

This is a repository copy of *The value of remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/182669/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Regayre, L.A., Schmale, J., Johnson, J.S. orcid.org/0000-0002-4587-6722 et al. (8 more authors) (2020) The value of remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20 (16). pp. 10063-10072. ISSN 1680-7316

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-10063-2020

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





The value of remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty

Leighton A. Regayre¹, Julia Schmale^{2,3}, Jill S. Johnson¹, Christian Tatzelt⁴, Andrea Baccarini², Silvia Henning⁴, Masaru Yoshioka¹, Frank Stratmann⁴, Martin Gysel-Beer², Daniel P. Grosvenor^{1,5}, and Ken S. Carslaw¹

¹Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK ²Paul Scherrer Institute, Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Villigen, Switzerland

³École Polytechnique Fédéderale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany

⁵National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Leeds, UK

Correspondence: Leighton A. Regayre (l.a.regayre@leeds.ac.uk) and Julia Schmale (julia.schmale@psi.ch)

Received: 25 November 2019 – Discussion started: 4 December 2019 Revised: 29 May 2020 – Accepted: 10 July 2020 – Published: 28 August 2020

Abstract. Aerosol measurements over the Southern Ocean are used to constrain aerosol-cloud interaction radiative forcing (RFaci) uncertainty in a global climate model. Forcing uncertainty is quantified using 1 million climate model variants that sample the uncertainty in nearly 30 model parameters. Measurements of cloud condensation nuclei and other aerosol properties from an Antarctic circumnavigation expedition strongly constrain natural aerosol emissions: default sea spray emissions need to be increased by around a factor of 3 to be consistent with measurements. Forcing uncertainty is reduced by around 7 % using this set of several hundred measurements, which is comparable to the 8 % reduction achieved using a diverse and extensive set of over 9000 predominantly Northern Hemisphere measurements. When Southern Ocean and Northern Hemisphere measurements are combined, uncertainty in RF_{aci} is reduced by 21 %, and the strongest 20 % of forcing values are ruled out as implausible. In this combined constraint, observationally plausible RF_{aci} is around 0.17 W m⁻² weaker (less negative) with 95 % credible values ranging from -2.51 to -1.17 W m⁻² (standard deviation of -2.18 to -1.46 W m⁻²). The Southern Ocean and Northern Hemisphere measurement datasets are complementary because they constrain different processes. These results highlight the value of remote marine aerosol measurements.

1 Introduction

The uncertainty in the magnitude of the effective radiative forcing caused by aerosol–cloud interactions (ERF_{aci}) due to changing emissions over the industrial period is around twice that for CO₂ (Stocker et al., 2013). It is essential to reduce this uncertainty if global climate models are to be used to robustly predict near-term changes in climate (Andreae et al., 2005; Myhre et al., 2013; Collins et al., 2013; Tett et al., 2013; Seinfeld et al., 2016).

Aerosol forcing uncertainty has persisted in climate models since the 1990s partly because there are no measurements covering the industrial period that can be used to directly constrain simulations of long-term changes in aerosol and cloud properties (Gryspeerdt et al., 2017; McCoy et al., 2017). Estimates of aerosol forcing over the industrial period therefore rely on models that have been evaluated against measurements made in the present-day atmosphere. However, it is known that the aerosol forcing (in particular the component caused by aerosol-cloud interactions) depends sensitively on the state of aerosols in the pre-industrial period (Carslaw et al., 2013; Wilcox et al., 2015) when natural aerosols were dominant (Carslaw et al., 2017). Observations of natural aerosols in the present-day atmosphere are therefore expected to help constrain the simulated forcing unless there have been significant changes in natural aerosol processes over the industrial period, for which there is little evidence (Carslaw et al., 2010).

In this paper we address the following questions: (i) to what extent can measurements of aerosols in pristine (natural) environments help to constrain model simulations and thereby reduce the large uncertainty in aerosol forcing? (ii) What is the relative importance of measurements in remote and polluted environments for constraining the forcing uncertainty? It is known that the abundance of natural aerosols affects the magnitude of forcing in a model (Spracklen and Rap, 2013; Carslaw et al., 2013). However, to assess the effect on the *uncertainty* in forcing it is necessary to explore how the spread of predictions of a set of models changes when constrained by measurements. The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 is inadequate for this purpose because of insufficient aerosol diagnostics (Wilcox et al., 2015). Here we use large perturbed parameter ensembles (PPEs) of the UK Hadley Centre General Environment Model HadGEM3 (Hewitt et al., 2011). The PPEs were created by systematically perturbing numerous model parameters related to natural and anthropogenic emissions and physical processes (Yoshioka et al., 2019). The simulated aerosol forcings have uncertainty ranges that exceed those of multi-model ensembles (Yoshioka et al., 2019; Johnson et al., 2020). Instantaneous radiative forcing (RF) is quantified using the 26-parameter AER PPE in which just aerosolrelated parameters were varied, and the effective radiative forcing (ERF) is quantified using the 27-parameter AER-ATM PPE in which aerosol and physical atmosphere parameters were varied (Yoshioka et al., 2019). We use these PPEs to quantify how the constraint provided by pristine aerosol measurements affects the spread of aerosol forcings simulated by the ensembles.

