



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Pelagic seabirds reduce risk by flying into the eye of the storm*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/191689/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Lempidakis, E, Shepard, ELC, Ross, AN orcid.org/0000-0002-8631-3512 et al. (4 more authors) (2022) Pelagic seabirds reduce risk by flying into the eye of the storm. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119 (41). e2212925119. ISSN 0027-8424

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2212925119>

© 2022 the Author(s). This is an author produced version of an article published in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



1

2 **Main Manuscript for**

3 **Pelagic seabirds reduce risk by flying into the eye of the storm**

4 Emmanouil Lempidakis^{1*}, Emily L. C. Shepard^{1*}, Andrew N. Ross², Sakiko Matsumoto³,
5 Shiho Koyama³, Ichiro Takeuchi⁴, Ken Yoda³

6 ¹Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom.

7 ²School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom.

8 ³Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku,
9 Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

10 ⁴Department of Computer Science, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Nagoya 466-8555,
11 Japan

12 *Emmanouil Lempidakis, Emily L. C. Shepard.

13 **Emails:** e.m.lempidakis@gmail.com, e.l.c.shepard@swansea.ac.uk

14 **Preprint Servers:** biorxiv (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license)

15 **Author Contributions:**

16 Conceptualization: KY, ELCS, EL, ANR

17 Methodology: EL, ANR, ELCS, IT

18 Software: EL

19 Formal analysis: EL

20 Investigation: EL, SM, SK, KY

21 Visualization: EL

22 Funding acquisition: ELCS, KY

23 Project administration: ELCS, ANR

24 Supervision: ELCS, ANR

25 Writing – original draft: EL, ELCS

26 **Competing Interest Statement:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

27 **Classification:** Biological Sciences. Ecology.

28 **Keywords:** cyclone, wreck, navigation, hurricane, biologging, flight

29 **This PDF file includes:**

30 Main Text

31 Figures 1 to 4

32 **Abstract**

33 Cyclones can cause mass mortality of seabirds, sometimes wrecking thousands of
34 individuals. The few studies to track pelagic seabirds during cyclones show they tend to
35 circumnavigate the strongest winds. We tracked adult shearwaters in the Sea of Japan
36 over 11 years and find that the response to cyclones varied according to the wind speed
37 and direction. In strong winds, birds that were sandwiched between the storm and
38 mainland Japan flew away from land and towards the eye of the storm, flying within ≤ 30
39 km of the eye and tracking it for up to 8 hours. This exposed shearwaters to some of the
40 highest wind speeds near the eye wall ($\leq 21 \text{ m s}^{-1}$), but enabled them to avoid strong
41 onshore winds in the storm's wake. Extreme winds may therefore become a threat when
42 an inability to compensate for drift could lead to forced landings and collisions. Birds
43 may need to know where land is in order to avoid it. This provides additional selective
44 pressure for a map sense and could explain why juvenile shearwaters, which lack a map
45 sense, instead navigating using a compass heading, are susceptible to being wrecked. We
46 suggest that the ability to respond to storms is influenced by both flight and navigational
47 capacities. This may become increasingly pertinent due to changes in extreme weather
48 patterns.

49

50

51

52

53

54 **Significance Statement**

55 Cyclones can cause billions of dollars of damage and loss of human life. They can also
56 cause mass mortality and strandings in seabirds. We used GPS tracking data from
57 streaked shearwaters breeding in the world’s most active cyclone basin to understand
58 how seabirds respond to these systems. Birds varied their response according to the wind
59 speed and direction, generally flying towards the eye of the cyclone in strong winds. This
60 surprising strategy enabled shearwaters to control their exposure to risky wind vectors
61 that could drift them onshore. Nonetheless, birds may need to know where land is in
62 order to avoid it. Juveniles lack this “map sense”, making them susceptible to wrecking in
63 some scenarios.

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 **Introduction**

79 Cyclones can have devastating impacts, causing mass mortality of animals and disruption
80 of entire ecosystems (1, 2). The intensity of these extreme events (also called hurricanes
81 and typhoons depending on their location) is predicted to increase with climate change
82 (3), while an increase in the frequency of the most intense storms is already being
83 observed in regions prone to tropical cyclones (4). Little is known about the capacity of
84 organisms to respond to these systems, including the extent to which mobile animals can
85 avoid them, although a range of aquatic animals appear to move to deeper water (5, 6).
86 Seabirds are particularly exposed to tropical cyclones because they develop over the
87 ocean, and indeed, large numbers of seabirds can be wrecked after cyclones, numbering
88 tens of thousands of individuals in the most extreme cases (7, 8).

89 A handful of studies have managed to track pelagic seabirds in 1–2 tropical cyclones,
90 showing that adults circumnavigate the most intense parts of these systems, flying around
91 or above them (9, 10). Indeed, red-footed boobies (*Sula sula*) and great frigatebirds
92 (*Fregata minor*) have been known to fly 400–600 km from their routine foraging area
93 during the passage of cyclones (10). Lower resolution movement data from black-naped
94 terns (*Sterna sumatrana*) equipped with light-based geolocators showed these birds also
95 moved away from cyclones that approached their breeding colony, although they did not
96 always respond to cyclones during migration (11). It is also clear from widespread
97 wrecks and inland strandings (9, 12, 13), that avoidance is not always possible. Indeed,
98 one great frigatebird that was tracked 250 km from a cyclone and encountered winds >
99 100 km h⁻¹ appeared to have been killed (10). It is therefore important to understand the

100 fine-scale behavioural responses to cyclones in order to provide insight into the
101 conditions that birds can, and cannot, tolerate.

