

This is a repository copy of *Artemisia annua* L. plants lacking Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase reallocate carbon from monoterpenes to sesquiterpenes except artemisinin.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/192422/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Czechowski, Tomasz, Branigan, Caroline Anna, Rae, Anne Margaret et al. (10 more authors) (2022) *Artemisia annua* L. plants lacking Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase reallocate carbon from monoterpenes to sesquiterpenes except artemisinin. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 1000819. ISSN 1664-462X

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1000819>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 ***Artemisia annua* L. plants lacking *Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase***
2 **reallocate carbon from monoterpenes to sesquiterpenes except**
3 **artemisinin**

4 Tomasz Czechowski¹, Caroline Branigan¹, Anne Rae^{1,3}, Deborah Rathbone^{1,4}, Tony R. Larson¹,
5 David Harvey¹, Theresa Catania¹, Dong Zhang^{1,5}, Yi Li¹, Melissa Salmon^{2,6}, Dianna J. Bowles¹,
6 Paul O'Maille^{2,7} and Ian A. Graham^{1,*}

7 ¹Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of York, Heslington, York
8 YO10 5DD, United Kingdom; ²Department of Metabolic Biology, John Innes Centre, Norwich
9 Research Park, Norwich NR4 7UH, United Kingdom.

10 * **Correspondence:**

11 Ian A. Graham
12 ian.graham@york.ac.uk

13 ³Cherry Valley Farms Ltd, Cherry Valley House, Unit 1 Blossom Avenue, Humberston, North East
14 Lincolnshire, DN36 4TQ, United Kingdom; ⁴Biorenewables Development Centre, 1 Hassacarr Close,
15 Chessingham Park, Dunnington, York, YO19 5SN, United Kingdom; ⁵College of Agriculture, South
16 China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China; ⁶Patron Lab, Earlham Institute, Norwich
17 Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk, NR4 7UZ, United Kingdom; ⁷SRI International, 333 Ravenswood
18 Avenue, Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493, United States.

19 **Running title:** Camphor null *Artemisia annua*

20 **Keywords:** *Artemisia annua*, artemisinin biosynthesis, camphor biosynthesis, *Bornyl*
21 *diPhosphate Synthase*, Glandular Secretory Trichomes,

22 **Abstract**

23 The monoterpene camphor is produced in glandular secretory trichomes of the medicinal plant
24 *Artemisia annua*, which also produces the antimalarial drug artemisinin. We have found that,
25 depending on growth conditions, camphor can accumulate at levels ranging from 1- 10% leaf dry
26 weight (LDW) in the Artemis F1 hybrid, which has been developed for commercial production of
27 artemisinin at up to 1% LDW. We discovered that a camphor null (camphor-0) phenotype segregates
28 in the progeny of self-pollinated Artemis material. Camphor-0 plants also show reduced levels of other
29 less abundant monoterpenes and increased levels of the sesquiterpene precursor farnesyl
30 pyrophosphate plus sesquiterpenes, including enzymatically derived artemisinin pathway
31 intermediates but not artemisinin. One possible explanation for this is that high camphor concentrations
32 in the glandular secretory trichomes play an important role in generating the hydrophobic conditions
33 required for the non-enzymatic conversion of dihydroartemisinic acid tertiary hydroperoxide to
34 artemisinin. We established that the camphor-0 phenotype associates with a genomic deletion that
35 results in loss of a *Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase* (*AaBPS*) gene candidate. Functional characterization
36 of the corresponding enzyme *in vitro* confirmed it can catalyse the first committed step in not only
37 camphor biosynthesis but also in a number of other monoterpenes, accounting for over 60% of total
38 volatiles in *A. annua* leaves. This *in vitro* analysis is consistent with loss of monoterpenes in camphor-
39 0 plants. The *AaBPS* promoter drives high reporter gene expression in *A. annua* glandular secretory

40 trichomes of juvenile leaves with expression shifting to non-glandular trichomes in mature leaves,
41 which is consistent with *AaBPS* transcript abundance.

42 1 Introduction

43 Malaria still poses a global threat, with 229 million cases occurring worldwide and 409,000 deaths in
44 2020 (2020 WHO Malaria report). Artemisinin, the main component in the WHO recommended
45 treatment for malaria, is produced in glandular secretory trichomes (GSTs), specialised 10-cell
46 structures found on the surface of the leaves, stems and flower buds of *Artemisia annua* L. More recent
47 work suggests that the non-glandular trichome cells also express artemisinin biosynthetic pathway
48 genes and produce artemisinin (Judd et al., 2019). The demand for the plant-sourced drug has been
49 responded to by breeding efforts to improve yields, including the development of F1 hybrids such as
50 Artemis (Delabays et al., 2001). Recently, over eighty additional natural products have been NMR-
51 characterised from *A. annua*, including monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, diterpenes, triterpenes / sterols,
52 phenylpropanoids, flavonoids, aliphatic hydrocarbons, aromatic and aliphatic alcohols, aldehydes,
53 ketones and acids (Czechowski et al., 2018). *A. annua* essential oil is synthesised in GSTs and has been
54 the subject of numerous studies reporting antibacterial and antifungal activities, but chemical
55 composition varies widely depending on the phylogeographic origin of the plants. Generally, the five
56 major constituents in essential oil across *A. annua* varieties are artemisia ketone (2-68%), camphor (3-
57 48%), 1,8-cineole (5-31.5%), germacrene D (0.3-21.2%) and borneol (7-20%) (Bilia et al., 2014).
58 Camphor, traditionally obtained through the distillation of the wood of the camphor tree (*Cinnamomum*
59 *camphora*), is a major essential oil component of many aromatic plant species. In addition to its use as
60 a skin penetration enhancer (Chen et al., 2013), camphor also exhibits insecticidal, antimicrobial,
61 antiviral, anticoccidial, anti-nociceptive, anticancer and antitussive activities (Chen et al., 2013). The
62 camphor biosynthetic pathway begins with the cyclisation of geranyl diphosphate (GPP) by the enzyme
63 (+)-bornyl diphosphate synthase (BPS), yielding (+)-bornyl diphosphate, which is then hydrolysed to
64 (+)-borneol through the action of bornyl-diphosphate diphosphatase. The last step is catalysed by (+)-
65 borneol dehydrogenase (BDH) as it oxidises (+)-borneol to (+)-camphor (Croteau and Karp, 1976,
66 Croteau and Karp, 1979b, Croteau et al., 1981). (+)-bornyl diphosphate synthases have been
67 functionally characterised from sage, lavender and *Lippia dulcis* (Wise et al., 1998, Despinasse et al.,
68 2017, Hurd et al., 2017, Singh et al., 2020). Sage Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase (SoBPS) has been
69 cloned and structurally characterised revealing the exact molecular mechanism of GPP cyclisation to
70 bornyl –diphosphate (Wise et al., 1998, Whittington et al., 2002). Interestingly, recombinant SoBPS is
71 also able to produce significant amounts of other monoterpenes including camphene, limonene, a-
72 pinene, terpinolene and myrcene (Wise et al., 1998). ¹³C isotope labelling studies have shown the GPP
73 used for biosynthesis of camphor is produced through the non-mevalonate (MEP) pathway by
74 combination of the C5 isoprenoid units, isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl
75 pyrophosphate (DMAPP) (Croteau et al., 1981). The pool of isoprenoid precursors is also required for
76 the production of artemisinin, where IPP and DMAPP are of mixed biosynthetic origin, coming from
77 both the cytosolic mevalonate and plastidial MEP pathways (Schramek et al., 2010). Camphor
78 biosynthesis may therefore represent one of the major sinks for the plastidial pool of IPP and DMAPP
79 in *A. annua* GSTs and if this is the case then a block in camphor synthesis could lead to increased
80 production of artemisinin.

81 Detailed metabolite profiling of leaf material from an *A. annua* cv. Artemis F1 hybrid identified
82 camphor as the most abundant volatile compound. Screening genetic variation led to the discovery of
83 a camphor-0 phenotype in both Artemis F2 material and Artemis M2 material, that had been derived
84 from selfed ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenised Artemis M1 material. This allowed us to
85 assess the impact of the removal of camphor, and the majority of monoterpenes, on the accumulation

86 of artemisinin. Contrary to our expectations and despite a significant increase in farnesyl
87 pyrophosphate (FPP, the precursor of the artemisinin biosynthetic pathway), and a number of pathway
88 intermediates, artemisinin levels were not changed. We propose a model to explain these results and
89 establish the genetic and biochemical basis of the camphor-0 phenotype.

90 **2 Materials and Methods**

91 **2.1 Plant material.**

92 Artemis is an F1 hybrid variety developed by Mediplant (Conthey, Switzerland), produced by crossing
93 C4 and C1 parental material of East Asian origin (Delabays *et al.* 2001). Its artemisinin content has
94 been reported to reach 1.4% of the leaf dry weight when grown in the field (Townsend *et al.* 2013).
95 Artemis F1 population and M2 populations were created and grown from cuttings at Mediplant,
96 Conthey, Switzerland and Yorkshire, UK field trial sites as described previously (Graham *et al.*, 2010,
97 Townsend *et al.* 2013). An F2 family-based pedigree population containing 662 individuals, created by
98 selfing 84 randomly selected F1 Artemis individuals, was grown for 12 weeks in the glasshouse, under
99 long- day conditions (16 hrs day / 8 hrs night) at 22°C max / 17°C min in P40 trays using Levington
100 F2 seed and modular compost.

