



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/187532/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Conroy, S., Hubbard, R., Noon, A.P. et al. (2022) Case of the month from the University of Sheffield, UK : expediting definitive treatment in patients with invasive bladder cancer : an MRI-guided pathway. *BJU International*, 129 (6). pp. 691-694. ISSN: 1464-4096

<https://doi.org/10.1111/bju.15730>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:




<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Case of the Month

**Case of the month from the University of Sheffield, UK:
Expediting definitive treatment in patients with invasive
bladder cancer: an MRI-guided pathway**

Samantha Conroy^{1,2} , Rachel Hubbard³, Aidan P. Noon², Syed A. Hussain⁴, Jon Griffin⁵ , Steven Kennish³ and James W. F. Catto^{1,2} 

¹Academic Urology Unit, Department of Oncology and Metabolism and ⁴Academic Oncology Unit, Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Department of ²Urology, ³Radiology, and ⁵Histopathology, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK


Case Presentation

A 77-year-old gentleman was referred with a raised PSA (8.9 µg/L) and two episodes of painless visible haematuria. He was fit, with an ECOG performance status of 0, had never smoked, and had no occupational risk factors for bladder cancer (BC). At the time of referral, his baseline haemoglobin was 154 g/L, and he had a creatinine of 92 µmol/L and eGFR of 69 mL/min/1.73 m². A contrast computed tomography (CT) scan, arranged by his GP, identified a 5 cm solid-

looking tumour on the right lateral wall of the bladder that was not causing ureteric obstruction. He was promptly referred to our haematuria service, attending 6 days after his CT scan. Flexible cystoscopy was performed with informed consent, revealing a large, solid looking bladder tumour.

After being counselled about the diagnosis and discussing the next steps in the clinical pathway, he consented to take part in a clinical trial exploring the feasibility of image-directed redesign of the BC treatment pathway: the

Fig. 1 T2W, DWI, DCE and ADC mpMRI image sequences of a large VI-RADS 4 bladder tumour. ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; DCE, dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; T2W, T2-weighted imaging.




BladderPath study [1]. A biopsy was taken at the time of flexible cystoscopy and this confirmed a high-grade urothelial cell carcinoma (plasmacytoid variant) and at least lamina propria invasion (G3pT1+). He was randomised to the multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) assessment arm of the study [1]. On mpMRI imaging, the tumour appeared to be muscle invasive with a VI-RADS score of 4 [2]. There were no size-significant metastatic lymph nodes in the pelvis and no apparent extra-vesical extension (Figs 1,2).

Management Considerations

Despite recent advances in the field [3], survival outcomes from invasive BC have not improved for 30 years [4]. This may reflect the biology of the disease, healthcare behaviour of the at risk population [5] or that treatment improvements are needed. With regards to the latter, current pathways for BC patients can be slow and fail to discriminate between non-muscle invasive (NMIBC) and muscle-invasive cancers (MIBC) [6,7]. For patients with MIBC, guidelines recommend that RC should not be delayed for longer than 12 weeks

Fig. 2 Histopathology of biopsy and cystectomy specimens. **(A)** Haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained biopsy showing malignant cells in the lamina propria (white arrow) underneath the urothelium (asterisk). **(B and C)** Tumour cells with nuclear GATA3 staining and membranous AE1/AE3 staining respectively. **(D)** H & E section from the cystectomy showing tumour invading muscle with adjacent peri-vesical adipose tissue. Inset image shows tumour cell detail.



(84 days), as longer delays have significant impact on survival [8]. Data from the Urology *Getting it right first time* (GIRFT) national report that in 2013–2016 patients with MIBC were waiting an average of 144 days from referral to definitive therapy within the NHS [9]. A BAUS Radical Cystectomy audit, from 2017–2019, suggested the delay was even longer (with 48% of patients waiting >180 days from diagnosis to RC [10]). Considering the increased service demand pressures that COVID has created, current delays to definitive treatment for patients with MIBC may be even longer. Hence, we feel there is a need to rethink the MIBC pathway.

