

This is a repository copy of Semigroups of straight left inverse quotients.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/188324/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Gould, Victoria orcid.org/0000-0001-8753-693X and Schneider, Georgia (2022) Semigroups of straight left inverse quotients. Semigroup forum. ISSN: 1432-2137

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-022-10301-6

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



RESEARCH ARTICLE



Semigroups of straight left inverse quotients

Victoria Gould¹ · Georgia Schneider²

Received: 22 May 2022 / Accepted: 20 June 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Let Q be an inverse semigroup. A subsemigroup S of Q is a *left I-order* in Q and Q is a *semigroup of left I-quotients* of S if every element in Q can be written as $a^{-1}b$, where $a, b \in S$ and a^{-1} is the inverse of a in the sense of inverse semigroup theory. If we insist on being able to take a and b to be \mathscr{R} -related in Q we say that S is *straight* in Q and Q is a *semigroup of straight left I-quotients* of S. We give a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for a semigroup to be a straight left I-order. The conditions are in terms of two binary relations, corresponding to the potential restrictions of \mathscr{R} and \mathscr{L} from an oversemigroup, and an associated partial order. Our approach relies on the meet structure of the \mathscr{L} -classes of inverse semigroups. We prove that every finite left I-order is straight and give an example of a left I-order which is not straight.

Keywords Inverse semigroup · I-order · I-quotients

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary: 20M05 · 20M10 Secondary: 20F05

1 Introduction

Several definitions of a semigroup of quotients have been proposed and studied by a number of authors, each with their own purpose. The earliest definition is that of a group of left quotients, introduced by Dubreil in 1943 [4], building on the work of Ore

Communicated by Mark V. Lawson.

This work forms part of the PhD of the second author. It was completed whilst she was supported by a Heilbronn Fellowship.

 Victoria Gould victoria.gould@york.ac.uk
 Georgia Schneider georgia.schneider@manchester.ac.uk

Published online: 22 July 2022

- Department of Mathematics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK
- Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK



on rings of left quotients [21]. A subsemigroup S of a group G is a *left order* in G and G is a *group of left quotients* of S if every $g \in G$ can be written as $g = a^{-1}b$ for some $a, b \in S$. Ore and Dubreil showed that a semigroup S has a group of left quotients if and only if S is cancellative and satisfies the left Ore condition. The latter condition, also known as *right reversibility*, says that for any $a, b \in S$ there exist $c, d \in S$ such that ca = db.

In 1950 Murata [19] extended the notions of groups and rings of left quotients to semigroups. He did this by insisting that the semigroup of quotients be a monoid, and then by considering inverses lying in the group of units. To be precise, a subsemigroup S of a monoid M is a classical left order in M and M is a semigroup of classical left quotients of S if every $m \in M$ can be written as $m = a^{-1}b$ for some $a, b \in S$, where a^{-1} is the inverse of a in the group of units of M, and if, in addition, every cancellative element of S lies in the group of units of M. Murata showed that a semigroup S has a monoid of classical left quotients if and only if S satisfies the left Ore—Asano condition, which is the relevant weakening of the left Ore condition. The condition that cancellative elements of S must acquire an inverse in the group of units of M exactly reflects the corresponding condition in classical ring theory.

Unlike the case for rings and groups, the identity of a monoid may have little influence on its structure. In part to reflect this, a different definition of semigroup of quotients, and one which does not insist on the oversemigroup being a monoid, was proposed by Fountain and Petrich in 1986 [8]. It was initially restricted to completely 0-simple semigroups of (two-sided) quotients; only in the degenerate case are these monoids. The first author [12] formally extended this concept to left orders in an arbitrary semigroup, as follows. A subsemigroup S of a semigroup Q is a left Fountain— Gould order in Q and Q is a semigroup of left Fountain–Gould quotients of S if every $a \in Q$ can be written as $a = a^{\sharp}b$ for some $a, b \in S$, where a^{\sharp} is the inverse of a in some subgroup of Q, and if, in addition, every square-cancellable element of S lies in a subgroup of Q. The notion of square-cancellability is a (strong) necessary condition for an element to lie in a subgroup of an oversemigroup, corresponding to the way in which being cancellable is a (strong) necessary condition to lie in the group of units of an oversemigroup. The characterisations of Fountain–Gould left orders in Q become more complicated than in earlier cases, reflecting the \mathcal{R} - and \mathcal{L} -class structure of O [14].

All of the above approaches use, in one form or another, the notion of inverses in a (sub)group. But, in the theory of inverse semigroups, there is another crucial notion of inverse of an element a, which we write as a^{-1} . The concept central to this paper makes use of this. Namely, it is that of a semigroup of left inverse quotients, which we will shorten to left I-quotients, first defined by Ghroda and Gould in 2010 [10].

Definition 1.1 Let S be a subsemigroup of an inverse semigroup Q. Then Q is a *semigroup of left I-quotients* of S and S is a *left I-order* in Q if every $q \in Q$ can be written as

$$q = a^{-1}b$$

¹ The terminology *classical* is ours.



for some $a, b \in S$. If in addition we can choose a, b such that $a \mathcal{R} b$ in S, then we say Q is a *semigroup of straight left I-quotients* of S and S is a straight *left I-order* in Q.

The notion of semigroups of I-quotients has effectively been used by a number of authors without employing the above terminology. The first case of this is probably Clifford in 1953 [2] where he showed that every right cancellative monoid S with the (LC) condition has a bisimple inverse monoid of left I-quotients. By saying that a semigroup S satisfies the (LC) condition we mean for any $a, b \in S$ there exists $c \in S$ such that $Sa \cap Sb = Sc$. Thus, (LC) is a stronger condition than right reversibility. Left I-quotients have also appeared implicitly in work on inverse hulls of right cancellative semigroups developed in [20] and [18], and taken further in [1]. A related approach recently appeared in Exel and Steinberg's work on inverse hulls of 0-left cancellative semigroups [5]. All of these examples are left ample (or right ample), and so we can determine the structure of their inverse hulls using Theorem 3.7 of [11]. Fountain and Kambites effectively utilise left I-quotients in Sect. 2 of [6], in which they use the fact that graph products of right cancellative, right reversible, monoids are again right cancellative and right reversible, and then show they are left I-orders in the inverse hull.

None of the earlier work on left I-orders attempts to describe those semigroups that occur as left I-orders. It transpires that, as for left Fountain–Gould orders, this question is more amenable in the straight case. The aim of this article, achieved in Theorem 3.7, is to characterise completely those semigroups that occur as straight left I-orders. Again, we will need conditions reflecting the \mathcal{R} - and \mathcal{L} -class configurations of the oversemigroup.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we discuss some connections between left I-orders and left Fountain–Gould orders and prove that finite left I-orders are always straight. We also provide some pertinent examples, namely an example of a left I-order which is not straight and an example of a semigroup with multiple semigroups of left I-quotients. Sect. 3 contains Theorem 3.7, in which we determine the conditions under which a semigroup *S* is a straight left I-order. In Sect. 4 we use our general result to provide characterisations of right ample and (two-sided) ample straight left I-orders that sit inside their semigroups of left I-quotients in a way that preserves their additional unary operation(s). The result for ample semigroups is particularly pleasing, and after the exigencies of Theorem 3.7 brings us to a condition reminiscent of that of Ore.

We assume familiarity with the basic notions of semigroup theory, as found in [16] and [3]. In particular, we make frequent use of Green's relations and their associated preorders. To avoid ambiguity, we may use the superscript Q to denote the semigroup in question, for example, $a \leq_{\mathcal{L}Q} b$ if and only if $Q^1 a \subseteq Q^1 b$.

2 Observations on left I-orders: straightness and uniqueness

We will make some brief observations concerning left orders (of various kinds) in inverse semigroups. Before we begin we remark that [22] gives an infinite list of necessary and sufficient conditions for embeddability of a semigroup S into an inverse



semigroup. These may be regarded as analogous to those of Mal'cev [17] for embeddability of a semigroup into a group. Clearly any left I-order must satisfy the conditions of [22]. However, our focus here is a particular kind of embedding, and we will produce a finite list of conditions to check; admittedly, this list is longer than merely cancellativity and right reversibility, which is all that is required for a semigroup to have a group of left quotients.

Straightness is not only a very useful property, but one that appears in some typical examples of left I-orders. We will find in Sect. 3 that if S is straight, we can determine equalities and products in Q using equalities and relations between elements of S. This makes straight left I-orders easier to work with than general left I-orders. Because of this, it is of interest to determine when a left I-order is straight. The following result is an important tool.

Lemma 2.1 Let S be a left I-order in Q. Then S is straight in Q if and only if S intersects every \mathcal{L} -class of Q.

Proof Let S be straight in Q, and let $q=a^{-1}b\in Q$ such that $a,b\in S$ and $a\,\mathscr{R}^Q\,b$. Then

$$q^{-1}q = b^{-1}aa^{-1}b = b^{-1}bb^{-1}b = b^{-1}b,$$

and so $b \in S \cap L_q$.

Conversely, suppose $S \cap L_q \neq \emptyset$ for all $q \in Q$. Let $q \in Q$; we know that $q = a^{-1}b$, where $a, b \in S$. Then

$$q = a^{-1}aa^{-1}bb^{-1}b = a^{-1}fb,$$

where $f=aa^{-1}bb^{-1}\in E(Q)$. Since S intersects every \mathscr{L} -class, there exists $u\in S\cap L_f$, and so $f=u^{-1}u$. Hence

$$(ua)(ua)^{-1} = uaa^{-1}u^{-1} = ufaa^{-1}u^{-1} = ufu^{-1} = uu^{-1}.$$

Similarly $(ub)(ub)^{-1} = uu^{-1}$. We can therefore write

$$q = a^{-1} f b = a^{-1} u^{-1} u b = (ua)^{-1} (ub),$$

where $ua \mathcal{R}^Q u \mathcal{R}^Q ub$. It follows that S is straight in Q.

We now briefly compare the different kinds of left orders in inverse semigroups. Observe that if an inverse monoid has trivial group of units, then it contains no proper classical left order. However, if S is a Fountain-Gould left order in an inverse semigroup Q (and many are known to exist, see, for example [7]), then S^1 is easily seen to be a Fountain-Gould left order in Q^1 . On the other hand, we have the following.

Lemma 2.2 Let S be a left Fountain–Gould order in an inverse semigroup Q. Then S is a straight left I-order in Q.



Proof Let $a \in S$. If $a^{\#}$ exists, then clearly $a^{-1} = a^{\#}$. We have that every $q \in Q$ can be written as $q = a^{\#}b$ for some $a, b \in S$. Therefore every $q \in Q$ can be written as $q = a^{-1}b$ for some $a, b \in S$.

We will now prove that S is straight in Q by proving that S intersects every \mathcal{L} -class of Q. Let $q \in Q$. We know that $q \in Q$ can be written as $q = a^\# b$ for some $a, b \in S$. We see that

$$q = a^{\sharp}b \mathcal{L}^{Q} a a^{\sharp} b = a^{\sharp} a b \mathcal{L}^{Q} a b.$$

We know that $ab \in S$ and so $ab \in L_q \cap S$. Therefore, S intersects every \mathcal{L} -class of Q. We apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain that S is straight in Q.

The converse to Lemma 2.2 is not true.

Example 2.3 Let \mathcal{B} be the bicyclic monoid, which for convenience we write as elements of $\mathbb{N}^0 \times \mathbb{N}^0$. Let S be the \mathcal{B} -class of the identity, $S = \{ (0, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^0 \}$. Certainly \mathcal{B} is an inverse semigroup and for any $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$(a, b) = (a, 0)(0, b) = (0, a)^{-1}(0, b)$$

so \mathcal{B} is a semigroup of left I-quotients of S. Since S intersects every \mathcal{L} -class of \mathcal{B} we have from Lemma 2.1 that S is straight.

