UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Comparing Five and Lower-Dimensional Grain Boundary Character and Energy Distributions in Copper:Experiment and Molecular Statics Simulation.* 

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/183271/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Korolev, Vadim V., Bean, Jonathan J., Nevolin, Yurii M. et al. (3 more authors) (2022) Comparing Five and Lower-Dimensional Grain Boundary Character and Energy Distributions in Copper:Experiment and Molecular Statics Simulation. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A: Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science. pp. 449-459. ISSN 1073-5623

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-021-06500-5

### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Comparing five and lower-dimensional grain boundary character and				
2	energy distributions in copper: experiment and molecular statics simulation				
3 4	Vadim V. Korolev <sup>a</sup> , Jonathan J. Bean <sup>b</sup> , Yurii M. Nevolin <sup>c</sup> , Yaroslav V. Kucherinenko <sup>d</sup> , Keith P. McKenna <sup>e</sup> , Pavel V. Protsenko <sup>a,*</sup>				
5	<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Leninskieye Gori,				
6	1-3, Moscow, Russia				
7	<sup>b</sup> Department of Material Science and Metallurgy, The University of Cambridge, Cambridge,				
8	CB2 3QZ, UK				
9 10	<sup>c</sup> Radiochemistry Department, Frumkin Institute of Physical chemistry and Electrochemistry Russian academy of sciences, 117342, Obruchev street, 40, Moscow, Russia				
11	<sup>d</sup> Department of Geology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Leninskiye Gory,				
12	1-1, Moscow, Russia				
13	<sup>e</sup> Department of Physics, The University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	*protsenko@colloid.chem.msu.ru				
24					

# 26 ABSTRACT

The misorientation of 515 grain boundaries has been determined using electron back scatter 27 diffraction data from an 18 µm thick copper foil with columnar grain structure and a 28 preferential {110} surface orientation. The energy of the grain boundaries was determined 29 30 from the dihedral angles in the vicinity of grain boundary thermal grooves. The experimental grain boundary energy vs. misorientation angle shows deep minima for the low angle grain 31 boundaries and small minima corresponding to the  $\Sigma 3$  and  $\Sigma 9$  grain boundaries. Only a small 32 fraction of the coincidence site lattice grain boundaries demonstrate an increased occurrence 33 frequency (compared to a random orientation distribution) and low energy. In parallel, the 34 grain boundary energy for a subset of 400 symmetrical tilt grain boundaries was calculated 35 using molecular statics simulations. There is a good agreement between the experiment and 36 molecular statics modeling. 37

## 38 INTRODUCTION

The complex network formed by individual grain boundaries (GBs) has a decisive influence 39 on the physicochemical, mechanical, electromagnetic, and other properties of polycrystalline 40 materials<sup>[1]</sup>. Understanding the relationship between the crystallographic parameters of GBs 41 and the GB energy has motivated researchers for decades<sup>[2–4]</sup>. The continuous development 42 of the microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) industry has accelerated the knowledge on 43 how to process devices, which has resulted in different internal interfaces inside the 44 condensed systems<sup>[1]</sup>. The relationships between degrees of freedom (DOF), GBs structures 45 and GBs energies were investigated previously by many researchers<sup>[5–8]</sup>, but the number of 46 grain boundaries reported in these previous studies are not sufficient to determine the 47 variations of GBs structures and GBs energies in the 5 DOF space. Nevertheless many 48 theoretical models have been proposed to explain this relationship<sup>[5,9-12]</sup>. Furthermore, in 49 recent years, it has been established that the GBs are also significantly influenced by 50 microscopic degrees of freedom<sup>[13]</sup> and macroscopically identical GBs can differ 51 significantly by the atomic arrangement in the region between adjacent bulk phases<sup>[14]</sup>. 52

It is important to verify any theoretical approach or computational model using experimental data on both GB geometry and GB energy for a large set of GBs. The most successful approach to determine experimentally the GB energies considers the equilibrium between the three boundary tensions along the triple line. This equilibrium at triple junctions is described quantitatively by the Herring equation:

- Solid/solid/gas (SSG) capillary equilibrium is established along the line formed by the 58 intersection of the GB plane with the sample surface. The tension of the GB is not 59 balanced by the tensions of free surfaces when the sample surface is flat. Thus, surface 60 deformation occurs and a GB groove is formed. The thermal grooving technique 61 measures the angles in the GB grooves. SSG was previously used for relatively small sets 62 of GBs, most often special GBs with a high degree of symmetry<sup>[15–18]</sup>. A key requirement 63 for SSG to yield accurate results is that the material should have an isotropic surface 64 energy. 65
- 66 Solid/solid/solid (SSS) capillary equilibrium. When three GBs join along a common triple 67 line in a polycrystal, the dihedral angles between the corresponding GB planes are determined by capillary equilibrium <sup>[19,20]</sup>. This equilibrium is established between three 68 capillary vectors. Each capillary vector is a sum of the GB tension vector (lying in the GB 69 70 plane orthogonal to the triple line) and a torque term vector (equal in absolute value to the 71 derivative of the GB energy with respect to the angle of rotation of the boundary around 72 the triple line and orthogonal to the GB plane and to the triple line). The capillary vector 73 reconstruction method involves solving a system of equations that describe the local 74 equilibrium in triple junctions (Herring equations) using an iterative procedure. Owing to 75 redundancy in the Herring equations, it is necessary to introduce additional restrictions 76 such as constant GB energy within the local domain of the crystallographic GB 77 parameters.
- In both SSG and SSS, the objective is to determine the relationship between the GB energy distribution (GBED) and the GB character distribution (GBCD) for all the macroscopic parameters of GBs<sup>[4]</sup>. SSG and SSS differ only in how the results are generalized from a finite set of GBs to the five macroscopic degrees of freedom of GBs.