TURBT has been at the heart of BC diagnosis and treatment for the best part of a century. Advocates detail the procedure can treat symptoms through debulking, may remove all NMIBC, is rapid, allows histological staging, and should be safe. However, the expense and accessibility of non-invasive imaging, coupled with concerns over the safety of TURBT [11,12], question its role within MIBC. TURBT in MIBC is primarily a staging tool in patients eligible for radical treatment. Both GIRFT [9] and NICE (NICE guideline NG2, 2019)[13] have encouraged a rethink of NHS targets, such that TURBT is no longer taken as a treatment milestone.


In this case, a patient with suspected MIBC had a risk-adapted, image-triaged pathway that combined flexible cystoscopic biopsy with mpMRI assessment. mpMRI and standardised nomenclature (such as Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System) have improved prostate cancer management, although its role in BC is currently under evaluation. VI-RADS (Vesical Imaging-Reporting and Data System) was designed to help protocolise mpMRI imaging, and assist radiologists in the systematic evaluation and standardised reporting of bladder mpMRI [2]. VI-RADS uses

a combination of T2-weighted imaging (T2W), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging (DCE) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), to evaluate the risk of muscle invasion using a five-point scale (VI-RADS 1 – muscle invasion highly unlikely, to VI-RADS 5 – muscle invasion highly likely). High quality bladder MRI imaging, as with all imaging of the bladder, is largely dependent on good bladder distension. Allowing for this, the high resolution T2 weighted imaging (ideally in 3 planes) is the dominant sequence for assessing interruption of the low T2 signal muscular layer by tumour. Individual tumour characteristics determine the respective added values of DCE and DWI sequences.

Treatment Course

As per the BladderPath trial protocol, the patient was offered immediate radical treatment without TURBT. He agreed with this approach and started four cycles of neoadjuvant gemcitabine, cisplatin and guadecitabine – a novel DNA methyltransferase inhibitor within the SPIRE trial [14] – 43 days after his diagnostic flexible cystoscopy. His post-chemotherapy CT scan revealed the tumour had reduced in size from 5.0 to 2.2 cm, with no evidence of disease spread, but was still suspicious for muscle invasion. After treatment for incidental bilateral pulmonary emboli, he underwent RC and lymphadenectomy without further complication. Final pathology revealed a pT3a, non-metastatic (0 from 9 nodes) urothelial carcinoma of the bladder with clear margins, and a Gleason 3 + 3 = 6 pT2aN0R0 adenocarcinoma of the prostate. His most recent surveillance CT scan, at 33 months after referral, has shown no evidence of disease recurrence or metastasis, and his PSA was undetectable (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3 Pre and post-neoadjuvant chemotherapy computed tomography (CT) appearances of the right sided muscle invasive bladder tumour.



Conclusion

Within many healthcare systems, BC diagnostic and treatment pathways require re-evaluation to ensure that they can discriminate between NMIBC and MIBC patients in a timely manner. The expansion of non-invasive imaging – including mpMRI of the bladder – provides scope to deliver a non-invasive, diagnostic pathway that can help to expedite the radical treatment of MIBC patients. The VI-RADS system provides a framework to facilitate standardised reporting and urological understanding of risk. Although further validation is needed, the success and widespread implementation of mpMRI in prostate cancer pathways suggests that this imaging modality is feasible and robust. In this case, mpMRI assessment suggested that MIBC was likely and in conjunction with high-grade histology and flexible cystoscopy appearance, provided a decision-making adjunct to rapidly triage this gentleman to radical therapy (NAC). He is currently disease-free almost 3 years after referral.

Disclosure of Interests

JWFC and SAH are co-investigators in the Phase I SPIRE Trial of DNA Methyltransferase Inhibitor Guadecitabine Combined with Cisplatin and Gemcitabine for Solid Malignancies funded by ECMC Combination Alliance which includes funding from Cancer Research UK (C9317/A19903) and investigator-initiated research support from Astex Pharmaceuticals. JWFC and SK are co-investigators on the BladderPath study funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme (project number 14/08/60). JWFC is funded by a National Institute for Health Research Professorship (2019–24). JG is funded by a Clinical PhD Fellowship from the Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland and the Jean Shanks Foundation (JSPS-CPHD-2018-01). SC was previously funded by The Urology Foundation 2020–2021 *via* their Research Scholars Award (award number 200520).