The monoid \mathcal{B} has trivial subgroups, and as the only element of S that lies in a subgroup is (0, 0), it is clear that S is not a Fountain–Gould left order in \mathcal{B} .

We now show that for a wide class of inverse semigroups, all left I-orders are straight.

Theorem 2.4 Let Q be a completely semisimple semigroup with the ascending chain condition on the $\leq_{\mathscr{J}}$ -order. Then any left I-order in Q is straight.

Proof Let S be a left I-order in Q, and suppose that S is not straight, so that by Lemma 2.1, S does not intersect every \mathscr{L} -class of Q. Let J be a \mathscr{J}^Q -class maximal with respect to there being an \mathscr{L}^Q -class L in J such that $S \cap L = \emptyset$. Let $L = L_e^Q$ where $e = e^2$. Since S is a left I-order in Q, we know that there exist $a, b \in S$ such that

$$e = a^{-1}b = b^{-1}a,$$

the second statement following from the fact that e is an idempotent. Now $e \leq_{\mathcal{L}Q} a$ and as $e \neq a^{-1}a$ we have that $e <_{\mathcal{L}Q} a^{-1}a$ and then by the semisimplicity of Q that $e <_{\mathcal{J}Q} a^{-1}a$. By assumption, S intersects every \mathcal{L}^Q -class of $J_{a^{-1}a}^Q = J_a^Q$. In particular, there is a $c \in S$ such that $c^{-1}c = aa^{-1}$ and then

$$e = a^{-1}b \mathcal{L}^Q aa^{-1}b = c^{-1}cb \mathcal{L}^Q cb.$$

But $cb \in S$, a contradiction.



Corollary 2.5 *Let Q be a finite inverse semigroup. Then any left I-order in Q is straight.*

An obvious question to ask is whether every left I-order is straight. The answer to this is no, although they do seem to be difficult to find. The authors are grateful to Mark Kambites for the following example.

Example 2.6 Let X be a countably infinite set and let $Q = \mathscr{I}_X$ be the symmetric inverse monoid on X. Let S be the set of surjective elements of Q. Then S is a left I-order in Q which is not straight.

Proof We start by proving that S is a subsemigroup of Q. Let $a, b \in S$ so that im(a) = im(b) = X. We have that

$$\operatorname{im}(ab) = (\operatorname{im}(a) \cap \operatorname{dom}(b))b = (X \cap \operatorname{dom}(b))b = (\operatorname{dom}(b))b = \operatorname{im}(b) = X.$$

Therefore $ab \in S$ and so S is a subsemigroup of Q.

We now prove that S in a left I-order in Q. Let $\gamma \in Q$ with $dom(\gamma) = A$ and $im(\gamma) = B$. Let $C = X \setminus A$ and let $D = X \setminus B$. Our aim is to find $\alpha, \beta \in S$ such that $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}\beta$.

Case 1 C and D both infinite.

Split C into two disjoint infinite sets, $C = V \cup W$. We know that C, D, V, W are all countably infinite, so we can construct two bijections, $\lambda : W \to C$ and $\mu : V \to D$.

We then define $\alpha: A \dot{\cup} W \to X$ by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\lambda & \text{if } x \in W, \end{cases}$$

and $\beta: A \dot{\cup} V \to X$ by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} x\gamma & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\mu & \text{if } x \in V. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that α and β are surjective and one-to-one. We will now prove that $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}\beta$. We see that

$$dom(\alpha^{-1}\beta) = (im(\alpha^{-1}) \cap dom(\beta))\alpha$$
$$= (dom(\alpha) \cap dom(\beta))\alpha$$
$$= ((A \dot{\cup} W) \cap (A \dot{\cup} V))\alpha$$
$$= A\alpha = A = dom(\gamma).$$

and that for $x \in A$, we have $x\alpha^{-1}\beta = x\beta = x\gamma$. Therefore $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}\beta$.

Case 2 C infinite and D finite.

Split C into two disjoint sets, $C = U \dot{\cup} W$, with |U| = |D| and W infinite. We know that C and W are countably infinite, so we can construct a bijection $\lambda : W \to C$. Also since |U| = |D|, we can construct a bijection $\mu : U \to D$.



We define $\alpha: A \dot{\cup} W \to X$ by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\lambda & \text{if } x \in W, \end{cases}$$

and $\beta: A \dot{\cup} U \rightarrow X$ by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} x\gamma & \text{if } x \in A \\ x\mu & \text{if } x \in U. \end{cases}$$

In a similar way to the previous case, α and β are surjective with $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}\beta$.

Case 3 C finite and D infinite.

By considering $\gamma^{-1}: B \to A$, we can use Case 2 to write $\gamma^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}\beta$ with α, β surjective. Then $\gamma = \beta^{-1}\alpha$.

Case 4 C and D both finite.

Since C is finite, we know that $A = X \setminus C$ is countably infinite. Therefore we can construct a bijection $\beta : A \to X$. We see that

$$\operatorname{dom}(\beta\gamma^{-1}) = (\operatorname{im}(\beta) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\gamma^{-1}))\beta^{-1} = (\operatorname{im}(\beta) \cap \operatorname{im}(\gamma))\beta^{-1} = B\beta^{-1}.$$

Note that $B\beta^{-1} \subseteq A$, and so $B\beta^{-1}$ is disjoint with C. We define $\alpha : C \cup B\beta^{-1} \to X$ by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in C \\ x(\beta \gamma^{-1}) & \text{if } x \in B\beta^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

We firstly prove that α is surjective. We see that α is a piecewise composition of two functions. The first piece has image C. We calculate the image of the second piece as follows.

$$\operatorname{im}(\beta\gamma^{-1}) = (\operatorname{im}(\beta) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\gamma^{-1}))\gamma^{-1} = (\operatorname{im}(\beta) \cap \operatorname{im}(\gamma))\gamma^{-1} = B\gamma^{-1} = A.$$

Therefore $\operatorname{im}(\alpha) = A \dot{\cup} C = X$. Note that since the domains and images of the two pieces of α are disjoint and both pieces are one-to-one, it follows that α is one-to-one.

We will now prove that $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}\beta$. We see that

$$dom(\alpha^{-1}\beta) = (im(\alpha^{-1}) \cap dom(\beta))\alpha$$

$$= (dom(\alpha) \cap dom(\beta))\alpha$$

$$= ((C \dot{\cup} B\beta^{-1}) \cap A)\alpha$$

$$= (B\beta^{-1})\alpha = im(\beta\gamma^{-1}) = A = dom(\gamma),$$

and that for $x \in A$ we have

$$x\alpha^{-1}\beta = x(\beta\gamma^{-1})^{-1}\beta = x\gamma\beta^{-1}\beta = x\gamma.$$

Therefore $\gamma = \alpha^{-1}\beta$.

We have now proved that S is a left I-order in Q. We know that S is not straight in Q because it does not intersect every \mathcal{L} -class of Q. Indeed, although Q has an infinite number of \mathcal{L} -classes, one for each subset of X, S is contained completely within the largest one.

Another natural question to ask is whether a given semigroup has at most one semigroup of left I-quotients. The answer to this is no, as we show in the following example.

Example 2.7 Sect. 4 of [13] provides an example of a semigroup with two non-isomorphic Clifford semigroups of left Fountain–Gould quotients. For Q, a Clifford semigroup, we know that every element lies in a subgroup. Consequently, for every $a \in Q$, $a^{\#}$ exists and $a^{-1} = a^{\#}$. We can therefore see that a semigroup S is a left I-order in Q if and only if S is a left Fountain–Gould order in Q.

We end this section by posing the following question: is every left I-order in a proper inverse semigroup straight?

3 The general case

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 3.7, which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a semigroup S to be a straight left I-order. Our first lemma follows immediately from the fact that in an inverse semigroup Q we have $Qe \cap Qf = Qef$ for any $e, f \in E(Q)$ so that the poset Q/\mathcal{L} is order isomorphic to the semilattice of idempotents under the natural partial order.

Lemma 3.1 Let Q be an inverse semigroup. Then Q/\mathcal{L} is a meet semilattice with $L_a \wedge L_b = L_c$ if and only if $c^{-1}c = a^{-1}ab^{-1}b$.

Assume now that S has a semigroup of straight left I-quotients Q. We aim to identify properties of S inherited from Q with the eventual goal of reconstructing Q from these properties.

By definition, every element in Q can be written as $a^{-1}b$, where $a, b \in S$ and $a \mathcal{R}^Q b$. Therefore, we can reconstruct Q as ordered pairs of elements of S under an equivalence relation:

$$Q \cong \{(a,b) \mid a,b \in S, a \mathcal{R}^Q b\}/\sim$$

where

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d)$$
 if and only if $a^{-1}b = c^{-1}d$ in Q .

This relation has already been determined by Ghroda and Gould.



Lemma 3.2 [11, Lemma 2.7] Let S be a straight left I-order in Q. Let $a, b, c, d \in S$ with $a \mathcal{R}^Q b$ and $c \mathcal{R}^Q d$. Then $a^{-1}b = c^{-1}d$ in Q if and only if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = yc$$
, $xb = yd$, $x \mathcal{R}^Q y$, $x^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q a$ and $y^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q c$.

However, we wish to be able to express the conditions in Lemma 3.2 entirely in terms of elements of S. We remind the reader that in an inverse semigroup Q, we have that $x \mathcal{R}^Q y$ if and only if $x^{-1} \mathcal{L}^Q y^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.3 Let Q be an inverse semigroup and let $x, a \in Q$. Then

$$x^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{Q}$$
 a if and only if $x \mathcal{R}^{Q} xa \mathcal{L}^{Q} a$.

Proof Let $x^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q a$. Using the fact that \mathcal{R}^Q is a left congruence, this implies

$$xa \mathcal{R}^Q xx^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q x$$
.

We know that $x^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q a$ implies that $x \mathcal{L}^Q a^{-1}$. Therefore, using the fact that \mathcal{L}^Q is a right congruence, we also have

$$xa \mathcal{L}^Q a^{-1}a \mathcal{L}^Q a$$
.

Conversely, let $xa \in R_x \cap L_a$. By [16, Prop. 2.3.7], we have that $L_x \cap R_a$ contains an idempotent, e.



Then, as
$$x \mathcal{L}^Q e$$
, we have $x^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q e^{-1} = e \mathcal{R}^Q a$.

We now rewrite Lemma 3.2 in terms of relations restricted to S.

Lemma 3.4 Let S be a straight left I-order in Q. Let $a, b, c, d \in S$ with a \mathcal{R}^Q b and $c \mathcal{R}^Q$ d. Then $a^{-1}b = c^{-1}d$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = yc$$
, $xb = yd$, $x \mathcal{R}^Q xa \mathcal{L}^Q a$ and $y \mathcal{R}^Q yc \mathcal{L}^Q c$.

Note that since xa = yc, the conditions imply that $x \mathcal{R}^Q y$ and $a \mathcal{L}^Q c$.

The conditions given in Lemma 3.4 will determine our \sim .

The next thing to address is multiplication in Q. We note that for every $b, c \in S$, $bc^{-1} \in Q$ and therefore, since Q is a semigroup of straight left I-quotients of S, there exist $u, v \in S$ with $u \mathcal{R}^Q v$, such that $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$ in Q. Therefore, multiplication on Q must be given by $a^{-1}bc^{-1}d = (ua)^{-1}(vd)$, where $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$ in Q. In the



same way as we need to internalise to S the condition that $a^{-1}b = c^{-1}d$ in Q, we need to be able to express the equality $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$ solely in terms of elements of S. We first quote a result from [11].