Previous analysis of HadGEM3 PPEs showed that aerosol measurements in polluted regions help to constrain the uncertainty in aerosol–radiation interaction forcing (RF_{ari}) but not the component due to aerosol–cloud interactions (RF_{aci}) (Johnson et al., 2020). A dataset of over 9000 (predominantly Northern Hemisphere) aerosol measurements reduced the uncertainty in global, annual mean aerosol RF_{ari} by 35 %, but RF_{aci} uncertainty was reduced by only 7 %. These measurements related to anthropogenic emissions and aerosol processing in polluted environments. However, important causes of uncertainty in RF_{aci} , such as natural aerosol emission fluxes, were largely unconstrained.

The Southern Ocean is one of the few regions on Earth (along with some boreal forests) in which the same processes are expected to affect cloud-active aerosol concentrations in the present-day and early-industrial atmospheres (Hamilton et al., 2014). In this study we make use of aerosol measurements from the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition: Study of Preindustrial-like Aerosols and Their Climate Effects (ACE-SPACE) campaign (Schmale et al., 2019). They offer a unique opportunity to constrain the early-industrial aspects of aerosol forcing uncertainty because the Southern Ocean is a source of natural aerosols that are relevant at the

global scale and remains largely unaffected by anthropogenic aerosol and precursor emissions.

We use near-surface measurements of cloud condensation nuclei concentrations at 0.2% and 1.0% supersaturations $(CCN_{0.2} \text{ and } CCN_{1.0}; Tatzelt et al., 2019a)$, as well as mass concentrations of non-sea-salt sulfate particles with dry aerodynamic diameters less than 10 µm and number concentrations of particles with dry aerodynamic diameter larger than 700 nm (N_{700} ; corresponds to volume equivalent diameter larger than around 500 to 570 nm; Schmale et al., 2019a). The measurements are compared to output from 1 million variants of the HadGEM3 model that sample combinations of parameter settings in the model. These model variants are used to represent aerosol forcing uncertainty in our model using probability density functions (pdf's) and were generated by sampling from Gaussian process emulators that were trained on the PPE model outputs (see Sect. S1 in the Supplement). Model variants that were judged to be observationally implausible against the measurements were rejected, resulting in a set of plausible variants from which the uncertainty in aerosol forcing could be computed (see Sect. S1). In the results shown below, we retained approximately 3 % of model variants (following Johnson et al., 2020) that best match all four measured aerosol properties.

2 Results

Figure 1 shows the $CCN_{0.2}$ mean and standard deviation from the unconstrained and constrained model variants to exemplify the effect of constraint on model output. The mean concentrations in the unconstrained sample are much smaller than measured concentrations. However, the range of $CCN_{0.2}$ values in the unconstrained sample spans the measurements in most locations (Fig. 1b). The measurement constraint increases $CCN_{0.2}$ concentrations (more than double the unconstrained mean in many locations; Fig. 1c) and greatly reduces the $CCN_{0.2}$ uncertainty (by more than half everywhere to less than 50 cm⁻³; Fig. 1d).

Figure 2 shows pdf's of the output from the model for the four variables used as constraints, calculated as means over the locations where measurements were taken. The constraint reduces the uncertainty in all measurement types (narrower pdf's), and the central tendency of the pdf's is closer to the regional mean of measurements after constraint. Rejecting around 97 % of model variants as implausible compared to measurements greatly improves the model–measurement comparison.

After constraint, the remaining model variants inhabit specific parts of the 26-dimensional parameter uncertainty space used to quantify the model uncertainty. We explore the effect of constraints on parameter values using one-dimensional marginal probability distributions (described in detail in Johnson et al., 2020) – Fig. 3 shows these results for the AER PPE, and Fig. S2 shows the equivalent AER-ATM



Figure 1. (a, c) Mean and (b, d) standard deviation of $CCN_{0.2}$ concentrations from the (a, b) unconstrained sample and (c, d) the sample constrained using concentration measurements of $CCN_{0.2}$, $CCN_{1.0}$, non-sea-salt sulfate and particles with dry aerodynamic diameters larger than 700 nm. Measured $CCN_{0.2}$ values are plotted as dots. Means and standard deviations were calculated using samples taken from emulators trained using monthly mean values. December to March sample values were combined based on longitudinal agreement with measurements.

results. The magnitude of the marginal probability distribution after constraint reflects the number of ways in which a particular value of a parameter can be combined with settings of all the other parameters to produce an observationally plausible model. The white space in the marginal pdf's shows where parameter value density has decreased.

