

This is a repository copy of *Implementing a “vegetables first” approach to complementary feeding*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/183605/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Article:**

Nekitsing, Chandani [orcid.org/0000-0002-7863-2070](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7863-2070) and Hetherington, Marion (2022) Implementing a “vegetables first” approach to complementary feeding. *Current Nutrition Reports*. pp. 301-310. ISSN: 2161-3311

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-022-00399-z>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# Implementing a 'Vegetables First' Approach to Complementary Feeding

Chandani Nekitsing<sup>1</sup> · Marion M. Hetherington<sup>2</sup>

Accepted: 26 January 2022  
© The Author(s) 2022

## Abstract

**Purpose of Review** To provide a rationale for promoting a vegetables first approach to complementary feeding (CF), building on prior exposure to vegetable flavours experienced in utero and via breastfeeding (chemosensory continuity).

**Recent Findings** Vegetables confer selective health benefits but population intakes are below recommendations globally; maternal intake of vegetables during both pregnancy and lactation promotes familiarity with some vegetable flavours. Building on this exposure, vegetables as a first food during CF further promote acceptance. However, experiments testing efficacy of a vegetables first approach to CF demonstrate increased liking and intake, some evidence of generalisability but little evidence of sustained effects beyond infancy.

**Summary** The aim to increase the quantity and variety of vegetables eaten by children is both desirable, to improve nutrient quality of the diet, and achievable. However, longer, larger, randomised control trials are needed to evidence any longer term, sustainable benefits to liking and intake of vegetables.

**Keywords** Vegetables · Complementary feeding · First foods · Food preference · Early exposure · Healthy eating · Eating behaviour · Weaning · Infant feeding · Child eating

## Introduction

In common with other mammals, the sole source of nutrition for the human neonate after birth is milk. Human milk provides essential nutrients required for early growth and development as well as a sophisticated endocrine signalling system, bioactive agents promoting immunity and complex flavours derived from the maternal diet [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends exclusive breastfeeding to 6 months and to extend this for another 2 years (and more) as complementary foods are offered to infants.

Whilst there is clear guidance about when to introduce solid foods and how to recognise signs of readiness in the infants from government agencies and the WHO [2], the recommendations for which foods to offer vary by country [3]. There is little consensus on first foods to offer infants [3], since this will depend on local food customs, availability, accessibility and affordability of these foods. Which foods to offer might also depend on which flavours are more acceptable to infants at the time of complementary feeding. Rigorous investigation of infants' response to the five basic tastes at 3 m, 6 m and 12 m reveals that sweet and salty tastes were most accepted whereas sour and bitter tastes were least accepted, but not systematically rejected [4]. Therefore, at the time of complementary feeding, offering vegetables, which may have a bitter flavour, can be a safe source of nutrients. Furthermore, intake of vegetables has selective health benefits if sustained in the long term. Thus, in this review, we make the case for a vegetables first approach to complementary feeding (CF).

The argument for this approach is made by addressing the following three research questions: (1) why is vegetable intake important; (2) how is exposure to vegetable flavours achieved before complementary feeding; (3) does

---

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Maternal and Childhood Nutrition*

---

✉ Chandani Nekitsing  
c.nekitsing@york.ac.uk  
Marion M. Hetherington  
m.hetherington@leeds.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>2</sup> School of Psychology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

early exposure to vegetables produce long-term preferences and changes in the diet? The review then concludes with evidence-based strategies for achieving the goal of increasing vegetable consumption using a vegetables first approach to CF and proposed future studies.


## The Omnivore's Paradox

During complementary feeding, infants transition from their status as univore to omnivore, in what has been termed by Paul Rozin [5], the 'omnivore's paradox'. The infant requires a varied diet for optimal health and growth, but consuming novel foods presents a potential hazard. Therein lies the paradox, the transition to an omnivorous diet involves overcoming a natural reluctance to accept new substances which may pose a threat to the infant. This transition is facilitated by exposure in utero to flavours derived from the maternal diet and then through the flavours of breastmilk termed 'chemosensory continuity' [6]. By the time solid foods are offered at around 6 months of age during complementary feeding (CF), the infant is already familiar with specific odour and flavour components of the maternal diet which they favour over other odours [7]. The concept of chemosensory continuity can be described as link between the mother's food choices during the first 1000 days of life, expanding out towards the family diet (see Fig. 1).

Evidence reviewed by Harris and Mason [8] identifies 4 to 6 months of age as a 'sensitive period', in which to offer novel flavours. Whilst it is essential that infants make this

transition to solids since breastmilk alone may be insufficient to meet the energy demands of the baby beyond 6 months [9], the potential risks associated with this may be substantial, in some contexts. For example, there is an increased risk of malnutrition related to poor nutritional quality of foods offered and a greater chance of microbial contamination from solid foods leading to infection. Malnutrition and infection-related inadequate intake and illness are significant contributors to high infant mortality rates in the under fives [10]. Therefore, when infants are ready to accept solid foods, in large parts of the world, introducing complementary foods is challenging as a result of food insecurity and risky due to lack of access to clean water for hygienic food handling. For all caregivers, the transition to solids is an important milestone and for many, in low resource parts of the world, the source of considerable anxiety.