In SSG, an extrapolation scheme is used where two important conditions must be met: a 82 reliable set of input data and a suitable functional relationship between the GB energy and 83 crystallographic parameters. The approach proposed by Bulatov<sup>[8]</sup>, where the results of 84 molecular statics simulations for 388 CSL GBs (periodic length for each grain is no more 85 than  $15a_0/2$ , where  $a_0$  is the lattice spacing) are used as input data<sup>[6,7]</sup>, has become widely 86 used for calculation of GB energy for arbitrary misorientation <sup>[21-23]</sup>. However, it was 87 demonstrated by comparing the simulated and experimental data that only the  $\Sigma 3$  and  $\Sigma 9$ 88 GBs agree<sup>[24]</sup>. 89

In the SSS calculation using the Morawiec method, a discretization of the parameter space 90 where the energy is kept constant within each domain is performed. For highly symmetric 91 92 GBs where the GBED(GBCD) is pronounced, the assumption that the GB energy is constant should be treated with caution and the results of this method strongly depend on the size of 93 the initial sample (filling density) and the size of the domains. Nevertheless, functional 94 dependencies were obtained for a number of materials using this method<sup>[25-29]</sup>. To cover the 95 parameter space in increments of 10° a set of GBs of approximately 6×10<sup>3</sup> is necessary for 96 cubic symmetry<sup>[4]</sup>. Recently an update of the Morawiec method was proposed, where the 97 constrain of constant GB energy within each domain was removed<sup>[30]</sup>. 98

In this paper, we present a comprehensive analysis of a diverse set of GBs in a 99 polycrystalline sample. We have analyzed the dependence between the GBCD and GBED for 100 various subsets of the parameters used to describe the GB structure. It has been possible to 101 interpret the results in the framework of widely used theories, for example the theory of the 102 coincidence site lattice (CSL) and the dependence of the GB energy on the excess free 103 volume. In performing this study, we have found new phenomena such as the presence of an 104 energy minimum for GBs with plane orientation close to (101), a sufficient difference 105 between asymmetrical and symmetrical low index GBs, and the absence of any correlation 106 between GB population and energy. To provide atomistic insights and to ensure consistency 107 in the results, we have also calculated the energies for a similar number of symmetric tilt 108 GBs using the embedded atom method. We believe that our results will be used to construct 109 new extrapolation functions for GBED(GBCD) in future studies. 110

111 EXPERIMENT

An electrolytically deposited polycrystalline copper foil of 18 μm in thickness was used to perform the experimental measurements. Disks of 3 mm in diameter were cut from the foil, cleaned with acetone, and annealed in a quartz tube under dry hydrogen flux for 6 h at 1273 K. After the heat treatment, the tube with the sample was quenched in air with a cooling rate between 100 and 200 K/min. The foil samples were characterized with a JSM-840A scanning electron microscope equipped with an electron backscatter diffraction analyzer. Orientation image microscopy© (OIM) maps with 3 μm of spatial resolution were obtained.

119 The foil surface was investigated using an optical interferometer MII-4 based on the Linnik interference system. Dihedral angles  $\psi$  in the vicinity of the GB grooves were measured for 120 515 GBs using the following technique: The 3D profile of the foil surface, which contains 121 the GB trace (line of intersection between the GB plane and substrate surface), was 122 reconstructed from an interference image of the surface using the interferometer software. 123 Then, five 2D profiles were extracted from the 3D reconstruction for each GB groove 124 perpendicular to the sample surface and the GB trace on the surface. To extract the dihedral 125 angles ( $\psi$ ), the 2D profiles were fitted using quadratic polynomials through the least squares 126 method (Fig. 1). The dihedral angle  $\psi$  between solid surfaces was calculated from an average 127 of five values, measured from the 2D profiles for a given GB. Dihedral angles were 128 measured at a distance of more than 5 µm from the GB triple junctions to avoid the effect of 129 GB groove deformation in the vicinity of the triple point due to the triple point line 130 tension<sup>[31]</sup>. 131



Figure 1. Optical micrograph of foil surface and 2D profile of grain boundary groove fitted with quadratic polynomials to extract dihedral angles  $\psi$  (see insert).

To estimate the total error of GB energy measurement, we used the standard technique, which involves a calculation of the average value and the error of the directly measured quantity (dihedral angle in the GB groove), followed by the estimation of the average value and the error of the indirectly measured quantity (GB energy). The absolute error of the dihedral angle measurement  $\Delta \psi$  is defined as follows:

$$\Delta \psi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Delta \psi_i| \tag{1}$$

140

144

132

where  $\Delta \psi_i$  are the residuals ( $\Delta \psi_i = \psi_i - \langle \psi \rangle$ ) and *n* is the number of measurements for a given GB (5). Using a first-order Taylor series expansion, the absolute error of the GB energy measurement  $\Delta \gamma_{GB}$  can be defined as follows:

$$\Delta \gamma_{gb} = \left| \frac{\partial \gamma_{gb}}{\partial \psi} \Delta \psi \right| \tag{2}$$

By considering the relationship between the GB energy and the solid/liquid interface energy (Eq. 6), and the relative error equation ( $\varepsilon_{\gamma_{GB}} = \Delta \gamma_{GB} / \langle \gamma_{GB} \rangle$ ), the final expression for relative error of the GB energy measurement  $\varepsilon_{\gamma_{GB}}$  is equal to

$$\varepsilon_{\gamma_{gb}} = \frac{1}{2} \tan\langle\psi\rangle \,\Delta\psi \tag{3}$$

Thus, the relative error of the GB energy measurement is a nonlinear function of the dihedral angle. For instance, the relative error  $\varepsilon_{\gamma_{GB}}$  reaches 0.3 % with a value of  $\psi = 175^{\circ}$  and it equals just 0.1 % for  $\psi = 165^{\circ}$  (the average dihedral angle for all GBs considered).

The error of the instrument is determined by  $\frac{\lambda}{2NR}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the light source (650 nm), **N** is the number of treated interference images, and **R** is a bit depth of the interference images used for profile reconstruction (256). This quantity is negligible compared to the above-considered random error.

Only straight fragments of GB traces were analyzed to minimize the variation of the GB plane orientation within the same boundary. The orientation of GB traces with respect to the sample coordinate system was obtained for 515 GBs. Inspection of the sample surface from both sides reveals that the copper foil has a columnar grain structure with an average grain size of approximately 30  $\mu$ m (previously reported, Fig. 1a in ref.<sup>[32]</sup>). It is assumed that the GB planes are perpendicular to the sample surface as their inclination is less than 10°<sup>[32]</sup>.