The relative simplicity of aerosol emissions and processes over the Southern Ocean (compared to polluted continental regions) means that measurements can be used to tightly constrain uncertainty in the associated parameters. Two parameters (sea spray emissions and dry deposition velocity) are tightly constrained such that some parameter values are ruled out as implausible even when combined with uncertainties in all other parameters. Several other parameters (related to cloud droplet pH, dimethylsulfide (DMS) emissions and wet deposition) are more modestly constrained. These joint constraints (see also Fig. S3) suggest the model–measurement comparison is improved when aerosol number concentrations and mass are relatively high.

Sea spray emissions are tightly constrained to be around 3 times larger than the default model value. Observationally plausible values of the sea spray scaling parameter range from around 1.6 to 5.1 and all other values (including the default emission calculated in the model) are ruled out as implausible. This suggests that sea spray emissions in our model need to be significantly higher than those calculated using the wind-speed-dependent Gong (2003) parameterisation in the Southern Hemisphere summer. The higher flux is



Figure 2. Unconstrained (black) and observationally constrained (red) pdf's of aerosol properties: (a) $CCN_{0.2}$, (b) $CCN_{1.0}$, (c) N_{700} and (d) aerosol sulfate. The pdf's were calculated at locations where measurements were used for constraint across the months December to March. Densities for each sample of model variants are scaled so that the area under the curve integrates to 1. The green dashed line shows the median of the measurements, and the dotted green lines show the approximate uncertainty ranges due to multiple model–measurement comparison uncertainties that were accounted for in the constraint (see Sect. S1).

consistent with Revell et al. (2019), who showed that a more recent version of our model simulates cloud droplet concentrations and aerosol optical depth values that are lower than observed over the summertime Southern Ocean. However, sea spray emissions had to be reduced to achieve agreement with aerosol optical depth measurements in winter. Hence, our constraint on sea spray emission fluxes may only be appropriate for Southern Hemisphere summer when wind speeds are relatively low. We do not make any assumptions about the composition of these additional summertime sea spray particles. They may be rich in organic material as proposed by Gantt et al. (2011), which would alter the CCN activity of emitted particles. However, the consistency of constraint of CCN_{0.2} and N₇₀₀ towards higher values (Fig. 2, Table S3) implies that a general scaling of the existing sea spray flux is consistent with the measurements from December to April, without the need for an additional source of fine-mode, organic-rich particles.

The dry deposition velocity of accumulation mode aerosols (Dry_Dep_Acc) has an 84 % likelihood of being lower than the default model value after applying the constraint. Furthermore, deposition velocities larger than around 3 times the default value are effectively ruled out. This constraint is consistent with the higher aerosol concentrations implied by constraint of the sea spray emission parameter.

Other parameters are more modestly constrained. The constraint on the aerosol precursor DMS emission flux scale factor is two-sided, reducing the credible range of DMS emission scalings from 0.5 to 2.0 down to 0.54 to 1.9. This constraint suggests the default surface sea water concentration (Kettle and Andreae, 2000) and emission parameterisations (Nightingale et al., 2000) are consistent with measurements (including aerosol sulfate) and do not benefit from being scaled. Furthermore, ACE-SPACE measurements are consistent with less-efficient aerosol scavenging (55% likelihood of Rain_Frac, the parameter that controls the fractional area of the cloudy part of model grid boxes where rain occurs, being below the unconstrained median value 0.5) and less aqueous phase sulfate production (pH of cloud droplets has a 62% likelihood of being lower than the unconstrained median value). These combined constraints suggest, in agreement with sea spray and deposition parameter constraints, higher aerosol number and mass concentrations are consistent with measurements.

