



This is a repository copy of *Very short mountings are enough for sperm transfer in Littorina*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/187332/>

Version: Submitted Version

Article:

Perini, S., Butlin, R. orcid.org/0000-0003-4736-0954, Westram, A.M. et al. (1 more author) (2022) Very short mountings are enough for sperm transfer in *Littorina*. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 88 (1). eyab049. ISSN 0260-1230

<https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyab049>

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *Journal of Molluscan Studies*. The version of record Samuel Perini, RogerK Butlin, AnjaM Westram, Kerstin Johannesson, Very short mountings are enough for sperm transfer in *Littorina saxatilis*, *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, Volume 88, Issue 1, March 2022, eyab049 is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyab049>.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 Very short copulations are enough for sperm transfer in *Littorina saxatilis*

2 Samuel Perini^{1,✉}, Roger K. Butlin^{1,2}, Anja M. Westram^{3,4} and Kerstin Johannesson¹

3 ¹ Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Tjärnö Marine Laboratory, 45296

4 Strömstad, Sweden

5 ² Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

6 ³ IST Austria, Am Campus 1, 3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria

7 ⁴ Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, Nord University, N-8049 Bodø, Norway

8

9 SPERM TRANSFER TIME IN *LITTORINA*

10

11 ✉ Correspondence: Samuel Perini; email: samuel.perini@gu.se

ABSTRACT

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Conflict over reproduction between females and males exists because of anisogamy and promiscuity. Together they generate differences in fitness optima between the sexes and result in antagonistic coevolution of female and male reproductive traits. Copulation duration is likely to be a compromise between male and female interests whose outcome depends on the intensity of sexual selection. The timing of sperm transfer during copulation is critical: For example, copulations may be interrupted before sperm is transferred as a consequence of female or male choice, or they may be prolonged to function as mate guarding. In the highly promiscuous intertidal snail *Littorina saxatilis*, copulations vary substantially in duration, from less than a minute to more than an hour, and it has been assumed that copulations of a few minutes do not result in any sperm being transferred. Here, we examined the timing of sperm transfer, a reproductive trait that is likely affected by sexual conflict. We performed time-controlled copulation trials using *L. saxatilis* males and virgin females, aiming to examine indirectly when the transfer of sperm starts. We observed the relationship between copulation duration and the proportion of developing embryos out of all eggs and embryos in the brood pouch. Developing embryos were observed in similar proportions in all treatments suggesting that sperm transfer begins rapidly (within 1 minute) in *L. saxatilis* and very short matings do not result in sperm shortage in the females. We discuss how the observed pattern can be influenced by predation risk, population density, and female status and receptivity.

INTRODUCTION

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

In sexually reproducing species, females and males share the benefits of reproductive success. However, while in strict, life-long monogamous species reproduction can be viewed as an alliance between the sexes, in other systems, such as polygynous and polyandrous species, the interests of males and females differ leading to reproductive conflict between the sexes (Parker, 1979). Copulation duration and number of matings are well-known examples of sexual conflict because long and numerous matings are generally observed to increase male fitness but to decrease female fitness (Chapman *et al.*, 2003).

Females are in general expected to invest much more energy per gamete than males (Janicke *et al.*, 2016; Trivers, 1972) and because of this asymmetry, females or their gametes can be considered as limiting resources. Male competition for such resources is inevitable and will select for traits or behaviors that increase male reproductive success (Bateman, 1948). Sexual conflict will then arise if those traits or behaviors reduce female fertility or survival (Chapman *et al.*, 1995; Wolfner, 1997).

Males have been shown to gain a fertility benefit by extending copulation duration and, thus, delaying the time when a female will remate with another male (Gilchrist & Partridge, 2000). Long copulations should be costly for both sexes (e.g., less time for feeding) (Daly, 1978) but they are expected to be more beneficial for males than they are for females (Edward, Stockley & Hosken, 2015; Simmons, 2001). For instance, in the common dung fly, males that copulated for longer transferred a larger quantity of ejaculate which was suggested to increase their reproductive success but not that of females who instead showed increased mortality during copulation and vigorous resistance to mating (Martin & Hosken, 2002). Another reason for males to copulate for longer is mate guarding, also exemplified by the common dung fly, which impedes other males from mating and fertilizing the guarded female. This benefits the male but may be costly to the guarded female, for example by preventing her from feeding properly (reviewed in Simmons 2001).

