



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/184911/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Peters, S., Danson, S., Ejedepang, D. et al. (2021) Combined, patient-level, analysis of two randomised trials evaluating the addition of denosumab to standard first-line chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC – The ETOP/EORTC SPLENDOUR and AMGEN-249 trials. *Lung Cancer*, 161. pp. 76-85. ISSN: 0169-5002

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2021.09.002>

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. This is an author produced version of a paper subsequently published in *Lung Cancer*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy. Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 **TITLE**

2 **Combined, patient-level, analysis of two randomised trials evaluating the addition of**
3 **denosumab to standard first-line chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC – the**
4 **ETOP/EORTC SPLENDOUR and AMGEN-249 trials.**

5 **AUTHORS**

6 Solange Peters^{#‡1}, Sarah Danson^{‡2}, Dunson Ejedepang³, Urania Dafni⁴, Baktiar Hasan³, Hoi-Shen
7 Radcliffe⁵, Frederique Bustin⁶, Jacky Crequit⁷, Linda Coate^{8,20}, Monica Guillot^{9,21}, Veerle Surmont¹⁰,
8 Daniel Rauch^{11,22}, Jakob Rudzki^{12,23}, Deirdre O'Mahony^{13,20}, Isidoro Barneto Aranda^{14,21}, Amina
9 Scherz^{15,22}, Zoi Tsourti¹⁶, Heidi Roschitzki-Voser¹⁷, Alessia Pochesci³, Gaston Demonty¹⁸, Rolf A.
10 Stahel¹⁷, Mary O'Brien¹⁹

11

12 ‡ Co-first authors

13

14 # Corresponding author:

15 Prof Dr Solange Peters

16 Department of Oncology

17 Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV)

18 CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

19 Tel: +41 79 556 01 92

20 Email: Solange.Peters@chuv.ch

21

1 **Affiliations**

- 2 1 *Department of Oncology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland*
3 2 *Department of Oncology and Metabolism & Sheffield Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre, University of Sheffield,*
4 *Weston Park Hospital, Sheffield, United Kingdom*
5 3 *Headquarters, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC), Brussels, Belgium*
6 4 *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens & Frontier Science Foundation-Hellas, Athens, Greece*
7 5 *Amgen Inc, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
8 6 *Centre Hospitalier Regional De La Citadelle, Liège, Belgium*
9 7 *Centre Hospitalier De Beauvais, France*
10 8 *Mid-Western Cancer Centre, University Hospital Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*
11 9 *Hospital Universitari Son Espases, Palma, Spain*
12 10 *Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Belgium*
13 11 *Spital STS AG Thun, Switzerland*
14 12 *Innsbruck Universitaetsklinik, Austria*
15 13 *Cork University Hospital, Ireland*
16 14 *Hospital Universitario Reina Sofia, Córdoba, Spain*
17 15 *Department of Medical Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland*
18 16 *Frontier Science Foundation-Hellas, Athens, Greece*
19 17 *Coordinating Office, European Thoracic Oncology Platform (ETOP), Bern, Switzerland*
20 18 *Amgen NV Diegem, Belgium*
21 19 *Department of Medical Oncology, Royal Marsden Hospital Sutton, UK*
22 20 *Cancer Trials Ireland*
23 21 *Spanish lung cancer group (GECP)*
24 22 *Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK)*
25 23 *Central European Cooperative Oncology Group (CECOG)*

1 **KEYWORDS:**

2 NSCLC, RANKL, Denosumab, bone metastases

3 **SHORT TITLE**

4 Denosumab addition to first-line chemotherapy in NSCLC – combined analysis

5 **ABSTRACT**

6 **Introduction**

7 The efficacy of adding denosumab to standard first-line chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC
8 patients has been evaluated in two separate randomised trials (SPLENDOUR and
9 AMGEN-249). In this pooled analysis, we will assess the combination-treatment effect in the
10 largest available population, in order to conclude about the potential impact of denosumab in
11 NSCLC.