Both the dihedral angles  $\psi$  and the five macroscopic degrees of freedom were obtained for all 515 GBs in the copper foil. Results are compared with those in previous reports on GBED(GBCD) relationships in copper and with molecular statics simulations performed for symmetrical tilt GBs.

## 166 MODELING

148

To investigate the properties of GBs computationally, the energetic stability of 400 167 symmetric tilt GBs (STGBs) in copper was computed. STGBs are special GBs between two 168 different crystallographic orientations rotated in equal and opposite directions about a 169 common tilt axis. The GB orientations are defined using Miller indices (*hkl*)[*mno*], where 170 (hkl) specifies the GB plane and [mno] the tilt axis. Periodic supercells containing two 171 symmetrically equivalent GBs were constructed using the bicrystal approach. The separation 172 between the GBs was set to be greater than 30 Å, which was found to be large enough 173 considering that the mutual elastic interactions are small. Further details of the construction 174

of STGBs are included in ref.<sup>[33]</sup>. The structure of the supercells is optimized using the embedded atom method (EAM) description of the interatomic interactions. The total energy of the EAM takes the following form:

$$E_{tot} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} V(r_{ij}) + \sum_{i} F_i\left(\rho(r_{ij})\right)$$
(4)

178

where  $F(\rho)$  is the embedding function,  $\rho$  is the density, and V is the pairwise repulsion<sup>[34][35]</sup>. Here we use the parameterization of Ackland et al., which has been shown to yield very good agreement with experiments for both the structure and associated properties (e.g., mechanical, electronic, or chemical)<sup>[33,34,36–39]</sup>.

To optimize the GBs, the  $\gamma$  surface method was used. This method finds the minimum total energy of the system by performing a series of optimizations from different initial translation states of the two grains relative to each other. The supercells are fully relaxed with respect to the positions of all atoms and the length of the supercell in the GB normal direction. The GB energy  $\gamma_{gb}$  is defined as

$$\gamma_{gb} = \frac{E_{tot} - NE_{coh}}{2A} \tag{5}$$

188

where  $E_{tot}$  is the total energy of the system, *N* is the number of atoms in the system, and  $E_{coh}$  is the cohesive energy of the system. Comparison of GB energy values in copper calculated as described above with values obtained from DFT calculations show divergence up to 35%, relative stability of GBs predicted by EAM and DFT coincides<sup>[33]</sup>.

As there are only three degrees of freedom associated with an STGB, it is possible to perform a mapping from the entire 3D space of possible boundaries to a 2D projection. The 2D projection can then be interpolated to predict the GB energy of an arbitrary STGB. The 2D projection can be intersected to describe all possible GB energy misorientation angles for each different tilt angle. Plots for each specific GB energy/ misorientation are omitted but can be found in the academic literature<sup>[5,13]</sup>. More details of this approach can be found in the appendices of ref.<sup>[33]</sup>.

## 200 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The statistical analysis of the grain orientation reveals a strong texture in the **(110)** orientation normal to the foil<sup>[32]</sup>. The same texture was also detected for electrodeposited copper in ref.<sup>[21]</sup>. To analyze the relationship between GB energy and geometry, the GB energies were extracted from the experimentally measured dihedral angles  $\psi$  as follows:

$$\gamma_{gb} = 2\gamma_{sg} \cos\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

where  $\gamma_{gb}$  is the GB energy and  $\gamma_{sg}$  is the solid/gas surface energy. Eq. 6 can be used if the solid/gas surface energy  $\gamma_{sg}$  is isotropic. If the surface energy is anisotropic, then the Herring equation<sup>[40]</sup>, included below, should be used instead.

205

209

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \bar{t}_i \gamma_i + (\bar{t}_i \times \bar{s}) \frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial \varphi_i} \right) = 0$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

where  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are the surface energies of copper crystals forming the planes in the GB,  $\gamma_3$ 210 is the GB energy, and  $\frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial \omega_i}$  are the variations of the surface and GB energies with plane 211 orientation (torque terms). The error introduced from the isotropic approximation can be 212 estimated using anisotropy data of a solid copper surface from the work of D. Chatain et 213 al.<sup>[41]</sup>. The main result of the Chatain study is presented in the so called  $\gamma$ -plots, where the 214 variance of the crystal surface energy is given. Owing to the presence of the [110] texture in 215 our sample<sup>[32]</sup>, we can estimate the maximum values of  $\frac{\partial \gamma_{sg}}{\partial \omega_s}$  from the maximum gradient of 216 the  $\gamma$ -plot close to the **{110}** plane (see Fig. 6 in ref.<sup>[41]</sup>). This estimation gives 217  $\frac{\partial \gamma_{sg}}{\partial \varphi_i} \leq 19 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ , which is approximately 5 % of the average GB energy. To estimate the 218 contribution of torque terms  $\frac{\partial \gamma_{sg}}{\partial \varphi_i}$  into  $\gamma_{gb}$  values, Eq. 7 can be simplified to the scalar form 219 for a symmetrical GB groove, 220

$$\gamma_{gb} = \gamma_1 \cos\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) + \gamma_2 \cos\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) + \left(\pm \frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial x} \pm \frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial x}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right)$$
(8)

If we neglect torque terms  $\frac{\partial \gamma_{sg}}{\partial \varphi_i}$  in the calculation of GB energy from the dihedral angle and reduce Eq. 8 to Eq. 6, we obtain a maximum error of 38 mJ/m<sup>2</sup> (approximately 9% of the

average GB energy in our sample). As we have estimated previously [42] the average value of 224 torque term  $\frac{\partial \gamma_{gb}}{\partial \sigma_i}$  in copper foil is less than 20% of average GB energy. If we neglect it in the 225 case of columnar structure and isotropic surface energy the error in GB energy determination 226 could be estimated as follows:  $\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{gb}}{\partial \omega_i} / \gamma_{gb}\right)^2} - 1$ , which is less than 2%. The above 227 conciderations allows us to use the relation (Eq. 6) to calculate GB energies.  $\gamma_{sg}$  is estimated 228 for 970°C, and is equal to 1650 mJ/m<sup>2[43]</sup>, which is in good agreement with data from other 229 sources<sup>[44]</sup>. The number of GBs studied did not allow us to reveal all the local energy minima 230 in the GBED, but trends for specific subsets of the macroscopic parameters have been found. 231 The range of misorientations is presented in the fundamental zone of Rodrigues-Frank 232 space<sup>[45]</sup> (Fig. 2). It can be observed in Fig. 2 that the misorientation space is filled with 233 experimental points more or less uniformly, with a slightly higher density of points near  $\Sigma 3$ 234 235 and  $\Sigma 9$  GBs.