102 Quantifying bird responses to extreme weather events remains challenging as they are, by
103 definition, infrequent. Cyclones are also variable in terms of their intensity, spatial extent,
104 movement speed and trajectory. Understanding the behavioural rules that birds employ in
105 an attempt to mitigate storm detriment therefore requires animals to be tracked during
106 multiple, rare events. We tracked 401 adult streaked shearwaters (*Calonectris*
107 *leucomelas*) breeding on Awashima Island, Japan over 11 years. This region forms part of
108 the Northwest Pacific cyclone belt, which is the world's most active cyclone basin and
109 subject to large and extreme typhoons (14). Shearwaters breeding in this region therefore
110 represent a model system to understand how pelagic birds respond to extreme wind
111 speeds. Furthermore, storm systems enter the Sea of Japan from the southeast and can
112 influence the whole region, from Japan in the East, to Russia, North and South Korea in
113 the North and West (Fig. 1A), restricting the opportunities for circumnavigation. We
114 quantified the behavioural responses of shearwaters to 10 tropical cyclones and storms
115 (Fig. 1, Table S1) using a combination of statistical and agent-based modelling to assess
116 how birds modify their flight direction in relation to both (i) the eye of the typhoon/ storm
117 as it moved through the Sea of Japan and (ii) the nearest point on land. Overall, our aim
118 was to provide novel insight into the capacity of seabirds to respond to the direct effects
119 of extreme weather events.

120

121

122 **Results**

123 Isolating GPS tracks from the 75 shearwaters that were exposed to storms (Fig. 1B, C)
124 showed that birds flew in all wind conditions, appearing no less likely to fly as wind
125 speeds increased to typhoon strength (Fig. 2A). The maximum wind speed in the Sea of
126 Japan was estimated to be 97 km h⁻¹ (27 m s⁻¹) by ERA5, and 148 km h⁻¹ (41 m s⁻¹) by
127 IBTrACs (Table S1). In all scenarios, birds tended to fly with a strong crosswind
128 component, consistent with their dynamic soaring flight style (Fig. 2B) (15).

129 We modelled how birds adjusted their flight direction in relation to the eye of the storm.
130 We did this using two datasets, as the combination of the storm trajectories and maximum
131 wind speeds meant that birds were not exposed to storm conditions in all systems (Fig.
132 S1). In the first model, we used tracking data from birds operating in all ten storms. We
133 then ran a second model using data from the strongest storms only, where 55 shearwaters
134 flew in three typhoons and two severe tropical storms (Table S1), hereafter referred to as
135 storms for simplicity. The second model enabled us to focus on bird responses to extreme
136 events. The outputs of the two models were near identical in terms of the shape and
137 significance of the partial effects (Fig. S2, Table S2) and the overall variance explained
138 (Adj. R² = 0.23 in both cases).

139 Wind speed (estimated using ERA5 reanalysis data) was a good predictor of the birds'
140 flight direction with respect to the eye of the storm, with birds flying away from the eye
141 in winds < 10 m s⁻¹ and being attracted to it in strong winds (Table S2, Fig. 3, Fig. S2).
142 The interaction between wind speed and wind direction was also highly significant, with
143 birds being more likely to fly towards the eye when they experienced strong southerly

144 winds, and away from the eye in strong northerly and easterly winds (Fig. S2). This
145 highlights that the birds' position with respect to the cyclonic circulation was important.
146 This phenomenon was also evident in the GPS tracks, which showed that individuals flew
147 towards the eye when they were positioned close to Honshu Island (Movies S1 and S2),
148 whereas birds positioned at the outer reaches of the usual foraging area circumnavigated
149 storm Talim (Movie S1).

150 Whether birds were ahead of- or behind the storm (considered from 0–180°), was also
151 significant, although the shape of this response was very variable (EDF = 16 for 5 storms,
152 Fig. S2). The main tendencies were for birds to fly away from the storm when they were
153 almost directly ahead of it, and towards the storm when they were directly behind it (this
154 may also relate to the wind direction they experience, as described above). Animations of
155 the individual trajectories show several individuals tracking the storm path, for example,
156 one bird “chased” the eye of storm Talim for > 4 h and two individuals chased typhoon
157 Cimaron for > 8 h (Movies S1 and S2). Finally, storm identity also had a significant
158 effect on flight direction (GAMM Table S2).

159 We developed an agent-based model to assess whether the shearwater's response to the
160 wind field around the strongest storms represented a specific tendency to fly towards the
161 storm eye. Agents were programmed with the GAMM output of flight direction in
162 relation to the five strongest storms (described above), placed in a random grid in the core
163 foraging area, and exposed to the wind field of the five strongest storms. Overall, agents
164 were attracted to storms that came within 60–170 km of the core foraging area (typhoon
165 Cimaron, storm Talim, typhoon Jebi, mean flight direction $\leq 70^\circ$), but did not respond to

166 storms that were further away (e.g. typhoon Goni, which was 330 km away at the closest
167 point) (Table S3).