12 **Methods**

13 Both trials included in this combined analysis, were randomised (SPLENDOUR 1:1,
14 AMGEN-249 2:1) multi-centre trials stratified by histology, bone metastasis, geographical
15 region and for SPLENDOUR only, ECOG PS. Cox proportional hazards models, were used to
16 assess the treatment effect with respect to overall survival (OS; primary endpoint) and
17 progression-free survival (PFS; secondary endpoint). Heterogeneity between trials was
18 assessed, and subgroup analyses were performed.

19 **Results**

20 The pooled analysis was based on 740 randomised patients (SPLENDOUR:514;
21 AMGEN-249:226), with 407 patients in the chemotherapy-denosumab arm and 333 in the
22 chemotherapy-alone arm.

23 In the chemotherapy-denosumab arm, at a median follow-up of 22.0 months, 277 (68.1%)
24 deaths were reported with median OS 9.2 months (95%CI:[8.0-10.7]), while in the
25 chemotherapy-alone arm, with similar median follow-up of 20.3 months, 230 (69.1%) deaths
26 with median OS 9.9 months (95%CI:[8.3-11.2]). No significant denosumab effect was found
27 (HR=0.98; 95%CI:[0.83-1.18]; $P=0.85$).

1 Among subgroups, interaction was found between treatment and histology subtypes ($P=0.020$),
2 with a statistically significant benefit in the squamous group ($HR=0.70$; $95\%CI:[0.49-0.98]$;
3 $P=0.038$), from 7.6-9 months median OS.

4 With respect to PFS, 363 (89.2%) and 298 (89.5%) events were reported in the chemotherapy-
5 denosumab and chemotherapy-alone arms, respectively, with corresponding medians
6 4.8 months ($95\%CI:[4.4-5.3]$) and 4.9 months ($95\%CI:[4.3-5.4]$). HR for PFS was
7 0.97 ($95\%CI:[0.83-1.15]$; $P=0.76$), indicating that no significant denosumab benefit existed for
8 PFS.

9 **Conclusion**

10 In this pooled analysis, no statistically significant improvement was shown in PFS/OS with the
11 combination of denosumab and chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC and no meaningful benefit
12 in any of the subgroups.

13 **INTRODUCTION**

14 Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality and histologically non-small cell lung
15 cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 85% of lung cancers. Life expectancy of individuals with lung
16 cancer has increased with better treatments, including targeted therapies and immunotherapy.

17 A considerable and growing number of cytokines, growth factors and immune modulators
18 playing a role in lung cancer development are being identified. Some of them can be considered
19 as prognostic factors, whereas others are also regarded as therapeutic targets.

20 One such example is the receptor activator of NF- κ B (RANK) ligand (RANKL), a tumour
21 necrosis factor (TNF) family member that signals through its receptor RANK, which was first
22 discovered for communication between T-cells and dendritic cells. Through its receptor
23 RANK, RANKL activates osteoclasts for bone resorption, promotes lymphocyte maturation
24 and function, and enables mammary gland and secondary lymph node organogenesis.¹⁻³ A link
25 between RANKL-signalling and cancer has been established in recent years. First, and perhaps
26 owing to its bone remodelling capabilities, the RANKL-RANK pathway facilitates bone
27 metastasis formation.^{4,5} Second, it promotes seeding of breast tumour cells into the lungs in a
28 T-regulatory–cell-dependent manner. Third, it participates in development of progestin-
29 dependent mammary tumours.⁶⁻⁸ Importantly, less is known about its contribution in primary

1 tumours from other carcinomas such as lung cancer. In a large international clinical trial of
2 patients with advanced solid tumours (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple
3 myeloma, denosumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds RANKL and blocks
4 RANKL-RANK interaction, was shown to be non-inferior – with a trend toward superiority –
5 to zoledronic acid in delaying time to first skeletal related event (SRE) (Hazard Ratio (HR),
6 0.84; 95%CI:[0.71-0.98]; non-inferiority p=0.0007, representing 16% reduction in hazard).
7 Although this trial failed to reveal any difference in overall survival (OS),⁹ a pre-specified
8 exploratory subgroup analysis reported that the effect of denosumab on time to first on-study
9 SRE relative to zoledronic acid by tumour stratification factors resulted in a HR of 0.84 for
10 NSCLC (n=702; 95%CI:[0.64-1.10]; P=0.20).

