

This is a repository copy of *Response to comment on "a global environmental crisis* 42,000 years ago".

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/188231/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Cooper, A., Turney, C.S.M., Palmer, J. et al. (30 more authors) (2021) Response to comment on "a global environmental crisis 42,000 years ago". Science, 374 (6570). eabi9756. ISSN 0036-8075

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi9756

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here by permission of the AAAS for personal use, not for redistribution. The definitive version was published in Science on VOL. 374, NO. 6570, 18 Nov 2021, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi9756

### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# Response to: Comment on "A global environmental crisis 42,000 years ago" by A. Picin *et al.*

Alan Cooper<sup>1\*</sup>, Chris S.M. Turney<sup>2\*</sup>, Jonathan Palmer<sup>2</sup>, Alan Hogg<sup>3</sup>, Matt McGlone<sup>4</sup>, Janet Wilmshurst<sup>4,5</sup>, Andrew M. Lorrey<sup>6</sup>, Timothy J. Heaton<sup>7</sup>, James M. Russell<sup>8</sup>, Ken McCracken<sup>9</sup>, Julien G. Anet<sup>10</sup>, Eugene Rozanov<sup>11,12,13</sup>, Marina Friedel<sup>11</sup>, Ivo Suter<sup>14</sup>, Thomas Peter<sup>11</sup>, Raimund Muscheler<sup>15</sup>, Florian Adolphi<sup>16</sup>, Anthony Dosseto<sup>17</sup>, J. Tyler Faith<sup>18</sup>, Pavla Fenwick<sup>19</sup>, Christopher J. Fogwill<sup>20</sup>, Konrad Hughen<sup>21</sup>, Matthew Lipson<sup>22</sup>, Jiabo Liu<sup>23</sup>, Norbert Nowaczyk<sup>24</sup>, Eleanor Rainsley<sup>20</sup>, Christopher Bronk Ramsey<sup>25</sup>, Paolo Sebastianelli<sup>26</sup>, Yassine Souilmi<sup>27</sup>, Janelle Stevenson<sup>28</sup>, Zoe Thomas<sup>2</sup>, Raymond Tobler<sup>27</sup> and Roland Zech<sup>29</sup>

<sup>1</sup>South Australian Museum, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia and BlueSky Genetics, PO Box 287, Adelaide, South Australia 5137, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Chronos <sup>14</sup>Carbon-Cycle Facility, and Earth and Sustainability Science Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

<sup>4</sup>Ecosystems and Conservation, Landcare Research, PO Box 69040, New Zealand

<sup>5</sup>School of Environment, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

<sup>6</sup>National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>7</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S37RH, UK

<sup>8</sup>Department of Geological Sciences, Brown University, Providence, 02912, USA

<sup>9</sup>64 Burradoo Rd, Burradoo, NSW, Australia

<sup>10</sup>Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Centre for Aviation, Winterthur, Switzerland

<sup>11</sup>Institute for Atmospheric and Climatic Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>12</sup>Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos and World Radiation Center, Davos, Switzerland

<sup>13</sup>Department of Physics of Earth, Faculty of Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

<sup>14</sup>Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology (Empa), Dübendorf, Switzerland

<sup>15</sup>Department of Geology, Quaternary Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

<sup>16</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>17</sup>Wollongong Isotope Geochronology Laboratory, School of Earth, Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong. Wollongong, NSW 2522

<sup>18</sup>Natural History Museum of Utah and Department of Anthropology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84108, USA

<sup>19</sup>Gondwana Tree-Ring Laboratory, PO Box 14, Little River, Canterbury 7546, New Zealand

<sup>20</sup>School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Keele, Keele, Staffordshire, UK

<sup>21</sup>Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole MA, 02543, USA

<sup>22</sup>Centre for Excellence in Climate System Science, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

<sup>23</sup>Southern University of Science and Technology, Department of Ocean Science and Engineering, Shenzhen 518055, China

<sup>24</sup>Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 4.3, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>25</sup>Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, School of Archaeology, University of Oxford, OX13TG, UK

<sup>26</sup>Faculty of Mathematics, Astronomy and Physics (FAMAF), National University of Cordoba, Argentina and School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, Kensington, NSW, 2052, Australia

<sup>27</sup>Australian Centre for Ancient DNA, University of Adelaide, South Australia, SA 5000, Australia

<sup>28</sup>Archaeology and Natural History, School of Culture History and Language, ANU College of Asia and the Pacific, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

<sup>29</sup>Institute of Geography, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Germany

\* Equal first authors. Correspondence to alan.cooper@blueskygenetics.com (AC) and c.turney@unsw.edu.au (CSMT)

### Abstract

Our study on the exact timing, and potential climatic, environmental and evolutionary consequences of the Laschamps Geomagnetic Excursion has generated a novel hypothesis that geomagnetism represents an unrecognised driver in environmental and evolutionary change (1). It is important that this is tested with new data, and encouragingly, none of the studies presented by Picin *et al.* (2) undermine our model.