168 Of the agents that were capable of reaching the eye (based on distance, agent speed and
169 simulation time), 28–66% came within 60 km of the central point of the storm, for all
170 storms except Goni where no agents came this close, but few came within 30 km (apart
171 from storm Talim, where this figure reached 34%) (Table S3). Similar proximities were
172 observed in our GPS data as one quarter of the birds (13 of 55) came within 60 km of the
173 central point of the five strongest storms, and four individuals came within 30 km
174 (Movies S1 and S2).

175 Overall, the primary determinant of flight direction with respect to the eye of the storm
176 was the wind field. Adding distance to land to our GAMM of flight direction in relation
177 to the storm eye did not improve the AIC or deviance explained. Nonetheless, a separate
178 GAMM of flight direction with respect to land during all 10 storms showed a positive and
179 almost linear effect of wind strength on the tendency to fly towards land, with
180 shearwaters flying away from land as wind speeds increased (Table S4, Fig. 3, Fig. S3).

181

182 **Discussion**

183 We show that shearwaters flew towards the eye of multiple typhoons, a behaviour that
184 was more likely as wind speed increased, with birds even moving towards the eye of the
185 strongest typhoon in the study period (Fig. 3, Table S1). This strategy exposed birds to
186 some of the strongest wind strengths, as speeds increase towards the eye wall and only

187 decrease within the eye itself. Given that storm eyes have a diameter of 20–50 km (16), it
188 is clear that the four birds that came within 30 km of the eye were operating in or close to
189 the eye wall. These results are surprising given that almost all other seabirds tracked in
190 relation to storms have avoided the strongest winds, either by remaining on or close to
191 land in the case of pelicans, juvenile frigatebirds and boobies (10, 17), or by
192 circumnavigating the storm system (10, 17), in agreement with optimal navigation theory
193 (18).

194 Shearwaters differ from almost all other species tracked in storms to date through their
195 use of dynamic soaring flight, which enables them to extract energy from the vertical
196 wind gradient and fly at low metabolic cost (19-21). As a result, procellariiformes are
197 able to exploit strong winds, as evident by the example of one gray-headed albatross
198 (*Thalassarche chrysostoma*) that flew along the edge of a deep depression in the southern
199 ocean (achieving groundspeeds $> 35 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (22)). Streaked shearwaters are relatively
200 small, weighing some 580 g, and typically fly with airspeeds up to $\sim 14 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (23), yet
201 here we find that adults flew in winds up to 21 m s^{-1} . The actual wind speeds experienced
202 by shearwaters is likely to have been even greater, as ERA5 tends to underestimate wind
203 10 m above the surface by $5\text{--}20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, depending on the storm intensity and its stage of
204 evolution (24). Nonetheless, this will be tempered by the tendency to fly close to the
205 water surface for most of the dynamic soaring cycle (25), where wind speeds are lower
206 (e.g. wind speeds are predicted to drop from 21 to 18.5 m s^{-1} between 10 and 5 m (26)).
207 Variation in flight height may therefore provide a way for shearwaters to modulate their
208 exposure to the strongest winds, while still extracting energy from them.

209 But flight style cannot, in itself, explain the shearwaters' response to typhoons, because
210 shearwaters only flew towards the eye of the storm when this took them away from the
211 mainland and when they were experiencing strong winds (*cf.* (22)). The context-
212 dependency of this behaviour also means it is unlikely that birds moved towards the eye
213 to exploit temporary increases in productivity (27). Instead, we suggest that birds fly
214 towards the storm, and sometimes track its path, to avoid the strong onshore winds that
215 occur in the wake of storms as they move north through our study area. Shearwaters are
216 well-adapted to flight close to land in moderate winds. For instance, Awashima
217 shearwaters fly along the coastline on a daily basis as they move northward to forage, and
218 partly pass through a narrow strait (the Tsugaru Strait) at the north of the Sea of Japan
219 (Fig. S4) (28). Streaked shearwaters at another colony also head towards the coast and fly
220 along it in normal wind conditions ($\sim 10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$), using the coastline as a navigational cue
221 (25).

222 The tendency to fly away from the mainland, which we observe in association with strong
223 winds, therefore appears to be a particular strategy for storms, when their ability to
224 compensate for drift may be compromised. In such circumstances, land can represent a
225 range of threats for shearwaters, from the direct risk of collision and uncontrolled
226 landings in extreme winds (as reported for procellariiformes during a 1984 storm in South
227 Africa (13)), to the limited capacity to take-off once grounded, and their susceptibility to
228 predators, including crows and raptors (28, 29).

229 The instances when shearwaters did circumnavigate a storm suggests that they have an
230 active and flexible response to storm systems (*cf.* (11)), which varies with their location

231 and the wind direction they experience. Circumnavigation is unlikely to be feasible when
232 birds are in their core foraging area close to Honshu Island, as storms approach from the
233 southwest, typically sandwiching birds between the storm path and the land (Fig. 3, 4).
234 Clockwise circumnavigation would require birds to fly with strong headwinds that could
235 also drift them towards Honshu Island (Fig. 4). Anticlockwise circumnavigation from the
236 core foraging area would require birds to sustain groundspeeds greater than the storm
237 speed for hundreds of kilometres as they fly north and west towards Russia and Korea,
238 before exiting south of a storm. This seems untenable given that storms in our study
239 reached translation speeds $> 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. The individuals that circumnavigated a storm did
240 adopt this strategy, but crucially, they were already northwest of the storm's path,
241 reducing the distance required for circumnavigation.