Lemma 3.5 [11, Lemma 2.6] Let b, c, u, v be elements of an inverse semigroup Q such that $u \mathcal{R}^Q v$. If $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$, then ub = vc.

Lemma 3.6 Let Q be an inverse semigroup and let $b, c, u, v \in Q$ such that $u \mathcal{R}^Q v$. Then $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$ in Q if and only if

$$ub = vc$$
, $v \mathcal{R}^Q vc$ and $L_b^Q \wedge L_c^Q = L_{ub}^Q$.

Proof Let $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$. By Lemma 3.5, we have ub = vc. Since $u \mathcal{R}^Q v$, we know that $uu^{-1} = vv^{-1}$. Therefore, using $u^{-1}v = bc^{-1}$ and ub = vc, we have

$$v = vv^{-1}v = uu^{-1}v = ubc^{-1} = vcc^{-1}$$

and therefore $v \mathcal{R}^Q vc$. Finally, again using $bc^{-1} = u^{-1}v$ and ub = vc, we have

$$b^{-1}bc^{-1}c = b^{-1}u^{-1}vc = b^{-1}u^{-1}ub = (ub)^{-1}(ub).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $L_b^Q \wedge L_c^Q = L_{ub}^Q$. Conversely, let

$$ub = vc$$
, $v \mathcal{R}^Q vc$ and $L_h^Q \wedge L_c^Q = L_{uh}^Q$.

Since Q is an inverse semigroup $v \mathcal{R}^Q vc$ implies that $vv^{-1} = vcc^{-1}v^{-1}$, and so $v = vcc^{-1}$. Using this along with vc = ub, we have

$$u^{-1}v = u^{-1}vcc^{-1} = u^{-1}ubc^{-1} = u^{-1}ubb^{-1}bc^{-1} = bb^{-1}u^{-1}ubc^{-1},$$
 (1)

using the fact that idempotents commute in the last equality. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $L_b^Q \wedge L_c^Q = L_{ub}^Q$ implies that $b^{-1}bc^{-1}c = (ub)^{-1}(ub)$. Therefore

$$bb^{-1}u^{-1}ubc^{-1} = b(ub)^{-1}(ub)c^{-1} = bb^{-1}bc^{-1}cc^{-1} = bc^{-1}.$$
 (2)

Putting Equations (1) and (2) together, we obtain
$$u^{-1}v = bc^{-1}$$
.

We now introduce the notation used in Theorem 3.7. The relation \mathscr{R}^* is defined on a semigroup S by the rule that $a \mathscr{R}^* b$ if and only $a \mathscr{R} b$ in some oversemigroup of S. According to Lemma 1.7 of [18], $a \mathscr{R}^* b$ is equivalent to the condition that xa = ya if and only if xb = yb for all $x, y \in S^1$. By considering the right regular embedding of S as a subsemigroup of \mathscr{T}_{S^1} , it is easy to see that $a \mathscr{R}^* b$ if and only if $a \mathscr{R} b$ in \mathscr{T}_{S^1} . The relation \mathscr{R}^* will always refer to S. For a given preorder \leq_l on S we will use \mathscr{L}' to denote the associated equivalence relation and L'_a to denote the \mathscr{L}' -class of a. We use \wedge to denote the meet of \mathscr{L}' -classes with respect to the partial order induced by \leq_l ,



where these meets exist. We state our theorem making use of Greek letters, which will aid in applicability and reference, when we will use Roman letters for fixed elements of our semigroup.

Theorem 3.7 Let S be a semigroup and let \mathcal{R}' and \leq_l be binary relations on S. Then S has a semigroup of straight left I-quotients Q such that

$$\mathscr{R}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathscr{R}' \text{ and } \leq \varphi_Q \cap (S \times S) = \leq_l$$

if and only if \mathcal{R}' is a left compatible equivalence relation; \leq_l is a preorder such that the \mathcal{L}' -classes form a meet semilattice under the associated partial order; and S satisfies Conditions (M1)–(M6).

(M1) For all $\alpha, \beta \in S$, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in S$ such that

$$\gamma \, \mathscr{R}' \, \delta \, \mathscr{R}' \, \delta \beta = \gamma \alpha \, \text{ and } \, L'_{\alpha} \, \wedge \, L'_{\beta} = L'_{\gamma \alpha}.$$

(M2) Right multiplication distributes over meet, that is, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in S$,

$$L'_{\alpha} \wedge L'_{\beta} = L'_{\gamma}$$
 implies that $L'_{\alpha\delta} \wedge L'_{\beta\delta} = L'_{\gamma\delta}$.

- (M3) For all $\alpha, \beta \in S$, we have that $\alpha\beta <_l \beta$.
- (M4) $\mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{R}^*$.
- (M5) Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in S$ such that $\gamma \mathcal{R}' \gamma \alpha \mathcal{L}' \alpha$ and $\delta \mathcal{R}' \delta \beta \mathcal{L}' \beta$. Then $\gamma \mathcal{L}' \delta$ if and only if $\alpha \mathcal{R}' \beta$.
- (M6) For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S$, $\alpha \mathcal{L}' \beta \mathcal{L}' \gamma \alpha = \gamma \beta$ implies that $\alpha = \beta$.

We start the proof of Theorem 3.7 by with the forward implication. We assume that S has a semigroup of straight left I-quotients, Q, and we put $\mathcal{R}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathcal{R}'$, $\mathcal{L}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathcal{L}'$ and $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^Q} \cap (S \times S) = \leq_l$. From knowledge of Green's relations, we know that \mathcal{R}' is a left congruence on S, and that \leq_l is a preorder on S with the associated equivalence relation, \mathcal{L}' . Using Lemma 3.1, we know that Q/\mathcal{L}^Q forms a meet semilattice under $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^Q}$. Since S intersects every \mathcal{L}^Q -class, this means that S/\mathcal{L}' forms a meet semilattice under \leq_l . We now prove that Properties (M1)–(M6) hold.

- (M1) Let $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Then $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ and so, by closure under taking of inverses and multiplication, $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in Q$. Since Q is a semigroup of straight left I-quotients of S, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in S$ such that $\alpha\beta^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}\delta$ with $\gamma \mathcal{R}' \delta$. Lemma 3.6 then gives the result.
- (M2) Since Q is an inverse semigroup, we can use Lemma 3.1 to give us that $L'_{\alpha} \wedge L'_{\beta} = L'_{\gamma}$ is equivalent to $\alpha^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\beta = \gamma^{-1}\gamma$. Therefore

$$(\alpha\delta)^{-1}(\alpha\delta)(\beta\delta)^{-1}(\beta\delta) = \delta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\delta\delta^{-1}\beta^{-1}\beta\delta$$
$$= \delta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}\beta\delta$$
$$= \delta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\gamma\delta$$
$$= (\gamma\delta)^{-1}(\gamma\delta).$$



Using Lemma 3.1 again, $L'_{\alpha\delta} \wedge L'_{\beta\delta} = L'_{\gamma\delta}$.

- (M3) This is true in any semigroup, since $Q^1 \alpha \beta \subseteq Q^1 \beta$.
- (M4) Since $\mathscr{R}' = \mathscr{R}^Q \cap (S \times S)$ and Q is an oversemigroup of S, then, by definition, $\alpha \mathscr{R}' \beta$ implies that $\alpha \mathscr{R}^* \beta$.
- (M5) By Lemma 3.3, in an inverse semigroup we have that $\gamma \mathcal{R}' \gamma \alpha \mathcal{L}' \alpha$ implies that $\gamma^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q \alpha$, and similarly $\delta \mathcal{R}' \delta \beta \mathcal{L}' \beta$ implies that $\delta^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q \beta$. Then $\alpha \mathcal{R}' \beta$ implies that $\gamma^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q \alpha \mathcal{R}^Q \beta \mathcal{R}^Q \delta^{-1}$. We know that $\gamma^{-1} \mathcal{R}^Q \delta^{-1}$ implies that $\gamma \mathcal{L}^Q \delta$, and so $\gamma \mathcal{L}' \delta$. The converse is similar.
- (M6) Since α and γ are elements in an inverse semigroup, $\alpha \mathcal{L}' \gamma \alpha$ if and only if $\alpha = \gamma^{-1} \gamma \alpha$. Similarly, $\beta \mathcal{L}' \gamma \beta$ if and only if $\beta = \gamma^{-1} \gamma \beta$. Therefore, $\gamma \alpha = \gamma \beta$ together with $\alpha \mathcal{L}' \gamma \alpha$ and $\beta \mathcal{L}' \gamma \beta$, implies that

$$\alpha = \gamma^{-1} \gamma \alpha = \gamma^{-1} \gamma \beta = \beta.$$

This proves the forward implication of Theorem 3.7. Note that in the above we only required that S is embedded in Q to obtain (M2)–(M6); for (M1) we required S to be a straight left I-order.

We now prove the converse. This will consist of proving that the following construction, P, yields a semigroup of straight left I-quotients of S, with $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}^P \cap (S \times S)$ and $\leq_l = \leq_{\mathcal{L}^P} \cap (S \times S)$. For the convenience of the reader, we now set up the 'roadmap' for the proof.

Roadmap 3.8 Let S be a semigroup with \mathscr{R}', \leq_l and \mathscr{L}' satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.7. Note that by considering (M2) with $\alpha = \gamma$, we see that \leq_l is right compatible. Therefore, since \mathscr{L}' is an equivalence relation associated with a right compatible preorder, \mathscr{L}' is a right congruence.

We begin by defining

$$\Sigma = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a \mathcal{R}' b\}.$$

We then define an equivalence relation \sim on Σ , by

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d)$$

if and only if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = yc$$
, $xb = yd$, $x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' yc \mathcal{L}' c$.

Note that $x \mathcal{R}' y$ and $a \mathcal{L}' c$ as a consequence.

We show that this is an equivalence relation in Lemma 3.10. Using [a, b] to denote the equivalence class of (a, b) under \sim we then define $P = \Sigma / \sim$ and multiplication on P via the following rule:

$$[a,b][c,d] = [ua,vd]$$
 where $u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vc = ub$ and $L_b' \wedge L_c' = L_{ub}'$.



Note that such a u and v exist in S by (M1). We show that P is a semigroup in Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.12 and an inverse semigroup in Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15.

The next step is to show that S embeds into P, by defining $\phi: S \to P$ by $a\phi = [x, xa]$, where x is an element in S such that $x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a$. The existence of such an x is a consequence of (M1) proved in Lemma 3.9. We will prove that this function is an embedding in Lemma 3.16.

We show that the restriction of \mathscr{R}^P to $(S \times S)$ is \mathscr{R}' in Lemma 3.17, and that the restriction of $\leq_{\mathscr{L}^P}$ to $(S \times S)$ is \leq_l in Lemma 3.18. Finally, we verify that P is a semigroup of straight left I-quotients of $S\phi$ in Lemma 3.19.

Now that we have set up the 'roadmap', the rest of the section will be the 'road trip'. The properties in Theorem 3.7 will be used extensively, so the reader might prefer to have the list of properties in front of them whilst reading. For the remaining results in this section, S, \mathscr{R}' , \leq_l and \mathscr{L}' are as described in the conditions of Theorem 3.7, and Σ , \sim , P and ϕ are as described in Roadmap 3.8.

The following technical lemma will provide a few shortcuts as we proceed with the main proof.

Lemma 3.9 (i) For all $a \in S$, there exists an $x \in S$ such that $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$.

