

This is a repository copy of *The prevalence of hazardous and harmful drinking in the UK Police Service, and their co-occurrence with job strain and mental health problems.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/187804/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Irizar, P., Gage, S.H., Field, M. orcid.org/0000-0002-7790-5559 et al. (2 more authors) (2021) The prevalence of hazardous and harmful drinking in the UK Police Service, and their co-occurrence with job strain and mental health problems. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences, 30. e51. ISSN 2045-7960

https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045796021000366

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences

cambridge.org/eps

Original Article

Cite this article: Irizar P, Gage SH, Field M, Fallon V, Goodwin L (2021). The prevalence of hazardous and harmful drinking in the UK Police Service, and their co-occurrence with job strain and mental health problems. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **30**, e51, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S2045796021000366

Received: 5 November 2020 Revised: 24 March 2021 Accepted: 17 May 2021

Key words:

Epidemiology; hazardous alcohol use; job strain; mental health

Author for correspondence: Patricia Irizar, E-mail: p.irizar@liverpool.ac.uk

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



The prevalence of hazardous and harmful drinking in the UK Police Service, and their co-occurrence with job strain and mental health problems

P. Irizar¹, S. H. Gage¹, M. Field², V. Fallon¹ and L. Goodwin¹

¹Department of Psychology, Institute of Population Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK and ²Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Abstract

Aims. Due to the stressful nature of policing, police employees are at risk of mental health problems and problematic alcohol use. We aim to determine the prevalence of hazardous and harmful alcohol use in the UK Police Service, and to explore the associations with job strain and mental health problems.

Methods. Cross-sectional data from the Airwave Health Monitoring Study ($N = 40\,986$) included measures of alcohol consumption (total units in past week), mental health (depression, anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder [PTSD]) and job strain. The associations between mental health and job strain with alcohol consumption (i.e. abstinence, low-risk [<14 units per week, reference group], hazardous [>14 to 35 units for women, >14 to 50 units for men], harmful [>35 units for women, >50 units for men]), were analysed using multinomial logistic regressions, adjusting for potential confounders (i.e. age, gender, ethnicity, marital status, children under 18, income and smoking status).

Results. A total of 32.6% of police employees reported hazardous drinking, with 3.0% drinking at harmful levels. Compared to those without a mental health problem, police employees with depression, anxiety or PTSD were twice as likely to be harmful drinkers and were also 1.3 times more likely to report abstinence. Those reporting low strain (reference group) were more likely to drink hazardously compared to those reporting high strain, which was statistically moderated by mental health. When the sample was stratified by mental health status, the association between low strain (compared to all other categories) and hazardous drinking, was significant only in those without a mental health problem.

Conclusions. These findings indicate that police employees may be an occupational group at risk of alcohol harm, with one-third drinking hazardously. The J-shaped relationship between mental health and alcohol use highlights a need for an integration of mental health and alcohol services, tailored for the UK Police Service.

Introduction

Policing is a stressful occupation, characterised by operational stressors such as frequent exposure to traumatic incidents (Tuckey *et al.*, 2012), and organisational stressors such as cuts to budgets, reducing officer numbers and increasing demands (Elliott-Davies, 2019); Allen and Audickas, 2020). UK Police Federation surveys identified that 67% of police employees reported an inability to meet demands and 60% reported low morale (Boag-Munroe, 2016; Elliott-Davies, 2019)). However, the surveys' low response rates could indicate a sampling bias towards those experiencing problems, which is common in occupational studies (Goodwin *et al.*, 2013). Nevertheless, exposure to operational and organisational stressors increases the risk of mental health problems (van der Velden *et al.*, 2010; Houdmont and Randall, 2016), which could lead to maladaptive coping behaviours, such as hazardous drinking (a pattern of alcohol consumption that increases someone's risk of harm) or harmful drinking (a pattern of alcohol consumption that is causing mental or physical damage) (Lindsay and Shelley, 2009; Brough *et al.*, 2016).

Data from 4193 Australian police officers, two decades ago, found that 32% met the criteria for hazardous drinking and 3% for harmful drinking (Davey *et al.*, 2000*a*, 2000*b*), compared to just 12.5% of the Australian general population drinking hazardously or harmfully (National Drug Strategy, 1996). Certain sociodemographic factors were associated with hazardous and harmful drinking: male gender, younger age, being single, lower education, smoking and hold-ing a lower job role (Davey *et al.*, 2000a, Davey *et al.*, 2001, Obst and Davey, 2003). More recent evidence from the United States (USA), estimated the prevalence of hazardous drinking to be 16% (similar to the US general population), although the sample size was relatively small

(Ballenger *et al.*, 2011). Additional literature identified that traumatic stressors increased the likelihood of hazardous drinking in officers (Violanti *et al.*, 2011; Chopko *et al.*, 2013).

Alcohol and mental health problems often co-occur, with several population-based studies demonstrating this relationship (Regier et al., 1990; Kessler et al., 1997; Burns and Teesson, 2002; Jane-Llopis et al., 2006). Within the UK general population, individuals with a mental health problem are twice as likely to have an alcohol problem, compared to those with no mental health problem (Farrell et al., 2001; Davis et al., 2020; Puddephatt et al., 2020). Contrarily, those with mental health problems are also more likely to abstain from alcohol (Goodwin et al., 2017), as they may avoid alcohol to prevent further mental health decline (Strid et al., 2018). It is well established that UK military personnel have higher levels of alcohol problems compared to the general population (Fear et al., 2007), with high comorbidity with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Head et al., 2016) and common mental disorders (Goodwin et al., 2017). Comparable levels of alcohol problems and comorbid mental health problems are predicted in UK police employees, due to occupational similarities, e.g. high-trauma exposure and a male-dominated culture which promotes risk-taking behaviours (Hales et al., 2015).

Job strain is a further risk factor for heavy drinking (Crum et al., 1995; Violanti et al., 2011), which may be exacerbated in UK police employees, given recent cuts (Boag-Munroe, 2016; Allen and Zayed, 2019). According to the strain hypothesis of job demand-control (JDC), those working in a high strain job (high demands and low control, i.e. an inability to set own goals and priorities) experience the lowest well-being (Karasek, 1979). This model has been expanded to the JDC-Support model, translated to the iso-strain hypothesis, whereby workers in an iso-strain job (i.e. high demands, low control and low support) have the most negative outcomes (Van der Doef and Maes, 1999; Häusser et al., 2010) as high support moderates the negative impact of high strain on well-being. In relation to alcohol use in police officers, early literature demonstrates a relationship between high strain and heavy drinking (Violanti et al., 1985; Kohan and O'connor, 2002; Violanti et al., 2011).

The current study aims to (i) determine the prevalence of hazardous and harmful alcohol use and frequent binge drinking (six or more drinks on one occasion, at least twice a month) in UK police employees; (ii) explore the associations between probable mental health (i.e. depression, anxiety and PTSD) and job strain, with alcohol use and (iii) examine whether the associations between job strain and alcohol use differ by the level of support or mental health status. It is hypothesised that those with a probable mental health problem or high job strain will be more likely to drink hazardously/harmfully or abstain from alcohol. It is expected that the association between high job strain and hazardous/harmful drinking will be stronger in individuals with lower support or a probable mental health problem.

This study is pre-registered on Open Science Framework, where the *a priori* research questions and hypotheses are outlined in more detail: DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/T8EKJ.

Methods

Study sample

The study sample is the Airwave Health Monitoring Study (Elliott *et al.*, 2014), which was open to all police forces across the UK. Baseline data were collected between June 2006 and March

2015 and this analysis is based on a sample of 40 986 police employees. A pilot study was completed in 2006 in one force (representing 6% of the total sample) and this version of the protocol did not include a measure of PTSD. Recruitment was then rolled out across the 28 participating forces (out of 54), recruiting approximately 3000–6000 participants each year (except for 2015, where only 1674 participants were recruited). The response rate averaged 50% across participating forces (range: 6–74%). At the time of recruitment, there were a total of 259 283 police employees in the UK; the present sample represents approximately 16% of the target population (Hargreaves *et al.*, 2016). The ethnicity of the sample is representative, as 95% of police employees were White in the overall Police Service and in the sample, and the gender composition of the sample is also representative (Allen and Audickas, 2020).

Data collection

The Airwave Health Monitoring Study was established to determine possible health risks associated with the use of Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA), a digital communication system used by police forces since 2001 (Elliott *et al.*, 2014), and wider health issues. Data were collected via an enrolment questionnaire through administration or occupational health services and health screens conducted by trained nurses. The study measured sociodemographics, occupational variables, TETRA usage, medical history, physical health, blood and urine samples, diet and alcohol use and some mental health variables. The Airwave Health Monitoring Study design and protocol have been described in detail elsewhere (Elliott *et al.*, 2014).

Measures

The outcome variable was categories of alcohol use, used by the Health Survey for England (NHS Digital, 2018), based on the UK Chief Medical Officer's guidelines for low-risk drinking (0–14 units) (Department of Health and Social Care, 2016) and National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance for hazardous use (above 14 to 35/50 units for women/men), and harmful use (above 35/50 units for women/men) (NICE, 2014). Participants were asked if they drink alcohol, those who answered 'no', were defined as 'non-drinkers'. Remaining participants completed a past weekly drinks diary, for the following: red wine, white wine, fortified wine, spirits, beer (converted into units). One item measured binge drinking (i.e. six or more drinks on one occasion). Participants who reported binge drinking at least two to four times a month were coded as 'frequently binge drinks'.

