strategy at all. Two participants mentioned 419 thinking about the negative effects of a poor diet on the body, e.g. 'Because I want to fit into a better outfit. Because I want to wear shorts, because I do not like my legs... so it's 420 421 always about aesthetics (resisting cravings) (WSV2).' Some environmental-related 422 strategies were also cited like avoiding the food available, e.g 'Not buying chocolate and 423 not having these sweets at home also helps a lot (MSW1); To not eat them (craved food), 424 I can not have them in the fridge (MSV1).' Another strategy was look for distractions e.g.: 425 'What has helped me is to find something to do... To find a course on the internet, I will do something... I am going to help my mother with something (WSW5); I have tried doing 426 427 something else before looking for food... "I am going to take a shower"... and wait for some time to see if this tormenting feeling subsides a little... and I can understand what 428 is hunger and what is not (WSV4). 429

430

431 *3.3 Modelling approach*

432 First, a first-order model was calculated (Figure 1A). A positive effect of the 'food available' domain on craving control had a high effect size (f² = 0.22) i.e. the higher the 433 434 food available score was, the more and stronger craving episodes participants had, as 435 this last variable has an inverted score. All other significant paths between PFS and CoEQ had low effect sizes (f² < 0.10). For this reason, a second-order model was tested. Since 436 437 the second-order model showed better effect sizes (f²) with similar explanatory power 438 than the first-order model, the second-order model would be better suited to predict 439 changes in the CoEQ factors (Figure 1B). All factors had a reasonable effect size with f² > 0.15 in the second-order model. The only exception is the effect of the power of food 440 aggregated factor on positive mood, which had a small effect size ($f^2 = 0.04$). The craving 441

442 control showed high predictive relevance ($Q^2 = 0.22$) and adequate explanatory power 443 ($R^2 = 0.36$). Lower predictive relevance and explanatory power were observed for other 444 CoEQ variables - craving for savoury ($Q^2 = 0.06$; $R^2 = 0.14$); craving for sweet ($Q^2 = 0.12$; 445 $R^2 = 0.17$); positive mood ($Q^2 = 0.02$; $R^2 = 0.04$). A multi-group analysis was tested for 446 men and women. However, the model was not significant. Although the variables vary 447 in strength, the path (or effect) is similar among the sexes.

448

449 < 0.85. No multicollinearity issues were identified with all VIF < 3.3.

450



Both models presented adequate discriminant validity with HTMT of correlations

40 0 0 6 0 0

* p<0.05; ** p<0.001; p-values of the t-statistics (based on bootstraps with 5000 samples); dashed light
 grey line = non-significant path

455 Figure 1 – A: first order final inner model; B: Second-order final inner model

456

457 **4. Discussion**

The first objective of this study was to investigate, for the first time, the consistency and reliability of the CoEQ in a Brazilian sample. Consistent with other studies, (Dalton et al., 2015; Dalton et al., 2017a), the CoEQ in Brazil showed a reasonable factorial structure in the EFA. Similar to Dalton et al. (2017a), indicator 15, which refers to fruit juices, was not included in the factor craving for sweets. This is likely because fruit juices are perceived as natural and healthy (Marsola et al., 2021) and are not a common choice for food cravings. The PFS also showed adequate factorial structure during the CFA. This was expected since PFS was already tested in the Brazilian population (Paiva et al., 2022). In both scales, the remained indicators presented high factor loading (>0.50) and the constructs high reliability (CR > 0.70). The construct explained most of the indicators (AVE > 0.50). When the AVE is less than 0.50, the constructs explain more errors than the variance of the construct (dos Santos & Cirillo, 2021).