Figure 2. The 515 experimentally determined misorientations represented in the fundamental zoneof Rodrigues-Frank space.

239

The copper foil under investigation has a pronounced (110) texture, and the GB planes are oriented perpendicular to the foil surface. Only  $\Sigma 3$ ,  $\Sigma 9$ , and  $\Sigma 27$  GBs occurred more frequently in the foil than in the simulated set of GBs, which confirms a special to general

structural transition at the annealing temperature for other CSL misorientations<sup>[46]</sup>. An 243 analysis of the tilt-to-twist relation did not reveal any specific features compared with a 244 randomly generated GB set, except that the tilt boundaries are enriched due to twinning<sup>[32]</sup>. 245 GB plane orientation statistics were also analyzed, and it was found that **[111]** planes were 246 significantly enriched, which can be explained by the foil texture (Fig. 3 in ref.<sup>[32]</sup>). When 247 compared to a random distribution of grains in an arbitrary cubic crystal, the probabilities of 248 finding GB planes in a (110) textured foil are 1/2 for [111], 1/3 for [100], and 1/6 for 249 {110}. 250

We discuss the effect of GB misorientation (3 DOF) and GB plane orientation (2 DOF) separately. The most straightforward approach is to plot the GB energy vs misorientation angle, ignoring the four other macroscopic DOFs. Rotation by the misorientation angle allows the superposition of elementary cells of adjacent grains; the rotation axis is selected so that the value of the misorientation angle is minimized, thus positioning a given misorientation in the fundamental zone (as shown in Fig. 3).  $\Sigma$ 3 and  $\Sigma$ 9 GBs are located at 60° and 38.9° correspondingly, but 38-40 and 58-60 column charts contain also general GBs.



258

**Figure 3.** Grain boundary energy vs misorientation angle for the copper foil studied in this work.

The effect of GB plane orientation is determined through the analysis of tilt and twist components. An additional parameter is the angle  $\angle(\omega, \nu)$  between the rotation axis  $\omega$  and the GB plane normal  $\nu^{[47]}$ . When  $\angle(\omega, \nu)$  is equal to 0°, it is a pure twist boundary, and when it is equal to 90°, it is a pure tilt boundary. Boundaries with  $0^\circ < \angle(\omega, \nu) < 90^\circ$  are known as mixed.

For most misorientations, the average GB energy is constant with a reduction towards angles 266 less than 15°. A quantitative description of the GB energy/misorientation relationship in 267 small angle GBs based on dislocation models was proposed by Read and Shockley<sup>[9]</sup>. The 268 Read--Shockley model was quantitatively confirmed using highly symmetrical pure tilt or 269 twist GBs and is used to predict energies in pure tilt and twist systems<sup>[15]</sup>. It is difficult to 270 present mixed GBs as a systematic array of dislocations. An attempt to fit our data with the 271 Read--Shockley equation was made, but in this work, most of the low angle GBs are mixed. 272 We have plotted the GB energy of the low angle GBs in our sample together with data for 273 highly symmetrical GBs from ref.<sup>[15]</sup> (Fig. 4). All the data are fitted with the Read-Shockley 274 equation. 275



Figure 4. Grain boundary energy of low angle grain boundaries of mixed type (copper foil, 1000°,
this study) compared with grain boundary energy of low angle {100} tilt and twist grain boundaries
in copper bicrystals at 1065°<sup>[15]</sup>.

The average GB energies for mixed tilt/twist boundaries (our data, blue line) are located 281 between the energies of tilt and twin boundaries determined in ref.<sup>[15]</sup>. There is a spread of 282 GB energies of approximately hundreds of mJ/mol for mixed GBs, which is much larger than 283 the spread of the energies found in ref.<sup>[15]</sup> for pure tilt and twist GBs. Our data consists of 284 seventeen GBs with a misorientation angle less than 15°, including three GBs with two low 285 index GB planes, two GBs with one low index plane (empty rhomb), and 12 GBs without 286 low index GB planes (filled rhombs). A low index plane was attributed to a GB if the 287 deviation between the experimentally obtained plane orientation and the low index plane was 288 less than 10°. GBs with two low index planes have relatively low energies, but GBs with 289 only one low index plane have higher energies. The majority of GBs investigated did not 290 contain low index planes, including those with an energy considerably lower than the 291 average value predicted by the Read-Shockley model. 292



293

Figure 5. Grain boundaries with misorientation angle  $58^{\circ}$ - $62.8^{\circ}$  in Rodrigues-Frank space. The points in the red zone correspond to  $\Sigma 3$  according to the Brandon criterion ( $\theta_0 = 15^{\circ}$ ).

For high angle GBs, the energy vs misorientation distribution is smooth, except for two mild minima. One minimum is close to 39° and could be linked to the presence of  $\Sigma$ 9 GBs and the other minimum is close to 60°, which corresponds to  $\Sigma$ 3 GBs. The presence of mild minima close to 39° and 60° is linked to the presence of special (in terms of CSL) GBs. Special GBs in terms of the CSL model (GBs with  $\leq \Sigma$ 35) were selected from the experimental data set. The Brandon criterion<sup>[48]</sup> ( $\theta_0 = 15^{\circ}/\sqrt{\Sigma}$ ) was used to classify GB as "special." A total of 68 % of GBs were identified as  $\Sigma 3$  in the 58°–62.8° misorientation angle range. These GBs are presented as points inside a polygon limited by thick blue lines in the Rodrigues-Frank space (see Fig. 5). In total, 40 % of GBs were identified as  $\Sigma 9$  in the 37°–41° misorientation angle range (see Fig. 3).  $\Sigma 3$  and  $\Sigma 9$  GBs have an average energy value lower than general GBs, and the average  $\Sigma 3$  energy is lower than  $\Sigma 9$ .



