

This is a repository copy of What really caused the Viking Age? The social content of raiding and exploration.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/191764/

Article:

Ashby, Steve orcid.org/0000-0003-1420-2108 (2015) What really caused the Viking Age? The social content of raiding and exploration. Archaeological Dialogues. pp. 89-106.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203815000112

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



STANDARD ARTICLE

Author: Steve Ashby

Title: What Really Caused the Viking Age? The social content of raiding and exploration.

Abstract

The cause of the Viking Age is one of our most long-lived debates. A combination of push and pull factors and a catalysing environment instigated the late-8th-century escalation in maritime activity that ultimately led to social, political and religious transformation. Recent discussions have focused at the macro-level, with little consideration of the individual gains to be made by raiding. This paper argues that rewards consisted in more than portable wealth. In the flexible hierarchies of the Viking Age, those who took advantage of opportunities to enhance their social capital stood to gain significantly.

The lure of the raid was thus more than booty; it was about winning and preserving power through the enchantment of travel and the doing of deeds. This provides an important correction to models that focus on the need for portable wealth; the act of acquiring silver was as important as the silver itself.

Keywords

Long-distance travel; early-medieval Scandinavia; artefacts; power; object biography

Acknowledgements

This paper emerges from reflection on a number of conversations I have had with colleagues, particularly Mark Edmonds and Søren Sindbaek. Further thanks are due to Rob Collins for useful conversation on the social context of raiding, and to Shannon Lewis-Simpson and Howard Williams for critical comments and discussion on the theme of female infanticide. Finally, thanks are due to Mark Edmonds for commenting on an early draft of this paper.

Biographical note

Steven P Ashby, Department of Archaeology, University of York, Kings Manor, York YO1 7EP, United Kingdom

Steve Ashby is Lecturer in Medieval Archaeology at the University of York, specialising in portable material culture, having previously worked for the Portable Antiquities Scheme in Northamptonshire. He has researched a range of artefacts dating between c. AD 800 and 1400, most notably bone/antler hair combs (see *A Viking Way of Life*, 2014, Amberley).

A key theme in his current work is the integration of scientific techniques and social theory. Recent and planned publications cover the dating of the start of the Scottish Viking Age, the character of contact between arctic Norway and the towns of the Baltic, and typochronology around the year 1000. He is a committee member for the Finds Research Group, having previously (2008-14) been their editor. He co-directs (with Aleks McClain) the Torpel Archaeological Project, a community-led landscape study in the west Cambs area, and is currently bringing to publication (with Søren Sindbaek) *Craft Networks in Viking Towns* and (with Gitte Hansen and Irene Baug) *Everyday Products in the Middle Ages: Crafts, Consumption and the Individual in Northern Europe c. AD 1000-1600*.

Introduction

The late-first-millennial 'florescence of piracy, trade, migration, conquest and exploration' (Barrett 2008, 671) known as the Viking Age, has long fascinated researchers in its characterisation, timing, and origins (*e.g.* Ashby 2009; Barrett 2008; Barrett et al. 2000; Myhre 1993; Sindbaek 2011). This paper is concerned with the latter of these three issues. In particular, it is concerned with the genesis of Scandinavian raiding activity in the British Isles and continental Europe; the push and pull factors behind Viking-Age migration and colonisation are well-treated elsewhere (e.g. McLeod 2014).

Any attempt at a comprehensive historiographical review of scholarship on the causes of the Viking Age would be misguided, given the scale of the task, and redundant, given that concise and critical reviews have recently been published by Barrett (2008, 2010). Nonetheless, it does serve us to spend a little time enumerating the most frequently invoked actors in making the Viking Age happen. Traditional approaches have tended to rest on assertions related to climate, environmental, social or political change, technological developments, demographic characteristics of populations, or ideological factors; indeed many such models are rather narrowly deterministic, even where they articulate multiple themes.

Barrett (2008, 673) has shown that for reasons of chronology and logic, the development of maritime technology cannot be said to have caused the Viking Age, even if it were a prerequisite for extended sea voyages (see also Haywood 1999). Chronological insecurity and regional variability make the case for climatic amelioration as a driver for maritime activity equally difficult to assert (*c.f.* Bradley et al. 2003; Dahl-Jensen et al. 1998), while the evidence for population pressure - perhaps the most commonly cited candidate - is little more convincing, such is the level of spatial variation (*e.g.* Karlsson and Robertsson 1997; Myhre 1998; though note Skre's (2001) variant of this model, wherein the creation of freemen in order to cultivate new land constitutes an important driver for the collective desire to fare overseas). Moreover, Barrett (2008, 675-6) has eloquently put the case for a 'leapfrogging' migratory network, rather than the traditionally assumed 'wave of advance' model, which has often been taken as circumstantial evidence for the action of population pressure as a driver.

Barrett also critiques arguments characterized by ideological determinism - that religious fatalism was somehow unique to early-medieval Scandinavia - and political determinism, as the dynastic weakness and internecine warfare that are often proposed as temptations for ambitious potential pirates were characteristics of central and southern Britain no earlier than the mid-9th century (Barrett 2008: 678). Bjorn Myhre (1993) has argued that increasing political centralisation in Scandinavia, together with emulation of and reaction against Carolingian power, may have acted as a catalyst for exploratory and predatory activity, though such a claim is difficult to substantiate in detail, not least because the earliest raiding parties seem to have reached the British Isles from western, rather than southern, Scandinavia.