242 Birds may well be able to detect approaching storms through changes in barometric
243 pressure, which typically declines before a storm's arrival, or infrasound, which could
244 also provide information on storm strength and location (10, 11, 30). Indeed, an early
245 detection system may facilitate the selection of an appropriate response to the wind field.
246 Beyond this, birds may also need to know where land is in order to avoid it. For instance,
247 in our agent-based model, agents were programmed without any knowledge of, or
248 response to, the location of land, and 91% of agents were "wrecked" on land in response
249 to storm Komapsu (Table S3). Adult shearwaters do appear to have a map sense (25),
250 which would be required for knowledge of the distance and direction to land, whether
251 that is Japan to the East, or China, Russia to the West. The need to respond to typhoons
252 could provide additional selective pressure for the development of such navigational

253 capacities. If this were the case, juveniles should be less well equipped to respond to
254 storms, as fledgling shearwaters lack a map sense, and instead use an innate compass
255 bearing to migrate (28). In support of this, young shearwaters (not tracked here) appear to
256 be particularly susceptible to being wrecked after storms, both within our study area and
257 beyond (31-33), although the exact cause of wrecking and/ or mortality is unclear.

258 Overall therefore, the ability to respond to cyclones over the open ocean appears to be
259 influenced both by flight capacity and navigational capacity. While boobies and
260 frigatebirds circumnavigated cyclones in a manner determined by their soaring strategies
261 (i.e. with frigatebirds gaining altitude in clouds to over-fly the systems (10), the fast, low-
262 cost, dynamic soaring flight of shearwaters enables them to adopt an alternative strategy:
263 Flight into the eye of the storm. This demonstrates that extreme winds only appear to
264 become costly or risky in certain scenarios, such as when shearwaters might be drifted
265 onto land. Nonetheless, the risk of wrecking may well be relevant for a range of
266 procellariiformes, as many species distribute themselves in areas of cyclonic activity and
267 often forage near continents or between continent and islands (34), probably due to the
268 high productivity (35). Indeed, anecdotal examples of two other procellariiformes
269 tracking the eye of a storm in the Southern Ocean (36) suggest this strategy could even
270 function as a general mechanism to prevent unfavourable drift e.g. away from productive
271 areas and/ or their breeding grounds, even when they are not operating in water bodies
272 encircled by land. Extreme conditions have therefore selected for extreme responses in
273 wind-adapted species. The question is the extent to which these will be sufficient as
274 typhoon intensity, as well as potentially size and duration, increase.

276 **Materials and Methods**

277 *Data collection*

278 Streaked shearwaters breeding on Awashima Island (38° 27.102'N, 139° 14.363'E) were
279 equipped with GPS loggers from 2008 to 2018, as described in (29, 37, 38), providing
280 movement data from 401 individuals. In summary, birds were instrumented with Gipsy 2
281 & 4 GPS loggers in 2008–2016 and AxyTrek loggers (Technosmart, Rome, Italy) in
282 2017–2018. Loggers were attached to the back of each bird with waterproof tape (Tesa,
283 Hamburg, Germany) and cyanoacrylate glue. The logger and tape represented <5 % of
284 bird body mass. Ethical permissions for tagging were granted by the Animal
285 Experimental Committee of Nagoya University (GSES). The experimental procedure was
286 approved by the Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan.

287 GPS tracks were then selected for analysis according to whether they coincided with
288 storm activity in the Sea of Japan. This resulted in 2,319 hours of observations from 75
289 individuals over 5 years (2010, 2014, 2015, 2017 and 2018), which were used for initial
290 data exploration, where all birds were tracked during at least one storm. Flight was
291 distinguished from drifting on the sea surface using a groundspeed threshold of 4.1 m s^{-1}
292 following (39). We also applied a speed filter to remove positions that gave groundspeeds
293 $> 25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ to account for GPS location errors. This filtering threshold was identified using
294 the cut-off point in groundspeed frequencies. Filters were applied to raw data, which were
295 recorded at frequencies of 1 Hz to 1 minute depending on the year. This resulted in the
296 removal of $< 0.1\%$ of GPS locations for the storms Talim, Jebi and Cimaron, and $< 5.2\%$
297 for the storms Kompasu and Goni (the five strongest storms). This did not result in any

298 notable change in the distribution of step lengths between filtered and unfiltered data
299 (Fig. S5), suggesting that we were not removing meaningful biological responses to high
300 wind speed scenarios. In fact, the main determinant of the amount of data that was
301 removed was the generation of GPS logger that was used, with older devices apparently
302 giving more frequent erroneous locations.

303 Wind estimates were obtained from ERA5 global reanalysis models (Fig. 1A, Copernicus
304 Climate Change Service (C3S) (40, 41), for all bird locations. Global reanalyses combine
305 real observations with forecast general circulation models to provide observation-
306 constrained grids of the wind field that are capable of representing most tropical storms
307 (42). The two horizontal wind vectors (u , v) at 10 m from the surface were converted to
308 horizontal wind speed and direction with a temporal resolution of one hour and a spatial
309 resolution of 0.1° .