In addition to influencing the duration of mate guarding, population density is expected to influence sperm transfer and as a consequence, it may have an additional effect on copulation duration. In high-density populations, the theoretical prediction is that males should allocate sperm and seminal fluid with discrimination because ejaculates are costly to produce and represent a limit on how many successive females a male can

66 mate with and fertilize. There is strong agreement between theory and empirical
67 evidence that male investment per copulation is maximized when mating with high-
68 quality females (e.g., larger size) or with previously mated females, and when
69 competing with a low number of other males (delBarco-Trillo, 2011; Kelly & Jennions,
70 2011; Parker *et al.*, 1996; Parker & Pizzari, 2010; Simmons & Fitzpatrick, 2012; Wedell,
71 Gage & Parker, 2002).

72 The first step to understand how females and males interact with respect to copulation
73 duration is to measure fertilization success as a function of copulation duration.

74 Knowing when sperm transfer starts and ends is crucial for assessing how female and
75 male traits have coevolved. Here, we controlled copulation duration between males and
76 virgin females of the intertidal snail species *Littorina saxatilis*, a well-studied system for
77 adaptive divergence and reproductive isolation among populations inhabiting different
78 habitats. We tested whether copulation duration influences the proportion of eggs that
79 are fertilized, as a measure of sperm transfer. Eggs and developing embryos are carried
80 in the female's brood pouch in this species and so the result of sperm transfer can be
81 checked a few weeks after mating by dissecting the female. In littorinid gastropods,
82 sperm are transferred in a fluid and moved by cilia in a groove running along the male
83 penis (Reid, 1996). There is evidence in the sea hare *Aplysia parvula* that sperm
84 transferred in a fluid are very few at short copulation times (few minutes) and that their
85 number increases as copulation continues (Yusa, 1994). We expected a similar pattern
86 in *L. saxatilis* with short copulations being inadequate for sperm transfer whereas
87 longer copulations (ten minutes long or more) would be more likely to yield effective
88 transfer of sperm. However, we did not expect the relationship between copulation
89 duration and sperm transfer to be necessarily linear because in other studied
90 gastropods the correlation is either weak or absent (reviewed by Weggelaar,
91 Commandeur & Koene 2019).

92 Several ecotypes of *L. saxatilis* have been described and two in particular (so-called
93 'Crab' and 'Wave' forms) have been used as a model for studying the evolution of
94 reproductive isolation under a scenario with ongoing gene flow between locally adapted
95 populations (Johannesson *et al.*, 2010a). There is likely to be strong sexual conflict due
96 to high population density, risks associated with mating and opportunity for sperm
97 competition and/or cryptic female choice (Johannesson *et al.*, 2016; Johannesson *et al.*,
98 2010b). A wide range of copulation durations has been observed and this may be due to

99 slow sperm transfer (Hollander, Lindegarth & Johannesson, 2005; Perini *et al.*, 2020;
100 Saur, 1990).
101 Here, we examined the timing of sperm transfer in *L. saxatilis* and performed time-
102 controlled copulation trials using males and virgin females. Each female was mated only
103 once and later dissected in order to count the number of developing embryos. The aim
104 was to understand the relationship between copulation duration, sperm transfer and
105 number of developing embryos. Knowing at which time males start transferring the
106 sperm during copulation and whether longer copulations correspond to a larger
107 number of offspring is needed for improving our understanding of the potential impact
108 of sexual conflict on trait evolution in *L. saxatilis*.

109

110

MATERIAL AND METHODS

111 We performed one round of experiments in the autumn of 2016 and one in the summer
112 of 2020 and for each experiment we followed the same protocol except that in 2020 we
113 modified one treatment (see below).

114 We used a total of 38 virgin females (14 Wave in 2016, and 21 Crab and three Wave in
115 2020) that were sampled when immature (very small shell sizes, 2-4 mm long) from a
116 rocky shore on the island of Saltö (58°52'17.0"N 11°07'04.1"E), west coast of Sweden,
117 and reared in aquaria that were filled with sea water via a flow-through system. The
118 aquaria were kept in a day-night cycle so that the virgin females could feed on
119 microalgae that grew on the walls. After approximately ten months, we sampled adult
120 snails of both ecotypes from the same locality and identified ~60 males by observing a
121 fully developed penis. Females from the aquaria and males from the wild were
122 measured (maximum shell length) and each female was matched with males of the
123 same ecotype that were ~25% smaller. The probability of mating varies with the
124 relative size of female and male, and the highest probability is reached for this size ratio
125 (Perini, *et al.*, 2020). In each trial we used two males to increase further the chance that
126 one male would start to mate with the virgin female.