- (ii) For all $a, b, x \in S$, $x \mathcal{R}'$ $xa \mathcal{L}'$ a and $a \mathcal{R}'$ b together imply that $x \mathcal{R}'$ $xb \mathcal{L}'$ b.
- (iii) For all $x, a \in S$, we have $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{a} = L'_{xa}$.
- (iv) For all $a, b, x, y \in S$, $a \mathcal{R}' \tilde{b}$ and $xa \mathcal{L}' \overset{\sim}{ya}$ together imply that $xb \mathcal{L}' yb$.

Proof (i) By applying (M1) with $\alpha = \beta = a$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $x \mathcal{R}' x a$ and $L'_{xa} = L'_a \wedge L'_a = L'_a$.

- (ii) Let $a, b, x \in S$ such that $a \mathcal{R}' b$ and $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$. Using the fact that \mathcal{R}' is a left congruence, $b \mathcal{R}' a$ implies that $x b \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{R}' x$. By (i), there exists $y \in S$ such that $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$. We can then use (M5), to see that $a \mathcal{R}' b$ implies that $x \mathcal{L}' y$. Therefore, using the fact that \mathcal{L}' is a right congruence, $x b \mathcal{L}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$.
- (iii) Let $x, a \in S$. By (M3), we know that $xa \le_l a$, Therefore, by the definition of meet, we have that $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{a} = L'_{xa}$.
- (iv) Applying (M1) to xa and ya, there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z y a = w x a$$
 and $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{ya} = L'_{wxa}$.

Since $xa \mathcal{L}' ya$, this gives us

$$w \mathcal{R}' wxa \mathcal{L}' xa$$
 and $z \mathcal{R}' zya \mathcal{L}' ya$.

Using the fact that \mathscr{R}' is a left congruence, we have that $a\mathscr{R}'$ b implies that both $xa\mathscr{R}'$ xb and $ya\mathscr{R}'$ yb. Therefore we can apply (ii) to both of the above equations to get

$$w \mathcal{R}' wxb \mathcal{L}' xb$$
 and $z \mathcal{R}' zyb \mathcal{L}' yb$.

Also we can apply (M4) to wxa = zya to get wxb = zyb. Therefore $xb \mathcal{L}' wxb = zyb \mathcal{L}' yb$.



Lemma 3.10 *The relation* \sim *is an equivalence relation on* Σ *.*

Proof We check the required properties in turn.

Reflexivity Let $(a, b) \in \Sigma$. By definition, $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$ if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = ya$$
, $xb = yb$, $x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' ya \mathcal{L}' a$.

By Lemma 3.9 (i), there is an $x \in S$ such that $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$. Then take y = x to get reflexivity.

Symmetry Let $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$. By definition there must exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = yc$$
, $xb = yd$, $x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' yc \mathcal{L}' c$,

By switching the roles of x and y, we can immediately see that $(c, d) \sim (a, b)$.

Transitivity Let $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$. Therefore there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = yc, xb = yd, x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a \text{ and } y \mathcal{R}' yc \mathcal{L}' c.$$
 (3)

Suppose also that $(c, d) \sim (e, f)$. Then there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wc = ze, wd = zf, w \mathcal{R}' wc \mathcal{L}' c \text{ and } z \mathcal{R}' ze \mathcal{L}' e.$$
 (4)

We need $(a, b) \sim (e, f)$. That is, we need $X, Y \in S$ such that

$$Xa = Ye, Xb = Yf, X \mathcal{R}' Xa \mathcal{L}' a \text{ and } Y \mathcal{R}' Ye \mathcal{L}' e.$$
 (5)

We apply Property (M1) to y and w, to obtain $h, k \in S$ such that

$$h \mathcal{R}' k \mathcal{R}' k w = h y \text{ and } L'_{y} \wedge L'_{w} = L'_{h y}.$$
 (6)

We then take X = hx and Y = kz to give us that

$$Xa = hxa = hyc = kwc = kze = Ye$$

 $Xb = hxb = hyd = kwd = kzf = Yf$,

using (3), (6) and (4). Also, since \mathcal{R}' is a left congruence,

$$x \mathcal{R}' xa \implies X = hx \mathcal{R}' hxa = Xa$$
 and $z \mathcal{R}' ze \implies Y = kz \mathcal{R}' kze = Ye$.

Using Property (M2) we have that (6) implies that $L'_{yc} \wedge L'_{wc} = L'_{hyc}$. Hence, using xa = yc from (3) and $wc \mathcal{L}'c$ from (4), we have $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_c = L'_{hxa}$. We can then use (3) to give us $a \mathcal{L}'xa = yc \mathcal{L}'c$, and so $L'_a \wedge L'_a = L'_{hxa}$. Therefore $Xa \mathcal{L}'a$.



The last relation needed can be obtained similarly or achieved more directly by noticing that

$$e \mathcal{L}' c \mathcal{L}' a \mathcal{L}' Xa = Ye.$$

Lemma 3.11 *Multiplication in P is well-defined.*

Proof Let $[a, b], [c, d] \in P$. From Roadmap 3.8, we have that

$$[a, b][c, d] = [ua, vd],$$

where $u, v \in S$ are the elements that exist by (M1) such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vc = ub$$
 and $L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{ub}$.

We need to show that the product, [a, b][c, d], depends neither upon the choice of representative for the equivalence class, nor the choice of u and v appearing in the rule for multiplication. We start with the choice of u and v.

Choice of u and v: Let

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vc = ub \text{ and } L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{ub},$$
 (7)

so that [a, b][c, d] = [ua, vd]. Also let

$$s \, \mathscr{R}' \, t \, \mathscr{R}' \, tc = sb \text{ and } L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{sb},$$
 (8)

so that [a, b][c, d] = [sa, td]. We show that $(ua, vd) \sim (sa, td)$, which is true exactly if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wua = zsa, \ wvd = ztd, \ w \mathcal{R}' wua \mathcal{L}' ua \text{ and } z \mathcal{R}' zsa \mathcal{L}' sa.$$
 (9)

Applying Property (M1) to ua and sa, let w and z be elements such that

$$w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' zsa = wua \text{ and } L'_{ua} \wedge L'_{sa} = L'_{wua}.$$
 (10)

Using the fact that $a \mathcal{R}' b$, we see that

$$wua = zsa \stackrel{(M4)}{\Longrightarrow} wub = zsb.$$

Then, as ub = vc and tc = sb from (7) and (8), this gives us wvc = ztc. We then use $c \mathcal{R}' d$ to obtain

$$wvc = ztc \stackrel{(M4)}{\Longrightarrow} wvd = ztd.$$

From (7) and (8), we also see that

$$L'_{ub} = L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{sb} \implies ub \mathcal{L}'sb,$$



which, together with $a \mathcal{R}' b$, implies that $ua \mathcal{L}' sa$ by Lemma 3.9 (iv). Using the definition of \wedge , along with (9), we then have

$$L'_{ua} = L'_{sa} = L'_{ua} \wedge L'_{sa} = L'_{wua} = L'_{zsa}.$$

This gives us the required properties for $(ua, vd) \sim (sa, td)$.

First Variable Let $(a, b) \sim (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$. Therefore there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xa = y\tilde{a}, xb = y\tilde{b}, x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a \text{ and } y \mathcal{R}' y\tilde{a} \mathcal{L}' \tilde{a}.$$
 (11)

In order to show well-definedness in the first variable, we need that for all $[c, d] \in P$, $[a, b][c, d] = [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}][c, d]$. With that goal in mind, we apply (M1) to b and c, to get that there exist $u, v \in S$ such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vc = ub \text{ and } L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{ub}. \tag{12}$$

Therefore [a, b][c, d] = [ua, vd].

Our aim is to first find elements \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} which witness $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}][c, d] = [\tilde{u}\tilde{a}, \tilde{v}d]$. We will then prove that $(ua, vd) \sim (\tilde{u}\tilde{a}, \tilde{v}d)$. Of course, we could use (M1) applied to \tilde{b} and c, but for our purposes we need to be more careful.

Applying Property (M1) to u and x, we know that there exist $s, t \in S$ such that

$$s \mathcal{R}' t \mathcal{R}' t u = s x$$
 and $L'_x \wedge L'_y = L'_{s x}$. (13)

We take $\tilde{u} = sy$ and $\tilde{v} = tv$.

We want to prove that $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}][c, d] = [\tilde{u}\tilde{a}, \tilde{v}d]$. To prove this, it is sufficient that $\tilde{u} \, \mathscr{R}' \, \tilde{v} \, \mathscr{R}' \, \tilde{v}c = \tilde{u}\tilde{b}$ and that $L'_{\tilde{b}} \wedge L'_{c} = L'_{\tilde{u}\tilde{b}}$. Substituting letters and rewriting, we need to prove that

$$sy \, \mathscr{R}' \, tv \, \mathscr{R}' \, tvc = sy\tilde{b} \tag{14}$$

and

$$L'_{\tilde{b}} \wedge L'_{c} = L'_{s \nu \tilde{b}}. \tag{15}$$

We start by proving each relation in Equation (14) in turn:

We know that $y \mathcal{R}' x$ from (11) and $u \mathcal{R}' v$ from (12). Using the fact that \mathcal{R}' is a left congruence, $y \mathcal{R}' x$ and $u \mathcal{R}' v$ imply that $sy \mathcal{R}' sx$ and $tu \mathcal{R}' tv$ respectively. Then, as sx = tu from (13), this gives us that $sy \mathcal{R}' tv$. Using left compatibility of \mathcal{R}' again, $v \mathcal{R}' vc$ from (12) implies that $tv \mathcal{R}' tvc$. Also, using vc = ub, tu = sx, $xb = y\tilde{b}$, we get

$$tvc = tub = sxb = sy\tilde{b}. (16)$$

We now verify that Equation (15) holds. We can use (M2) to give us

$$L'_x \wedge L'_u = L'_{sx} \implies L'_{xb} \wedge L'_{ub} = L'_{sxb}.$$



Using Lemma 3.9 (ii) we have that $a \mathcal{R}' b$ implies that $x \mathcal{R}' x b \mathcal{L}' b$. Using $x b \mathcal{L}' b$ and $L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{ub}$, we have

$$L_b' \wedge (L_b' \wedge L_c') = L_{sxb}'.$$

Therefore

$$L'_b \wedge L'_c = (L'_b \wedge L'_b) \wedge L'_c = L'_{sxb}.$$
 (17)

We have that $\tilde{a} \mathcal{R}' \tilde{b}$, so that together with $y \mathcal{R}' y \tilde{a} \mathcal{L}' \tilde{a}$ from (11) we may apply Lemma 3.9 (ii) again to obtain $y \mathcal{R}' y \tilde{b} \mathcal{L}' \tilde{b}$. Using (11) we now have $b \mathcal{L}' x b = y \tilde{b} \mathcal{L}' \tilde{b}$. Using this together with $xb = y \tilde{b}$ and (17), we have

$$L'_{\tilde{b}} \wedge L'_{c} = L'_{sy\tilde{b}},$$

which is (15). Therefore $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}][c, d] = [sy\tilde{a}, tvd]$.

Using $xa = y\tilde{a}$ from (11), this also means that $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}][c, d] = [sxa, tvd]$. Therefore, in order to have well-definedness in the first variable, one needs $(ua, vd) \sim (sxa, tvd)$. This is true exactly if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

wua = zsxa, wvd = ztvd, $w\mathcal{R}'wua\mathcal{L}'ua$ and $z\mathcal{R}'zsxa\mathcal{L}'sxa$.