Guidance suggests that solid foods are introduced when infants show physical signs of readiness. National guidelines for CF encourage caregivers to look for developmental signs such as holding the head up, reaching for food and loss of the tongue extrusion reflex (e.g. WHO [11]). In the USA, the American Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics advises that first foods should include 'puréed meats, poultry, beans and iron-fortified cereals', with encouragement to offer 'one new single-ingredient food at a time' [12]. The Dietary Guidelines for Americans (DGS) 2020–2025 [13] also advocate iron-rich first foods and encourage a variety of foods drawn from the four main food groups (protein foods; vegetables and fruits; dairy and grains) with advice that infants may need multiple exposures to



**Fig. 1** Illustration of chemosensory exposure from conception to the family diet, with the ideal number of exposures represented in green ink and the less optimal number of exposures in red ink. Clearly,

some infants will have exposures which vary according to maternal behaviours in early life (pregnancy, lactation, CF) and later exposures from the family diet/external environment

develop acceptance. They discourage added sugar, foods high in sodium, honey and unpasteurised foods and beverages. In the UK, the National Health Service (NHS) suggests offering first foods around 6 months of age and to start with single vegetables and fruits, introducing texture progression from purées to mashed, lumpy and finger foods. The NHS guidance encourages dietary diversity achieved by offering a variety of flavours to try, progressing to new foods gradually and being patient with multiple exposures to new foods to establish acceptance (see Fig. 2 for a graphical summary).

It is known that early exposure is emerging as a promising means to encourage liking and acceptance of vegetables [15]. There appears to be a sensitive period to present vegetables as a first food, since this is an important component of a balanced diet, yet children across the world are eating too few vegetables. In the next section, the rationale for promoting vegetable intake is considered within the context of selective health benefits.

## Why Are Vegetables Important?

A global shift towards a plant-based diet is necessary to counteract climate change according to the United Nations, since agrarian practices are less polluting and less water intensive than raising livestock [16]. The EAT-Lancet commission's report on planetary health emphasises a diet with a greater proportion of whole grains, fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes than animal and dairy components. Thus, two major global pressures are coalescing to encourage sustainable plant-based diets for human health and environmental sustainability [17••].

To promote dietary health, the World Health Organization recommends intake of at least five servings (400 g) of fruits and vegetables (F&V) per day [18]. However, in Sub-Saharan Africa, intakes fail to reach 200 g per day [19] and it is estimated that 27% of deaths are attributed to low consumption of F&V [20]. Globally, only half (52%) of young children meet the minimum meal frequency and less



**Fig. 2** Graphical summary of the National Health Service (NHS) UK guidance on introducing first foods to babies [14]

than one-third (29%) achieve the minimum dietary diversity threshold, with large disparities across and within regions [21]. Therefore, low meal frequency increases risk of malnutrition and lack of dietary diversity increases risk of micronutrient deficiency.

Vegetables contribute to a balanced diet for children providing nutrients essential to development and health [22, 23]. UNICEF [24] reports that more than half of the poorest children aged 6 to 23 months are not offered fruits or vegetables. In general, when children are offered these foods, they tend to eat more fruits than vegetables [25•, 26–28]. In comparison to vegetables, fruits tend to be well-liked due their sweet taste, and are generally more readily available and accessible (can be eaten with limited preparation). Intakes of dark green vegetables are especially low among infants according to the Feeding Infants and Toddlers Survey (FITS) from the USA [29].

Micronutrient deficiency (MD), or ‘hidden hunger’, is critically important in children since they require sufficient energy and micronutrients to achieve optimal growth and health, and in the longer term, MD increases the risk of chronic diseases such as heart disease and cancer [30]. Specific health benefits of consuming fruits and vegetables are attributable to bioactive ingredients such as vitamin (e.g. vitamins A, C, E, K, folic acid), mineral (e.g. magnesium, calcium, zinc, potassium) and fibre content as well as, most importantly, phytochemicals (e.g. flavonoids, carotenoids) producing anticarcinogenic and anti-inflammatory effects [31]. Epidemiological studies indicate that diets rich in green leafy,  $\beta$ -carotene and vitamin C containing F&V reduce coronary heart disease risk [30], with a specific health benefit linked to eating vegetables [32]. Dietary phytochemicals suppress oxidative stress-induced DNA damage, which is a major contributor to pathogenesis and neurodegenerative disease progression. In humans, approximately one serving of green, leafy vegetables eaten each day was associated with a slower rate of cognitive decline in a prospective study of ageing [33]. Intake of specific vegetables with their potent antioxidant action produces functional benefits to physical and cognitive health, and is particularly important for children living in the global south.

Therefore, eating a well-balanced diet, rich in F&V, is known to reduce risk of developing various non-communicable diseases [23, 34–36]. To achieve health promoting benefits, sufficient amounts of plant-based foods must be eaten and consumed in a wide variety. Vegetables are considered more beneficial than fruits because they are higher in fibre content and lower in sugar, sodium, fat, cholesterol and energy density [31, 37].

Despite the known benefits of eating a range of vegetables, challenges persist in increasing vegetable intake compared to fruit intake [38]. There are many reasons for low consumption of vegetables in children, relative to fruits,

ranging from lack of access and provision, higher costs of vegetables and a universal dislike of vegetables linked to their sensory properties, e.g. bitter flavour and/or unfamiliar texture [39]. Hence, early exposure to vegetables, during complementary feeding, is an ideal time to encourage children to accept and to like vegetables, before eating habits are more established and harder to change [40].

In summary, vegetable intakes remain low despite their selective health and environmental benefits as part of a plant-based diet. Therefore, promoting vegetable intakes during the first 1000 days from conception could be a means to ensure familiarisation even before solid foods are introduced.