310 Storms were classified according to the maximum wind speed measured in the Sea of
311 Japan by meteorological agencies, and recorded in the International Best Track Archive
312 for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS, <http://ibtracs.unca.edu/index.php> (43, 44)). IBTrACS
313 provides the most comprehensive record of all major storms globally and it is ideal for
314 detecting storm systems and for quantifying their tracks. Furthermore, wind speeds
315 reported by meteorological agencies are not subject to the underestimation inherent in
316 reanalysis models (24). We classified storms according to their wind speed using the
317 Japanese meteorological agency categorization (JMA,
318 <https://www.jma.go.jp/jma/en/Activities/forecast.html#typh>) (Table S1).

319 All storms in IBTrACS that passed through the Sea of Japan at times for which we had
320 shearwater GPS data were included in the analysis. Storm tracks were retrieved from
321 IBTrACS, <http://ibtracs.unca.edu/index.php> (43, 44)), which provided the coordinates of
322 the eyes of all major storms with a temporal resolution of six hours. Each storm track was
323 interpolated to one hour temporal resolution to match that of ERA5. Interpolations were
324 run using the move package (version 4.0.0, (45)) in R (version 4.0.1, (46)) and the great
325 circle method.

326 *Statistical analysis*

327 First we modelled the direction that birds flew with respect to the eye of a storm, where
328 the storm was that closest to each GPS location. We used generalized additive mixed
329 effect models (GAMMs, Table S2), as these models allow for complex, non-linear
330 responses. We built one model that included flight data from all ten storms, including the
331 weaker storms where birds experienced low to moderate wind speeds (Fig. S1), and a
332 second model that included only the data from the severe tropical storms and typhoons
333 (five storms, Table S1), to test whether birds demonstrated a distinct response to extreme
334 events. This resulted in 690 hours of observation from 55 birds flying in the five strongest
335 storms and 1,618 hours from 73 birds in all ten storms (after removing hours with non-
336 flight data and when the storm eye was located over land and was inaccessible to birds).
337 All attributes relating to bird movement represent hourly averages of each term estimated
338 using the raw GPS locations, in order to match the resolution of the bird movement paths
339 to the ERA5 reanalysis data.

340 The global model included wind speed, wind direction and bird position with respect to
341 the storm eye. For the latter, values of 0° indicated that a bird was ahead of the storm i.e.
342 the eye was moving straight towards the bird, and 180° directly behind it i.e. the storm
343 was moving away from the bird. While wind direction was an indicator of the
344 geographical location of a bird (e.g., birds are expected to experience southerly winds
345 when East of a cyclone, Fig. 4), the bird's position in relation to the eye allowed us to test
346 for difference in response according to whether the storm was travelling towards or away
347 from a bird (as this was related to the storm's direction of travel, and was not an indicator
348 of the bird's geographical location). The model also included interactions between wind
349 speed and direction, and wind speed and bird position with respect to the storm, as each
350 individual member term of the interaction was retained in the first stages of model
351 selection. Storm ID was included as a random effect. We then extended this model to test
352 if proximity to land improved the model fit. The same interactions were included as for
353 global model 1, with an additional interaction between wind speed and distance to land.

354 In a final model, we tested whether the flight direction with respect to land varied with
355 wind speed and direction during all ten storms, with the expectation that birds would be
356 less likely to fly towards land in strong winds. The global model was the same as the
357 previous models.

358 Model selection was performed using the smoothing shrinkage method (47). First, simple
359 predictors were added using the “s()” smoothing and the penalised thin plate regression
360 spline (“ts”) as smooth basis (but “re” for random effects) to form the global model. Each
361 pair of terms was then assessed for concurvity using the mgcv package (48). Less

362 significant terms in pairs with “worst” case concavity > 0.8 were removed from further
363 analysis. Second, the smoothed effect of each predictor was evaluated and terms where
364 the effect shrank to zero were removed. Evaluation and exclusion of zero effects was
365 repeated by the addition of the interaction terms using the tensor product smoothing “ti
366 ()” with a simultaneous assessment of whether the removal of an interaction from the
367 model resulted in significant reduction in AIC (≤ 2). In the refined model that included all
368 remaining single predictors and interactions, the smoothing basis was set to thin plate
369 regression spline (“tp”) for continuous predictors and cyclic cubic regression spline
370 (“cc”) for the circular wind direction. Finally, the base dimension (k) of each term was
371 assessed using the gam.check function of mgcv (48) and increased appropriately where
372 needed.

373 In each model, the number of GPS fixes averaged per hour was used as a weight,
374 normalized by the mean number of fixes in the modelled dataset. To account for temporal
375 and spatial autocorrelation, all models included the date/ hour and the hourly interpolated
376 coordinates for each set of GPS coordinates within each hour, using the corARMA and
377 corSpatial functions from the nlme package, respectively (49). The final models were
378 evaluated for outliers, uniformity, over/ under-dispersion and spatial/ temporal
379 autocorrelation using the DHARMA package (50), with the test of under-dispersion being
380 significant for all models. Significant outliers detected in the standardized residuals of the
381 models of ten storms were removed when their value was outside the central 97% of the
382 residual distribution (see <https://rdr.io/cran/DHARMA/man/outliers.html>) and the models
383 were refitted with the filtered datasets (e.g. 51). This procedure improved the model fit

384 but did not change the significance level of terms or the predicted trends (shape of partial
385 effects).