To better understand the motivations behind food cravings and how the 471 472 environment might relate to these episodes, we conducted a qualitative research phase. 473 Regarding the motivations for food cravings, many negative emotions were cited. Other 474 research has discussed how negative feelings can arise when a craving for a particular 475 food occurs as a relief from stressful days and anxiety (Dalton et al., 2015; Jáuregui-476 Lobera et al., 2012; Penaforte et al., 2019; Potenza & Grilo, 2014; Reichenberger et al., 477 2021). For many people, stress alters their food choices, leading to higher caloric intake from highly palatable foods. This change is known as "comfort eating": eating palatable 478 479 foods to reduce the effects of stress and provide some relief (Ulrich-Lai et al., 2015). For 480 example, carbohydrate cravings are well known in the literature as a form of selfmedication to improve mood and overcome unpleasant affective states (Yanovski, 481 482 2003). Neurobiological mechanisms related to stress are known to potentiate the motivation and reward of highly palatable foods, increasing food cravings and the risk 483 484 of overeating (Chao et al., 2015; Reichenberger et al., 2021; Sinha et al., 2019). 485 According to Ulrich-Lai et al. (2015), the ingestion of palatable foods as a naturally 486 rewarding behaviour can restrict the activation of the stress system, by acting on the 487 brain's reward circuits. However, although there are physiological and chemical 488 hypotheses that explain the reasons for food craving, individual and cultural factors 489 seem to have significance (Hormes et al., 2014).

Most participants cited negative consequences for food cravings, with the most important reasons related to health and negative feelings about body shape and body image. Women reported feelings of guilt, which was not observed in men. It is noteworthy that even when asked directly about the consequences of cravings, some men did not report any, while all women mentioned at least one negative consequence. In addition, some limited coping strategies have been observed, such as drinking water to overcome the urge to eat or reducing energy intake, which has little effect on the 497 feeling of hunger (McKay et al., 2018). Participants reported worries about their health 498 and weight gain as consequences of cravings (Hallam, Boswella, et al., 2016; Lowe & 499 Butryn, 2007; Werthmann et al., 2015). The most important strategy for overcoming 500 cravings was adjusting routines with different activities, confirming some findings that 501 refer to distraction as a good way to avoid the urge to consume certain foods (Forman 502 et al., 2013; Karekla et al., 2020).

503 The third main objective was to investigate the relationship between food 504 cravings and food intake motivation, especially for foods with high palatability. The PFS 505 seems to be related to CoEQ, but there are few detailed analyses of eating behaviour in 506 the literature. Power of food aggregated factor was associated with a high effect size with craving control. In the first-order model, we also observed a high effect size in the 507 508 path of the factor food available to craving control. This result suggests that low control 509 over palatable food, especially food that is available in the environment, might impair 510 craving control. The factor "power of food" also showed positive paths with high effect size to craving for sweet and savoury foods, i.e. people with low control over palatable 511 512 food may have more frequent, or stronger, episodes of craving for sweet and savoury foods. This relationship between environmental aspects and food cravings was also 513 514 found in the qualitative phase.

In Brazil, increasing ease of access to food apps and socioeconomic changes such 515 as family composition and food prices are influencing the food environment (Zanetta et 516 517 al., 2021). The presence of food was already cited as a motivation for increasing food 518 cravings (Forman et al., 2007). For example, meals away from home, increased food 519 portion sizes, and greater availability of palatable foods have increased recently (Rosi et 520 al., 2017). Bakeries, restaurants, takeaways, supermarkets, and food delivery apps are 521 access points for consumption inside and outside the home, promoting the availability 522 of food at all times in urban environments. Along with the increasing development of processed and highly palatable foods, these are factors that tend to promote the 523 524 consumption of convenience foods, high-energy snacks, and sugary beverages in 525 addition to physiological needs (Blechert et al., 2016; Lake & Townshend, 2006). This can be confirmed when analysing question 20th of CoEQ. The most frequently mentioned 526 food groups in the CoEQ open-ended question were foods high in sugar (chocolate, ice 527 528 cream) and salty foods high in fat and carbohydrates (French fries, pizza), confirming

529 data from the literature linking cravings to high-energy foods (Meule, 2020; Taylor, 530 2019). It is possible to consider food craving as a precursor of excessive food 531 consumption (Buscemi et al., 2017), as a consequence of the abundance of cheap, high-532 calorie, and highly palatable foods.