11 SPLENDOUR (ETOP-5-12/EORTC 08111; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02129699) was
12 an open label phase III trial with the primary objective to evaluate whether the addition of
13 denosumab to standard first-line platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC
14 improves OS. AMGEN-249 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01951586) was a randomised,
15 double-blind, multi-centre phase II trial of denosumab in combination with chemotherapy as
16 first-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC.

17 The current analysis aims at performing an individual data combined analysis of the
18 SPLENDOUR and AMGEN-249 trials, representing the largest number of patients available
19 in order to allow for a conclusion about the potential anti-tumour effect and subsequent OS
20 impact of denosumab in NSCLC. The primary objectives are to assess efficacy in term of OS
21 and progression-free survival (PFS) in the combined data sets.

22 **METHODS**

23 **Study designs of included clinical trials**

24 SPLENDOUR was an open label phase III trial with the primary objective to evaluate whether
25 the addition of denosumab to standard first-line platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in
26 advanced NSCLC improves OS. Patient population included those with and without bone
27 metastasis.

28 AMGEN-249 was a randomised (a ratio 2:1), double blind, multi-centre phase II trial of
29 denosumab in combination with chemotherapy as first-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC.

1 In both trials, the primary endpoint was OS, while PFS was included among secondary
2 endpoints. The primary objective of this combined individual patient data analysis was to
3 assess the efficacy in terms of OS and PFS in the combined datasets.

4 The two multicentre trials with similar design were combined to increase the overall statistical
5 reliability of the results. Each trial was a randomised, parallel-group design, comparing
6 addition of denosumab to standard chemotherapy. The stratification factors of both studies
7 were similar. AMGEN-249 stratification factors were histology (squamous vs non squamous);
8 bone metastasis (Yes vs No); region (Western Europe, North America/Australia, Rest of the
9 World). SPLENDOUR stratification factors were histology (squamous vs non squamous);
10 bone metastasis (Yes vs No); region (Eastern Europe, Western Europe, Southern Europe) and
11 ECOG Performance Status (PS) (0/1 vs 2), which was not a stratification factor for the
12 AMGEN-249 trial.

13 **Patients and treatment**

14 Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients were pooled from the two clinical trials. The
15 eligibility criteria for both trials are reported in the individual publications.^{10,11}

16 In SPLENDOUR, patients were randomised 1:1 to receive either four to six cycles of platinum-
17 based doublet chemotherapy (platinum compound plus gemcitabine or pemetrexed for non-
18 squamous cell histology) or platinum-based doublet chemotherapy plus denosumab at a dose
19 of 120 mg, subcutaneously every three to four weeks (chemotherapy-denosumab-arm).

20 In the AMGEN-249 trial, patients were randomised 2:1 to receive four to six cycles of standard
21 of care chemotherapy and denosumab 120 mg or placebo subcutaneously every three to four
22 weeks plus a loading dose on day 8.

23 **Statistical Analyses**

24 The two randomised trials were designed to evaluate the addition of denosumab using OS as
25 the primary endpoint. The pooled statistical analysis was conducted using the same patient
26 populations as those used in both trials. Efficacy data were analysed on intention-to-treat basis.
27 The Cox proportional hazards (PH) model was used to analyse OS and PFS. In all primary
28 analyses, the Cox PH model was stratified by stratification factors (histology, bone metastasis,
29 ECOG PS, geographical region) with the stratification factors nested within each trial.
30 Sensitivity analyses were also performed for both OS and PFS endpoints to account for the

1 different stratification factors for each study, including Cox models stratified by study and
2 adjusting (in multivariate models) for all the stratification factors. P-values were reported from
3 corresponding stratified log-rank tests. Follow-up time was calculated using the reverse-
4 censoring Kaplan-Meier method.

