UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Ortho-F,F-DPEphos : Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry in Rhodium and Gold Complexes, and Comparison with DPEphos.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/186700/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Race, James, Webb, Matthew J., Boyd, Timothy et al. (1 more author) (2022) Ortho-F,F-DPEphos : Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry in Rhodium and Gold Complexes, and Comparison with DPEphos. EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INORGANIC CHEMISTRY. e202200174. ISSN 1434-1948

https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202200174

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



www.eurjic.org

Ortho-F,F-DPEphos: Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry in Rhodium and Gold Complexes, and Comparison with DPEphos

James J. Race,^{*[a, b]} Matthew J. Webb,^[a] Timothy Morgan Boyd,^[a, b] and Andrew S. Weller^{*[a]}

The synthesis of a new *ortho*-fluorine substituted diphosphine ligand based upon the DPEphos ligand is reported: *o*-F,F-DPEphos (DPEphos = bis(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)ether). The corresponding bimetallic gold complex Au₂Cl₂(*o*-F,F-DPEphos) and Schrock-Osborn type Rh(I) complex [Rh(*o*-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] have been synthesized (Ar^F = 3,5-(CF₃)₂-C₆H₃). The solid-state and solution phase NMR data are examined in comparison with the *o*-H,H-DPEphos equivalents, and demonstrate the influence of *ortho*-fluorine substitution through the

control of aurophilic and Rh···X interactions (X=H or F). Characterization of the organometallic products upon hydrogenation of the Schrock-Osborn complexes in 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ revealed a Rh dimeric complex [Rh(H)(*fac*- κ^3 -P,O,P- μ -CH-DPE-phos)]₂[BAr^F₄]₂ with a bridging C–H agostic interaction for the parent DPEphos. The substituted variant formed a Rh(III) monomer [Rh(*mer*- κ^3 -P,O,P-*o*-F,F-DPEphos)H₂][BAr^F₄], highlighting the structural consequences of *ortho*-fluorine substitution.

Introduction

The manipulation of the steric and electronic properties of phosphine ligands is a well-known method for tuning reactivity and selectivity in transition-metal mediated catalysis.^[1,2] Orthosubstitution at phosphorus aryl-substituents is one method to do this, and there are a number of examples of how orthofunctionalization can affect the coordination geometry,^[3] reactivity^[4-6] and selectivity of homogeneous catalysts.^[7-10] Ortho-fluorine substitution, however, is much rarer.[11,12] Orthoaryl fluorine substitution has been used to increase the rate of biphenyl reduction in Pt(Ph)₂(o-F-diphosphine) complexes,^[13] and shown to increase catalyst productivity in Cr-catalyzed ethylene oligomerisation.^[14,15] DPEphos (DPEphos = bis(2diphenylphosphinophenyl)ether, named o-H,H-DPEphos here for clarity, Figure 1A), is an example of a POP-type diphosphine ligand that can be readily adapted by changing the phosphorus substituents.^[16,17] Initially synthesized for use as a κ^2 -P,P wide bite-angle diphosphine ligand for selective hydroformylation catalysis,^[18] o-H,H-DPEphos has since been shown to coordinate to a transition metal atom in a variety of geometries, including:

[a]	J. J. Race, M. J. Webb, T. Morgan Boyd, Prof. A. S. Weller
	Department of Chemistry
	University of York
	Heslington, YO10 5DD, UK
	E-mail: jjr534@york.ac.uk
	andrew.weller@york.ac.uk
[b]	J. J. Race, T. Morgan Boyd
	Chemistry Research Laboratories
	University of Oxford
	Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202200174
- © 2022 The Authors. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. A) *o*-H,H-DPEphos and *ortho*-substituted DPEphos. **B**) Some examples of the coordination modes of DPEphos in metal-DPEphos complexes. **C**) The work reported in this article – a comparison of the rhodium and gold coordination chemistry of *o*-H,H-DPEphos and *o*-F,F-DPEphos.

 $\mu^2, \kappa^1, \kappa^{1-}P,P, \ \kappa^2-P,P \ and \ \kappa^3-P,O,P \ (Figure 1B).^{[19]}$ This coordination flexibility, facilitated by the hemilabile^[19] nature of the oxygen, has been demonstrated in a range of homogeneous catalytic processes. For example the Pd(o-H,H-DPEphos)-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling to form sterically hindered biaryls^[20] and aryl-phosphonates,^[21] or amination of allylic alcohols using a Pt(o-H,H-DPEphos)Cl₂ precatalyst.^[22] The cationic [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)]⁺ system has been employed to catalyze alkene and alkyne intermolecular hydroacylation^[23-25] and amine-borane



dehydropolymerization.^[26,27] Transitioning between the possible coordination modes has been shown to be important for catalytic activity in some cases.^[23,25,28] Examples of anyl modification in DPEphos are rare, and catalytic applications, thus far, are limited.^[16,29-33] Most recently, rhodium Schrock-Osborn type^[34,35] precatalysts containing ortho-aryl-substituted DPEphos ligands have been reported, in which steric bulk was varied [Rh(R-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] (R=H, Me, OMe, ⁱPr; NBD=norbornadiene and $Ar^{F} = 3.5 - (CF_{3})_{2} - C_{6}H_{3}$.^[17] Changes in the steric properties of the ortho-substituent influenced the coordination geometry and further reactivity of the precatalyst upon hydrogenation of the norbornadiene fragment. For example, the identification of Rh-H-C anagostic^[36] interactions in the NBD complexes and ligand C-H activation in the $(R = {}^{i}Pr)$ when activated using dihydrogen. In light of these studies, and wanting to explore the wider scope of ortho-aryl substitution in DPEphos ligands, we now report the synthesis of ortho-F,F-DPEphos (Figure 1C), in which the ortho-phenyl hydrogen atoms in parent DPEphos have been replaced with fluorine, and preliminary coordination chemistry studies with Au and Rhcenters. The influence of this ortho-fluorine substitution switches off solid-state aurophilic interactions in the bimetallic Au(I) complex Au₂Cl₂(o-F,F-DPEphos) compared to the o-H,H-DPEphos equivalent:^[37] while in the Schrock-Osborn type precatalyst,^[34,35] [Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄], ortho-fluorine substitution stops the formation of hydride-bridged dimers upon hydrogenation, for which - unexpected - supporting ortho-C-H phenyl agostic interactions occur with parent DPEphos.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of o-F,F-DPEphos, 1-F,F