127 Females and males adopt a characteristic mating position that can be clearly observed
128 in the wild as well as in the lab. Typically, the male approaches the female and crawls on
129 top of her shell until he stops at the front-right side of the female shell. At this specific
130 mounting position, the male inserts the penis under the female shell and initiates

131 transfer of sperm. When exactly the penis is inserted is difficult to establish but a strong
132 correspondence has been found between male mounting position and copulation
133 attempt (Hollander, *et al.*, 2005).

134 We used unpublished data on copulation duration from an earlier experiment (Perini, *et*
135 *al.*, 2020) to decide what we should consider as short, medium and long copulation
136 times (Fig. 1). Copulation trials were performed indoors under constant light and at
137 room temperature. At the start of each trial, the female and the two males (a trio) were
138 placed foot-down at the bottom of a transparent plastic sphere (80 mm in diameter)
139 one-third filled with sea water. In both the 2016 and 2020 experiments, each trio was
140 assigned to one of three treatments which corresponded to the time at which copulation
141 was artificially interrupted. In 2016, copulation was interrupted at either five, ten or 30
142 minutes after observing a pair to enter the characteristic mating position. In 2020,
143 copulation was instead interrupted at either one, five or 30 minutes. We replaced the 10
144 minute trial with a one minute treatment because we wanted to test the hypothesis that
145 very short copulations were insufficient for sperm to be transferred to the female. The
146 data from the two experiments were then combined by merging the 10 minute
147 treatment with the 30 minute treatment (10+) in order to increase the sample size for
148 the statistical analysis.

149 Copulations were interrupted at the predefined experimental times by separating the
150 mating pair. Copulations that lasted less than the pre-assigned time were recorded and
151 these females were assigned to a treatment group appropriate to the observed
152 copulation duration. Thereafter, the female was marked with a unique identifier and
153 placed in a new sea water aquarium without the male. The same aquarium was used for
154 all the treated females and also for virgin females that were not assigned to any of the
155 treatments and used as unmated controls.

156 If no copulation had been recorded throughout the length of the trial (two hours), the
157 same female was reused the next day and paired again with males with optimal relative
158 size. When available, new males were preferred, otherwise, the females were matched
159 with the same males as the previous day.

160 Mated and control females were dissected two to three weeks after the mating trials.
161 This time allowed the mated females to start using the sperm to fertilize eggs and for
162 embryogenesis of the first fertilized eggs to have proceeded to a developmental stage
163 that was easily distinguished from unfertilised eggs. Eggs and embryos of each female

164 were photographed using a Canon camera (model EOS 5D Mark iii) mounted on a Leica
165 M80 microscope and counted using ImageJ (Schneider, Rasband & Eliceiri, 2012). Mis-
166 developed embryos beyond egg stage were treated as fertilized eggs and included in the
167 embryo count (Johannesson *et al.*, 2020). Embryos were classified as mis-developed if
168 clumps of cells were spread throughout the egg capsule or they showed malformed
169 shells (e.g., poorly coiled and dwarfed). Females with no eggs or developing embryos
170 were discarded as they were likely immature and/or parasitized while females with at
171 least one egg or one developing embryo were retained for the analysis.

172 We calculated the proportion of developing embryos for each female. We expected that
173 females that had short copulations would have a limited sperm supply and so would
174 show a reduced rate of fertilization in the eggs they produced over two to three weeks
175 after the mating trials. Any such effect might be influenced by the total number of eggs
176 and embryos carried by a female. In order to assess the relationship between copulation
177 duration and fertilization success, we used a generalized linear model with error
178 distribution following a beta-binomial function. We chose a beta-binomial distribution
179 to account for over-dispersion in the response variable due to factors that may be
180 important during fertilization (e.g., sperm storage) but that were not analyzed in this
181 study. To test for a difference in proportion of developing embryos between the
182 different treatments, we fitted a beta-binomial model using the R package “aod”
183 (Lesnoff & Lancelot, 2012) in which the proportion of developing embryos was the
184 response variable and copulation duration was the independent variable (categorical).
185 The null model was beta-binomial with the same response variable but without the
186 treatment effects and models were compared using the Akaike Information Criterion
187 (AIC). Whether the different treatments had significantly different effects on the
188 proportion of developing embryos was tested using the Tukey-Kramer method
189 (Kramer, 1956; Tukey, 1949): the effects were considered significantly different if the
190 absolute value of the difference of two treatment means was greater than or equal to
191 the Honestly Significant Difference statistic (HSD). By adding the year when the two
192 experiments were performed as a second independent variable, we were also able to
193 test whether the relationship between the proportion of developing embryos and
194 copulation durations differed between the two experiments. Finally, we included female
195 size and total number of eggs and embryos as covariates to the beta-binomial model to

196 check whether these variables had a significant effect on the proportion of developing
197 embryos.