5 Subgroup analyses by age (<70 vs ≥70), sex and stratification factors (with ECOG PS 0/1 and
6 2 exclusively from SPLENDOUR trial) used at randomisation were conducted to assess
7 heterogeneity of the results across specific subgroups using the Cox regression model as in the
8 primary analysis. If the subgroup of interest was one of the stratification factors, in the Cox
9 model this stratification factor was excluded. No adjustment for multiple testing was performed
10 for subgroup analyses.

11 All statistical analyses were conducted at a 0.05 significance level and 95% confidence
12 intervals (CI) were constructed.

13 **RESULTS**

14 The pooled population consisted of 740 patients: 514 patients were derived from the
15 SPLENDOUR trial (255 in chemotherapy-alone and 259 in chemotherapy-denosumab,
16 randomised from 11 December 2014 to 10 January 2018) and 226 from the AMGEN-249 trial
17 (78 in chemotherapy-placebo and 148 in chemotherapy-denosumab, randomised from
18 31 December 2013 to 21 May 2015) (Figure 1). In total, 407 patients were randomised to the
19 treatment arm (combination of chemotherapy with denosumab), and 333 patients to the control
20 arm (chemotherapy-alone or with placebo). Overall, 70% of the patients were male; median
21 age was 66 years, 92.6% had an ECOG PS of 0/1 and 7.4% had an ECOG PS of 2. The majority
22 of the patients had non-squamous NSCLC (74.9%). Table 1 shows the distribution of patient
23 characteristics for the pooled population. The characteristics were well balanced between
24 treatment arms (except for geographical region, a stratification factor with different levels in
25 the two trials).

26 **Efficacy of treatment on overall survival**

27 The median follow-up for the combined analysis was 20.3 months in the chemotherapy arm
28 and 22.0 months in the chemotherapy-denosumab arm. In the chemotherapy-arm, the total
29 number of deaths was 230 (69.1%) with median OS 9.9 months (95%CI:[8.2-11.2]), while in
30 the chemotherapy-denosumab arm, 277 (68.1%) deaths were recorded with median OS

1 9.2 months (95%CI:[8.0-10.7]). The Kaplan-Meier curves for OS by treatment arm are
2 depicted in Figure 2A, while respective plots by trial can be found in the supplement (Figures
3 S1A-B).

4 The primary statistical analysis showed that the combination of denosumab with chemotherapy
5 was not significantly superior to chemotherapy in terms of OS (HR=0.98; 95%CI:[0.82-1.18];
6 $P=0.85$). Sensitivity results were consistent with those of the primary analysis (indicating no
7 significance). Test for heterogeneity of the treatment effects was not able to detect
8 heterogeneity between the two trials (Figure 2B).

9 In the subgroup analyses, no heterogeneity was detected, except for histology (interaction
10 $P=0.02$, Figure 3). A statistically significant benefit of the chemotherapy-denosumab
11 combination was detected in the squamous group with median OS 9 months compared to
12 7.6 months under chemotherapy alone, and HR=0.70 (95%CI:[0.49-0.98]; $P=0.038$), while the
13 effect was not significant for the non-squamous patients ($P=0.30$) (Figures 4A-B). No other
14 subgroup with statistically significant OS benefit was found. For exploratory purposes, the
15 Kaplan-Meier plots for the subgroups of patients with or without bone metastasis are presented
16 in the supplement (Figures S2A-B).

17 **Efficacy of treatment on progression-free survival**

18 In the chemotherapy-arm, 298 (89.5%) patients had progressed or died, and the median PFS
19 was 4.9 months (95%CI:[4.3-5.4]). Similarly, in the chemotherapy-denosumab arm,
20 363 (89.2%) patients had progressed or died, with median 4.8 months (95%CI:[4.4-5.3]).
21 Figure 5A shows the PFS Kaplan-Meier curves for control and treatment. Corresponding plots
22 by trial can be found in the supplement (Figures S3A-B).

23 According to the combined statistical analysis performed, the combination of chemotherapy
24 with denosumab was not significantly different to chemotherapy-alone in terms of PFS
25 (HR=0.97; 95%CI:[0.83-1.15]; $P=0.76$). No significant results were also confirmed by
26 sensitivity analyses. Test for heterogeneity of the treatment effects did not detect heterogeneity
27 between the two trials (Figure 5B).