Finally, Barrett questions arguments characterized by economic determinism; namely those that argue for Scandinavian raids on western European treasuries as the result of a kind of 'fever' driven by fluctuations in the availability of Arabic silver (see Duczko 2004, 62). Long-range trade was a fundamentally urban phenomenon at this point, while both the backgrounds and destinations of the early viking raiding parties appear to have been rural. For Barrett, then, the start of the Viking Age was a rural phenomenon, and urban trade cannot have been the key driver for raiding activity. Sindbaek (2011) has questioned this assertion, arguing that urbanism is better defined in terms of networks of activities than by reference to settlement form and hinterland. He suggests that the widespread availability of products manufactured in urban workshops demands that we see the Viking world as one that was truly urbanized; and that its origins, accordingly, lie in that network system. Recent work tracking the movement of arctic resources lends some weight to this argument; it certainly appears that a long-distance supply network was in existence prior to the start of the Viking Age (Ashby et al. in press). Nonetheless, ???? argument demands explanation.....

Female Infanticide and the 'Youth Bulge' / A Need for Silver?

It would of course be futile to attempt to identify a single causal factor here, and it has become a truism to explain the Viking Age as emergent from the nexus of pressure built up as a result of developing social hierarchy in Scandinavia and awareness of burgeoning mercantile activity to the south, set within the context of rapidly developing maritime technology. Such a view, however, rather omits the 'social'. Barrett has attempted to correct this omission, and by arguing from the existence of looted goods in Norwegian female graves, and the ethnohistorically-informed possibility that raiding constituted a formative part of the Scandinavian male 'life cycle', that viking-age expansion may well have been, at least in its initial phases, driven by the search for some form of bridewealth. This idea, first proposed by Burström (ref) to explain the concentration of evidence for hoarding on Viking-Age Gotland, is an attractive proposition. Similarly, Sheehan has recently proposed that Ireland's Viking-Age proliferation of hoards relates to the need for silver in various forms of gift exchange. Barrett, however, highlights, the issue of bridewealth for particular attention. He proposes that the start of the Viking Age saw a demographic change in which young men started to make up a disproportionately large component of Scandinavian populations, leading to increased competition in the field of marriage, ultimately crystallising in the need to fare west over sea. More contentious, however, is the proposition that such a gender imbalance emerged from the practice of female infanticide, which itself had its roots in the context of incipient state formation and its demographic corollary: an increasing preference for male children. Female infanticide is indirectly evidenced in documentary sources, which include a reference to gender-selective exposure in the 13th-century Gunnlaug's Saga, and Al Turtūshi's oblique reference to the sacrifice of female children at 10th-century Hedeby (see Lunde and Stone (trans.) 2012, 163). Archaeological evidence has also been proposed, though this is rather circumstantial: the paucity of identifiable female graves in Late Iron-Age Scandinavia (Dommasnes 1982), and the occasional recovery of the scattered remains of immature individuals in excavated contexts relating to the Viking and medieval periods (e.g. Ritchie 1977, 220-221; Roslund 1990; see Wicker 1998 for a review).

A New Model DELETE TITLE?

In all, Barrett's suggestion - that the roots of the Viking Age are to be found in the desire for young men to seek out bridewealth - has much to recommend it. However, in the absence of evidence for the practice of female infanticide (proposed, in fairness, as a heuristic case), one might invoke alternative mechanisms that made it essential to travel overseas to seek this wealth. In particular, one must consider the possibility that the rationale was not the desire for wealth that was falling into short supply in Scandinavia, but rather for a form of wealth or prestige that had not been - and could never be - available without leaving those shores.

Moreover, while the models outlined above may explain why the Viking Age happened, they do not account for the actions of the individuals who organised the expeditions, who went along (assuming they did so under their own free will, given the unlikeliness of their return), and of the wider societal enthusiasm for such activity: in short they do not leave sufficient space for human agency (*cf* Barrett and Anderson 2010, 307-308) . I would therefore like to suggest that the above models - whichever one we choose to accept - should be qualified with a more explicitly social element.

HEADING??: A NEED FOR FOREIGN SILVER OBJECTS?

What would make an individual fare overseas in pursuit of portable wealth? On the face of it, the answer is straightforward: silver itself. Sindbaek and Barrett have both argued that the key motivation for this quest was the search for bridewealth. This may very well be an important component, but it does little to explain the particular *types* of artefact that were brought back to Scandinavia from raids on the continent and British Isles. It is worth noting that these objects are not *just* silver; at least on the basis of the material still available to us, artefacts were not routinely melted down and recast, and though they were often cut up or adapted in various ways, in many cases original elements are preserved.

An explanation is available when one considers anthropology. In her *Ulysses' Sail* (Helms 1988; see also Helms 1992, 1993), Mary Helms deconstructs the role and context of long-distance travellers in traditional society, with particular regard to their relationship with the political elite. Helms points out that elites have long controlled a body of esoteric knowledge as a basis of their power, and argues that this knowledge might be gathered not only from the 'supernatural' world - to which access could be gained through meditation or altered states of consciousness (this obviously has particular resonance in the context of viking-age shamanism (see Price 2002), but also from geographically distant parts of the 'natural' world, to which access could be gained by means of long-distance travel. This power comes from the fact that distant realms, and the people and animals who inhabit them, are seen as different from the *axis mundi*: morally different (for better or ill), different in terms of level of order and 'civilisation', or simply as a sort of dangerous, uncontrolled Other (Helms 1988, 262; cf Said 1978). Such places may also be associated with times past, to the extent that travellers may assume the guise of ancestral figures.

