UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Comparing the catalytic and structural characteristics of a* 'short' unspecific peroxygenase (UPO) expressed in *P. pastoris and E. coli*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/193629/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Robinson, Wendy, Mielke, Tamara, Melling, Benjamin et al. (5 more authors) (2022) Comparing the catalytic and structural characteristics of a 'short' unspecific peroxygenase (UPO) expressed in P. pastoris and E. coli. Chembiochem. ISSN 1439-7633

https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202200558

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Downloaded from https://chemistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002cbic.202200558 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [07/12/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.con/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Comparing the Catalytic and Structural Characteristics of a 'Short' Unspecific Peroxygenase (UPO) Expressed in Pichia pastoris and Escherichia coli

Wendy X. Q. Robinson,^[a] Tamara Mielke,^[a] Benjamin Melling,^[a] Anibal Cuetos,^[a] Alison Parkin,^[a] William P. Unsworth,^[a] Jared Cartwright,^[b] and Gideon Grogan*^[a]

Unspecific peroxygenases (UPOs) have emerged as valuable tools for the oxygenation of non-activated carbon atoms, as they exhibit high turnovers, good stability and depend only on hydrogen peroxide as the external oxidant for activity. However, the isolation of UPOs from their natural fungal sources remains a barrier to wider application. We have cloned the gene encoding an 'artificial' peroxygenase (artUPO), close in sequence to the 'short' UPO from Marasmius rotula (MroUPO), and expressed it in both the yeast Pichia pastoris and E. coli to compare the catalytic and structural characteristics of the enzymes produced in each system. Catalytic efficiency for the UPO substrate 5-nitro-1,3-benzodioxole (NBD) was largely the same for both enzymes, and the structures also revealed few

Introduction

Biocatalysis offers many advantages in respect of the oxygenation of organic molecules, as the transformations catalysed often exhibit high degrees of regio- and stereoselectivity, and can be achieved under conditions consonant with the principles of sustainable chemistry.^[1-3] Biocatalytic oxygenations can be accomplished by a range of enzymes, exhibiting a host of mechanisms, including those that are dependent on 2oxoglutarate,^[4] flavins^[5] or heme. In the last case, the enzymes that have been most studied for their applications in biocatalysis are the cytochromes P450 (P450s),^[6-9] a large family of heme-thiolate enzymes that selectively oxygenate a range of simple to complex organic molecules from alkanes^[10] and alkenes^[11] and aromatic nuclei^[12] through to terpenes,^[13] steroids^[14] and pharmaceuticals.^[15] Despite the range of enzymes and specificities available, P450s also possess disadvan-

```
[a] Dr. W. X. Q. Robinson, Dr. T. Mielke, B. Melling, Dr. A. Cuetos, Dr. A. Parkin,
    Dr. W. P. Unsworth, Prof. Dr. G. Grogan
    York Structural Biology Laboratory
   Department of Chemistry
    University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD (UK)
   E-mail: gideon.grogan@york.ac.uk
[b] Dr. J. Cartwriaht
   Department of Biology
    University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD (UK)
   Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under
    https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202200558
   © 2022 The Authors. ChemBioChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is
```

differences apart from the expected glycosylation of the yeast enzyme. However, the glycosylated enzyme displayed greater stability, as determined by nano differential scanning fluorimetry (nano-DSF) measurements. Interestingly, while artUPO hydroxylated ethylbenzene derivatives to give the (R)-alcohols, also given by a variant of the 'long' UPO from Agrocybe aegerita (AaeUPO), it gave the opposite (S)-series of sulfoxide products from a range of sulfide substrates, broadening the scope for application of the enzymes. The structures of artUPO reveal substantial differences to that of AaeUPO, and provide a platform for investigating the distinctive activity of this and related'short' UPOs.

tages with respect to their application, as they are dependent upon an expensive nicotinamide cofactor (NAD(P)/H) for activity, as well as one or more electron transfer proteins to channel electrons from the cofactor to the heme for the oxygenation mechanism to occur. While the application of fused P450 systems, such as P450BM3 from Bacillus megaterium,^[16] can help to meet these challenges, P450s can still suffer from poor turnover rates and stability. In the context of preparative biotransformations therefore, it is of interest to identify complementary systems for oxygenations that do not suffer from the disadvantages of P450 catalysis. Unspecific peroxygenases (UPOs)^[17,18] are a class of heme-thiolate oxygenases from fungi that have similar catalytic scope to P450s, but are dependent only upon hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) as the external oxidant. Since the identification and characterisation of the first enzyme from Agrocybe aegerita (AaeUPO) by Hofrichter and co-workers,^[19-21] this UPO, expressed either from the fungus or in yeasts such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae and P. pastoris,^[22,23] has been shown to display a wide spectrum of substrate oxygenations, from aliphatic hydrocarbons 1,^[24] to aromatics such as naphthalene 4^[25] and drug compounds, including propanolol 6^[26] (Scheme 1) reminiscent of the range of reactivity displayed by their P450 counterparts.

A recent survey by Faiza and co-workers^[27] explored the diversity of UPOs within sequence databases and was able to identify two major groups of enzymes: 'long' UPOs (Group I), typified by AaeUPO, of approximately 45 kDa molecular weight, and 'short' UPOs (Group II), typified by the enzyme from Marasmius rotula (MroUPO), which are of approximately 29 kDa. Recent years have seen the discovery and application of various

an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Scheme 1. Some oxygenations of substrates catalysed by AaeUPO.

long^[28-31] and short^[32,33] UPOs and illustrated the diversity of activity that may be accessed by using different enzymes. Despite their enormous potential, access to UPOs is, however, limited by a number of factors. Occurring naturally in eukaryotes, the enzymes are glycosylated, as revealed by the structures of AaeUPO^[34,35] and MroUPO (PDB 5FUJ, 5FUK). While the necessity of the glycosylation for folding or activity of the enzyme has yet to be fully established, there are no reports of the functional expression of long UPOs such as AaeUPO in the more convenient E. coli expression systems. However, short UPOs, such as MroUPO, have been successfully expressed in functional form in E. coli,^[36] opening up the possibility of both more accessible expression and also easier directed evolution experiments. However, a direct comparison of the catalytic characteristics of any UPO expressed in yeast and bacteria, in order to evaluate the possible differences in activity or properties, has not yet been presented.