Applying Property (M1) to ua and sxa, take w and z to be elements in S such that

$$w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z s x a = w u a \text{ and } L'_{ua} \wedge L'_{sxa} = L'_{wua}.$$
 (18)

Since $a \mathcal{R}' b$, we know that wua = zsxa implies wub = zsxb by (M4). We then use sxb = tvc from (16) and ub = vc from (12) to obtain wvc = ztvc. And therefore, using (M4) again, $c \mathcal{R}' d$ implies that wvd = ztvd.

Using Property (M2), $L'_x \wedge L'_u = L'_{sx}$ implies that $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{ua} = L'_{sxa}$. We then use $xa \mathcal{L}'a$ from (11) and Lemma 3.9 (iii), to get

$$L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{ua} = L'_{sxa} \implies L'_{a} \wedge L'_{ua} = L'_{sxa} \implies L'_{ua} = L'_{sxa}.$$

We then compare this with $L'_{ua} \wedge L'_{sxa} = L'_{wua}$ from (18) to obtain $L'_{ua} = L'_{wua}$. Lastly, $sxa \mathcal{L}' ua \mathcal{L}' wua = zsxa$. Altogether, this proves that

$$(ua, vd) \sim (sxa, tvd) = (\tilde{u}\tilde{a}, \tilde{v}d).$$

Second Variable Let $(c, d) \sim (\tilde{c}, \tilde{d})$. Therefore there exist $x, y \in S$ such that

$$xc = y\tilde{c}, xd = y\tilde{d}, x \mathcal{R}' xc \mathcal{L}' c \text{ and } y \mathcal{R}' y\tilde{c} \mathcal{L}' \tilde{c}.$$
 (19)

In order to show well-definedness in the second variable, we need that for all $[a, b] \in P$ we have the equality $[a, b][c, d] = [a, b][\tilde{c}, \tilde{d}]$. With that goal in mind, given $[a, b] \in P$



P, we apply (M1) to b and c, to get that there exists $u, v \in S$ such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vc = ub \text{ and } L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{ub},$$
 (20)

so that [a, b][c, d] = [ua, vd].

Our aim is to find elements \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} which witness $[a, b][\tilde{c}, \tilde{d}] = [\tilde{u}a, \tilde{v}\tilde{d}]$. We will then prove that $(ua, vd) \sim (\tilde{u}a, \tilde{v}\tilde{d})$.

Applying Property (M1) to v and x, we know that there exist $p, q \in S$ such that

$$p \mathcal{R}' q \mathcal{R}' q x = p v \text{ and } L'_v \wedge L'_x = L'_{nv}. \tag{21}$$

We take $\tilde{u} = pu$ and $\tilde{v} = qy$.

We want to prove that $[a, b][\tilde{c}, \tilde{d}] = [\tilde{u}a, \tilde{v}\tilde{d}]$. To prove this, it is sufficient that

$$\tilde{u} \, \mathscr{R}' \, \tilde{v} \, \mathscr{R}' \, \tilde{v} \tilde{c} = \tilde{u} b \text{ and } L'_b \wedge L'_{\tilde{c}} = L'_{\tilde{u}b}.$$
 (22)

Rewriting this, we need to prove that

$$pu\,\mathcal{R}'\,qy\,\mathcal{R}'\,qy\tilde{c} = pub \tag{23}$$

and

$$L_b' \wedge L_{\tilde{c}}' = L_{pub}'. \tag{24}$$

We start by proving each relation in Equation (23) in turn

We know that $u\mathscr{R}'v$ from (20) and that $x\mathscr{R}'y$ from (19). Using the fact that \mathscr{R}' is a left congruence, $u\mathscr{R}'v$ and $x\mathscr{R}'y$ imply that $pu\mathscr{R}'pv$ and $qx\mathscr{R}'qy$ respectively. Then, since pv=qx from (21), this gives us that $pu\mathscr{R}'qy$. Using left compatibility of \mathscr{R}' again, $u\mathscr{R}'ub$ from (20) implies that $pu\mathscr{R}'pub$. Also, using ub=vc from (20), pv=qx from (21), and $xc=y\tilde{c}$ from (19), we get

$$pub = pvc = qxc = qy\tilde{c}. (25)$$

We now prove Equation (24). Using (M2) applied to (21), we have

$$L'_v \wedge L'_x = L'_{pv} \implies L'_{vc} \wedge L'_{xc} = L'_{pvc}.$$

We use $L_b' \wedge L_c' = L_{vc}'$ from (20) and $xc \mathcal{L}'c$ from (19) to get

$$(L_b' \wedge L_c') \wedge L_c' = L_{pvc}'.$$

Therefore

$$L_b' \wedge L_c' = L_b' \wedge (L_c' \wedge L_c') = L_{pvc}'.$$



We apply $c \mathcal{L}' xc = y\tilde{c} \mathcal{L}' \tilde{c}$ from (19) and ub = vc from (20) to get

$$L_b' \wedge L_{\tilde{c}}' = L_{pub}'.$$

This concludes the verification of Equations (23) and (24), so that using (19)

$$[a,b][\tilde{c},\tilde{d}] = [pua,qy\tilde{d}] = [pua,qxd].$$

Therefore, in order to have well-definedness in the second variable, one needs $(ua, vd) \sim (pua, qxd)$. This is true exactly if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wua = zpua$$
, $wvd = zqxd$, $w\mathcal{R}'$ $wua\mathcal{L}'$ ua and $z\mathcal{R}'$ $zpua\mathcal{L}'$ pua .

Applying Property (M1) to ua and pua, take w and z to be elements in S such that $w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z pua = wua$ and $L'_{ua} \wedge L'_{pua} = L'_{wua}$. We use pub = qxc from (25) and ub = vc from (20), along with $a \mathcal{R}' b$ and $c \mathcal{R}' d$, to obtain

$$wua = zpua \stackrel{(M4)}{\Longrightarrow} wub = zpub \implies wvc = zqxc \stackrel{(M4)}{\Longrightarrow} wvd = zqxd.$$

Using Property (M2), $L'_v \wedge L'_x = L'_{pv}$ implies that $L'_{vc} \wedge L'_{xc} = L'_{pvc}$. We then use $xc \mathcal{L}'c$ from (19) and Lemma 3.9 (iii), to get

$$L'_{vc} \wedge L'_{xc} = L'_{pvc} \implies L'_{vc} \wedge L'_{c} = L'_{pvc} \implies L'_{vc} = L'_{pvc} \implies L'_{ub} = L'_{pub}.$$

We apply Lemma 3.9 (iv) to $ub \mathcal{L}'$ pub and $a \mathcal{R}' b$ to obtain $ua \mathcal{L}'$ pua. Therefore $L'_{wua} = L'_{ua} \wedge L'_{pua} = L'_{ua}$. Finally, $pua \mathcal{L}' ua \mathcal{L}' wua = zpua$, which gives us all the necessary conditions for $(ua, vd) \sim (pua, qxd) = (\tilde{u}a, \tilde{v}\tilde{d})$.

Note that by using well-definedness in the first variable and well-definedness in the second variable together, we can see that for $(a,b) \sim (\tilde{a},\tilde{b})$ and $(c,d) \sim (\tilde{c},\tilde{d})$, we get

$$(a,b)(c,d) \sim (\tilde{a},\tilde{b})(c,d) \sim (\tilde{a},\tilde{b})(\tilde{c},\tilde{d}).$$

Therefore, by transitivity, this multiplication is well-defined.

Lemma 3.12 *Multiplication in P is associative.*

Proof Let $[a, b], [c, d], [e, f] \in P$.

Applying Property (M1) to b and c, we choose $u, v \in S$ satisfying

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vc = ub$$
 and $L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{ub}$. (26)

This gives us that [a, b][c, d] = [ua, vd]. Similarly, we choose $p, q \in S$ satisfying

$$p \mathcal{R}' q \mathcal{R}' q e = pd \text{ and } L'_d \wedge L'_e = L'_{pd}.$$
 (27)



Then [c, d][e, f] = [pc, qf].

Applying Property (M1) to v and p, we know that there exist $i, j \in S$ such that

$$i \mathcal{R}' j \mathcal{R}' jp = iv \text{ and } L'_v \wedge L'_p = L'_{iv}.$$
 (28)

We want to prove that

$$([a, b][c, d])[e, f] = [ua, vd][e, f] = [i(ua), (jq)f],$$
(29)

and that

$$[a,b]([c,d][e,f]) = [a,b][pc,qf] = [(iu)a, j(qf)].$$
(30)

This would prove associativity.

In order to prove (29), we need

$$i\,\mathscr{R}'\,jq\,\mathscr{R}'\,jqe = ivd\tag{31}$$

and

$$L'_{vd} \wedge L'_{e} = L'_{ivd}. \tag{32}$$

We start by proving each relation in Equation (31).

Since \mathscr{R}' is a left congruence, $q \mathscr{R}' p$ implies that $jq \mathscr{R}' jp$, which in turn is \mathscr{R}' -related to i. Using again the left compatibility of \mathscr{R}' , we see that $q \mathscr{R}' qe$ implies that $jq \mathscr{R}' jqe$. Also, using qe = pd and jp = iv, we see that jqe = jpd = ivd.

We now prove Equation (32). We apply (M2) to $L'_v \wedge L'_p = L'_{iv}$ to give us that $L'_{vd} \wedge L'_{pd} = L'_{ivd}$. And so, using $L'_d \wedge L'_e = L'_{pd}$ and Lemma 3.9 (iii), we have

$$\begin{split} L'_{vd} \, \wedge \, L'_{pd} &= L'_{ivd} \implies L'_{vd} \, \wedge \, (L'_d \, \wedge \, L'_e) = L'_{ivd} \\ &\implies (L'_{vd} \, \wedge \, L'_d) \, \wedge \, L'_e = L'_{ivd} \\ &\implies L'_{vd} \, \wedge \, L'_e = L'_{ivd}. \end{split}$$

We now have proved both (31) and (32), which together gives us (29). In order to prove (30), we need

$$iu \mathcal{R}' j \mathcal{R}' jpc = iub \tag{33}$$

and

$$L_b' \wedge L_{pc}' = L_{iub}'. \tag{34}$$

We start by proving each relation in Equation (33).

Since \mathscr{R}' is a left congruence, $u \mathscr{R}' v$ implies that $iu \mathscr{R}' iv$, which in turn is \mathscr{R}' -related to j. Using again the left compatibility of \mathscr{R}' , we see that $c \mathscr{R}' d$ implies that



 $jpc \mathcal{R}' jpd$ and $p\mathcal{R}' pd$ implies that $jp \mathcal{R}' jpd$. Therefore $j\mathcal{R}' jp\mathcal{R}' jpd \mathcal{R}' jpc$. Also, using ub = vc and iv = jp, we see that iub = ivc = jpc.

We now prove Equation (34). We apply (M2) to $L'_v \wedge L'_p = L'_{iv}$ to give us that $L'_{vc} \wedge L'_{pc} = L'_{ivc}$. And so, using $L'_b \wedge L'_c = L'_{vc}$ and Lemma 3.9 (iii), we have

$$\begin{split} L'_{vc} \, \wedge \, L'_{pc} &= L'_{ivc} \implies (L'_b \, \wedge \, L'_c) \, \wedge L'_{pc} = L'_{iub} \\ &\implies L'_b \, \wedge \, (L'_c \, \wedge \, L'_{pc}) = L'_{iub} \\ &\implies L'_b \, \wedge \, L'_{pc} = L'_{iub}. \end{split}$$

We have now proved both (33) and (34), which together gives us (30), finishing the proof.

We have now established that *P* is a semigroup. The next lemma provides a couple of useful shortcuts to help in later stages.