The effects of measurement constraint on pdf's of RFaci and ERFaci are shown in Fig. 4. Removing implausible model variants has reduced the uncertainty in several parameters including natural aerosol emission fluxes, which translates into a reduction in RFaci uncertainty (Carslaw et al., 2013). The measurement constraints have two important effects on aerosol forcing. Firstly, the magnitude of median RF_{aci} weakens from -1.99 to -1.88 W m⁻² (-1.64 to $-1.49 \,\mathrm{W \,m^{-2}}$ for ERF_{aci}). A weaker forcing is consistent with higher natural aerosol emissions, increased aerosol load, and higher cloud droplet number concentrations (see Table 1) in the early-industrial period. Secondly, the constrained forcing pdf's are approximately symmetric but have shorter tails (lower kurtosis). This suggests the constraints are selectively ruling out model variants that are outliers. The 95 % credible range of RFaci values is reduced by around 9% (from -2.84 to -1.15 W m⁻² down to -2.64 to -1.10 W m⁻²) and around 9% for ERF_{aci} (from -2.69 to -0.62 W m⁻² down to -2.43 to -0.54 W m⁻²). The consistency of forcing constraint across two distinct PPEs suggests the results are insensitive to differences in meteorology, parameters perturbed in the PPEs, and the inclusion of rapid atmospheric adjustments. These results are also insensitive to additional constraint to ensure energy balance at the top of the atmosphere (Fig. S5).

Johnson et al. (2020) reduced the global, annual mean RF_{aci} uncertainty by constraining multiple anthropogenic emission and model process parameters (as well as some natural aerosol parameters) using over 9000 predominantly Northern Hemisphere measurements of aerosol optical depth, $PM_{2.5}$, particle number concentrations, and mass concentrations of organic carbon and sulfate. When we combine our Southern Ocean constraint with the Johnson et al. (2020) constraint, we retain around 700 observationally plausible model variants (0.07 %). Although this is a small percentage of the original sample, 700 observationally plausible model uncertainty or multi-model diversity (e.g. around 30 for CMIP6). The marginal parameter pdf's from this 700-member sample are shown in Fig. 5.

L. A. Regayre et al.: Remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty



Figure 3. Marginal probability distributions for the 26 aerosol parameters after constraint using ACE-SPACE measurements. The density of parameter values in the unconstrained sample is shown as horizontal dashed lines (uniform sampling over the parameter space). Densities of constrained samples are shown in colour and are scaled so that the maximum densities in the constrained and unconstrained samples are aligned. The 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles of each marginal distribution are shown in the central boxes. Parameter values on the *x* axes correspond to values used in the model (Yoshioka et al., 2019, Table S3).

Table 1. Annual and monthly mean cloud drop number concentrations over the Southern Ocean (over the region between 50 and $60^{\circ}S$ at around 1 km altitude above sea level) in the original unconstrained sample and the sample of model variants constrained to ACE-SPACE campaign measurements. Mean values and 95 % credible interval values are shown for each sample, with interquartile ranges in brackets. For comparison, we show cloud drop concentrations calculated from MODIS instrument data following Grosvenor et al. (2018) for the year 2008 (Supplement: Methods: Measurements).

	Annual	December	January	February	March	April
MODIS (cm^{-3})	73	89	91	90	82	63
Unconstrained mean (cm ⁻³)	38	39	39	41	42	39
Unconstrained credible interval (cm^{-3})	7–125 (112)	8–115 (103)	8–117 (109)	7–122 (115)	7–129 (122)	7–118 (111)
Constrained mean (cm^{-3})	66	67	69	72	76	70
Constrained credible interval (cm^{-3})	41–96 (55)	43–96 (53)	44–99 (55)	45–105 (60)	47–111 (64)	44–101 (57)

The two measurement datasets constrain distinct groups of parameters. There are a few cases where the same parameters are constrained by both datasets, and in these cases the parameter values are constrained consistently (e.g. cloud droplet pH) or more strongly through ACE-SPACE (e.g. sea spray emissions). The complementary nature of these constraints means that the combined constraint marginal parameter pdf's (Fig. 5) are remarkably similar to those in our Fig. 3e (for sea spray and DMS emission fluxes, as well as deposition and pH parameters) and in Fig. 6 of Johnson et al. (2020) for other parameters.

The Johnson et al. (2020) constraint reduced the RF_{aci} uncertainty by around 6% and our ACE-SPACE measurement constraint reduced the uncertainty by around 9%. However,

10067



Figure 4. Probability distributions of (a) RF_{aci} and (b) ERF_{aci} . The distributions of the unconstrained sample of 1 million model variants from statistical emulators of each PPE are in black. Red lines show the distributions after constraint using ACE-SPACE measurements (around 3% of the unconstrained sample). The 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles of each sample are shown as shaded boxes, and dashed lines span the percentiles 2.5 and 97.5.

the RF_{aci} uncertainty is reduced by around 21 % (Fig. 6a) after applying both constraints, meaning the combined constraint is stronger than the sum of individual constraints.