In this study, we have cloned the gene encoding an 'artificial' short UPO (artUPO)^[37] close in sequence to MroUPO, and expressed it in both Pichia pastoris and E. coli. This enzyme, when produced recombinantly in Aspergillus, was reported to have greater activity and stability than native MroUPO.[37] We have purified the enzyme from both sources and compared both their catalytic characteristics and structures using a mixture of kinetics studies, biotransformations and X-ray crystallography. We have determined that, while kinetic and structural characteristics appear to be largely conserved in the enzyme produced in bacteria, regardless of the absence of glycosylation, it appears significantly less stable than the enzyme produced from yeast in biotransformation reactions. Either enzyme was, however, active in the transformation of a range of standard UPO substrates to optically enriched products.

Results and Discussion

Cloning and expression of artUPO in P. pastoris (artUPO_{yeast})

The artUPO sequence (Figure S1) was reported^[37] as having been synthesised based on the MroUPO and other peroxygenase sequences, and shares 73% sequence identity with that of the MroUPO deposited in the PDB (5FUJ, 5FUK) (Figure S1). The gene encoding this artUPO (Figure S2) was synthesised and ligated into a pPICZ α construct that we had previously assembled for the expression of AaeUPO.[38] That construct featured a nine-point mutant of native AaeUPO (PaDa-I) that had been shown by Alcalde and co-workers to display both superior expression and activity compared to the wild type.^[22,23] Four mutations within the signal sequence had been shown to be essential for expression in Pichia pastoris, as the wild-type gene was not expressed well in the yeast. In order to construct the vector for artUPO expression in this study, the AaeUPO coding sequence was excised and the sequence of the artUPO ligated into the plasmid downstream of the 4-point mutant signal sequence of AaeUPO-PaDa-I. The X-33 strain of Pichia pastoris was transformed with this plasmid and western blot analysis of expression trials indicated that 'artUPO_{veast}' was expressed by the strain (Figure S3, with a maximum accumulation of enzyme after 24-32 h fermentation, after which the amount of signal from the supernatant declined. The expression strategy resonates with that recently applied by Weissenborn and co-workers,^[30,31] in which the signal peptides of some UPOs were found to enable the expression of non-cognate UPO sequences in experiments with genetic chimeras. Following a 32 h incubation of a 200 mL fermentation, the cells were removed by centrifugation, and the supernatant recovered for assay and further purification. The yield of enzyme corresponded to approximately 1700 UL⁻¹ [as assayed with the peroxidase substrate 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6sulfonic acid (ABTS)] of fermentation medium, which is significantly lower than, for example the 232,000 UL⁻¹ cited for AaeUPO-PaDa-I by Molina-Espeja and co-workers.^[23] This may reflect both of the use of the non-cognate, non-optimised signal peptide in expression, but also the much lower specific activity of artUPO for ABTS compared to AaeUPO and its variant (vide infra). Although the coding sequence used contained a histidine tag, the expressed artUPO_{yeast} did not bind to an NiNTA column. Hence, the supernatant was applied to a MonoQ column, resulting in significant enrichment and purification (Figure S4A). artUPO_{yeast} was then further purified using gel filtration (Figure S4B).

Cloning and expression of artUPO in E. coli (artUPO_{bact})

The artUPO gene, minus the signal sequence, was also subjected to codon optimisation for expression in *E. coli* and the gene ordered ligated into the pET-28a(+) vector (Figure S5). In this case, the gene was successfully expressed in the soluble fraction of *E. coli* Rosetta pLysS (DE3) cells following induction with IPTG, 5-aminolevulinic acid (5ALA) and iron chloride in the

nloaded from https://chemistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cbic.202200558 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [07/12/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; 0A articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License



mode of successful P450 expression used previously in our group.^[39] 'artUPO_{bact}' was purified in a more straightforward manner than artUPO_{yeast} using NiNTA chromatography followed by size exclusion, to give the pure protein (Figure S6). The yield as measured after NiNTA chromatography was 6.6 mg L⁻¹ cell growth, which is comparable to the figure of 10 mg L⁻¹ of pure protein cited by Martínez and co-workers for *Mro*UPO expressed in *E. coli*.^[40]

UV spectroscopy and kinetics

Pure artUPO_{yeast} and artUPO_{bact} were analysed using UV spectrophotometry (Figure 1) and displayed spectroscopic characteristics, including a peak at 418 nm, consistent with heme iron ligation to the thiolate ligand of a cysteine residue. artUPO_{yeast} and artUPO_{bact} displayed R_Z (Reinheitszhl) values (A₄₁₈/A₂₈₀) of approximately 3 and 2, respectively, possibly indicative of greater heme occupancy within the artUPO_{yeast} preparation.

Each enzyme was subjected to spectrophotometric assays in order to determine kinetic constants for both peroxidase (one electron transfer) and peroxygenase (two electron transfer) activity using ABTS or 5-nitro-1,3-benzodioxole NBD as substrates (Figure S7A–S7D). The kinetic constants were compared with values obtained for wt-*Aae*UPO,^[22] a native *Mro*UPO that had been purified from the *Marasmius* fungus^[32] and the short *Hsp*UPO from *Hypoxylon* sp. EC38 (Table 1).^[33]

The short enzymes artUPO_{yeast}, artUPO_{bact} and indeed $HspUPO^{[33]}$ displayed lower k_{cat} values than wt-AaeUPO for both



Figure 1. UV/visible spectra of artUPO_{yeast} (blue line) and artUPO_{bact} (orange line) showing Soret peak at 418 nm and charge transfer bands.

peroxidase and peroxygenase reactions. artUPO_{bact} was a little more active than artUPO_{yeast} and also native MroUPO for peroxidase activity towards ABTS, as a result of a lower measured K_{M} . While the reason for the difference in peroxidase activity between artUPO_{bact} and artUPO_{yeast} is not clear, a recent molecular dynamics study of non-glycosylated and glycosylated forms of the heme-containing horseradish peroxidase by Bertoša and co-workers^[41] suggests that glycans, which serve to decrease the flexibility of the protein chain, can exert a 'propagated' effect on the active site region of the enzyme. This can even alter the electrostatic potential of the heme, and therefore possibly the catalytic properties of the enzyme. Despite this difference in peroxidase activity, the catalytic efficiency of peroxygenase activity toward NBD of artUPO_{veast} and artUPO_{bact} was more or less equivalent. The k_{cat}/K_{M} values for artUPO enzymes with NBD were tenfold less than that of HspUPO as a result of a much higher K_{M} for this peroxygenase substrate. Interestingly, the HspUPO that had been expressed in Pichia and then deglycosylated, displayed nearly identical kinetics to the glycosylated HspUPO.^[33]