Women scored higher on craving control, craving for sweets, and all PFS 533 534 domains, whereas men scored higher on positive mood. These results were expected and are consistent with the literature which indicates that the nature, frequency and 535 536 magnitude of craving (un)control is different between sexes (Aliasghari et al., 2020; 537 Hallam, Boswell, et al., 2016; Paiva et al., 2022; Potenza & Grilo, 2014; Rodríguez-Martín & Meule, 2015). These differences may be due to a number of factors, including 538 hormonal and social differences between men and women. Studies demonstrating 539 540 increased food cravings during premenstrual and the prenatal period (Rodríguez-Martín & Meule, 2015) as well as a greater craving for sweets in women who have a high stress 541 542 response, explained by increased basal leptin and waist circumference, support the hormonal hypotheses (Macedo & Diez-Garcia, 2014). Nevertheless, the role of social 543 544 determinants in sex differences must be considered, as it is a complex and multifactorial phenomenon. There is strong evidence of the link between body image dissatisfaction 545 546 and the development and maintenance of eating disorders, and it has been demonstrated that women and girls are at high risk for body image problems due to 547 internalisation of the media ideal and comparison of appearance (Rodgers et al., 2015). 548 However, although the results for the female population are very consistent, there are still 549 550 different results in the literature for food craving, with some studies showing no differences in PFS (Serier et al., 2019; Andreeva et al., 2019) and CoEQ scores (Dalton et al., 2015). 551

As a theoretical implication, the association between PFS and CoEQ was 552 significant and with an acceptable effect size. It will be beneficial for future studies to 553 554 assess whether the available, tasted and present food influences food craving and which 555 factors mediate these pathways. Finally, the qualitative step was important for a deeper understanding of the perceptions of people with food cravings. Qualitative methods 556 557 allow the researcher to capture the meanings within the data and a contextualised understanding of the subjective experiences (Crowe et al., 2015). To our knowledge, this 558 is the first study to attempt to interview people with food cravings. 559

560 The study has many practical implications. Practitioners should be vigilant, as women might have less control over food cravings, especially for sweet food, and have 561 less control over palatable foods. However, men also showed some relevant values for 562 563 food cravings, especially for savoury foods. The qualitative section has shown that the motivators for food cravings can be different for men and women but affect both. 564 565 Regardless of sex, people need to know how to deal with cravings because many negative feelings are the trigger or consequence of cravings. It will be beneficial for 566 567 consumers to know how to modulate their environment to reduce cravings, as recommended by professionals in the field. These include, for example, appropriate 568 569 dietary orientation, controlling the presence of palatable foods in the environment, 570 removing barriers to healthy food intake and psychological orientation to improve 571 emotional regulation strategies.

Limitations of the study include that it is a cross-sectional research, so it is not 572 573 possible to infer causality concerning food cravings. Another limitation is that the CoEQ 574 has not been validated for the Brazilian population. One problem was that the factor 575 "mood" in the EFA retained only two indicators. A factor with two indicators can be problematic for many statistical reasons. We conducted various quality controls (e.g. 576 577 composite reliability, variance extracted, etc.) to ensure the quality of the instrument. Nevertheless, this factor needs to be further investigated with other populations and an 578 579 update of the CoEQ might be needed to improve this specific factor. Finally, the sample 580 of the quantitative step was purposive, but not stratified or randomised. Like many 581 studies in this area, the results cannot be generalised to other age groups and cultures. 582 Therefore, studies with other life cycles and populations are needed for a better 583 understanding of the phenomenon.