### Main Text

Numerous geomagnetic excursions have occurred throughout geological time, but currently we know very little about their potential impacts (1). During the late Quaternary, ancient DNA records have demonstrated that major population (and even species) extinction and replacement events have occurred relatively frequently, but often remain invisible within the fossil record (3). As a result, it is unclear what impact many earlier geomagnetic excursions, such as the Blake (~114ka) and post-Blake (~109ka), may have had on Neanderthal populations as mentioned by Picin *et al* (2). However, recent studies on European Neanderthal populations around this time (4) suggest that environmental changes caused population fragmentation around 115-100ka, while Spanish Neanderthal populations underwent a major population replacement around ~112-107ka, similar to the Laschamps observations.

As we noted (1), the environmental changes at 42ka are more obvious in sediment and glacial records in the Pacific region, whereas the pronounced Dansgaard-Oeschger cycles in the North Atlantic potentially obscure similar impacts. In this regard, it is important to recognise that the Greenland ice records do not represent global climate, but preserve northern Atlantic regional environmental changes. Nevertheless, the refined timing created via the kauri record reveals that the periods of collapsed magnetic field strength and implied cooling impacts during the Laschamps align very closely to Greenland Stadial-11 and the climatically anomalous GS-10 (1,5) (Fig. 1).

The staggered spatiotemporal pattern of European Neanderthal extinctions during the repeated (cold) stadials GS-12 to GS-10 has been explained as competitive exclusion from invading Anatomically Modern Human (AMH) populations re-expanding more rapidly after each cycle (6). Neanderthal population sizes and genetic diversity were decreasing throughout the Late Pleistocene but their survival through multiple glacial-interglacial cycles makes it seem unlikely that a standard Greenland Stadial (*i.e.* GS-10) alone could have caused their extinction. However, we know very little about the nature or rate of change of geomagnetic-

caused environmental changes during GS-10, which arguably could have been much faster or had more severe impacts (Fig. 1). We used the most comprehensive available compilation of high-quality radiocarbon dates (7) to show that the final ages of western European Neanderthal populations were coincident with the Laschamps excursion. This conclusion has been further reaffirmed by studies re-dating anomalously young dates such as from the site Spy (8), the impacts of the latest radiocarbon calibration curve (IntCal20) for this period (9), and seemingly by the additional data and figure presented by Picin *et al.* (2) (Fig. 1).

Picin et al. point out that in Europe 'Homo sapiens clearly survived the climatic consequences of the Laschamps' (2), however a recent study (led by one of the co-authors) demonstrated that the AMH populations before and after the Laschamps represent two genetically different populations (10), separated by a complete replacement around the Laschamps (Fig. 1). Specifically, Initial Upper Paleolithic AMH populations were replaced sometime after 45.3-42.6ka, immediately before Laschamps, while the subsequent Aurignacian populations appear during or shortly after the Laschamps. Indeed, both the (alternative) short and long Early Upper Paleolithic chronologies presented by Picin et al. (2) indicate a major transition associated with the Laschamps (Fig. 1), while the start of the Early Aurignacian is contemporaneous when calibrated with IntCal20 (9) or kauri-Hulu (1). More remarkably, the Aurignacian itself appears to end during the next major geomagnetic excursion, Mono Lake at 35ka (11) (Fig. 1), after which it is genetically replaced by Gravettian populations which first appear in eastern Europe at that time (12). The AMH record is important as there are few other detailed European megafaunal genetic records around Laschamps, making it challenging to detect local extinction events. However, a cluster of megafaunal genetic extinction events is apparent around Mono Lake, where records are more detailed (1,3).

We specifically stated that high UV levels during the Laschamps seem unlikely to have caused major negative impacts on early AMH populations such as extinctions or altered migration patterns (1). However, our climatic and solar physics models suggested the intense UV light radiation and other associated phenomena during short (1-2 day) Solar Energetic Particle (SEP) events during the Laschamps would be consistent with a sudden increase in global cave use, including a clear intensification in the appearance and diversification of early figurative cave art, and red ochre utilisation including hand stencils (1). As we suggested, the sudden increase in figurative art in disparate locations across Europe and southeast Asia probably represented a preservation bias associated with the increased use of caves (potentially as short-term shelter during SEP events). We also clearly stated that the quality and diversity of cave art at ~42ka implied figurative art was already well established, likely in the external environment such as rockshelter and cliff walls.