Structure of artUPO

We first crystallized artUPO_{yeast} and determined the structure of the enzyme in two forms: the first in space group $P2_12_12_1$, to a resolution of 2.01 Å and the second in C222₁, to 1.21 Å, with two and one molecules in the asymmetric unit, respectively. The crystallization of artUPO_{yeast} permits a comparison with unpublished structures of the related, native UPO from Marasmius rotula that have been deposited by Piontek and coworkers with PDB accession codes 5FUJ and 5FUK. artUPO_{yeast} is interesting in that, as suggested by analytical size exclusion studies (Figure S8A), it exists as a dimer in solution (Figure 2A), with two monomers connected by a disulfide bridge between C232 on each monomer. Superimposition of the two, nonequivalent, monomers in the $P2_12_12_1$ structure give an rmsd of 0.21 Å over 231 C-alpha atoms, suggesting that they are very similar. We cannot exclude the possibility of cooperativity in the mechanism of artUPO, although the kinetic plots presented (Figure S7) are not suggestive of more than one phase in ligand binding. The higher resolution structure in the C222₁ space group superimposed with the monomer of the P212121 structure with an rmsd of 0.23 Å over 231 C-alpha atoms, again indicative of high structural similarity, although clearly the higher

Table 1. Kinetic constants for wt-AaeUPO, native MroUPO, artUPO _{yeast} and artUPO _{bact} using ABTS or NBD as peroxidase and peroxygenase substrates, respectively.						
	ABTS			NBD		
Enzyme	k_{cat}	K _M [µM]	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ [IIM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹]	k_{cat}	<i>К</i> м [µМ]	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$
wt-AaeUPO ^[22]	221	25	8.84	219	684	0.32
Native MroUPO ^[32]	25	71	0.35	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
HspUPO ^[33]	17	30	0.57	10	18	0.57
artUPO _{yeast}	48	52	0.92	11	226	0.05
artUPO	45	35	1.29	5	106	0.05

ChemBioChem 2022, e202200558 (3 of 9)

n.r. = not reported.

© 2022 The Authors. ChemBioChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



Figure 2. A: Structure of artUPO_{yeast} dimer shown in ribbon format with monomers in coral and blue, and showing interdimer disulphide bridge formed by residues C232. *N*-Glycosylation sites N42, N129, N150 and N174 in one monomer are also labelled. B: Superimposed structures of monomers of artUPO_{yeast} (blue) and *Aae*UPO Pada-I mutant (red; PDB code 6EL0).

resolution presents the enzyme structure in more detail with respect to water structure and alternate conformations of amino acids, which include D61 in the 1.21 Å structure.

The backbone structure of the artUPO_{yeast} monomer (Figure 2B) was compared to known protein structures using the DALI server.^[42] MroUPO (5FUJ) was confirmed as the closest structure, with a sequence identity of 73%, and an rmsd of 0.6 Å over 234 C α atoms. The next most similar enzyme was the UPO from Hypoxylon sp. EC38 (HspUPO),[33] represented by structure 701Z (38%; 1.4 Å over 225 C α atoms). The structures confirm that each is a member of the short class of UPOs as defined by Faiza and co-workers.^[27] Interestingly, despite the overall similarity of artUPO to HspUPO, the latter enzyme does not form a dimer, lacking the extended C-terminal chain in artUPO that bears both C232 and W234 in artUPO. The next most similar enzymes in the database were AaeUPO, represented by its Pada-I mutant structure 6EL0 (33%; 2.1 Å over 221 C α atoms),^[35] and chloroperoxidase from *Caldariomyces* fumago (2CIX; 25%, 1.9 Å over 219).^[43] The differences between the structures of artUPO_{yeast} and the long AaeUPO-PaDa-I are more profound (Figure 2B), the latter displaying what amounts to an extra C-terminal domain containing two long alpha helices and loops including those between R97 and G114, F204 and E213 and P254 and S272 that are absent or significantly shorter in artUPO_{veast}.

Having been expressed in *Pichia*, artUPO_{yeast} featured glycosylation sites N42, with one resolved *N*-acetylglucosamine (NAG), N129 (two NAG) and N150 (one NAG), which corresponded to conserved sites N35, N143 and N122 in *Mro*UPO. artUPO_{yeast} however, possessed an additional monoglycosylated site N174 on a shorter loop (M172-T176) than encountered in 5FUJ (M165-L171). In addition to an extra glycosylation site, artUPO_{yeast} also possessed W234 in place of alanine in *Mro*UPO (5FUJ) near the C232 residue that participates in the interdimer disulfide bridge. Hydrophobic interactions made by these two tryptophan residues may also contribute to enzyme stability (Figure 2A).

In addition to their overall similarities in fold, artUPO, *Mro*UPO and *Hsp*UPO feature both a glutamic acid and histidine residue (E164 and H93 in artUPO) that forms the catalytic acid-base pair, in common with CPO and in contrast to the Glu-Arg

dyad found in *Aae*UPO.^[44] Significant differences to native *Mro*UPO 5FUJ and *Hsp*UPO were observed in the active site tunnel of artUPO_{yeast} however. *Mro*UPO L149, S156 and G208 are substituted by K156, L163 and N213 in artUPO, respectively, modifications which presumably contribute to altered substrate recognition by artUPO_{yeast}, although detailed mutagenesis studies would have to be performed to confirm this. The side chain amine of K156 H-bonds with the backbone carbonyl groups of G89 and T90 in artUPO_{yeast}, and may also confer extra stability to the enzyme. L163 and also F167 in the artUPO tunnel are substituted by G179 and A183 in *Hsp*UPO, which make the active site of artUPO more sterically restricted, and which again may be evidenced by differences in activity between artUPO and *Hsp*UPO (*vide supra*).

The differences between the active site tunnels of artU-PO_{yeast} and *Aae*UPO-PaDa-I are also noteworthy (Figure 3). artUPO_{yeast} is distinguished from *Aae*UPO by the replacement of characteristic phenylalanine residues in that enzyme with smaller or hydrophilic side chains including I91 for F121, L65 for F76 and K156 for F188, with G195 (common to *Aae*UPO and



Figure 3. Superimposition of active sites of artUPO_{yeast} and *Aae*UPO PaDa-I mutant (PDB code 6EL0) with backbone and side chain carbon atoms shown in blue and red, respectively.

*Hsp*UPO) replaced by L163 as described above. The differences in active site between *Aae*UPO-PaDa-I and *art*UPO give rise to significant variation in substrate range and enantioselectivity, as described below.