584

585 Conclusions

The CoEQ scale had an appropriate factor structure in this Brazilian sample. Women had higher scores in the craving control and craving for sweets domains and in all PFS domains, indicating sex differences. In the qualitative stage, food craving was associated with the routine, so the craved food has the function of relieving stress and anxiety. Food availability was also considered as a factor motivating food cravings. It was quite evident that most of the interviewees, especially woman, had negative feelings about their body shape as a result of food cravings. The power of food was a positive
driver (i.e., the higher one, the higher the other) for craving control, craving for savoury,
and craving for sweet.

595 The results suggest that the relationship between food cravings and the power 596 of food is relevant in the current obesogenic environment. Understanding the feelings, 597 perceptions and factors associated with food craving are necessary to inform 598 interventions and guidelines for the population.

599

600 Acknowledgments

This study was partially funded by CAPES – *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel), financial code 001. DTC is thanks Cnpq – Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico for his productivity grant 310450/2021-7.

605

606 Data availability

607

Data will be available on request to the corresponding author.

608

609 **References**

- Aliasghari, F., Asghari Jafarabadi, M., Lotfi Yaghin, N., & Mahdavi, R. (2020).
- 611 Psychometric properties of Power of Food Scale in Iranian adult population:
- 612gender-related differences in hedonic hunger. Eating and Weight Disorders, 25(1),613185–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-018-0549-3
- Andreeva, E., Neumann, M., Nöhre, M., Brähler, E., Hilbert, A., & De Zwaan, M. (2019).
 Validation of the German Version of the Power of Food Scale in a General
- 616 Population Sample. *Obesity Facts*, 12(4), 416–426.
- 617 https://doi.org/10.1159/000500489
- Bardin, L. (1977). *L'analyse de contenu* (1st ed.). Presses universitaires de France.
- Bartholomew, T. T., & Brown, J. R. (2012). Mixed Methods, Culture, and Psychology: A
 Review of Mixed Methods in Culture-Specific Psychological Research.
- 621 International Perspectives in Psychology, 1(3), 177–190.
- 622 https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029219
- Blechert, J., Klackl, J., Miedl, S. F., & Wilhelm, F. H. (2016). To eat or not to eat: Effects
 of food availability on reward system activity during food picture viewing. *Appetite*, *99*, 254–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.006
- Boswell, R. G., & Kober, H. (2016). Food cue reactivity and craving predict eating and
 weight gain : a meta-analytic review. February, 159–177.
- 628 https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12354
- Brown, T. (2006). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. In *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. The Guilford Press.
- 631 Buscemi, J., Rybak, T. M., Berlin, K. S., Murphy, J. G., & Raynor, H. A. (2017). Impact of