## Flavour Exposure Before Complementary Feeding

Foetal exposure to flavours derived from the maternal diet is achieved through amniotic fluid. Flavours are composed of complex substances including volatile compounds. It is these volatiles that contribute to the distinctive flavour fingerprint that permits animals and humans to recognise a suitable food source and to distinguish them from non-edible or harmful substances. Whilst flavours are distinctive, the volatiles which contribute to flavour are shared across foods, for example garlic, onions and mustards, as well as some other vegetables, share the volatiles allyl-isothiocyanate and allicin [41]. Therefore, some flavours derived from the maternal diet are transferred to the developing foetus through swallowing amniotic fluid. Experimental evidence across species indicates that foetal exposure facilitates recognition and response to familiar odours and flavours. One such study was conducted by Hepper [42] who exposed pregnant rats to garlic or no garlic, then presented garlic or onion in Petri dishes to 12-day old pups. Rat pups from mothers who had been fed garlic preferred garlic over onion and this finding was replicated in cross-fostered pups. Foetal learning appears to be a biologically adaptive behaviour and provides an important connection between the mother and her offspring. This connection is made via chemosensory continuity between the maternal diet, foetal learning and lactation which is then expressed through preferences of offspring, serving kin recognition [43] and signalling safe sources of edible substances.

Marlier and Schaal [7] demonstrated that human neonates are capable of detecting, discriminating and orienting towards odours derived from human milk compared to formula. The authors conducted a series of experiments and found that babies who were breastfed (experiment 1) and formula fed (experiments 2 and 4) demonstrated more persistent head-orientation and mouthing responses to human milk odour compared to formula milk odour. For



example, in the second experiment, 12 exclusively formula-fed neonates were exposed to odours of unfamiliar human milk and unfamiliar formula milk (not the brand they had ingested since birth). Babies oriented longer to the odour of unfamiliar human milk compared to an unfamiliar formula milk. These findings demonstrate that human milk is attractive to the newborn, even if they have been formula fed, most likely since human milk contains aromatic compounds familiar to infants via transmission in utero [7]. This is a profound observation since it suggests that the attractiveness of human milk is greater than the experiential learning produced by formula feeding. It also illustrates that the complexity of human milk provides an advantage to the breastfed baby over formula in promoting acceptance of novel foods.

A substantial body of research by Mennella and her colleagues provides evidence of chemosensory continuity from the maternal diet to amniotic fluid and lactation [44–49]. In a randomised control trial, Mennella and her colleagues assigned mothers to consume vegetable juices (beetroot, celery and carrot) once per day for 1 month during breastfeeding beginning at 0.5, 1.5 or 2.5 months postpartum, or for a longer duration of 3 months beginning at 0.5 months [50••]. The earliest exposure postpartum (0.5 months) for 1 month duration produced a significant shift in intake of cereal flavoured with carrot and produced a faster rate of eating this cereal than infants who were exposed later or for longer. This elegant study confirms chemosensory learning and suggests that the early timing is more important than duration of exposure during lactation.

Only some flavours have been explored in the context of research and evidence for experience of vegetable flavour in the amniotic fluid or breastmilk and subsequent vegetable intake is limited. To date, only a few vegetable flavours have been tested, namely carrot, garlic and mixed vegetable juice (carrot, beet, celery). It is not known whether experience of one vegetable flavour will generalise to intake to other vegetables. For example, a randomised control trial (RCT) conducted by Mennella et al. [50••] found that the timing of when the mixed vegetable juice (carrot, celery, beet) was introduced to the mother affected their child's acceptance of carrot intake. Thus, introduction in the earlier months (around 1 month) during lactation had a greater effect than exposure around 3 months. However, the study found no generalisation effect of the vegetable juice on infant's intake of an unexposed flavour (broccoli). Further study is warranted to investigate transferable and sustainable effects of maternal vegetable intake during breastfeeding on later preferences for vegetable acceptance and preference in children [51]. Mothers report changes in appetite and food aversions, including eating fewer vegetables during pregnancy [52]; therefore, advice to eat more vegetables must be given without undue pressure.

Evidence suggests that there are long-term dietary and health benefits of mothers eating vegetables during pregnancy and lactation. In addition to the direct health benefits to the mother, early exposure to vegetable flavours is likely to establish familiarity and increase willingness to accept these flavours during complementary feeding. However, for children to learn to like and accept a range of vegetables, they need more direct exposure, repeated over time.

## Vegetables as a First Food – Effects on Liking and Intake

Early exposure to vegetables flavours during foetal development and then lactation promotes familiarity via chemosensory continuity. Progressing this to solid food introduction is the obvious next step. However, unlike other foods, vegetables are disliked due to their bitter taste and sometimes unfamiliar texture, this has been described as a 'hard-wired' dislike [53].

Direct experience of food flavour begins during complementary feeding [54]. Infants are more willing to accept different food flavours and textures during CF than later on. There appears to be a critical period for introducing different textures to infants before 9 to 10 months. Data from the ALSPAC study shows that delaying texture progression beyond this time is linked to feeding difficulties including children consuming a limited variety of foods [55, 56]. In addition, around 24 months children's neophobia and food fussiness appear [57]. Food neophobia is the fear of trying new foods and is associated with food fussiness, which is defined as follows: highly selective eating, increased food rejection (including familiar/previously liked foods) and being less willing to taste unfamiliar foods [58]. These traits are observed minimally in infancy but tend to peak between 2 and 6 years of age [58–60] and have been associated with lower intakes of vegetables [61, 62]. However, with repeated exposure (taste/visual), fussiness and neophobia may be moderated [58, 63, 64].