The structure of the artUPO expressed in E. coli was also determined to see if there were any significant differences that may result from a lack of in vivo glycosylation. artUPO_{bact} crystals were obtained in the $P2_12_12_1$ space group and again featured two molecules in the asymmetric unit, forming a dimer that was again connected by a disulfide bridge between residues C232. The structure is shown in Figure S9A and a superimposition of artUPO_{veast} and artUPO_{bact} monomers is shown in Figure S9B. The monomers superimposed with an rmsd of 0.26 A over 232 C alpha atoms, reflective of their very high structural similarity. Few obvious differences could be discerned between the structures of artUPO_{veast} and artUPO_{bact}, except that the Nterminal residues D6, F7 and S8 could be modelled in the latter, but not the former, and there was of course no glycosylation on asparagine sites N42, N129, N150 and N174 in the bacterially expressed enzyme.

Studies on glycosylated and deglycosylated forms of *Hsp*UPO, each expressed in *Pichia*, have suggested that glycosylation may serve to stabilise that UPO to process conditions during biotransformation reactions, although the melting temperature of each enzyme form was reported to be the same.^[33] We studied both the melting temperatures (T_m) and onset of aggregation temperatures of artUPO_{yeast} and artUPO_{bact} using nano differential scanning fluorimetry (nano-DSF). artUPO_{bact} gave a simple profile with a calculated T_m of 47.8 °C, but artUPO_{yeast} gave a more complex two-phase profile from which the first T_m was determined to be 45.5 °C, but the second value could not be determined (Figure S10). Of more relevance are the comparative scattering profiles with increasing temperature for the enzymes, which give an indication of the onset of aggregation or precipitation (Figure 4).

The results clearly show that the onset of aggregation for artUPO_{bact} of approximately 59 °C is significantly lower than that for artUPO_{yeast}. This lower stability may be a direct consequence of the lack of glycosylation and was indeed manifested in

visible precipitation of artUPO_{bact} in biotransformation reactions (*vide infra*). Poorer process stability was also observed for the deglycosylated form of *Hsp*UPO,^[33] although it was not reported that nano-DSF was used to acquire those data.

Biotransformations

Following the determination of the structures of artUPO, and their comparison with other UPO structures, we were interested to explore what effects the differences may exert with respect to the biotransformation of standard UPO substrates. We were particularly interested in comparing the activity and enantioselectivity of artUPO_{veast} with the PaDa-I mutant of the established *Aae*UPO for simple benzylic hydroxylations^[45] and sulfoxidations,^[46,47] benchmark reactions for UPO oxygenating activity and indicative of the potential utility of the enzymes in the generation of chiral intermediates. Given the poorer stability of the bacterially expressed enzyme, these studies were performed with artUPO_{yeast}. Hence the crude supernatant of Pichia fermentations expressing either the Pada-I AaeUPO mutant (rAaeUPO-PaDa-I-H), expressed and lyophilized as described previously, $^{\scriptscriptstyle [38]}$ and artUPO_{_{yeast}} were challenged with a series of ethylbenzene 8-14 and sulfide substrates 22-25 (Scheme 2) at 10 mM concentration with hydrogen peroxide added at intervals up to 10 mM to optimize conversion. Reactions were monitored by GC to an end point of 6 h and enantiomeric excesses determined by chiral GC analysis (Figures S10 to S20). Longer periods of incubation led to some formation of ketone overoxidation products, so 6 h was chosen as the end-point to maximise the amount of alcohol product available for chiral analysis in the ethyl benzene series.

Under equivalent reaction conditions rAaeUPO-PaDa-I-H gave higher conversions (Table 2), except in the case of *o*-methyl ethylbenzene **9**. In contrast the *m*-methyl ethylbenzene **10** was not transformed by artUPO_{yeast}. Neither enzyme







Scheme 2. Ethylbenzene and sulfide substrates used in this study.

ChemBioChem 2022, e202200558 (5 of 9)

Table 2. Conversions and enantiomeric excess of product benzylic alcohols and sulfoxides obtained from UPO-catalysed oxygenations of ethyl benzene derivatives and sulfide substrates. Enzymes (0.5 UmL^{-1}) were challenged with 10 mM substrate in 50 mM PO₄ buffer with 10% (w/v) acetonitrile as co-solvent. Reactions were incubated for 6 h with shaking at 20°C with additions of 2 mM H₂O₂ at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h.



[[]a] The absolute configurations of enantiomers of sulfoxide **26** could not be assigned, but the elution order is given, which suggests absolute configurations consistent with other sulfoxidations by *rAae*UPO-PaDa-I [(*R*)-] and artUPO_{yeast} [(*S*)-]. n.d. = not determined.

efficiently transformed ethylbenzene substrates with larger *para*-chloro (13) or *para*-methoxy (14) substituents.

The use of the rAaeUPO-PaDa-I-H permitted the assignment of absolute configurations of most artUPO reaction products by direct comparison (Table 2) on the basis of precedent oxidations with this and other enzymes (see Supporting Information Section 9 and 10). In all cases (*R*)-benzyl alcohols were produced by both enzyme systems, although the *ee* values of the products from the rAaeUPO-PaDa-I-H reactions were superior in general. This may be a reflection of the greater steric constraints exerted by the larger hydrophobic residues (especially phenylalanines) in the active site of rAaeUPO-PaDa-I-H constraining possible poses for hydroxylation at the heme. The 34% *ee* obtained for the product of hydroxylation (**15**) of ethylbenzene itself (**8**) by artUPO_{yeast}, was comparable to that obtained using the short peroxygenase *Hsp*UPO (23%).^[33]

A small series of sulfide substrates **22–25** was well tolerated by both *rAae*UPO-PaDa-I-H and artUPO_{yeast}. The *meta*-methyl substrate **22** was transformed more efficiently by *Aae*UPO-PaDa-I, as for the ethylbenzene analogue, but artUPO_{yeast} gave a superior conversion for the *para*-methoxy substrate **25**. However, in contrast to the case with the ethylbenzene substrates, artUPO_{yeast} gave the opposite (*S*)- enantiomeric series of sulfoxide products compared to the (*R*)- products from *rAae*UPO-PaDa-I-H observed here and previously by Bassanini and co-workers.^[46] This difference in stereoselective behaviour between *rAae*UPO-PaDa-I-H and artUPO_{yeast} toward sulfide substrates constitutes a further illustration of the value in exploring UPOs from different classes and organisms for wider selectivity.