307

Figure 6. Dependence of grain boundary energy and frequency of occurrence, which is normalized
by the frequency generated from random simulation accounting for foil texture. Full range of general
grain boundary energy is denoted by hatched area.

The energy of special GBs in terms of the CSL model is plotted against the frequency of their occurrence ( $\rho_{exp}$ ) in Fig. 6. The frequency of occurrence ( $\rho_{exp}$ ) was normalized by the frequency of occurrence for the same misorientations in the simulated set of GBs ( $\rho_{mod}$ ). Grain orientations in  $\rho_{mod}$  were generated by considering the (110) texture of the copper foil<sup>[32]</sup>. During the microstructure inspection, twins were identified within  $\Sigma$ 3 and  $\Sigma$ 9 GBs, and they are marked by open cycles and open triangles, respectively. Despite the high occurrence frequency for  $\Sigma$ 3,  $\Sigma$ 9, and  $\Sigma$ 33 GBs, only  $\Sigma$ 3 twins have a significantly lower GB

energy. The energy of the other special GBs is not significantly different from the energy of 318 GBs with no  $\Sigma$  value assigned (only  $\leq \Sigma 35$  were considered). Such GBs should be considered 319 as general in terms of CSL formalism. The result that  $\Sigma$ 3 GBs have a lower energy is in good 320 agreement with the hypothesis of "special GBs transition to general ones with increasing 321 annealing temperature<sup>[46]</sup>." During recrystallization annealing of the copper foil, abnormal 322 grain growth was not observed; thus, the misorientation statistics are close to those of a 323 copper foil with a random distribution of grains taking into account the presence of texture. 324 The sharp increase in  $\Sigma 3$  and  $\Sigma 9$  boundaries could be attributed to the stability of these 325 boundaries during recrystallization, and they decrease in number more slowly than high 326 energy GBs. It should be noticed that number density of  $\Sigma 9$  boundaries (0.062) exceed 327 probability of two  $\Sigma$ 3 boundaries meeting (0.126<sup>2</sup> = 0.016). Similarly, number density of  $\Sigma$ 33 328 GBs (0.0136) exceed probability of  $\Sigma$ 3 and  $\Sigma$ 11 meeting (0.126\*0.0155 = 0.002)<sup>[49]</sup>. 329



330

Figure 7. Correlation between the total grain boundary area (red) of each grain boundary fraction
and the average area of a single GB (blue) in the corresponding grain boundary energy range.

A strong linear correlation between GB energy and population was reported for polycrystalline nickel<sup>[24]</sup> and magnesium oxide<sup>[6]</sup>. A similar correlation was not observed in

the copper foil investigated in this study. It can be observed in Fig. 7 (red) that the maximum 335 GB area fraction corresponds to GBs with an average energy. The distribution in Fig. 7 is 336 asymmetric as GBs with the lowest energy are more frequent than GBs with the highest 337 energy. Such asymmetric behavior can be linked to the grain structure of the copper foil. The 338 area distribution is not in equilibrium and is caused by the initial foil texture. 339 Recrystallization of the copper foil did not lead to a significant structural relaxation towards 340 GBs with a lower surface energy despite 6 h annealing at 1000°C. We believe that the 341 recrystallization is linked to the specific morphology of the foil with {110} texture. For 342 example, in a textured thin film with a columnar structure, not all GB geometries are 343 possible. Those that do occur are relatively more stable than in a 3D polycrystalline sample. 344 During crystallization, the area of the individual boundary grows if the GBs have a low 345 energy and decreases if the GBs have a high energy. This results in the distribution of 346 individual GB areas presented in (Fig. 7). 347

The misorientation angle alone is not sufficient to describe the energy/misorientation 348 relationship, especially for high angle GBs. A tilt/twist relation defined as the angle between 349 the GB plane normal and the GB misorientation axis was previously suggested as an 350 additional misorientation parameter<sup>[16,47]</sup>. It was demonstrated in ref.<sup>[47]</sup> that in FeSi alloys, 351 twist GBs have a higher adsorption capacity for Si atoms. The Krakauer result is in 352 agreement with experimental data presented in ref.<sup>[16]</sup> where twist GBs have an average 353 energy higher than that of the tilt ones in a NiAl intermetallic polycrystal. In some special 354 cases, twist boundaries were found to have lower energy than tilt GBs with the same 355 misorientation<sup>[15]</sup>. 356



Figure 8. Grain boundary energy as a function of misorientation angle and tilt/twist relation forcopper foil.

360

Variation of the GB energy in the copper foil with a tilt/twist relation and misorientation 361 angle is presented in Fig. 8. The experimental data is fitted with a smooth surface. Low 362 energy GBs are observed at a low misorientation angle and close to 60° misorientation (due 363 to  $\Sigma_3$  [111]/[111] GBs) independent of the tilt/twist relation. At the same time, twist GBs 364 have a slightly lower energy in the entire misorientation range. The region of GBs with the 365 highest energy is situated between 30° and 45° misorientation and at  $\angle(\omega, \nu) = 60^\circ - 80^\circ$ . 366 The result of the ranges of angles is in general agreement with experimental data for 367 symmetrical GBs<sup>[15]</sup> and with the molecular statics simulation of GB energy<sup>[6]</sup>. The 368 difference between the GB energy of predominantly tilt and predominantly twist GBs is quite 369 weak. This is in agreement with GB statistical data<sup>[32]</sup>. 370



Figure 9. (left) Grain boundary energy distribution as a function of grain boundary plane orientation
for nickel<sup>[4]</sup> (the figure was kindly provided by Prof. G.S. Rohrer, Carnegie Mellon University) and
(right) copper (this work).