The di-*ortho*-fluorine analogue of DPEphos, *o*-F,F-DPEphos (1-F,F) was synthesized via addition of Li[2,6-F₂C₆H₃] to 2,2'-(PCl₂)₂Ph₂O (Scheme 1), as previously reported for the synthesis of other substituted DPEphos ligands.^[17] Aqueous workup afforded an analytically pure product as a white microcrystalline solid on recrystallization, in moderate (30%) yield, which was fully characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (CD₂Cl₂). One environment is observed in both the ³¹P{¹H} (δ –62.5) and ¹⁹F{¹H} (δ –100.7) NMR spectra, each displaying *J*(PF) coupling (40 Hz), to give a quintet and doublet respectively. The ³¹P signal is shifted 45.9 ppm upfield compared to the parent DPEphos (δ –16.6). A similar shift is observed between PPh₃ (δ



Scheme 1. Synthesis of ortho-F,F-DPEphos, 1-F,F.

 $-4.7)^{^{[38]}}$ and PPh(2,6-F₂C₆H₄)₂ (δ -50.6). $^{^{[12]}}$ The single $^{^{19}}$ F environment observed suggests free rotation of the aryl group $^{^{[39]}}$ as well as ether backbone flexibility that makes all of the substituted aryl groups equivalent.

Synthesis, characterization and comparison of $\mbox{Au}_2\mbox{Cl}_2\mbox{(o-R,R-DPEphos)}$ complexes

With the new ligand in hand its coordination chemistry with the {AuCl} fragment was investigated. Gold complexes with ortho-substituted aryl phosphines are well established in catalysis,^[4,5] but ortho-substituted DPEphos variants are, to our knowledge, unknown. The corresponding Au₂Cl₂(o-F,F-DPEphos) complex, 2-F,F, was synthesized using a similar method as reported for parent o-H,H-DPEphos,^[37] 2-H,H, by addition of two equivalents of Au(THT)Cl (THT = tetrahydrothiophene) to 1-F,F in CH₂Cl₂ solution (Scheme 2). 2-F,F was isolated as a white crystalline solid in a moderate yield (52%). Figure 2 shows the solid-state structure of **2-F,F**, which shows a μ^2 , κ^1 , κ^1 -o-F,F-DPEphos ligand bridging two linear AuCl groups [Cl-Au-P; 175.24(5)° and 177.09(6)°]. The Au atoms are orientated in a trans arrangement, facilitated by a twist of the ligand backbone by 73° (arene-arene plane angle), imposing non-crystallographic, C₂ symmetry. There are no aurophilic interactions^[40] [Au…Au = 6.1792(6) Å].



Scheme 2. Formation of Au₂Cl₂(o-F,F-DPEphos), 2-F,F.



Figure 2. Crystallographically determined structure of **2-F,F**. Ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted and the terminal aryl groups are modelled in stick form for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): P1–Au1, 2.225(1); Au1–Cl1, 2.276(1); P2–Au2, 2.230(1); Au2-Cl2, 2.278(1); Au1–Au2, 6.1792(6); Cl1–Au1–P1, 177.09(6); Cl2–Au2–P2, 175.24(5); C18-O1-C19, 117.8(3).

Chemistry European Chemical Societies Publishing

This structure is in contrast with the previously reported solid-state structure of 2-H,H, which has a much closer Au-Au contact [3.0116(4) Å], typical for an aurophilic interaction, i.e. 2.5–3.5 Å.^[40] Each Au center in **2-F.F** shows a number of relatively close Au-F distances (~3.0 Å), which sit at the threshold defined for significant M-F interactions.^[41] The C₂ symmetry observed in the solid-state is retained in the solution state. In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K) a single ³¹P signal is observed at δ -23.1 as a sharp 1:2:3:2:1 apparent quintet, coupling to four equivalent ¹⁹F nuclei [J(PF) = 25 Hz]. This is a large upfield shift compared to **2-H,H** (δ 21.6).^[37] Two equal intensity ^{19}F environments are observed at δ –97.3 and δ -97.9 in the ¹⁹F¹H NMR spectrum as doublets of triplets, both coupling to one ${}^{31}P$ [J(PF) = 25 Hz] and two ${}^{19}F$ nuclei, J(FF) (7 Hz) – the latter confirmed by a ¹⁹F-COSY experiment. These are not particularly shifted from free ligand (δ –100.7). A total of eight aromatic environments were observed for 2-F,F in the ¹H NMR spectrum, assigned to the diphenylether backbone and two sets of meta-H and para-H environments. These data suggest a rapid rotation of the $2,6-F_2C_6H_3$ groups around the P–C bond, but that inversion of the diphenyl backbone does not occur, or is very slow on the NMR timescale, leading to two different aryl groups. We suggest the ¹⁹F-¹⁹F coupling observed is due to through space coupling,^[42,43] for which a dependence on the F-F distance has been investigated computationally by Mallory and co-workers.^[44] A F-F distance of 3.0 Å would be expected to give a J(FF) of ~7 Hz.^[45] This is consistent with that measured for the closest F--F distance (F5--F7) on adjacent aryl groups of 2.894(6) Å in 2-F,F, and the observed coupling constant. These interactions would be time-averaged in solution leading to the observed symmetry in solution.

Synthesis, characterization and structural behavior of [Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄], 3-F,F

[Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄], **3-F**,**F**, was synthesized via addition of **1-F**,**F** to [Rh(NBD)₂][BAr^F₄] in a 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ solution using a similar synthetic procedure to that previously used for the synthesis of [Rh(o-H,ⁱPr-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] (Scheme 3).^[17] An analytically pure orange microcrystalline powder was obtained in a good yield (77%). A small number of crystals suitable for analysis by x-ray diffraction were obtained from slow diffusion of pentane into a THF solution of **3-F**,**F**. The resulting solid-state structure of **3-F**,**F**, Figure 3, shows the diphosphine bound in a *cis*-κ²-P,P arrangement, with no oxygen coordination [Rh···O 3.480(2) Å]. With the η^2 , η^2 bound NBD ligand there is an overall pseudo-square planar geometry for



Scheme 3. Preparation of [Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] 3-F,F.