It is important to appreciate the importance of social contingency if we are to attempt to grasp the rationale for travel in any given context (such as, for instance, the birth of the Viking Age). Individuals who visit exceptional places often garner some sense of the extraordinary for themselves, but their travels may be motivated by political, ideological or intellectual concerns. In particular, ethnography records a quest for knowledge, fame, and prestige, as well as the desire to escape the constraints (or, in some cases, punishments) of the home society, and the acquisition of valuable goods (both tangible and intangible). These things, information, and experiences hold great power by virtue of their being acquired from distant places, and may enhance the political prestige of those who acquire them. Thus, we see travelling wisemen shamans and priests who gather prestige when arriving at a settlement having travelled from distance - but we also see the need for political elites to themselves travel abroad in search of new information, knowledge, or goods. Indeed, it is notable that elites generally tend to have privileged access to these travellers (Helms 1988, 264), and also become directly involved in such movement themselves. Thus, elites may, perhaps counterintuitively, strategize by maintaining that their power comes from an external, rather than local source; they may consort with travellers in order to partake of their knowledge and bathe in their fame, they may 'walk in the wilderness' in pursuit of knowledge, and they may themselves make overseas trips, whether military campaigns, exploratory expeditions, or pilgrimages.

Moreover, members of the political elite have often particularly prized goods acquired from distance, as well as faiths, behaviours, fashions, and modes of dress. Power, following Helms (1988, 265), has a particular 'political-ideological dimension', and for Lotte Hedeager (2011, 145-147), it is not held by an individual, but is rather a quality that may be embodied in objects, and accumulated by people. The movement of goods has long been an important element of long-distance contacts, and is of course central from an archaeological perspective. But we cannot hope to understand these movements, contacts and relationships by focusing on the objects alone (Helms 1988: 266); to attempt to explain the Viking Age by reference to flows of silver is rather to miss the point.

In short, elites have long held the ability to garner power and prestige by reference to places of the 'Other'. Travel beyond the pale '...speaks of unusual things beyond the here and now and generally beyond the means of ordinary folk' (Helms 1988, 267). Similarly, as noted by Marianne Vedeler in her recent biographical study of vikingage silks (Vedeler 2014, 113), elites could be expected to particularly covet the acquisition of objects produced by skilled craftspeople at some distance from the home community. Such objects were seen to embody a particular 'sacral' power, inherent not only in the skill of their production or beauty of their ornament (after Gell 1992), but also in their evidence of external contact. Moreover, these two elements of 'enchantment' should not be considered as separate; skill of production and exotic origins could together be clearly manifest in a given element of design. Unusual form, materials, or ornament thus spoke of exotic places, people, objects, and behaviours, invoking a sort of spiritual foreignness or even supernatural quality in addition to mere geographical displacement. The unknown holds great power, by virtue of its very mystery. This power could be transmitted to the owners of these goods, investing them with a certain political and ideological legitimacy.

Ohthere's Sail DO I NEED THIS; TOO MUCH LIKE SOREN???

Helms' work is well known, and indeed has been an influential model in prehistory (e.g. Bradley 1990; Edmonds 1999; Giles 2013), including the study of firstmillennial Scandinavia (Hedeager 2011,145-147), but while occasionally being cited in studies of the Viking Age (e.g. Barrett 2013, 9; Vedeler 2014,113), it appears to have had little impact on our understanding of one of the period's key characteristics: aristocratic mobility. Such mobility is well exemplified in the travelogue attributed to Ohthere, a 9th-century Norwegian visitor to the court of Alfred of Wessex (see Bately and Englert 2007). Ohthere's account is incorporated into Alfred's Old English Orosius, and preserves details of his voyages in northern Scandinavia and the Baltic. Søren Sindbaek (2011, 45) has proposed that Ohthere's journey to Wessex in itself requires explanation: why would a successful chieftain from northern Norway risk time and livelihood to make a long-distance sea voyage to Anglo-Saxon Wessex? And such journeys were not uncommon amongst the elite; Orkneyinga Saga tells us about the prestige that could be gained from pilgrimage to Jerusalem (Anderson 1999, 128), while the recent excavations at Salme, Estonia seem to suggest that - consistent with suggestions made by Guy Halsall (2003) for early-medieval warfare more generally some 'viking' parties, at least, were made up very largely of members of a warrior elite (Konsa et al. 2008). The explanatory potential of an application of Helms' ideas is significant.