The influence of GB plane orientation on the GB energy is well established<sup>[50,51]</sup>. To analyze 375 the GB plane orientation in our copper foil, the GB energy relationship for a large set of 376 377 mixed GBs in the polycrystal was measured to plot GB energy vs GB plane orientation 378 relative to the crystal lattice of adjacent grains in the form of an azimuthal projection (for example<sup>[27]</sup>). In the azimuthal projection, each GB is counted twice and misorientation of 379 grains is partially ignored. For example, if one grain is rotated around a GB plane normal, we 380 will obtain different GBs with a similar orientation of the GB plane. In Fig. 9, we compare 381 our data for the copper foil with a similar representation in a nickel polycrystal<sup>[4]</sup> by means of 382 the Morawiec method<sup>[52]</sup>. In both cases, copper and nickel, a minimum is observed near the 383 [111] orientation meaning that  $\Sigma$ 3 GBs with a low GB energy make a significant 384 contribution into the average GB energy. It is also found that an increase in GB energy is 385 observed for GB plane orientations close to {100} in both copper and nickel. The most 386 important difference between copper and nickel is for GBs close to  $\{110\}$ : in the case of 387 nickel, there is no noticeable deviation from the average GB energy value, whereas for 388 copper foil a pronounced minimum was observed. 389

The variation of the range of average GB energies with plane orientation is insignificant when considering that GB energies vary by more than an order of magnitude depending on misorientation. In our opinion, considering the GB plane orientations without taking into account grain misorientation will not reveal sharp energy minima in fcc metals. Moreover, the approach described above did not make any distinction between symmetrical and asymmetrical GBs.



(a)

396

397



398

399

(b)

Figure 10. GB energy values calculated by the function developed in ref.<sup>[8]</sup> vs those obtained from
the experiment with copper foil (a) and calculated by means of molecular statics in this work (b).

It is difficult to cover the 5D space with experimental data, and thus, it is desirable to build a 402 function that determines the GB energy for each misorientation. Recently, a 5DOF function 403 aimed at reconstructing the GBED(GBCD) relationship was suggested<sup>[8]</sup>. The new 5DOF 404 method uses the energies of 388 GBs in four fcc metals calculated from atomistic simulations 405 using EAM potentials as reference points<sup>[6]</sup>. GBs considered by Olmsted et al. have periodic 406 length for each grain no more than  $15a_0/2$ , where  $a_0$  is the lattice spacing. In the present work, 407 we have calculated energies for all GBs from their geometrical parameters using the function 408 developed in ref.<sup>[8]</sup>. The correlation between the GB energy calculated from dihedral angles 409  $\psi$  in the vicinity of GB grooves and the approximation function of Bulatov et al. (Fig. 10a) is 410 very weak. A similar weak correlation between the experimental and theoretical predictions 411 was observed for nickel<sup>[24]</sup>. For general GBs in nickel, the correlation between the GB 412 energies simulated in ref.<sup>[6]</sup> and those determined experimentally in ref.<sup>[27]</sup> was not observed. 413 Comparison of GB energy values, calculated by molecular statics in this study with values 414 calculated for the same GB parameters by the function presented in <sup>[8]</sup> is presented in Fig. 415 10b. Good correlation is observed in agreement with the fact that in both cases GBs with 416 short period were modelled. 417



Figure 11. Azimuthal projection of GB plane orientation for 74 symmetrical GBs selected from the
experimental data set (cycles) superimposed with GBED obtained by smoothing of molecular statics
(MS) calculated values of GB energies for 400 symmetrical tilt GBs in copper.

As presented in Table. 1, GBs that are close to low index planes for one grain only ([111], 422 [100], and [110] asymmetrical GBs) have an energy close to the average GB energy. On the 423 contrary, for symmetrical {100}/{100}, {111}/{111}, and {110}/{110} GBs, there is a 424 significant decrease in average GB energy. Even in the {100}/{100} case (there is only one 425 GB of this type, and thus, it is not representative), the GB energy is 1/2 the average GB 426 energy. The energy of GBs combined from different low index planes is higher than the 427 energy of symmetric GBs. Only the **[100]**/**[110]** GB demonstrates relatively low energy, 428 but only one GB of this type was found experimentally. 429

Table 1: Average GB energies and their fraction in the studied GB ensemble for
asymmetrical and symmetrical low index GBs in copper foil. Indexes were attributed to the
GB plane if its deviation from the given orientation was less than 10°.

GB plane indexes	{100}/—	{111}/—	{110}/—
$\gamma_{gb} / \gamma_{av}$	1.06	1.08	0.97
Fraction of GBs, %	10.2	11.1	10.5
GB plane indexes	{100}/{100}	{111}/{111}	{110}/{110}
$\gamma_{gb}$ / $\gamma_{av}$	0.51	0.62	0.66
Fraction of GBs, %	0.2	1.6	2.0
GB plane indexes	{111}/{100}	{111}/{110}	{100}/{110}
$\gamma_{gb} / \gamma_{av}$	0.93	1.11	0.72
Fraction of GBs, %	2.0	2.0	0.2

433

The GBED calculated by smoothing of the simulation data for 400 STGBs in copper and the experimental data for a subset of symmetrical GBs from the copper foil are presented in Fig. 11. There is a good agreement between the experimental data and simulation. The simulation demonstrates the presence of four pronounced energy minima close to the **{111}**, **{100}**, **{110}**, and **{311}** GB planes. These minima correlate with the decrease in free excess volume, as was previously reported<sup>[50]</sup>. The experimental data show the same tendency with
additional variance and several exceptions. The exceptions are likely related to the inclusion
of twist components, which are ignored using this representation. In addition, larger values of
GB energy are obtained from the simulation and could relate to the temperature difference
(the simulation corresponds to 0 K, whereas the experiment was performed at 1273 K).

For the  $\{111\}$ ,  $\{110\}$ , and  $\{311\}$  GB planes, the effect of twist is shown in Fig. 12. It can be observed in Fig. 12 that the twist component has a significant influence on the energy of symmetrical GBs. The most pronounced minima align with the special CSL misorientations  $\Sigma 3$  and  $\Sigma 9$ , which is similar for the entire set of studied copper GBs.

## 448 CONCLUSIONS

From the work presented in this paper, we can draw several conclusions. First, even for a simple one-component fcc material, a universal relationship between GB energy and GB macroscopic structure is far from being found. Second, in our work, we have identified new subsets of low energy GBs (symmetrical **{311}/{311}** and **{110}/{110}**), hence identifying possible avenues to improve the agreement between experiment and theory.