198

199

RESULTS

200 We used a total of 38 virgin females but analyzed 33 mated females (Table 1),
201 discarding five females that were likely immature or parasitized.

202 We examined the variation in proportion of developing embryos between treatments by
203 fitting a beta-binomial model to account for dispersion of the response variable
204 (dispersion parameter = 0.47, standard error = 0.06, p -value < 0.01). Including the
205 treatment effects in the model explained significantly more variation in the response
206 variable (treatment model AIC = 234.5, null model AIC = 251.3). The estimated
207 coefficients of the treatments were significantly different from the control but
208 treatments did not differ from one another (Table 2; Fig. 2). Short matings were as
209 successful as long ones because similar proportions of developing embryos were found
210 in all treatments and longer matings were not associated with a greater proportion of
211 developing embryos. In all except one female in the ten-plus minute treatment, in
212 addition to developing embryos, we also found eggs in which we could not detect
213 development. There was no significant effect on the proportion of developing embryos
214 due to the 2016 and 2020 experiment (estimate = -1.04, standard error = 4.23, p -value =
215 0.81), due to the female size (estimate = 0.02, standard error = 0.16, p -value = 0.93) nor
216 due to the total number of eggs and embryos (estimate = 0.00, standard error = 0.00, p -
217 value = 0.27).

218 Copulations that lasted less than the pre-assigned time (7 cases) ranged between three
219 and 28 minutes duration and in all the females fertilization had occurred (proportion of
220 developing embryos ranged between 0.2 and 0.9).

221

222

DISCUSSION

223 In species with internal fertilization, sperm have to be transferred into the female to
224 fertilize the eggs. When, and for how long sperm transfer occurs is still uncertain for
225 most species (Weggelaar, *et al.*, 2019). The number of sperm that are transferred to the
226 female may be strongly correlated with copulation duration if a large quantity of sperm
227 increases male and/or female reproductive success. This correlation between sperm

228 transfer and copulation duration may be complex and not necessarily linear, or absent,
229 because the relationship is expected to depend on the interaction between female and
230 male traits and their corresponding fitness optima (Edward, *et al.*, 2015; Perry & Rowe,
231 2015). Copulation duration may then be used for understanding whether the optima for
232 sperm transfer are divergent (sexual conflict) or the same between the two sexes.
233 In this study, we have measured sperm transfer indirectly based on the relationship
234 between the proportion of developing embryos and copulation duration in the highly
235 promiscuous, internally-brooding snail *L. saxatilis*. We have shown that, surprisingly,
236 very short copulations are sufficient for the sperm transport into the female to begin
237 and that females involved in interrupted copulations of short, medium and long
238 duration did not carry different proportions of developing embryos.
239 For species such as *L. saxatilis* in which males transfer sperm in a fluid via ciliary
240 movements (Reid, 1996), very short copulations were not expected to be effective for
241 transferring the sperm to the female (Hollander, *et al.*, 2005). However, experimental
242 evidence for this assumption is not clear-cut, especially in other gastropods where the
243 number of studies is limited to a few species (reviewed by Weggelaar, *et al.* 2019). For
244 example, in the freshwater snail *Lymnaea stagnalis*, very few sperm were found after 10
245 to 25 minutes of copulation and most of the sperm were transferred near the end of
246 copulation (Weggelaar, *et al.*, 2019). In *Littoraria cingulata* and *L. filosa*, Hollander *et al.*
247 (2018) observed an increased probability of sperm transfer for longer copulation
248 durations. In the opisthobranch sea hare *Aplysia parvula*, Yusa (1994) found that more
249 sperm were transferred in longer copulations but that a few minutes were already
250 sufficient for sperm transfer in a fluid. Hence, even though long copulations might be
251 required for transferring a large amount of sperm, short copulations, as we observed in
252 *L. saxatilis*, can be effective to transfer enough sperm to fertilize a batch of embryos. The
253 experimental interruption of copulation itself does not appear to be the cause of rapid
254 sperm transfer in our experiment with *L. saxatilis* because pairs that ended copulation
255 before the pre-assigned time achieved similar transfer of sperm to the females (even
256 after 3 minutes). This suggests that short copulations in nature can provide enough
257 sperm for many of a female's eggs to be fertilized.
258 We cannot exclude the possibility that more sperm were transferred in longer matings.
259 High sperm loading might be beneficial for males mainly to displace sperm from
260 previous matings or to dilute their contribution (Parker & Pizzari, 2010). Previous

