



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/190954/>

Version: Published Version

Proceedings Paper:

Marincowitz, C., Stone, T., Bath, P. et al. (2022) Accuracy of telephone triage for predicting adverse outcomes in suspected COVID-19 : an observational cohort study linking NHS 111 telephone triage, primary and secondary healthcare and mortality records. In: International Journal of Population Data Science. International Population Data Linkage Network Conference, 07-09 Sep 2022, Edinburgh, Scotland. Swansea University. EISSN: 2399-4908.

<https://doi.org/10.23889/ijpds.v7i3.1777>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Accuracy of telephone triage for predicting adverse outcomes in suspected COVID-19: An observational cohort study linking NHS 111 telephone triage, primary and secondary healthcare and mortality records.

Carl Marincowitz¹, Tony Stone¹, Peter Bath², Richard Campbell¹, Janette Turner¹, Richard Pilbery³, Benjamin Thomas¹, Laura Sutton¹, Fiona Bell³, Katie Biggs¹, Frank Hopfgartner², Madina Hussein², Suvodeep Mazumdar², Jennifer Petrie¹, and Steve Goodacre²

¹School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield

²Information School, University of Sheffield

³Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust

Objectives

To assess accuracy of telephone triage in identifying need for emergency care amongst those with suspected COVID-19 infection and identify factors which affect triage accuracy.

Approach

An observational cohort study of adults who contacted the NHS 111 telephone triage service provided by Yorkshire Ambulance Service between March and June 2020 with symptoms indicating possible COVID-19 infection. Patient-level data encompassing triage call, primary care, hospital care and death registration records relating to 40,261 adults were linked.

The accuracy of triage outcome (self-care/non-urgent assessment versus ambulance/urgent assessment) was assessed for death or organ support 30 days from first contact. Multi-variable logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with risk of false negative or false positive triage.

Results

Callers had a 3% (1,200/40,261) risk of serious adverse outcomes. Telephone triage recommended self-care or non-urgent assessment for 60% (24,335/40,261), with a 1.3% (310/24,335) risk of adverse outcomes 30 days from first contact. Telephone triage had 74.2% sensitivity (95% CI: 71.6 to 76.6%) and 61.5% specificity (61% to 62%) for the primary outcome. Analysis suggested respiratory comorbidities may be over-appreciated and diabetes under-appreciated as predictors of deterioration. Repeat contact with triage service appears to be an important under-recognised predictor of deterioration.

Conclusions

Patients advised to self-care or receive non-urgent clinical assessment had a small but non-negligible risk of serious clinical deterioration. Repeat contact with telephone services needs recognition as an important predictor of subsequent adverse outcomes.