The Johnson et al. (2020) constraint strengthened the RFaci by around $0.3 \,\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ (more negative) because the largest sea spray emission flux scaling and largest new particle formation rates were ruled out. Our ACE-SPACE constraint rules out the same large sea spray emission fluxes but also rules out all emission flux scale factors lower than around 1.6 (Fig. 3), which increases the baseline aerosol concentration in the early-industrial atmosphere. The ACE-SPACE measurements also constrain several other parameters that collectively weaken the median RF_{aci} by around $0.18 W m^{-2}$. Therefore, using the combined measurement dataset, the strongest RFaci values have been ruled out as implausible and the credible range of observationally plausible RFaci values is reduced to around -2.51 to -1.17 W m⁻² (-2.18 to $-1.46 \,\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$, when using 1 standard deviation to quantify the uncertainty). Uncertainty in RFari is reduced by around 48 % with observationally plausible values ranging from -0.27 to -0.09 W m⁻² (-0.23 to -0.13 W m⁻², when using 1 standard deviation), because the strongest RFari values are ruled out as observationally implausible.

3 Discussion

Our results show, as hypothesised from previous sensitivity analyses, that remote marine measurements are valuable for constraining the natural aerosol state of the atmosphere (Carslaw et al., 2013; Regayre et al., 2014, 2018). They also provide new information about plausible model behaviour because they are closely related to model emissions and processes that measurements in polluted environments do not constrain. For the first time we have achieved a meaningful reduction of 21 % in the RF_{aci} uncertainty by constraining the aerosol properties in the model. The reduction in forcing uncertainty could be improved further by using measurements of cloud properties and cloud–aerosol relations, particularly if these span polluted and pristine conditions (McCoy et al., 2020). An important factor that continues to limit observational constraint is the existence of many compensating parameter effects, even within the considerably reduced volume of multidimensional parameter space (Fig. S3). These limit the constraint on individual parameter ranges (Lee et al., 2016; Regayre et al., 2018), but some of the effects could be greatly reduced by perturbing uncertain emissions regionally rather than globally as we do here.

Our results are based on uncertainty in a single climate model. The model is structurally consistent in our experiments and so neglects uncertainty caused by choice of microphysical and atmospheric process representations. Our model also neglects some potentially important sources of remote marine aerosol, such as primary marine organic aerosol (Mulcahy et al., 2020) and methane-sulfonic acid (Schmale et al., 2019; Hodshire et al., 2019; Revell et al., 2019). Model inter-comparison projects (such as CMIP6) can be used to quantify the diversity of RF (or ERF) output from models, but they lack information about single model uncertainty. Ideally, multi-model ensembles would contain a perturbed parameter component, so that model diversity and single model uncertainty could be quantified simultaneously.

Studies like ours fill an important gap by quantifying the remaining uncertainty in aerosol forcing across a set of single-model variants that are plausible when compared with multiple measurement types. This knowledge can be used to form a more complete understanding of the importance of historical and near-term aerosol radiative forcing which would contribute to reducing the diversity in equilibrium climate sensitivity across models.

Data availability. The ACE-SPACE data are accessible from https://zenodo.org/communities/spi-ace (last ac-August 2020) (ship position: Thomas and Pina Escess: (2019)https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3483164; tany CCN (2019b) measurements: Tatzelt et al. https://doi.org/105281/zenodo.2636765; N700 measurements: Schmale et al. (2019a) - https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2636709; nss-sulfate measurements: Schmale (2019c) et al. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2636700). The basis for our cloud droplet number concentration data is available from http: //catelogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/cf97ccc802d348ec8a3b6f2995dfbbff (last access: April 2020) (Grosvenor and Wood, 2018). Simulation output data for both AER and AER-ATM PPEs are available on the JASMIN data infrastructure (http://www.jasmin.ac.uk, last access: August 2020) (Yoshioka et al., 2019). Some of the climate-relevant fields are derived and stored in netCDF files (.nc) containing data for all ensemble members and made available as a community research tool as described in Yoshioka et al. (2019).

L. A. Regayre et al.: Remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty



Figure 5. Marginal probability distributions for the 26 aerosol parameters after constraint using around 250 Southern Ocean measurements and more than 9000 aerosol measurements in Johnson et al. (2020). Plotting features of this figure are identical to Fig. 3.



Figure 6. Probability distributions of (a) RF, (b) RF_{aci} , and (c) RF_{ari} from the unconstrained (black line) and constrained (red line) samples of model variants. The constrained sample includes model variants that agree with our ACE-SPACE measurement constraint and the Johnson et al. (2020) constraint. Plotting features are identical to Fig. 4.

All data needed to recreate figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3988476 (Regayre, 2020). Additional model data and analysis code can be made available from the corresponding author upon request.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-10063-2020-supplement.