Model reactions were also conducted with artUPO_{bact} under conditions used for the biotransformations above. However, it was noticed that the enzyme precipitated upon more prolonged incubations with substrate in the reaction mixture. Despite this, we examined whether the enantioselective properties of artUPO_{yeast} were retained by artUPO_{bact} by using a model substrate as an example. The enzyme was challenged with *para*-methylthioanisole **23** under similar reaction conditions to those used for artUPO_{yeast}, giving a 65% conversion to sulfoxide after 2 h. In this case the enantioselectivity was conserved, with the product (*S*)-**27** having an *ee* of 72% (Supporting Information Section 10), suggesting that although stability was compromised, enantioselectivity was not significantly affected by the use of the different expression host and the consequent absence of glycosylation in the enzyme.

Conclusion

The availability of multiple sequences encoding UPOs hints at great potential for unearthing diversity in catalytic characteristics and reaction specificity for application in scalable oxygenations. The accessibility of UPOs will be facilitated by the investigation of complementary expression systems, including both yeast and bacteria, where targets permit. The studies on artUPO in this investigation suggest that catalytic characteristics may be retained upon transferring expression from *P. pastoris*

License

to *E. coli*, although questions remain about enzyme stability in the absence of glycosylation, as highlighted both for this system and also for *Hsp*UPO by Mattevi and co-workers.^[33] However, expression in *E. coli* may facilitate the discovery of improved mutants of short UPOs, which can be optimised and screened for activity and selectivity more quickly in the simpler bacterial system. Once identified, the best mutants could then be transferred for preparative expression of their potentially more stable glycosylated forms in yeast for process applications.

Experimental Section

Cloning, expression and purification of artUPO gene in P. pastoris (artUPO_{veast}): A gene encoding artUPO was synthesized by GeneArt (ThermoFisher Scientific) with the sequence shown in Figure S2. The gene was amplified from its carrier plasmid using PCR. Using InFusion cloning, the gene was then inserted into a pPICZ α vector that had previously been constructed^[38] to express the AaeUPO-PaDa-I mutant, based on a sequence described in the literature.^[22,23] In the new construct, the artUPO sequence replaced the coding sequence of AaeUPO-PaDa-I, but the plasmid retained the mutated signal sequence that had been used to improve expression of that enzyme.^[22,23] The recombinant plasmid was used to transform into E. coli Stellar Cells (TakaRa). The cells were grown overnight on lowsalt LB plates containing 25 μ g mL⁻¹ zeocin at 37 °C. Five resulting colonies from the transformants were selected for colony PCR and the PCR products were analysed using gel electrophoresis. The colonies that had been successfully transformed were picked and grown in a 10 mL starter culture of low-salt LB (5 mL) overnight at 37°C at 180 rpm. The recombinant DNA was extracted using a miniprep kit (Qiagen) and was submitted for sequencing. Following confirmation of the sequence, the recombinant DNA was then linearised with Sacl and transformed into Pichia pastoris strain X-33 (Invitrogen) via electroporation.

A 0.5 L MiniBio fermenter (Applikon) was charged with 200 mL of a basal salts medium containing 26.7 mL⁻¹ H₃PO₄, 85% (w/v); 1.17 g L⁻¹ CaSO₄·2H₂O; 18.2 g L⁻¹ K₂SO₄; 14.9 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O; 4.13 g L⁻¹ KOH; 40.0 g L⁻¹ glycerol) and 4.35 mLL⁻¹ of PTM₁ trace salts containing 6.0 g L⁻¹ CuSO₄·5H₂O; 0.08 g L⁻¹ Nal; 3.0 g L⁻¹ MnSO₄·H₂O; 0.2 g L⁻¹ Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O; 0.02 g L⁻¹ H₃BO₃; 0.5 g L⁻¹ CoCl₂; 20.0 g L⁻¹ ZnCl₂; 65 g L⁻¹ FeSO₄·7H₂O; 0.2 g L⁻¹ Biotin; 5.0 mLL⁻¹ H₂SO₄). The following parameters were set for the fermentation: temperature at 30 °C, pH 5, stirrer limits set to 200–1750 rpm, airflow at 100 mLmin⁻¹, DO at 30%, condenser at 70%, base pump output of 25%, and antifoam with pump output of 15%. The pH was adjusted to 5.0 using 28% ammonium hydroxide (undiluted).

A glycerol stock of the transformed strain of *P. pastoris* was streaked onto a Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose (YPD) plate with 25 μ g mL⁻¹ zeocin and incubated at 30 °C for 3 d. Four colonies were selected and grown in 4×5 mL Buffered Glycerol-complex Medium (BMGY) containing 1% yeast extract; 2% peptone; 100 mM potassium phosphate pH 6.0; 1.34% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base; 4×10⁻⁵ % biotin and 1% glycerol for 24 h at 30 °C with shaking at 220 rpm. The four starter cultures were pooled and added to the fermentation vessel and the culture was then grown until all the glycerol had been consumed (approximately 20 h. A 100% methanol feed with PTM₁ salts (12 mLL⁻¹) was then added at 3.6 mLh⁻¹L⁻¹ of initial fermentation volume for 4 h. When the culture had adapted to the methanol feed rate, had a steady DO % and had a fast DO spike after stopping the methanol source, the methanol feed rate was doubled to $7.3 \text{ mL} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ L}^{-1}$ of initial fermentation volume. After the culture adapted to the increase in feed rate, this was further increased to $10.9 \text{ mL} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ L}^{-1}$ of initial fermentation volume. After 32 h, the culture was harvested and centrifuged at $10,000 \times \text{g}$ for 20 min to remove the cells. The supernatant was decanted and stored at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Purification of artUPO_{yeast} : The supernatant was slowly defrosted and dialysed against a salt-free buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0) at 4°C in preparation for anion exchange chromatography. A 5 mL HiTrap Q HP column (GE Healthcare) was equilibrated with 5 column volumes (CV) of the salt-free buffer before loading the protein sample. The column was washed with 5 CV of the salt-free buffer, followed by a gradient of NaCl from 0 mM to 300 mM over 20 CV at 2.5 mLmin⁻¹. Fractions of interest were collected and analysed using SDS PAGE (Figure S4A). Those deemed to contain artUPO of sufficient purity were pooled and the volume of these collected fractions was then reduced to 2 mL using a 10 kDa cut-off Centricon® filter membrane. The 2 mL of concentrated protein was the loaded onto a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 prep grade column (GE Healthcare) that had been previously equilibrated with a buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl and 10% w/v glycerol at pH 8.0. The sample was eluted with 1.2 CV of the Tris buffer at a flow rate of 0.8 mLmin⁻¹ and the fractions were analysed using SDS PAGE (Figure S4B). Fractions containing pure artUPO were pooled and stored at 4°C.