It serves us well to consider an example, and for this we turn to the furnished graves of early Viking-Age Norway. Significant quantities of ornate insular objects have been found in Norway's early viking-age graves (see Wamers 1985, 1998, 2011; Heen-Pettersen 2014). Well-known examples include jewellery and dress fittings (such as pennanular brooches and ringed pins), equestrian equipment (particularly harness mounts, often reworked), vessels (such as hanging bowls and buckets), scales and associated weights, and jet objects (Wamers 1998, 37; figure 1). Nonetheless, the material is dominated by fragmentary and reworked decorative metalwork, and a number of these artefacts appear to have ecclesiastical connections. Most of the associated burials can be dated to the 9th century (Wamers 1998, 51), and their distribution is focused in coastal Rogaland, Sogn, More, Trøndelag, Troms and Vestfold. This is all consistent with the arrival of these items in Norway as the products of raiding activity in the west (Bakka 1963; Wamers 1985: 39; Graham-Campbell 2001, 33), notwithstanding contrary suggestions that they represent Christian missionary activity in Scandinavia, or the products of second-hand trade in insular goods (Blindheim 1976, 173-175). The latter does remain a possibility, not least given the small fragments of insular material known from sites such as Kaupang, and which no doubt constitute the waste produced when larger objects were reworked (Wamers 2011, 80-88). Indeed, it is increasingly clear that the Insular style became popular across large areas of Scandinavia; such widespread popularity does not preclude the material holding a particular resonance or even 'magic' relating to its exotic provenance (Wamers 2011, 96-97).

Indeed, Gosden (REF) has argued that..... Similarly, studies of....in the Pacific have demonstrated the potential for 'alien' objects to retain their (albeit mutable) ???? power over generations (Thomas ref??). ELABORATE TO A FULL PARAGRAPH OR TWO?

This curation of insular Christian art by Norse pagans is frequently explained in terms of aesthetic appreciation, or broad allusion to the complexity of viking-age social

dynamics (*e.g.* Williams and Ager 2010, 17; Kleingärtner 2014) and popularly has even been used as a way of 'softening' the image of the vikings. There must have been a more specific, and probably more practical motivation for the preservation of design elements within looted metalwork. Williams (2008, 194) has suggested that material may have been held for ransom, and this may well have been the case for certain high-value items, but such an explanation surely cannot apply to the many small and widely dispersed objects of insular origin known from Norwegian graves. One is rather drawn to the idea that the very distinctiveness of such ornament allowed it to be identified as something alien, something Other, even something that related to this kind of raiding activity. In the first instance these objects stood as markers of sorties into the unknown, and with time they came to carry the stories of particular places, particular expeditions, particular people.

Indeed, as mementos of raiding activity, these objects speak of many things. They tell of great craftsmanship in an alien context. They tell of their – in most cases violent - acquisition, and of the effort exerted and status accrued in this quest. Many of the objects have been reworked into 'bespoke' pieces of jewellery, and if, as seems likely, they were gifted to wives and other women on return to Norway, before eventually going to the grave with their wearers, then these items were clearly intended for public display on the person (see Laino and Ashby in prep.) One can imagine that to wear one of these evocative pieces was an act loaded with meaning and significance for both wearer and gift-giver, and one is reminded of Ibn Fadlān's note that women wore silver or gold neckrings according to the wealth of their husbands (Lunde and Stone (trans.) 2012, 46). It is conceivable, even likely, that the wearing of such items bestowed a certain honour not only on the women themselves, but also on their husbands. Such use of women as 'trophy wives' drew on the power of such aesthetically alien pieces to enchant through reference to a foreign world, and to tell narratives of successful campaigns.

The Vale of York hoard offers another example (Williams and Ager 2010). This hoard, deposited in the late 920s, consists of a variety of objects of precious metal, including a gold arm ring, silver ingots, and hacksilver, as well as 617 coins of Anglo-Scandinavian, Anglo-Saxon, Frankish and Arabic origin, demonstrating a breadth of contacts, and highlighting the political and economic complexity of this period. The presence of a single example of Athelstan's REX TOTIUS BRITANNIAE penny allows the hoard to be given a precise *tpq* of A.D. 927-9, and it has been proposed that it was deposited by a Scandinavian warlord or political leader in the wake of Athelstan's conquest of Northumbria.

Of particular interest herein is the gilt-silver vessel within which the rest of the hoard's contents were deposited (Williams and Ager 2010, 14-17; **figure 2**). This ornate vessel is somewhat bag-shaped, with an opening of some 12cm in diameter. Its is gilded on internal as well as external surfaces, and its ornate engraved decoration is picked out with niello inlay. The decoration itself consists of vinescroll ornament, enclosing a series of six roundels, each containing the figure of a moving animal in a wooded landscape. These, no doubt, are allegorical hunting scenes, and denote a Christian context of production and intended use. Indeed, the piece is clearly of mid-9th-century Carolingian origin, sharing significant similarities with a number of vessels, including the Halton Moor cup (Graham-Campbell 2011: 238-241). It has been suggested that it initially had a role as a *ciborium* (a vessel used to hold the

bread of the sacrament during mass); certainly its initial context must have been an ecclesiastical one.