101 **2.2 Plant crossing.**

102 Plant crosses between camphor-0 M2 individuals grown from cuttings were created as described
103 previously (Czechowski *et al.* 2016). Cuttings from parental genotypes were maintained in 10 cm
104 diameter pots under 16-hour days for 12 weeks. Plants were then transferred to 12-hour days to induce
105 flowering. Flowering was identified as the point at which the first ray florets were visible. Once
106 flowering commenced, bags were placed over two plants to enable hybrid production. These bags were
107 shaken every two days to encourage pollination. Once all flowers had died back the bags were removed
108 and the flower heads allowed to dry out under glass for a further 6 weeks before harvesting.

109 **2.3 Metabolite analysis by Gas- (GC-) and Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography** 110 **– Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-MS)**

111 Metabolite analysis by GC- and UPLC-MS was performed as described previously (Czechowski *et al.*,
112 2016). Eighteen plants from Artemis F1 and from progenies of one selected camphor-0 sibling cross
113 were grown in glasshouse conditions from seeds in 4-inch pots for 12 weeks under long- day conditions
114 as described above. Metabolite profiles were generated from 50mg FW pooled samples of leaves at
115 different developmental stages: 1-5 (counted from the apical meristem) representing the juvenile stage;
116 leaves 7-9 representing the young, expanding stage; and leaves 11-13 representing the mature,
117 expanded stage. Fresh leaf samples were stored at -80°C. Trichome-specific metabolites were extracted
118 as described previously (Czechowski *et al.* 2016) with minor modifications. Briefly, 50 mg of fresh
119 material was extracted by gentle shaking in 500 µl chloroform for 1 h.

120 For UPLC-MS analysis of sesquiterpenes, a diluted (1:5 (v/v) extract:ethanol) 2µL aliquot was injected
121 on an Acquity UPLC system (Waters, Elstree, UK) fitted with a Luna 50×2 mm 2.5 µm HST column
122 (Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK). Metabolites were eluted at 0.6 mL/min and 40°C using a linear
123 gradient from 40% to 100% acetonitrile containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid over 2.5 min.
124 Pseudomolecular [M+H]⁺ ions were detected using a Thermo Fisher LTQ-Orbitrap (ThermoFisher,
125 Hemel Hempstead, UK) mass spectrometer fitted with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation
126 source operating in positive ionisation mode under the control of Xcalibur 2.1 software. Data were
127 acquired over the m/z range 100 - 1000 in FTMS centroid mode with resolution set to 7500 FWHM at

128 m/z 400. Data extraction and analysis was performed using packages and custom scripts in R 3.2.2
129 (<https://www.R-project.org/>). XCMS (Smith et al., 2006) incorporating the centWave algorithm
130 ((Tautenhahn et al., 2008)) was used for untargeted peak extraction. Deisotoping, fragment, and adduct
131 removal were performed using CAMERA (Kuhl et al., 2012) Artemisinin was quantified using the
132 standard curve of the response ratio of artemisinin (Sigma, Poole, UK) to internal standard (β -
133 artemether; Hallochem Pharmaceutical, Hong Kong) added to extracts and standards. Metabolites were
134 identified with reference to authentic standards or NMR-resolved structures and empirical mass
135 formulae calculated using the R package rcdk (Guha et al., 2007) within 10 ppm error and elemental
136 constraints of: C = 1–100, H = 1–200, O = 0–20, N = 0–1. Peak concentrations were calculated using
137 bracketed response curves, where standard curves were run every ~30 samples. Metabolite
138 concentrations were expressed as a proportion of the residual dry leaf material following extraction.

139 For analysis of monoterpenes and volatile sesquiterpenes, an aliquot of chloroform extract (prior to
140 dilution with ethanol for UPLC analysis) was taken for GCMS analysis using an Agilent 6890 GC
141 interfaced to a Leco Pegasus IV TOF MS (Leco, Stockport, UK). A 1 μ L aliquot was injected into a
142 CIS4 injector (Gerstel, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) fitted with a 2 mm ID glass liner containing
143 deactivated glass wool at 10°C. The injector was ramped from 10°C to 300°C at 12°C/s then held at
144 300°C for 5 min. The carrier gas was He at constant flow of 1 mL/min and the injection split ratio was
145 1:10. Peaks were eluted using a Restek Rxi-5Sil MS column, 30m x 0.25 mm ID x 0.25 μ m film
146 thickness (Thames Restek, Saunderton, UK). The following temperature gradient was used: isothermal
147 40°C 2 min, ramp at 20°C/min to 320°C then hold for 1 min; total run time ~20 min. The transfer line
148 was maintained at 250°C and the MS used to collect -70eV EI scans over the m/z range 20–450 at a
149 scan rate of 20 spectra/second. Acquisition was controlled by ChromaTof 4.5 software (Leco).
150 ChromaTof was used to identify peaks and deconvolute spectra from each run, assuming a peak width
151 of 3s and a minimum s/n of 10. Peak areas were exported as deconvoluted total ion traces (DTIC) and
152 annotated against authentic standards and NIST spectral matches. For semi-quantitative comparisons,
153 DTIC peak areas were normalized to the added internal standard (tetradecane) and sample dry weight.
154 A standard curve was created for camphor, to enable absolute concentration comparisons with
155 artemisinin.

156 R stats base package, nlme, multcomp, and multcompView were used for all statistical data analysis

157 **2.4 Extraction and quantification of isoprenoid diphosphates (GPP, FPP, GGPP)**

158 Extraction and quantification of isoprenoid diphosphates was performed as described previously
159 (Catania *et al.* 2018). Twelve plants from Artemis F1 and from progenies of one selected camphor-0
160 M2 cross were grown in glasshouse conditions from seeds in 4-inch pots for 12 weeks in a randomised
161 way as described above. Juvenile leaves (leaf 1–5) were harvested from main stem and side branches
162 and pooled from two plants to achieve around 1g of fresh material which was immediately flash frozen
163 in LN₂. The material was ground to a fine powder using a TissueLyser II ball mill fitted with stainless
164 steel grinding jars (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) operated at 15 Hz for 15 sec with one repeat. Powdered leaf
165 material was weighed out and extracted three times with 5ml of ice cold methanol:water (7:3, v/v),
166 including a 0.3 μ g/ml of each of three internal standards: geranyl-, farnesyl- and geranylgeranyl-S-
167 thioldiphosphates (GSPP, FSPP and GGSP; Echelon Biosciences). Extracts were processed
168 according to Nagel *et al.*, (2014). Total extract volume was brought up to 20 ml with water. Briefly,
169 each extract was passed through a Chromabond HX RA column (150 mg packing), which had first
170 been conditioned with 5 ml methanol and 5 ml of water, and compounds eluted under gravity with 3
171 ml of 1 M ammonium formate in methanol. The eluate was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen to
172 dryness, dissolved in 250 μ L of water:methanol (1:1.v/v), and a 2 μ L aliquot injected on a Waters

173 Acquity I-Class UPLC system interfaced to a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer under
 174 Xcalibur 4.0 control. Isoprenoid compounds were eluted on a Waters Acquity C18 BEH column
 175 (2.1mm x 100 mm, 1.7 um) at 50°C using the following binary gradient program: solvent A = 20mM
 176 ammonium bicarbonate + 0.1% triethylamine; solvent B = 4:1 acetonitrile:water + 0.1% triethylamine;
 177 flowrate 0.4 ml/min; 0-100% B linear gradient over 4 minutes. Post column, compounds were ionized
 178 using a heated electrospray source (vaporizer = (250)°C; N2 flows for sheath/aux/sweep = 30/15/10
 179 arbitrary units; source = 4kV; ion transfer tube = -30V and 275°C; tube lens = -40V). Data was acquired
 180 in full scan Ion trap mode with the following settings: 100-500 m/z range, max ion time 100ms, 1
 181 microscan, AGC target = 3.00e+04.

182 No signal could be detected for GPP (elution time 2.1 min) or GGPP (elution time 3 min) in any of the
 183 biological samples analysed, despite the clear signal observed for the 1-50uM linear GGPP/GSPP
 184 response ratio calibration curve (R2 = 0.999) and for the 1-50uM linear GPP/GSPP response ratio
 185 calibration curve (R2 = 0.9913). FPP eluted at ~2.6 min and the internal standard (FSPP) at ~2.7 min.
 186 The deprotonated pseudomolecular ions ([M-H]⁻) of 381.1519 and 397.1261 for FPP and FSPP,
 187 respectively, were used for quantification (+/- 5ppm window) against a 1-100uM linear FPP/FSPP
 188 response ratio calibration curve (R2 = 0.9852), using Xcalibur 4.0 software (Thermo).