The explanatory variables were measures of mental health and job strain. Symptoms of depression were measured using the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), with a validated cut-off of 10 indicating probable depression (range from 0 to 27) (Kroenke *et al.*, 2001). Responses were given on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 'not at all' to 'nearly every day'. Symptoms of anxiety were measured using the 7-item anxiety subscale of the Hospital Depression and Anxiety Score (HADS-A), with a validated cut-off of 11 indicating probable anxiety (scores range from 0 to 21) (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983). Probable PTSD was measured using the 10-item Trauma Screen Questionnaire (TSQ). Responses are usually binary (yes or no), but a 4-point Likert scale was used ('not at all' to 'extremely'), therefore 'not at all' was coded as 0 and remaining responses were coded as 1, using a cut-off of 6 (scores range from 0 to 10) (Brewin *et al.*, 2002). The TSQ was only administered if participants reported a traumatic experience in the past 6 months (N = 5539), coding the remaining participants as 'non-case' for PTSD.

Job strain was measured using ten items of the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ) (Karasek, 1985), with four items measuring support (e.g. when having difficulties at work, I get support from colleagues), four items measuring control (e.g. I have a lot of say about what I do) and two items measuring demand (e.g. I have an excessive amount of work to do). A quadrant approach (combining demand with control) was used and participants were grouped into high (high demand, low control), low (low demand, high control), active (high demand, high control) and passive (low demand, low control) strain, using the sample median scores (Gibson *et al.*, 2018). Support was kept continuous (ranging from 4 to 16) as the data were skewed towards higher support (i.e. median = 14).

Sociodemographic measures included gender, age, country (England, Wales and Scotland), marital status, ethnicity, education and number of children under 18. Occupational measures included years in the police force, police role (police officer, police staff, other – police officers are those who have completed a 2-year probationary period and become a serving officer; police staff refers to a range of roles, such as intelligence analysts, administration, response call operators, custody and detention staff) and income. Health measures included days of sickness absence in the past year and smoking status.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages, with 95% confidence intervals [CIs]) were reported for sample characteristics and each category of alcohol consumption, binge drinking, probable mental health problems and job strain. Median units with interquartile range (IQR) were reported for categories of alcohol consumption and binge drinking.

Unadjusted and adjusted logistic or multinomial logistic regressions determined the associations between probable depression, anxiety and PTSD, with alcohol use (reference group: low risk) and binge drinking as outcomes. The regressions were adjusted for an *a priori* predefined list of potential confounders believed to be associated with alcohol use: age, gender, ethnicity, marital status, children under 18, income and smoking status.

Unadjusted and adjusted logistic or multinomial logistic regressions examined the associations between job strain and alcohol use or binge drinking, adjusting for the same potential confounders. Two interaction terms were created (job strain \times job support, job strain \times mental health), to determine whether job support and/or the presence of any mental health problem moderated the relationship between job strain and alcohol use.

Exploratory logistic regressions or multinomial logistic regressions, which adjusted for age and gender, examined the sociodemographic, occupational and health associations with alcohol use and binge drinking as the outcomes. Exploratory sensitivity analysis of non-drinkers examined mental health differences in never drinkers *v*. former drinkers, using unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analyses. Exploratory descriptive statistics, presenting key explanatory variables and the outcome variable, separated by year of data collection, were conducted to explore crosssectional trends over time, and are reported and discussed in the online Supplementary materials. All statistical analyses were conducted in the statistical package STATA SE 15 (Stata Corporation, 2017).

Missing data

The proportion of missing data for the variables of interest was less than 1%, except for PTSD (6%, N = 2469) and police role (9%, N = 3859). Police role was only included in the online Supplementary analysis, exploring the demographic associations with alcohol use (online Supplementary Table S1). PTSD was only included as an explanatory variable when looking at the association between mental health and alcohol use, with a final sample size of 38 517 for this analysis.

Ethics

The Airwave Health Monitoring Study received ethical approval from the National Health Service multi-site research ethics committee (MREC/13/NW/0588). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

Sample characteristics

The sample characteristics ($N = 40\,986$) are described in Table 1. A total of 70.1% of the sample were police officers and 27.9% were police staff. Only 20.7% of the sample had served for less than 5 years, at the time of enrolment into the study, and 45.8% had been serving for more than 20 years. Few police employees reported an income above £60 000 (3.2%), with the majority earning between £26 000 and £37 999. Men made up 62.9% of the sample. Approximately 38.8% of the sample were aged 40–49, with the mean age being 40.55 (±8.92). Almost 95% of the sample were White and 76.5% of the sample obtained qualifications higher than GSCEs or equivalent. Almost 10% were current smokers.

Prevalence estimates

Prevalence estimates for the alcohol categories are outlined in Table 2. Most of the sample were low-risk drinkers (55.1%), with 32.6% meeting the criteria for hazardous use, and 3.0% for harmful use. When separated by gender, 19.4% of women and 40.4% of men were hazardous drinkers; 2.5% of women and 3.4% of men were harmful drinkers. A total of 9% reported abstinence (11.9% of women and 7.6% of men). For frequent binge drinking, 21.2% of women and 35.6% of men met criteria. Of the hazardous drinkers, 60.3% reported frequent binge drinking, with 88.9% of harmful drinkers frequently binge drinking (online Supplementary Table S1).

The mental health and job strain characteristics of the sample are described in Table 2. In total, 9.8% met the criteria for probable depression, 8.4% for anxiety and 4% for PTSD. Around 27% were categorised as having low job strain, 24% as high job strain, 28% as active job strain and 21% were categorised as having passive job strain.

The prevalence estimates for the categories of alcohol consumption, probable mental health problems, job strain and key demographic variables (mean age, ethnicity and gender composition), separated by year of data collection (in 3–4 year bands), are presented and discussed in the online Supplementary materials. As the data were collected over a long period of time, these

 Table 1. Sociodemographic, occupational and health characteristics of participants (N = 40 986)