386 *Agent based modelling*

387 An agent-based model was developed to resolve (1) whether the response to the wind
388 field resulted in birds flying towards the eye of the storm and (2) how often the
389 predictions from model 1 resulted in birds being “wrecked” i.e. flying onto land. In both
390 scenarios ten simulations of 400 agents were run, with agent starting points distributed
391 randomly within the 70% kernel density contour of space use at-sea, determined across
392 the five years of study (Fig. 1B). We used the output of model 1 (flight direction with
393 respect to storm eye for the 5 strongest storms) to drive each agent’s heading at any time
394 step (one hour). The output from model 1 was converted from the predicted 0–180° to 0–
395 360° using a binomial GAMM predicting whether the agent should fly right or left in
396 relation to the storm. Agent flight speed was fixed as the mean hourly groundspeed of the
397 observations collected during each storm ($\sim 8\text{--}9\text{ m s}^{-1}$) or set to 9.3 m s^{-1} ($\sim 33\text{ km h}^{-1}$)
398 when the mean ground speed exceeded this threshold. As each cell in ERA5 covered an
399 area of $\sim 11\text{ km}^2$, each agent was set to make three steps per hour ($\sim 11\text{ km}$ each to
400 complete a movement of $\sim 33\text{ km}$), to guarantee that each cell was taken into account.
401 Agents started moving when the distance between the storm eye and the agent was ≤ 500
402 km. Movement was paused whenever this threshold was exceeded or the storm eye
403 reached land. An agent was considered to reach the eye of a storm when its distance from
404 the eye location was $\leq 30\text{ km}$ (the mean radius of 62 storm eyes as identified by (16). We

405 ran simulations for the five storms classified as severe tropical storms and typhoons
406 (Table S3, movies S3–S7).

407 **Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to Adrian Luckman and Rory Wilson for fruitful
408 discussions about this research and comments on a manuscript draft.

409

410 **Funding:** EL and ELCS were supported by the European Research Council under the
411 European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program Grant 715874 (to
412 ELCS). Support for data collection was provided by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific
413 Research from the Japan Society of the Promotion of Science (24681006, 16H01769,
414 16H06541, 16K21735, 21H05294) (KY).

415 **Competing Interest Statement:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

416 **Data and materials availability:** All data and code needed to evaluate the conclusions in
417 the paper are available on the Dryad Digital Repository:

418 https://datadryad.org/stash/share/q1_vXhtjR9quMdGI-kuC0odsxb40MO5X6Eeed1thuY8

419 with doi: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.2z34tmppj> and will be publicly available upon

420 acceptance for publication.

421

422 **References**

- 423 1. Emanuel K (2005) Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30
424 years. *Nature* 436(7051):686-688.
- 425 2. Fiedler PC, *et al.* (2013) Effects of a tropical cyclone on a pelagic ecosystem from
426 the physical environment to top predators. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 484:1-
427 16.
- 428 3. Seneviratne SI, X. Zhang, M. Adnan, W. Badi, C. Dereczynski, A. Di Luca, S.
429 Ghosh, I. Iskandar, J. Kossin, S. Lewis, F. Otto, I. Pinto, M. Satoh, S.M. Vicente-
430 Serrano, M. Wehner, and B. Zhou (2021) Weather and Climate Extreme Events in
431 a Changing Climate. *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.*
432 *Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the*
433 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, ed MassonDelmotte V, P. Zhai, A.
434 Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I.
435 Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T.
436 Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (Cambridge University Press. In
437 Press), pp 87 -104.
- 438 4. Kossin JP, Knapp KR, Olander TL, & Velden CS (2020) Global increase in major
439 tropical cyclone exceedance probability over the past four decades. *Proceedings*
440 *of the National Academy of Sciences* 117(22):11975-11980.
- 441 5. Jury SH, Howell WH, & Watson WH (1995) Lobster movements in response to a
442 hurricane. *Marine ecology progress series. Oldendorf* 119(1):305-310.

- 443 6. Udyawer V, Chin A, Knip DM, Simpfordorfer CA, & Heupel MR (2013)
444 Variable response of coastal sharks to severe tropical storms: environmental cues
445 and changes in space use. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 480:171-183.
- 446 7. Bailey EP & Davenport GH (1972) Die-off of common murrens on the Alaska
447 Peninsula and Unimak Island. *The Condor* 74(2):215-219.
- 448 8. Morley TI, *et al.* (2016) The seabird wreck in the Bay of Biscay and South-
449 Western Approaches in 2014: A review of reported mortality. *Seabird* 29:22-38.
- 450 9. Hass T, Hyman J, & Semmens B (2012) Climate change, heightened hurricane
451 activity, and extinction risk for an endangered tropical seabird, the black-capped
452 petrel *Pterodroma hasitata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 454:251-261.
- 453 10. Weimerskirch H & Prudor A (2019) Cyclone avoidance behaviour by foraging
454 seabirds. *Scientific reports* 9(1):1-9.
- 455 11. Thiebot J-B, Nakamura N, Toguchi Y, Tomita N, & Ozaki K (2020) Migration of
456 black-naped terns in contrasted cyclonic conditions. *Marine Biology* 167:1-12.
- 457 12. Bugoni L, Sander M, & Costa ES (2007) Effects of the first southern Atlantic
458 hurricane on Atlantic petrels (*Pterodroma incerta*). *The Wilson Journal of*
459 *Ornithology* 119(4):725-729.
- 460 13. Ryan P, *et al.* (1989) The Southern Ocean seabird irruption to South African
461 waters during winter 1984. *Marine Ornithology* 17:41-55.
- 462 14. Balaguru K, Taraphdar S, Leung LR, Foltz GR, & Knaff JA (2014) Cyclone-
463 cyclone interactions through the ocean pathway. *Geophysical Research Letters*
464 41(19):6855-6862.