Author contributions. LAR applied the statistical methodology and generated results. LAR and MY created the PPEs. LAR and JSJ designed the experiments and elicited probability density functions of

all aerosol parameters. KSC and MY participated in the formal elicitation process. JS, AB, MGB, CT, SH, and FS collected and processed the ACE-SPACE measurements. DPG processed the cloud droplet number concentration data. LAR, KSC, JS, and JSJ analysed the results. LAR and KSC wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Competing interests. Author Ken S. Carslaw is an executive editor of ACP.

Acknowledgements. We thank Andre Welti and Markus Hartmann for CCN measurement support provided during the ACE-SPACE campaign. Julia Schmale holds the Ingvar Kamprad Chair sponsored by Ferring Pharmaceuticals. Ken S. Carslaw was a Royal Society Wolfson Merit Award holder during this research. The authors would like to thank the editor Johannes Quaas and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive advice.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the Natural Environment Research Council AEROS, ACID-PRUF, GASSP and A-CURE projects (grant nos. NE/J024252/1, NE/I020059/1, and NE/P013406 and a doctoral training grant), a UK Hadley Centre Met Office CASE studentship, the UK-China Research & Innovation Partnership Fund through the Met Office Climate Science for Service Partnership (CSSP) China as part of the Newton Fund (grant nos. DN321511 and CHN19/3), the European Union ACTRIS-2 project (grant no. 262254), the National Centre for Atmospheric Science ACSIS programme (grant no. NE/N018001/1), the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne (EPFL) (ACE project no. 7), the Swiss Polar Institute (ACE project no. 7), Ferring Pharmaceuticals (ACE project no. 7), European FP7 BACCHUS (grant no. 49603445), the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant (grant no. 200021_169090), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the framework of the priority programme "Antarctic Research with comparative investigations in Arctic ice areas" SPP 1158 (grant no. STR 453/12-1). This work used the ARCHER UK National Supercomputing Service (http://www.archer.ac.uk, last access: August 2020) (project allocations n02-chem, n02-NEJ024252, n02-FREEPPE and the Leadership Project allocation n02-CCPPE).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Johannes Quaas and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Andreae, M. O., Jones, C. D., and Cox, P. M.: Strong present-day aerosol cooling implies a hot future, Nature, 435, 1187–1190, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03671, 2005.
- Carslaw, K. S., Boucher, O., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Rae, J. G. L., Woodward, S., and Kulmala, M.: A review of natural aerosol interactions and feedbacks within the Earth system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1701–1737, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-1701-2010, 2010.
- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G.W., Spracklen, D. V., Woodhouse, M., Regayre, L. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, Nature, 503, 67–71, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12674, 2013.
- Carslaw, K. S., Gordon, H., Hamilton, D. S., Johnson, J. S., Regayre, L. A., and Yoshioka, M.: Aerosols in the preindustrial atmosphere, Curr. Clim. Change Rep., 3, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-017-0061-2, 2017.
- Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J. L., Fichefet, D., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutowski, W. J., Johns, T., Krinner, G., Shongwe, M., Tebaldi, C., Weaver, A. J., and Wehner, M.:

Long-term Climate Change: Projections Commitments and Irreversibility, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