Gender 40 986 (0.00) Mon 25 788 62.22 62.45 6 05.39 Mone 15 138 37.08 35.65 18 37.05 Age 40 996 (0.00) - - 40-49 15 919 38.45 38.37 10 35.11 32-39 13 547 33.05 32.26 10 35.11 50-50 2027 12.10 12.13 12.14 10 1.12 36-90 5007 12.31 12.13 12.16 10 1.12 560 2027 12.31 12.13 12.16 10.12 560 30.37 33.17 33.16 10 .72 12.10 560 30.37 31.31 13.12 77.66 10 .80 560 30.37 31.31 31.22 7.66 10 .80 Diborced/sparated 31.37 31.32 13.16 10 .71 Statiad 40.82 10.39 31.22 7.66 10 .80 Education 40.82 10.39 31.32 13.26 10 .31.19 Education 40.82 10.39 31.22 7.26 10 .80 Wales	Characteristic	Complete N (% missing)	Ν	%	95% CI
Men 25.788 42.29 42.45 to 6.33 Women 13.188 37.00 36.61 to 7.55 Age 49.995 (0.00) 38.04 38.37 to 3.9.31 4-9 55.33 33.05 33.804 38.37 to 3.9.31 2-9 38.04 38.37 to 3.9.31 33.04 38.37 to 3.9.31 50-59 52.07 12.70 12.39 to 13.30 53.50 50-59 30.07 32.39 33.304 53.30	Gender	40 986 (0.00)			
Momen 15 198 37.08 36.6 to 37.55 Age 40-40 15 15 19 38.44 38.37 to 37.91 -220 5653 1.3.79 1.3.46 to 1.4.12 30-39 1.3.56 to 1.2.20 2.3.20 1.3.25 1.2.20 1.2.20 to 1.2.30 1.3.66 to 1.4.12 30-39 2.2.00 2.3.20 1.2.30<	Men		25 788	62.92	62.45 to 63.39
Age40 986 (0.00)40-9015 913.8.413.8.37 16 30.41.0-2958531.3.701.3.61 16 14.1.030-3013.0471.3.052.2.20 10 3.3.150-505001.001.2.29 10 13.015001.001.001.2.29 10 13.015001.001.001.2.29 10 13.015001.001.0.101.2.29 10 13.015001.001.0.101.2.29 10 13.015001.011.0.101.2.29 10 2.0.105001.011.0.101.2.29 10 2.0.105001.011.0.101.2.105001.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.011.0.101.0.1050101.01	Women		15 198	37.08	36.61 to 37.55
40 1519 38,54 38,37 to 39.31 79 .563 11,77 13,46 to 14.12 30-39 .5207 12,70 12,29 to 13,03 50-59 .5207 12,70 12,29 to 13,03 >-60 .5207 12,70 12,29 to 13,03 >-60 .5207 12,70 12,29 to 13,03 >-60 .5207 .72,67 77,26 to 7,60.3 Marted (xtababting .31,71 8,12 .75,6 to 8,0.3 Single .495,87 .13,8 12,20 .22,8 to 2,47 Country .40,26 (1,83)	Age	40 986 (0.00)			
49 563 13.79 13.46 to 14.12 30-39 13.547 31.05 3.2.00 to 13.15 50-59 12.70 12.230 to 13.03 -60 660 1.61 1.49 to 1.74 Mariatide/cohabiting 40.523 (0.39) 110 77.67 77.26 to 78.07 Marriatide/cohabiting 31.70 7.12 7.67 to 8.30 11.58 to 12.21 Other 31.7 8.12 7.66 to 8.30 11.58 to 12.21 Other 31.7 8.12 7.86 to 8.30 11.58 to 12.21 Other 31.7 8.12 7.85 to 8.30 11.58 to 12.21 Other 39.47 2.23 2.38 to 2.47 2.00 to 7.11 Scotand 6428 1.59 1.55 to 16.34 11.29 1.55 to 16.34 Weles 53.34 1.32 1.25 to 16.34 1.349 3.03 to 13.19 A levels/higher or equivalent (MQ3) 1.36 to 31.36 3.344 3.341 to 31.39 3.25 to 2.34 A levels/higher or equivalent (MQ1+2) 2.66 (0.49) 1.20 to 1.22 1.66 (0.	40-49		15 919	38.84	38.37 to 39.31
30-9913 54733 0532 20 to 33.3150-995006001.611.49 to 1.709601.611.49 to 1.741.43 to 1.70Married/schabiling40 328 (0.39)77.5777.25 to 7.07Diverced/separated31 71 077.5777.25 to 7.07Diverced/separated31 71 077.5777.25 to 7.07Diverced/separated1.3181.138 to 1.22Other9472.322.18 to 2.47Country40 256 (1.83)70.7570.30 to 71.19Stodand64881.5981.56 to 1.54Stodand64281.5981.56 to 1.54Weles53431.3281.235 to 1.34Stodand1.91733.44 o3.304 to 3.304 to 3.304GSCE/O-level or below1.92603.1743.229 to 3.24A levels/higher or equivalent (WQ3)1.92603.1743.229 to 3.24Stodand qualifications1.1327.747.731 to 2.81Vactional qualifications (WQ1 + 2)2.66 Ad1.921.92 to 3.24Black40828 (0.39)1.974.938 to 1.91Ohrer5991.371.26 to 1.42Other5991.371.26 to 1.42Jala40 282 (0.31)1.92 to 3.242.29 to 2.31Childer under 1840 282 (0.31)1.26 to 1.42Other5991.371.26 to 1.42Other5992.31 to 5.121.92Jala1.92 to 2.31 to 5.121.921.93 to 5.13Jala<	<29		5653	13.79	13.46 to 14.12
59-5912.7012.7012.3012.30>601.601.211.49 to 1.74Martial status40.828 (0.39)31.71077.6777.26 to 78.07Divorced/separated33.171077.6777.26 to 78.07Divorced/separated33.171077.6277.26 to 78.07Other49341.2322.18 to 2.47Other49472.232.18 to 2.47Country40.226 (1.83)1.5481.548England2.246570.7577.0.30 to 7.1.9Sotland40.828 (0.39)1.5431.232Education40.828 (0.39)3.304 to 3.305SCE(/O-level or below1.36753.3.493.3.04 to 3.3.95A level/shigher or equivalent (WQ3)1.366327.712.7.81 to 3.27Ethnicity40.786 (0.49)1.36759.452 to 54.61White3.86431.070.98 to 1.18Mixed race40.828 (0.39)1.571.32Oher2.073750.7950.31 to 51.28Jand40.828 (0.39)1.571.32Oher2.073750.7950.31 to 51.28Jand40.828 (0.39)1.572.33Oher2.073750.7950.31 to 51.28Jand40.828 (0.39)1.572.33Oher2.073750.7950.31 to 51.28Jand40.828 (0.39)1.572.33Oher2.073750.7950.31 to 51.28Jand40.828 (0.39)1.572.35Ohe	30–39		13 547	33.05	32.60 to 33.51
>60161149 to 1/49Martial status40 828 (0.39)Martial status317 077.67Divorced/separated31378.12Single485411.89Other4972.21Other4972.23Country40236 (1.83)England2.846570.75Scotland40 828 (0.39)Education40280 (0.39)GSCE/O-level or below13.67533.49Also 20031.7431.29 to 32.00Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications11.2657.02Vocational qualifications (WQ1 + 2)2864394.75Vocational qualifications (WQ1 + 2)2864394.75White3864394.7594.25 to 94.95Also 200280737.026.78 to 72.05Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications (WQ1 + 2)28677.02Vocational qualifications (WQ1 + 2)2864394.75Mirke3864394.7594.52 to 94.95Alson6001.221.02 to 1.22Other5901.371.25 to 1.42Junce40928 (0.39)1.25 to 1.42Other ance40.931 (0.13)1.95 to 20.311-10020.73750.7950.31 to 51.42Junce116.7930.983.95 to 20.3123.07 more20.932.25 to 21.55 to 22.551-10020.73750.792.25 to 1.021-201116.7930.933.01.401-202116.70 <td< td=""><td>50–59</td><td></td><td>5207</td><td>12.70</td><td>12.39 to 13.03</td></td<>	50–59		5207	12.70	12.39 to 13.03
Marital status40 828 (0.39)Marital status31 7107.6777.26 to 78.07Divorced/separated31378.127.78 to 8.83Single484511.8911.518 to 12.21Other9472.322.18 to 2.47Country40 236 (1.83)11.51811.518England28.46570.7570.30 to 7.19Scotland40 828 (0.39)12.55 to 13.61Education40 828 (0.39)31.4231.20 to 32.10A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3)12.6531.4333.04 to 33.50A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3)12.667.026.73 to 7.12Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications11.32627.7427.31 to 28.18Vocational qualifications (NVQ1 + 2)28677.026.78 to 7.20Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications11.32627.7427.31 to 28.18White6001.691.57 to 1.62Black40.786 (0.49)1.57 to 1.621.12Other591.371.02 to 1.22Dider ranks40.931 (0.13)1.25 to 1.2817.0220.73750.7950.31 to 51.2811.2020.73750.7950.31 to 51.2811.201.26 to 1.401.552.2301.211.251.26 to 1.281.55 to 20.3111.201.26 to 1.281.55 to 20.311.55 to 20.3111.201.26 to 1.281.26 to 1.281.55 to 20.311	>60		660	1.61	1.49 to 1.74
Married/cehabiting 31 710 77.67 77.26 to 7.807 Divorced/separated 3317 8.12 7.86 to 8.39 Single 4854 11.89 11.158 to 12.21 Other 947 2.22 2.18 to 2.47 Country 40236 (1.83) 70.75 70.30 to 71.19 Sortland 28 465 70.75 70.30 to 71.19 Sortland 40 236 (0.39) 13.28 13.28 13.28 Education 40 828 (0.39) 31.44 31.20 to 32.50 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11.326 27.74 27.31 to 2.81 Vocational qualifications (IVQ1 + 2) 267 7.62 6.78 to 7.27 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49) 1.97 9.452 to 94.96 Alsan 660 1.69 1.57 to 182 Black 40 786 (0.49) 1.93 1.95 to 12.0 Uhite 3643 94.75 94.52 to 94.96 Alsan 660 1.69 1.57 to 182 Black 1.02 1.012 1.010 to 121	Marital status	40 828 (0.39)			
Divorced/separated 3317 8.12 7.86 to 8.39 Single 4854 1.69 11.58 to 12.1 Other 49236 (1.83) 2.218 to 2.47 Country 40236 (1.83) 70.75 70.30 to 7.119 Scotland 28.465 70.75 70.30 to 7.119 Scotland 40236 (0.39) 15.62 to 16.34 Wales 33.49 33.304 to 33.95 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 13.675 33.49 33.04 to 33.92 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 13.265 27.74 27.31 to 28.10 Vacational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 28643 94.75 94.52 to 94.60 Ethinicity 40766 (0.49) 15.01 1.20 27.21 6.78 to 7.27 Black 40.828 (0.39) 1.57 to 15.2 1.20 1.57 to 15.2 1.20 Other 539 1.37 1.26 to 14.9 1.20 to 14.9 Asian 40828 (0.39) 1.20 to 12.9 1.21 to 12.9 1.21 to 12.9 Other 1.39 1.91.55 5.85 to 6.11.2 1	Married/cohabiting		31 710	77.67	77.26 to 78.07
Single 4854 11.89 11.58 to 12.21 Other 947 2.32 2.18 to 2.47 Country 40236 (1.83)	Divorced/separated		3317	8.12	7.86 to 8.39
Other 947 2.32 2.18 to 2.47 Country 40236 (1.83)	Single		4854	11.89	11.58 to 12.21
County 40 236 (1.83) England 28 465 70.75 70.30 to 71.19 Soctland 6428 15.38 15.28 15.29 Bducation 40 828 (0.39) 33.04 to 33.85 33.04 to 33.95 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 12 960 31.74 31.29 to 32.20 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11 2367 7.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2067 7.09 7.03 to 7.21 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2067 7.09 7.03 to 7.21 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49) 157 to 1.82 157 to 1.82 Mike race 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Back 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mike race 40 828 (0.39) 1.26 to 1.49 1.26 to 1.49 O ther 20737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 J 40 828 (0.39) 2.29 to 23.81 2.30 Vears in police force 40 931 (0.13) 1.26 to 1.49 Vears in police force 40 931 (0.13) 2.29 to 23.	Other		947	2.32	2.18 to 2.47