Lemma 3.13 *The following statements are true in P:*

- (i) [a, a] = [b, b] if and only if $a \mathcal{L}' b$;
- (ii) [a, b][b, a] = [a, a].

Proof (i) We know that [a, a] = [b, b] if and only if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wa = zb$$
, $w \mathcal{R}' wa \mathcal{L}' a$ and $z \mathcal{R}' zb \mathcal{L}' b$. (35)

Let [a, a] = [b, b]. Therefore there exist $w, z \in S$ satisfying (35). Hence $a \mathcal{L}' wa = zb \mathcal{L}' b$.

Conversely let $a \mathcal{L}' b$. Applying (M1) to a and b, there exist $w, z \in S$ such that $w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z b = wa$ and $L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_{wa}$ Therefore, since $a \mathcal{L}' b$, we have $L'_{wa} = L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_a$, and consequently $zb = wa \mathcal{L}' a \mathcal{L}' b$. Comparing with (35), we see that [a, a] = [b, b].

(*ii*) By Lemma 3.9 (i), there exists $y \in S$ such that $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$. By comparing with the definition of multiplication in Roadmap 3.8, we see that [a, b][b, a] = [ya, ya]. Since $a \mathcal{R}' b$, Lemma 3.9 (ii) gives us that $y \mathcal{R}' y a \mathcal{L}' a$. So by (i), [a, b][b, a] = [a, a].

Lemma 3.14 *The semigroup P is regular.*

Proof Let $[a, b] \in P$. By Lemma 3.13 (ii), [a, b][b, a][a, b] = [a, a][a, b]. By Lemma 3.9 (i), there exists $y \in S$ such that $y \mathcal{R}' y a \mathcal{L}' a$. Therefore, by our definition of multiplication, [a, a][a, b] = [ya, yb].

We want to prove that $(a, b) \sim (ya, yb)$. That is there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wa = zya$$
, $wb = zyb$, $w \mathcal{R}' wa \mathcal{L}' a$ and $z \mathcal{R}' zya \mathcal{L}' ya$.

Applying Property (M1) to a and ya, there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z y a = w a$$
 and $L'_a \wedge L'_{ya} = L'_{wa}$.



We use (M4) to give us that wa = zya implies wb = zyb. We can also use $a \mathcal{L}' ya$ to give us that $L'_{wa} = L'_{a} \wedge L'_{ya} = L'_{a}$. Therefore $a \mathcal{L}' ya \mathcal{L}' wa = zya$.

So we have that [a, b][b, a][a, b] = [a, b]. Therefore P is regular. \Box

Note that, in the exactly same way, [b, a][a, b][b, a] = [b, a]. Therefore $[b, a] \in V([a, b])$.

Lemma 3.15 The semigroup P is an inverse semigroup, with semilattice of idempotents

$$E(P) = \{ [a, a] : a \in S \}$$

and the inverse of [a, b] given by $[a, b]^{-1} = [b, a]$.

Proof We start by identifying the idempotents of P. For any $[a, a] \in P$ it is easy to see, using (M1), that $[a, a] \in E(P)$.

Let $[a, b] \in P$ be an idempotent, so that [a, b][a, b] = [a, b]. We know that [a, b][a, b] = [ua, vb], where u and v are the elements that exist by (M1) such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' va = ub$$
 and $L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_{ub}$.

Consequently we know that $(a,b) \sim (ua,vb)$. Therefore there exist $w,z \in S$ such that

$$wa = zua, \ wb = zvb, \ w \mathcal{R}' \ wa \mathcal{L}' \ a, \ z \mathcal{R}' \ zua \mathcal{L}' \ ua.$$

By Lemma 3.9 (ii) we have that $a \mathcal{R}' b$ implies that $w \mathcal{R}' wb \mathcal{L}' b$. Also, by applying (M4) to both wb = zvb and wa = zua and using va = ub, we have

$$wa \stackrel{(M4)}{=} zva = zub \stackrel{(M4)}{=} wb.$$

Therefore $a \mathcal{L}' wa = wb \mathcal{L}' b$. We then apply Property (M6) to give us a = b. Therefore E(P) is as given in the statement.

We now prove that idempotents commute. Let $[a, a], [b, b] \in E(P)$. Applying Property (M1) to a and b, we choose u and v such that [a, a][b, b] = [ua, vb], where

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vb = ua$$
 and $L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_{ua}$.

By inspection we can see that v and u satisfy the necessary properties for [b,b][a,a] = [vb,ua]. And so we see that, since ua = vb, we have

$$[a, a][b, b] = [ua, vb] = [vb, ua] = [b, b][a, a].$$

Therefore the idempotents of P commute. Since P is also regular, this means that P is an inverse semigroup.

Moreover since $[b, a] \in V([a, b])$, we easily see that $[a, b]^{-1} = [b, a]$ for all $[a, b] \in P$.



We now prove that S embeds into P. We do this by defining a function $\phi: S \to P$, by $a\phi = [x, xa]$, where x is the element such that $x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a$, that exists by Lemma 3.9 (i). Note that $[x, xa] \in P$.

Lemma 3.16 *The function* ϕ *is an embedding.*

Proof Again we must proceed in stages.

Well-defined Let $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$ and let $y \mathcal{R}' y a \mathcal{L}' a$. By our definition, this means that $a\phi = [x, xa]$ and that $a\phi = [y, ya]$. Therefore, in order to prove that ϕ is well-defined, we need to prove that $(x, xa) \sim (y, ya)$. This is true exactly if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wx = zy$$
, $wxa = zya$, $w\mathcal{R}'wx\mathcal{L}'x$ and $z\mathcal{R}'zy\mathcal{L}'y$.

Applying Property (M1) to x and y, we take w and z to be elements in S such that $w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' w x = zy$ and $L'_x \wedge L'_y = L'_{wx}$. Trivially, wxa = zya. Using (M5), $x \mathcal{R}' xa \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' ya \mathcal{L}' a$ implies that $x \mathcal{L}' y$. Therefore

$$L'_{wx} = L'_x \wedge L'_y = L'_x.$$

For the last necessary property, we notice $y \mathcal{L}' x \mathcal{L}' wx = zy$.

Homomorphism Let $a, b \in S$, and let $x, y \in S$ such that $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$. Therefore, by definition, $a\phi = [x, xa]$ and $b\phi = [y, yb]$. Then

$$(a\phi)(b\phi) = [x, xa][y, yb] = [ux, vyb] = [ux, uxab],$$

where u and v are the elements that exist by (M1) such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vy = uxa$$
 and $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{y} = L'_{uxa}$.

We want to prove that this is equal to $(ab)\phi$.

Using the fact that \mathscr{R}' is a left congruence, we have that $yb \mathscr{R}' y$ implies that $vyb \mathscr{R}' vy$, and $xa \mathscr{R}' x$ implies that $uxa \mathscr{R}' ux$. Therefore

$$uxab = vyb \mathcal{R}' vy = uxa \mathcal{R}' ux.$$

We use $xa \mathcal{L}'a$ to obtain $L'_a \wedge L'_y = L'_{uxa}$. We can then apply Property (M2) to $L'_a \wedge L'_y = L'_{uxa}$ to give us that $L'_{ab} \wedge L'_{yb} = L'_{uxab}$. Using $yb \mathcal{L}'b$, this means that $L'_{ab} \wedge L'_b = L'_{uxab}$. We can then apply Lemma 3.9 (iii) to give us $ab \mathcal{L}'uxab$.

By the definition of ϕ , since $ux \mathcal{R}' uxab \mathcal{L}' ab$, this means that

$$(ab)\phi = [ux, uxab] = (a\phi)(b\phi).$$

Injective Let $a, b \in S$ such that $a\phi = b\phi$. Therefore, choosing x and y such that $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$, we have that [x, xa] = [y, yb]. This means there



exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wx = zy$$
, $wxa = zyb$, $w \mathcal{R}' wx \mathcal{L}' x$ and $z \mathcal{R}' zy \mathcal{L}' y$.

Therefore, using the fact that \mathcal{L}' is a right congruence, we have that $x \mathcal{L}' wx$ implies that $xa \mathcal{L}' wxa$. Consequently, $a \mathcal{L}' xa \mathcal{L}' wxa$. Similarly, $y \mathcal{L}' zy$ implies that $yb \mathcal{L}' zyb$. And so, $b \mathcal{L}' yb \mathcal{L}' zyb = wxb$, using zy = wx in the last equality. Therefore, we can apply Property (M6) giving us that $a \mathcal{L}' wxa = wxb \mathcal{L}' b$ implies that a = b.

Lemma 3.17 Let $a, b \in S$. Then $a \mathcal{R}' b$ if and only if $a \phi \mathcal{R}^P b \phi$.

Proof We have already proved that P is an inverse semigroup, so $a\phi \mathcal{R}^P b\phi$ if and only if $(a\phi)(a\phi)^{-1} = (b\phi)(b\phi)^{-1}$.

Let $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$, so that $a\phi = [x, xa]$ and $b\phi = [y, yb]$. Then $(a\phi)(a\phi)^{-1} = [x, xa][xa, x] = [x, x]$, by Lemmas 3.15 and 3.13 (ii). Similarly $(b\phi)(b\phi)^{-1} = [y, yb][yb, b] = [y, y]$.

Therefore $a\phi \mathcal{R}^P b\phi$ if and only if $(x, x) \sim (y, y)$, which is true if and only if $x \mathcal{L}' y$, using Lemma 3.13 (i). We then use (M5) to give us that this is equivalent to $a\mathcal{R}' b$.

Lemma 3.18 Let $a, b \in S$. Then $a \leq_l b$ if and only if $a\phi \leq_{\mathscr{L}^P} b\phi$.

Proof We have already proved that P is an inverse semigroup, so $a\phi \leq_{\mathcal{L}^P} b\phi$ if and only if $a\phi = (a\phi)(b\phi)^{-1}(b\phi)$.

Let $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$, so that $a\phi = [x, xa]$ and $b\phi = [y, yb]$. Using Lemma 3.13, we have $(b\phi)^{-1}(b\phi) = [yb, y][y, yb] = [yb, yb] = [b, b]$. Therefore

$$(a\phi)(b\phi)^{-1}(b\phi) = [x, xa][b, b] = [ux, vb],$$

where u and v are the elements that exist by (M1) such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' vb = uxa$$
 and $L'_{xa} \wedge L'_{b} = L'_{uxa}$.

Note that since $xa \mathcal{L} a$, this means that $L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_{uxa}$.

We use vb = uxa to give us that $(a\phi)(b\phi)^{-1}(b\phi) = [ux, uxa]$. It follows that $a\phi \leq_{\mathscr{L}^P} b\phi$ if and only if $(x, xa) \sim (ux, uxa)$, which is true exactly if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wx = zux$$
, $wxa = zuxa$, $w\mathcal{R}'wx\mathcal{L}'x$ and $z\mathcal{R}'zux\mathcal{L}'ux$. (36)

We know that $x \mathcal{R}' x a$, and so $ux \mathcal{R}' ux a$ as \mathcal{R}' is a left congruence. Therefore we can use Lemma 3.9 (ii) to rewrite (36) to the equivalent expression (37). That is, $a\phi \leq_{\mathcal{L}^P} b\phi$ if and only if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wx = zux$$
, $wxa = zuxa$, $w\mathcal{R}'wxa\mathcal{L}'xa$ and $z\mathcal{R}'zuxa\mathcal{L}'uxa$. (37)



Let $a\phi \leq_{\mathscr{L}^P} b\phi$, i.e. let w and z exist in S such that (37) is satisfied. We see that $uxa \mathscr{L}' zuxa = wxa \mathscr{L}' xa \mathscr{L}' a$. Therefore $L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_{uxa} = L'_a$. By definition this means that $a \leq_l b$.