- 465 15. Yonehara Y, *et al.* (2016) Flight paths of seabirds soaring over the ocean surface
466 enable measurement of fine-scale wind speed and direction. *Proceedings of the*
467 *National Academy of Sciences* 113(32):9039-9044.
- 468 16. Kodama Y-M & Yamada T (2005) Detectability and configuration of tropical
469 cyclone eyes over the western North Pacific in TRMM PR and IR observations.
470 *Monthly weather review* 133(8):2213-2226.
- 471 17. Wilkinson BP, Satgé YG, Lamb JS, & Jodice PG (2019) Tropical cyclones alter
472 short-term activity patterns of a coastal seabird. *Movement ecology* 7(1):1-11.
- 473 18. McLaren JD, Shamoun-Baranes J, Dokter AM, Klaassen RH, & Bouten W (2014)
474 Optimal orientation in flows: providing a benchmark for animal movement
475 strategies. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 11(99):20140588.
- 476 19. Davies RG, Irlich UM, Chown SL, & Gaston KJ (2010) Ambient, productive and
477 wind energy, and ocean extent predict global species richness of procellariiform
478 seabirds. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 19(1):98-110.
- 479 20. Furness RW & Bryant DM (1996) Effect of wind on field metabolic rates of
480 breeding northern fulmars. *Ecology* 77(4):1181-1188.
- 481 21. Spivey R, Stansfield S, & Bishop C (2014) Analysing the intermittent flapping
482 flight of a Manx Shearwater, *Puffinus puffinus*, and its sporadic use of a wave-
483 meandering wing-sailing flight strategy. *Progress in Oceanography* 125:62-73.
- 484 22. Catry P, Phillips RA, & Croxall JP (2004) Sustained fast travel by a gray-headed
485 albatross (*Thalassarche chrysostoma*) riding an Antarctic storm. *The Auk*
486 121(4):1208-1213.

- 487 23. Spear LB & Ainley DG (1997) Flight speed of seabirds in relation to wind speed
488 and direction. *Ibis* 139(2):234-251.
- 489 24. Malakar P, Kesarkar A, Bhate J, Singh V, & Deshamukhya A (2020) Comparison
490 of reanalysis data sets to comprehend the evolution of tropical cyclones over
491 North Indian Ocean. *Earth and Space Science* 7(2):e2019EA000978.
- 492 25. Goto Y, Yoda K, & Sato K (2017) Asymmetry hidden in birds' tracks reveals
493 wind, heading, and orientation ability over the ocean. *Science advances*
494 3(9):e1700097.
- 495 26. Pennycuik CJ (1982) The flight of petrels and albatrosses (Procellariiformes),
496 observed in South Georgia and its vicinity. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
497 *Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences* 300(1098):75-106.
- 498 27. Nicoll MA, *et al.* (2017) Contrasting effects of tropical cyclones on the annual
499 survival of a pelagic seabird in the Indian Ocean. *Global Change Biology*
500 23(2):550-565.
- 501 28. Yoda K, *et al.* (2017) Compass orientation drives naïve pelagic seabirds to cross
502 mountain ranges. *Current Biology* 27(21):R1152-R1153.
- 503 29. Yoda K, *et al.* (2021) Annual variations in the migration routes and survival of
504 pelagic seabirds over mountain ranges. *Ecology*:e03297-e03297.
- 505 30. Patrick SC, *et al.* (2021) Infrasound as a cue for seabird navigation. *Frontiers in*
506 *Ecology and Evolution*:812.
- 507 31. Kuroda N (1966) A mass inland drift of Streaked Shearwaters over Kanto plain in
508 November, 1965. *Journal of the Yamashina Institute for Ornithology* 4(5):388-
509 396.

- 510 32. Oka N & Maruyama N (1986) Mass mortality of short-tailed shearwaters along
511 the Japanese coast. *Japanese Journal of Ornithology* 34(4):97-104.
- 512 33. Syposz M, Gonçalves F, Carty M, Hoppitt W, & Manco F (2018) Factors
513 influencing Manx Shearwater grounding on the west coast of Scotland. *Ibis*
514 160(4):846-854.
- 515 34. Beal M, *et al.* (2021) Global political responsibility for the conservation of
516 albatrosses and large petrels. *Science Advances* 7(10):eabd7225.
- 517 35. Hazen EL, *et al.* (2013) Scales and mechanisms of marine hotspot formation.
518 *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 487:177-183.
- 519 36. Nourani E, *et al.* (2022) Extreme tolerable winds for seabirds are determined by
520 morphology. *bioRxiv*.
- 521 37. Koyama S, Mizutani Y, & Yoda K (2021) Exhausted with foraging: Foraging
522 behavior is related to oxidative stress in chick-rearing seabirds. *Comparative*
523 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*
524 258:110984.
- 525 38. Matsumoto S, Yamamoto T, Yamamoto M, Zavalaga CB, & Yoda K (2017) Sex-
526 related differences in the foraging movement of streaked shearwaters *Calonectris*
527 *leucomelas* breeding on Awashima Island in the Sea of Japan. *Ornithological*
528 *Science* 16(1):23-32.
- 529 39. Shiomi K, Yoda K, Katsumata N, & Sato K (2012) Temporal tuning of homeward
530 flights in seabirds. *Animal Behaviour* 83(2):355-359.
- 531 40. Hersbach H, *et al.* (2018) ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1979 to present.