England 28 465 70.73 70.30 to 71.19 Scotland 6428 15.82 15.62 to 16.34 Wales 53.03 3.129 to 13.01 Education 40.828 (0.39) 33.04 to 33.92 SCE(O-level or below 126 75 33.49 33.04 to 33.92 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 126 70 31.74 31.29 to 32.01 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11.326 27.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2867 7.02 6.78 to 7.37 Ethnicity 40.786 (0.49)	Country	40 236 (1.83)			
Scatland 6428 15.92 15.62 to 16.34 Wales 5343 13.28 12.95 to 13.61 Education 40.828 (0.39) 33.04 to 33.95 GSCE(O-level or below 13.675 33.49 33.04 to 33.92 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 12.960 31.74 31.22 to 32.20 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11.325 27.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2.867 7.02 6.78 to 7.27 Ethnicity 40.786 (0.49)	England		28 465	70.75	70.30 to 71.19
Wales 5343 13.28 12.29 to 13.61 Education 40 828 (0.39)	Scotland		6428	15.98	15.62 to 16.34
Education 40 828 (0.39) GSCE/O-level or below 13 675 33.49 3.04 to 33.95 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 12 960 31.74 31.29 to 32.20 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11326 27.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 267 7.02 6.78 to 7.20 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49)	Wales		5343	13.28	12.95 to 13.61
GSCE/O-level or below 13 675 33.49 33.04 to 33.95 A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 12 960 31.74 31.29 to 32.20 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11 326 27.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2867 7.02 6.78 to 7.27 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49)	Education	40 828 (0.39)			
A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3) 12960 31.74 31.29 to 32.20 Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11326 27.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2867 7.02 6.78 to 7.27 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49) 94.52 to 94.96 Asian 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 30 or more 20737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 3 or more 2000 5.88 2.55 to 2.03.10 1 2.02 552 2.3.40 2.29 to 2.3.61 1 1.20 12679 30.98 3.0.53 to 31.43 1 2.20 52.5 2.2.15 to 2.2.65 2.2.15 to 2.2.65 6-10 9231 2.2.55 2.2.15 to 2.2.65 2.2.15 to 2.2.65 More than 20 10555 25.79 25.37 to	GSCE/O-level or below		13 675	33.49	33.04 to 33.95
Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications 11 326 27.74 27.31 to 28.18 Vocational qualifications (NVQ1 + 2) 2867 7.02 6.78 to 7.27 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49) 94.52 to 94.96 Asian 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 0 ther 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 0.31 to 51.28 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.13 1 40 828 (0.39) 1.55 to 20.33 2.24 to 2.29 to 2.340 2.29 to 2.340 2 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.12 1 3 or more 200 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 1.22 1.24 to 2.18 11-20 12 679 30.98 3.05.31 to 31.43 12 est than 5 52 5.79 2.53.71 to	A levels/higher or equivalent (NVQ3)		12 960	31.74	31.29 to 32.20
Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2) 2667 7.02 6.78 to 7.27 Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49) 38 643 94.75 94.52 to 94.96 Asian 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 91.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 3 or more 2003 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 12 2.99 to 23.81 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 Less than 5 8466 20.68 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Po	Bachelor degree/postgraduate qualifications		11 326	27.74	27.31 to 28.18
Ethnicity 40 786 (0.49) White 38 643 94.75 94.52 to 94.96 Asian 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 9 9 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 8139 19.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2030 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 12.255 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37	Vocational qualifications (NVQ1+2)		2867	7.02	6.78 to 7.27
White 38 643 94,75 94,52 to 94,96 Asian 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 1.07 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 1 30 more 20737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.12 1 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.12 1.26 to 1.49 1 30 more 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1.26 3 or more 40 931 (0.13) 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 1.26 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 1.055 25.79 <	Ethnicity	40 786 (0.49)			
Asian 690 1.69 1.57 to 1.82 Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 8139 19.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 2.99 to 23.81 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 3 co.29 to 21.08 2.029 to 21.08 2.021 to 22.50 2.021 to 22.50	White		38 643	94.75	94.52 to 94.96
Black 438 1.07 0.98 to 1.18 Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 8139 19.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 20 737 50.79 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 9552 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 40 931 (0.13) 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 5.81 30.53 to 31.43 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 Less than 5 8466 20.68 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police ataff 10 361 <td>Asian</td> <td></td> <td>690</td> <td>1.69</td> <td>1.57 to 1.82</td>	Asian		690	1.69	1.57 to 1.82
Mixed race 456 1.12 1.02 to 1.22 Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 8139 19.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 Less than 5 20.29 to 21.08 20.29 to 21.08 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Black		438	1.07	0.98 to 1.18
Other 559 1.37 1.26 to 1.49 Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 8139 19.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 9552 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 Less than 5 8466 20.68 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 55.5 27.91 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Mixed race		456	1.12	1.02 to 1.22
Children under 18 40 828 (0.39) 0 20 737 50.79 50.31 to 51.28 1 8139 19.93 19.55 to 20.33 2 9552 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 Less than 5 8466 20.68 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 74.5 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Other		559	1.37	1.26 to 1.49
02073750.7950.31 to 51.281813919.9319.55 to 20.332955223.4022.99 to 23.813 or more24005.885.65 to 6.11Years in police force40 931 (0.13)5.883.053 to 31.4311-2012 67930.9830.53 to 31.43Less than 5846620.6820.29 to 21.086-10923122.5522.15 to 22.96More than 2010 55525.7925.37 to 26.21Role37 127 (9.42)70.1169.65 to 70.58Police officer26 03170.1169.65 to 70.58Police staff10 36127.9127.45 to 28.37Other ranks7351.981.84 to 2.13	Children under 18	40 828 (0.39)			
1813919.9319.55 to 20.332955223.4022.99 to 23.813 or more24005.885.65 to 6.11Years in police force40 931 (0.13)12 67930.9811-2012 67930.9830.53 to 31.43Less than 5846620.6820.29 to 21.086-10923122.5522.15 to 22.96More than 2010 55525.7925.37 to 26.21Role37 127 (9.42)10 36170.1169.65 to 70.58Police officer26 03170.1169.65 to 70.58Police staff10 36127.9127.45 to 28.37Other ranks7351.981.84 to 2.13	0		20 737	50.79	50.31 to 51.28
2 9552 23.40 22.99 to 23.81 3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13)	1		8139	19.93	19.55 to 20.33
3 or more 2400 5.88 5.65 to 6.11 Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 1-20 12 679 30.98 20.29 to 21.08 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	2		9552	23.40	22.99 to 23.81
Years in police force 40 931 (0.13) 11-20 12 679 30.98 30.53 to 31.43 Less than 5 8466 20.68 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	3 or more		2400	5.88	5.65 to 6.11
11-2012 67930.9830.53 to 31.43Less than 5846620.6820.29 to 21.086-10923122.5522.15 to 22.96More than 2010 55525.7925.37 to 26.21Role37 127 (9.42)70.1169.65 to 70.58Police officer26 03170.1169.65 to 70.58Police staff10 36127.9127.45 to 28.37Other ranks7351.981.84 to 2.13	Years in police force	40 931 (0.13)			
Less than 5 8466 20.68 20.29 to 21.08 6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	11–20		12 679	30.98	30.53 to 31.43
6-10 9231 22.55 22.15 to 22.96 More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42) 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Less than 5		8466	20.68	20.29 to 21.08
More than 20 10 555 25.79 25.37 to 26.21 Role 37 127 (9.42)	6-10		9231	22.55	22.15 to 22.96
Role 37 127 (9.42) Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	More than 20		10 555	25.79	25.37 to 26.21
Police officer 26 031 70.11 69.65 to 70.58 Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Role	37 127 (9.42)			
Police staff 10 361 27.91 27.45 to 28.37 Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Police officer		26 031	70.11	69.65 to 70.58
Other ranks 735 1.98 1.84 to 2.13	Police staff		10 361	27.91	27.45 to 28.37
	Other ranks		735	1.98	1.84 to 2.13

Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences

Table 1.	(Continued.)
----------	--------------

Characteristic	Complete N (% missing)	Ν	%	95% CI
Income	40 828 (0.39)			
£26 000-37 999		16 820	41.20	40.72 to 41.68
Less than £25 999		8832	21.63	21.24 to 22.03
£38 000-59 999		13 869	33.97	33.51 to 34.43
More than £60 000		1307	3.20	3.03 to 3.38
Days of sickness	40 928 (0.14)			
None		18 956	46.32	45.83 to 46.80
1–5		13 504	32.99	32.54 to 33.45
6-10		3703	9.05	8.77 to 9.33
More than 10		4765	11.64	11.34 to 11.96
Smoking status	40 934 (0.13)			
Not smoker		36 902	90.15	89.86 to 90.43
Current smoker		4032	9.85	9.57 to 10.14

descriptive statistics can be used to cautiously observe crosssectional trends. The limitations of this approach are outlined in the online Supplementary materials.

Associations between mental health, job strain and alcohol use

Compared to those without a mental health problem, police employees with probable depression and anxiety were 1.3 times as likely to abstain from alcohol, with a weak association between PTSD and abstinence (Table 3). Those with depression, anxiety and PTSD were also twice as likely to drink harmfully and frequently binge drink (Table 4). All associations remained after adjusting for potential confounders, with the associations between anxiety and depression with harmful drinking/binge drinking, stronger after adjustments. Those with PTSD and anxiety were 1.3 times more likely to report hazardous drinking, with anxiety only becoming statistically significant after adjustments. After adjustment, police employees reporting low strain were significantly more likely to drink hazardously, or frequently binge drink, compared to those who reported high strain.

Exploratory sensitivity analysis, using only 'non-drinkers', identified that 'former drinkers' (responded 'yes' to ever drinking alcohol) were significantly more likely to report depression and anxiety than 'never drinkers' (responded 'no' to ever drinking alcohol), but the association with anxiety was not significant after adjustments (online Supplementary Table S2).

Moderating effect of support or mental health on the association between job strain and alcohol use

The interaction term between support and job strain was not associated with the categories of alcohol use or binge drinking. The interaction term between mental health (meeting criteria for any mental health problem) and job strain was not associated with binge drinking but was significantly associated with the categories of alcohol use. Specifically, the interaction between mental health and active job strain was significantly associated with hazardous drinking, and the interaction between high job strain and mental health was significantly associated with harmful drinking.

To explore these interactions, the sample was stratified by the presence/absence of a mental health problem (Table 5). For those without a mental health problem, low strain (relative to high and passive strain) was associated with a greater risk of hazardous or harmful drinking, remaining significant after adjustments. For those with a mental health problem, active strain (relative to low strain) was associated with a greater risk of hazardous drinking, but this attenuated to the null after adjustment for confounders.

Exploratory associations between sociodemographic, occupational and health variables with alcohol use

Men were more likely than women to report hazardous and harmful drinking (online Supplementary Table S3). Those aged 40-49 (reference) were more likely to drink hazardously than any other age group, and more likely to drink harmfully than those under 39. Being single (reference: married) was associated with harmful drinking. Those who had served for 11-20 years (reference) were more likely to be hazardous or harmful drinkers than those who had served for less than 10 years, but less likely than those who had served for over 20 years. Police officers (reference) were more likely to be hazardous or harmful drinkers than police staff. Those with more than 10 days of sickness (reference: none) were more likely to drink harmfully but also more likely to report abstinence. Being a current smoker was associated with almost three times greater odds of harmful alcohol use. Similar associations were observed with binge drinking as the outcome (online Supplementary Table S4).

Discussion

Key findings

This is the first and largest study of alcohol use in the UK Police Service. Approximately one-third of police employees reported

	Median units (IQR)	Total N	% (95% CI)	Men N	% (95% CI)	Women N	% (95% CI)			
Summary of past week alcohol consumption (N = 40 986)										
Non-drinker	0	3764	9.18 (8.91 to 9.47)	1950	7.56 (7.25 to 7.89)	1814	11.94 (11.43 to 12.46)			
Up to 14 units (low risk)	5.5 (2.5 to 9.5)	22 612	55.17 (54.69 to 55.65)	12 558	48.70 (48.09 to 49.31)	10 054	66.15 (65.40 to 66.90)			
Between 14 and 35/50 units (hazardous)	22.5 (18.0 to 29.5)	13 365	32.61 (32.16 to 33.06)	10 412	40.38 (39.78 to 40.98)	2953	19.43 (18.80 to 20.07)			
35+ units for women, 50+ units for men (harmful)	56.0 (50.5 to 66.0)	1245	3.04 (2.88 to 3.21)	868	3.37 (3.15 to 3.59)	377	2.48 (2.24 to 2.74)			
Binge drinking (N = 40 986)										
Does not binge drink	5.5 (0.0 to 12.0)	28 577	69.72 (69.28 to 70.17)	16 598	64.36 (63.78 to 64.95)	11 979	78.82 (78.16 to 79.46)			
Frequent binge drinking ^a	21.5 (14.0 to 32.0)	12 409	30.28 (29.83 to 30.72)	9190	35.64 (35.05 to 36.22)	3219	21.18 (20.54 to 21.84)			
Mental health ($N = 40372$) ^b										
Depression caseness (PHQ-9)	-	3958	9.80 (9.52 to 10.10)	2090	8.24 (7.91 to 8.58)	1868	12.45 (11.94 to 12.99)			
Anxiety caseness (HADS)	-	3.407	8.44 (8.17 to 8.71)	1578	6.22 (5.93 to 6.52)	1829	12.19 (11.68 to 12.73)			
PTSD caseness (BTS)	-	1520	3.95 (3.75 to 4.15)	979	4.05 (3.81 to 4.31)	541	3.76 (3.47 to 4.09)			
Job strain (JCQ) (N = 40 372)										
Low	-	11 015	27.28 (26.85 to 27.72)	7515	29.62 (29.06 to 30.18)	3500	23.33 (22.66 to 24.02)			
High	-	9722	24.08 (23.67 to 24.50)	5799	22.86 (22.34 to 23.38)	3923	26.16 (25.46 to 26.86)			
Active	-	11 246	27.86 (27.42 to 28.30)	7454	29.38 (28.82 to 29.94)	3792	25.28 (24.59 to 25.98)			
Passive	-	8389	20.78 (20.39 to 21.18)	4605	18.15 (17.68 to 18.62)	3784	25.23 (24.53 to 25.92)			

Table 2. Proportions and percentages for past week alcohol consumption, binge drinking, mental health and job strain

IQR, interquartile range; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (Kroenke *et al.*, 2001); HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (anxiety sub-scale only) (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983); BTS, Brief Trauma Screen (Brewin *et al.*, 2002); JCQ, 6 items from Job Content Questionnaire (Karasek, 1985).

^aFrequent binge drinking defined as 6 or more units, at least 2 to 4 times a month. ^bTotal N for PTSD = 38517.

б

	Non-drinkers		Low risk (ref)		Hazardous use			Harmful use		
Characteristic	N (%)	MOR (95% CI)	AMOR (95% CI)	N (%)	N (%)	MOR (95% CI)	AMOR (95% CI)	N (%)	MOR (95% CI)	AMOR (95% CI)
Mental health										
Depression case	474 (11.98)	1.41 (1.26 to 1.56)***	1.34 (1.20 to 1.49)***	2098 (53.01)	1160 (29.31)	0.93 (0.87 to 1.01)	1.04 (0.97 to 1.13)	226 (5.71)	2.18 (1.88 to 2.54)***	2.30 (1.97 to 2.69)***
Anxiety case	386 (11.33)	1.35 (1.20 to 1.52)***	1.32 (1.17 to 1.48)***	1757 (51.57)	1090 (31.99)	1.06 (0.98 to 1.15)	1.27 (1.17 to 1.38)***	174 (5.11)	1.94 (1.64 to 2.29)***	2.20 (1.85 to 2.61)***
PTSD case	155 (10.20)	1.29 (1.08 to 1.54)**	1.27 (1.06 to 1.52)*	725 (47.70)	556 (36.58)	1.34 (1.20 to 1.50)***	1.33 (1.18 to 1.49)***	84 (5.53)	2.28 (1.80 to 2.88)***	2.28 (1.80 to 2.89)***
Job strain										
Low	930 (8.44)	1.00	1.00	5896 (53.53)	3822 (34.70)	1.00	1.00	367 (3.33)	1.00	1.00
High	1013 (10.42)	1.15 (1.05 to 1.27)**	1.09 (0.98 to 1.20)	5.550 (57.09)	2885 (29.67)	0.80 (0.75 to 0.85)***	0.89 (0.84 to 0.95)***	274 (2.82)	0.79 (0.67 to 0.93)**	0.86 (0.73 to 1.01)
Active	992 (8.82)	1.04 (0.95 to 1.15)	1.01 (0.92 to 1.12)	6028 (53.60)	3886 (33.55)	0.99 (0.94 to 1.05)	0.99 (0.94 to 1.05)	340 (3.02)	0.91 (0.78 to 1.06)	0.89 (0.76 to 1.04)
Passive	785 (9.36)	1.03 (0.93 to 1.14)	0.96 (0.87 to 1.07)	4834 (57.62)	2528 (30.13)	0.81 (0.76 to 0.86)***	0.94 (0.88 to 1.00)	242 (2.88)	0.80 (0.68 to 0.95)**	0.90 (0.76 to 1.07)
Interactions ^a										
Job strain×support										
Low × support	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-
High × support	-	0.97 (0.93 to 1.01)	-	-	-	1.01 (0.98 to 1.03)	-	-	1.00 (0.93 to 1.07)	-
Active × support	-	0.97 (0.93 to 1.01)	-	-	-	1.00 (0.97 to 1.03)	-	-	1.01 (0.94 to 1.08)	-
Passive × support	-	0.99 (0.95 to 1.03)	-	-	-	0.99 (0.96 to 1.02)	-	-	0.95 (0.89 to 1.02)	-
Job strain×mental he	ealth									
Low × MHC	96 (10.56)	1.00	-	479 (52.70)	290 (31.90)	1.00	-	44 (4.84)	1.00	-
High × MHC	291 (12.53)	1.09 (0.82 to 1.43)	-	1229 (52.93)	690 (29.70)	1.19 (0.99 to 1.43)	-	112 (4.82)	1.58 (1.04 to 2.38)*	-
Active × MHC	187 (10.57)	1.07 (0.80 to 1.43)	-	859 (48.56)	641 (36.24)	1.28 (1.06 to 1.55)*	-	82 (4.64)	1.24 (0.82 to 1.89)	_
Passive × MHC	140 (10.53)	0.95 (0.70 to 1.29)	-	724 (54.44)	396 (29.77)	1.14 (0.93 to 1.39)	-	70 (5.26)	1.50 (0.97 to 2.32)	-

Table 3. Unadjusted and adjusted multinomial logistic regression analysis showing the associations between mental health and job strain, as explanatory variables and alcohol consumption as the outcome variable

MHC, mental health case.