On the other hand, let $a \leq_l b$. By definition $L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_a$. Therefore

$$L'_{uxa} = L'_a \wedge L'_b = L'_a = L'_{xa}.$$

Applying Property (M1) to xa and uxa, there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z u x a = w x a$$
 and $L'_{u x a} \wedge L'_{x a} = L'_{w x a}$.

Using $x \mathcal{R}' x a$, we know that zuxa = wxa implies that zux = wx by (M4). Using the fact that $uxa \mathcal{L}' xa$, we see that $L'_{wxa} = L'_{uxa} \wedge L'_{xa} = L'_{xa}$. Therefore $uxa \mathcal{L}' xa \mathcal{L}' wxa = zuxa$. This gives us (37), and so $a\phi \leq_{\mathcal{L}^P} b\phi$.

Lemma 3.19 The semigroup P is a semigroup of straight left I-quotients of $S\phi$.

Proof Let $[a, b] \in P$. Note that $a, b \in S$ with $a \mathcal{R}' b$.

Let $x \mathcal{R}' x a \mathcal{L}' a$ and $y \mathcal{R}' y b \mathcal{L}' b$, so that $a\phi = [x, xa]$ and $b\phi = [y, yb]$. By Lemma 3.17, $a\phi \mathcal{R}^P b\phi$. We have

$$(a\phi)^{-1}(b\phi) = [xa, x][y, yb] = [uxa, vyb],$$

where u and v are the elements that exist by (M1) such that

$$u \mathcal{R}' v \mathcal{R}' v y = ux$$
 and $L'_x \wedge L'_y = L'_{ux}$.

We want to prove that $(a\phi)^{-1}(b\phi) = [a, b]$.

We see that $(a, b) \sim (uxa, vyb)$ exactly if there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$wa = zuxa, \ wb = zvyb, \ w \, \mathscr{R}' \, wa \, \mathscr{L}' \, a \ \mathrm{and} \ z \, \mathscr{R}' \, zuxa \, \mathscr{L}' \, uxa.$$

Applying Property (M1) to a and uxa, we know that there exist $w, z \in S$ such that

$$w \mathcal{R}' z \mathcal{R}' z u x a = w a$$
 and $L'_a \wedge L'_{u x a} = L'_{w a}$.

We see that wa = zuxa implies wb = zuxb by (M4), and therefore, since ux = vy we have wb = zvyb. We use Property (M5) to get that $a \, \mathscr{R}' \, b$ implies $x \, \mathscr{L}' \, y$, and therefore $L'_{ux} = L'_x \wedge L'_y = L'_x$. We then use the fact that \mathscr{L}' is a right congruence to give us that $ux \, \mathscr{L}' \, x$ implies $uxa \, \mathscr{L}' \, xa \, \mathscr{L}' \, a$. Therefore $L'_{wa} = L'_a \wedge L'_{uxa} = L'_a$, and so $zuxa = wa \, \mathscr{L}' \, a \, \mathscr{L}' \, uxa$. This gives us $[a,b] = (a\phi)^{-1}(b\phi)$, where $a\phi \, \mathscr{R}' \, b\phi$.

The proof of Theorem 3.7 is now complete.



4 Right ample straight left I-orders

The aim of this section is to give two applications of Theorem 3.7 to new classes of semigroups. We could, of course, apply it to describe left I-orders in some classes of semigroups, such as primitive inverse semigroups, that have already been considered, but we refer the reader to the thesis of the second author [23] for those arguments.

In this work we have already seen the importance of the relation \mathcal{R}^* , introduced in Sect. 3. The dual relation is denoted by \mathcal{L}^* . In fact, \mathcal{L}^* is the (right compatible) equivalence relation associated with the (right compatible) preorder $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^*}$, where for $a,b\in S$ we have that $a\leq_{\mathcal{L}^*}b$ if and only if for all $x,y\in S^1$, if bx=by then ax=ay. The latter condition is equivalent to $a\leq_{\mathcal{L}}b$ in some oversemigroup of S. Of course a dual statement is true for \mathcal{R}^* but we do not explicitly need that here. We remark that as for \mathcal{R}^* , the relations \mathcal{L}^* and $\leq_{\mathcal{L}^*}$ will always refer to S itself.

A semigroup for which E(S) is a semilattice and every element is \mathscr{R}^* -related to an idempotent is said to be *left adequate*. *Right adequate* semigroups are defined dually, and a semigroup is *adequate* if it is right and left adequate. Notice that if S is left (right) adequate, then $a \in S$ is \mathscr{R}^* -related (\mathscr{L}^* -related) to a unique idempotent, which we denote by a^+ (a^*). Right, left and (two-sided) adequate semigroups are potential analogues of inverse semigroups. It transpires that for the closest connections with inverse semigroups, we need some control on moving idempotents in products, as witnessed by the following definition.

Definition 4.1 A left adequate semigroup is *left ample* if for all $a, b \in S$ we have

$$ab^+ = (ab)^+ a.$$

Right ample semigroups are defined dually, and a semigroup is *ample* if it is both right and left ample.

It is easy to see that an inverse semigroup is ample, with $a^+ = aa^{-1}$ and $a^* = a^{-1}a$. Moreover, if S is a subsemigroup of an inverse semigroup Q in a way that preserves $^+$, that is, it is as a *unary subsemigroup* of Q, then S is left ample, with the dual and two-sided statements also holding. The precise relationship between one- and two-sided ample semigroups and inverse semigroups is complex. It is worth noting that an ample semigroup S may not be embeddable into an inverse semigroup in such a way that preserves both $^+$ and * . We refer the reader to [15] for further details.

In [9] a characterisation was given of a particular kind of *left* ample straight left I-orders. In this section, we characterise *right* ample and (*two-sided*) ample straight left I-orders, sitting as unary and bi-unary subsemigroups of their semigroups of I-quotients, as an application of Theorem 3.7. Note that not every right ample left I-order is straight. It is easy to see that the left I-order given in Example 2.6 is a left cancellative monoid, which is easily seen to be right ample.

We start with four useful lemmas. The first follows from the well-known fact that the restriction of $\leq_{\mathscr{L}}$ to regular elements is exactly $\leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$.

Lemma 4.2 Let S be a semigroup and let $e, f \in E(S)$. Then $e \leq_{\mathscr{L}} f$ if and only if $e \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*} f$.



Lemma 4.3 Let S be a semigroup such that E(S) is a semilattice. Then the \mathcal{L}^* -classes of idempotents form a meet semilattice under the induced partial order on S/\mathcal{L}^* . Moreover, for $e, f \in E(S)$ we have $L_e^* \wedge L_f^* = L_{ef}^*$.

Proof Since $\leq_{\mathscr{L}} \subseteq \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$ we certainly have $ef \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*} e, f$.

Now let $h \in S$ such that $h \leq \mathcal{L}^* e$, f. As e1 = ee we have h = h1 = he and similarly h = hf, so that $h = hef \leq \mathcal{L}^* ef$ and hence $h \leq \mathcal{L}^* ef$. The result follows. \square

We give some elementary properties of right ample semigroups. The duals of these properties apply to left ample semigroups.

Lemma 4.4 Let S be a right ample semigroup. Then for all $a, b \in S$:

- (i) $aa^* = a$;
- (ii) $(ab)^* = (a^*b)^*$;
- (iii) $b^* = (ab)^*$ if and only if $b = a^*b$.

Proof Claim (i) follows similarly to the argument in Lemma 4.3; (ii) uses the fact that \mathcal{L}^* is a right congruence. For (iii), let $b^* = (ab)^*$. Then, using the ample condition,

$$b = bb^* = b(ab)^* = a^*b$$
.

Conversely, let $b = a^*b$. Then, applying * to both sides,

$$b^* = (a^*b)^* = (ab)^*,$$

using (ii) in the last equality.

Lemma 4.5 Let S be a right ample subsemigroup of an inverse semigroup Q. Then S is embedded as a unary semigroup into Q if and only if $\mathcal{L}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathcal{L}^*$.

Proof For any $a, b \in S$ we have $a \mathcal{L}^* b$ if and only if $a^* = b^*$. Further, $a \mathcal{L}^Q b$ if and only if $a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b$. The statement follows.

4.1 Right ample straight left I-orders

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 Let S be a right ample semigroup and let \mathscr{R}' be a binary relation on S. Then S has a semigroup of straight left I-quotients Q, such that S is embedded in Q as a unary semigroup and $\mathscr{R}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathscr{R}'$, if and only if \mathscr{R}' is a left congruence on S such that S satisfies Conditions (A1)–(A3).

- (A1) For all $\alpha, \beta \in S$, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in S$ such that $\gamma \mathcal{R}' \delta \mathcal{R}' \delta \beta = \gamma \alpha$ and $\alpha \beta^* = \gamma^* \alpha$.
- (A2) For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S$, $\gamma \alpha \mathcal{R}' \gamma \beta$ implies that $\gamma^* \alpha \mathcal{R}' \gamma^* \beta$.
- (A3) $\mathcal{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$.

To prove this we will apply Theorem 3.7. In order to apply Theorem 3.7, we must find $\leq_{\mathscr{L}Q}$ and the associated meet.



Lemma 4.7 Let S be a right ample semigroup embedded as a unary semigroup into an inverse semigroup Q. Then for all $a, b, c, x \in S$,

- (i) $a \leq \varphi \varrho$ b if and only if $a^* = a^*b^*$ if and only if $a \leq \varphi * b$;
- (ii) $L_a \wedge L_b = L_c$ if and only if $c^* = a^*b^*$; and
- (iii) $L_a \wedge L_b = L_{xa}$ if and only if $ab^* = x^*a$.

Proof First recall that since S is embedded in Q in such a way that * is preserved, we have that for all $a \in S$, $a^* = a^{-1}a$.

- (i) By Lemma 4.2, $a^* \leq b^*$, $a^* \leq_{\mathcal{L}^Q} b^*$ and $a^* \leq_{\mathcal{L}^*} b^*$ are all equivalent. The result is then obtained by noticing that $a \mathcal{L}^* a^*$ and $a \mathcal{L}^Q a^*$.
- (ii) Using (i), we can see that the poset Q/\mathcal{L} is order isomorphic to Q/\mathcal{L}^* . Therefore $L_a \wedge L_b = L_c$ if and only if $L_a^* \wedge L_b^* = L_c^*$, which is true exactly when $L_{a^*}^* \wedge L_{b^*}^* = L_{c^*}^*$. By Lemma 4.3, we know that $L_{a^*}^* \wedge L_{b^*}^* = L_{a^*b^*}^*$. Therefore $L_{a^*}^* \wedge L_{b^*}^* = L_{c^*}^*$ if and only if $c^* = a^*b^*$.
- (iii) Let $L_a \wedge L_b = L_{xa}$. Therefore, by (ii), we have $a^*b^* = (xa)^*$. Then, using Lemma 4.4,

$$ab^* = aa^*b^* = a(xa)^* = x^*a.$$

On the other hand let $ab^* = x^*a$. Then, again using Lemma 4.4,

$$(xa)^* = (x^*a)^* = (ab^*)^* = (a^*b^*)^* = a^*b^*.$$

and so by (ii), we have $L_a \wedge L_b = L_{xa}$.

We now prove Theorem 4.6.