- Gantt, B., Meskhidze, N., Facchini, M.C., Rinali, M., Ceburnis, D., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Wind speed dependent size-resolved parameterization for the organic mass fraction of sea spray aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8777–8790, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8777-2011, 2011.
- Gong, S. L.: A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron particles, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17, 1097, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002079, 2003.
- Grosvenor, D. P. and Wood, R.: Daily MODIS (MODerate Imaging Spectroradiometer) derived cloud droplet number concentration global dataset for 2003–2015, available at: http://catelogue. ceda.ac.uk/uuid/cf97ccc802d348ec8a3b6f2995dfbbff (last access: April 2020), 2018.
- Grosvenor, D. P., Sourdeval, O., Zuidema, P., Ackerman, A., Alexandrov, M. D., Bennartz, R., Boers, R., Cairns, B., Chiu, J. C., Christensen, M., Deneke, H., Diamond, M., Feingold, G., Fridlind, A., Hünerbein, A., Knist, C., Kollias, P., Marshak, A., McCoy, D., Merk, D., Painemal, D., Rausch, J., Rosenfeld, D., Russchenberg, H., Seifert, P., Sinclair, K., Stier, P., van Diedenhoven, B., Wendisch, M., Werner, F., Wood, R., Zhang, Z., and Quaas, J.: Remote sensing of droplet number concentration in warm clouds: A review of the current state of knowledge and perspectives, Rev. Geophys., 56, 409–453, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017RG000593, 2018.
- Gryspeerdt, E., Quaas, J., Ferrachat, S., Gettelman, A., Ghan, S., Lohmann, U., Morrison, H., Neubauer, D., Partridge, D. G., Stier, P., Takemura, T., Wang, H., Wang, M., and Zhang, K.: Constraining the instantaneous aerosol influence on cloud albedo, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114, 4899–4904, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1617765114, 2017.
- Hamilton, D. S., Lee, L. A., Pringle, K. J., Reddington, C. L. S., Spracklen, D. V., and Carslaw, K. S.: Occurrence of pristine aerosol on a polluted planet, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 18466–18471, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415440111, 2014.
- Hewitt, H. T., Copsey, D., Culverwell, I. D., Harris, C. M., Hill, R. S. R., Keen, A. B., McLaren, A. J., and Hunke, E. C.: Design and implementation of the infrastructure of HadGEM3: the next-generation Met Office climate modelling system, Geosci. Mod. Dev., 4, 223–253, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-223-2011, 2011.
- Hodshire, A. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Kodros, J. K., Croft, B., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C., Jimenez, J. L., and Pierce, J. R.: The potential role of methanesulfonic acid (MSA) in aerosol formation and growth and the associated radiative forcings, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 3137–3160, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3137-2019, 2019.
- Johnson, J. S., Regayre, L. A., Yoshioka, M., Pringle, K. J., Turnock, S. T., Browse, J., Sexton, D. M. H., Rostron, J. W., Schutgens, N. A. J., Partridge, D. G., Liu, D., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ding, A., Cohen, D. D., Atanacio, A., Vakkari, V., Asmi, E., and Carslaw, K. S.: Robust observational constraint of uncertain

aerosol processes and emissions in a climate model and the effect on aerosol radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 9491–9524, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9491-2020, 2020.

- Kettle, A. J. and Andreae, M. O.: Flux of dimethylsulfide from the oceans. A comparison of updated data sets and flux models, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 26793–26808, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900252, 2000.
- Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., and Carslaw, K. S.: On the relationship between aerosol model uncertainty and radiative forcing uncertainty, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 5820–5827, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1507050113, 2016.
- McCoy, D. T., Bender, F. A., Mohrmann, J. K. C., Hartmann, D. L., Wood, R., and Grosvenor, D. P.: The global aerosolcloud first indirect effect estimated using MODIS, MERRA, and AeroCom, J. Geophy. Res.-Atmos., 122, 1779–1796, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026141, 2017.
- McCoy, I. L., McCoy, D. T., Wood, R., Regayre, L. A., Watson-Paris, D., Grosvenor, D. P., Mulcahy, J., P., Hu, Y., Bender, F. A., Field, P. R., Carslaw, K. S., and Gordon, H.: The hemispheric contrast in cloud microphysical properties constrains aerosol forcing, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 117, 18998–19006, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1922502117, 2020.
- Mulcahy, J., Johnson, C., Jones, C. G., Povey, A. C., Scott, C. E., Sellar, A., Turnock, S. T., Woodhouse, M. T., Abraham, N. L., Andrews, M. B., Bellouin, N., Browse, J., Carslaw, K. S., Dalvi, M., Folberth, G. A., Glover, M., Grosvenor, D., Hardacre, C., Hill, R., Johnson, B., Jones, A., Kipling, Z., Mann, G., Mollard, J., O'Connor, F. M., Palmieri, J., Reddington, C., Rumbold, S. T., Richardson, M., Schutgens, N. A. J., Stier, P., Stringer, M., Tang, Y., Walton, J., Woodward, S., and Yool, A.: Description and evaluation of aerosol in UKESM1 and HadGEM3-GC3.1 CMIP6 historical simulations, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-357, in review, 2020.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F. M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J. F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Nightingale, P. D., Liss, P. S., and Schlosser, P.: Measurements of air-sea gas transfer during an open ocean algal bloom, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 2117–2120, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011541, 2000.
- Regayre, L. A.: Data used to create figures in the ACP Letters manuscipt "The value of remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty" by Regayre et al. (2020), zenodo, https://zenodo.org/record/3988476, last access: August 2020.
- Regayre, L. A., Pringle, K. J., Booth, B. B. B., Lee, L. A., Mann, G. W., Browse, J., Woodhouse, M. T., Rap, A., Reddington, C. L. S., and Carslaw, K. S.: Uncertainty in the magnitude of aerosolcloud radiative forcing over recent decades, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 9040–9049, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062029, 2014.
- Regayre, L. A., Johnson, J. S., Yoshioka, M., Pringle, K. J., H.Sexton, D. M., Booth, B. B. B., Lee, L. A., Bellouin, N., and

Carslaw, K. S.: Aerosols and physical atmosphere model parameters are both important sources of uncertainty in aerosol ERF, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9975–10006, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-9975-2018, 2018.