Low risk drinking is the reference group. Row frequencies and percentages, with multinomial odds ratios (MOR) are shown.

p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001.

Adjusted for age, gender, education, ethnicity, income, marital status, children under 18 and smoking status.

Reference groups for mental health are non-case.

^aSupport is a continuous variable; mental health is a categorical variable representing the presence of any mental health problem (depression, anxiety or PTSD) (case v. non-case).

Table 4. Unadjusted and adjusted multinomial logistic regression analysis showing the associations between mental health and job strain, as explanatory variables and binge drinking as the outcome variable

	Does not frequently binge drink		Frequently binge drinks					
Characteristic	N (%)	N (%)	OR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)				
Mental health								
Depression case	2697 (9.57)	1261 (10.35)	1.09 (1.02 to 1.17)*	1.14 (1.06 to 1.23)***				
Anxiety case	2320 (8.23)	1087 (8.92)	1.09 (1.01 to 1.18)*	1.23 (1.14 to 1.33)***				
PTSD case	985 (3.65)	535 (4.64)	1.29 (1.15 to 1.43)***	1.27 (1.13 to 1.42)***				
Job strain								
Low	7487 (26.56)	3528 (28.95)	1.00	1.00				
High	6930 (24.59)	2792 (22.91)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.91)***	0.88 (0.83 to 0.94)***				
Active	7734 (27.44)	3512 (28.82)	0.96 (0.91 to 1.02)	0.96 (0.91 to 1.02)				
Passive	6033 (21.41)	2356 (19.33)	0.83 (0.78 to 0.88)***	0.90 (0.84 to 0.96)**				
Interactions ^a								
Job strain×support								
Low × support	-	-	1.00	-				
High × support	-	-	1.02 (0.99 to 1.05)	-				
Active × support	-	-	1.02 (1.00 to 1.05)	-				
Passive × support	-	-	0.99 (0.97 to 1.02)	-				
Job strain×mental health								
Low × MHC	602 (66.23)	307 (30.58)	1.00	-				
High × MHC	1612 (69.42)	710 (33.77)	1.03 (0.87 to 1.23)	-				
Active × MHC	1162 (65.69)	607 (34.31)	1.08 (0.91 to 1.30)	-				
Passive × MHC	931 (70.00)	399 (30.00)	1.02 (0.85 to 1.24)	-				

MHC, mental health case.

Row frequencies and percentages are shown.

p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001.

Adjusted for age, gender, education, ethnicity, income, marital status, children under 18 and smoking status.

Reference groups for mental health are non-case

^aSupport is a continuous variable; mental health is a categorical variable representing the presence of any mental health problem (depression, anxiety or PTSD) (case v. non-case).

hazardous drinking and frequent binge drinking, with 3% drinking at harmful levels. Men were more likely than women to report hazardous and harmful drinking, reflecting findings from the general population (NHS Digital, 2018). Police employees with a probable mental health problem were more likely to report harmful drinking, but were also more likely to report abstinence. Opposing our hypothesis, those reporting low strain drank more than those reporting high strain, but when stratified by mental health, this association was only shown in police employees without a mental health problem.

The prevalence of hazardous drinking was higher in the UK Police Service than the general population, for men (40 v. 24%) and women (19 v. 11%) (NHS Digital, 2018), although the level of harmful drinking is similar. However, this is not a direct comparison with the UK general population data and there may be considerable differences between samples (e.g. age, year of data collection). The present findings reflect those observed in a representative sample of Australian police officers (Davey, 2000), whereby men reported more hazardous drinking than women, but showed comparable levels of harmful drinking (approximately 3%). However, the US literature shows much lower levels of hazardous drinking in police officers and little difference between genders, e.g. 17% (Lindsay, 2008), 18% (Chopko *et al.*, 2013),

14% of men and 12% of women (Ménard and Arter, 2014), 18% of men and 16% of women (Ballenger *et al.*, 2011). Nevertheless, there are cultural differences in alcohol consumption between the UK and USA (Ritchie and Roser, 2018).

Alcohol use and the associations with mental health and job strain

Police employees with probable depression, anxiety or PTSD were twice as likely to report harmful alcohol use and frequent binge drinking, compared to those without a mental health problem, but were also more likely to abstain from alcohol. This is in line with findings from a global study whereby low-risk drinking, compared to abstinence, was associated with lower depression and anxiety, but harmful drinking was associated with greater levels of depression and anxiety (Bellos *et al.*, 2013). Similar findings have been observed in military personnel, but for PTSD only (Goodwin *et al.*, 2017). Taken together, these findings suggest a J-shaped curve in the relationship between mental health and alcohol use, whereby positive self-reports of mental health are associated with low-risk drinking, but not heavy drinking or abstinence (El-Guebaly, 2007). There are mental health differences between 'lifestyle choice abstainers' and 'previous problem

			Non-drinkers		Low	/ risk			Hazardous use	s use Harmful use				
Characteristic	N	%	MOR (95% CI)	AMOR (95% CI)	Ν	%	N	%	MOR (95% CI)	AMOR (95% CI)	N	%	MOR (95% CI)	AMOR (95% CI)
Mental health c	ase													
Job strain														
Low	96	10.56	1.00	1.00	479	52.70	290	31.90	1.00	1.00	44	4.84	1.00	1.00
High	291	12.53	1.18 (0.92 to 1.52)	1.18 (0.90 to 1.12)	1229	52.93	690	29.72	0.93 (0.78 to 1.10)	0.91 (0.76 to 1.09)	112	4.82	0.99 (0.69 to 1.43)	0.96 (0.66 to 1.39)
Active	187	10.57	1.08 (0.83 to 1.42)	1.08 (0.82 to 1.42)	859	48.56	641	36.24	1.23 (1.03 to 1.47)*	1.17 (0.97 to 1.41)	82	4.64	1.04 (0.71 to 1.52)	0.96 (0.65 to 1.41)
Passive	140	10.53	0.96 (0.73 to 1.28)	0.98 (0.73 to 1.30)	724	54.44	396	29.77	0.90 (0.75 to 1.09)	1.00 (0.82 to 1.22)	70	5.26	1.05 (0.71 to 1.56)	1.11 (0.75 to 1.67)
Mental health n	on-case	•												
Job strain														
Low	834	8.25	1.00	1.00	5417	53.60	3532	34.95	1.00	1.00	323	3.20	1.00	1.00
High	722	9.76	1.08 (0.97 to 1.21)	1.01 (0.90 to 1.12)	4321	58.39	2195	29.66	0.78 (0.73 to 0.83)***	0.87 (0.81 to 0.94)***	162	2.19	0.63 (0.52 to 0.76)***	0.70 (0.57 to 0.85)***
Active	805	8.49	1.01 (0.91 to 1.12)	0.98 (0.88 to 1.09)	5169	54.54	3245	34.24	0.96 (0.91 to 1.02)	0.96 (0.89 to 1.02)	258	2.72	0.84 (0.71 to 0.99)*	0.83 (0.70 to 0.98)*
Passive	645	9.14	1.02 (0.91 to 1.14)	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06)	4110	58.22	2132	30.20	0.80 (0.74 to 0.85)***	0.92 (0.86 to 0.99)*	172	2.44	0.70 (0.58 to 0.85)***	0.80 (0.66 to 0.96)*

Table 5. Stratified by the presence of any mental health problem (mental health case v. mental health non-case), unadjusted and adjusted multinomial logistic regression analysis showing the associations between job strain and alcohol consumption

Low risk drinking is the reference group. Row frequencies and percentages, with multinomial odds ratios (MOR) are shown. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001.

Adjusted for age, gender, education, ethnicity, income, marital status, children under 18 and smoking status.

drinking abstainers' (El-Guebaly, 2007), with our exploratory analyses suggesting that former drinkers were more likely to report depression compared to those who have never drank. It may be that police employees who now abstain from alcohol do so because of a previous alcohol problem or because alcohol was poorly affecting their mental health. However, longitudinal, and qualitative data are needed to better understand the causal relationship.