454





456

Figure 12. Grain boundary energy for symmetrical GBs vs twist angle for (a) [111], (b) 458 **[110]**, and (c) **[311]** orientations. Deviation from the low index plane is less than 6° for all 459 the presented points. 460

To explore the GBED(GBCD) relationship, we have consequentially increased the number of 462 fixed macroscopic degrees of freedom. For example, if we draw energy as a function of 463 misorientation angle (one fixed parameter, Fig. 3) or plane orientation (two fixed parameters, 464 Fig. 9) for our copper foil, it is very difficult to interpret the complexity of the 465 GBED(GBCD) relationship. However, pronounced GB energy minima could be revealed for 466 a subset of symmetrical GBs (four fixed parameters, Fig. 11) and for a subset of symmetrical 467 GBs with a fixed plane orientation as a function of twist angle (five fixed parameters, 468 Fig. 12). This approach minimizes the set of possible assumptions about the functional 469

dependence between GB energy and its structure. An alternative strategy based on an
analytical approximation of the GBED(GBCD) landscape requires a representative set of
high-quality data.

The determination of GB energy and excess volume using first-principles calculations within 473 the framework of the density functional theory may provide an adequate base for 474 constructing the energy-structure function. Furthermore, usually GB energies are calculated 475 at 0 K in simulation, and thus, it could be that the calculation of the GB energy at finite 476 temperatures may yield a better relationship between simulation and experiment. At the same 477 time, first-principles calculations are notoriously time consuming and could not be used to 478 479 reconstruct the full 5-dimensional GBED(GBCD) relationship at the present moment. The 480 data obtained by molecular statics simulation for STGBs are in a good agreement with these 481 experimental findings and understanding the asymmetric effect of GBs could be a 482 compelling follow-on study.

## 483 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation under grant 19-72-10160. We 484 would also like to acknowledge the financial support from the EPSRC (EP/K003151) and the 485 support from the N8 Consortium (Polaris supercomputer) and the Materials Chemistry 486 Consortium (Archer supercomputer, EPSRC grant EP/L000202). We would also like to 487 acknowledge that part of this work was performed using resources provided by the 488 Cambridge Service for Data Driven Discovery (CSD3) operated by the University of 489 Cambridge Research Computing Service (http://www.csd3.cam.ac.uk/), by Dell EMC and 490 Intel using Tier-2 funding from the EPSRC (capital grant EP/P020259/1), and by DiRAC 491 with funding from the STFC (www.dirac.ac.uk). We give special thanks to Prof A. Lindsay 492 Greer for the useful discussion. 493

496	1	T. Watanabe: J. Mater. Sci., 2011, vol. 46, pp. 4095–115.
497	2	V. Randle: Acta Mater., 1998, vol. 46, pp. 1459-80.
498	3	V. Randle and G. Owen: Acta Mater., 2006, vol. 54, pp. 1777–83.
499	4	G.S. Rohrer: J. Mater. Sci., 2011, vol. 46, pp. 5881–95.
500	5	D. Wolf and S. Phillpot: Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 1989, vol. 107, pp. 3–14.
501	6	D.L. Olmsted, S.M. Foiles, and E.A. Holm: Acta Mater., 2009, vol. 57, pp. 3694–703.
502	7	E.A. Holm, D.L. Olmsted, and S.M. Foiles: Scr. Mater., 2010, vol. 63, pp. 905-8.
503	8	V. V. Bulatov, B.W. Reed, and M. Kumar: Acta Mater., 2014, vol. 65, pp. 161-75.
504	9	W.T. Read and W. Shockley: Phys. Rev., 1950, vol. 78, p. 275.
505	10	O.B.M. Hardouin Duparc: J. Mater. Sci., 2011, vol. 46, pp. 4116–34.
506	11	P.R.M. Van Beers, V.G. Kouznetsova, M.G.D. Geers, M.A. Tschopp, and D.L.
507		McDowell: Acta Mater., 2015, vol. 82, pp. 513–29.
508	12	L. Zhang, Y. Gu, and Y. Xiang: Acta Mater., 2017, vol. 126, pp. 11-24.
509	13	J. Hickman and Y. Mishin: Phys. Rev. Mater., 2017, vol. 1, p. 010601.
510	14	T. Frolov, D.L. Olmsted, M. Asta, and Y. Mishin: Nat. Commun., 2013, vol. 4, pp.
511		1897–9.
512	15	N.A. Gjostein and F.N. Rhines: Acta Metall., 1959, vol. 7, pp. 319-30.
513	16	Y. Amouyal, E. Rabkin, and Y. Mishin: Acta Mater., 2005, vol. 53, pp. 3795-805.
514	17	Y. Amouyal and E. Rabkin: Acta Mater., 2007, vol. 55, pp. 6681–9.
515	18	S.J. Dillon, M.P. Harmer, and G.S. Rohrer: J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2010, vol. 93, pp.
516		1796–802.
517	19	D.W. Hoffman and J.W. Cahn: Surf. Sci., 1972, vol. 31, pp. 368-88.

518 20 J.L. Cahn and D.L. Hoffman: *Acta Metall.*, 1974, vol. 22, pp. 1205–14.