261 results on biased paternity towards large males in *L. saxatilis* would support this
262 possibility (Johannesson, *et al.*, 2016) suggesting that, like in many insects (Simmons,
263 2001) and a few aquatic gastropods (Anthes, Werminghausen & Lange, 2014; Oppliger
264 *et al.*, 2003; Xue, Zhang & Liu, 2014), sperm competition would select for large males
265 with a large/long penis that produce many sperm. Because here we have used virgins
266 and single matings, such a correlation between sperm transfer and copulation duration
267 may not be captured. All except one female showed eggs where we did not detect
268 development but we cannot be sure whether these were unfertilized or fertilized but
269 not sufficiently advanced embryos to be detectable as undergoing development at the
270 time when we dissected the females. The proportion of undeveloped embryos and eggs
271 in treated females matches well with the proportion of similarly early embryo stages
272 ("preveligers") (~20%) in wild-collected females (Johannesson, *et al.*, 2020) that are not
273 likely to be sperm-limited (Panova *et al.*, 2010). Such a similarity in proportions of
274 developed and undeveloped embryos would suggest that females that were interrupted
275 at any time during copulation (even after only one minute) in our experiment were
276 unlikely to be sperm-limited in the short term.

277 One hypothesis that could explain rapid sperm transfer in *L. saxatilis* is that of high
278 predation risk. There is empirical evidence in littorinid snails that when females and
279 males enter the mating position, the risk of being dislodged from the intertidal and/or
280 being eaten by crabs and fish increases compared to single individuals (Johannesson,
281 1986; Johannesson, *et al.*, 2010b; Kempainen *et al.*, 2005; Koch, Lynch & Rochette,
282 2007). If this risk is high, then it may be beneficial for both sexes to transfer sperm
283 rapidly to assure fertilization at a lower cost of mating. This might explain why we
284 observed *L. saxatilis* developing embryos already in the one minute treatment. A similar
285 effect of predation was also found in fireflies, which usually copulate for hours or days.
286 In the species *Photinus collustrans*, where an increased predation risk was observed
287 compared to other fireflies, copulations lasted only a few minutes (Wing, 1988). If the
288 same was true for *L. saxatilis*, we would have expected copulation duration to reflect
289 such predation risk and thus, be on average a few minutes long, both in the lab and in
290 the field. What we see is, instead, an average mating time of 20 minutes and many
291 matings lasting up to one hour (Fig. 1). Hence, other factors are likely to influence
292 copulation duration in *L. saxatilis*.

293 In *L. saxatilis*, entering the mating position may not correspond exactly to the time when
294 the penis is inserted under the female's shell. For this reason, the start of copulation
295 may have been later than the time we recorded. At the same time, watching multiple
296 trials, the observer might have missed the start of the mating by up to 30 seconds. The
297 true duration of 'one minute' matings is, therefore, somewhat uncertain but this
298 uncertainty is relatively low for the other treatments. Nevertheless, our general
299 conclusion still holds: short matings in *L. saxatilis* (approximately one minute duration)
300 are sufficient for sperm transfer to begin. This duration is shorter than what has been
301 previously expected to be the required time for sperm of *L. saxatilis* to be transferred
302 into the female and much shorter than the majority of observed matings (Fig. 1).
303 Extended copulations do not necessarily mean that sperm transfer is delayed or that a
304 larger quantity of sperm is transferred to the female. In many insects (Weggelaar, *et al.*,
305 2019) but also in some hermaphroditic land snails (Dillen, Jordaens & Backeljau, 2009),
306 males have been found to increase their fertilization success by mate guarding the
307 females after having transferred their sperm. This behavior is expected to be especially
308 beneficial in low-density populations, whereas in high-density populations its benefits
309 are lost. As the population density increases and, thus, both availability of females and
310 intensity of male competition increases, males are instead expected to invest less in
311 mate guarding as well as investing less in sperm quantity per mating (Parker, 1974).
312 The prediction is that, compared to low-density populations, males in high-density
313 populations should allocate time and energy into mate searching and consecutive
314 inseminations, which should be especially beneficial when female receptivity is not time
315 constrained (Parker, 1974). Hence, shorter copulations should be more cost-effective in
316 high rather than in low-density populations and for mating systems with long rather
317 than short sexual activity periods. In the populations sampled for this experiment,
318 males and females of *L. saxatilis* live in high density and females are reproductively
319 active year round and so the mate guarding hypothesis seems unlikely to explain why
320 copulations last longer than what is required for initial sperm transfer.
321 We have shown that sperm transfer in *L. saxatilis* begins rapidly during copulation but it
322 remains unclear whether the evolution of rapid sperm transfer is influenced by
323 increased predation risk, high population density or year round female receptivity. The
324 evidence that copulations in *L. saxatilis* are on average much longer than a few minutes
325 strongly argues against any of these effects. We showed that enough sperm are