632 food craving and calorie intake on body mass index (BMI) changes during an 18-633 month behavioral weight loss trial. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 40(4), 565-634 573. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-017-9824-4 635 Cappelleri, J. C., Bushmakin, A. G., Gerber, R. A., Leidy, N. K., Sexton, C. C., Karlsson, J., 636 & Lowe, M. R. (2009). Evaluating the Power of Food Scale in obese subjects and a 637 general sample of individuals: development and measurement properties. International Journal of Obesity (2005), 33(8), 913–922. 638 https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2009.107 639 640 Chao, A., Grilo, C. M., White, M. A., & Sinha, R. (2015). Food cravings mediate the relationship between chronic stress and body mass index. Journal of Health 641 642 Psychology, 20(6), 721-729. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105315573448 643 Connelly, L. M. (2009). Mixed methods studies. *MedSurg Nursing*, 18(1), 31+. https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A195011770/AONE?u=unicamp br&sid=googleSc 644 645 holar&xid=47a7fdd8 646 Crowe, M., Inder, M., & Porter, R. (2015). Conducting qualitative research in mental 647 health: Thematic and content analyses. The Australian and New Zealand Journal 648 of Psychiatry, 49(7), 616-623. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867415582053 649 Dalton, M., Finlayson, G., Hill, A., & Blundell, J. (2015). Preliminary validation and 650 principal components analysis of the Control of Eating Questionnaire (CoEQ) for 651 the experience of food craving. December 2014, 1313–1317. 652 https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2015.57 653 Dalton, M., Finlayson, G., Walsh, B., Halseth, A. E., Duarte, C., & Blundell, J. E. (2017). Early improvement in food cravings are associated with long-term weight loss 654 655 success in a large clinical sample. International Journal of Obesity, 41(8), 1232-1236. https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2017.89 656 657 dos Santos, P. M., & Cirillo, M. Â. (2021). Construction of the average variance 658 extracted index for construct validation in structural equation models with 659 adaptive regressions. Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation, 660 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2021.1888122 661 Espel-Huynh, H. M., Muratore, A. F., & Lowe, M. R. (2018). A narrative review of the 662 construct of hedonic hunger and its measurement by the Power of Food Scale. 663 *Obesity Science and Practice*, 4(3), 238–249. https://doi.org/10.1002/osp4.161 664 Fiorini, M. C., Moré, C. L. O. O., & Bardagi, M. P. (2017). Família e desenvolvimento de 665 carreira de jovens adultos no contexto brasileiro: revisão integrativa . In Revista 666 Brasileira de Orientação Profissional (Vol. 18, pp. 43–55). scielopepsic. 667 Forman, E. M., Hoffman, K. L., Juarascio, A. S., Butryn, M. L., & Herbert, J. D. (2013). 668 Comparison of acceptance-based and standard cognitive-based coping strategies 669 for craving sweets in overweight and obese women. Eating Behaviors, 14(1), 64-670 68. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EATBEH.2012.10.016 671 Forman, E. M., Hoffman, K. L., McGrath, K. B., Herbert, J. D., Brandsma, L. L., & Lowe, 672 M. R. (2007). A comparison of acceptance- and control-based strategies for coping 673 with food cravings: An analog study. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 45(10), 674 2372–2386. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BRAT.2007.04.004 675 Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). A primer on partial least 676 squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) (1st ed.). Sage. 677 Hallam, J., Boswell, R. G., DeVito, E. E., & Kober, H. (2016). Gender-related Differences 678 in Food Craving and Obesity. The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine, 89(2),

679 161-173.

- 680 Hallam, J., Boswella, R. G., Devito, E. E., & Kober, H. (2016). Focus: Sex and Gender 681 Health: Gender-related Differences in Food Craving and Obesity. The Yale Journal 682 of Biology and Medicine, 89(2), 161.
- 683 Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing 684 discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43(1), 115–135. 685
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8 686
- 687 Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares 688 path modeling in international marketing. Advances in International Marketing, 689 20, 277-319. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014
- 690 Hormes, J. M., Orloff, N. C., & Timko, C. A. (2014). Chocolate craving and disordered 691 eating. Beyond the gender divide? *Appetite*, *83*, 185–193. 692
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.08.018
- 693 Horwath, C. C., Hagmann, D., & Hartmann, C. (2020). The Power of Food: Self-control 694 moderates the association of hedonic hunger with overeating, snacking frequency and palatable food intake. Eating Behaviors, 38(May), 101393. 695
- 696 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2020.101393
- 697 Jáuregui-Lobera, I., Bolaños-Ríos, P., Valero, E., & Ruiz Prieto, I. (2012). Induction of 698 food craving experience; the role of mental imagery, dietary restraint, mood and 699 coping strategies. Nutr Hosp, 27(6), 1928–1935.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.3305/nh.2012.27.6.6043
- Karekla, M., Georgiou, N., Panayiotou, G., Sandoz, E. K., Kurz, A. S., & Constantinou, M. 701 702 (2020). Cognitive Restructuring vs. Defusion: Impact on craving, healthy and 703 unhealthy food intake. Eating Behaviors, 37.
- 704 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EATBEH.2020.101385
- 705 Kline, R. B. (2016). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling (4th ed.). 706 Guilford Publications.
- 707 Kyriazos, T. A. (2018). Applied Psychometrics: Sample Size and Sample Power 708 Considerations in Factor Analysis (EFA, CFA) and SEM in General. Psychology, 09(08), 2207–2230. https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2018.98126 709
- 710 Lake, A., & Townshend, T. (2006). Obesogenic environments: exploring the built and 711 food environments. The Journal of the Royal Society for the Promotion of Health, 712 126(6), 262–267. https://doi.org/10.1177/1466424006070487
- 713 Lowe, M. R., & Butryn, M. L. (2007). Hedonic hunger: A new dimension of appetite? 714 *Physiology & Behavior, 91*(4), 432–439.
- 715 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.04.006
- 716 Lowe, M. R., Butryn, M. L., Didie, E. R., Annunziato, R. A., Thomas, J. G., Crerand, C. E., 717 Ochner, C. N., Coletta, M. C., Bellace, D., Wallaert, M., & Halford, J. (2009). The
- 718 Power of Food Scale. A new measure of the psychological influence of the food 719 environment. Appetite, 53(1), 114-118.
- 720 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2009.05.016
- 721 Macedo, D. M., & Diez-Garcia, R. W. (2014). Sweet craving and ghrelin and leptin levels 722 in women during stress. Appetite, 80, 264–270.
- 723 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.05.031
- 724 Mankad, M., & Gokhale, D. (2021). Hedonic hunger: eating for desire and not calories. 725 Cardiometry, 20, 161–167. https://doi.org/10.18137/cardiometry.2021.20.160166

