

This is a repository copy of Does Dynamic Assessment Offer An Alternative Approach to Identifying Reading Disorder? A Systematic Review.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/190933/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Dixon, C, Oxley, E, Nash, H orcid.org/0000-0002-4357-945X et al. (1 more author) (2022) Does Dynamic Assessment Offer An Alternative Approach to Identifying Reading Disorder? A Systematic Review. Journal of Learning Disabilities. 222194221117510-. ISSN 0022-2194

https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194221117510

© Hammill Institute on Disabilities 2022. This is an author produced version of an article, published in Journal of Learning Disabilities. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND READING DISORDER

Table 1

Characteristics of Included Studies

							<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
Study	Reference	Construct	Design	Grade/Age	Country	Ν	Male	<u>Bilingual</u>
1	Aravena et al. (2018)	Decoding	Cross-sectional	7;4 - 11;1	Netherlands	118	54%	0%
2	Cho et al. (2020)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Fall G1 to May G1	USA	104	55%	0%
3	Compton et al. (2010)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Start G1 to Spring G2	USA	355	53%	NR
4	Gellert and Elbro (2018)	Decoding	Longitudinal	End K, Nov G1, end G2	Denmark	158	NR	35%
5	Gellert and Elbro (2017b)	Decoding	Longitudinal	End K to end G1	Denmark	171	NR	36%
7	Petersen and Gillam (2015)	Decoding	Longitudinal	K to end G1	USA	63	46%	100%
6	Petersen et al. (2016)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Start K to end G1	USA	600	NR	39%
8	Petersen et al. (2018)	Decoding	Longitudinal	Start K to end G2 through end G5	USA	378	51%	43%
9	Gellert and Elbro (2017a)	PA	Longitudinal	Nov K, end K, Nov G1, end G1	Denmark	160	48%	37%
12	Krenca et al. (2020)	PA	Longitudinal	Fall G1 to Spring G1	Canada	57	42%	28%
10	Bridges and Catts (2011) Study 1	PA	Longitudinal	Start K to Apr K	USA	90	NR	NR
11	Bridges and Catts (2011) Study 2	PA	Longitudinal	Start K to Apr K	USA	96	NR	NR
13	O'Connor and Jenkins (1999)	PA	Longitudinal	Nov K, Oct G1, May G1	USA	215	NR	NR

DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND READING DISORDER

14	Swanson (1994)	WM	Cross-sectional	10;9	USA	143	55%	0%
15	Swanson (1995) Study 2	WM	Cross-sectional	10;6	USA	506	49%	0%

Note: G1 = grade 1; K = kindergarten; NR = not reported; PA = phonological awareness; WM = working memory