326 transferred in a short time to achieve fertilization as successfully after a few minutes as
327 after ten or more minutes but we did not test for how long sperm transfer continues or
328 whether the duration of transfer influences the total number of sperm transferred, and
329 so male reproductive success, particularly when females are multiply mated. Once this
330 information becomes available, we should be able to say more about sperm competition
331 and the potential for sexual conflict over copulation duration.

332

333

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

334 We are very grateful to Andrea Cabrera for her help with field sampling and copulation
335 trials. This work was funded by the Natural Environment Research Council, European
336 Research Council and Swedish Research Council VR and we are also very grateful for the
337 support of the Linnaeus Centre for Marine Evolutionary Biology at the University of
338 Gothenburg.

339

340

REFERENCES

- 341 ANTHES, N., WERMINGHAUSEN, J. & LANGE, R. 2014 Large donors transfer more sperm,
342 but depletion is faster in a promiscuous hermaphrodite. *Behavioral Ecology and*
343 *Sociobiology*, **68**: 477–483.
- 344 BATEMAN, A.J. 1948 Intra-sexual selection in *Drosophila*. *Heredity*, **2**: 349–368.
- 345 CHAPMAN, T., ARNQVIST, G., BANGHAM, J. & ROWE, L. 2003 Sexual conflict. *Trends in*
346 *Ecology & Evolution*, **18**: 41–47.
- 347 CHAPMAN, T., LIDDLE, L.F., KALB, J.M., WOLFNER, M.F. & PARTRIDGE, L. 1995 Cost of
348 mating in *Drosophila melanogaster* females is mediated by male accessory gland
349 products. *Nature*, **373**: 241–244.
- 350 DALY, M. 1978 The cost of mating. *The American Naturalist*, **112**: 771–774.
- 351 DELBARCO-TRILLO, J. 2011 Adjustment of sperm allocation under high risk of sperm
352 competition across taxa: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **24**: 1706–
353 1714.
- 354 DILLEN, L., JORDAENS, K. & BACKELJAU, T. 2009 Sperm transfer, sperm storage, and
355 sperm digestion in the hermaphroditic land snail *Succinea putris* (Gastropoda,
356 Pulmonata). *Invertebrate Biology*, **128**: 97–106.
- 357 EDWARD, D.A., STOCKLEY, P. & HOSKEN, D.J. 2015 Sexual conflict and sperm
358 Competition. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, **7**: a017707.
- 359 GILCHRIST, A.S. & PARTRIDGE, L. 2000 Why it is difficult to model sperm displacement
360 in *Drosophila melanogaster*: The relation between sperm transfer and copulation
361 duration. *Evolution*, **54**: 534–542.

362 HOLLANDER, J., LINDEGARTH, M. & JOHANNESSON, K. 2005 Local adaptation but not
363 geographical separation promotes assortative mating in a snail. *Animal Behaviour*, **70**:
364 1209–1219.

365 HOLLANDER, J., MONTANO-RENDON, M., BIANCO, G., YANG, X., WESTRAM, A.M.,
366 DUVAUX, L., REID, D.G. & BUTLIN, R.K. 2018 Are assortative mating and genital
367 divergence driven by reinforcement? *Evolution Letters*, **2**: 557-566.

368 JANICKE, T., HÄDERER, I.K., LAJEUNESSE, M.J. & ANTHES, N. 2016 Darwinian sex roles
369 confirmed across the animal kingdom. *Science Advances*, **2**: e1500983.