Figure 3. Crystallographically determined structure of **3-F,F**. Ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and $[BAr^F_4]^-$ anion omitted and substituted aryl groups in stick form for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Rh1-P1, 2.3180(6); Rh1-P2, (2.3757(6); Rh1-O1, 3.480(2); Rh1-F1, 2.876(2); Rh1-F6, 3.147(2); P1-Rh1-P2, 101.48(2); C18-O1-C19, 116.8(2).

the cation. Rh-P bond distances of 2.3180(6) Å and 2.3757(6) Å are typical of such Rh(I) complexes.^[17] The ether-oxygen atom sits just off the square plane, in an envelope-like^[46] conformation, as previously observed in [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] (3-H,H).^[17] While this gives the complex overall crystallographic C₁ symmetry, in solution a low energy ring inversion of the diphenyl ether backbone would lead to the observed timeaveraged C₂ symmetry. Relatively close F···F distances are present [F2...F3=2.820(0) Å, F6...F7=2.859(2) Å]. In the room temperature ³¹P NMR spectrum of **3-F,F**, a single doublet was observed at δ -17.3 [J(RhP)=162 Hz] with no observable coupling to fluorine, unlike for 2-F,F. Very broad signals centered at δ –90.9, –97.7 and –102.5, in the ratio 1:2:1, were observed in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, in addition to the signal due to $[BAr_{4}^{F}]^{-}$. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, three NBD environments observed in a 4:2:2 ratio suggests time averaged C_{2V} symmetry in solution. On cooling to 183 K in CD₂Cl₂ the integral 4H alkene signal resolves into two separate, integral 2H, signals. The ³¹P NMR spectrum is essentially unchanged on cooling apart from the doublet being broadened (fwhm = 275 Hz) that may suggest unresolved coupling to ¹⁹F. In the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum at 183 K, four ^{19}F signals were observed, in a 2:2:2:2 ratio at δ -90.8, -97.5, -98.1 and -103.5. Only one of these (δ -98.1) is resolved into a doublet [J(PF) = 38 Hz] which is consistent with the broadening of the ³¹P NMR signal at this temperature. Similar behavior with regard to selective J(PF) coupling has been reported in trans-PtCl₂(PEt₃)(P(2,6-F₂C₆H₃)₃, in which only one pair of ¹⁹F nuclei couple to ³¹P, J(PF) = 30 Hz.¹² No ¹⁰³Rh-¹⁹F coupling is observed in 3-F,F. Warming to 318 K, results in a single very broad signal being observed in the ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum, centered at δ –98.1. The aromatic signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum are overlapping, even at 183 K, and therefore



not helpful for structural elucidation. Combined, these data suggest a low energy ring flipping process combined with restricted rotation of the fluorinated aryl groups^[12,13] are occurring, giving the complex overall C₂ symmetry at low temperature, with four distinct ¹⁹F environments. We suggest this backbone flipping attenuates through space F...F couplings, despite the reasonably close contacts being observed in the solid-state. This is in contrast to 2-F,F where F...F coupling is observed but inversion of the diphenyl ether unit does not occur. Ring-flipping processes have been reported previously in POP-type ligands,^[47,48] and most relevantly, a similar dynamic process was reported in 3-H,H.^[17] Interestingly, in the solid-state structure of **3-F,F**, there is a fluorine atom located in the apical position of the pseudo square planar Rh(I) center, with relatively close Rh…F distance: F1…Rh1 = 2.876(2), Figure 4. Similar close metal-fluorine contacts [M - F = 3.0997(8), 3.074(1) Å] were reported by Togni et al. for [MCI(COD)(diphenyl(5,6,7,8-tetrafluoronapthalen-1yl)] (M=Rh or Ir, COD = cyclooctadiene), for which ¹⁹F-³¹P coupling constants of 62 and 75 Hz (Ir and Rh respectively) were also measured. For these complexes it is also interesting to note that the fluorine atom in close contact with the metal is shifted ~20 ppm downfield compared to the other signals in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum.^[49] In solution for 3-F,F these interactions are likely to be time averaged, between F1...Rh1 and F6--Rh1, given the C2 symmetry and restricted rotation observed. No J(RhF) was observed, although this is likely to be small (<10 Hz).^[49] Geometrically related anagostic^[36,50] Rh…H–C interactions are observed in complex 3-H,H (Figure 4),^[17] expressed by downfield chemical shifts in the ¹H NMR spectrum for the C-H groups involved. Such interactions are geometrically enforced, with the spatial orientation of the C-H bonds perpendicular to the Rh-square plane leading to ring-current induced chemical shift changes. While we have been unable to definitively assign a particular ¹⁹F chemical shift to the close Rh...F interactions in 3-F,F it is tempting to suggest that the signal at δ –98.1 that shows the coupling to ³¹P [J(PF) = 30 Hz] is due to the F1/F6 pair via coupling through the Rh-center. However, this signal is not particularly shifted from free ligand $(\delta - 100.7)$, whereas there is a signal upfield shifted by ~10 ppm at δ –90.8. More detailed computational studies are needed to reconcile these chemical shift differences with the observed structure.

Hydrogenation products of 3-H,H and 3-F,F

Schrock-Osborn [Rh(chelating-phosphine)(NBD)]⁺ systems are popular precatalysts for a variety of transformations, for example in olefin hydrogenation and hydroacylation reactions.^[1,35] They are typically activated by hydrogenation of the diene moiety to form Rh(I) solvated^[25,51] or Rh(III) dihydride containing complexes.^[52,53] Hydrogenation of [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] in the presence of coordinating solvents, such as o-xylene, acetone and C_6H_5F have previously been shown to form Rh(I) solvated complexes: [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(η^6 -o-xylene)][BAr^F₄],^[28] [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(acetone)₂][BAr^F₄],^[24,25] and [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(η^{6} - C_6H_5F)][BAr^F₄]²⁶ respectively, the last two characterised in-situ. With the bulkier, ortho-methyl substituted DPEphos ligand a dihvdride Rh(III) complex forms, [Rh(o-Me-DPEphos)H₂(acetone)][BAr^F₄], whereas with ortho-ⁱPr a C–H activated product results.^[17] Here, we contrast the activation products of 3-H,H and 3-F,F after treatment with H₂ in the less coordinating^[54,55] solvent, $1,2-F_2C_6H_4$ (Scheme 4). An atmosphere of 1 bar H₂ was applied to a 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ solution of **3-H,H** and a color change from orange to dark red was observed after several minutes.