Expression and purification of artUPO gene in E. coli (artUPO_{bact}): The gene sequence of artUPO was codon optimised and the sequence ordered from GeneArt inserted into pET-28a(+) (Figure S5). For the expression of artUPO_{bact} in E. coli, the intact pET-28(+) plasmid containing the artUPO gene was used to transform E. coli Rosetta pLysS (DE3) cells. Following transformation, a single colony was selected and added to 10 mL LB medium containing 30 μ g mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 33 μ g mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol and grown overnight at 37 °C with shaking at 180 rpm. The starter culture was then added to 1 L LB broth in a 2 L shake flask containing kanamycin and chloramphenicol. This culture was incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 180 rpm until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.7. To induce expression, 1 mL IPTG (1 M), 2 mL 5-aminlolevulinic acid (5ALA, 0.5 M) and 2 mL FeSO₄ (0.2 M) were added and the culture was incubated overnight at 16°C at 180 rpm. The cultures were centrifuged at $5000 \times q$ for 20 min, the cell pellets were collected and resuspended in 30 mL of 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 300 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol and 20 mM imidazole. The cells were then lysed using a cell disruptor with a pressure of 26 kPsi and were then centrifuged at $15000 \times g$ for 40 min. The supernatant was filtered and then loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap FF crude column (GE Healthcare) that had been equilibrated with 5 column volumes (CV) of cell resuspension buffer. The protein sample was loaded and the column eluted with 5 CV of the buffer, followed by a gradient of 20 mM to 300 mM imidazole over 20 CV. Fractions were collected and analysed using SDS PAGE (Figure S6A). Fractions containing artUPO_{bact} were pooled and concentrated to a volume of 2 mL and then loaded onto a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 prep grade column (GE Healthcare). This column was eluted with 1.2 CV of buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl with 300 mM NaCl, and 10% (v/v) glycerol at 0.8 mL min-1 using the FPLC system (ÄKTA Pure or ÄKTA Start, GE Healthcare). Fractions of interest were collected and analysed using SDS PAGE (Figure S6B).

Determination of kinetic constants: Kinetic constants for peroxidase and peroxygenase activity for artUPO_{yeast} and artUPO_{bact} were determined in UV assays using the substrates 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) and 1,2-(methylenedioxy-4-nitrobenzene) (NBD), respectively. The reactions for both substrates were carried out on a 1 mL scale in 1 mL quartz cuvettes. For the ABTS assays, the reactions contained 50 mM

ChemBioChem 2022, e202200558 (7 of 9)

citrate buffer at pH 4.4, ABTS at concentrations of 10, 20, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400 and 500 μ M and 1 μ L artUPO (from a stock concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹). Reactions were initiated by the addition of H₂O₂ to a final concentration of 2 mM. For the NBD assays, the reactions contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7.0, NBD with final concentrations of 10, 15, 20, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 250 and 300 μ M in acetonitrile (10% of the final volume), 1 μ L artUPO (from a stock concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹). Reactions were again initiated by the addition of H₂O₂ to a final concentration of 2 mM. ABTS and NBD reactions were monitored for 1 min at 418 nm for ABTS and 425 nm for NBD. Monitoring the rate of reaction at different concentrations generated Michaelis-Menten curves for both ABTS and NBD for artUPO_{yeast} (Figures S7A and S7B, respectively) and artUPO_{bact} (Figures S7C and S7D, respectively).

Crystallisation

artUPO_{yeast}: Purified artUPO_{yeast} was concentrated to 60 mg mL⁻¹ in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl and 10% *w/v* glycerol. Initial crystallisation screens were set up in 96 well 2 drop plates, using a Mosquito robot, which contained either 1:1 or 1:2 ratio of protein to buffer in a sitting drop with a total volume of 300 nL. The best initial crystals were obtained from conditions containing 0.2 M calcium chloride dihydrate and 20% (w/v) PEG 3350 at pH 5.1. These gave a dataset in the *P*2₁2₁2₁ space group. Further crystals were obtained in conditions containing 0.15 M KSCN, 25% PEG MME 2000 with no buffer. These gave a higher resolution dataset in the *C*222₁ space group.

artUPO_{bact}: Purified artUPO_{bact} at a concentration of 15 mgmL⁻¹ in the size exclusion buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl with 300 mM NaCl, and 10% (v/v) glycerol) was similarly subjected to crystal trials. The best crystals were obtained in 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5 with 25% (w/v) PEG 3350 and these were harvested without further optimisation for data collection.

Data collection, structure solution and refinement: Crystals of artUPO_{veast} and artUPO_{bact} were flash-cooled using liquid nitrogen without extra cryo-protectant. The datasets described in this report were collected at the Diamond Light Source, Didcot, Oxfordshire, U.K. on beamline I03 and I04-1 (artUPO $_{\rm veast})$ and beamline I03 (artUPO_{bact}). Data were processed and integrated using XDS^[48] and scaled using SCALA^[49] included in the Xia2 processing system^[50] Data collection statistics are provided in Table S1. Crystals of artUPO_{yeast} were obtained in either space group P2₁2₁2₁ or C222₁, with two or one molecules in the asymmetric unit, respectively. Crystals of artUPO_{bact} were obtained in the P2₁2₁2₁ space group. The solvent content in the $P2_12_12_1$ or $C222_1$ artUPO_{yeast} crystals was 55% in each case, and 37% in the case of artUPO_{bact}. The structures were solved by molecular replacement using MOLREP^[51] with one monomer of MroUPO (73% sequence identity, PDB code 5FUJ) as the model.