In the Southern Hemisphere, the peak of megafaunal extinction events in Australia has previously been estimated at 42ka (13), while recent work in northeast Australia (14) referred to by Picin *et al.* (2) reveals that the youngest megafaunal layers (dated between 41.8-38.4ka, 1sd) appear to be associated with environmental deterioration starting around this period (Fig. 1). Similarly, the youngest radiocarbon-dated megafaunal remains in Tasmania are 41.9-40.9ka (1) when calibrated using the new kauri-Hulu curve. Within southern Africa, spatiotemporally-staggered patterns of cultural transitions complicate interpretation, although we noted that the fully developed expression of Late Stone Age technologies ~42ka (Fig. 1) recorded at Border Cave matched parallel megafaunal changes at Boomplaas Cave. Importantly, we had

overlooked equatorial African palynological records which also detail major changes in vegetation patterns and moisture levels 43-40ka (16), parallel to those we report in the Pacific (1).

Our hypothesis that the Adams Transitional Geomagnetic Event and Laschamps excursion caused major global environmental (and climatic) impacts is based on preciselyaligned records and global chemistry-climate modelling. We do not claim to have resolved the full details of the mechanisms that drove global change or contemporaneous evolutionary events, as this will require further testing and analysis. However, Picin *et al.* (or Hawks) do not present any data that challenges our hypothesis, such that geomagnetic excursions remain a potentially important new environmental and evolutionary driver that has been previously overlooked.

# References

**1.** A. Cooper *et al.*, A global environmental crisis 42,000 years ago. *Science* **371**, 811-818 (2021). doi: 10.1126/science.abb8677

**2.** A. Picin *et al.*, Comment on "A global environmental crisis 42,000 years ago", *Science (in press)* 

**3.** A. Cooper *et al.*, Abrupt warming events drove Late Pleistocene Holarctic megafaunal turnover. *Science* **349**, 602-606 (2015). doi:10.1126/science.aac4315

**4.** B. Vernot *et al.*, Unearthing Neandertal population history using nuclear and mitochondrial DNA from cave sediments. *Science* April 15, (2021). 10.1126/science.abf1667

**5.** S.O. Rasmussen *et al.*, A stratigraphic framework for abrupt climatic changes during the Last Glacial period based on three synchronized Greenland ice-core records: refining and extending the INTIMATE event stratigraphy. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **106**, 14-28 (2014).

6. M. Staubwasser *et al.*, Impact of climate change on the transition of Neanderthals to modern humans in Europe. *PNAS* 115, 9116-9121 (2018). doi:10.1073/pnas.1808647115
7. T. Higham *et al.*, The timing and spatiotemporal patterning of Neanderthal disappearance. *Nature* 512, 306-9 (2014). doi:10.1038/nature13621

8. T. Deviese *et al.*, Reevaluating the timing of Neanderthal disappearance in Northwest Europe *PNAS* 118, (12) 2021 e2022466118; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022466118
9. E. Bard *et al.*, Extended dilation of the radiocarbon time scale between 40,000 and 48,000 y BP and the overlap between Neanderthals and Homo sapiens. *PNAS* 117, 21005-21007 (2020) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2012307117

**10.** M. Hajdinjak *et al.*, Initial Upper Paleolithic humans in Europe had recent Neanderthal ancestry. *Nature* 253-257, **592** (2021). doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03335-3

11. K. Douka *et al.*, A refined chronology for the Gravettian sequence of Abri Pataud, *J. Human Evolution* 141, 102730 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.102730
12. Q. Fu *et al.*, The genetic history of Ice Age Europe, *Nature* 534, 200–205 (2016) doi:10.1038/nature17993

**13.** F. Saltré, *et al.* Climate change not to blame for late Quaternary megafauna extinctions in Australia. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10511 (2016). doi:10.1038/ncomms10511

**14.** S.A. Hocknull *et al.*, Extinction of eastern Sahul megafauna coincides with sustained environmental deterioration. *Nature Communications* **11**, 2250 (2020).

**15.** A. Hamilton, D. Taylor. Palynological evidence for abrupt cooling in Equatorial Africa at about 43,000-40,000 cal BP. *Rev Paleobotany Palynology* **250**, 53-59 (2018).