After 20 minutes, in-situ ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy indicated that all of the **3-H,H** had reacted, and two new ³¹P signals were observed (δ 42.9 and δ 36.2) alongside free norbornane in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The resulting organometallic product, **4-H,H**, was isolated as a purple solid and characterized using NMR spectroscopy and ESI-MS. Unfortunately, crystals suitable for xray diffraction could not be obtained, despite repeated attempts. In the ³¹P NMR spectrum of this isolated product, two broad signals at δ 43.3 and δ 36.5 are observed as a doublet [J(RhP) = 174 Hz] and a doublet of doublets [J(RhP) = 154 Hz, J(PH) = 74 Hz] respectively at a very similar chemical shift to the



Figure 4. Truncated solid-state structure of **3-F**,**F** and diagramed structure of the cationic portion of **3-H**,**H**¹⁷ highlighting the close Rh…F and Rh…H contacts respectively. Ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. $[BAr^{F}_{4}]^{-}$, hydrogen atoms and aryl groups not involved in Rh…F contacts are removed for clarity.



Scheme 4. Formation of 4-H,H and 4-F,F from the hydrogenation of 3-H,H and 3-F,F in 1,2-F $_2C_6H_4.$



in situ prepared complex. The large measured J(PH) coupling suggests a trans-PH arrangement.^[56] Upon ¹H-decoupling, the signal at δ 43.3 sharpens to a doublet of doublets [J(RhP) = 174 Hz, J(PP) = 26 Hz]. The signal at δ 36.5 resolves into a complex second order multiplet on ¹H decoupling.^[57] These signals were shown to couple to one another via ³¹P-³¹P-COSY NMR experiments. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-H,H, two hydride signals at δ -11.13 and δ -15.59 are observed as complex multiplets (Figure 5), integrating in the ratio 1:1:2 relative to the $[BAr_4^{F}]^{-}$ anion. These data are suggestive of a dimer with bridging hydrides, i.e. $[Rh_2(DPEphos)_2(\mu-H)_2][BAr_4^F]_2$. Spin simulation of a AA'MM'NN'XX' coupling systems (Figure 5) for the hydride resonances revealed the hydride signal at δ -11.13 is coupling to two of each of the following: Rh [J(RhH) = 20 Hz], trans-P [J(PH) = 74 Hz], cis-P [J(PH) = 17 Hz] and very small *cis*-hydride/hydride coupling [J(HH) \approx 2 Hz]. The signal at δ –15.59 also couples to two Rh, and two sets of two $^{\rm 31}{\rm P}$ nuclei [J(RhH) = 34 Hz], cis-P [J(PH) = 5 Hz], cis-P [J(PH) = 9 Hz] and a corresponding small hydride/hydride coupling [J(HH) \approx 2 Hz]. In decoupling ³¹P both hydride signals collapse to triplets [J(RhH)-= 20 Hz and 34 Hz respectively]. These data suggest both hydrides are bridging two Rh atoms, with one trans to a ³¹P atom.

An upfield shifted aromatic signal is also observed at δ 5.15 of relative integral 2H. This signal has doublet of doublet multiplicity [J(HH) = 8 Hz and J(PH) = 4 Hz], which collapses to a doublet [J(HH) = 8 Hz] upon ³¹P-decoupling, and is shifted 2.1 ppm upfield compared to the ortho-phenyl signal in free o-H,H-DPEphos in CD_2Cl_2 .^[17] A similar upfield shifted signal (δ 3.94, integral 2H) was reported in the crystallographically characterized dimer $[Rh_2(\sigma,\mu-CH-\kappa^2-P,P-o-H,H-DPEphos)_2(\sigma,\mu-$ H₂B)₂NHMe)][Al(OC(CF₃)₃)₄],^[27] in which an ortho-phenyl C–H bond of DPEphos coordinates via an agostic bond to the neighboring Rh-center.^[27] When 4-H,H was analyzed by anerobic ESI-MS⁵⁸ only $[Rh(DPEphos)]^+$ (m/z=641.2, calc. 641.1) and [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)]₂²⁺ (641.2 m/z, isotopologues increasing in m/z=0.5) were observed due to facile H_2 loss and dimer fragmentation. In contrast, when D₂ was used to activate 3-H,H, forming $[Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(\mu-D)]_2[BAr_4]_2$ d_2 -4-H,H the deuteride $[Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(\mu-D)]_2^{2+}$ was also observed in the ESI-MS spectrum (m/z=643.2, calc. 643.1). This is likely a consequence of D₂ being lost less easily than H₂ under ESI-MS conditions.^[59,60] Combined, these NMR and mass spectrometric



Figure 5. The experimental and spin-simulated AA'MM'NN'XX' coupling pattern in the hydride signal of 4-H,H (600 MHz, 298 K, CD₂Cl₂).

data allow a dicationic dimeric structure to be proposed for 4-H,H, which has two different bridging hydrides and a bridging interaction: [Rh(H)(fac-κ³-P,O,P-μ-CH-DPE- σ -CH agostic $[BAr_{4}^{F}]_{2}$ (Scheme 4). Without a solid-state structure we cannot determine if the ether-linkage in the DPEphos backbone is coordinated or not. Both motifs are known for cis-PP-DPEphos complexes.^[19] We favor a κ^3 -P,O,P coordination mode with a Rh-Rh bond on the basis of a 34 cluster valence electron count for the dimer.^[61] Related dimeric complexes have previously [Rh₂(o-H,H-DPEphos)₂(µ-H)(µreported been in $(H_2B=NHMe)][BAr_4]^{[27]}$ Rh₂(H)(μ -H)₃(o-H,H-DPEphos)₂,^[26,27] and $[Ir(\kappa^{3}-P,O,P-Xantphos)(H)(\mu-H)]_{2}[BAr_{4}^{F}]_{2}$ (Xantphos = 4, 5bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene).^[62]