The structures were built and refined using iterative cycles in Coot^[52] and REFMAC,^[53] employing local NCS restraints in the refinement cycles when appropriate. The final $P2_12_12_1$, C222₁ and artUPO_{bact} structures exhibited $\% R_{cryst}/R_{free}$ values of 18.2/21.8, 15.0/ 16.6 and 18.9/23.7, respectively. Refinement statistics for the structures are presented in Table S1. The Ramachandran plot for the $P2_12_12_1$ artUPO_{yeast} structure showed 95.0% of residues to be situated in the most favoured regions, 4.9% in additional allowed and no residues in outlier regions; the figures for the C222₁ artUPO_{yeast} structure were 95.9%, 3.7% and 0.4%, respectively. The figures for the artUPO_{bact} structure were 99.5%, 0.5% and 0.0%, respectively. Structure factors and coordinate files for artUPO_{yeast} $P2_12_12_1$, artUPO_{yeast} C222₁ and artUPO_{bact} have been deposited in the

NanoDSF (nano-differential scanning fluorimetry): artUPO_{bact} and artUPO_{yeast} were prepared at concentrations of 2 mg mL⁻¹ in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl pH 8.0. Samples of each were loaded onto a Prometheus NT 48 (NanoTemper) machine in 10 μ L capillary tubes. The fluorescence was measured at 330 nm and 350 nm between 20 and 80 °C using a temperature gradient of 1 °C min⁻¹. The data were analysed using ThermalControl (NanoTemper).

Biotransformations: Oxygenation reactions using *Aae*UPO Pada-I mutant and artUPO_{yeast} with ethylbenzene substrates **8–14** or sulfide substrates **22–25** were carried out on a 5 mL scale with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 0.5 UmL⁻¹ UPO and 10 mM substrate with 10% (v/v) acetonitrile. Reactions were incubated with shaking at 250 r.p.m. at 20°C for 6 h with 5 additions of 2 mM H₂O₂ at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h. After 6 h, the reactions were extracted with ethyl acetate and analysed for both conversion % and enantiomeric excess using GC.

GC analysis: Conversions were determined using GC analysis with a HP-5 column (30 \times 0.25 internal diameter, 0.25 μ m film thickness) from Agilent J&W and using helium as a carrier gas. Chiral GC was performed on the same instrument fitted with either a Betadex 120 (Supelco) or BGB 175 (BGB Analytik) chiral column using conditions listed in Table S2. Alcohols 15, 18, 19 and 20 were assigned absolute configurations based on elution orders obtained with identical compounds also separated on Supleco Betadex 120 by Decarlini and co-workers^[54] and references therein. Alcohols 16 and 17 were assigned absolute configurations based on elution orders obtained with identical compounds also separated on Supleco Betadex 120 by Li and co-workers.^[55] Sulfoxides 27, 28 and 29 were assigned absolute configurations based on chiral analysis of biotransformations conducted in house using the cyclohexanone monooxygenase from Acinetobacter calcoaceticus,^[56] which were compared to results obtained and configurations assigned by Carrea and co-workers using the same enzyme.^[57]

Supporting Information

Gene and amino acid sequences; PCR primers; UV analysis and analytical size exclusion chromatography; kinetics; crystal data; standard and chiral GC analysis conditions are all available in the Supporting Information.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for PhD awards to W.R. and B.M., the latter also with Syngenta. We also thank the industrial affiliates of the Centre of Excellence for Biocatalysis, Biotransformation and Biomanufacture (CoEBio3) for the award of a studentship to T.M. A.C. was funded by BBSRC grant BB/P005578/1. We thank Dr Johan P. Turkenburg and Mr Sam Hart for assistance with X-ray data collection, and the Diamond Light Source for access to beamlines 103 and 104-1 under proposal number mx-9948.



nloaded from https://chemistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cbic.202200558 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [07/12/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

License

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords: biocatalysis • hydroxylation • oxygenases • *Pichia* pastoris · unspecific peroxygenases

- [1] D. J. Leak, R. A. Sheldon, J. M. Woodley, P. Adlercreutz, Biocatal. Biotransform. 2009, 27, 1-26.
- [2] D. Holtmann, M.W. Fraaije, I.W.C.E. Arends, D.J. Opperman, F. Hollmann, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13180-13200.
- [3] J. Dong, E. Fernández-Fueyo, F. Hollmann, C. E. Paul, M. Pesic, S. Schmidt, Y. Wang, S. Younes, W. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 9238-9261; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 9380-9404.
- [4] C. Peters, R. M. Buller, Catalysts 2019, 9, 221.
- [5] W. J. H. van Berkel, N. M. Kamerbeek, M. W. Fraaije, J. Biotechnol. 2006, 124, 670-689.
- [6] R. Fasan, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 647–666.
- [7] J. A. McIntosh, C. C. Farwell, F. H. Arnold, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2014, 19, 126-134.
- [8] R. K. Zhang, X. Huang, F. H. Arnold, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2019, 49, 67-75
- [9] V. B. Urlacher, M. Girhard, Trends Biotechnol. 2019, 37, 882-897.
- [10] M. W. Peters, P. Meinhold, A. Glieder, F. H. Arnold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13442-13450.
- S. C. Hammer, G. Kubik, E. Watkins, S. Huang, H. Minges, F. H. Arnold, [11] Science 2017, 358, 215.
- [12] O. Shoji, S. Yanagisawa, J. K. Stanfield, K. Suzuki, Z. Cong, H. Sugimoto, Y. Shiro, Y. Watanabe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10324-10329; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 10460-10465.
- [13] C. J. D. Mau, R. Croteau, Phytochem. Rev. 2006, 5, 373.
- [14] M. Szaleniec, A. M. Wojtkiewicz, R. Bernhardt, T. Borowski, M. Donova, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2018, 102, 8153-8171.
- [15] J. K. Kulig, C. Spandolf, R. Hyde, A. C. Ruzzini, L. D. Eltis, G. Grönberg, M. A. Hayes, G. Grogan, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2015, 23, 5603-5609.
- [16] C. J. C. Whitehouse, S. G. Bell, L.-L. Wong, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1218-1260.
- [17] S. Bormann, A. Gomez Baraibar, Y. Ni, D. Holtmann, F. Hollmann, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2015, 5, 2038-2052.
- [18] Y. Wang, D. Lan, R. Durrani, F. Hollmann, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2017, 37, 1-9.
- [19] R. Ullrich, J. Nüske, K. Scheibner, J. Spantzel, M. Hofrichter, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2004, 70, 4575.
- [20] R. Ullrich, M. Hofrichter, FEBS Lett. 2005, 579, 6247-6250.
- [21] M. J. Pecyna, R. Ullrich, B. Bittner, A. Clemens, K. Scheibner, R. Schubert, M. Hofrichter, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2009, 84, 885-897.
- P. Molina-Espeja, E. Garcia-Ruiz, D. Gonzalez-Perez, R. Ullrich, M. [22] Hofrichter, M. Alcalde, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2014, 80, 3496-3507.
- [23] P. Molina-Espeja, S. Ma, D. M. Mate, R. Ludwig, M. Alcalde, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2015, 73-74, 29-33.
- [24] S. Peter, M. Kinne, X. Wang, R. Ullrich, G. Kayser, J. T. Groves, M. Hofrichter, FEBS J. 2011, 278, 3667-3675.
- [25] M. Kluge, R. Ullrich, C. Dolge, K. Schibner, M. Hofrichter, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2009, 81, 1071-1076.