189 **2.5 RNA extraction, cDNA preparation and gene expression analysis using qRT-PCR.**

190 Total RNA was extracted from the same leaf tissue as subjected to metabolite profiling analysis. Leaf
 191 tissue from juvenile expanding- and mature-stage leaves sampled as described above was ground to a
 192 fine powder using Qiagen Retsch MM300 TissueLyser (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and total RNA
 193 extracted using the RNAeasy kit with on-column DNaseI digestion step (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).
 194 RNA was quantified using NanoDrop-1000 (NanoDrop products, Wilmington, USA) and its integrity
 195 was checked on agarose gels. 2 ug of total RNA was reversely transcribed using SuperScript II kit (Life
 196 Technologies Ltd, Paisley, UK) and Oligo(dT)12-18 Primer (Life Technologies Ltd, Paisley, UK)
 197 according to manufacturer's instructions. Expression levels of putative *BorenoI diPhosphahte Synthase*
 198 (*AaBPS*) and its two close homologues: *AaBPS-likeA* and *AaBPS-likeB*; *Farnesyl diPhosphate*
 199 *Synthase (FPS)*, *amorpho-4,11-diene synthase (AMS)*, *amorpho-4,11-diene C-12 oxidase*
 200 (*CYP71AV1*), *cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR)*, *artemisinic aldehyde Δ 11 (13) reductase (DBR2)*
 201 and aldehyde dehydrogenase (*ALDH1*), relative to *ubiquitin (UBQ)* were determined by qPCR as
 202 described before (Czechowski *et al.* 2018). Reactions were run in 3 technical replicates. Gene-specific
 203 primers used are detailed in Table S3. Real-time PCR was performed on CFX384 Teal-Rime System
 204 (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad
 205 Laboratories). Each 10-μL reaction contained 1 μL of a 5-fold dilution of the cDNA synthesis reaction,
 206 5μL of 2X supermix, and primers at a final concentration of 250 nM. The cycling conditions included
 207 an initial activation step for 30 s at 98°C followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 s and
 208 annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 s. Fluorescence data were acquired during the annealing/extension
 209 phase. A melt curve was obtained at the end of the amplification to allow confirmation of product
 210 specificity. C_T values were obtained using CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad laboratories) and
 211 amplification efficiencies (E) obtained using LinReg PCR (Ruijter *et al.*, 2009). Transcript abundance
 212 for the gene of interest (GOI) relative to *UBiQuitin* gene (UBQ) was determined using the formula:
 213 $GOI \text{ expression level} = (E_{GOI})^{\Delta C_T} / (E_{UBQ})^{\Delta C_T}$.

214 **2.6 Genomic DNA extractions**

215 For DNA extraction 30-50 mg of fresh leaf material was harvested from plants growing in the
 216 glasshouse. DNA was extracted using Qiagen BioSprint 96. Extracted DNA was quantified

217 spectrophotometrically using NanoDrop-8000 (NanoDrop products, Wilmington, USA) and
218 normalized to 10 ng/ul for genotyping assays, inverse PCR and other PCR analysis.

219 **2.7 Genotyping analysis of camphor-0 and Artemis F2 Populations.**

220 Allele specific primers for *AaBPS*, *AaBPS-likeA* and *AaBPS-likeB* genomic DNA sequences were
221 designed for KASPar and ABI3730xl genotyping assays based on the regions allowing to distinguish
222 between closely related sequences, as depicted on Figure S5A. Primer sequences are listed in Table
223 S3.

224 Twenty nanograms of leaf genomic DNA extracted from individual Artemis F2 plants was used for
225 10ul KASPar assay reaction containing: 1x KASP V4.0 low ROX master mix (LGC Genomics,
226 Teddington, UK); 167nM of each of the two allele specific primers and 414nM of universal primer
227 according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Allelic discrimination runs and allelic
228 discrimination analysis were performed on Viiia7 system (Life Technologies Ltd, Paisley, UK)
229 according to manufacturer's recommendations.

230 For the ABI3730xl SNP assays, two differentially sized primers specific to each SNP alleles were
231 designed and used in one PCR reaction with a common, locus specific primer containing M13 tail. A
232 mismatch base at position -4 or -5 from 3' end of each allele-specific primer was introduced to increase
233 allele-specificity of the PCR reactions. Universal fluorescent (FAM) labeled M13 primers were
234 included in the reaction to incorporate FAM dye label to allow visualisation on the capillary apparatus.
235 PCR amplification was performed in 10 µl total volume, with 2 ng genomic DNA, 1x AmpliTaq Gold®
236 PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) containing 0.25 Units of AmpliTaq Gold, 50
237 nM forward and reverse primers and 750nM M13 primer. PCR was carried out with 40 cycles using
238 an annealing temperature of 60°C. PCR reactions were diluted 1:20 in H₂O and fractionated on an ABI
239 3730xl capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). SNPs were analysed and scored
240 using GeneMarker™ software (Softgenetics, State College, PA).

241 **2.8 Inverse PCR of *AaBPS* 5' flanking region.**

242 Inverse PCR was carried out on genomic DNA essentially according to the method of Ochman *et al.*,
243 (1988) except that a second round of PCR was included giving a linear product containing DNA
244 flanking the BPS gene. Nested primers were designed around the *AaBPS* sequence as follows: primer
245 pair 1 (outer) comprised BPS5'_F1 and BPS5'_R1; primer pair 2 (inner) comprised BPS5'_F2 and
246 BPS5'_R2. (Primer sequences are listed in Table S3). 250 ng of genomic DNA extracted from C1 and
247 C4 Artemis parents was digested with *Ball* and diluted 1:10, 1:100 and 1:1000. Inverse PCR was
248 carried out using QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) with primer pair 1 (outer) with
249 dilutions of *Ball*-digest and PCR conditions were: 95°C for 15min followed by 40 cycles of
250 denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 54°C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 5 min, which was
251 followed by final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Nested PCR was carried out on 1 ul of undiluted inverse
252 PCR products using inner primer pairs for 5'-flanking ends, QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen,
253 Crawley, UK) and the same cycling conditions as above except annealing was carried out at 61°C.
254 Nested PCR resulted in 1934bp product which was gel-purified, diluted 1/10 and ligated into
255 Strataclone vector using Strataclone PCR cloning kit (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Takeley, UK) and
256 StrataClone solo competent cells transformed by heat shock. Positive clones were sent for Sanger
257 sequencing using M13 universal primers.

258 **2.9 Construction of *AaBPS::Gusi* vector and *A. annua* transformations**

259 The pSAT7a vector (Tzfira *et al.*, 2007) was used to create the *AaBPS promoter::Gusi* reporter fusion
 260 construct. The Gusi sequence was extracted from the *pBII21::Gusi* using the Sac I and Sal I restriction
 261 sites and ligated into the *pSAT7a* vector to create a *pSAT7a::Gusi* vector. A 1934bp fragment of the
 262 BPS promoter was amplified from genomic DNA extracted from Artemis parent C1, using the primers
 263 tailed with *AgeI* and *NotI* restriction sites, listed in Table S3. Restriction digest was carried out
 264 following PCR and the digested amplified fragments cloned and verified by sequencing prior to cloning
 265 into the *pSAT7a::Gusi* vector. The full *AaBPS promoter::Gusi* construct (3357bp) was digested out of
 266 the *pSAT7a* using *AgeI* and *NotI* restriction sites and the fragment blunted using T4 DNA polymerase.
 267 The construct was cloned into the *pRSC2* binary vector using the *EcoRV* site and then the resulting
 268 colonies were verified for orientation and sequence prior to transformation into the binary vector
 269 pRSC2. The binary vector was then transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (LBA4404) by
 270 electroporation and 100 µl glycerol stocks set up for subsequent plant transformations. Transformation
 271 of *Artemisia annua* Artemis was carried out following the protocol described by Catania *et al.*, (2018).

272 2.10 Histochemical Gus staining

273 Gus (β -glucuronidase) staining of transformed material was carried out following the protocol
 274 described by Jefferson *et al.*, (1987). Briefly plant material for staining was submerged in GUS stain
 275 and vacuum infiltrated for 20 minutes followed by incubation at 37°C. Samples were incubated for up
 276 to 24 hours. The reaction was followed by observation with a dissecting microscope and stopped when
 277 the stain was sufficiently developed. To enable the stain to be more clearly visualised the samples were
 278 cleared with successive washes in 70 % ethanol at 37°C.

279 2.11 PCR analysis of *AaBPS* locus.

280 Primers covering the entire *AaBPS* genomic sequence with 5' and 3' flanking sequences obtained from
 281 inverse PCR were designed as depicted on Figure 5A. Primer sequences are listed in Table S3.
 282 Genomic DNA was extracted from glasshouse grown fourteen camphor-0 M2 lines, two randomly
 283 selected Artemis F1 individuals and from C1 and C4 Artemis parents. Twenty nanograms of genomic
 284 DNA was used in 20 ul PCR reactions containing 500nM of each forward and reverse primer, 1U of
 285 Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase NEB, and 200nM of dNTPs. PCR conditions were as
 286 follows 98°C - 30 sec, followed by 10 cycles of 98°C – 10 sec 70°C – 30sec Touch down) – decrease
 287 1°C per cycle, 72°C – 2.5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 98°C – 10 sec, 60°C – 30 sec, 72°C – 2.5 min
 288 and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were ligated into Strataclone vector using
 289 Strataclone PCR cloning kit (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Takeley, UK) and StrataClone solo
 290 competent cells transformed by heat shock. Positive clones were sent for Sanger sequencing using M13
 291 universal primers.