Few studies have explored the relationship between mental health and alcohol use in police officers, with the few focussing on PTSD. The prevalence of PTSD is lower in the current study than other UK and international studies of police officers (Skogstad et al., 2013; Violanti et al., 2017). However, the TSQ was only administered if participants reported experiencing a traumatic event in the past 6 months, excluding those with delayed onset PTSD (>6 months) or earlier trauma exposure (Utzon-Frank et al., 2014). An abundance of literature has shown a relationship between mental health and alcohol problems (McFarlane, 1998; Debell et al., 2014), with the self-medication hypothesis suggesting that alcohol is used as a form of avoidance coping to alleviate negative affect (Khantzian, 1997; Stewart et al., 2004). The existing evidence on PTSD and alcohol use in police officers is mixed, with some studies showing an association (Chopko et al., 2013; Ménard and Arter, 2014), whereas others do not (Ballenger et al., 2011; Violanti et al., 2011). One study noted that the combined effect of heavy drinking and PTSD led to a ten-fold greater risk of suicide ideation in police officers (Violanti, 2004). A qualitative exploration of mental health in five police officers observed that some used alcohol to cope with work pressures and psychological symptoms, and reported that 'macho' police culture and stigma are barriers to help-seeking (Edwards and Kotera, 2020).

Opposing our hypothesis, police employees reporting low strain were more likely to drink hazardously than those reporting high strain, remaining significant after adjustment for indicators of seniority (e.g. income and years of service). However, when stratified by mental health, the association was only apparent in those without a mental health problem. Previous research in police officers is mixed, with some studies showing an association between work stress and hazardous drinking (Violanti et al., 1985; Kohan and O'connor, 2002; Violanti et al., 2011), whereas others do not (Sterud et al., 2007). Furthermore, there is inconsistent evidence that alcohol is used to self-medicate negative affect caused by work stressors (Frone, 1999; Siegrist and Rödel, 2006). The biphasic self-medication model suggests that work stressors increase negative affect, which initially leads to higher alcohol use in those with higher stress, but the sedative effects of alcohol increase negative affect and work fatigue, making this pattern of behaviour difficult to maintain (Frone, 2016). Those with low strain may experience lower negative affect (or better mental health) from work stress, and have more time to socialise, and therefore drink more, due to holding less senior job roles.

Strengths and limitations

The main strength of this study is that it utilises a large sample of police employees. Both men and women were recruited, across all regions of the UK, including large numbers of men aged between 20 and 40, who are often under-represented in other epidemio-logical studies (Medical Research Council, 2014). The study was originally designed to measure the physical effects of TETRA radio usage, rather than alcohol use or mental health, reducing

bias from framing effects (Tversky and Kahneman, 1981; Goodwin *et al.*, 2013). Nevertheless, there are caveats. Alcohol consumption was measured using a 7-day drinks diary, which may be subject to recall bias, and the TSQ was only asked to those who reported experiencing a traumatic event in the past 6 months. A further limitation is that we were unable to distinguish across different police roles, such as constables and sergeants, as participants were grouped into 'police officers', 'police staff' and 'other' ranks of police employees, for identification purposes. Finally, as this study is cross-sectional, we were unable to determine the causal relationship between alcohol consumption and mental health.

Implications

Identifying occupational groups at a higher risk of alcohol harm enables us to develop targeted interventions within that occupation, such as those being trialled in military personnel (Leightley et al., 2018). Evidence from military personnel and first responders shows low levels of help-seeking for alcohol problems, due to stigma (Sharp et al., 2015; Haugen et al., 2017). Education on low-risk drinking should be implicated within police workforces and avenues for help-seeking should be made easily available, without disciplinary action (Home Office, 2012). The present findings show that police employees with a mental health problem were more likely to drink harmfully. Longitudinal and qualitative research is needed to explore whether alcohol is used as a coping mechanism within police employees, and to examine trends in the relationship between alcohol use and strain over time, particularly following changes to workforce pressures (e.g. budget cuts).

Conclusions

One-third of UK police employees drink hazardously and binge drink at least twice a month. Furthermore, there is evidence for a J-shaped relationship between alcohol use and mental health in UK police employees, with those reporting mental health problems being more likely to report both abstinence and harmful drinking. Interventions to reduce risky drinking within police employees should also integrate support for mental health.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796021000366

Availability of data and materials. Due to confidentiality, we are not able to share the data. Access to the Airwave Health Monitoring Study Data must be obtained through a formal application process (https://police-health.org.uk/applying-access-resource).

Acknowledgements. This research has been conducted using the Airwave Study Tissue Bank Resource. We thank all participants in the Airwave Study for their contribution. We would also like to thank Andy Heard, He Gao and Maria Aresu from the Airwave Health Monitoring Study Research team for the provision of the data and data management support over the course of this project.

Financial support. This study was part of Patricia Irizar's PhD studentship, which is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council and North West Social Science Doctoral Training Partnership (ES/P000665/1). The studentship is part funded by the charity Alcohol Change UK. The funders had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Conflict of interest. The analysis presented in this paper is the work of the authors. The results and opinions expressed herein are the responsibility only of the authors and are not endorsed by Imperial College or members of the Airwave Health Study Tissue Bank. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000.

References

- Allen G and Audickas L (2020) Police service strength briefing paper No. SN-00634. In Library HOC (ed.), London: House of Commons Library, pp. 4–9.
- Allen G and Zayed Y (2019) Police service strength. Briefing paper number 00634. In Library HOC (ed.), London: House of Commons Library, pp. 8–11.
- Ballenger JF, Best SR, Metzler TJ, Wasserman DA, Mohr DC, Liberman A, Delucchi K, Weiss DS, Fagan JA and Waldrop AE (2011) Patterns and predictors of alcohol use in male and female urban police officers. *The American Journal on Addictions* 20, 21–29.
- Bellos S, Skapinakis P, Rai D, Zitko P, Araya R, Lewis G, Lionis C and Mavreas V (2013) Cross-cultural patterns of the association between varying levels of alcohol consumption and the common mental disorders of depression and anxiety: secondary analysis of the WHO Collaborative Study on Psychological Problems in General Health Care. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 133, 825–831.
- **Boag-Munroe F** (2016) *Police Federation of England and Wales pay and Morale Survey 2017.* Leatherhead: Police Federation of England and Wales.
- Brewin CR, Rose S, Andrews B, Green J, Tata P, Mcevedy C, Turner S and Foa EB (2002) Brief screening instrument for post-traumatic stress disorder. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 181, 158–162.
- Brough P, Chataway S and Biggs A (2016) 'You don't want people knowing you're a copper!' A contemporary assessment of police organisational culture. International Journal of Police Science & Management 18, 28–36.
- Burns L and Teesson M (2002) Alcohol use disorders comorbid with anxiety, depression and drug use disorders: findings from the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well Being. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 68, 299–307.
- Chopko BA, Palmieri PA and Adams RE (2013) Associations between police stress and alcohol use: implications for practice. *Journal of Loss and Trauma* 18, 482–497.
- Crum RM, Muntaner C, Eaton WW and Anthony JC (1995) Occupational stress and the risk of alcohol abuse and dependence. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* **19**, 647–655.
- **Davey JD, Obst PL and Sheehan MC** (2000*a*) Developing a profile of alcohol consumption patterns of police officers in a large scale sample of an Australian police service. *European Addiction Research* **6**, 205–212.
- Davey J, Obst PL and Sheehan M (2000b) The use of AUDIT as a screening tool for alcohol use in the police work-place. Drug and Alcohol Review 19, 49–54.
- Davey JD, Obst PL and Sheehan MC (2001) It goes with the job: officers' insights into the impact of stress and culture on alcohol consumption within the policing occupation. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy* **8**, 140–149.
- Davis KA, Coleman JR, Adams M, Allen N, Breen G, Cullen B, Dickens C, Fox E, Graham N and Holliday J (2020) Mental health in UK Biobank – development, implementation and results from an online questionnaire completed by 157 366 participants: a reanalysis. BJPsych Open 6.
- Debell F, Fear NT, Head M, Batt-Rawden S, Greenberg N, Wessely S and Goodwin L (2014) A systematic review of the comorbidity between PTSD and alcohol misuse. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 49, 1401–1425.
- **Department of Health and Social Care** (2016) UK Chief Medical Officers' low risk drinking guidelines. Department of Health.