370 JOHANNESSON, B. 1986 Shell morphology of *Littorina saxatilis* Olivi: the relative
371 importance of physical factors and predation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology
372 and Ecology*, **102**: 183–195.

373 JOHANNESSON, K., PANOVA, M., KEMPPAINEN, P., ANDRÉ, C., ROLÁN-ALVAREZ, E. &
374 BUTLIN, R.K. 2010a Repeated evolution of reproductive isolation in a marine snail:
375 unveiling mechanisms of speciation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:
376 Biological Sciences*, **365**: 1735–1747.

377 JOHANNESSON, K., SALTIN, S.H., CHARRIER, G., RING, A.-K., KVARNEMO, C., ANDRÉ, C. &
378 PANOVA, M. 2016 Non-random paternity of offspring in a highly promiscuous marine
379 snail suggests postcopulatory sexual selection. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **70**:
380 1357–1366.

381 JOHANNESSON, K., SALTIN, S.H., DURANOVIC, I., HAVENHAND, J.N. & JONSSON, P.R.
382 2010b Indiscriminate males: mating behaviour of a marine snail compromised by a
383 sexual conflict? *Plos One*, **5**: e12005.

384 JOHANNESSON, K., ZAGRODZKA, Z., FARIA, R., MARIE WESTRAM, A. & BUTLIN, R.K.
385 2020 Is embryo abortion a post-zygotic barrier to gene flow between *Littorina*
386 ecotypes? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **33**: 342–351.

387 KELLY, C.D. & JENNIONS, M.D. 2011 Sexual selection and sperm quantity: meta-analyses
388 of strategic ejaculation. *Biological Reviews*, **86**: 863–884.

389 KEMPPAINEN, P., VAN NES, S., CEDER, C. & JOHANNESSON, K. 2005 Refuge function of
390 marine algae complicates selection in an intertidal snail. *Oecologia*, **143**: 402–411.

391 KOCH, N., LYNCH, B. & ROCHETTE, R. 2007 Trade-off between mating and predation
392 risk in the marine snail, *Littorina plena*. *Invertebrate Biology*, **126**: 257–267.

393 KRAMER, C.Y. 1956 Extension of multiple range tests to group means with unequal
394 numbers of replications. *Biometrics*, **12**: 307–310.

395 LESNOFF, M. & LANCELOT, R. 2012 aod: Analysis of overdispersed data. *R package
396 version 1.3.1*.

397 MARTIN, O. & HOSKEN, D. 2002 Strategic ejaculation in the common dung fly *Sepsis
398 cynipsea*. *Animal Behaviour*, **63**: 541–546.

399 OPPLIGER, A., NACIRI-GRAVEN, Y., RIBI, G. & HOSKEN, D.J. 2003 Sperm length
400 influences fertilization success during sperm competition in the snail *Viviparus ater*.
401 *Molecular Ecology*, **12**: 485–492.

402 PANOVA, M., BOSTRÖM, J., HOFVING, T., ARESKOU, T., ERIKSSON, A., MEHLIG, B.,
403 MÄKINEN, T., ANDRÉ, C. & JOHANNESSON, K. 2010 Extreme female promiscuity in a
404 non-social invertebrate species. *Plos One*, **5**: e9640.