726	Marsola, C. de M., Carvalho-Ferreira, J. P. de, Cunha, L. M., Jaime, P. C., & da Cunha, D.
727	T. (2021). Perceptions of risk and benefit of different foods consumed in Brazil
728	and the optimism about chronic diseases. Food Research International, 143,
729	110227. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110227
730	Massicotte, E., Deschênes, S. M., & Jackson, P. L. (2019). Food craving predicts the
731	consumption of highly palatable food but not bland food. Eating and Weight
732	<i>Disorders, 24</i> (4), 693–704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-019-00706-8
733	McKay, N. J., Belous, I. V, & Temple, J. L. (2018). Increasing water intake influences
734	hunger and food preference, but does not reliably suppress energy intake in
735	adults. Physiology & Behavior, 194, 15–22.
736	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.04.024
737	Meule, A. (2020). The Psychology of Food Cravings: the Role of Food Deprivation.
738	Current Nutrition Reports, 9(3), 251–257. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-020-
739	00326-0
740	Paiva, J. B., Carvalho-Ferreira, J. P., Penati, M. P., Buckland, N. J., & da Cunha, D. T.
741	(2022). Motivation to consume palatable foods as a predictor of body image
742	dissatisfaction: Using the Power of Food Scale in a Brazilian sample. Eating
743	Behaviors, 45, 101634.
744	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2022.101634
745	Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2007). Critical Thinking: The Art of Socratic Questioning. <i>Journal of</i>
746	Developmental Education, 31(1), 36–37. https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-
747	journals/critical-thinking-art-socratic-questioning/docview/22848/383/se-
748	2?accountid=8113
749	Penaforte, F. R. de O., Minelli, M. C. S., Rezende, L. A., & Japur, C. C. (2019). Anxiety
750 751	in young adults. <i>Psychiatry Research</i> , 271(November 2018), 715–720.
752	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.psychres.2018.11.070
753	Potenza, M. N., & Grilo, C. M. (2014). How relevant is food craving to obesity and its
754	treatment? Frontiers in Psychiatry, 5(NOV), 1–5.
755	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00164
756	Reichenberger, J., Pannicke, B., Arend, A. K., Petrowski, K., & Blechert, J. (2021). Does
757	stress eat away at you or make you eat? EMA measures of stress predict day to
758	day food craving and perceived food intake as a function of trait stress-eating.
759	Psychology and Health, 36(2), 129–147.
760	https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2020.1781122
761	Rejeski, W. J., Burdette, J., Burns, M., Morgan, A. R., Hayasaka, S., Norris, J.,
762	Williamson, D. A., & Laurienti, P. J. (2012). Power of food moderates food craving,
763	perceived control, and brain networks following a short-term post-absorptive
764	state in older adults. Appetite, 58(3), 806–813.
765	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.01.025
766	Rodgers, R. F., McLean, S. A., & Paxton, S. J. (2015). Longitudinal relationships among
767	internalization of the media ideal, peer social comparison, and body
768	dissatisfaction: implications for the tripartite influence model. Developmental
769	<i>Psychology</i> , <i>51</i> (5), 706–713. https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000013
770	Rodríguez-Martín, B. C., & Meule, A. (2015). Food craving: New contributions on its
771	assessment, moderators, and consequences. Frontiers in Psychology, 6(JAN).
772	https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2015.00021/FULL

