



This is a repository copy of *Climate windows of opportunity for plant expansion during the Phanerozoic*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/189582/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Gurung, K. orcid.org/0000-0001-8074-7339, Field, K. orcid.org/0000-0002-5196-2360, Batterman, S. et al. (5 more authors) (Submitted: 2021) Climate windows of opportunity for plant expansion during the Phanerozoic. Research Square. (Submitted)

<https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1104079/v1>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Supplementary Information




Figure S1. Potential biomass maps throughout the Phanerozoic. Maps showing terrestrial land (shaded grey) and potential biomass between 540-0 Ma. The breakup of Pangea occurs between 200-180 Ma.





Figure S2. Potential NPP over the Phanerozoic. Relative to present day potential NPP. The pattern of NPP overtime matches that of biomass overtime due to the simplistic relationship between the two; a large portion of NPP is converted into biomass.

Runoff vs. biomass






Figure S3. R-squared values between biomass and runoff, and temperature for each time point.

Average r-squared values are [0.5358](#) and [0.2615](#) respectively.




Figure S4. Linear regression between total global biomass, global temperature and global runoff. Using the 22 timepoints within the Phanerozoic, r-squared values are 0.399 and 0.623 for average global temperature and total global runoff, respectively.




Figure S5. Comparison of biome distribution. A similar pattern is broadly observed between present day simplified biomes and FLORA predicted biomes. (A) Distribution of the three plant functional types (boreal, tropical and temperate) as predicted by FLORA using simple competition. Other biomes include the ice biome (land with temperatures $< -10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) and desert biome (land where runoff < 0) for present day. (B) Simplified map of present day terrestrial biomes modified from Trimble and Van Aarde (1). The original 14 biomes were condensed down to the five biomes used in FLORA, with the exception of the montane biome (purple).

Table S1. Associated parameters for plant functional types. Optimum temperature and temperature range (s.d: standard deviation), turnover ratio (f_{leaf}) and respiration rate (r) for each plant functional type.

Plant functional type	Temperature optimum (°C ± s.d)	Turnover ratio	Respiration rate (g C/g N/d)
Tropical	27 ± 7	1	0.011
Temperate	15 ± 20	0.75	0.055
Boreal	0 ± 20	0.75	0.066

Table S2. FLORA biomass outputs for each time-point with CO₂ and O₂ levels. O₂ (kPa)

(Krause et al. 2018), CO₂ level (ppm) used (Mills et al. 2019) and the sum of potential global biomass (Gt C) for each time point predicted by FLORA. Ma: million years ago.

Time (Ma)	CO₂ (ppm)	O₂ (kPa)	Biomass (Gt C)
540	5600	1.9	458
500	3360	5.14	558
470	3360	3.74	679
450	2800	5.05	530
430	2240	14.94	555
400	1680	16.76	436
370	1400	16.22	438
340	700	24.76	393
300	560	25.52	347
280	700	27.25	340
260	700	31.05	365
245	1120	30.77	352
220	1680	32.38	398
200	1680	28.6	604
180	1680	25.13	606
145	840	25.86	594
90	1120	26.65	547
70	560	27.69	685
52	700	25.12	681
30	560	21.41	731
15	360	21.57	592
0	280	20.72	453

References

1. Trimble, M. J. & Aarde, R. J. van. Geographical and taxonomic biases in research on biodiversity in human-modified landscapes. *Ecosphere* **3**, 1–16 (2012).