- 405 PARKER, G.A. 1974 Courtship persistence and female-guarding as male time investment
406 strategies. *Behaviour*, **48**: 157–184.
- 407 PARKER, G.A. 1979 Sexual selection and sexual conflict. In: *Sexual selection and*
408 *reproductive competition in insects*: (Blum, M.S. and Blum, N.A., eds), pp. 123–166.
409 Academic Press, New York.
- 410 PARKER, G.A., BALL, M., STOCKLEY, P. & GAGE, M.J. 1996 Sperm competition games:
411 individual assessment of sperm competition intensity by group spawners. *Proceedings*
412 *of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, **263**: 1291–1297.
- 413 PARKER, G.A. & PIZZARI, T. 2010 Sperm competition and ejaculate economics.
414 *Biological Reviews*, **85**: 897–934.
- 415 PERINI, S., RAFAJLOVIĆ, M., WESTRAM, A.M., JOHANNESSON, K. & BUTLIN, R.K. 2020
416 Assortative mating, sexual selection, and their consequences for gene flow in *Littorina*.
417 *Evolution*, **74**: 1482–1497.
- 418 PERRY, J.C. & ROWE, L. 2015 The evolution of sexually antagonistic phenotypes. *Cold*
419 *Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, **7**: a017558.
- 420 REID, D.G. 1996 *Systematics and evolution of Littorina*. Ray Society Publications, London.
- 421 SAUR, M. 1990 Mate discrimination in *Littorina littorea* (L.) and *L. saxatilis* (Olivi)
422 (Mollusca: Prosobranchia). *Hydrobiologia*, **193**: 261–270.
- 423 SCHNEIDER, C.A., RASBAND, W.S. & ELICEIRI, K.W. 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years
424 of image analysis. *Nature methods*, **9**: 671–675.
- 425 SIMMONS, L.W. 2001 *Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects*.
426 Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- 427 SIMMONS, L.W. & FITZPATRICK, J.L. 2012 Sperm wars and the evolution of male
428 fertility. *Reproduction*, **144**: 519–534.
- 429 TRIVERS, R.L. 1972 Parental investment and sexual selection. In: *Sexual selection and*
430 *the descent of man*: (Campbell, B., ed), pp. 136–179. Aldine-Atherton, Chicago.
- 431 TUKEY, J.W. 1949 Comparing individual means in the analysis of variance. *Biometrics*:
432 99–114.
- 433 WEDELL, N., GAGE, M.J.G. & PARKER, G.A. 2002 Sperm competition, male prudence and
434 sperm-limited females. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **17**: 313–320.
- 435 WEGGELAAR, T.A., COMMANDEUR, D. & KOENE, J.M. 2019 Increased copulation
436 duration does not necessarily reflect a proportional increase in the number of
437 transferred spermatozoa. *Animal Biology*, **69**: 95–115.
- 438 WING, S.R. 1988 Cost of mating for female insects: risk of predation in *Photinus*
439 *collustrans* (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *The American Naturalist*, **131**: 139–142.
- 440 WOLFNER, M.F. 1997 Tokens of love: functions and regulation of *Drosophila* male
441 accessory gland products. *Insect biochemistry and molecular biology*, **27**: 179–192.
- 442 XUE, D., ZHANG, T. & LIU, J.-X. 2014 Microsatellite evidence for high frequency of
443 multiple paternity in the marine gastropod *Rapana venosa*. *Plos One*, **9**: e86508.

444 YUSA, Y. 1994 Factors regulating sperm transfer in an hermaphroditic sea hare, *Alypsia*
445 *parvula* Mörch, 1863 (Gastropoda: Opishobanchia). *Journal of Experimental Marine*
446 *Biology and Ecology*, **181**: 213–221.

447 Table 1. Number of females (N) per ecotype, treatment and experiment (Year).

Ecotype	Treatment	Year	N
Crab	Control	2020	3
Crab	1	2020	4
Wave	1	2020	1
Crab	5	2020	3
Wave	5	2020	1
Crab	10+	2020	5
Wave	Control	2016	3
Wave	5	2016	4
Wave	10+	2016	2

448

449 Table 2. Summary of parameter estimates for the beta-binomial model and Tukey-
 450 Kramer's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD). Back-transformed Maximum Likelihood
 451 Estimate and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for the control group, one minute
 452 treatment (T1), five minute treatment (T5) and ten-plus minute treatment (T10+).

Coefficient	Estimate	95% CIs	Tukey-Kramer HSD		
			Control	T1	T5
Control	0.00 ^a	0.00 to 0.46			
T1	0.91 ^b	0.74 to 0.97	0.38		
T5	0.75 ^b	0.56 to 0.88		0.58	
T10+	0.79 ^b	0.57 to 0.91		0.58	0.49

453 Estimates followed by the same letter are not significantly different from each other
 454 (Tukey-Kramer test, P>0.05).




Figure 1. Distribution of copulation duration under laboratory conditions (unpublished data from the mating experiments described by Perini *et al.* 2020). Count (y axis) of how many matings occurred, with duration in one minute bins (x axis), with mean (blue dashed line) and median (orange dashed line) durations.




Figure 2. Proportion of developing embryos in the control and treatments. For each female (black points), the proportion (y axis) was calculated as the number of developing embryos divided by the total number of embryos (size of the black points \propto natural logarithm of total number of embryos, range 1.1-6.1). For the control group and each time treatment (x axis), the fitted value (blue points) and 95% confidence intervals (black bars) were calculated using a beta-binomial model and back-transformed to the scale for proportions (0 to 1).