There have been a small number of trials testing the impact of a 'vegetables first' approach to complementary feeding (CF) and at least two current, larger scale trials have been registered in the Netherlands [65] and New Zealand [66] to test this approach.

A vegetables first approach to increase intake of vegetables was investigated by Gerrish and Mennella [67]. In this study, formula-fed infants who had earlier been introduced to cereal at the beginning of CF were randomised at age 4.5 to 5 months to receive puréed carrot, potato or a variety of vegetables (peas, potatoes and squash) every day for 9 days. On days 1 and 11, all babies received carrot and on day 12, they received puréed chicken. On these days, foods were given in the laboratory where intake was filmed and weighed. Infants

who had been fed carrots or the variety of vegetables consumed more carrot on day 11 than the infants who were fed potato. The variety group also consumed more of the novel food (chicken) than the other groups and prior daily fruit exposure increased the initial intake of carrot on day 1. This study demonstrated three important findings: firstly, that offering carrot or a variety of vegetables (including carrot) early on in CF enhanced acceptance of carrot; secondly, that vegetable variety increased willingness to consume a novel food and thirdly, that sweet taste experience via fruit intake elsewhere in the diet increased intake of a sweet vegetable – carrot, during the first exposure to this food.

A number of studies have confirmed that this approach increased vegetable acceptance in the short term [67–69]. Barends et al. [70] tested a vegetables first approach within a RCT in the Netherlands, where mothers were assigned to offer either puréed vegetables or fruits as first foods every other day for 18 days at the beginning of complementary feeding. For one vegetable group, the target vegetable was green bean and for the other, it was artichoke. For one fruit group, the target was apple or plum. On days 1 and 2 and days 17 to 19, foods were given to the infants in the laboratory so that responses could be filmed, and intakes weighed and recorded. On days 3 to 16, purées were given to infants at home. On day 19, infants in the vegetable groups were given their first taste of fruit purée and infants in the fruit groups were given their first taste of vegetable purée. Intake of both vegetable and fruit increased with repeated exposure but intake of fruit was always higher than vegetable from the beginning of the study. Exposure to fruit purée had no effect on intake of vegetable purée and subsequent follow-up when the infants were 12 and then 23 months of age revealed that a vegetables first approach increased daily vegetable intake at 12 months but was no longer observed at 23 months [70]. Furthermore, intake of vegetables correlated with maternal ratings of liking for vegetables. No differences were found for fruit intake. Overall, this trial demonstrated that offering vegetables first confers a benefit to infants to promote vegetable intakes early on, but this may be moderated by whatever other foods are then introduced by mothers after the trial since the preferential effects of vegetables first did not endure beyond 1 year.

Within the HabEat study across Europe, a ‘vegetables first’ approach was trialled in three different nations – Greece, Portugal and the UK. The intervention groups received guidance to introduce a variety of vegetables as first foods during the first 15 days of the complementary feeding period and the control group received the standard complementary feeding guidance from that country [71]. Mothers were provided some commercially available vegetable purées but could prepare their own if preferred. They were asked to offer the selected five vegetables in a sequence over 15 days, e.g. ABCDE, repeated three times

and to record compliance. After this period, for a further 5 days, parents continued to offer vegetables and to introduce age-appropriate foods to the diet. All infants were tested 1 month after the intervention to assess acceptance (liking and intake) of a new vegetable, namely artichoke. Infants in the intervention groups ate significantly more of the unfamiliar vegetable than those in the control group, and infants from the UK consumed almost double the amount of the new vegetable compared to control group. This effect was not observed in infants from Greece or Portugal, perhaps because vegetables (and vegetable soup in Portugal) are already a common first food [71]. In a country like the UK, a vegetables first approach increased liking and intake of a novel vegetable compared to usual practice, which at the time was baby rice. Whereas in a country like Portugal, most of the infants assigned to the control group (70%) had already received vegetable soup as their first food and therefore benefitted from prior vegetable exposure.

Hetherington et al. [15] tested the effect of offering vegetables first in a step-by-step approach to complementary feeding. In this RCT, mothers who were ready to introduce solid foods to their babies (mean age 5 months) were given jars of a variety of single, pure vegetables to offer their infant on a standard rotation (carrot, green bean, spinach, broccoli). The vegetables were added to breast or formula milk, each day for 12 days and then the same rotation of puréed vegetables was added to baby rice twice a day for 12 days. By day 25, infants in the intervention had a greater exposure to the pure vegetable flavour added to milk then rice, than infants in the control group who received plain milk and rice. On days 25 and 26, mothers brought their infants to the laboratory so that their intake, liking and rate of eating of pure vegetables (without milk or rice added) could be recorded (and facial responses filmed). This was then repeated at days 33 and 34. Intake, liking and rate of eating the pure vegetables were greater for the intervention than the control group, and greater for the sweet vegetable (carrot) than for green beans [15]. However, any significant differences by group did not endure at the follow-up measurements when the infants were 12 and 24 months of age. By this time, children would have had widespread exposure to vegetables as part of the family diet.

A vegetables first approach was proposed via a consensus statement organised through the British Nutrition Foundation [72]. This report considered the evidence sufficient to start recommending vegetables first during complementary feeding as a means to increase vegetable acceptance early on with potential benefits to later preferences and intake. This approach has been included within guidance from the UK through the Scientific Advisory Committee for Nutrition [73], the National Health Service [14, 74] and ESPGHAN [75].