28 Furthermore, in the subgroup analyses, heterogeneity was detected between PS subgroups
29 (interaction $P=0.001$, Figure 6). A statistically significant benefit of chemotherapy-alone was
30 detected in the ECOG PS=2 group, with HR=2.51 (95%CI:[1.29-4.89]; $P=0.0045$). This result

1 however should be interpreted cautiously as the sample size of patients with ECOG PS of 2
2 was very small (n=55; all from the SPLENDOUR trial). Kaplan-Meier plots for patients with
3 PS 0/1 or 2 are provided in the supplement (Figures S4A-B). No other subgroup with
4 significant difference was found. PFS curves for the subgroups of patients with or without bone
5 metastasis and by histology subtype are also reported, for exploratory purposes, in the
6 supplement (Figures S5A- S6B). Of note, the PFS results did not confirm the OS benefit for
7 denosumab that was found for the squamous subgroup.

8 **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

9 Retrospective data on bone metastasis, a significant cause of morbidity in advanced cancer,
10 demonstrate that 30-45% of NSCLC patients are affected during the course of their disease,
11 with a post mortem documentation in 36% of patients. Bone metastases at initial diagnosis
12 occur in about two thirds of patients.¹²⁻¹⁵ Cancer metastasis to the bone results from the active
13 engagement and interaction with the bone microenvironment. RANKL-mediated increased
14 bone turnover and osteoclast activity may enhance tumour growth in bone by mechanically
15 facilitating cancer cell establishment.

16 A direct impact of RANK and RANKL on tumour cell proliferation has been hypothesised,
17 with a potential similar stimulatory effect as observed on osteoclasts. RANK-signalling
18 accelerated tumorigenesis in mouse mammary tumour virus (MMTVneu)-RANK transgenic
19 mice as well as in the MMTVneu transgenic mouse model.^{6,16} In addition, RANKL expression
20 per se has been observed in some tumour types, while RANK was shown to be expressed at
21 least in some cancer cell types. In parallel, early clinical data suggest a potential anti-tumoural
22 effect of RANK pathway inhibitors. Undoubtedly, the potential for RANK pathway inhibitors
23 to reduce tumour aggressiveness and metastatic capabilities via distinct mechanisms should
24 deserve specific investigations. The apparent survival benefit of denosumab compared to
25 zoledronic acid for patients with lung cancer and bone metastases, in a post-hoc analysis of a
26 randomised phase III trial, gave additional reason to prospectively investigate the impact of
27 denosumab.^{9,17}

28 Our present analysis is aiming at establishing a final conclusion about the role of denosumab
29 as an anti-tumour agent in advanced NSCLC particularly in subgroups underrepresented in
30 either study alone. Both trials in this combined analysis, were randomised multi-centre trials
31 seeking to investigate whether the addition of denosumab to standard first-line platinum

1 chemotherapy improves OS in advanced NSCLC. The SPLENDOUR trial planned to
2 randomise 1000 patients. However, the recruitment stopped prematurely in January 2018 after
3 the randomisation of 514 patients, due to slow accrual, related to the advent of frontline
4 immunotherapy becoming available in competitive clinical trials and subsequently established
5 as standard of care. The final analysis of SPLENDOUR did not show an improvement in OS
6 for the addition of denosumab compared to chemotherapy. Subgroup analyses did not show
7 survival differences between patient cohorts with and without bone metastases and irrespective
8 of histological subtypes. The combined analysis allows the effects of the treatment to be
9 assessed in a larger cohort. The patient characteristics and the follow-up duration were similar
10 in both trials. Although the size of the SPLENDOUR trial was more than double that of the
11 AMGEN-249 trial, combining them provides a larger clinically homogenous cohort. The
12 results were weighted towards the SPLENDOUR trial since it was comparatively larger.