The cup is in relatively good condition, the only significant damage relating to a postdepositional ploughstrike. It is notable then that this object was retained for over half a century, whereupon it was deposited as part of a hoard. We do not know if it held a function in curating portable wealth throughout its life in Norse hands, or whether its was simply put to this purpose on its subterranean secretion, and the aim of this article is not artefact biography. Nonetheless, we can say that for one reason or another, this piece was retained intact, rather than being either melted down or modified. This cannot be explained by mere reference to an appreciation of fine art, but must be seen in terms of the object's agency; what power did it convey on its owner, or hold over others who came into contact with it? I would argue that, like the 'loot' deposited in Norwegian graves discussed above, the Vale of York cup served to signal longdistance travel, and to celebrate military success in areas not well understood. These stories would originally have related to the object's owner, but later, as it was passed from hand-to-hand, the narrative would recount the exploits of ancestors real or imagined, binding communities together through shared memories and heritage. Such items were thus evidence of having travelled, and evidence of having undertaken celebrated group activity. They could be doled out to followers, but as more than silver, as objects with stories, objects that 'carried people' (Edmonds 1999). It is telling, indeed, that the Halton Moor cup appears to have had an even longer life: manufactured, like the Vale of York cup, in the mid-9th century, it was eventually deposited as a hoard-containing vessel in the 1020s, suggesting that it may have circulated in Norse hands for up to 150 years. No doubt this object's narrative in the 11th century was very different to its mid-9th century experience, but the end result is the same. This cup was used (presumably amongst others) as part of an ideological toolkit to empower an elite, to bind in followers, and to materialize hierarchy.

And these are far from isolated examples. One might equally point to the status associations that attached themselves to Byzantine silks in early viking-age Norway (see Vedeler 2014), or the initial appearance of beads made from Mediterranean tesserae, their Middle Eastern succesors, or cowrie shells in northern Europe (e.g. Callmer 1995; Trotzig 1988). Obviously in most cases these objects reached northern contexts by different mechanisms - trading rather than raiding -such that their allusion to the exotic was differently framed, but nonetheless present and active. But we know that other goods must have been looted: glass vessels and slaves?? While the former are less well evidenced in the archaeological record, one might imagine the latter could have attained a similar role, particularly given the fact that vessel glass was not manufactured in Scandinavia at the time ???? The case studies outlined in detail above serve to demonstrate an important point about early viking-age raiding activity and its motivation: that the acquisition of souvenirs and mementoes, rather than simply 'portable wealth', was an important outcome. Moreover, they demonstrate that significance and power was retained in these objects, and persisted - albeit probably not unchanged in content - over several generations. These objects were more than just the spoils of military activity, more than booty: they carried people, places, and stories with them. For this reason they held significant power as the possessions of members of the elite, while also offering particular potential as a means of instilling loyalty, reinforcing ideas of kinship, and binding individuals and groups to their leaders.

So much for the motivations of the leadership. What was in it for other members of the aristocracy, or insofar as they existed, members of the lower elite? Moreover, while warfare was a fundamentally aristocratic pursuit, it was dependent on the labours of non-elite freemen and slaves: for provisioning, for craft, for technology, for support. Individuals involved in these activities were not equal partners in the venture, but we might suggest that they had some incentive to become involved. Was it, again, purely economic: the promise of rich pickings: a sort of 'trickle-down' effect?

This must have been one element, but there is reason to believe that the rewards were more complex than this. We know from ethnographic survey that raiding frequently fulfills roles that are not strictly economic, but rather sociocultural (Nugent 1993; Sweet 1965; Kurtz 1969). Indeed, in tribal societies, individuals implicated in lowintensity forms of warfare (such as raiding) tend to experience elevated social status, while the heightened experience of the military context provides the opportunity not only for the doing of great deeds, but also for the display and recognition of skillsets and personal qualities that might otherwise go unnoticed. Individuals might be lauded for their strength or speed, courage or cunning, for skills in combat or caring for the sick, for sailing or ship repair. It is easy to imagine that this was the means by which warriors received their 'eke-names', and this alone may have been justification enough for a young, ambitious man to want to join a raiding party. This situation has been recorded in diverse non-western societies (see references???? above), wherein raiding provides something of an initiation experience for some individuals, and an opportunity for others to gain prestige by proximity to, and association with members of the warrior aristocracy. Followers also had the opportunity to create and maintain relationships with other members of the retinue, through communication of shared values and bonding through experience. The formation of such group identities and dynamics may well have been a key motivating factor for many freemen involved in overseas expeditions (see Collins 2012, 70; cf Barrett and Anderson 2010, 307-308).

Ethnography also tells us that elite leaders may maintain and further enhance their status through the successful planning and leading of such enterprises, while simultaneously cementing relations with members of their retinue, not only through the giving of booty as gifts, but through the intimacy of fighting shoulder-to-shoulder on campaign. Such a situation has been proposed for late and post-Roman Europe (Collins 2012, 70), and obviously accords well with what we know of early-medieval warfare from documentary and literary sources (see Halsall 2003). For both leaders and followers, then, raiding was never a baldly economic pursuit, but rather a socially meaningful activity that fostered the maintenance, reinforcement, and renegotiation of relationships within and between tribal groups (Collins 2012, 70).

Discussion

An explanation that fails to address why a particular event or phenomenon happened at a *particular time and place* is no explanation at all (see Carver 2001). With this in mind, we might ask whether this essay on the motivations for raiding activity actually adds anything to the discussion of the causes of the Viking Age at large. I believe that the proposition that raiding was motivated by a desire not just for wealth that could be carried, but also for wealth that carried information, offers more than a qualifying

statement. In conjunction with Barrett (2008) and Sindbaek (2011)'s ideas about the search for bridewealth, it allows us to posit a social component to an otherwise largely economic and demographic argument (accepting that these are themselves social phenomena at some remove).