292 2.12 Heterologous expression of *AaBPS* and purification of recombinant protein

293 In order to confirm the catalytic function of *AaBPS*, we carried out heterologous expression in BL21
 294 (DE3) *E. coli* strain. ChloroP analysis revealed that has a putative plastid targeting (PT) sequence at
 295 the 5' end. Three sequences were tested for expression: full length and two truncated forms. ChloroP
 296 and TargetP analysis predicted the PT sequence cleavage site after residue A34 in the predicted amino
 297 acid sequence. BPS_tr1 was truncated to this point, ie: sequence begins at residue C35. Whittington *et*
 298 *al.*, (2002) reported a longer N-terminal PT region in Sage BPS, beginning the coding region just before
 299 the active site lid residues. BPS_tr2 begins at R58, the start of the predicted active site lid residues of
 300 *AaBPS*. Primers for PCR cloning of the *AaBPS* sequence were designed to incorporate either an *NheI*
 301 site at the 5' end of the coding sequence, and a *BamHI* site at the 3' end. After sequencing to confirm
 302 accuracy, products were cloned into either the *NheI*-*BamHI* or sites of pET28a, as appropriate and then

303 sub-cloned into pDONR207 entry vector. The three AaBPS versions (Full length and two truncations)
304 were then transferred into pH9GW destination vector via the LR reaction and then transformed into
305 BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* strain for protein expression. Expression was scaled up to 1 L cultures and protein
306 purified using 2-step large scale purification processes using metal affinity chromatography (1 ml)
307 coupled to a Superdex 16/600 200pg gel filtration column (120 ml). Between 50 and 300 µg of each
308 concentrated protein was obtained using this purification method for the subsequent activity assays.

309 **2.13 AaBPS activity assay.**

310 We adapted an activity assay that had been used in the lab to assay a prenyl-diphosphate synthase
311 together with an assay used for the Sage bornyl diphosphate synthase (Wise *et al.*, 1998). The reaction
312 contained: 1X MTC buffer, 1mM DTT, 10mM MgCl₂, and 75µM GPP were set up in 2mL glass vial
313 in total volume of 500µL. Reactions were started by addition of 50 µl protein (0.15 or 0.85 µM final
314 concentration) and overlaid with 500 µl pentane and incubated at 31°C for 3 hours with slow shaking
315 (130 rpm). To hydrolyse the pyrophosphate product, 20 units of rAPid alkaline phosphatase (Roche
316 04898133001) was added to the aqueous layer and gently mixed. Reactions were incubated at 30°C for
317 2 hours. Vials were vortexed vigorously for 10 seconds, then centrifuged at 1,500 xg for 10 minutes.
318 2µl of the organic layer was sampled directly out of the vial and injected onto the GC-MS following
319 the GC-MS method used before (Wise *et al.*, 1998).

320 **3 Results**

321 **3.1 A single recessive allele is responsible for camphor-0 phenotype in Artemis M2 and F2** 322 **plants**

323 We previously performed EMS mutagenesis on the *A. annua* Artemis F1 hybrid and produced an M2
324 population (Graham *et al.*, 2010; Czechowski *et al.*, 2016). This population was grown in parallel with
325 an F1 Artemis mapping population in multiple field trials in the UK and Switzerland and individuals
326 from each were subjected to phenotyping that included detailed metabolite profiling (Larson *et al.*,
327 2013, Townsend *et al.*, 2013). Concentrations of artemisinin and camphor in dry leaf material from
328 these two field grown populations were quantified against standard curves as described in Materials
329 and Methods (Fig. 1). The concentration of camphor on a leaf dry weight basis (maximum of 1%) was
330 of a similar order to artemisinin (maximum of 1.4%) in the Artemis F1 field grown material (Fig. 1A).
331 We performed a glasshouse based screen to select about 10% of plants from the M2 population on the
332 basis of high artemisinin content as previously described (Graham *et al.*, 2010, Larson *et al.*, 2013,
333 Townsend *et al.*, 2013). The vast majority of these individuals showed elevated camphor and
334 artemisinin content reaching 6% and 3% of leaf dry weight, respectively, with camphor concentration
335 actually exceeding that of artemisinin in most of the lines (Fig. 1B). That camphor and artemisinin
336 concentrations show a strong positive correlation in individuals from both F1 and M2 populations (Fig.
337 1A and 1B) suggested that the monoterpene and sesquiterpene pathways are not competing for flux
338 from the plastidial isoprenoid pathway (Schramek *et al.*, 2010). The analysis revealed that 14 out of
339 the 233 M2 field-grown lines almost completely lacked camphor but had relatively normal levels of
340 artemisinin (Fig. 1B) and no morphological alterations (data not shown). All 14 of these camphor-0
341 M2 lines came from different M1 parents, suggesting that some form of segregation rather than
342 mutagenesis may be responsible for emergence of the camphor-0 phenotype in the M2 material. The
343 Artemis F1 hybrid variety is derived from a cross between two heterozygous parents (Delabays *et al.*,
344 2001; Graham *et al.*, 2010). We selfed 85 randomly chosen Artemis F1 individuals that had not been
345 subjected to EMS mutagenesis and measured camphor and artemisinin in dry leaves from 662 of the
346 resulting F2 progeny and found that 126 of these exhibited the camphor-0 phenotype (Fig. S1). That
347 the camphor-0 phenotype is not present in F1 plants but appears in F2 populations (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1)

348 strongly suggests that the Camphor-0 phenotype is not due to EMS mutagenesis but is instead due to
349 segregation of a recessive trait that emerges in the F2 generation having been acquired from one or
350 other of the parental lines which we previously showed contain a high level of heterozygosity (Graham
351 *et al.*, 2010).

352 We performed test crosses on five of the selected camphor-0 M2 individuals that confirmed the
353 phenotype was due to a single genetic locus as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. S2). Progeny
354 of these crosses were all camphor-0 (Fig. S2) further confirming the phenotype being due to a single
355 recessive allele that had also been fixed in the M2 material. Camphor-0 progeny of test-crosses
356 displayed the same morphology as Artemis F1 controls when grown under glasshouse conditions (Fig.
357 S3).

358 **3.2 Metabolite and gene expression profiling of developmental stages of camphor-0 leaves**

359 Three distinct leaf developmental stages: young (leaves 1-5), expanding (leaves 7-9) and mature
360 (leaves 11-13) were harvested from individual plants and subjected to metabolite (GC- and UPLC-MS)
361 and gene expression (qRT-PCR) profiling as described in the Materials and Methods. This
362 developmental series captures the major transition points in artemisinin biosynthesis and wider
363 terpenoid metabolism in leaves of *A. annua* (Czechowski *et al.*, 2016, Czechowski *et al.*, 2018). The
364 metabolite analysis revealed that camphor is the most abundant terpenoid detected in Artemis F1 leaf
365 extracts with concentration reaching up to 10% of extracted dry weight in young leaves from
366 glasshouse grown material (Fig. 2A i). While artemisinin levels remained unaltered in camphor-0
367 material (Fig. 2A ii), Table S1), other significant changes in artemisinin-pathway metabolites were
368 detected including an increase in the artemisinin precursors amorpho-4,11-diene (A-4,11-D) and
369 dihydroartemisinic acid (DHAA) in young and expanding leaves (Fig. 2A iii) and iv), Table S1 and
370 Table S2). There was also a significant increase in the level of dihydroartemisinic acid tertiary
371 hydroperoxide (DHAAOOH), a previously described intermediate of non-enzymatic conversion of
372 DHAA, in camphor-0 expanding leaves (Fig. 2A v) and Table S1). We also observed elevated levels
373 of two products of the alternative non-enzymatic conversion of DHAA: deoxyartemisinin and dihydro-
374 epi-deoxyarteannuin B (DHEDB) in mature leaves of camphor-0 lines, when compared with Artemis
375 F1 (Fig. 2A vi) and vii), Table S1). The significant increases in artemisinin precursor metabolites in
376 camphor-0 material were not accompanied by changes in the level of transcripts of Artemisinin-
377 pathway genes, except for a marked increase in *AaDBR2* transcript in camphor-0 mature leaves (Fig.
378 S4).