- 532 41. Hersbach H, *et al.* (2020) The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the*
533 *Royal Meteorological Society* 146(730):1999-2049.
- 534 42. Hodges K, Cobb A, & Vidale PL (2017) How well are tropical cyclones
535 represented in reanalysis datasets? *Journal of Climate* 30(14):5243-5264.
- 536 43. Knapp KR, Diamond HJ, Kossin JP, Kruk MC, & Schreck C (2018) International
537 best track archive for climate stewardship (IBTRACS) project, version 4. *NOAA*
538 *National Centers for Environmental Information*.
- 539 44. Knapp KR, Kruk MC, Levinson DH, Diamond HJ, & Neumann CJ (2010) The
540 international best track archive for climate stewardship (IBTrACS) unifying
541 tropical cyclone data. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 91(3):363-
542 376.
- 543 45. Kranstauber B, Smolla M, & Scharf AK (2020) move: Visualizing and Analyzing
544 Animal Track Data. R package version 4.0.0.
- 545 46. Team RC (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 546 47. Marra G & Wood SN (2011) Practical variable selection for generalized additive
547 models. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 55(7):2372-2387.
- 548 48. Wood SN (2017) *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R* (CRC
549 press).
- 550 49. Pinheiro J, *et al.* (2017) Package ‘nlme’. *Linear and nonlinear mixed effects*
551 *models, version 3(1)*.
- 552 50. Hartig F & Hartig MF (2017) DHARMA: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical
553 (Multi-Level Mixed) Regression Models. R package version 0.3.3.

554 51. Kuepfer A, Sherley RB, Brickle P, Arkhipkin A, & Votier SC (2022) Strategic
555 discarding reduces seabird numbers and contact rates with trawl fishery gears in
556 the Southwest Atlantic. *Biological Conservation* 266:109462.

557

558

559

560

561 **Figures and Tables**

562

563 **Figure 1.** Distribution of streaked shearwaters and storms in the Sea of Japan. (A) The area
564 affected during the passage of tropical cyclone Goni (26/08/2015 04:00:00 (UTC)). The black star
565 indicates the location of the colony near the Honshu Island. The right panels show the 70%
566 density contour of hourly interpolated GPS locations during the 10 storms (upper panel) and the
567 tracks of storms that passed through the Sea of Japan when at least one tagged bird was at sea
568 (lower panel). The five strongest cyclones are given in the first row of the legend.

569

570 **Figure 2.** Bird behavior according to the wind field and land. (A) Hours of flight and non-flight
571 behavior (n= 2,318 h) according to wind strength when birds were at sea during the 10 storms.
572 (B) Kernel density of hourly mean flight direction in relation to wind direction during the 10
573 storms (n= 1,618 h), highlighting the selection of crosswinds. (C) Flight direction in relation to
574 the eye of the five strongest storms, derived from the raw GPS estimates, showing birds were
575 more likely to respond to storms that passed closer to them. The colors indicate the distance
576 between the eye and tracked birds (90% quantile of bird – storm distance) with proximity
577 increasing from blue to red. (D) The normalized kernel density of hourly mean flight direction in
578 relation to the closest point on land (n= 1,618 h), during the 10 storms, showing birds only flew
579 towards the eye when this took them away from land.

580

581 **Figure 3.** Bird responses to tropical cyclone Cimaron. (A) As Cimaron entered the Sea of Japan
582 (black track), 32 birds were located within the 70% utilization area. (B) When the eye was at its
583 closest to the birds, three birds had already flown towards and chased the eye (dark red and
584 green), two more had initiated flight towards it (bright green) and the majority of birds located

585 within a layer of weaker winds, remained sheltered near the shore. In the same hour another storm
586 can be observed to the west.

587

588 **Figure 4.** Responses to a hypothetical tropical cyclone travelling from south to north, for birds
589 located within the core utilization area near the Awashima colony (marked with a star). (A)
590 Anticlockwise circumnavigation with wind support, suggested by optimal navigation theory (18),
591 becomes feasible when birds are positioned to the north and west of the eye (blue shade) and can
592 benefit from tailwind assistance. This response was observed in our results. (B) Clockwise
593 circumnavigation would require flight into headwinds that could also drift birds onshore. This
594 response was not observed. (C) Flight towards the eye from this location (observed in our results)
595 enables birds to benefit from crosswinds, takes birds away from land and avoids onshore winds
596 that follow behind the eye. (D) Birds foraged close to shore when winds were relatively weak.