- 519 21 S. Ratanaphan, D. Raabe, R. Sarochawikasit, D.L. Olmsted, G.S. Rohrer, and K.N. Tu:
   520 *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, vol. 52, pp. 4070–85.
- 521 22 M.A. Linne, T.R. Bieler, and S. Daly: Int. J. Plast., 2020, vol. 135, p. 102818.
- 522 23 S.G. Baird, E.R. Homer, D.T. Fullwood, and O.K. Johnson: *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2021,
  523 vol. 200, p. 110756.
- 524 24 G.S. Rohrer, E.A. Holm, A.D. Rollett, S.M. Foiles, J. Li, and D.L. Olmsted: *Acta* 525 *Mater.*, 2010, vol. 58, pp. 5063–9.
- 526 25 D.M. Saylor, A. Morawiec, and G.S. Rohrer: Acta Mater., 2003, vol. 51, pp. 3675–86.
- 527 26 S.J. Dillon and G.S. Rohrer: J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2009, vol. 92, pp. 1580–5.
- 528 27 J. Li, S.J. Dillon, and G.S. Rohrer: Acta Mater., 2009, vol. 57, pp. 4304–11.
- 529 28 H. Beladi and G.S. Rohrer: *Acta Mater.*, 2013, vol. 61, pp. 1404–12.
- 530 29 H. Beladi, N.T. Nuhfer, and G.S. Rohrer: Acta Mater., 2014, vol. 70, pp. 281–9.
- 531 30 Y. Shen, X. Zhong, H. Liu, R.M. Suter, A. Morawiec, and G.S. Rohrer: *Acta Mater.*,
  532 2019, vol. 166, pp. 126–34.
- 533 31 B. Zhao, J.C. Verhasselt, L.S. Shvindlerman, and G. Gottstein: *Acta Mater.*, 2010, vol.
  534 58, pp. 5646–53.
- 535 32 V.V. Korolev, Y.V. Kucherinenko, A.M. Makarevich, B.B. Straumal, and P.V.
  536 Protsenko: *Mater. Lett.*, DOI:10.1016/j.matlet.2017.03.076.
- 537 33 J.J. Bean and K.P. McKenna: *Acta Mater.*, 2016, vol. 110, pp. 246–57.
- 538 34 M.W. Finnis and J.E. Sinclair: *Philos. Mag. A*, 1984, vol. 50, pp. 45–55.
- 539 35 M.S. Daw and M.I. Baskes: *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1983, vol. 50, p. 1285.
- G.J. Ackland, G.J. Ackland, G. Tichy, V. Vitek, and M.W. Finnis: *Philos. Mag. A Phys. Condens. Matter, Struct. Defects Mech. Prop.*, 1987, vol. 56, pp. 735–56.
- 542 37 F. Cleri and V. Rosato: *Phys. Rev. B*, 1993, vol. 48, p. 22.
- 543 38 A.P. Sutton and J. Chen: *Philos. Mag. Lett.*, 1990, vol. 61, pp. 139–46.

- 544 39 M.S. Daw, S.M. Foiles, and M.I. Baskes: *Mater. Sci. Reports*, 1993, vol. 9, pp. 251–
  545 310.
- 40 H. Gleiter and B. Chalmers: *High-Angle Grain Boundaries*, vol. 16, Pergamon Press
  547 Oxford, 1972.
- 548 41 D. Chatain, V. Ghetta, and P. Wynblatt: *Interface Sci.*, 2004, vol. 12, pp. 7–18.
- 549 42 V.V. Korolev, Y.V. Kucherinenko, and P.V. Protsenko: *Metall. Mater. Trans. A Phys.*550 *Metall. Mater. Sci.*, DOI:10.1007/s11661-018-4990-8.
- 43 N. Eustathopoulos, M.G. Nicholas, and B.B. Drevet: *Wettability at High Temperatures*, vol. 3, 1999.
- M. Nakamoto, M. Liukkonen, M. Friman, E. Heikinheimo, M. Hämäläinen, and L.
  Holappa: *Metall. Mater. Trans. B Process Metall. Mater. Process. Sci.*, 2008, vol. 39, pp. 570–80.
- 556 45 J. Zhao and B.L. Adams: Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 1988, vol. 44, pp. 326–36.
- 557 46 L.S. Shvindlerman and B.B. Straumal: *Acta Metall.*, 1985, vol. 33, pp. 1735–49.
- 558 47 B.W. Krakauer and D.N. Seidman: Acta Mater., 1998, vol. 46, pp. 6145–61.
- 559 48 D.G. Brandon: *Acta Metall.*, 1966, vol. 14, pp. 1479–84.
- K. Miyazawa, Y. Iwasaki, K. Ito, and Y. Ishida: *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A*, 1996, vol.
  A52, pp. 787–96.
- 562 50 H. Gleiter: *Acta Metall.*, 1970, vol. 18, pp. 23–30.
- 563 51 B. Straumal, Y. Kucherinenko, and B. Baretzky: *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.*, 2004, vol. 7,
  564 pp. 23–31.
- 565 52 A. Morawiec: *Acta Mater.*, 2000, vol. 48, pp. 3525–32.

Figure 1. Optical micrograph of foil surface and 2D profile of grain boundary groove fitted with quadratic polynomials to extract dihedral angles  $\psi$  (see insert).

Figure 2. The 515 experimentally determined misorientations represented in the fundamental zoneof Rodrigues-Frank space.

572 Figure 3. Grain boundary energy vs misorientation angle for the copper foil studied in this work.

Figure 4. Grain boundary energy of low angle grain boundaries of mixed type (copper foil, 1000°,
this study) compared with grain boundary energy of low angle {100} tilt and twist grain boundaries
in copper bicrystals at 1065°<sup>[15]</sup>.

576 Figure 5. Grain boundaries with misorientation angle  $58^{\circ}$ - $62.8^{\circ}$  in Rodrigues-Frank space. The 577 points in the red zone correspond to  $\Sigma 3$  according to the Brandon criterion ( $\theta_0 = 15^{\circ}$ ).

Figure 6. Dependence of grain boundary energy and frequency of occurrence, which is normalized
by the frequency generated from random simulation accounting for foil texture. Full range of general
grain boundary energy is denoted by hatched area.

Figure 7. Correlation between the total grain boundary area (red) of each grain boundary fraction
and the average area of a single GB (blue) in the corresponding grain boundary energy range.

Figure 8. Grain boundary energy as a function of misorientation angle and tilt/twist relation forcopper foil.

Figure 9. (left) Grain boundary energy distribution as a function of grain boundary plane orientation
for nickel<sup>[4]</sup> (the figure was kindly provided by Prof. G.S. Rohrer, Carnegie Mellon University) and
(right) copper (this work).

Figure 10. GB energy values calculated by the function developed in ref.<sup>[8]</sup> vs those obtained from
the experiment with copper foil (a) and calculated by means of molecular statics in this work (b).

Figure 11. Azimuthal projection of GB plane orientation for 74 symmetrical GBs selected from the
experimental data set (cycles) superimposed with GBED obtained by smoothing of molecular statics
(MS) calculated values of GB energies for 400 symmetrical tilt GBs in copper.

- 593 Figure 12. Grain boundary energy for symmetrical GBs vs twist angle for (a) {111}, (b)
- **{110}**, and (c) **{311}** orientations. Deviation from the low index plane is less than 6° for all
  the presented points.