- [26] M. Kinne, M. Poraj-Kobielska, E. Aranda, R. Ullrich, K. E. Hammel, K. Scheibner, M. Hofrichter, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 3085-3087.
- [27] M. Faiza, S. Huang, D. Lan, Y. Wang, BMC Evol. Biol. 2019, 19, 76. [28] E. D. Babot, J, C. del Rio, L. Kalum, A. T. Martínez, A. Gutiérrez,
- Biotechnol. Bioeng. 2012, 110, 2323-2332.
- [29] D. H. Anh, R. Ullrich, D. Benndorf, A, Svatoś, A. Muck, M. Hofrichter, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2007, 7, 5477-5485.
- [30] A. Knorrscheidt, J. Soler, N. Hünecker, P. Püllmann, M. Garcia-Borràs, M. J. Weissenborn, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 7327-7338.
- [31] P. Püllmann, A. Knorrscheidt, J. Münch, P. R. Palme, W. Hoehenwarter, S. Marillonnet, M. Alcalde, M. J. Weissenborn, Commun. Biol. 2021, 4, 562.
- [32] G. Gröbe, R. Ullrich, M. J. Pecyna, D. Kapturska, S. Friedrich, M. Hofrichter, K. Scheibner, AMB Express 2011, 1, 31.
- [33] L. Rotilio, A. Swoboda, K. Ebner, C. Rinnofner, A. Glieder, W. Kroutil, A. Mattevi, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 11511-11525.
- [34] K. Piontek, E. Strittmatter, R. Ullrich, G. Gröbe, M. J. Pecyna, M. Kluge, K. Scheibner, M. Hofrichter, D. A. Plattner, J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288, 34767-34776.
- [35] M. Ramirez-Escudero, P. Molina-Espeja, P. Gomez de Santos, M. Hofrichter, J. Sanz-Aparicio, M. Alcalde, ACS Chem. Biol. 2018, 13, 3259-3268.
- [36] J. Carro, A. González-Benjumea, E. Fernández-Fueyo, C. Aranda, V. Guallar, A. Gutiérrez, A. T. Martínez, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 6234-6242.
- [37] J. Vind, L. Kiemer, E. Amourgi, 2016 WO 2016207373A1.
- [38] H. E. Bonfield, K. Mercer, A. Diaz-Rodriguez, G. C. Cook, B. S. J. McKay, P. Slade, G. M. Taylor, W. X. Ooi, J. D. Williams, J. P. M. Roberts, J. A. Murphy, L. Schmermund, W. Kroutil, T. Mielke, J. Cartwright, G. Grogan, L. J. Edwards, ChemPhotoChem 2020, 4, 45-51.
- [39] S. M. Hoffmann, H.-R. Danesh-Azari, C. Spandolf, M. J. Weissenborn, G. Grogan, B. Hauer, ChemCatChem 2016, 8, 3234-3239.
- [40] E. Fernández Fueyo, C. Aranda Oliden, A. Gutiérrez Suárez, A. T. Martínez Ferrer, 2020, EP3594332A1.
- [41] S. Škulj, A. Barišić, N. Mutter, O. Spadiut, I. Barišić, B. Bertoša, Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. 2022, 20, 3096-3105.
- [42] L. Holm, Methods Mol. Biol. 2020, 2112, 29-42.
- [43] K. Kuhnel, W. Blankenfeldt, J. Terner, I. Schlichting, J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 23990-23998.
- [44] X. Wang, R. Ullrich, M. Hofrichter, J. T. Groves, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2015, 112, 3686-3691.
- [45] M. Kluge, R. Ullrich, K. Scheibner, M. Hofrichter, Green Chem. 2012, 14, 440-446.
- [46] I. Bassanini, E. E. Ferrandi, M. Vanoni, G. Ottolina, S. Riva, M. Crotti, E. Brenna, D. Monti, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 7186-7189.
- [47] Y. Li, Y. Ma, P. Li, X. Zhang, D. Ribitsch, M. Alcalde, F. Hollmann, Y. Wang, ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 254-257.
- [48] W. Kabsch, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D. 2010, 66, 125–132.
- [49] P. Evans, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D. 2006, 62, 72-82.
- [50] G. Winter, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2010, 3, 186–190.
- [51] A. Vagin, A. Teplyakov, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 1022-1025.
- [52] P. Emsley, K. Cowtan, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2004, 60, 2126-2132.
- [53] G. N. Murshudov, A. A. Vagin, E. J. Dodson, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 1997, 53, 240-255.
- [54] M. F. Decarlini, M. L. Almar, A. M. Vàzquez, S. Vero, L. I. Rossi, P. Yang, Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 2017, 12, 275-285.
- [55] W. Li, G. Hou, C. Wang, Y. Jiang, X. Zhang, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3979-3981.
- [56] B. D. Summers, 2014, PhD thesis University of York.
- [57] G. Carrea, B. Redigolo, S. Riva, S. Colonna, N. Gaggero, E. Battistel, D. Bianchi, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1992, 3, 1063-1068.

Manuscript received: September 22, 2022 Revised manuscript received: November 14, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: November 14, 2022 Version of record online:

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Unspecific peroxygenases (UPOs) are valuable tools for the oxygenation of non-activated carbon atoms, as they exhibit high turnovers, good stability and depend only on hydrogen peroxide as the external oxidant for activity. We have cloned the gene encoding an 'artificial' peroxygenase (artUPO), close in sequence to the 'short' UPO from Marasmius rotula (MroUPO), and expressed it in both the yeast Pichia pastoris and E. coli to compare the catalytic and structural characteristics of the enzymes produced in each system.



Dr. W. X. Q. Robinson, Dr. T. Mielke, B. Melling, Dr. A. Cuetos, Dr. A. Parkin, Dr. W. P. Unsworth, Dr. J. Cartwright, Prof. Dr. G. Grogan*

1 – 10

Comparing the Catalytic and Structural Characteristics of a 'Short' Unspecific Peroxygenase (UPO) Expressed in *Pichia pastoris* and *Escherichia coli*