379 Other, less abundant monoterpenes were also missing (camphene, *cis*- and *trans*-sabinene hydrate,
380 pinocarvone, carvone) or strongly reduced (α -pinene, α -terpineol) in all leaf types of camphor-0 lines.
381 (Fig. 2A viii) – xi) and Table S2). Monoterpenes missing in the camphor-0 lines represent around 50%
382 of the total volatiles measured by GC-MS in Artemis F1 young leaves (Table S2), which is reflected
383 by almost a 2-fold reduction of total volatile content of camphor-0 young leaves (Fig. 2B). There was,
384 however, a significant increase in some sesquiterpenes such as α -bisabolol and spathulol (Fig. 2A xii)
385 and Table S2), in addition to the artemisinin-pathway metabolites detailed above. To further investigate
386 the increase in sesquiterpenes unrelated to the artemisinin pathway in camphor-0 material, we
387 measured the level of the isoprenoid precursors GPP, FPP and GGPP in juvenile leaf material using
388 previously described protocols (Catania *et al.* 2018, Nagel *et al.* 2014). While GPP and GGPP were
389 undetectable in all extracts, FPP levels were elevated by approximately 5-fold in camphor-0 juvenile
390 leaves compared to F1 Artemis (Fig. 2C). FPP synthase gene transcript levels were unchanged in
391 camphor-0 material (Fig. S4).

392 Metabolite changes in the camphor-0 glandular secretory trichomes (GSTs) are summarised in Fig.
393 2D.

394 **3.3 Absence of *AaBPS* from the *A. annua* genome correlates with the camphor-0 phenotype**

395 BPS catalyses the first committed step in camphor biosynthesis (Fig. 3A). BLAST analysis of an *A.*
396 *annua* EST library (Graham *et al.*, 2010) had previously revealed several monoterpene synthases that
397 had been functionally characterised as linalool synthases *QH1* and *QH5* (Jia *et al.*, 1999) and β -pinene
398 synthase *QH6* (Lu *et al.*, 2002). One candidate monoterpene synthase was designated *BORNYL-*
399 *DIPHOSPHATE SYNTHASE* (*AaBPS*) based on highest sequence homology to other characterised
400 plant *BPS* genes. The predicted protein sequence of the *AaBPS* contains a putative plastid targeting
401 sequence at the 5' terminus. *AaBPS* was found to be preferentially expressed in trichomes or trichome-
402 containing tissues of *A. annua* at levels higher than any of the other monoterpene synthase candidates
403 (Graham *et al.*, 2010), and was the only gene from the camphor biosynthetic pathway, annotated in *A.*
404 *annua* EST library (Graham *et al.*, 2010), therefore a plausible candidate to investigate further.

405 We also identified two other *AaBPS-like* cDNA sequences that we designated as *AaBPS-likeA* and
406 *AaBPS-likeB*. These both have 93% nucleotide identity with *AaBPS* and predicted amino acid identities
407 of 87% for *AaBPS-likeA* and 88% for *AaBPS-likeB*. However, these sequences differ from *AaBPS* at
408 conserved positions in the active- and substrate binding sites (Fig. S5A). The low degree of nucleotide
409 variation between these three genes led us to develop three gene specific SNP-based molecular markers
410 which we used to genotype camphor-0 and camphor-containing material using KASPar and ABI3730
411 platforms as described in Materials and Methods (Fig. S5B). qRT-PCR gene expression analysis of
412 mRNA isolated from the three leaf developmental stages revealed that, while *AaBPS* is expressed at
413 high levels in young leaves and lower levels in expanding leaves of Artemis F1 (Fig. 3B), it is not
414 detected in mRNA from any of the three leaf stages of camphor-0 plants (Fig. 3B). *AaBPS* gene
415 expression across the different leaf stages of Artemis F1 (Fig. 3B) correlates with camphor levels (Fig.
416 2A i) and is similar to a number of genes involved in artemisinin biosynthesis including *Amorpha-*
417 *4,11-diene synthase* (*AaAMS*), *Amorpha-4,11-diene C12 oxidase* (*AaCYP71AV1*), *Artemisinic*
418 *aldehyde $\Delta 11(13)$ reductase* (*AaDBR2*) and *Aldehyde dehydrogenase* (*AaALDH1*) (Fig. S4). *AaBPS-*
419 *likeA* expression follows a similar pattern of expression to *AaBPS*, but at 10-fold lower levels in both
420 Artemis F1 camphor-containing and camphor-0 material (Fig. 3B). *AaBPS-likeB* transcripts were not
421 detected in any of the leaf material analysed by qRT-PCR.

422 We used the Iterative Threading ASSEmbly Refinement (I-TASSER) approach (Yang *et al.*, 2015,
423 Zhang *et al.*, 2017) to perform protein structure predictions on amino acid sequences of *AaBPS*,
424 *AaBPS-likeA* and *AaBPS-likeB*. While the predicted *AaBPS* protein structure overlaid very well with
425 the bornyl diphosphate synthase crystal structure from *Salvia officinalis* (SoBPS, Fig. 3C) the *AaBPS-*
426 *likeA* and *AaBPS-likeB* overlays with SoBPS were both disrupted at the conserved GPP binding site
427 which would appear to be due to the presence of phenylalanine at position 346 rather than leucine at
428 position 347 and isoleucine at position 343 in *AaBPS* and SoBPS, respectively (Fig. 3C; Fig. S5C).
429 The SoBPS active site cavity is considered to be a tight fit for the GPP hydrocarbon chain with an
430 estimated packing density of the enzyme–substrate complex of around 78% (Whittington *et al.*, 2002).
431 The presence of a large aromatic amino acid, such as phenylalanine at the GPP binding site of *AaBPS-*
432 *likeA* and *AaBPS-likeB* could result in steric hindrance and disrupt any monoterpene synthase function
433 of these *AaBPS* homologues (Fig. S5C).

434 **3.4 The *AaBPS* promoter drives high reporter gene expression in glandular secretory** 435 **trichomes and hair-like (T-shape) non-secretory trichomes.**

436 Inverse PCR was performed on genomic DNA isolated from Artemis F1 material and the resulting
437 1934bp sequence upstream of the *AaBPS* start codon was cloned upstream of the *beta-glucuronidase*
438 (*GUS*) reporter gene and the resulting construct was used to transform Artemis F1 material, using a
439 previously described *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* based protocol (Catania *et al.*, 2018) as described
440 in Materials and Methods). GUS activity staining of various tissues from the T2 generation of
441 *promBPS::GUSi* transformed plants showed that the *AaBPS* promoter drives high GUS expression in
442 glandular secretory trichomes of the juvenile leaves (L1-5) and hair-like (T-shape) non-secretory
443 trichomes present in mature leaves (L11-13), leaf petioles and stems (Fig. 4).

444 3.5 Camphor-0 lines lack the entire AaBPS gene locus

445 To further investigate the *AaBPS* locus in camphor-0 material we used Artemis F1 genomic DNA to
446 PCR amplify a 4.25 kb region that included promoter sequence obtained by inverse PCR and confirmed
447 by *promoter::GUS* fusions (Fig. 4). Primer pairs were then designed across the 4.25 kb region (Fig.
448 5A) and used to establish that the *AaBPS* sequence was absent from genomic DNA of 14 camphor-0
449 M2 individuals but present in genomic DNA from Artemis F1 and the Artemis C1 and C4 parents (Fig.
450 5B). Genomic DNA from camphor-0 M2 individuals did amplify a 3.97 kb fragment containing
451 *Amorpha 4,11 diene synthase* gene (Fig. 5B). *AaBPS* locus-related PCR products were cloned and
452 verified by DNA sequencing. While this PCR analysis does not define the entirety of the genomic
453 deletion in camphor-0 plants, our analysis does show that both the promoter and the entire coding
454 region of the *AaBPS* locus are missing.

455 3.6 Recombinant AaBPS protein performs the first committed step in camphor biosynthesis

456 We cloned the full length and two 5' truncated forms of the *AaBPS* coding sequence into a plasmid
457 vector for heterologous expression in *E. coli*. Truncation of the putative plastid targeting (PT) sequence
458 was carried out to overcome any possible interference with the production of the protein in the
459 microbial system (Fig. 6A). Analysis of the *AaBPS* gene using software such as ChloroP or TargetP
460 predicted the 5' plastid targeting (PT) sequence cleavage site after residue A34 in its amino acid
461 sequence resulting in the truncated AaBPS_tr1 sequence beginning at residue C35. A longer N-terminal
462 PT region in Sage BPS, resulting in the coding region just before the active site lid residues has also
463 been reported (Whittington *et al.*, 2002) and on this basis AaBPS_tr2 was designed to begin at R58,
464 the start of the predicted active site lid residues of *A. annua* BPS. Transformation of *E. coli* BL21
465 (DE3) resulted in very low levels of soluble full-length protein compared to both truncated versions.
466 All three versions of purified AaBPS protein were subjected to the sage Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase
467 (SoBPS) activity assay using GPP as a substrate with borneol being detected by GC-MS following
468 rAPid alkaline phosphatase treatment of the diphosphate product (Wise *et al.*, 1998). The full-length
469 and truncated forms of the AaBPS protein all produce borneol [1] as the major product (Fig. 6B).
470 Unreacted GPP substrates can also be seen hydrolysed to geraniol [2] (Fig. 6B). The BPS_tr1 protein
471 is the most active form, producing the most soluble protein as well as turning over 95% of the GPP
472 substrate into borneol and other minor monoterpenes (Fig. 6B). We have observed a number of minor
473 peaks in the AaBPS-tr1 profile which were absent in the control reaction without AaBPS-tr1 protein
474 added (Fig. 6B). We used the NIST database to assign these products as described in the Materials and
475 Methods section. Our analysis shows that AaBPS-tr1 is producing 5.6% camphene [9], 0.2% camphene
476 hydrate [4], 2.5% limonene [8], 2.2% α -pinene [10], 1.8% *trans*-sabinene hydrate [5], 0.2% *cis*-
477 sabinene hydrate [7], 0.3% α -terpineol [3] and 0.3% terpinolene [6], in addition to borneol as the major
478 product (86.8%) from GPP substrate (Fig. 6C).