A useful starting point is Sindbaek's proposal that, rather than demographic pressures, it was political changes of the late 8th century that led many young members of the lower elite to seek their fortune overseas. For Sindbaek, political centralisation made it difficult for individuals to raise bridewealth at home, and, becoming increasingly aware of the silver available in the European incipient urban network, necessarily sought their fortune overseas. But perhaps the fortune sought consisted not of silver, but also of fame, reputation, and narrative.

It is possible then, that increasing political centralisation led to a rush not just for silver, but also for a sort of social capital, a magic that allowed an individual to lead. It led to a desire for objects that possessed qualities that allowed them to be doled out to followers, creating a sense of identity, unity and loyalty. Objects could thus materialize relations, by making reference to past shared experiences, creating a heritage of obligation. Simultaneously, bonded individuals, as well as magnates and other freemen holding a certain degree of independence, also stood to gain from military activity, and from its material references. Such individuals could gain status through proximity to the aristocracy and other respected members of local communities, and could build new relations from the camaraderie of campaigning. The objects acquired through these expeditions carried all these stories, acting as resonators (cf Lemonnier 2012) that could remind individuals of their own personal achievements, as well as of the standing in which they were held. They also spoke eloquently of the importance of group unity, and could act as a call to arms for future military or exploratory activity.

The result of the first phases of raiding was something of a snowball effect. It became clear, not just from the actions, lifestyles, and stories of the parties involved, but also from the objects that materialized these narratives, that both elite and non-elite stood to gain from campaigning overseas. Indeed, the successes of the first expeditions (limited as they were in terms of scale and time spent in the field) would have been recounted, celebrated, and - no doubt- exaggerated, with the effect of encouraging interest in future expeditions, which would take place more frequently, and on a somewhat grander scale, ultimately leading to settlement (compare entries for A.D. 789, 793, 835, 836, and 851 in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle; e.g. Ingram 1912).

However, the treatment of the items recovered from insular contexts cautions us against interpreting the escalation of military activity as a purely economically-motivated phenomenon, driven by 'silver fever' (cf Duczko 2004: 62 for this phenomenon in the east). Rather, it is important to note the social component in such predatory behaviour: participants stood to gain status through personal involvement. From the very notion of having travelled - materialized in the distinctively foreign goods acquired on campaign and bestowed upon family and followers - raiders were able to influence their lives and places in the local community. We should not be surprised that such social success was coveted by others, or that such a situation would lead to an escalation of military activity. There is thus no need to invoke

demographic phenomena such as gender-imbalance in order to explain the events recorded in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, Royal Frankish Annals, or Annals of Ireland.

On the face of it, these proposals are uncontroversial. Popular accounts of the Viking Age do, after all, suggest that raiding activity was motivated by the search for treasure and fame, fortune and glory. Conversely, archaeological explanations have frequently sought to explain the phenomenon in rather reductive economic (or at best socioeconomic) terms, and any reference to the significance of travel in and of itself has tended to be oblique or implicit, rather than explicitly theorized (notwithstanding some awareness of Helms' work). While it is not my intention to underplay the economic lure of raiding, trading, and settlement, it is necessary to throw a light on their sociological content. Though this article has focused on the first category of activity, the second and third might also be explained in similar ways. Indeed, travel seems to have had a prestige value, whatever its form; Ohthere certainly seems to have taken pride in recounting his travels in the north, while Alfred's scribe records him very much as an embodiment of the Other, living the furthest north of any Norseman. It is easy to imagine the prestige available to both Alfred and Ohthere upon the latter's visit to the former's court. Nonetheless, this is an experience different to those of the Viking Age's first coastal raiders. In a world in which the obligations of the lower elite and sub-elite freemen were variable and often flexible (see for instance Barrett 2007), it was possible for an individual to draw upon campaigning experiences in order to negotiate and navigate their way up the social hierarchy. Such individuals stood to gain particular status through the magic of having travelled.

TITLE: POSTSCRIPT

In closing, it is instructive to reflect on our own practice as archaeologists and historians of the Viking Age. From a certain perspective, our explorations in temporal distance parallel the spatial mobility of our viking-age subjects. It is tempting to conclude that, no longer seeking structural commonalities across time and space (Levi Strauss 1963), we are rather playing a game of power drawn from the enchantment of the exotic that is not entirely dissimilar in motive to that played by Ohthere or any of the leaders of late 8th-century Scandinavia's raiding parties.

References

Anderson, J. 1999: The Orkneyinga Saga. Edinburgh.

Ashby, S. P. 2009: Combs, contact, and chronology: reconsidering hair combs in Early-historic and Viking-age Atlantic Scotland. *Medieval Archaeology*, 53, 1-33.

Ashby, S.P., Coutu, A. and Sindbaek, S.M. *in press*. Urban networks and Arctic outlands. Craft specialists and reindeer antler in Viking towns.

Bakka, E. 1963. Some English Decorated Netal Objects Found in Norwegian Viking Graves. Arbok Univ. Bergen, Human. Ser. 1, Bergen.

