UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of Development of the Leeds Food Preference Questionnaire in Japanese: Sensitivity and reproducibility of liking and wanting for food in fasted and fed states.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/189986/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hiratsu, A, Thivel, D, Beaulieu, K orcid.org/0000-0001-8926-6953 et al. (7 more authors) (2022) Development of the Leeds Food Preference Questionnaire in Japanese: Sensitivity and reproducibility of liking and wanting for food in fasted and fed states. Food Quality and Preference, 102. 104677. ISSN 0950-3293

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2022.104677

©2022, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

| | Priority | Food name | Recognition (%) ^a | Frequency of eating (1-6) | Liking (mm) | Perception | | Time appropriateness | | |
|------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | Taste (mm) ^b | Fat content (mm) ^c | Breakfast (mm) | Lunch (mm) | Dinner (mm) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| HFSA | 5 | Mixed-vegetable tempura | 94.5 | 3.0 | 69.2 | 65.9 | 79.7 | 38.6 | 70.3 | 68.3 |
| | 6 | Buttered toast | 85.5 | 4.5 | 78.1 | 56.2 | 72.9 | 88.1 | 66.7 | 32.6 |
| | 7 | Grilled mackerel | 80.0 | 3.5 | 72.2 | 77.5 | 46.3 | 76.5 | 74.9 | 82.5 |
| | 8 | Deep-fried tofu | 89.0 | 2.9 | 77.4 | 66.9 | 47.8 | 57.0 | 67.6 | 77.4 |
| LFSA | 5 | Fish paste | 100.0 | 3.2 | 64.9 | 59.5 | 28.6 | 62.7 | 68.2 | 75.1 |
| | 6 | Raw fish | 100.0 | 3.6 | 74.4 | 55.6 | 31.6 | 39.3 | 64.3 | 82.7 |
| | 7 | Grilled chicken | 77.0 | 2.6 | 71.2 | 71.0 | 37.7 | 45.7 | 61.7 | 78.2 |
| | 8 | Baked rice ball | 99.5 | 2.6 | 73.8 | 74.0 | 48.6 | 75.6 | 77.0 | 68.1 |
| HFSW | 5 | Cheese cake | 98.0 | 2.9 | 76.6 | 18.8 | 76.3 | 51.0 | 76.6 | 55.6 |
| | 6 | Choco Coronet | 92.5 | 2.5 | 72.5 | 9.4 | 79.7 | 76.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 |
| | 7 | Cookies | 87.5 | 3.7 | 75.0 | 19.5 | 75.0 | 52.4 | 75.5 | 45.0 |
| | 8 | Apple pie | 72.0 | 2.4 | 66.0 | 18.4 | 73.1 | 53.6 | 72.3 | 44.6 |
| LFSW | 5 | Mandarin orange | 100.0 | 3.9 | 79.9 | 18.8 | 21.5 | 77.5 | 77.7 | 74.8 |
| | 6 | Ohagi | 92.5 | 2.5 | 70.2 | 12.3 | 54.1 | 58.0 | 75.4 | 43.1 |
| | 7 | Dorayaki | 100.0 | 2.8 | 68.4 | 11.6 | 68.1 | 56.7 | 75.4 | 44.8 |
| | 8 | Castella | 100.0 | 2.7 | 75.2 | 10.4 | 73.4 | 57.7 | 75.9 | 45.6 |

Supplemental Table 1. Results of the validation of the other selected food images in each category

Values are means for n = 200. ^aRecognition is expressed as a percentage of the total number of the samples (n = 200). ^bTaste scores indicate 0 = More sweet and 100 = More savoury. ^cFat content scores indicate 0 = Low-fat and 100 = High-fat.

HFSA, High-fat savoury; LFSA, Low-fat savoury; HFSW, High-fat sweet; LFSW, Low-fat sweet.