479 4 Discussion

480 Our previous work to increase content of the antimalarial drug artemisinin in the medicinal plant
481 *Artemisia annua* L. resulted in creation of F1 and F2 mapping populations and M2 mutagenised
482 populations (Graham *et al.*, 2010). Camphor is a monoterpene, described as one of the major essential
483 oil constituents across *A. annua* varieties. Here we report the discovery of the camphor-null phenotype,
484 apparent in F2 and M2 populations derived from the Artemis F1 variety. The fact the camphor-0
485 phenotype is not present in F1 plants but appears in F2 populations (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1), together with
486 results of test crosses of camphor-0 material derived from the M2 population indicating the phenotype
487 is due to a single recessive allele, strongly suggests that the Camphor-0 phenotype is a consequence
488 of segregation of a recessive trait that emerges in the F2 and M2 generations having been acquired
489 from one or other of the parental lines which we previously showed contain a high level of
490 heterozygosity (Graham *et al.*, 2010).

491 Our results show that camphor accumulates to high concentrations of up to 10% leaf dry weight in
492 Artemis F1 material and that the absence of camphor does not result in an increased flux into
493 artemisinin but rather an increase in artemisinin pathway precursors (Fig. 2A, Table S1). Differential
494 extraction techniques have shown that artemisinin accumulates in sub-apical cavities of GSTs (Duke
495 *et al.*, 1994) and accumulation of other sesquiterpene lactones in sub-apical cavities of GSTs have also
496 been reported across *Artemisia* species (Cappelletti *et al.*, 1986). Although the exact condition in *A.*
497 *annua* trichomes required for the conversion of DHAA into artemisinin is unknown previous reports
498 strongly suggest such auto-catalytic conversion requires both light and a non-aqueous environment
499 whereas spontaneous transformation of DHAA to DHEDB and deoxyartemisinin appears to be
500 facilitated by a more aqueous environment (Brown and Sy 2004, Czechowski *et al.* 2016). One possible
501 explanation of the wild type levels of artemisinin observed in Camphor-0 mutants despite strong
502 increases in FPP and artemisinin precursors is actually that camphor may be an important contributor
503 to the non-aqueous environment required for the conversion of DHAA to artemisinin (Fig. 2D). In the
504 absence of camphor, we show that in addition to the accumulation of the enzymatically produced
505 artemisinin precursors amorpha-4,11-diene and DHAA, there is also an increase in levels of DHEDB
506 and deoxyartemisinin, possibly as a result of the more aqueous camphor-0 environment favouring non-
507 enzymatic flux of DHAA into these compounds rather than artemisinin (Fig. 2A, Table S1). That
508 artemisinin does still accumulate to wild type levels in the Camphor-0 mutant suggests that to a certain
509 extent the non-aqueous environment generated by other compounds such as non-polar lipids is
510 sufficient for the spontaneous conversion of DHAA to artemisinin. Comparative metabolite profiling
511 of sub-apical cavities versus GST cells, using techniques such as single cell sampling followed by
512 electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (Nakashima *et al.*, 2016) would shed further light on the *in*
513 *vivo* chemical environment required for non-enzymatic DHAA conversions and the possible role of
514 camphor in these processes in *Artemisia annua* L.

515 Detailed metabolite profiling revealed that a number of other lower abundant monoterpenes (relative
516 to camphor) were also missing or strongly reduced in camphor-0 material when compared to camphor
517 - containing Artemis F1, amounting to a reduction in total volatile content of camphor-0 young leaves
518 by 50% (Fig. 2 B, Table S2). Levels of sesquiterpenes unrelated to artemisinin were on the other hand
519 elevated in camphor-0 leaf material, which was accompanied by a significant increase in the content
520 of FPP precursor for sesquiterpene synthesis (Fig. 2A and C, Table S1). RNAi-mediated silencing of
521 *amorpha-4,11-diene synthase* (*AMS*) genes resulted in a similar increase in FPP accumulation in
522 transgenic *A. annua* (Catania *et al.* 2018). That study showed no impact of an increase in FPP on di-
523 or triterpene levels in the silenced lines.

524 Bioinformatic analysis of the previously published transcriptomics datasets from *Artemisia annua*
525 (Graham *et al.*, 2010) identified *Bornyl diPhosphate Synthase* (*AaBPS*) as a candidate involved in

526 catalysing the first committed step in camphor biosynthesis in the Artemis F1 hybrid. *AaBPS* is
527 expressed highly in GSTs and its expression was not detectable in camphor-0 individuals (Fig. 3B).
528 PCR analysis of genomic DNA showed that the *AaBPS* sequence is absent from the genomes of all
529 camphor-0 individuals derived from both F2 and M2 populations but is present in Artemis F1 and the
530 C4 and C1 Artemis parents. -No other sequences present in the transcriptomics datasets are very closely
531 related to *AaBPS*. However, *AaBPS-likeA* and *AaBPS-likeB* were present in genomic DNA from all
532 camphor-0 and camphor-containing material (Fig. S5B) and *AaBPS-likeA* is also expressed in both
533 camphor-0 and camphor-containing individuals (Fig. 3B). Protein modelling predicts that of the three
534 homologues only AaBPS is likely to be functional on GPP substrate, which is essential for it to act in
535 the first committed step in camphor biosynthesis.

536 We cloned and functionally characterised the *AaBPS* promoter using promoter-GUS fusions expressed
537 in *Artemisia annua* Artemis F1 and obtained expression patterns consistent with the EST and qRT-
538 PCR analysis of *AaBPS* gene expression (Fig. 3B, Graham *et al.* 2010). It is interesting that the *AaBPS*
539 promoter shows similar activity in hair-like (T-shape) non-secretory trichomes on mature leaves and
540 stems (Figure 4C-E) but not on the young leaf where its activity seems to be specific to glandular
541 secretory trichomes (Figure 4A and B). These results demonstrate the importance of both temporal and
542 spatial regulation of gene expression underpinning production of this most abundant of monoterpenes
543 in *A. annua*.

544 Heterologous expression of the *AaBPS* sequence in *E. coli* followed by protein purification and *in vitro*
545 bioactivity assays confirm the identity of AaBPS as a BORNEOL DIPHOSPHATE SYNTHASE that
546 converts GPP substrate to borneol (Fig. 6B). The most active version of the protein sequence with
547 plastid targeting (PT) sequence removed (*AaBPS-tr1*) produced not only borneol (86.8% of the total
548 activity) but also small amounts of other monoterpenes including camphene (5.6%), camphene hydrate
549 (0.2%), limonene (2.5%), α -pinene (2.2%), *trans*-sabinene hydrate (1.8%), *cis*-sabinene hydrate
550 (0.2%), α -terpineol (0.3%) and terpinolene (0.3%) (Fig. 6C). AaBPS *in vitro* activity on GPP is
551 therefore similar to sage BPS, as SoBPS has been shown to produce borneol (75%), (+)- α -pinene
552 (3.4%), (-)-camphene (9.5%), (+)-camphene (0.5%), (-)-limonene (3.9%), (+)-limonene (3.9%),
553 terpinolene (2.1%), and myrcene (1.5%) (Wise *et al.* 1998). It is notable that the relative abundance of
554 the minor AaBPS *in vitro* activity products correlate with the abundance of these compounds in all
555 three types of *Artemis* leaf tissues analysed by GC-MS (Fig. 6D). It is also noteworthy that some of the
556 minor monoterpenes produced by AaBPS *in vitro* are absent (camphene, *cis*- and *trans*-sabinene
557 hydrate) or strongly reduced (α -pinene) in leaf tissues of camphor-0 line (Fig. 2, Table S2). These
558 results lead us to conclude that AaBPS is not only responsible for production of camphor but also other
559 monoterpenes, amounting to over 50% of total volatiles in *A. annua* F1 hybrid *Artemis* leaves (Fig.
560 6D).

561 **5 Conflict of Interest**

562 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
563 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

564 **6 Authors contributions**

565 T.C., C.B., A.R., D.R., D.H., T.C., D.Z., M.S. conducted the experiments; T.C., C.B., D.J.B., I.A.G.
566 and P.O.M. designed experiments, T.C., C.B., T.R.L., Y.L., M.S., P.O.M. analysed the data, T.C. and
567 I.A.G wrote the article with input from Y.L., T.R.L and P.O.M.

568 **7 Funding**

569 This work was supported by The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (No. OPPGH5210). D.Z. was
570 supported by the China Scholarship Council (No.201909110003).