Barrett, J. H. 2007: The pirate fishermen: The political economy of a medieval maritime society, in B.Ballin Smith, S.Taylor, S. and G. Williams (eds), West over

Sea. Studies in Scandinavian sea-borne expansion and settlement before 1300. A Festschrift in honor of Dr. Barbara E. Crawford. Leiden, 299–340.

Barrett, J. H. 2008: What caused the Viking Age? Antiquity, 82, 671-685.

Barrett, J. H. 2010: Rounding Up the Usual Suspects: Causation and the Viking Age Diaspora, in A. Anderson, Barrett, J. H., and Boyle, K. V. (eds) *The Global Origins and Development of Seafaring*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research. pp. 289-302

Barrett, J. H. 2013: Being an Islander. Production and identity at Quoygrew, Orkney, A.D. 900-1600, Cambridge.

Barrett, J. H. & Anderson, A. 2010. Histories of Global Seafaring: a Discussion, in A. Anderson, Barrett, J. H., and Boyle, K. V. (eds) *The Global Origins and Development of Seafaring*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research. pp.305-314.

Barrett, J. H., Beukens, R. P., Simpson, I., Ashmore, P., Poaps, S. & Huntley, J. 2000: What was the Viking Age and when did it happen? *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, 33, 1-40.

Bately, J. & Englert, A. (eds) 2007: Ohthere's Voyages. A late 9th-century account of voyages along the coasts of Norway and Denmark and its cultural context. Maritime Culture of the North 1, Roskilde.

Blindheim, C. 1976. A collection of Celtic (?) bronze objects found at Kaupang (Skiringssal), Vestfold, Norway, in B. Almqvist and D. Greene (eds), *Proceedings of the Seventh Viking Congress*, Dublin, 9-27.

Bradley 1990: *The Passage of Arms. An Archaeological Analysis of Prehistoric Hoard and Votive Deposits.* Oxford.

Bradley, R.S., Hughes, M.K., and Diaz, H.F. 2003: Climate in medieval time. *Science* 302, 404-5

Burström, M. 1993: Silver as bridewealth. An interpretation of Viking Age silver hoards on Gotland. *Current Swedish Archaeology* 1, 33-37.

Callmer, J. 1995: The influx of oriental beads into Europe during the 8th century, in M. Rasmussen, U. Lund Hansen, and U. Nasman (eds), *Glass beads - Cultural History, Technology, Experiment and Analogy*. Lejre, 49-54.

Carver, M.O.H.C. 2001: Why that? Why there? Why then? The politics of early medieval monumentality, in H. Hamerow and A. MacGregor (eds), *Image and Power in the Archaeology of Early Medieval Britain: Essays in honour of Rosemary Cramp*. Oxford,1-22.

Collins, R. 2012: Hadrian's Wall and the End of Empire: The Roman Frontier in the 4th and 5th Centuries, London.

Dahl-Jensen, D., Mosegaard, K., Gundetrup, N. Clow, G.D., Johnsen, S.J., Hansen, A.W. and Balling, N. 1998: Past temperatures directly from greenland ice sheet. *Science* 282, 268-71

Dommasnes, L.H. 1982: Late Iron Age in western Norway: female roles and ranked as deduced from an analysis of burial customs, *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 15, 70-84.

Duczko, W. 2004. Viking Rus: studies on the presence of Scandinavians in Eastern Europe, Leiden.

Edmonds, M. 1999: Ancestral Geographies of the Neolithic. Landscapes, monuments and memory, London.

Gell, A. 1992. The Technology of Enchantment and the Enchantment of Technology, in J. Coote & A. Shelton (eds), *Anthropology, Art and Aesthetics*. Oxford, 40-67.

Giles, M. 2013: A Forged Glamour. Landscape, Identity and Material Culture in the Iron Age, Oxford.

Gosden, C. 2005. What do objects want? *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 12 (3), 193-211

Graham-Campbell, J. 2011: The Cuerdale Hoard and Related Viking-Age Silver and Gold from Britain and Ireland in the British Museum, London.

Halsall, G. 2003: Warfare and society in the Barbarian West 450-900. London.

Haywood, J. 1999: Dark Age Naval Power. A Reassessment of Frankish and Anglo-Saxon Seafaring Activity. Hockwood-cum-Wilton.

Hedeager, L. 2011: *Iron Age Myth and Materiality. An Archaeology of Scandinavia AD 400-1000*, London.

Heen-Pettersen, A.M. 2014: Insular artefacts from Viking-Age burials from mid-Norway. A review of contact between Trøndelag and Britain and Ireland, *Internet Archaeology* 38. http://dx.doi.org/10.11141/ia.38.2

Helms, M.W. 1988: *Ulysses' sail. An ethnographic odyssey of power, knowledge, and geographical distance.* Princeton, NJ.

Helms, M.W. 1992: Long-distance contacts, elite aspirations, and the age of discovery in cosmological context, in E. Schortman and P. Urban (eds), *Resources, power, and interregional interaction*, New York, 157-174.

Helms, M.W. 1993: Craft and the Kingly Ideal. Art, Trade, and Power. Austin.

Ingram, J. 1912: The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, London.

Karlsson, S. and Robertsson, A.-M. 1997: Human impact in the Lake Mälaren region, south-central Sweden during the Viking Age (A.D. 750-1050). A survey of biostratigraphical evidence, in U. Miller and H. Clarke (eds), *Environment and Vikings. Scientific methods and techniques*. Stockholm, 47-72.