When the same H_2 activation process was employed with 3-F,F a monomeric complex is formed. Addition of H₂ to 3-F,F in 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ solvent resulted in a color change from orange to vellow, rather than the dark red of **4-H,H**. The resulting complex was characterized by in-situ NMR spectroscopy. In contrast to 4-**H,H** a single ³¹P environment was observed in the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ spectrum at δ –6.7 [J(RhP) = 130 Hz], with a reduced magnitude J(RhP) than measured in **3-H,H** [J(RhP) = 162 Hz] suggestive of a Rh(III) complex with a *trans*-P,P arrangement.^[19] A single hydride resonance, of relative integral 2H, was observed at δ –20.00 as a doublet of triplets [J(RhH) = 34 Hz, J(PH) = 17] that collapses to a doublet upon ³¹P-decoupling. A single environment is observed in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, very close to free ligand, δ -100.9, with no coupling to ³¹P seen. These data allow us to assign this complex as five-coordinate [Rh^{III}(mer-κ³-P,O,P-o-F,F-DPEphos)H₂][BAr^F₄], **4-F,F** (Scheme 4). Degassing the sample of 4-F,F resulted in decomposition to multiple products, likely due to loss of H₂, and therefore 4-F,F could not be isolated. Consistent with facile H₂ loss, ESI-MS analysis showed a single species at m/z=785.0, corresponding to [Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)]⁺ (calc. m/z=785.0). Closely related complexes to 4-F,F have been reported $[Rh(mer-\kappa^3-P,O,P-Xantphos)H_2][BAr_4]^{[53,63]}$ and [Rh(o-Me-DPEphos)H₂(acetone)][BAr^F₄].^[17,64] We currently can only speculate on the mechanism of formation of dimeric 4-H,H, and thus why ortho-F substitution provides a different, monomeric product for 4-F,F. However that 4-H,H is suggested to have a bridging Rh-H-C agostic interaction, and that equivalent M···F-C interactions are less common,^[49] dimer formation through partial H₂ loss may be stabilized with the parent DPEphos ligand whereas no such stabilization is possible for 4-F,F and decomposition occurs.

Conclusion

We have highlighted the impact of *ortho*-fluorine substitution in organometallic chemistry through the control of aurophilic interactions in $Au_2Cl_2(o-R,R-DPEphos)$ complexes (R=H or F) and the different hydrogenation products of common Schrock-Osborn precatalysts. In the process, we have characterized an unexpected dimeric complex with the parent *o*-H,H-DPEphos ligand which has a bridging C–H···Rh agostic interaction.



Experimental Section

All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of argon, using standard Schlenk techniques on a dual vacuum/inlet manifold unless specified otherwise. Glassware was dried in an oven at 140°C overnight or flame dried under vacuum prior to use. Pentane, hexane, THF, diethyl ether and CH₂Cl₂ were dried using an Mbraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. $1,2-F_2C_6H_4$ was stirred over Al₂O₃ for two hours and then CaH₂ overnight before vacuum transfer and subsequent degassing by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Dichloromethane-D₂ (CD₂Cl₂) was dried overnight with CaH₂ before vacuum transfer and subsequent degassing by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and storage over 3 Å molecular sieves. [Rh(NBD)₂][BAr^F₄] was prepared via the literature procedure.^[65] All other reagents, were purchased from commercial vendors and used as received. NMR data was collected on either a Bruker 500 MHz AVC or Bruker AVIII 600 MHz widebore spectrometer. Residual protio solvent resonances were used as a reference for ¹H NMR spectra.³¹P and ¹¹B NMR spectra were referenced externally to 85% H₃PO₄ and F₃B·OEt₂ respectively. All chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in ppm and coupling constants in Hz. Aerobic electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was carried out using a Bruker compact® time of flight mass spectrometer by Mr. Karl Heaton at the University of York for compounds 1-F,F, 2-F,F and 3-F,F. Air sensitive mass spectrometry, using a bespoke N₂ filled glovebox connected to a Bruker ESI-ion trap spectrometer^[58] was used for analysis of 4-H,H and 4-F,F. Elemental analyses were conducted by Dr. Graeme McAllister at the University of York.

o-F,F-DPEphos 1-F,F: Synthesis of 2,2'-(PCl₂)₂Ph₂O (Scheme 1) was done in accordance with literature procedures.^[16,30] In a separate J. Young's ampoule, N,N,N',N'-tetramethylenediamine (0.42 ml, 2.8 mmol, 4.3 equiv.) was added dropwise to "BuLi (1.07 ml, 2.4 M solution in hexanes, 2.6 mmol, 4 equiv.) at 0 °C. The mixture was cooled to -78 °C and THF (2 ml) followed by 2,6-difluorobromobenzene (0.29 ml, 2.6 mmol, 4 equivalents) were added dropwise and the mixture was left to stir for 1 hour at -78 °C. The previously prepared 2,2'-(PCl₂)₂Ph₂O (200 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv.) in 4 ml of THF was cooled to -78 °C and the aryl lithium was added dropwise, keeping both solutions at -78 °C. The resulting orange mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, then stirred for 30 minutes and checked by ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy for completion. The mixture was then cooled to 0°C, quenched with methanol (1 ml) and saturated ammonium chloride solution (10 ml). The now air stable product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and washed with cold pentane yielding the product as a white solid. Recrystallisation with pentane and CH₂Cl₂ yielded 1-F,F as a white microcrystalline solid (109 mg, 30%): ³¹P {¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ -62.5 (quint, J_{FP} = 40 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ -100.7 (d, J_{PF} =40 Hz). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ 7.33 (tt, J=8 Hz and 6 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.26 (m, J=8 and 2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.06 (dd, J=7 and $J_{PH}=4$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.00 (dd, J=8 and 7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.82 (dt, J=8 and 2 Hz, 8H, meta-H on substituted phenyl), 6.74 (dd, J_{HH} = 8 and J_{PH} = 5 Hz, 2H, ortho-H on backbone). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ 165.6–163.6 (dt, $J_{CF} = 250$ and $J_{CP} = 9$ Hz, Ar), 158.9 (s, Ar), 158.5 (s, Ar), 132.2 (s, Ar), 132.0 (t, J=11 Hz), 130.4 (d, J=1 Hz Ar), 123.5 (s, Ar), 117.4 (s, Ar), 111.6 (d, J=5 Hz, Ar), 111.4 (dd, J=5 Hz, J=1 Hz, Ar). ESI-MS (CH_2Cl_2) : m/z $[M+H]^+$ 683.0942 (calc. 683.0934) with the correct isotope pattern. Elemental analysis found (calc. for C₃₆H₂₀F₈OP₂): C 63.38 (63.35) H 3.19 (2.95).