Proof We consider the forward implication first. Let S be a right ample straight left I-order in Q, such that S is embedded in Q as a unary semigroup, and let $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}^Q \cap (S \times S)$. We know that \mathcal{R}' is a left congruence and that therefore $\gamma \alpha \mathcal{R}' \gamma \beta$ implies that $\gamma^{-1} \gamma \alpha \mathcal{R}' \gamma^{-1} \gamma \beta$, so (A2) is satisfied. Using Theorem 3.7, we know that (M1) and (M4) are satisfied, which are exactly (A1) and (A3) respectively, using Lemma 4.7 (iii).

We will prove the converse by proving each property in Theorem 3.7 with $\leq_l = \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$, so that $\mathscr{L}' = \mathscr{L}^*$. Using the fact that $a \mathscr{L}^* a^*$ for all $a \in S$ along with Lemma 4.2, we see that $a \leq_l b$ if and only if $a^*b^* = a^*$, for $a, b, \in S$. We already know that $\leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$ is a right compatible preorder. By Lemma 4.3, we know that $L_{a^*}^* \wedge L_{b^*}^* = L_{a^*b^*}^*$. Therefore, using the fact that there is a unique idempotent in each \mathscr{L}^* -class, we have that

$$L_a^* \wedge L_b^* = L_c^*$$
 if and only if $c^* = a^*b^*$.

We will now prove (M1)–(M6) with $\leq_l = \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$ in order to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.7.



(M1) Let $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Applying Property (A1), there exist $\gamma, \delta \in S$ such that $\gamma \mathcal{R}' \delta \mathcal{R}' \delta \beta = \gamma \alpha$ and $\alpha \beta^* = \gamma^* \alpha$. We can use Lemma 4.4 (ii), along with $\alpha \beta^* = \gamma^* \alpha$ to obtain

$$(\gamma\alpha)^* = (\gamma^*\alpha)^* = (\alpha\beta^*)^* = (\alpha^*\beta^*)^* = \alpha^*\beta^*.$$

Therefore $L_{\alpha}^* \wedge L_{\beta}^* = L_{\gamma\alpha}^*$.

- (M3) By definition, we know that $\alpha\beta \leq \mathcal{L}^* \beta$.
- (M2) Let $L_{\alpha}^* \wedge L_{\beta}^* = L_{\gamma}^*$. Then $\gamma^* = \alpha^* \beta^*$. Also, let $\delta \in S$. We use the ample condition twice, to get

$$\delta(\alpha\delta)^*(\beta\delta)^* = \alpha^*\delta(\beta\delta)^* = \alpha^*\beta^*\delta.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 4.4 (ii),

$$(\alpha^* \beta^* \delta)^* = (\delta(\alpha \delta)^* (\beta \delta)^*)^* = (\delta^* (\alpha \delta)^* (\beta \delta)^*)^* = \delta^* (\alpha \delta)^* (\beta \delta)^*. \tag{38}$$

Also, since $\alpha \delta \leq_l \delta$ by (M3), we have that

$$\delta^*(\alpha\delta)^* = (\alpha\delta)^*. \tag{39}$$

Lastly, using Lemma 4.4 (ii),

$$(\gamma \delta)^* = (\gamma^* \delta)^* = (\alpha^* \beta^* \delta)^*. \tag{40}$$

Putting all this together,

$$(\gamma \delta)^* \stackrel{(40)}{=} (\alpha^* \beta^* \delta)^* \stackrel{(38)}{=} \delta^* (\alpha \delta)^* (\beta \delta)^* \stackrel{(39)}{=} (\alpha \delta)^* (\beta \delta)^*,$$

which gives us that $L_{\alpha\delta}^* \wedge L_{\beta\delta}^* = L_{\gamma\delta}^*$.

- (M4) This is Property (A3).
- (M5) Let $\gamma \, \mathscr{R}' \, \gamma \alpha \, \mathscr{L}^* \, \alpha$ and let $\delta \, \mathscr{R}' \, \delta \beta \, \mathscr{L}^* \, \beta$. We have that $\gamma \, \gamma^* = \gamma \, \mathscr{R}' \, \gamma \alpha$. Therefore we can use Property (A2) to obtain $\gamma^* = \gamma^* \gamma^* \, \mathscr{R}' \, \gamma^* \alpha$. We also have that $\gamma \alpha \, \mathscr{L}^* \, \alpha$, and so $(\gamma \alpha)^* = \alpha^*$. By Lemma 4.4 (iii) this is equivalent to $\alpha = \gamma^* \alpha$. Similarly $\delta^* \, \mathscr{R}' \, \delta^* \beta$ and $\beta = \delta^* \beta$.

Let $\gamma \mathcal{L}^* \delta$, and so $\gamma^* = \delta^*$. Therefore $\alpha = \gamma^* \alpha \mathcal{R}' \gamma^* = \delta^* \mathcal{R}' \delta^* \beta = \beta$. Conversely, let $\alpha \mathcal{R}' \beta$. We see that $\gamma^* \mathcal{R}' \gamma^* \alpha = \alpha \mathcal{R}' \beta = \delta^* \beta \mathcal{R}' \delta^*$, and therefore using (A3), $\gamma^* \mathcal{R}^* \delta^*$. We know that since E(S) is a semilattice, there can only be one idempotent in each \mathcal{R}^* -class, and so $\gamma^* = \delta^*$.

(M6) Let $\alpha \mathcal{L}^* \beta \mathcal{L}^* \gamma \alpha \mathcal{L}^* \gamma \beta$ and let $\gamma \alpha = \gamma \beta$. We have that

$$\alpha^* = \beta^* = (\gamma \alpha)^* = (\gamma \beta)^*,$$

and so we can use Lemma 4.4 (iii) to give us that $\alpha = \gamma^* \alpha$ and $\beta = \gamma^* \beta$. We then use the fact that $\gamma \mathcal{L}^* \gamma^*$, to give us that $\gamma \alpha = \gamma \beta$ implies that $\gamma^* \alpha = \gamma^* \beta$, and therefore $\alpha = \beta$.



Therefore, S with $\leq_l = \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.7 and we can apply Theorem 3.7 to give us that S has a semigroup of straight left I-quotients, Q, such that $\mathscr{R}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathscr{R}'$ and $\leq_{\mathscr{L}^Q} \cap (S \times S) = \leq_{\mathscr{L}^*}$. Therefore $\mathscr{L}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathscr{L}^*$, and so by Lemma 4.5, S is embedded in Q as a unary semigroup.

4.2 Two-sided ample left I-orders

Finally we consider (two-sided) ample semigroups as left I-orders. Here we have a pleasing description much more reminiscent of the Ore result describing classical left orders in groups.

Corollary 4.8 Let S be an ample semigroup. Then S has a semigroup of left I-quotients Q such that S is a bi-unary subsemigroup of Q, if and only if for all $b, c \in S$, there exist $u, v \in S$ such that

$$ub = vc, \ u^+ = v^+ = (vc)^+ \ and \ bc^* = u^*b.$$
 (*)

Proof We first consider the forward implication. Let S be bi-unary subsemigroup of an inverse semigroup Q such that Q is a semigroup of left I-quotients of S. We know that $a \mathcal{R}^Q b$ if and only if $a^+ = b^+$ and $a \mathcal{L}^Q b$ if and only if $a^* = b^*$. By Lemma 2.4 of [11], we know that S is straight in Q. Therefore, by Theorem 4.6, Property (A1) is satisfied. Therefore (\star) is satisfied.

For the backward implication, we aim to apply Theorem 4.6 with $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}^*$. That is, $a \mathcal{R}' b$ if and only if $a^+ = b^+$. Note that \mathcal{R}^* is a left congruence. We now prove Properties (A1)–(A3).

- (A1) Satisfied since (\star) is assumed.
- (A2) Let $xa \mathcal{R}^* xb$. This means

$$(xa)^+ = (xb)^+.$$

We apply the dual of Lemma 4.4 (ii) to get

$$(xa^+)^+ = (xb^+)^+.$$

Right multiplying this by x gives us

$$(xa^+)^+x = (xb^+)^+x.$$

We then apply the left ample property to give us

$$xa^+ = xb^+$$
.

By the definition of \mathcal{L}^* , we know that x \mathcal{L}^* x^* . Therefore, the above equation implies that

$$x^*a^+ = x^*b^+$$



Therefore, applying + to both sides, we have

$$(x^*a^+)^+ = (x^*b^+)^+.$$

Then, the dual of Lemma 4.4 (ii) gives us

$$(x^*a)^+ = (x^*b)^+,$$

and so
$$x^*a \mathcal{R}^* x^*b$$
.
(A3) $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}^*$.

Therefore, Theorem 4.6 gives us that S has a straight left I-order Q such that * is preserved and $\mathcal{R}^Q \cap (S \times S) = \mathcal{R}^*$. Therefore, by the dual of Lemma 4.5, $^+$ is also preserved.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank Mark Kambites for his suggestions which led to Example 2.6.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Cherubini, A., Petrich, M.: The inverse hull of right cancellative semigroups. J. Algebra 111, 74–113 (1987)
- 2. Clifford, A.: A class of d-simple semigroups. Amer. J. Math. 75, 547–556 (1953)
- Clifford, A.H., Preston, G.B.: The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vols. I and II. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1967)
- 4. Dubreil, P.: Sur les problèmes d'immersion et la théorie des modules. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **216**, 625–627 (1943)
- Exel, R., Steinberg, B.: Representations of the inverse hull of a 0-left cancellative semigroup. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06281, (2018)
- Fountain, J., Kambites, M.: Graph products of right cancellative monoids. J. Austral. Math. Soc. 87, 227–252 (2009)
- 7. Fountain, J., Petrich, M.: Brandt semigroups of quotients. In: Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 98, pp. 413–426 (1985)
- 8. Fountain, J., Petrich, M.: Completely 0-simple semigroups of quotients. J. Algebra 101, 365–402 (1986)
- 9. Ghroda, N.: Bisimple inverse ω-semigroups of left I-quotients. arXiv preprint arXiv:1008.3241, (2010)
- 10. Ghroda, N., Gould, V.: Inverse semigroups of left I-quotients. arXiv preprint arXiv:1003.3640, (2010)
- 11. Ghroda, N., Gould, V.: Semigroups of inverse quotients. Periodica Math. Hungarica 65, 45–73 (2012)
- 12. Gould, V.: Bisimple inverse ω-semigroups of left quotients. Proc. London Math. Soc. 3, 95–118 (1986)
- 13. Gould, V.: Clifford semigroups of left quotients. Glasgow Math. J. 28(2), 181–191 (1986)
- Gould, V.: Semigroups of left quotients: existence, straightness and locality. J. Algebra 267, 514–541 (2003)
- 15. Gould, V., Kambites, M.: Faithful functors from cancellative categories to cancellative monoids with an application to abundant semigroups. Intern. J. Algebra Comput. 15, 683–698 (2005)



- 16. Howie, J.M.: Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1995)
- 17. Mal'cev, A.: On the embedding of associative systems into groups. I. Mat. Sb. 6, 331–336 (1939)
- McAlister, D.: One-to-one partial right translations of a right cancellative semigroup. J. Algebra 43, 231–251 (1976)
- 19. Murata, K.: On the quotient semi-group of a non-commutative semi-group. Osaka Math. J. 2, 1–5 (1950)
- Nivat, M., Perrot, J.-F.: Une généralisation du monoïde bicyclique. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris 271, 824–827 (1970)
- 21. Ore, O.: Linear equations in non-commutative fields. Ann. Math. 32, 463–477 (1931)
- 22. Schein, B.M.: Subsemigroups of inverse semigroups. Le Matematiche 51(3), 205–227 (1996)
- Schneider, G.: Semigroups of straight I-quotients: a general approach. PhD thesis, University of York, (2021)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