571 **8 Acknowledgements**

572 We thank A. Fenwick, J. Daff, P. Scott, L. Doucet, H. Martin, N. Nattriss, M. Segura, and A.
573 Czechowska for horticulture assistance; G. Chigeza for horticulture management; S. Graham, S.
574 Heywood, B. Kowalik, S. Pandey, R. Simister, and C. Whitehead for laboratory assistance. We thank
575 X. Simonnet and Médiplant for access to the Artemis pedigree.

576 **9 References**

- 577 Bilia AR, Santomauro F, Sacco C, Bergonzi MC, Donato R. 2014. Essential Oil of *Artemisia annua*
578 L.: An Extraordinary Component with Numerous Antimicrobial Properties. *Evid Based*
579 *Complement Alternat Med*, 2014, 159819.
- 580 Brown GD, Sy L-K. 2004. In vivo transformations of dihydroartemisinic acid in *Artemisia annua*
581 plants. *Tetrahedron*, 60, 1139-1159.
- 582 Catania TM, Branigan CA, Stawniak N, Hodson J, Harvey D, Larson TR, Czechowski T, Graham IA.
583 2018. Silencing amorpha-4,11-diene synthase Genes in *Artemisia annua* Leads to FPP
584 Accumulation. *Front Plant Sci*, 9, 547.
- 585 Chen W, Vermaak I, Viljoen A. 2013. Camphor--a fumigant during the Black Death and a coveted
586 fragrant wood in ancient Egypt and Babylon--a review. *Molecules*, 18, 5434-5454.
- 587 Croteau R, Felton M, Karp F, Kjonaas R. 1981. Relationship of Camphor Biosynthesis to Leaf
588 Development in Sage (*Salvia officinalis*). *Plant Physiol*, 67, 820-824.
- 589 Croteau R, Karp F. 1976. Enzymatic synthesis of camphor from neryl pyrophosphate by a soluble
590 preparation from sage (*Salvia officinalis*). *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 72, 440-447.
- 591 Croteau R, Karp F. 1979a. Biosynthesis of monoterpenes: hydrolysis of bornyl pyrophosphate, an
592 essential step in camphor biosynthesis, and hydrolysis of geranyl pyrophosphate, the acyclic
593 precursor of camphor, by enzymes from sage (*Salvia officinalis*). *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 198,
594 523-532.
- 595 Croteau R, Karp F. 1979b. Biosynthesis of monoterpenes: preliminary characterization of bornyl
596 pyrophosphate synthetase from sage (*Salvia officinalis*) and demonstration that Geranyl
597 pyrophosphate is the preferred substrate for cyclization. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 198, 512-522.
- 598 Czechowski T, Larson TR, Catania TM, Harvey D, Brown, GD, Graham, IA. 2016. *Artemisia annua*
599 mutant impaired in artemisinin synthesis demonstrates importance of nonenzymatic conversion
600 in terpenoid metabolism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 113, 15150-15155.
- 601 Czechowski T, Larson, TR, Catania TM, Harvey D, Wei C, Essome M, Brown GD, Graham IA. 2018.
602 Detailed Phytochemical Analysis of High- and Low Artemisinin-Producing Chemotypes of
603 *Artemisia annua*. *Front Plant Sci*, 9, 641.
- 604 Delabays N, Simonnet X, Gaudin M. 2001. The genetics of artemisinin content in *Artemisia annua* L.
605 and the breeding of high yielding cultivars. *Curr Med Chem* 8, 1795-1801.
- 606 Despinasse Y, Fiorucci S, Antonczak S, Moja S, Bony A, Nicole F, Baudino S, Magnard JL, Jullien F.
607 2017. Bornyl-diphosphate synthase from *Lavandula angustifolia*: A major monoterpene
608 synthase involved in essential oil quality. *Phytochemistry*, 137, 24-33.

- 609 Graham IA, Besser K, Blumer S, Branigan CA, Czechowski T, Elias L, Guterman I, Harvey D, Isaac
610 PG, Khan AM *et al.* 2010. The genetic map of *Artemisia annua* L. identifies loci affecting yield
611 of the antimalarial drug artemisinin. *Science*, 327, 328-331.
- 612 Hurd MC, Kwon M, Ro D.K. 2017. Functional identification of a *Lippia dulcis* bornyl diphosphate
613 synthase that contains a duplicated, inhibitory arginine-rich motif. *Biochem Biophys Res*
614 *Commun*, 490, 963-968.
- 615 Jia JW, Crock J, Lu S, Croteau R, Chen XY. 1999. (3R)-Linalool synthase from *Artemisia annua* L.:
616 cDNA isolation, characterization, and wound induction. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 372, 143-149.
- 617 Judd, R., Bagley, M.C., Li, M., Zhu, Y., Lei, C., Yuzuak, S., Ekelof, M., Pu, G., Zhao, X., Muddiman,
618 D.C., and Xie, D.Y. (2019). Artemisinin Biosynthesis in Non-glandular Trichome Cells of
619 *Artemisia annua*. *Mol Plant* 12, 704-714.
- 620 Larson TR, Branigan C, Harvey D, Penfield T, Bowles D, Graham IA. 2013. A survey of artemisinic
621 and dihydroartemisinic acid contents in glasshouse and global field-grown populations of the
622 artemisinin-producing plant *Artemisia annua* L. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 45, 1-6.
- 623 Lu S, Xu R, Jia JW, Pang J, Matsuda SP, Chen XY. 2002. Cloning and functional characterization of
624 a beta-pinene synthase from *Artemisia annua* that shows a circadian pattern of expression. *Plant*
625 *Physiol*, 130, 477-486.
- 626 Nagel R, Berasategui A, Paetz C, Gershenzon J, Schmidt, A. 2014. Overexpression of an isoprenyl
627 diphosphate synthase in spruce leads to unexpected terpene diversion products that function in
628 plant defense. *Plant Physiol*, 164, 555-569.
- 629 Schramek H, Sarkozi R, Lauterberg, C, Kronbichler A, Pirklbauer M, Albrecht R, Noppert SJ, Perco
630 P, Rudnicki M, Strutz FM *et al.* 2009. Neuropilin-1 and neuropilin-2 are differentially
631 expressed in human proteinuric nephropathies and cytokine-stimulated proximal tubular cells.
632 *Lab Invest*, 89, 1304-1316.
- 633 Schramek N, Wang H, Romisch-Margl W, Keil B, Radykewicz T, Winzenhorlein B, Beerhues L,
634 Bacher A, Rohdich F, Gershenzon J *et al.* 2010. Artemisinin biosynthesis in growing plants of
635 *Artemisia annua*. A ¹³CO₂ study. *Phytochemistry*, 71, 179-187.
- 636 Singh P, Kalunke RM, Shukla A, Tzfadia O, Thulasiram HV, Giri AP. 2020. Biosynthesis and tissue-
637 specific partitioning of camphor and eugenol in *Ocimum kilimandscharicum*. *Phytochemistry*,
638 177, 112451.
- 639 Townsend T, Segura V, Chigeza G, Penfield T, Rae A, Harvey D, Bowles D, Graham IA. 2013. The
640 use of combining ability analysis to identify elite parents for *Artemisia annua* F1 hybrid
641 production. *PLoS One*, 8, e61989.
- 642 Whittington DA, Wise ML, Urbansky M, Coates RM, Croteau RB, Christianson, DW. 2002. Bornyl
643 diphosphate synthase: structure and strategy for carbocation manipulation by a terpenoid
644 cyclase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 99, 15375-15380.
- 645 Wise ML, Savage TJ, Katahira E, Croteau R. 1998. Monoterpene synthases from common sage (*Salvia*
646 *officinalis*). cDNA isolation, characterization, and functional expression of (+)-sabinene
647 synthase, 1,8-cineole synthase, and (+)-bornyl diphosphate synthase. *J Biol Chem*, 273, 14891-
648 14899.
- 649 World malaria report 2019. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO (Geneva: World Health Organization).

650 Yang J, Zhang Y. 2015. I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and function
651 predictions, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43: W174-W181

652 Zhang C, Freddolino PL, Zhang Y. 2017. COFACTOR: improved protein function prediction by
653 combining structure, sequence and protein–protein interaction information. *Nucleic Acids
654 Research*, 45: W291-W299
655

656 10 Figure legends

657 Fig. 1 Artemisinin and camphor concentration in *Artemis* F1 mapping- and M2 mutant 658 populations.

659 Camphor and artemisinin concentration in dry leaf material from Artemis F1s (A) and M2 (B)
660 populations grown in Mediplant (Switzerland) field trials (2008). Leaf material was harvested from
661 248 F1 and 233 M2 individuals, extracted and analysed by ultra-high performance liquid- and gas
662 chromatography–mass spectrometry (UPLC- and GC-MS) as described in Materials and Methods.
663 Camphor and artemisinin levels were quantified against standard curves using authentic standards.