Au₂Cl₂(o-F,F-DPEphos) 2-F,F: A solution of **1-F,F** (1.05 equiv.) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml) was added dropwise to a CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml) solution of Au(THT)Cl (2 equiv.),⁽⁶⁶⁾ and left to stir for one hour, leaving a colorless solution. The solvent was mostly removed *in vacuo* before pentane (10 ml) was added, precipitating out a white solid. The

precipitate was the filtered and washed with further pentane (4 \times 5 ml) and dried under Schlenk line vacuum overnight (<1× 10^{-1} mbar) leaving a white powder (both white powders) that was transferred into an argon glovebox for storage. Colorless crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a CH_2CI_2 solution of **2-F,F** (26 mg, 52%): ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ –23.1 (app. quint., J_{FP}=25 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 295 K): δ -97.3 (dt, J_{PF} =25 Hz, J_{FF} =7 Hz), -97.9 (dt, J_{PF}=25 Hz, J_{FF}=7 Hz). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ 7.60 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.56 (dd, J=7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.44 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.27 (dd, J= 7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.22 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.06-6.99 (m, 6H {2+4 coincidence}, Ar), 6.82 (ddd, J = 9 and 4 Hz, 4H, Ar). ESI-MS (CH₂Cl₂): m/z [M-Cl]⁺ 1110.9852 (calc. 1110.9881) with correct the isotope pattern. Multiple samples were submitted for elemental analysis, but no results were within 0.4% of the theoretical percentage mass by weight for carbon or hydrogen. Persistent pentane may be the cause of the inconsistent elemental analysis (see ¹H NMR spectrum in the ESI).

[Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] 3-F,F: 1,2-F₂C₆H₄ (10 ml) was added to [Rh(NBD)₂][BAr^F₄] (63 mg, 0.055 mmol, 1 equiv.)^[65,67] and 1-F,F (38 mg, 0.055 mmol, 1 equiv.) in a J. Young's Ampoule to form an orange solution. The mixture was stirred for two hours at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo to leave a purple oil which was triturated with pentane to give a red solid. The solid was filtered and washed with further pentane (3×5 ml) and dried under Schlenk line vacuum ($< 1 \times 10^{-1}$ mbar) overnight, leaving 3-**F,F** as an orange microcrystalline powder (73 mg, 77%,): ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ -17.4 (d, J_{BbP} = 163 Hz). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ 7.72 (s, 8H, o-CH, BAr^F₄), 7.55 (s, 4H, p-CH, BAr^F₄), 7.51 (br m, 4H, Ar), 7.40 (m, J=9 Hz and 2 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.13-6.83 (complex multiplet, 12H, Ar), 4.16 (s, 4H, sp²-CH NBD), 3.80 (s, 2H, sp³-CH NBD), 1.44 (s, 2H, CH₂ NBD). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 318 K) selected data: δ 7.73 (s, 8H, o-CH, BAr^F₄), 7.55 (s, 4H, p-CH, BAr^F₄), 4.18 (s, 4H, sp²-CH NBD), 3.81 (s, 2H, sp³-CH NBD), 1.45 (s, 2H, CH₂ NBD). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 183 K): δ 7.72 (s, 8H, o-CH, BAr^F₄), 7.57 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.51 (s, 4H, *p*-CH, BAr^F₄), 7.37 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.11 (t, J=9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.07-6.93 (br m, 8H, Ar), 6.49 (t, J=9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.23 (s, 2H, sp²-CH NBD), 3.87 (s, 2H, sp²-CH NBD), 3.74 (s, 2H, sp³-CH NBD), 1.35 (s, 2H, CH₂ NBD). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ –62.9 (s, 24F, BAr $_{\rm 4}^{\rm F}$), –88.9 to –92.5 (br s, 2F), –95.2 to -100.2 (br s, 4F), -100.6 to 104.5 (br s, 2F). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD_2CI_2 , 183 K) selected data: δ -90.8 (s, 2F), -97.9 (s, 2F), -98.1 (br d, J=38 Hz, 2F), -103.5 (s, 2F). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 318 K) selected data: δ -98.1 (br s, 8F). ESI-MS (1,2-F₂C₆H₄): m/z [M]⁺ 877.0557 (calc. 877.0543) with correct isotope pattern. Elemental analysis found (calc. for $C_{75}H_{40}BF_{32}OP_2Rh$): C 51.74 (51.75) H 2.40 (2.32).

[Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(µ-H)₂]₂[BAr^F₄] 4-H,H: A sample of [Rh(o-H,H-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] **2-H,H** (40 mg, 0.025 mmol) was dissolved in $1-2-F_2C_6H_4$ (0.5 ml) in a high pressure J. Youngs NMR tube. The atmosphere was removed by three successive freeze-pump-thaws and the NMR tube was placed under an atmosphere of 15 PSI H₂ and successively rotated for ten minutes which formed a dark red solution. The 1–2-F₂C₆H₄ solution was transferred to a Youngs Flask equipped with a stirrer bar. Pentane (5 ml) was added to the solution with vigorous stirring and a purple solid precipitated. The solvent was removed by filter cannula and the purple solid was washed with pentane twice more (5 ml) before drying under Schlenk line vacuum ($< 1 \times 10^{-1}$ mbar) for two hours (30 mg, 0.010 mmol, 80 %): $^{31}\text{P}\{^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (243 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, 298 K): δ 43.3 (dd, $J_{RhP} = 174$ Hz and $J_{PP} = 26$ Hz), 36.5 (complex 2nd order multiplet). ³¹P NMR (243 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 43.3 (br d, J_{BhP}=174 Hz), 36.5 (br dd, $J_{RhP} = 154$ Hz, $J_{PH} = 74$ Hz). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) δ 7.23 (s, 16H, ortho-H BAr^F₄), 7.60–7.50 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.55 (s, 8H, para-H BAr^F₄), 7.47 (m, 3H, Ar) 7.48 7.44–7.37 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.34–7.20 (m, 14H, Ar), 7.14 (dd, *J*=6 Hz, 3H, Ar), 7.12–7.04 (m, 5H, Ar), 6.97 (dd, *J*=8 and 12 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.84–6.78 (m, 6H, Ar), 6.68 (dd, *J*=7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.15 (dd, *J*_{PH}=4 and *J*_{HH}=8 Hz, 2H, CH *σ*-agostic), -11.13 (complex multiplet, *J*_{PH(trans)}=74 Hz, *J*_{PH(cis)}=17 Hz, *J*_{RhH}=20 Hz, 1H, bridging hydride *trans* to ³¹P) and -15.59 (complex multiplet, *J*_{RhH}=34 Hz, *J*_{PH(cis)}=5 Hz, *J*_{PH(cis)}=9 Hz, 1H, bridging hydride *trans* to CH *σ*-agostic). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) selected data: δ -11.13 (triplet, *J*_{RhH}=20 Hz, 1H, bridging hydride *trans* to ³¹P), -15.59 (triplet, *J*_{RhH}=34 Hz, 1H, bridging hydride *trans* to CH *σ*-agostic).