- Edwards A-M and Kotera Y (2020) Mental health in the UK police force: a qualitative investigation into the stigma with mental illness. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–19, doi:10.1007/s11469-019-00214-x.
- **El-Guebaly N** (2007) Investigating the association between moderate drinking and mental health. *Annals of Epidemiology* **17**, S55–S62.
- Elliott-Davies M (2019) PFEW Demand, Capacity and Welfare Survey 2018 Headline Statistics December 2018: Research and Policy Support Report R101/2018. Police Federation of England and Wales. Available at: https:// www.polfed.org/media/14060/demandcapacityandwelfaresurveyheadlinestatistics2018-06-02-19-v1.pdf, (accessed 10 September 2020).
- Elliott P, Vergnaud A-C, Singh D, Neasham D, Spear J and Heard A (2014) The Airwave Health Monitoring Study of police officers and staff in Great Britain: rationale, design and methods. *Environmental Research* **134**, 280–285.
- Farrell M, Howes S, Bebbington P, Brugha T, Jenkins R, Lewis G, Marsden J, Taylor C and Meltzer H (2001) Nicotine, alcohol and drug dependence and psychiatric comorbidity: results of a national household survey. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 179, 432–437.
- Fear NT, Iversen A, Meltzer H, Workman L, Hull L, Greenberg N, Barker C, Browne T, Earnshaw M and Horn O (2007) Patterns of drinking in the UK Armed Forces. *Addiction* **102**, 1749–1759.
- Frone MR (1999) Work stress and alcohol use. Alcohol Research & Health 23, 284–291.
- Frone MR (2016) Work stress and alcohol use: developing and testing a biphasic self-medication model. Work & Stress 30, 374–394.
- Gibson R, Eriksen R, Singh D, Vergnaud A-C, Heard A, Chan Q, Elliott P and Frost G (2018) A cross-sectional investigation into the occupational and socio-demographic characteristics of British police force employees reporting a dietary pattern associated with cardiometabolic risk: findings from the Airwave Health Monitoring Study. *European Journal of Nutrition* 57, 2913–2926.
- Goodwin L, Ben-Zion I, Fear NT, Hotopf M, Stansfeld SA and Wessely S (2013) Are reports of psychological stress higher in occupational studies? A systematic review across occupational and population based studies. *PLoS One* **8**, e78693.
- Goodwin L, Norton S, Fear N, Jones M, Hull L, Wessely S and Rona R (2017) Trajectories of alcohol use in the UK military and associations with mental health. *Addictive Behaviors* 75, 130–137.
- Hales G, May T, Belur J and Hough M (2015) Chief Officer Misconduct in Policing: An Exploratory Study. London, UK: College of Policing.
- Hargreaves J, Cooper J, Woods E and Mckee C (2016) Police workforce, England and Wales, 31 March 2016. *Statistical Bulletin* 5, 16.
- Haugen PT, Mccrillis AM, Smid GE and Nijdam MJ (2017) Mental health stigma and barriers to mental health care for first responders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **94**, 218–229.
- Häusser JA, Mojzisch A, Niesel M and Schulz-Hardt S (2010) Ten years on: a review of recent research on the Job Demand-Control (-Support) model and psychological well-being. *Work & Stress* 24, 1-35.
- Head M, Goodwin L, Debell F, Greenberg N, Wessely S and Fear N (2016) Post-traumatic stress disorder and alcohol misuse: comorbidity in UK military personnel. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 51, 1171–1180.
- Home Office (2012) Circular: testing police officers for substance misuse. Home Office. Available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ testing-police-officers-for-substance-misuse (accessed 10 September 2020).
- Houdmont J and Randall R (2016) Working hours and common mental disorders in English police officers. Occupational Medicine 66, 713–718.
- Jane-Llopis E, Jané-Llopis E, Matytsina I, Jané-Llopis E and Matytsina I (2006) Mental health and alcohol, drugs and tobacco: a review of the comorbidity between mental disorders and the use of alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs. *Drug and Alcohol Review* 25, 515–536.
- Karasek Jr RA (1979) Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: implications for job redesign. Administrative Science Quarterly 24, 285–308.
- Karasek R (1985) Job content questionnaire. Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering.
- Kessler RC, Crum RM, Warner LA, Nelson CB, Schulenberg J and Anthony JC (1997) Lifetime co-occurrence of DSM-III-R alcohol abuse and dependence with other psychiatric disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey. Archives of General Psychiatry 54, 313–321.

- Khantzian EJ (1997) The self-medication hypothesis of substance use disorders: a reconsideration and recent applications. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* 4, 231–244.
- Kohan A and O'connor BP (2002) Police officer job satisfaction in relation to mood, well-being, and alcohol consumption. *The Journal of Psychology* 136, 307–318.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL and Williams JB (2001) The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 16, 606–613.
- Leightley D, Puddephatt J-A, Jones N, Mahmoodi T, Chui Z, Field M, Drummond C, Rona RJ, Fear NT and Goodwin L (2018) A smartphone app and personalized text messaging framework (InDEx) to monitor and reduce alcohol use in ex-serving personnel: development and feasibility study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 6, e10074.
- Lindsay V (2008) Police officers and their alcohol consumption: should we be concerned? *Police Quarterly* 11, 74–87.
- Lindsay V and Shelley K (2009) Social and stress-related influences of police officers' alcohol consumption. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology* 24, 87–92.
- Mcfarlane AC (1998) Epidemiological evidence about the relationship between PTSD and alcohol abuse: the nature of the association. Addictive Behaviors 23, 813–825.
- Medical Research Council (2014) Maximising the value of UK population cohorts: MRC strategic review of the largest UK population cohort studies. Medical Research Council Swindon, UK.
- Ménard KS and Arter ML (2014) Stress, coping, alcohol use, and posttraumatic stress disorder among an international sample of police officers: does gender matter? *Police Quarterly* 17, 307–327.
- National Drug Strategy (1996) National Drug Strategy Household Survey: survey report 1995: Canberra Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NHS Digital (2018) Health survey for England, 2017 Adult health related behaviours. NHS. Available at https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/ publications/statistical/health-survey-for-england/2017 (accessed 12 September 2020).
- NICE (2014) Alcohol-use disorders: preventing harmful drinking. Evidence update March 2014. National Institute for Health Care Excellence (NICE).
- **Obst PL and Davey JD** (2003) Does the Police Academy change your life? A longitudinal study of changes in socialising behaviour of police recruits. *International Journal of Police Science & Management* **5**, 31–40.
- Puddephatt J-A, Jones A, Gage SH, Fear NT, Field M, Mcmanus S, Mcbride O and Goodwin L (2020) Associations of alcohol use, mental health and socioeconomic status in England: findings from a representative population survey. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 219, 108463.
- Regier DA, Farmer ME, Rae DS, Locke BZ, Keith SJ, Judd LL and Goodwin FK (1990) Comorbidity of mental disorders with alcohol and other drug abuse: results from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area (ECA) study. *JAMA* 264, 2511–2518.
- Ritchie H. and Roser M (2018) Alcohol consumption. Our World In Data. Available at https://ourworldindata.org/alcohol-consumption (accessed 15 September 2020).
- Sharp M-L, Fear NT, Rona RJ, Wessely S, Greenberg N, Jones N and Goodwin L (2015) Stigma as a barrier to seeking health care among

military personnel with mental health problems. *Epidemiologic Reviews* 37, 144–162.

- Siegrist J and Rödel A (2006) Work stress and health risk behavior. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health 26, 473–481.
- Skogstad M, Skorstad M, Lie A, Conradi H, Heir T and Weisæth L (2013) Work-related post-traumatic stress disorder. Occupational Medicine 63, 175–182.
- STATA Corporation (2017) Stata 15. College Station, TX: Stata Corporation.
- Sterud T, Hem E, Ekeberg O and Lau B (2007) Occupational stress and alcohol use: a study of two nationwide samples of operational police and ambulance personnel in Norway. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* 68, 896–904.
- Stewart SH, Mitchell TL, Wright KD and Loba P (2004) The relations of PTSD symptoms to alcohol use and coping drinking in volunteers who responded to the Swissair Flight 111 airline disaster. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* 18, 51–68.
- Strid C, Andersson C and Öjehagen A (2018) The influence of hazardous drinking on psychological functioning, stress and sleep during and after treatment in patients with mental health problems: a secondary analysis of a randomised controlled intervention study. *BMJ Open* 8, e019128.
- Tuckey MR, Winwood PC and Dollard MF (2012) Psychosocial culture and pathways to psychological injury within policing. *Police Practice and Research* 13, 224–240.
- Tversky A and Kahneman D (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. Science (New York, N.Y.) 211, 453–458.
- Utzon-Frank N, Breinegaard N, Bertelsen M, Borritz M, Eller NH, Nordentott M, Olesen K, Rod NH, Rugulies R and Bonde JP (2014) Occurrence of delayed-onset post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 40, 215–229.
- Van Der Doef M and Maes S (1999) The job demand-control (-support) model and psychological well-being: a review of 20 years of empirical research. Work & Stress 13, 87–114.
- Van Der Velden PG, Kleber RJ, Grievink L and Yzermans JC (2010) Confrontations with aggression and mental health problems in police officers: the role of organizational stressors, life-events and previous mental health problems. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy* 2, 135.
- Violanti JM (2004) Predictors of police suicide ideation. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior 34, 277–283.
- Violanti JM, Marshall JR and Howe B (1985) Stress, coping, and alcohol use: the police connection. *Journal of Police Science and Administration* 13, 106– 110.
- Violanti JM, Slaven JE, Charles LE, Burchfiel CM, Andrew ME and Homish GG (2011) Police and alcohol use: a descriptive analysis and associations with stress outcomes. *American Journal of Criminal Justice* 36, 344–356.
- Violanti JM, Charles LE, Mccanlies E, Hartley TA, Baughman P, Andrew ME, Fekedulegn D, Ma CC, Mnatsakanova A and Burchfiel CM (2017) Police stressors and health: a state-of-the-art review. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management* 40, 642–656.
- Zigmond AS and Snaith RP (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 67, 361–370.