The evidence on which these recommendations were based, whilst sufficient, did not include larger, longer RCTS to strengthen the evidence base. Indeed, most guidance continues to recommend fruit, vegetables, meat and iron-rich infant cereals as first complementary foods (e.g. WHO [2]). The aim to increase the quantity and the variety of vegetables eaten by children remains desirable to improve nutrient quality of the diet and is achievable [68]. Further research is needed to strengthen the evidence base and to investigate ‘best practice’ strategies to promote vegetable intake in early life.

## Discussion

In conclusion, vegetable intake is beneficial to long-term health but in many countries across the world, children fail to eat enough food in general and sufficient vegetables in particular. There are windows of opportunity for vegetable exposure during pregnancy to promote optimal flavour exposure in the first 1000 days from conception to 24 months. Evidence that maternal diet can influence flavour experience is promising and breastmilk transfers flavours to infants. Vegetables as a first food, where this is affordable, accessible and feasible could be incorporated into the guidance according to Bell et al. [76••]. The vegetables first approach is an easy message to follow for parents but despite the benefits of offering vegetables during CF, this is not a common practice in many countries. Policy change and government recommendations to support a vegetable first approach to CF are needed. However, adherence to this guidance and to health recommendations in general may be influenced by external factors such as affluence, access, affordability, education and culture [77••]. Hence, parental guidelines for CF should be sensitive to these factors and, where possible, tailored to suit family circumstance. Also, given the sensitive period of 6 months for introducing novel vegetables during CF, this presents an opportunity for the entire family to adopt a more healthy eating pattern [78].

However, not all food products developed for complementary feeding are equally nutritious. Caregivers often rely on readily available commercial products for first food introduction. Whilst these are convenient and safe for babies, a number of studies systematically analysing the nutrient and energy content of baby foods in jars, pouches and packets have demonstrated high sugar content even when products are described as containing vegetables [79, 80••, 81•]. The Nutrient Profile Model (NPM) developed by WHO could be adopted widely to check the nutrient quality of commercially available baby foods, especially if chosen for the vegetables first approach (<https://babyfoodnpm.org/>). Those baby foods which fall below the quality standards presented in the NPM (e.g. exceeding acceptable levels of total fat, total

sugars or salt) have been identified as targets for marketing restrictions [82] and so food companies are encouraged to use reformulation to improve nutrient standards. There are negative consequences of consuming excess sugar in the diet from a nutritional and dental perspective, but if infants are accustomed to sweetness in foods, this may also preclude the development of liking and intake of vegetables which are generally bitter and less liked than fruits [83].

Longer, larger interventions are needed to investigate the sustainable benefits of earliest flavour experiences through maternal diet to breastmilk and to complementary feeding for increasing vegetable intake in infancy and beyond. Similarly, the generalisability of the vegetables first approach and any transferable benefits of vegetable exposure in pregnancy (e.g. the Dastatuz project [84]) to other, unfamiliar vegetables to the quality of the overall diet should be investigated.

In summary, a ‘vegetables first, frequently and in variety’ approach provides the first steps towards long-term acceptance and preference for vegetable. We propose that where feasible, vegetable intake should be encouraged in pregnancy and lactation and a vegetables first approach to complementary feeding supported by public health agencies. If encouraged worldwide, a vegetables first approach could contribute to a healthier start for all children.

## Compliance with Ethical Standards

**Conflict of Interest** Chandani Nekitsing and Marion M Hetherington declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent** This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance



1. Ballard O, Morrow AL. Human milk composition: nutrients and bioactive factors. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 2013;60(1):49–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2012.10.002>.
2. World Health Organisation: Complementary feeding: report of the global consultation. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9275124604> (2003). Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
3. Schwartz C, Scholtens PA, Lalanne A, Weenen H, Nicklaus S. Development of healthy eating habits early in life Review of recent evidence and selected guidelines. *Appetite*. 2011;57(3):796–807. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.05.316>.
4. Schwartz C, Issanchou S, Nicklaus S. Developmental changes in the acceptance of the five basic tastes in the first year of life. *Br J Nutr*. 2009;102(9):1375–85. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114509990286>.
5. Rozin P. The selection of foods by rats humans and other animals. In: Rosenblatt JS, Hinde RA, Shaw E, Beer C, editors. *Advances in the study of behavior*. New York: Academic Press; 1976. p. 21–76.
6. Marlier L, Schaal B, Soussignan R. Neonatal responsiveness to the odor of amniotic and lacteal fluids: a test of perinatal chemosensory continuity. *Child Dev*. 1998;69(3):611–23. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1132193>.
7. Marlier L, Schaal B. Human newborns prefer human milk: conspecific milk odor is attractive without postnatal exposure. *Child Dev*. 2005;76(1):155–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00836.x>.
8. Harris G, Mason S. Are there sensitive periods for food acceptance in infancy? *Curr Nutr Rep*. 2017;6(2):190–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-017-0203-0>.
9. Reilly JJ, Wells JCK. Duration of exclusive breast-feeding: introduction of complementary feeding may be necessary before 6 months of age. *Br J Nutr*. 2005;94(6):869–72. <https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN20051601>.
10. WHO: Malnutrition. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malnutrition> (2021). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
11. WHO: Bulletin of the World Health Organization. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2491268/pdf/bullwho00062-0001.pdf> (1989). Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
12. Ellis E. Do's and don'ts for baby's first foods. <https://www.eatright.org/food/nutrition/eating-as-a-family/dos-and-donts-for-babys-first-foods> (2019). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
13. Dietary guidelines for Americans: make every bite count with the dietary guidelines. [https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/Dietary\\_Guidelines\\_for\\_Americans-2020-2025.pdf](https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/Dietary_Guidelines_for_Americans-2020-2025.pdf) (2020). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
14. NHS: Your baby's first solid foods. <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/baby/weaning-and-feeding/babys-first-solid-foods/> (2019). Accessed 22 Nov 2021.
15. Hetherington MM, Schwartz C, Madrelle J, Croden F, Nekitsing C, Vereijken CM, et al. A step-by-step introduction to vegetables at the beginning of complementary feeding The effects of early and repeated exposure. *Appetite*. 2015;84:280–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.10.014>.
16. United Nations Environment Programme: Assessing the environmental impacts of consumption and production: priority products and materials, A Report of the Working Group on the Environmental Impacts of Products and Materials to the International Panel for Sustainable Resource Management. Hertwich, E., van der Voet, E., Suh, S., Tukker, A., Huijbregts M., Kazmierczyk, P., Lenzen, M., McNeely, J., Moriguchi, Y. <https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/assessing-environmental-impacts-consumption-and-production> (2010). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
- 17.●● Willett W, Rockström J, Loken B, Springmann M, Lang T, Vermeulen S, et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10170):447–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(18\)31788-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31788-4). **High importance for changes to population diets.**
18. WHO: Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. <http://health.euroafrica.org/books/dietnutritionwho.pdf> (2003). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
19. Uusiku NP, Oelofse A, Duodu KG, Bester MJ, Faber M. Nutritional value of leafy vegetables of sub-Saharan Africa and their potential contribution to human health: a review. *J Food Compos Anal*. 2010;23(6):499–509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2010.05.002>.
20. Agrinatura: Better Vegetables Better lives: improving African indigenous vegetables. <https://agrinatura-eu.eu/news/better-vegetables-better-lives-improving-african-indigenous-vegetables/> (2018). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
21. White JM, Bégin F, Kumapley R, Murray C, Krasevec J. Complementary feeding practices: current global and regional estimates. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2017;13(S2): e12505. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12505>.
22. Kleinman RE, Coletta FA. Historical overview of transitional feeding recommendations and vegetable feeding practices for infants and young children. *Nutr Today*. 2016;51(1):7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1097/nt.0000000000000137>.
23. Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, Ellinger S, Haller D, Kroke A, et al. Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. *Eur J Nutr*. 2012;51(6):637–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-012-0380-y>.
24. UNICEF: The state of the world's children 2019. Children, food and nutrition: growing well in a changing world. <https://www.unicef.org/reports/state-of-worlds-children-2019> (2019). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
- 25.● Barends C, Weenen H, Warren J, Hetherington MM, de Graaf C, de Vries JHM. A systematic review of practices to promote vegetable acceptance in the first three years of life. *Appetite*. 2019;137:174–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.02.003>. **Important review of evidence base.**
26. Bailey RL, Jun S, Eldridge AL. The 2016 Feeding Infants and Toddlers Study (FITS): dietary intakes and practices of children in the United States from birth to 48 months. *Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser*. 2019;91:99–109. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000493701>.
27. Mahrshahi S, Myton R, Partridge SR, Esdaile E, Hardy LL, Gale J. Sustained low consumption of fruit and vegetables in Australian children: findings from the Australian National Health Surveys. *Health Promot J Austr*. 2019;30(1):83–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hjpa.201>.
28. Ministry of Health. Annual update of key results 2019/20: New Zealand Health Survey. New Zealand: Wellington; 2020.
29. Reidy KC, Bailey RL, Deming DM, O'Neill L, Carr BT, Lesnianskas R, et al. Food consumption patterns and micronutrient density of complementary foods consumed by infants fed commercially prepared baby foods. *Nutr Today*. 2018;53(2):68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NT.0000000000000265>.
30. Bhupathiraju SN, Wedick NM, Pan A, Manson JE, Rexrode KM, Willett WC, et al. Quantity and variety in fruit and vegetable intake and risk of coronary heart disease. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2013;98(6):1514–23. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.066381>.
31. Liu RH. Dietary bioactive compounds and their health implications. *J Food Sci*. 2013;78(S1):A18–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12101>.
32. Oyebo O, Gordon-Dseagu V, Walker A, Mindell JS. Fruit and vegetable consumption and all-cause, cancer and CVD mortality: analysis of Health Survey for England data. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2014;68(9):856–62. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2013-203500>.
33. Morris MC, Wang Y, Barnes LL, Bennett DA, Dawson-Hughes B, Booth SL. Nutrients and bioactives in green leafy vegetables and