13 This combined analysis using patient individual data found no statistically significant
14 improvement in PFS with the combination of denosumab and chemotherapy versus
15 chemotherapy alone, in the overall population and across the important clinical subgroups as
16 defined by age, gender, PS and presence of bone metastases, histology, and region with the
17 exception of a divergent poorer outcome for the small subgroup of PS 2 patients. No
18 statistically significant improvement in OS with the combination of denosumab and
19 chemotherapy was shown, with the exception of a differential treatment effect by histology,
20 which was a stratification factor in both studies. A statistically significant improvement in OS
21 was demonstrated in patients with histologically squamous NSCLC, while such a benefit was
22 not found in non-squamous NSCLC. This difference was interestingly not statistically
23 significant for PFS. Whether this improvement of median OS from 7.6 to 9.0 months, with the
24 survival curves tending to come together at approximately 18 months (even though with only
25 a few patients still at risk), represents a true effect of RANK-signalling pathway inhibition, or
26 is the effect of any confounding factors that would have impacted OS differentially in these
27 subgroups, including for example subsequent lines of treatments, remains unknown. Of note,
28 two smaller randomised trials that tested zoledronic acid added to second-line chemotherapy
29 with docetaxel, also failed to show a positive PFS signal in patients with NSCLC. [18,19](#)

30 While the efficacy and safety of denosumab in the treatment of patients with bone metastases
31 from lung cancer has been firmly established, our compiled data set from two prospective
32 clinical trials fails to demonstrate a positive survival impact for denosumab when added to

1 chemotherapy. However, with the emergence new strategies for immune- and targeted
2 therapies, the treatment paradigm for metastatic NSCLC has been dramatically modified. New
3 combined strategies on the role of the RANK pathway blockade in cancer are being considered,
4 including combinations with immune-checkpoint blockade.²⁰⁻²² The DENIVOS trial is
5 evaluating denosumab and nivolumab combination as second-line treatment in stage IV
6 NSCLC with bone metastases (NCT03669523). In priority and specifically, the potential of
7 denosumab to enhance the response to immuno-oncology agents deserves further evaluation in
8 NSCLC.

9 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

10 Research data are not shared.

11 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

12 This combined analysis of the SPLENDOUR and AMGEN-249 trials was financed by Amgen.
13 We thank the statisticians at EORTC and ETOP for performing the analysis and Amgen Ltd
14 for scientific input.

