



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Treat-to-target in psoriatic arthritis—cost-effective in the biosimilar era*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/185472/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Coates, LC, O'Dwyer, J [orcid.org/0000-0002-7212-6089](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7212-6089), Meads, D et al. (3 more authors) (2022) *Treat-to-target in psoriatic arthritis—cost-effective in the biosimilar era*. *The Lancet Rheumatology*, 4 (6). E390-E391. ISSN 2665-9913

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913\(22\)00101-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(22)00101-1)

---

© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Treat-to-target in psoriatic arthritis – cost-effective in the biosimilar era

Laura C Coates, John O’Dwyer, David Meads, Paul Emery, Philip G Conaghan, Philip Helliwell

Laura C Coates, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK and Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, UK.

[Laura.coates@ndorms.ox.ac.uk](mailto:Laura.coates@ndorms.ox.ac.uk)

John O’Dwyer, Academic Unit of Health Economics, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9LJ, UK. [J.odwyer@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:J.odwyer@leeds.ac.uk)

David Meads, Academic Unit of Health Economics, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9LJ, UK. [D.meads@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:D.meads@leeds.ac.uk)

Paul Emery, Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS7 4SA, UK and Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, UK. [P.emery@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:P.emery@leeds.ac.uk)

Philip G Conaghan, Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS7 4SA, UK and Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, UK. [P.conaghan@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:P.conaghan@leeds.ac.uk)

Philip Helliwell, Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS7 4SA, UK and Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, UK. [P.helliwell@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:P.helliwell@leeds.ac.uk)

Word count: 497/500 words

Funding: The TICOPA trial was funded by Versus Arthritis (formally Arthritis Research UK) and Pfizer. Laura C Coates is an NIHR Clinician Scientist and Senior Clinical Research Fellow funded by a National Institute for Health Research Clinician Scientist award. The research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford and Leeds Biomedical Research Centre (BRC).

The research team acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health Research Comprehensive Clinical Research Network in supporting this research. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Trial Registration: ISCRCTN30147736 and NCT01106079

For over a decade the concept of treat-to-target has become accepted as a treatment strategy for people with inflammatory arthritis. The benefit of tight control was first seen in the Tight Control of Rheumatoid Arthritis (TICORA) study in 2009 prior to availability of biologics.<sup>1</sup> In 2015, the Tight Control of Psoriatic Arthritis (TICOPA) study confirmed the benefit of treat-to-target in psoriatic arthritis (PsA) with a combination of conventional systemic and biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs and bDMARDs). This study demonstrated the benefits of treat-to-target in achievement of American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 20 (primary outcome) and many secondary outcomes (ACR50 and 70, psoriasis area and severity index [PASI] outcomes, functional ability).<sup>2</sup> On this basis, treat-to-target in psoriatic arthritis has been incorporated in international guidance for 7 years. Yet the rates of implementation of treat-to-target remain very low in routine clinical practice, with cost of biologics being a potential barrier.

The TICOPA trial reported within-trial cost-effectiveness results indicating that, while Tight Control (TC) conferred incremental quality-adjusted life year (QALY) benefits, it was not cost-effective at the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) willingness-to-pay threshold range of £20,000-£30,000, having an incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER) of £50,723.<sup>2,3</sup> The excess costs associated with the tight control arm related particularly to more frequent visits (4-weekly rather than 12-weekly) and increased drug costs, particularly related to the increased use of bDMARDs.

Although 4-weekly review was continued throughout the TICOPA trial in the tight-control arm, there was recognition that this was unlikely to be translated to clinical practice; following a treatment change, 4 and 8-week reviews may be too early to judge response. In addition, once patients achieve a treatment target, neither clinicians nor patients require frequent follow-up visits. Thus, a sensitivity analysis was performed assuming identical 12-weekly appointments in both arms which reduced the bootstrapped ICER to £26,909.<sup>2,3</sup>

At the time of the previous health economic analysis, biosimilars were not yet available for commonly used bDMARDs. Since then, rheumatology has seen the advent of biosimilars of infliximab, etanercept and adalimumab. Subsequently, there has been a greater reduction in cost of both originator and biosimilar compared to previous estimates. Given this, we re-ran the analysis substituting prices for adalimumab, infliximab and etanercept with less expensive biosimilar drugs (for Amgevita, Zessly and Benepali, respectively) not available for use during the original trial. An

assumption was made that these medications offered similar treatment effects (as shown in the original TICOPA trial and that there was a 100% substitution from original treatment to biosimilar.

The cost-utility analysis was re-run and yielded a deterministic ICER of £25,487 per QALY; this is within the £20,000-£30,000 willingness to pay per QALY gain threshold, indicating cost-effectiveness. Combining this with a scenario analysis whereby consultation costs were assumed to be equivalent across arms (i.e. 12-weekly appointments ) the deterministic ICER was £14,121. Modelling the benefits of T2T over a longer time horizon or including the productivity impact are likely to reduce the ICER further. The MONITOR study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03531073) is currently implementing treat-to-target within NHS clinics with 12-weekly review. This long-term study is measuring impact on work productivity and will include health economic analysis to compare to the TICOPA study in future.

Although implementation of a T2T strategy in PsA in practice has proved difficult<sup>4</sup> the above analysis suggests cost is now less of a potential barrier. Proving the cost-effectiveness of treat-to-target in PsA, related to the reduced costs of biosimilars, may allow healthcare regulators such as NICE to support this approach, thus driving change in clinical practice.

#### Declaration of interest

LCC has received grants/research support from AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB; worked as a paid consultant for AbbVie, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Galapagos, Janssen, Moonlake, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB; and has been paid as a speaker for AbbVie, Amgen, Biogen, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Galapagos, Gilead, GSK, Janssen, Medac, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB. JO'D reports no competing interests. DM reports no competing interests. PE has received grants/research support from Abbvie, BMS, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche and Samsung and has worked as a paid consultant for Abbvie, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Galapagos, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer and Samsung. PGC has worked as a paid consultant for Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Galapagos, GSK, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB and has been paid as a speaker for Amgen, BMS and Novartis. PSH has worked as a paid consultant for Eli Lilly and has been paid as a speaker for Abbvie, Amgen, Novartis, Janssen and Pfizer.

#### References

1. Grigor C, Capell H, Stirling A, et al. Effect of a treatment strategy of tight control for rheumatoid arthritis (the TICORA study): a single-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004; **364**(9430): 263-9.
2. Coates LC, Moverley AR, McParland L, et al. Effect of tight control of inflammation in early psoriatic arthritis (TICOPA): a UK multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 2489-98.

3. O'Dwyer JL, Meads DM, Hulme CT, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Tight Control of Inflammation in Early Psoriatic Arthritis: Economic Analysis of a Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2018; **70**(3): 462-8.
4. Dures E, Taylor J, Shepperd S, et al. A mixed methods study of clinicians' perspectives on barriers to implementation of Treat to Target in psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; **79**(8): 1031-6.