- cognitive decline: prospective study. *Neurology*. 2018;90(3):e214–22. <https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000004815>.
34. Cooper AJ, Forouhi NG, Ye Z, Buijsse B, Arriola L, Balkau B, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and type 2 diabetes: EPIC-InterAct prospective study and meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2012;66(10):1082–92. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2012.85>.
  35. John JH, Ziebland S, Yudkin P, Roe LS, Neil HAW. Effects of fruit and vegetable consumption on plasma antioxidant concentrations and blood pressure: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9322):1969–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(02\)98858-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)98858-6).
  36. Loeff M, Walach H. Fruit, vegetables and prevention of cognitive decline or dementia: a systematic review of cohort studies. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2012;16(7):626–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-012-0097-x>.
  37. Slavin JL, Lloyd B. Health benefits of fruits and vegetables. *Adv Nutr*. 2012;3(4):506–16. <https://doi.org/10.3945/an.112.002154>.
  38. Evans CE, Christian MS, Cleghorn CL, Greenwood DC, Cade JE. Systematic review and meta-analysis of school-based interventions to improve daily fruit and vegetable intake in children aged 5 to 12 y. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012;96(4):889–901. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.030270>.
  39. Johnson SL. Developmental and environmental influences on young children's vegetable preferences and consumption. *Adv Nutr*. 2016;7(1):220s–s231. <https://doi.org/10.3945/an.115.008706>.
  40. Birch LL, McPhee L, Shoba BC, Pirok E, Steinberg L. What kind of exposure reduces children's food neophobia? Looking vs tasting *Appetite*. 1987;9(3):171–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0195-6663\(87\)80011-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0195-6663(87)80011-9).
  41. Goff SA, Klee HJ. Plant volatile compounds: sensory cues for health and nutritional value? *Science*. 2006;311(5762):815–9. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1112614>.
  42. Hepper PG. The discrimination of human odour by the dog. *Perception*. 1988;17(4):549–54. <https://doi.org/10.1068/p170549>.
  43. Hepper P. Fetal memory: does it exist? What does it do? *Acta Paediatr*. 1996;85(s416):16–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1996.tb14272.x>.
  44. Mennella JA, Jagnow CP, Beauchamp GK. Prenatal and postnatal flavor learning by human infants. *Pediatrics*. 2001;107(6):e88. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.107.6.e88>.
  45. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. The human infants' response to vanilla flavors in mother's milk and formula. *Infant Behav Dev*. 1996;19(1):13–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-6383\(96\)90040-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-6383(96)90040-5).
  46. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. Maternal diet alters the sensory qualities of human milk and the nursing's behavior. *Pediatrics*. 1991;88(4):737–44.
  47. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. The transfer of alcohol to human milk Effects on flavor and the infant's behavior. *N Engl J Med*. 1991;325(14):981–5. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199110033251401>.
  48. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. The effects of repeated exposure to garlic-flavored milk on the nursing's behavior. *Pediatr Res*. 1993;34(6):805–8. <https://doi.org/10.1203/00006450-199312000-00022>.
  49. Mennella JA, Beauchamp GK. Experience with a flavor in mother's milk modifies the infant's acceptance of flavored cereal. *Dev Psychobiol*. 1999;35(3):197–203. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2302\(199911\)35:3<197::AID-DEV4>3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199911)35:3<197::AID-DEV4>3.0.CO;2-J). Available from: [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2302\(199911\)35:3<197::AIDDEV4%3E3.0.CO;2-J](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199911)35:3<197::AIDDEV4%3E3.0.CO;2-J).
  50. Mennella JA, Daniels LM, Reiter AR. Learning to like vegetables during breastfeeding: a randomized clinical trial of lactating mothers and infants. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2017;106(1):67–76. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.143982>. **Important review of the evidence for chemosensory continuity.**
  51. Spahn JM, Callahan EH, Spill MK, Wong YP, Benjamin-Neelon SE, Birch L, et al. Influence of maternal diet on flavor transfer to amniotic fluid and breast milk and children's responses: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019;109(7):1003s–26s. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy240>.
  52. Crozier SR, Inskip HM, Godfrey KM, Cooper C, Robinson SM, Group SWSS. Nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy: effects on food intake and diet quality. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2017;13(4):e12389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12389>.
  53. Zeinstra GG, Koelen MA, Kok FJ, de Graaf C. Children's hard-wired aversion to pure vegetable tastes A 'failed' flavour-nutrient learning study. *Appetite*. 2009;52(2):528–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2008.11.006>.
  54. Birch L, Savage JS, Ventura A. Influences on the development of children's eating behaviours: from infancy to adolescence. *Can J Diet Pract Res*. 2007;68(1):s1–56.
  55. Northstone K, Emmett P, Nethersole F. The effect of age of introduction to lumpy solids on foods eaten and reported feeding difficulties at 6 and 15 months. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2001;14(1):43–54. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-277x.2001.00264.x>.
  56. Coulthard H, Harris G, Emmett P. Delayed introduction of lumpy foods to children during the complementary feeding period affects child's food acceptance and feeding at 7 years of age. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2009;5(1):75–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8709.2008.00153.x>.
  57. Bell LK, Gardner C, Tian EJ, Cochet-Broch MO, Poelman AAM, Cox DN, et al. Supporting strategies for enhancing vegetable liking in the early years of life: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2021;113(5):1282–300. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqaa384>.
  58. Dovey TM, Staples PA, Gibson EL, Halford JCG. Food neophobia and 'picky/fussy' eating in children: a review. *Appetite*. 2008;50(2):181–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2007.09.009>.
  59. Cashdan E. A sensitive period for learning about food. *Hum Nat*. 1994;5(3):279–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02692155>.
  60. Cardona Cano S, Tiemeier H, Van Hoeken D, Tharner A, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, et al. Trajectories of picky eating during childhood: a general population study. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2015;48(6):570–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22384>.
  61. Fildes A, van Jaarsveld CH, Cooke L, Wardle J, Llewellyn CH. Common genetic architecture underlying young children's food fussiness and liking for vegetables and fruit. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2016;103(4):1099–104. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.115.122945>.
  62. Cooke L, Carnell S, Wardle J. Food neophobia and mealtime food consumption in 4–5 year old children. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2006;3(1):14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-3-14>.
  63. Caton SJ, Blundell P, Ahern SM, Nekitsing C, Olsen A, Møller P, et al. Learning to eat vegetables in early life: the role of timing, age and individual eating traits. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(5):e97609. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097609>.
  64. Owen LH, Kennedy OB, Hill C, Houston-Price C. Peas, please! Food familiarization through picture books helps parents introduce vegetables into preschoolers' diets. *Appetite*. 2018;128:32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.05.140>.
  65. van der Veek SMC, de Graaf C, de Vries JHM, Jager G, Vereijken C, Weenen H, et al. Baby's first bites: a randomized controlled trial to assess the effects of vegetable-exposure and sensitive feeding on vegetable acceptance eating behavior and weight gain in infants and toddlers. *BMC Pediatr*. 2019;19(1):266. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-019-1627-z>.
  66. Rapson JP, von Hurst PR, Hetherington MM, Conlon CA. Impact of a "vegetables first" approach to complementary feeding on later intake and liking of vegetables in infants: a study protocol