15

1 **REFERENCES**

- 2 1. Dougall WC, Glaccum M, Charrier K, et al. RANK is essential for osteoclast and lymph node development.
3 *Genes Dev* 1999; **13**(18): 2412-24.
- 4 2. Fata JE, Kong YY, Li J, et al. The osteoclast differentiation factor osteoprotegerin-ligand is essential for
5 mammary gland development. *Cell* 2000; **103**(1): 41-50.
- 6 3. Kong YY, Yoshida H, Sarosi I, et al. OPGL is a key regulator of osteoclastogenesis, lymphocyte development
7 and lymph-node organogenesis. *Nature* 1999; **397**(6717): 315-23.
- 8 4. Jones DH, Nakashima T, Sanchez OH, et al. Regulation of cancer cell migration and bone metastasis by
9 RANKL. *Nature* 2006; **440**(7084): 692-6.
- 10 5. Zhang J, Dai J, Qi Y, et al. Osteoprotegerin inhibits prostate cancer-induced osteoclastogenesis and prevents
11 prostate tumor growth in the bone. *The Journal of clinical investigation* 2001; **107**(10): 1235-44.
- 12 6. Gonzalez-Suarez E, Jacob AP, Jones J, et al. RANK ligand mediates progesterin-induced mammary epithelial
13 proliferation and carcinogenesis. *Nature* 2010; **468**(7320): 103-7.
- 14 7. Schramek D, Leibbrandt A, Sigl V, et al. Osteoclast differentiation factor RANKL controls development of
15 progesterin-driven mammary cancer. *Nature* 2010; **468**(7320): 98-102.
- 16 8. Tan W, Zhang W, Strasner A, et al. Tumour-infiltrating regulatory T cells stimulate mammary cancer
17 metastasis through RANKL-RANK signalling. *Nature* 2011; **470**(7335): 548-53.
- 18 9. Henry DH, Costa L, Goldwasser F, et al. Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab versus zoledronic
19 acid in the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and prostate
20 cancer) or multiple myeloma. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical
21 Oncology* 2011; **29**(9): 1125-32.
- 22 10. Peters S, Danson S, Hasan B, et al. A Randomized Open-Label Phase III Trial Evaluating the Addition of
23 Denosumab to Standard First-Line Treatment in Advanced NSCLC: The European Thoracic Oncology
24 Platform (ETOP) and European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) SPLENDOUR
25 Trial. *J Thorac Oncol* 2020; **15**(10): 1647-56.
- 26 11. Spigel DR, Hirsch FR, Boer RHD, et al. A randomized, double-blind, multicenter phase 2 trial of denosumab
27 in combination with chemotherapy as first-line treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Journal of
28 Clinical Oncology* 2014; **32**(15_suppl): TPS8130-TPS.
- 29 12. Coleman RE. Skeletal complications of malignancy. *Cancer* 1997; **80**(8 Suppl): 1588-94.
- 30 13. Coleman RE. Clinical features of metastatic bone disease and risk of skeletal morbidity. *Clinical cancer
31 research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research* 2006; **12**(20 Pt 2): 6243s-9s.
- 32 14. Jauković L, Ajdinović B, Janković Z, Dugonjić S. [Incidence and imaging characteristics of skeletal
33 metastases detected by bone scintigraphy in lung cancer patients]. *Vojnosanitetski preglad* 2006; **63**(12): 1001-
34 5.
- 35 15. Tsuya A, Kurata T, Tamura K, Fukuoka M. Skeletal metastases in non-small cell lung cancer: a retrospective
36 study. *Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands)* 2007; **57**(2): 229-32.
- 37 16. Gonzalez-Suarez E, Branstetter D, Armstrong A, Dinh H, Blumberg H, Dougall WC. RANK overexpression
38 in transgenic mice with mouse mammary tumor virus promoter-controlled RANK increases proliferation and
39 impairs alveolar differentiation in the mammary epithelia and disrupts lumen formation in cultured epithelial
40 acini. *Molecular and cellular biology* 2007; **27**(4): 1442-54.
- 41 17. Scagliotti GV, Hirsh V, Siena S, et al. Overall Survival Improvement in Patients with Lung Cancer and Bone
42 Metastases Treated with Denosumab Versus Zoledronic Acid: Subgroup Analysis from a Randomized Phase
43 3 Study. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology* 2012; **7**(12): 1823-9.
- 44 18. Murakami H, Yamanaka T, Seto T, et al. Phase II study of zoledronic acid combined with docetaxel for non-
45 small-cell lung cancer: West Japan Oncology Group. *Cancer science* 2014; **105**(8): 989-95.
- 46 19. Pandya KJ, Gajra A, Warsi GM, Argonza-Aviles E, Ericson SG, Wozniak AJ. Multicenter, randomized, phase
47 2 study of zoledronic acid in combination with docetaxel and carboplatin in patients with unresectable stage
48 IIIB or stage IV non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands)* 2010; **67**(3): 330-8.
- 49 20. Ahern E, Smyth MJ, Dougall WC, Teng MWL. Roles of the RANKL-RANK axis in antitumour immunity -
50 implications for therapy. *Nature reviews Clinical oncology* 2018; **15**(11): 676-93.
- 51 21. Liede A, Hernandez RK, Wade SW, et al. An observational study of concomitant immunotherapies and
52 denosumab in patients with advanced melanoma or lung cancer. *Oncoimmunology* 2018; **7**(12): e1480301.
- 53 22. Peters S, Clézardin P, Márquez-Rodas I, Niepel D, Gedye C. The RANK-RANKL axis: an opportunity for
54 drug repurposing in cancer? *Clinical & translational oncology : official publication of the Federation of
55 Spanish Oncology Societies and of the National Cancer Institute of Mexico* 2019; **21**(8): 977-91.