- Revell, L. E., Kremser, S., Hartery, S., Harvey, M., Mulcahy, J. P., Williams, J., Morgenstern, O., McDonald, A. J., Varma, V., Bird, L., and Schuddeboom, A.: The sensitivity of Southern Ocean aerosols and cloud microphysics to sea spray and sulfate aerosol production in the HadGEM3-GA7.1 chemistry–climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15447– 15466, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15447-2019, 2019.
- Schmale, J., Henning, S., Tummon, F., Hartmann, M., Baccarini, A., Welti, A., Lehtipalo, K., Tatzelt, C., Landwehr, S., and Gysel-Beer, M.: Course mode aerosol particle size distribution collected in the Southern Ocean in the austral summer of 2016/2017, during the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition, Version 1.0, Dataset, zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2636709, 2019a.
- Schmale, J., Baccarini, A., Thurnherr, I., Henning, S., Efraim, A., Regayre, L. A., Bolas, C., Hartmann, M.,Welti, A., Lehtipalo, K., Aemisegger, F., Tatzelt, C., Landwehr, S., Modini, R., Tummon, F., Johnson, J. S., Harris, N., Schnaiter, M., Toffoli, A., Derkani, M., Bukowiecki, N., Stratmann, F., Dommen, J., Baltensperger, U., Wernli, H., Rosenfeld, D., Gysel-Beer, M., and Carslaw, K.: Overview of the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition: Study of Preindustrial-like Aerosols and Their Climate Effects (ACE-SPACE), B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 100, 2260–2283, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0187.1, 2019b.
- Schmale, J., Henning, S., Tummon, F., Hartmann, M., Baccarini, A., Welti, A., Lehtipalo, K., Tatzelt, C., and Gysel-Beer, M.: Sub-micron aerosol particle size distribution collected in the Southern Ocean in the austral summer of 2016/2017, during the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition, zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2636700, 2019c.
- Seinfeld, J. H., Bretherton, C., Carslaw, K. S., Coe, H., DeMott, P. J., Dunlea, E. J., Feingold, G., Ghan, S., Guenther, A. B., Kahn, R., Kraucunas, I., Kreidenweis, S. M., Molina, M. J., Nenes, A., Penner, J. E., Prather, K. A., Ramanathan, V., Ramaswamy, V., Rasch, P. J., Ravishankara, A. R., Rosenfeld, D., Stephens, G., and Wood, R.: Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol-cloud interactions in the climate system, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 5781–5790, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514043113, 2016.
- Spracklen, D. V. and Rap, A.: Natural aerosol-climate feedbacks suppressed by anthropogenic aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 5316–5319, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL057966, 2013.
- Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M.: Summary for Policymakers, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Tatzelt, C., Henning, S., Tummon, F., Hartmann, M., Baccarini, A., Welti, A., Lehtipalo, K., and Schmale, J.: Cloud Condensation Nuclei number concentrations over the Southern Ocean during

the austral summer of 2016/2017, Version 1.0, Data set, zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2636765, 2019a.

- Tatzelt, C., Henning, S., Tummon, F., Hartmann, M., Baccarini, A., Welti, A., Lehtipalo, K., and Schmale, J.: Cloud Condensation Nuclei number concentrations over the Southern Ocean during the austral summer of 2016/2017, zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2636765, 2019b.
- Tett, S. F. B., Rowlands, D. J., Mineter, M. J., and Cartis, C.: Can Top-Of-Atmosphere Radiation Measurements Constrain Climate Predictions? Part II: Climate Sensitivity, J. Climate., 26, 9367– 9383, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00596.1, 2013.
- Thomas, J. and Pina Estany, C.: Quality-checked, one-minute resolution cruise track of the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition (ACE) undertaken during the austral summer of 2016/2017, zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3483164, 2019.

- Wilcox, L. J., Highwood, E. J., Booth, B. B. B., and Carslaw, K. S.: Quantifying sources of inter-model diversity in the cloud albedo effect, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 1568–1575, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063301, 2015.
- Yoshioka, M., Regayre, L. A., Pringle, K. J., Johnson, J. S., Mann, G. W., Partridge, D., Stier, P., Kipling, Z., Bellouin, N., Sexton, D. M. H., Lister, G. M. S., Browse, J., Booth, B. B. B., Johnson, C. E., Johnson, B., Mollard, J. D. P., and Carslaw, K. S.: Ensembles of global climate model variants for the quantification and constraint of uncertainty in aerosols and their radiative forcing, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 11, 3728–3754, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001628, 2019.