664 Fig. 2 Detailed molecular characterization of camphor-0 M3 lines.

665 Levels of artemisinin-related and other selected mono- and sesquiterpenes (A) were quantified by gas
666 chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (i), (iii), (viii) - (xii) and ultra-high performance liquid
667 chromatography–mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) (ii), (iv)-(vii) analysis of extracts from fresh leaves
668 L1-5 (juvenile), L7-9 (expanding), L11-13 (mature) as counted from the apical meristem from 12-
669 weeks old glasshouse-grown Artemis F1 (blue bars) and selected camphor-0 M3 (orange bars); error
670 bars – SE (n=5). nd - not detectable. GC-MS data for camphor were converted from internal standard
671 (IS) and dry weight (DW) normalised peak areas to $\mu\text{g} / \text{mg}$ extracted dry weight (vii) against a standard
672 curve run with pure camphor. For both (A) and (B) letters represent Tukey's range test results after one
673 way ANOVA or REML. Groups not sharing letters indicate statistically significant differences. (B)
674 Level of total volatiles measured by GC-MS in three types of leaf material as indicated in (A). (C)
675 Level of FPP measured in extracts from fresh juvenile leaves L1-5 Artemis F1 (blue bars) and selected
676 camphor-0 M3 (orange bars); FW - fresh weight, error bars – SE (n=3), *- statistically significant
677 difference (t-test) between Artemis and camphor-0 at $p < 0.05$. (D) Summary of metabolite changes in
678 the camphor-0 glandular secretory trichomes (GSTs), full arrows – known enzymatic steps, dotted
679 arrows – potential non enzymatic conversions, full green arrows – metabolite changes (all leaf stages).
680 Metabolite abbreviations: GPP - geranyl diphosphate, FPP – farnesyl diphosphate, A-4,11-D –
681 amorpha-4,11-diene, DHAA - dihydroartemisinic acid, DHAAOOH- dihydroartemisinic acid tertiary
682 hydroperoxide, DHEDB – dihydro-epi-deoxyarteannin B, Enzyme abbreviations: IDI - Isopentenyl
683 Diphosphate Isomerase, GPS - Geranyl diPhosphate synthase, BPS - Bornyl diPhosphate synthase,
684 FPS- Farnesyl diPhosphate Synthase. Artemisinin pathway: AMS – amorpha-4,11-diene synthase,
685 CYP71AV1 - amorpha-4,11-diene C-12 oxidase, CPR – cytochrome P450 reductase, DBR2 -
686 artemisinic aldehyde Δ 11 (13) reductase, ALDH1 - aldehyde dehydrogenase. Question marks indicate
687 putative active transport systems operating in *A. annua* GSTs.

688 Fig. 3 Identification of the *AaBPS* coding sequence and *AaBPS* expression .

689 (A) Camphor biosynthesis pathway modified from (Croteau *et al*, 1981). (B) Expression of *AaBPS* and
690 *AaBPS-likeA* in L1-5 juvenile, L7-9 expanding and L11-13 mature leaves. Error bars – SE (n=12). nd-
691 transcript not detectable by qRT-PCR. * - statistically significant difference (t-test) between Artemis

692 and camphor-0 at $p < 0.05$. **(C)** Predicted AaBPS protein sequence was modelled (green) using the I-
 693 TASSER approach (C-score 0.5) and overlaid on sage (purple) Bornyl-diPhosphate Synthase (SoBPS,
 694 pdb structure 1N1B, 40% amino acid identity) I-TASSER predicted GPP binding residues highlighted
 695 in yellow, predicted catalytic W326 in red. Mg^{2+} (green) and Hg^{2+} (grey) ions from 1N1B structure are
 696 also shown.

697 **Fig. 4 Characterisation of *AaBPS* promoter using promoter-GUS fusions.**

698 Tissues of 12-weeks old transgenic lines expressing *pAaBPS::GUSi*: **(A)** juvenile leaf (10x) **(B)**
 699 juvenile leaf (40x) **(C)** mature leaf (10x) **(D)** leaf petiole (10x) **(E)** stem (10x) were stained for *GUS*
 700 activity as described in Materials and Methods and photographed using bright-field microscopy
 701 (magnification indicated in the brackets). Scale bars indicated for each picture in red.

702 **Fig. 5 Genomic deletion in camphor-0 lines.**

703 **(A)** Location of primers (P1-P8) designed for various parts of *AaBPS* gene including 5' promoter region
 704 (Primer sequences in Table S3). **(B)** PCR amplification on genomic DNA isolated from 14 camphor-0
 705 M2 (lines 1-14), two individual Artemis F1 (lines 15-16), Artemis Parents C4 (line 17) and C1 (line
 706 18) using primers annotated on panel A. Line 19 – no template control. Line M – Gene Ruler™ 1kb
 707 DNA ladder (Thermo Fisher). Amplification of full length *AaAMS* gene (GenBank Accession
 708 AF327527) used as a positive control. Size of PCR amplicons predicted from genomic DNA sequence
 709 shown.

710 **Fig. 6 Functional characterisation of *AaBPS*.**

711 **(A)** Alignment of predicted amino acid sequence of AaBPS (GenBank accession OL656813) with
 712 SoBPS (GenBank accession AF051900). Truncation points used to generate truncated versions of
 713 AaBPS are indicated as BPS-tr1 and BPS-tr2. BPS-fl represents a full length protein. Red bars – active
 714 site lid, Blue bars - active site, Brown bar – substrate binding site annotated from Whittington *et al.*,
 715 2002. Identical (Black) and similar (grey) positions highlighted. **(B)** AaBPS *in vitro* protein activity
 716 assay using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) based detection of monoterpenes. No
 717 protein control includes geraniol, the product of GPP hydrolysis (peak 1). Identification of peak 1 and
 718 2 as geraniol and borneol respectively was assigned using known standards. Identities of peaks 3-10
 719 were assigned using the NIST database and shown in C. **(C)** Relative abundance of AaBPS *in-vitro*
 720 products for the most active truncated version of the protein (BPS-tr1). **(D)** Relative abundance of
 721 selected volatiles in three types of *A. annua* Artemis leaves measured by GC-MS: L1-5 (juvenile), L7-
 722 9 (expanding), L11-13 (mature). Error bars – SE (n=6).

723

724

725 **Cappelletti, E.M., Caniato, R., and Appendino, G.** (1986). Localization of the Cytotoxic
 726 Hydroperoxyeudesmanolides in Artemisia-Umbelliformis. *Biochem Syst Ecol* **14**, 183-190.

727 **Duke, M.V., Paul, R.N., Elsohly, H.N., Sturtz, G., and Duke, S.O.** (1994). Localization of
 728 Artemisinin and Artemisitene in Foliar Tissues of Glanded and Glandless Biotypes of
 729 Artemisia-Annua L. *Int J Plant Sci* **155**, 365-372.

730 **Guha, R., Dutta, D., Wild, D.J., and Chen, T.** (2007). Counting clusters using R-NN curves. *J Chem*
 731 *Inf Model* **47**, 1308-1318.

- 732 **Judd, R., Bagley, M.C., Li, M., Zhu, Y., Lei, C., Yuzuak, S., Ekelof, M., Pu, G., Zhao, X.,**
733 **Muddiman, D.C., and Xie, D.Y.** (2019). Artemisinin Biosynthesis in Non-glandular Trichome
734 Cells of *Artemisia annua*. *Mol Plant* **12**, 704-714.
- 735 **Kuhl, C., Tautenhahn, R., Bottcher, C., Larson, T.R., and Neumann, S.** (2012). CAMERA: an
736 integrated strategy for compound spectra extraction and annotation of liquid
737 chromatography/mass spectrometry data sets. *Anal Chem* **84**, 283-289.
- 738 **Nakashima, T., Wada, H., Morita, S., Erra-Balsells, R., Hiraoka, K., and Nonami, H.** (2016).
739 Single-Cell Metabolite Profiling of Stalk and Glandular Cells of Intact Trichomes with Internal
740 Electrode Capillary Pressure Probe Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry. *Analytical*
741 *Chemistry* **88**, 3049-3057.
- 742 **Ruijter, J.M., Ramakers, C., Hoogaars, W.M., Karlen, Y., Bakker, O., van den Hoff, M.J., and**
743 **Moorman, A.F.** (2009). Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of
744 quantitative PCR data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**, e45.
- 745 **Smith, C.A., Want, E.J., O'Maille, G., Abagyan, R., and Siuzdak, G.** (2006). XCMS: processing
746 mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and
747 identification. *Anal Chem* **78**, 779-787.
- 748 **Tautenhahn, R., Bottcher, C., and Neumann, S.** (2008). Highly sensitive feature detection for high
749 resolution LC/MS. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 504.
- 750
- 751 **Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW. 1987.** GUS fusions: beta-glucuronidase as a
752 sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *The EMBO journal*, **6** (13),
753 pp.3901-3907.
- 754 **Ochman H, Gerber AS, Hartl DL. 1988.** Genetic applications of an inverse polymerase chain
755 reaction. *Genetics*, **120** (3), 621-3.
- 756 **Tzfira T, Tian GW, Vyas S, Li J, Leitner-Dagan Y, Krichevsky A, Taylor T, Vainstein A,**
757 **Citovsky V. 2005.** pSAT vectors: a modular series of plasmids for autofluorescent
758 protein tagging and expression of multiple genes in plants. *Plant molecular biology*,
759 **57**(4), pp.503-516.
- 760
- 761