References

- Bryan RT, Liu W, Pirrie SJ *et al.* Comparing an imaging-guided pathway with the standard pathway for staging muscle-invasive bladder cancer: Preliminary data from the BladderPath study. *Eur Urol* 2021; 80: 12–5
- Panebianco V, Narumi Y, Altun E *et al.* Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for bladder cancer: Development of VI-RADS (vesical imaging-reporting and data system). *Eur Urol* 2018; 74: 294–306
- Powles T, Bellmunt J, Comperat E *et al.* Bladder cancer: ESMO clinical practice guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2021; 33: 244–258.
- Hounsoms LS, Verne J, McGrath JS, Gillatt DA. Trends in operative caseload and mortality rates after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer in England for 1998–2010. *Eur Urol* 2015; 67: 1056–62
- Cumberbatch MGK, Jubber I, Black PC *et al.* Epidemiology of bladder cancer: A systematic review and contemporary update of risk factors in 2018. *Eur Urol* 2018; 74: 784–95
- Catto JWF, Gordon K, Collinson M *et al.* Radical cystectomy against intravesical BCG for high-risk high-grade nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer: Results from the randomized controlled BRAVO-feasibility study. *J Clin Oncol* 2021; 39: 202–14
- Klaassen Z, Kamat AM, Kassouf W *et al.* Treatment strategy for newly diagnosed T1 high-grade bladder urothelial carcinoma: New insights and updated recommendations. *Eur Urol* 2018; 74: 597–608
- Russell B, Liedberg F, Khan MS *et al.* A systematic review and meta-analysis of delay in radical cystectomy and the effect on survival in bladder cancer patients. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2020; 3: 239–49
- Harrison S, Briggs T & O'Flynn K. Urology: GIRFT Programme National Specialty Report, 1st ed. NHS England and NHS Improvement. 2018. <https://gettingitrightfirsttime.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Urology-June18-M.pdf>. Accessed February 2022.
- Jefferies ER, Cresswell J, McGrath JS *et al.* Open radical cystectomy in England: The current standard of care - an analysis of the British Association of Urological Surgeons (BAUS) cystectomy audit and hospital episodes statistics (HES) data. *BJU Int* 2018; 121: 880–5
- Nayyar R, Saini S, Sharma A, Kurra S, Dogra PN. Systemic dissemination of tumor cells during transurethral resection in patients with bladder tumor and its clinical relevance: A follow up study. *Urol Oncol* 2021; 39: e17–22
- Poletajew S, Krajewski W, Gajewska D *et al.* Prediction of the risk of surgical complications in patients undergoing monopolar transurethral resection of bladder tumour - a prospective multicentre observational study. *Arch Med Sci* 2020; 16: 863–70
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence surveillance of bladder cancer: diagnosis and management (NICE guideline NG2). 2019. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng2/resources/2019-surveillance-of-bladder-cancer-diagnosis-and-management-nice-guideline-ng2-pdf-8716335273925>. Accessed April 2022.
- Crabb SJ, Danson S, Catto JWF *et al.* Phase I trial of DNA methyltransferase inhibitor Guadecitabine combined with cisplatin and gemcitabine for solid malignancies including urothelial carcinoma (SPIRE). *Clin Cancer Res* 2021; 27: 1882–92

Correspondence: James W. F. Catto, MB ChB, PhD, FRCS (Urol), FRSB, NIHR Research Professor and Professor of Urological Surgery, Academic Urology Unit, University of Sheffield, The Medical School, Sheffield S10 2JF, UK.

e-mail: j.catto@sheffield.ac.uk

Abbreviations: BC, bladder cancer; DCE, dynamic contrast-enhanced; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; GIRFT, Getting it Right First Time; MIBC, muscle-invasive bladder cancer; mpMRI, multiparametric MRI; NMIBC, non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer; TURBT, transurethral resection of bladder tumour; VI-RADS, Vesical Imaging-Reporting and Data System.