In-situ characterization of [Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(H)₂][BAr^F₄] **4-F,F**: A sample of [Rh(o-F,F-DPEphos)(NBD)][BAr^F₄] 3-F.F (40 ma, 0.023 mmol) was dissolved in $1-2-F_2C_6H_4$ (0.5 ml) in a high pressure J. Youngs NMR tube. The atmosphere was removed by three successive freeze-pump-thaws and the NMR tube was placed under an atmosphere of 15 PSI H₂ and successively rotated for ten minutes which formed a yellow solution. 4-F,F was characterised insitu by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy: ³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4\!\!\!\!\!$ 298 K): δ -6.7 (d, $J_{\it RhP}\!=\!130$ Hz). $^{19}\!F$ NMR (565 MHz, 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4$, 298 K): -100.9 (s, 2,6- $F_2C_6H_3$). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4$, 298 K) selected data: δ –20.0 (dt, J_{PH} =17 Hz, J_{RhH} =34 Hz, 2H, Rh–H). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, 1,2- $F_2C_6H_4$, 298 K) selected data: δ –20.00 (d, J_{RhH} = 34 Hz). Removal of the hydrogen atmosphere resulted in decomposition of 4-F,F.

Deposition Numbers 2160287 (for 2-F,F) and 2160288 (for 3-F,F) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

Acknowledgements

The EPSRC for funding (ASW: EP/M024210, JJR and TMB PhD studentships through the Doctoral Training Partnership).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: Agostic · DPEphos · Gold · Phosphine · Rhodium

- [1] J. F. Hartwig, Organotransition Metal Chemistry. From Bonding to Catalysis. University Science Books, Sausalito, **2010**.
- [2] R. H. Crabtree, The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals. Sixth ed. Wiley, New Jersey, 2014.
- [3] L. Lavanant, A.-S. Rodrigues, E. Kirillov, J.-F. Carpentier, R. F. Jordan, Organometallics 2008, 27, 2107–2117.
- [4] R. Ebule, S. Liang, G. B. Hammond, B. Xu, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 6798-6801.
- [5] D. Malhotra, M. S. Mashuta, G. B. Hammond, B. Xu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4456–4459; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 4545–4548.
- [6] J. N. L. Dennett, A. L. Gillon, K. Heslop, D. J. Hyett, J. S. Fleming, C. E. Lloyd-Jones, A. G. Orpen, P. G. Pringle, D. F. Wass, J. N. Scutt, R. H. Weatherhead, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 6077–6079.

- [7] C. González-Rodríguez, R. J. Pawley, A. B. Chaplin, A. L. Thompson, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5134–5138; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 5240–5244.
- [8] B. D. Vineyard, W. S. Knowles, M. J. Sabacky, G. L. Bachman, D. J. Weinkauff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 98, 5946–5952.
- [9] N. A. Cooley, S. M. Green, D. F. Wass, K. Heslop, A. G. Orpen, P. G. Pringle, Organometallics 2001, 20, 4769–4771.
- [10] S. J. Dossett, A. Gillon, A. G. Orpen, J. S. Fleming, P. G. Pringle, D. F. Wass, M. D. Jones, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 699–700.
- [11] J. Fawcett, S. Friedrichs, J. H. Holloway, E. G. Hope, V. Mckee, M. Nieuwenhuyzen, D. R. Russell, G. C. Saunders, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1998, 1477–1484.
- [12] C. Corcoran, J. Fawcett, S. Friedrichs, J. H. Holloway, E. G. Hope, D. R. Russell, G. C. Saunders, A. M. Stuart, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 2000, 161–172.
- [13] T. Korenaga, K. Abe, A. Ko, R. Maenishi, T. Sakai, Organometallics 2010, 29, 4025–4035.
- [14] H. Lee, S. H. Hong, Appl. Catal. A 2018, 560, 21–27.
- [15] H. Lee, Y. Joe, H. Park, Catal. Commun. 2019, 121, 15-18.
- [16] Y. Zhu, V. H. Rawal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 111-114.
- [17] J. J. Race, A. L. Burnage, T. M. Boyd, A. Heyam, A. J. Martínez-Martínez, S. A. Macgregor, A. S. Weller, *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12*, 8832–8843.
- [18] M. Kranenburg, Y. E. M. Van Der Burgt, P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, K. Goubitz, J. Fraanje, *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 3081– 3089.
- [19] G. M. Adams, A. S. Weller, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2018, 355, 150-172.
- [20] J. Yin, M. P. Rainka, X.-X. Zhang, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124 1162–1163
- [21] G. Zhang, J. Wang, C. Guan, Y. Zhao, C. Ding, *Eur. J. Org.* 2021, 2021, 810–813.
- [22] T. Ohshima, Y. Miyamoto, J. Ipposhi, Y. Nakahara, M. Utsunomiya, K. Mashima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14317–14328.
- [23] J. Barwick-Silk, S. Hardy, M. C. Willis, A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 7347–7357.
- [24] G. L. Moxham, H. E. Randell-Sly, S. K. Brayshaw, R. L. Woodward, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7618–7622; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7780–7784.
- [25] G. L. Moxham, H. Randell-Sly, S. K. Brayshaw, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, 14, 8383–97.
- [26] D. E. Ryan, K. A. Andrea, J. J. Race, T. M. Boyd, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, A. S. Weller, ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 7443–7448.
- [27] G. M. Adams, D. E. Ryan, N. A. Beattie, A. I. Mckay, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, A. S. Weller, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 3657–3666.
- [28] J. F. Hooper, A. B. Chaplin, C. González-Rodríguez, A. L. Thompson, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2906–2909.
- [29] B. C. Hamann, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3694–3703.
- [30] J. Wang, G. Meng, K. Xie, L. Li, H. Sun, Z. Huang, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 7421–7430.
- [31] S. Raoufmoghaddam, E. Drent, E. Bouwman, ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 1759–1773.
- [32] Y. Jiao, M. S. Torne, J. Gracia, J. W. Niemantsverdriet, P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *7*, 1404–1414.
- [33] J. Holz, K. Rumpel, A. Spannenberg, R. Paciello, H. Jiao, A. Börner, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 6162–6169.
- [34] C. J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, J. F. Young, G. Wilkinson, J. R. Shapley, R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2816–2817.
- [35] R. R. Schrock, J. A. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4450-4455.
- [36] J. E. Barquera-Lozada, A. Obenhuber, C. Hauf, W. Scherer, J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117, 4304–4315.
- [37] M. Lankelma, V. Vreeken, M. A. Siegler, J. I. Van Der Vlugt, Inorganics 2019, 7, 28.
- [38] W.-N. Chou, M. Pomerantz, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2762-2769.
- [39] S. A. Hauser, I. Prokes, A. B. Chaplin, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 4425– 4428.
- [40] H. Schmidbaur, A. Schier, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 370-412.
- [41] H. Plenio, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 3363-3384.
- [42] T. Tuttle, J. Gräfenstein, D. Cremer, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2004, 394, 5–13.
- [43] J.-C. Hierso, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 4838-4867.
- [44] F. B. Mallory, C. W. Mallory, K. E. Butler, M. B. Lewis, A. Q. Xia, E. D. Luzik, L. E. Fredenburgh, M. M. Ramanjulu, Q. N. Van, M. M. Francl, D. A. Freed, C. C. Wray, C. Hann, M. Nerz-Stormes, P. J. Carroll, L. E. Chirlian, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4108–4116.
- [45] A through-bond coupling, ⁶J(FF), would be expected to be significantly smaller. See ref. 44.
- [46] A. G. Orpen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1993, 22, 191-197.