- for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. 2021;22(1):488. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-021-05374-7>.
67. Gerrish CJ, Mennella JA. Flavor variety enhances food acceptance in formula-fed infants. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2001;73(6):1080–5. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/73.6.1080>.
  68. Maier AS, Chabanet C, Schaal B, Leathwood PD, Issanchou SN. Breastfeeding and experience with variety early in weaning increase infants' acceptance of new foods for up to two months. *Clin Nutr*. 2008;27(6):849–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2008.08.002>.
  69. Maier-Nöth A, Schaal B, Leathwood P, Issanchou S. The lasting influences of early food-related variety experience: a longitudinal study of vegetable acceptance from 5 months to 6 years in two populations. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(3): e0151356. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151356>.
  70. Barends C, de Vries JH, Mojet J, de Graaf C. Effects of starting weaning exclusively with vegetables on vegetable intake at the age of 12 and 23 months. *Appetite*. 2014;81:193–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.06.023>.
  71. Fildes A, Lopes C, Moreira P, Moschonis G, Oliveira A, Mavrogiani C, et al. An exploratory trial of parental advice for increasing vegetable acceptance in infancy. *Br J Nutr*. 2015;114(2):328–36. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007114515001695>.
  72. Chambers L, Hetherington M, Cooke L, Coulthard H, Fewtrell M, Emmett P, et al. Reaching consensus on a 'vegetables first' approach to complementary feeding. *Nutr Bull*. 2016;41(3):270–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nbu.12220>.
  73. SACN: Feeding in the first year of life. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/feeding-in-the-first-year-of-life-sacn-report> (2018). Accessed 6 Nov 2021.
  74. NHS: What to feed your baby. <https://www.nhs.uk/start4life/weaning/what-to-feed-your-baby/around-6-months/> (2021). Accessed 06 Nov 2021.
  75. Fewtrell M, Bronsky J, Campoy C, Domellöf M, Embleton N, Mis NF, et al. Complementary feeding: a position paper by the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Committee on Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2017;64(1):119–32. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000001454>.
  76. ●● Bell LK, Gardner C, Kumar S, Wong HY, Johnson B, Byrne R, et al. Identifying opportunities for strengthening advice to enhance vegetable liking in the early years of life: qualitative consensus and triangulation methods. *Public Health Nutr*. 2021;1–39. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980021001907>. **Important, recent review of evidence base.**
  77. ●● Cook EJ, Powell FC, Ali N, Penn-Jones C, Ochieng B, Randhawa G. Parents' experiences of complementary feeding among a United Kingdom culturally diverse and deprived community. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2021;17(2):e13108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.13108>. **High importance reviewing qualitative studies of CF in high-income contexts.**
  78. Spyrelli E, McKinley MC, Dean M. Parental considerations during complementary feeding in higher income countries: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. *Public Health Nutr*. 2021;24(10):2834–47. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980021001749>.
  79. García AL, Raza S, Parrett A, Wright CM. Nutritional content of infant commercial weaning foods in the UK. *Arch Dis Child*. 2013;98(10):793–7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2012-303386>.
  80. ●● Garcia AL, Curtin L, Ronquillo JD, Parrett A, Wright CM. Changes in the UK baby food market surveyed in 2013 and 2019: the rise of baby snacks and sweet/savoury foods. *Arch Dis Child*. 2020;105(12):1162–6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2020-318845>. **Very important demonstration of commercial baby food nutrient composition.**
  81. ●● Moding KJ, Ferrante MJ, Bellows LL, Bakke AJ, Hayes JE, Johnson SL. Nutritional content and ingredients of commercial infant and toddler food pouches compared with other packages available in the United States. *Nutr Today*. 2019;54(6):305–12. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NT.0000000000000385>. **Important demonstration of commercial baby food nutrient composition.**
  82. WHO: WHO Regional Office for Europe nutrient profile model. [https://www.euro.who.int/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0005/270716/Nutrient-children\\_web-new.pdf](https://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/270716/Nutrient-children_web-new.pdf) (2015). Accessed 20 Nov 2021.
  83. Foterek K, Hilbig A, Alexy U. Associations between commercial complementary food consumption and fruit and vegetable intake in children Results of the DONALD study. *Appetite*. 2015;85:84–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.11.015>.
  84. Urkia-Susin I, Rada-Fernandez de Jauregui D, Orruño E, Maiz E, Martinez O. A quasi-experimental intervention protocol to characterize the factors that influence the acceptance of new foods by infants: mothers' diet and weaning method. *Dastatuz project. BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):918. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10967-7>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.