Kleingärtner, S. 2014: Reuse of foreign objects, In G. Williams, G., Pentz and M. Wemhoff (eds), *Vikings. Life and Legend*, London, 74-75.

Konsa, M., Allmae, R., Maldre, L. & Vassiljev, J. 2008: Rescue Excavations of a Vendel Era Boat-Grave in Salme, Saaremaa. *Archaeological Fieldwork in Estonia*, 2008, 53-64.

Kurtz, R. 1969: Headmen and War Changers: Role Theory in the early Canyocito, Navajo, *Ethnohistory* 16, 83-111

Laino, F. and Ashby, S.P. *in prep*: Intimate Economies and the Objects of Affection: gifting and intimate personal relationships in past society.

Lemonnier, P. 2012: Mundane Objects. Materiality and non-verbal communication. UCL Institute of Archaeology Critical Cultural Heritage Series 10, Walnut Creek, CA.

Lévi-Strauss, C. 1963: Structural anthropology. New York.

Lunde, P. & Stone, C. (trans.) 2012: *Ibn Fadlān and the Land of Darkness. Arab Travellers in the Far North*, London.

Myhre, B. 1993: The Beginning of the Viking Age - Some Current Archaeological Problems, in A. Faulkes and R. Perkins, R. (eds), Viking Revaluations. London,182-204.

Myhre, B. 2000: The Archaeology of the early Viking Age in Norway, in H. Clarke, H., M. Ní Mhaonaigh, M. and R. Ó Floinn (eds), *Ireland and Scandinavia in the Early Viking Age*. Dublin, 3-36

Nugent, D. 1993: Property relations, production relations, and inequality: anthropology, political economy, and the Blackfeet, *American Ethnologist* 20, 336-362.

Price, N. S. 2002: *The Viking Way. Religion and War in Late Iron Age Scandinavia*, Uppsala.

Ritchie, A. 1977: Excavation of Pictish and Viking-Age Farmsteads at Buckquoy, Orkney. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland*, 108, 174-227.

Roslund, M. 1990: Nittiotalets medeltidsarkeologi och det döda barnet. *Fornvännen* 85, 283-92.

Said, E. W. 1978: Orientalism, London.

Sheehan, J. 2013: Viking raiding, gift-exchange and insular metalwork in Norway, in A. Reynolds and L. Webster (eds), Early Medieval Art and Archaeology in the Northern World: Studies in Honour of James Graham-Campbell, Leiden, 809-23.

Sindbaek, S. M. 2011: Silver Economies and Social Ties: Long-Distance Interaction, Long-Term Investments - and why the Viking Age happened, in J. Graham-Campbell, S. M. Sindbaek, and G. Williams (eds), *Silver Economies, Monetisation and Society in Scandinavia AD 800-1100*. Moesgård, 41-66.

Skre, D. 2001: The social context of settlement in Norway in the first millennium AD. *Norwegian Archaeological Review*, 34, 1-34.

Sweet, L. 1965: Camel Raiding of North African bedouin: A Mechanism of Ecological Adaptation, *American Anthropologist* 67, 1132-50.

Thomas, N. 1991. Entangled objects. Exchange, material culture, and colonialism in the Pacific. Cambridge, MA.

Trotzig, G. 1988: Beads Made of Cowrie Shells from the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean found on Gotland. In B. Hårdh, L. Larsson, D. Olausson, and R. Petré (eds), *Trade and Exchange in Prehistory. Studies in Honour of Berta Stjernquist*. Lund, 287-94.

Vedeler, M. 2014. Silk for the Vikings. Ancient textiles Series Vol. 15, Oxford.

Wamers, E. 1985: *Insularer Metallschmuck in Wikingerzeitlichen Gräbern Nordeuropas*, Neümunster.

Wamers, E. 1998: Insular Finds in Viking Age Scandinavia and the State Formation of Norway. In: H. Clarke, M. Ní Mhaonaigh, and R. Ó Floinn (eds), *Ireland and Scandinavia in the Early Viking Age*. Dublin, 37-72.

Wamers, E. 2011. Continental and Insular Metalwork, in D. Skre (ed.) *Things from the Town*. Kaupang Excavation Project Publication Series, Volume 3, Moesgård, 65-97.

Wicker, N.L. 1998: Selective female infanticide as partial explanation for the dearth of women in Viking Age Scandinavia, in G. Halsall (ed.), *Violence and Society in the Early Medieval West*, Woodbridge, 205–21.

Wicker, N.L. 1999: Infanticide in Late Iron Age Scandinavia, in J. Downes, J. and T. Pollard (eds), *The Loved Body's Corruption: archaeological contributions to the study of human mortality*, Glasgow, 106-19.

Williams, G. 2008: Raiding and Warfare, In S. Brink and N. Price (eds), *The Viking World*, London, 193-203.

Williams, G. & Ager, B. 2010. The Vale of York Hoard, London.

Figure Captions:

Figure 1: Insular Objects found at Kaupang, Norway. Image Eirik Irgens Johnsen; © 2014 Kulturhistorisk museum, University of Oslo. CC BY-NC-ND 3.0

Figure 2: The Carolingian Cup from the Vale of York Hoard. Image © York Museums Trust (Yorkshire Museum)