- [47] W. Goertz, W. Keim, D. Vogt, U. Englert, M. D. K. Boele, L. A. Van Der Veen, P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. Van Leeuwen, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1998, 2981–2988.
- [48] D. Pingen, T. Lebl, M. Lutz, G. S. Nichol, P. C. J. Kamer, D. Vogt, Organometallics 2014, 33, 2798–2805.
- [49] K. Stanek, B. Czarniecki, R. Aardoom, H. Rüegger, A. Togni, Organometallics 2010, 29, 2540–2546.
- [50] M. Brookhart, M. L. H. Green, G. Parkin, PNAS 2007, 104, 6908.
- [51] A. Meißner, E. Alberico, H.-J. Drexler, W. Baumann, D. Heller, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 3409–3425.
- [52] R. J. Pawley, G. L. Moxham, R. Dallanegra, A. B. Chaplin, S. K. Brayshaw, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, Organometallics 2010, 29, 1717–1728.
- [53] P. Ren, S. D. Pike, I. Pernik, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, Organometallics 2015, 34, 711–723.
- [54] A. I. Mckay, J. Barwick-Silk, M. Savage, M. C. Willis, A. S. Weller, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 2883–2889.
- [55] S. D. Pike, M. R. Crimmin, A. B. Chaplin, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 3615– 3633.
- [56] P. S. Pregosin, NMR in Organometallic Chemistry Wiley, Zurich, 2012.
- [57] Spin simulation of the ³¹P{¹H} spectrum only recreated the second order form if the chemically equivalent ³¹P nuclei, *trans* to the hydride, couple to one another with J(PP) of 42 Hz.
- [58] A. T. Lubben, J. S. Mcindoe, A. S. Weller, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3303– 3306.
- [59] J. H. Bowie, Environ. Health Perspect. 1980, 36, 89–95.

- [60] J. H. B. Dennis, J. Underwood, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1977, 1670– 1674.
- [61] An alternative interpretation is that there is a filled M···M antibonding orbital and thus no formal M–M bond. See: J. C. Green, M. L. H. Green, G. Parkin, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11481–11503.
- [62] A. J. Pontiggia, A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller, J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 2870–2876.
- [63] M. C. Haibach, D. Y. Wang, T. J. Emge, K. Krogh-Jespersen, A. S. Goldman, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, 4, 3683–3692.
- [64] P. Ren, S. D. Pike, I. Pernik, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, Organometallics 2015, 34, 711–723.
- [65] S. K. Furfari, B. E. Tegner, A. L. Burnage, L. R. Doyle, A. J. Bukvic, S. A. Macgregor, A. S. Weller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 3177–3183.
- [66] K. T. Chan, G. S. M. Tong, W.-P. To, C. Yang, L. Du, D. L. Phillips, C.-M. Che, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 2352–2364.
- [67] B. Guzel, M. A. Omary, J. P. Fackler, A. Akgerman, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2001, 325, 45–50.

Manuscript received: March 21, 2022 Revised manuscript received: April 23, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: May 6, 2022

RESEARCH ARTICLE



A *ortho*-fluorine substituted DPEphos ligand has been synthesized: o-F,F-DPEphos. The influence of the *ortho*substitution is highlighted by the comparison of the aurophilic interactions in Au₂Cl₂(o-R,R-DPEphos) and the hydrogenation product from $[Rh(o-R,R-DPEhphos)(NBD)][BAr_4^F]$ complexes (R=H or F). An unexpected dicationic dimer of o-H,H-DPEphos with an agostic C–H group is revealed for the latter.

J. J. Race*, M. J. Webb, T. Morgan Boyd, Prof. A. S. Weller*

1 – 9

Ortho-F,F-DPEphos: Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry in Rhodium and Gold Complexes, and Comparison with DPEphos