773 Rosi, A., Zerbini, C., Pellegrini, N., Scazzina, F., Brighenti, F., & Lugli, G. (2017). How to 774 improve food choices through vending machines: The importance of healthy food 775 availability and consumers' awareness. Food Quality and Preference, 62, 262–269. 776 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODQUAL.2017.05.008 Sandelowski, M. (1995). Sample size in gualitative research. Research in Nursing & 777 Health, 18(2), 179–183. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.4770180211 778 779 Serier, K. N., Belon, K. E., Smith, J. M., & Smith, J. E. (2019). Psychometric evaluation of 780 the power of food scale in a diverse college sample: Measurement invariance 781 across gender, ethnicity, and weight status. Eating Behaviors, 35. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EATBEH.2019.101336 782 783 Sinha, R., Gu, P., Hart, R., & Guarnaccia, J. B. (2019). Food craving, cortisol and ghrelin responses in modeling highly palatable snack intake in the laboratory. Physiology 784 785 and Behavior, 208. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSBEH.2019.112563 786 Smithson, E. F., & Hill, A. J. (2017). It is not how much you crave but what you do with 787 it that counts: Behavioural responses to food craving during weight management. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 71(5), 625–630. 788 789 https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2016.235 Taylor, M. (2019). A review of food craving measures. Eating Behaviors, 32(January), 790 791 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2019.01.005 792 Ulker, I., Ayyildiz, F., & Yildiran, H. (2021). Validation of the Turkish version of the 793 power of food scale in adult population. Eating and Weight Disorders, 26(4), 794 1179-1186. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-020-01019-x 795 Ulrich-Lai, Y. M., Fulton, S., Wilson, M., Petrovich, G., & Rinaman, L. (2015). Stress 796 exposure, food intake and emotional state. Stress, 18(4), 381–399. 797 https://doi.org/10.3109/10253890.2015.1062981 798 Van Riel, A. C. R., Henseler, J., Kemény, I., & Sasovova, Z. (2016). Using PLS path 799 modeling in new technology research: updated guidelines. Industrial 800 Management & amp, 117(3), 1849–1864. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-07-2016-801 0286 Werthmann, J., Jansen, A., & Roefs, A. (2015). Worry or craving? A selective review of 802 evidence for food-related attention biases in obese individuals, eating-disorder 803 804 patients, restrained eaters and healthy samples. Proceedings of the Nutrition 805 Society, 74(2), 99-114. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/S0029665114001451 806 Yanovski, S. (2003). Sugar and Fat: Cravings and Aversions. The Journal of Nutrition, 807 133(3), 835S-837S. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/133.3.835S 808 Zanetta, L. D., Hakim, M. P., Gastaldi, G. B., Seabra, L. M. J., Rolim, P. M., Nascimento, 809 L. G. P., Medeiros, C. O., & da Cunha, D. T. (2021). The use of food delivery apps 810 during the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil: The role of solidarity, perceived risk, and 811 regional aspects. Food Research International, 149(11), 110671. 812 813